

## Nurse role in abortion

### Optimizing the Nursing Role in Abortion Care: Considerations for Health Equity

Andrea Carson, Martha Paynter, Wendy V. Norman, Sarah Munro, Josette Roussel, Sheila Dunn, Denise Bryant-Lukosius, Stephanie Begun and Ruth Martin-Misener

\* Andrea Carson, MA, PhD, Postdoctoral Fellow, School of Nursing, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS  
[andie.carson@dal.ca](mailto:andie.carson@dal.ca) *Corresponding author.*

Martha Paynter, RN, PhD Candidate, School of Nursing, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS

Wendy V. Norman, MD, FCFP, DTM&H, MHSc, Associate Professor and Chair, Family Planning and Public Health Research, Department of Family Practice, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

Sarah Munro, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

Josette Roussel, RN, MEd, MSc, Director of Clinical Services, Hôpital Montfort, Ottawa, ON

Sheila Dunn, MD, MSc, CCFP (EM), FCFP, Research Director, Family Practice Health Centre, Women's College Hospital; Associate Professor, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON

Denise Bryant-Lukosius, RN, CON(C), BScN, MScN, PhD, Associate Professor, School of Nursing, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

Stephanie Begun, PhD, MSW, RSW, Assistant Professor, Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON

Ruth Martin-Misener, NP, PhD, FAAN, FCAN, Professor and Director, School of Nursing, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS

Accepted 2021 Sept 22.

Published March 2022: *Nursing Leadership* 35(1) March 2022 : 54-68.doi:10.12927/cjnl.2022.26750

**Title:** Optimizing the nurse role in abortion care: Considerations for health equity

**Abstract**

Registered Nurses (RNs) provide abortion care in hospitals and clinics and support abortion care through sexual health education and family planning care in sexual health clinics, schools, and family practice. Nurse Practitioners (NPs) improve access to abortion not only as prescribers of medication abortion, but as primary care providers of counselling and resources about pregnancy options, and abortion follow-up care in their communities. There is a need to better understand the current status of and potential scope for optimizing nursing roles in abortion care across Canada. In this article, we describe the leadership of nurses in the provision of accessible, inclusive abortion services and discuss barriers to role optimization. We present key insights from a priority-setting meeting held in 2019 with NPs and RNs engaged in medication abortion practice in their communities. As scopes of practice continue to evolve, optimization of nursing roles in abortion care is an approach to enhancing equitable access to comprehensive abortion care and family planning.

**Introduction**

Family planning includes a range of health services across the lifespan to assist individuals to plan pregnancies and manage unintended pregnancies, including: counselling and provision of contraception, assisted reproductive technologies, and abortion care. This care occurs in a variety of hospital and community settings. Abortion is a critical family planning service that was completely decriminalized in Canada in 1988. Medication abortion, approved by Health Canada in 2015 as the drug combination 200 mg mifepristone / 800 mcg misoprostol, is a safe, effective option and an alternative to aspiration abortion (Kapp et al. 2012; Løkeland et al. 2014). Medication abortion pills are taken orally at the patient's home. As such, medication

abortion may be perceived as less physically invasive than aspiration abortion, requires minimal contact with health services, and because fewer resources and equipment are required, it may be prescribed in primary care settings. As geographical issues are the most common barriers to abortion access in Canada, medication abortion can vastly expand access for those living in rural or remote communities (Norman et al. 2019).

Despite the potential value of medication abortion to advancing health equity, there are social, structural, and policy issues in Canada preventing optimization of access. In this article, we focus specifically on barriers to optimizing the roles of registered nurses (RNs) and nurse practitioners (NPs) in abortion care and how optimization of the nurse role can address these issues. We describe their roles in abortion care in Canada and their unique position to address health inequities. We then describe an abortion priority-setting meeting held in 2019 and consider current nurse leadership in the implementation of medication abortion in Canada. We conclude with considerations for policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers about how to support nursing leadership in abortion care to improve quality of and access to reproductive healthcare, especially for individuals and communities facing intersecting oppressions.

