Sparse variable selection for high-dimensional Seemingly Unrelated Regression and Structural Equation Models

Alex Lewin and Marco Banterle

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

ISCB40 Leuven July 2019

Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort (NFBC1966)

Population-based birth cohort

Recruitment:

Pregnant mothers living in the provinces of Oulu and Lapland

Study population:

12,055 mothers with expected dates of delivery for year 1966;12,058 alive born offsprings96% of all births in the area



Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort (NFBC1966)

1965	1966		1967	1980	1997	2012	
24-28th gestational week	Delivery	28 days	1-year	14-year	31-year	46-year	
Data on pregnancy, delivery, and child's survival collected from the antenatal clinics and by questionnaire			Child welfare examination	Questionnaire for children and parents	Questionnaires about health, behavior, work, and social background	Questionnaires about health, behavior, work and social background	
©Medengine					Clinical examination	Clinical examination	

Our focus:

31 year collection: blood samples — metabolites, DNA

Seemingly Unrelated Regressions: mQTL discovery in the NFBC66 study

- Question of interest is the discovery of genetic markers associated with metabolite regulation of lipids
- After quality control,
 - n = 5154 people
 - q = 158 metabolites
 - p = 9310 SNPs on chromsome 16
- These responses are highly structured, with strong correlations



Bayesian Seemingly Unrelated Regressions Model

Frame the problem as a multivariate linear regression model:

$$Y_{n \times q} = \underset{n \times p}{X} \underset{p \times q}{B} + \underset{n \times p}{E}$$

or equivalently:

$$Y \sim \mathcal{MN}(XB, \mathbb{I}_n, C)$$

- Sparse variable selection on associations (B)
- Sparse covariance selection (*C*)
- Estimate using MCMC
- Provides the posterior probability of association for each predictor and each response (model averaging).

SUR Model

Variable selection performed through binary matrix Γ ($p \times q$)

$$\gamma_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \implies B_{jk} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \implies B_{jk} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Sparsity prior $\gamma_{jk} \sim Bern(\omega_{jk})$,

$$\omega_{jk} \sim Beta()$$



Gamma matrix

Predictor variables

Predictor X_j only appears in a regression if γ_{jk} is 1.

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Previous work in Bayesian multivariate regression

Either assume diagonal covariance matrix





Bottolo L, Chadeau-Hyam, M et al. (2013) Lewin A et al. (2015)

Or assume all responses related to the same set of predictors



Bottolo L, Petretto, E et al. (2011) Bhadra A and Mallick BK (2013)

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)

Correlation matrix



Our work on SUR model

• Full selection matrix Γ ; Full covariance matrix R



Formulate as a Seemingly Unrelated Regressions (SUR) model:

 $Cov[\epsilon_k \epsilon_l] = C_{kl} \neq 0 \implies$ Outcomes do not naturally separate as in previous hierarchical model.

In both "previous" cases, models are conjugate in *B* and *C* \rightarrow only Γ (variable selection) are updated.

- In the SUR model, Standard priors (Normal, Inverse Wishart) \longrightarrow Not Conjugate in *B* or *C*
- Can calculate posterior full conditionals for β_k and $C \rightarrow$ Gibbs sampler for γ_k, β_k and C.
- However, computationally intensive if use naive updates.

- Transform $C \longrightarrow \{ \boldsymbol{\rho}_k, \sigma_k^2 : k = 1, \cdots, q \}$
- Factorise priors across the *q* response variables: $C \sim \mathcal{IW}(\nu, M)$ becomes $\prod_{k=1}^{q} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{k} | \sigma_{k}^{2}, M) \times \mathcal{IG}(\sigma_{k}^{2} | \nu, M)$
- So posterior conditionals factorise also:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{q} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{k} | \sigma_{k}^{2}, M, X, Y, B, \Gamma) \times \mathcal{IG}(\sigma_{k}^{2} | \nu, M, X, Y, B, \Gamma)$$

So MCMC updates for C parameters factorise over responses. \rightarrow feasible computation for omics data

Sparse covariance selection

Replace IW prior by Hyper-IW prior conditional on a sparse graph.

