

Estimating the global burden of sexually transmitted infections

Remco P.H. Peters,¹⁻³ R. Matthew Chico,⁴ Jane Rowley,⁵ Nicola Low⁶

¹ Research Unit, Foundation for Professional Development, East London, South Africa

² Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria South Africa

³ Division of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

⁴ Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

⁵ Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

⁶ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (ISPM), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Zheng and colleagues re-analysed estimates from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2019 study and report age-standardised incidence rates and disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) caused by five sexually transmitted infections (STIs), syphilis, gonorrhoea, chlamydia, trichomoniasis and genital herpes, from 1990 to 2019.¹ We urge caution in the use and interpretation of the results.

First, the overall burden of disease reported, 1.31 million (uncertainty interval 0.80–2.20) DALYs, is a large underestimate because analysis is restricted to people aged 10 years and older. The GBD study database for all ages reports 8.22 million DALYS for the five STIs, of which 7.26 million are years of life lost due to congenital syphilis. In fact, the total burden of disease for these five STIs is even higher because GBD estimates do not account for an estimated 7.7% (4.6-12%) of stillbirths worldwide due to syphilis,² the consequences of congenital herpes simplex virus, pregnancy-specific impacts of other STIs, or the impact of STIs on HIV transmission.^{3,4} All of these consequences disproportionately affect low- and middle-income countries.

Second, data underlying the GBD 2019 study are themselves subject to limitations, which are a source of uncertainty.⁵ STI incidence estimates are based on a limited number of prevalence studies, most of which include only women and employ heterogeneous sampling and data collection methods. Prevalence data are combined with assumptions about disease remission, a robust database for

cause-specific mortality, and Bayesian regression methods to produce estimates for all locations, even where data are absent.

Third, Zheng *et al.* conclude that age-standardised STI incidence rates declined from 1990—2019. Although the global estimated annual percent change (EAPC) is negative, its 95% uncertainty interval includes 0, which is compatible with stable levels. Furthermore, the EAPC summarises changes over a 29-year period, but country-by-country review of full time-series estimates reveals many countries with increases in age-standardised incidence in recent years. Lastly, although the numbers of incident cases reported do align with the GBD tool, it is unclear why age-group-specific incidence rates are much higher than in GBD, and why the 10-24 years age-group has the highest numerical STI rates.

Complete and reliable STI burden of disease estimates are essential for global investment, policy development and programme implementation. Limitations of existing GBD estimates should be acknowledged. Efforts to provide a more comprehensive estimation of STI health impact and to close gaps in primary data are urgently warranted.

Acknowledgements

We thank Hannah Han and Maegan Dirac at University of Washington for checking the numerical results presented in Zheng *et al.* and in this Commentary against those reported by the GBD.

Declaration of interests

RP, RMC and JR have no conflict of interest to declare.

NL is on the scientific board of Sefunda AG, a start-up company that develops point-of-care diagnostics for sexually transmitted infections.

Role of funding source

NL acknowledges funding from the Swiss Network of International Studies (project number 19/63).

References

1. Zheng Y, Yu Q, Lin Y, et al. Global burden and trends of sexually transmitted infections from 1990 to 2019: an observational trend study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; **22**: 541-551.
2. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Waiswa P, et al. Stillbirths: rates, risk factors, and acceleration towards 2030. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: P587-603.
3. Vallely LM, Egli-Gany D, Wand H, et al. Adverse pregnancy and neonatal outcomes associated with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2021; **97**: 104-111.
4. Cohen MS, Council OD, Chen JS. Sexually transmitted infections and HIV in the era of antiretroviral therapy and prevention: the biologic basis for epidemiologic synergy. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2019; **22**: e25355.
5. Fu L, Sun Y, Han M, et al. Incidence trends of five common sexually transmitted infections excluding HIV from 1990 to 2019 at the global, regional and national levels: results from the global burden of disease study 2019. *Front Med* 2022; **9**: 851635.