### **Disparities in abortion care**

Access to abortion is a key factor not only in improving overall health but also the social and economic wellbeing of women and all people with a uterus. While there are no legal restrictions to abortion services in Canada, there are multiple, intersecting factors which contribute to health disparities and the differing abilities of individuals to access abortion services safely and efficiently (Hardcastle 2019; Ross and Solinger 2017). An equity approach to social justice and health aims to identify and reduce health-related disparities caused by avoidable economic and social conditions (Braverman 2014; Marmot and Allen 2014; World Health Organization 2008).

Health equity is value-based and focuses on the equitable distribution not only of health services but of resources in society (Braverman and Gruskin, 2003; Braverman 2014).

Viewed through a health equity lens, disparities in abortion access and family planning services are exacerbated by social and economic factors, including abortion stigma, class, individual and systemic racism, ability/disability, gender identity and sexual orientation, and geographic location. Geographic disparities are well researched in Canada (Norman et al. 2016); having timely access to a nearby provider improves clinical outcomes (Schummers & Norman 2019). People experiencing violence, poverty, racism, xenophobia, homophobia, and/or transphobia face additional barriers to abortion care, including discrimination and trauma triggers in health care settings. Black communities report lower trust in healthcare providers (Halbert et al. 2006) which leads to lower patient satisfaction (Benkert et al. 2006). The impact of these barriers is under-researched in Canada; no data are available on access to abortion disparities by race or income, for example. In the US, Black women have abortions at a rate four times higher than white women (Studnicki et al. 2020); it is not known how this translates in Canada. US-based research has found 8.4% of abortion patients are currently experiencing intimate partner violence (Saftlas et al. 2010), and abortion is more common among women in poverty (Dehlendorf et al. 2013). Further, given the cultural and political stigmatization of abortion in Canada (Shaw 2019; La Roche and Foster 2018), trust in providers is particularly important. Empowering, patient-centred care is crucial. It is possible that access to abortion outside of health care settings, in the comfort and privacy of one's home, may improve equity in access.

Policy decisions may reinforce or mitigate social and economic factors which negatively impact abortion access. As but one example of policy reducing equity – and its reversal – consider that when Health Canada first approved mifepristone for use in Canada in 2015, only specially-

trained physicians were authorized to prescribe it. In 2017, Health Canada made a landmark decision to allow authorized health professionals, including NPs, to prescribe medication abortion (Health Canada 2017). Prior to these changes, nearly all abortion was provided as an aspiration (surgical) procedure in a major city (Norman et al. 2016); severely limiting access (Devane et al. 2019; Munro et al. 2020). Expanding authorisation to NPs has the potential to vastly increase the number of patients who can receive timely abortion care because RNs and NPs provide essential primary care services in rural and remote settings (Martin-Misener et al. 2020), and are dedicated to meeting the needs of underserved communities. Furthermore, most NPs are women, potentially increasing the comfort of women, gender diverse and transgender patients in discussing abortion, a highly stigmatized health service.

### **Nursing, family planning, and health equity**

There are over 300,000 Registered Nurses (RNs) in Canada, including over 6000 Nurse Practitioners (NPs), making nurses not only the largest healthcare workforce but one of the largest workforces in the country overall (Canadian Institute for Health Information 2020). RNs and NPs play a key role in family planning education, counselling, and collaborative care provision in schools, emergency departments, sexual and reproductive health clinics, specialized clinics, public health and primary care (CNA 2021). As primary care providers, NPs – and in some provinces (Quebec, Manitoba), RNs - may prescribe a range of contraceptive options: long-acting reversible contraceptives, including the copper and hormonal intrauterine devices (IUDs) and the subdermal etonogestrel implant; barrier methods (diaphragms); and combined hormonal methods such as the birth control pill, ring, or patch. That RNs and NPs play an integral role in abortion remains a hidden truth.

NPs have been found to provide equivalent primary care to physicians (Kippenbrock et al. 2019), and patients are often more satisfied with family planning services provided by NPs (Goldberg et al. 2017; Harper et al. 2013). The presence of nurses in abortion care can increase the availability in rural and remote areas (Andrews et al. 2005; Laurent 2002; MacLeod et al. 2004) and among underserved communities including Black, Indigenous and people of colour (BIPOC) and 2SLGBTQIA+ individuals (Canadian Nurses Association -CNA- 2017a; Hulme et al 2015). Beyond increasing access to these services, central to the identity and ethos of nurses is engaging with patients from a compassionate perspective that considers the whole person and the needs of their families (McCaffrey & McConnell, 2015).

