Table 1 Programme details common to both study arms.

- Total of US\$220 per household provided as a series of monthly unconditional transfers.
- Cash and food distribution were carried out in 13 villages each month.
- Households with children aged 6-23 months received Super Cereal Plus; households with pregnant and lactating women received Super Cereal Plus and vegetable oil.
- Behaviour change communication sessions carried out during cash and food distributions.
- Women were predominantly the registered beneficiaries of the UCT and supplementary food.

Standard UCT	Modified UCT
• 1124 households	• 951 households
• Four monthly transfers at US\$55/month	• Six monthly transfers at US\$37/month
• June-September	• April-September
• 64% of households received transfer in	• 73% of households received transfer in
their own village; 36% travelled to	their own village; 27% travelled to
another village	another village

Table 2 Programme details and study participants variable between study arms.

Table 3 Stakeholders and data sources.	Table 3	Stakeholders	and data	sources.
--	---------	--------------	----------	----------

Stakeholder	Data source
Concern	Concern accounting ledgers and staff interviews
WFP	WFP budget and staff interviews
Asusu	Concern accounting ledgers, contract documents
	between Concern and Asusu and staff interviews
Beneficiary household	Group interviews and survey of programme
	beneficiaries
Community	Group interviews with community members

Table 4 Description of activity-based cost categories.

Activity		
based cost		
category	Description	Data sources
Beneficiary	Programme staff time and vehicle	Accounting data, staff
targeting	rental/running costs	interviews, external consultant
		interview, community
		informants, beneficiaries
Cash/food	Programme staff time	Accounting data, staff
preparation		interviews, partner interviews
Cash/food	Value of cash transferred to beneficiaries,	Accounting data, staff
distribution	programme staff time, vehicle	interviews, partner interviews,
	rental/running costs, partner costs for staff	partner budget data, community
	and vehicles, partner costs for food	informants, beneficiaries
	procurement, warehousing and	
	transportation, and beneficiary and	
	community direct/indirect costs	
Monitoring	Staff time and vehicle rental/running costs	Accounting data, staff
		interviews, community
		informants, beneficiaries
Support ^a	Support staff time, staff guest house	Accounting data, staff
	running costs, support staff transportation,	interviews
	office running costs, supplies,	
	communications, security	

^a Support is not a programme activity per se but is essential to the achievement of the programme activities and was therefore included to analyse the full cost.

Table 5 Cost and percentage of total by cost category.

	<u>Standa</u>	Standard UCT		ed UCT
Cost category	USD	% of total	USD	% of total
Total programme cost	405,767	100%	395,402	100%
Transfer (cash and food)	262,471	65%	221,808	56%
Non-transfer cost ^a	143,296	35%	173,594	44%

^a Non-transfer costs are the total costs from a societal perspective minus the value of the transfers

Table 6 Participation cost to beneficiary households.

Metric	Standard	Modified
	UCT	UCT
Mean total participation cost incurred by each beneficiary household ^a	\$10	\$14
Mean opportunity cost incurred by each household during targeting	\$4.25	\$4.25
Mean opportunity cost incurred by each household during distribution	\$5.81	\$9.25
Mean total transfer value per household (cash and food), gross ^b	\$233	\$233
Mean total transfer value per household (cash and food), net ^c	\$223	\$219
Total participation cost to each household as a % of total gross transfer	4.4%	6.1%

^a Includes opportunity cost and transportation cost

^b The total transfer amount provided to beneficiaries

° The gross transfer provided to beneficiaries minus their participation cost

	Standard UCT	Modified UCT	
Programme activities and stakeholders	% of operational	% of operational	
Trogramme activities and stakenoliders	total	total	
Beneficiary targeting	15%	11%	
Concern Worldwide	11%	8%	
Beneficiaries	3%	2%	
Other community	2%	1%	
Cash/food preparation ^c	6%	6%	
Concern Worldwide	5%	5%	
WFP	1%	1%	
Asusu SA	0%	0%	
Cash/food distribution	43%	42%	
Concern Worldwide – operational	23%	23%	
WFP – operational	7%	5%	
Asusu SA	7%	8%	
Beneficiaries	5%	5%	
Other community	1%	1%	
Monitoring	4%	4%	
Institutional cost	4%	4%	
Support costs	33%	36%	
Concern Worldwide	29%	34%	
WFP	3%	2%	
Asusu SA	1%	1%	

Table 7 Costs as a proportion of total non-transfer cost, by activity and stakeholder.^{a, b}

^a Any discrepancies in the addition of values are due to rounding errors

^b Non-transfer costs are the total costs from a societal perspective minus the value of the transfers

° Re-packaging of food into individual containers and preparing cash in envelopes

Table 8 Cost-efficiency metrics.

Metric	Standard	Modified	Difference
	UCT	UCT	
Average cost per beneficiary household, total	\$361	\$416	\$55; 15%
Average cost per beneficiary household, operational ^a	\$127	\$183	\$55; 43%
Total cost-transfer ratio, gross transfer	1.55	1.78	0.24; 15%
Total cost-transfer ratio, net transfer ^b	1.62	1.90	0.28; 17%

^a Non-transfer costs include all costs from a societal perspective minus the value of the transfer itself.

^bNet transfer is the gross transfer provided to beneficiaries minus their participation cost