Bayesian inference on high-dimensional Seemingly Unrelated Regressions

Alex Lewin and Marco Banterle

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

June 2018

Motivation

- Genetic studies aiming at identifying association between point mutations (SNPs) and multivariate phenotypes:
 - gene expression measurements
 - metabolomics data
 - protein concentrations
 - ...
- Looking for sparse variable selection
- Take into account data correlations (possibly sparse)



Bayesian Setting

High dimensional data

- $\mathbf{p} \approx 10^4$ to 10^6 variables in X
- q ranging from 1 to 10⁴ variables in Y
- Around n = 5000 observations

Focus on Sparse Bayesian Variable Selection (sparse BVS)

- Estimate using MCMC
- Provides the posterior probability of association for each predictor and each response (model averaging).

Frame the problem as a multivariate linear regression model:

$$Y_{n \times q} = X_{n \times p} B_{p \times q} + E_{n \times p}$$

or equivalently:

$$Y \sim \mathcal{MN}(XB, \mathbb{I}_n, C)$$

- Sparse variable selection on associations (*B*)
- Sparce covariance selection (C)



Variable selection performed through binary matrix Γ ($p \times q$)

$$\gamma_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \implies B_{jk} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \implies B_{jk} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Sparsity prior $\gamma_{jk} \sim Bern(\omega_{jk})$,

$$\omega_{jk} \sim Beta()$$



Gamma matrix

Predictor variables

Predictor X_j only appears in a regression if γ_{jk} is 1.

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Bayesian Variable Selection

Two options for Covariance matrix:

- Dense covariance matrix C with Inverse Wishart prior
- Covariance selection performed using Gaussian Graphical models:

 $(C^{-1})_{ij} \leftrightarrow$ outcomes y_i and y_j are conditionally independent.



Previous work in Bayesian multivariate regression

Either assume diagonal covariance matrix





Bottolo L, Chadeau-Hyam, M et al. (2013) Lewin A et al. (2015)

Or assume all responses related to the same set of predictors



Bottolo L, Petretto, E et al. (2011) Bhadra A and Mallick BK (2013)

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Our work on SUR model

• Full selection matrix Γ ; Full covariance matrix R



Formulate as a Seemingly Unrelated Regressions (SUR) model:

 $Cov[\epsilon_k \epsilon_l] = C_{kl} \neq 0 \implies$ Outcomes do not naturally separate as in previous hierarchical model.

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

In both "previous" cases, models are conjugate in *B* and *C* \rightarrow only Γ (variable selection) are updated.

- In the SUR model, Standard priors (Normal, Inverse Wishart) \longrightarrow Not Conjugate in *B* or *C*
- Can calculate posterior full conditionals for β_k and $C \rightarrow$ Gibbs sampler for γ_k, β_k and C.
- However, computationally intensive if use naive updates.

A Factorisation of the Covariance Matrix

From Zellner and Ando (2010): decompose the Likelihood:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{y}_{1} = X_{\gamma_{1}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma_{1}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{2} = X_{\gamma_{2}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma_{2}} + \rho_{21}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1} - X_{\gamma_{1}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma_{1}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{k} = X_{\gamma_{k}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma_{k}} + \sum_{l < k} \rho_{kl}(\boldsymbol{y}_{l} - X_{\gamma_{l}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma_{l}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k} \end{cases}$$

with $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{l}] = \begin{cases} 0 \quad k \neq l \\ \sigma_{k}^{2}\mathbb{I}_{n} \quad k = l \end{cases}$

So Likelihood separates across separate responses.

Reparametrisation is $C \longleftrightarrow \{\sigma_k^2, \rho_{kl}\}$

We reformulate the reparametrisation as a factorisation of the Covariance matrix:

$$C_{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{(j-1)} & \mathbf{c}_j \\ \mathbf{c}_j^t & c_j \end{pmatrix}$$

for $j = 2, \cdots, q$

$$\sigma_j^2 \equiv c_j - \boldsymbol{c}_j^t C_{(j-1)}^{-1} \boldsymbol{c}_j$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_j \equiv C_{(j-1)}^{-1} \boldsymbol{c}_j$$

Complete factorisation of the Covariance matrix (or equivalently the Precision matrix).