Nursing leadership in abortion care has the potential to further improve access and models of care; however, nurses are underutilized and their roles and contributions are under-researched and under-supported by their institutions and in curriculum and continuing education (Lebold 2020; Paynter et al. 2019). Previous research has focused on trends in nursing education about contraception and abortion care (Harper et al. 2013; Sheinfeld et al. 2016) and increasing nurses' motivation to be leaders in addressing the social determinants of health (Lathorp 2016; Scheffer et al. 2019). The lack of research about nurse roles in abortion contributes to the lack of public visibility and understanding of nurses as care providers, which has implications for patient access: not knowing who to turn to for care is a significant barrier (Paynter et al. 2019). Nurses who are willing to provide abortion care and lead advocacy efforts for equitable, accessible reproductive services face barriers to working to full scope. These include professional hierarchies and institutional barriers that impede the ability of nurses to fulfill these roles and implement these services despite their training, abilities, and scopes of practice (Gould et al. 2007; Heale 2010; Sangster-Gormley et al. 2011).

Nurses in Canada, including NPs, are largely remunerated on a salary basis funded by provincial, territorial, and regional health authorities, as well as through federal and private funding (Tikkanen et al. 2020). This funding model enables nurses more time per patient when compared to a fee-for-service physician remuneration model (Glauser 2019). This structure is beneficial to abortion care in that it facilitates longer appointments to hear patients' stories, provide appropriate counselling, and build trust. This is especially important for marginalized and oppressed groups who confront structural and systemic barriers to care such as youth, BIPOC, 2SLGBTQIA+ people, sex workers, and those experiencing homelessness, violence and abuse, who may have lower trust in their providers and the health system broadly.

The involvement of nurses and their commitment to health equity “are essential to making a significant impact in national health indices” (Lathrop 2013, p.42). Nurses are ideally positioned to address health equity because of public trust in the nursing profession (Reinhart 2020), codes of ethics (CNA 2017b), and education emphasizing advocacy and patient-focused care (Lathrop, 2013). Studies have found unrealized political efficacy among nurses, despite the size and respect of the profession (O'Rourke et al. 2017). Researchers have critiqued the gaps in nursing education with respect not only to inadequate abortion content, but also lack of attention to sexism, racism, gender binarism, and heterosexism *in* nursing that prevents the profession from engaging in transformational work for health equity (Burnett et al. 2020; Coleman 2020; Paynter et al. 2019; Thornton 2018;).

Nurses and other healthcare professionals may recognize and accept the consequences of the social and structural determinants of health for individuals and communities, however, their effects and how to address them can be overwhelming (Andermann 2016; Lathrop 2020). It is not always clear how to intervene with patients who experience multiple, intersecting social and

structural barriers to healthcare and wellbeing amidst staff shortages, burnout, and a pressure to reduce readmissions and implement patient-centred care (Beagan and Ells 2007; Lathrop 2020). Structural hierarchies in health organizations may subjugate nurse voices and discourage political engagement among nurses. For example, nurse Carolyn Strom faced discipline from the Saskatchewan Registered Nurses Association for speaking out on social media about patient mistreatment in longterm care (Sciarpelletti, 2020). While she was vindicated, the experience elucidates potential disciplinary consequences for nurses who raise questions about healthcare systems, which may discourage fellow nurses from using their positions for political and social change. Despite the relative reported feelings of safety of physician abortion providers in Canada, nurses may be reluctant to publicize their involvement in abortion care because of fears of violence, harassment or disapproval. Understanding these dynamics is essential to optimize nursing leadership in abortion care. In the next section, we describe a 2019 priority-setting meeting with respect to nurses' roles in medication abortion. We present key insights from this meeting and describe ongoing barriers and opportunities to support nurse leadership for reproductive health equity.