Decomposable (chordal or triangulated) graph: $C \sim \mathcal{HIW}_G(\nu, M)$



- Sparse prior on graph *G* (Binomial on number of edges)
- Retain simple Normal and Inverse Wishart priors on ρ_k and σ_k^2 .
- Sparsity leads to another computational gain (only non-zero ρ_{kl}).

Bayesian Model Averaging

Marginal inclusion probabilities for covariate selection:

$$P(\gamma_{jk} = 1 \mid \mathsf{data}) = \frac{1}{N_{iter}} \sum_{t=1}^{N_{iter}} \gamma_{jk}^{(t)}$$

Marginal edge inclusion probabilities for graph estimation:

$$P(\varepsilon_{kl} = 1 \mid \mathsf{data}) = \frac{1}{N_{iter}} \sum_{t=1}^{N_{iter}} \varepsilon_{kl}^{(t)}$$

Γ response-covariate associations



Only 1 SNP detected using standard GWAS univariate analysis

- 2 SNPs near to other SNPs that have been previously reported
- 1 SNP not previously reported, but univariate analysis shows "suggestive" evidence

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)



Extension to Structural Equation Models

SUR model is $X \longrightarrow Y$ (link two blocks of variables)

SEM model: multiple blocks (Directed Acyclic Graph)

$$\begin{array}{c} X \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2 \\ X \longrightarrow Y_2 \end{array}$$

. . .

- Multivariate regression model linking pairs of blocks
- Variable selection for each set of input variables

Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort (NFBC1966)

1965	1966		1967	1980	1997	2012	
24-28th gestational week	Delivery	28 days	1-year	14-year	31-year	46-year	
Data on pregnancy, delivery, and child's survival collected from the antenatal clinics and by questionnaire			Child welfare examination	Questionnaire for children and parents	Questionnaires about health, behavior, work, and social background	Questionnaires about health, behavior, work and social background	
©Medengine					Clinical examination	Clinical examination	

Our focus:

- Maternal background and pregnancy data at 24-28 weeks
- Genetic variants for BMI
- Early growth parameters from follow-ups during childhood

Blocks of variables: small data set example

For 3-stage model we use 4 blocks (29 variables).

X = exogenous, Y = endogenous.

 $\frac{X_{prenatal} / X_{birth}}{\text{socio-economic variables (7)}}$ maternal variables (12) polygenic risk score

 $\frac{Y_{birth}}{\text{gestational age}}$ placental weight delivery mode birth weight X_{common}

sex

 $\frac{Y_{infancy}}{\text{growth parameters (4)}}$



Input graph (variables)

Output graph between (variables)



Edge included if Marginal Posterior Inclusion Probability > 0.5.

Six life stages model



Input model: each arrow represents multiple associations (~ 500 total).



Output model includes ~ 40 associations.

Summary

- Bayesian SUR and SEM models with sparsity priors to perform variable selection for multiple responses.
- Modelling sparsity in the residual covariance matrix aids computations and increases the accuracy of the variable selection
- Bayesian modelling averaging framework gives robust results; can go further with joint modelling

Thank you:

- Marco Banterle
- Sylvia Richardson
- Leonardo Bottolo

- Zhi (George) Zhao
- Manuela Zucknick
- Lia Tzala
- Marjo-Riitta Jarvelin

R package:

https://github.com/mbant/BayesSUR

Papers:

Banterle M, Bottolo L, Richardson S, Ala-Korpela M, Jarvelin M-R and Lewin A (2018) Sparse variable and covariance selection for high-dimensional seemingly unrelated Bayesian regression, **BioRxiv preprint**

Banterle, Zhao, Bottolo, Richardson, Zucknick and Lewin (2019)

BayesSUR: An R package for high-dimensional multivariate Bayesian variable and covariance selection in regression, submitted to Journal of Statistical Software Special Issue on Software for Bayesian Statistics

Bayes SEM available soon!

Alex Lewin (LSHTM)