We define this for any covariance matrix (dense or sparse).

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Bayesian Variable Selection

• Factorise priors across the *q* response variables: $C \sim \mathcal{IW}(\nu, M)$ becomes $\prod_{j=1}^{q} \mathcal{N}(\rho_j | \sigma_j^2, M) \times \mathcal{IG}(\sigma_j^2 | \nu, M)$

So posterior conditionals factorise also:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{j} | \sigma_{j}^{2}, M, X, Y, B, \Gamma) \times \mathcal{IG}(\sigma_{j}^{2} | \nu, M, X, Y, B, \Gamma)$$

So MCMC updates for *C* parameters factorise over responses.

• Regression coefficients prior: $\prod_{j=1}^{q} \mathcal{N}(\beta_j | \gamma_j, W)$

MCMC for B not so straightfoward: Zellner and Ando used simplified factorisation + Gibbs resampling

We have calculated correct factorised full conditionals: $\prod_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{\gamma_j} | (B \smallsetminus \beta_j), W, X, Y, C, \Gamma$

• Update for γ_j parameters also factorised over response variables (using the ESS (evolutionary stochastic search) algorithm developed by Bottolo et al.)

Sparse covariance selection

Replace IW prior by Hyper-IW prior conditional on a graph.

Decomposable (chordal or triangulated) graph: $C \sim \mathcal{HIW}_G(\nu, M)$

HIW factorises over connected components of graph G:

$$p(C) = p(C_{P_1}) \prod_{k=2}^{K} p(C_{P_k} \mid C_{S_k})$$

- S_k are separators in the graph
- P_k are cliques

Remaining elements of C are updated using a "completion operation".

For decomposable graphs, there is a nice connection between ρ_j and Precision matrix:

$$\rho_{ji} = 0 \iff (C^{-1})_{ij} = 0$$

MCMC sampler:

- Update graph structure (single edge or junction tree moves).
- Retain simple Normal and Inverse Wishart priors on ρ_i and σ_i^2 .
- Given graph, only need to update the non-zero ρ_{ji} .

Sparsity leads to another computational gain (only non-zero ρ_{ji}).

Bayesian "model averaging" means non-decomposable graphs can be approximated by decomposable graphs.

Decomposable:





Non-decomposable:



Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Bayesian Variable Selection

Case study: mQTL discovery in the North Finland Birth Cohort study (NFBC)

- The NFBC66 is a cohort of 12000 adults followed since 1966
- Question of interest is the discovery of genetic markers associated with metabolite regulation of lipids
- These responses are highly structured, with strong correlations
- After quality control,
 - n = 4023 people
 - q = 103 metabolites
 - p = 9172 SNPs on chromsome 16

Precision Graph mostly sparse!

Data Correlation



Residual Correlation



MAP (adj) Graph



Evidence of enhanced linkage for Chromosome 16



Manhattan plot - HESS



Summary

- Bayesian SUR model with sparsity prior to perform variable selection for multiple responses.
- Estimating the residual covariance matrix increases the accuracy of the variable selection
- Modelling sparsity in the residual covariance matrix aids computations
- Computational speed-up \rightarrow model can be used on large genomic data sets.

Thank you!

- Sylvia Richardson
- Leonardo Bottolo
- Marjo-Riitta Jarvelin

- Habib Saadi
- Marc Chadeau-Hyam

Lewin A et al. (2015)

MT-HESS: an efficient Bayesian approach for simultaneous association detection in OMICS datasets, with application to eQTL mapping in multiple tissues, **Bioinformatics 10.1093** *Bottolo L, Chadeau-Hyam, M et al. (2013)*

Guess-ing polygenic associations with multiple phenotypes using a GPU-based Evolutionary Stochastic Search algorithm, **PLoS Genetics 10.1371**.

Bhadra A and Mallick BK (2013)

Joint high-dimensional Bayesian variable and covariance selection with an application to eQTL analysis, **Biometrics 10.1111**.

Bottolo L, Petretto, E et al. (2011)

Bayesian detection of expression quantitative trait loci hot spots, Genetics 189:1449-1459.