### **Nurse Leadership in Medication Abortion: A National Dialogue**

The Contraception and Abortion Research Team- Groupe de recherche sur l'avortement et la contraception (CART-GRAC) is a national research team whose goal is to support health services and policies to ensure equitable access to high-quality family planning throughout Canada (<https://cart-grac.ubc.ca/>). In September 2019, CART-GRAC organized a meeting in Toronto, Canada with RNs, NPs, SWs and knowledge users, and funded by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Planning and Dissemination Grant (CIHR, PCS-165031). The theme was *Optimizing the Nurse Role in Abortion Care*. The objective of the meeting was to identify ways to improve



access to high quality abortion care by understanding the current scope of practice of NPs and RNs, their practice communities, and patient and family health needs. Organizers invited nurses working in sexual and reproductive health clinics, family practices, and NP-led clinics across Canada. The agenda included presentations and discussions among participants on optimizing the nurse role in abortion care. We identified lessons learned from early in the implementation of mifepristone in Canada, ongoing barriers and potential opportunities, and research priorities for healthcare providers.

Nineteen people attended the meeting: eight nurse practitioners, three registered nurses, and eight CART-GRAC members. CART-GRAC members included physicians, nurses, an administrator, social worker, and trainees who work in research settings. Attendees represented four provinces: British Columbia, Ontario, Prince Edward Island, and Nova Scotia. Fourteen attendees participated in-person, and five participated virtually.

The meeting included a combination of presentations and facilitated discussion groups with attendees. The meeting began by outlining the goals and aims for the day, followed by round table introductions. Next, attendees learned of the current landscape of medication abortion in Canada, the evolution of the Health Canada regulations and restrictions on mifepristone, and research in progress on health care professional experiences during the first two years of mifepristone on the Canadian market. Thirdly, a knowledge user partner (*redacted for peer review*) outlined provincial and territorial authorizations for NP and RN prescribing practice and mifepristone provision. Two NP meeting attendees who were early adopters of medication abortion in their practices – one in a northern community rural setting, one in a large urban setting – presented on implementation of medication abortion in their practices, successes, ongoing challenges and lessons learned. Attendees were then divided into groups of 4-6 people, with a member of CART-GRAC

facilitating an open-ended discussion and taking notes about nurse attendees' priorities regarding research about medication abortion and its potential practice implications. Afterwards, all groups came together to share discussion points and research priorities. Lastly, closing remarks were given by one of the co-organizers and final thoughts were welcomed from attendees.

We organized the ideas generated from the meeting into three interconnected themes about nurse leadership and mifepristone implementation in Canada, based on meeting notes taken throughout the day by multiple members of the CART-GRAC, an audio recording of the full meeting, and detailed notes taken during the research priority setting portion of discussion. The three themes were: (i) Communication and relationship-building; (ii); Reducing barriers for marginalized and underserved patients; and (iii) Collaboration between medical and allied health professionals (e.g. physicians, pharmacists, midwives).

*i. Communication and relationship-building*

The participants prioritized communication and relationship-building as strategies needed for the successful introduction of medication abortion into their practices and communities, from consultation to follow-up care. Examples included: phoning pharmacies to ensure mifepristone was in stock at their location before directing patients to a particular pharmacy location; connecting with health professionals who provide other aspects of care (e.g. ultrasound services), and sharing information and advice with other medication abortion care providers. This sharing of information and advice facilitated the medication abortion process that can involve several appointments and interactions with multiple providers (e.g. NPs, RNs, physicians, social workers, clinic staff, pharmacists, ultrasound, phlebotomists, and laboratory technicians). Nurses also used communication and relationship-building to assess whether these other providers would support their patients' decisions and provide non-judgmental services.

While Health Canada swiftly removed several initial restrictions to the prescription of medication abortion (e.g. physicians only authorized to prescribe; physician required to watch patient ingest first dose), these changes were poorly communicated, leaving inconsistencies and missteps in the delivery of abortion care (Munro et al. 2020). Attendees described encountering misinformation about mifepristone regulation in their communities and its impact on their patients. For example, one NP described a pharmacist that refused to dispense the medication to a patient because they believed physician approval was required. This is evidence that inaccurate provider knowledge of current regulations around mifepristone impacted the abortion process. To care for their patient, the NP needed to educate the pharmacy with the most up-to-date information on medication abortion to prevent future delays in dispensing to patients. In another scenario, a participant described how despite the ultrasound requisition form indicating “for pregnancy termination,” the ultrasound technician congratulated the patient on their pregnancy. To prevent future incidents that could cause patient distress, the NP communicated with the diagnostic imaging department that this was inappropriate, emphasized that abortion is a very common outcome of pregnancy and also clarified their labelling on requisitions for ultrasounds and blood work.

### *ii. Reducing barriers for marginalized and underserved patients*

Participants described their development of strategies and resources to improve access to and the experience of medication abortion for underserved patients. For example, participants were intentional about reducing the number of required appointments and minimizing travel. This was true for all patients, but especially important for those with a history of trauma, who are uncomfortable in hospital environments, and those without reliable transportation. Participants communicated with other professionals, such as pharmacies with respect to medication stocks, to

prevent patients from encountering unnecessary travel and delays. Nurses completed follow-up care by phone. In addition, nurses utilized translation and interpretation services and created plain-language patient information forms.

*iii. Collaboration between medical and allied health professionals*

Participants emphasized the importance of working as an interprofessional team in medication abortion care. For example, while NPs may prescribe, RNs provide counselling, education, and resources about abortion and contraception and conduct follow-up care. Several NPs collaborated with midwives who provide other aspects of family planning care but are not yet authorized to provide abortion services. Medical Laboratory Assistants (MLAs) and social workers complemented nursing clinical care, reducing wait times for patients. Several NP participants expressed support for the removal of restrictions so that RNs and midwives could prescribe medication abortion.

*iv. Research Priorities*

Small group representatives shared key discussion points with the larger group, identifying the following research priorities: (i) understanding patient experiences with mifepristone; (ii) interventions for expanding access to medication abortion, especially for those facing complex barriers and intersecting oppressions (e.g. patient information tools in multiple languages); (iii) developing and evaluating new tools and training resources for providers; (iv) increasing nurse participation and leadership at conferences on reproductive health and family planning; and (v) strategies for educating the public about the NP role as prescribers of medication abortion.

**Discussion**

Findings from the CART-GRAC nurse priority-setting for medication abortion meeting demonstrate that nurses are passionate about improving medication abortion services and see the

importance of advancing equity for their patients. Themes from this meeting suggest that RNs and NPs are already engaged in leadership to improve abortion care for their patients, including: networking and educating other health professionals to provide patient-centred care; creating easy-to-understand visual patient information pamphlets; and increasing the efficiency of appointments. Meeting insights also demonstrate that nurses are eager to optimize their roles in medication abortion by better understanding patient needs; through the creation of interprofessional provider tools and education resources; by improving public awareness of medication abortion and nurses' roles in abortion care; and by increasing the presence of nurses in abortion research. Participants in this meeting represented four Canadian provinces; empirical studies which examine nurse roles in abortion care should include participants from all provinces and territories.

In a realist review of literature on the social determinants of health, Andermann (2016), identifies actions that can be taken by health professionals including nurses at the patient, practice, and community levels to mitigate health inequities. 1) Approach patients about their social histories in a sensitive way, provide advice, and facilitate access to appropriate resources. 2) Improve access and quality of care for underserved patients by, for example, providing bus fare and child care to attend appointments, interpreter services, and extending clinic hours, as well as hiring social support navigators. 3) Partner with community groups, public health, and local leaders and advocate for social and policy changes. Further, Lathrop (2020) argues that health equity also requires that nurses commit to examining their own implicit biases that affect their understanding and actions (The Joint Commission 2016).

Reflecting on the research of CART-GRAC and insights from the nurse priority-setting meeting, we make the following additional recommendations for researchers, educators, and

policymakers to optimize the nurse role in abortion care: Firstly, we encourage researchers to examine the role of nurses in abortion services and identify ways to support and strengthen these roles in practice. Research will support public and inter-disciplinary understanding of nurse leadership in abortion and direct development of policies and clinical guidelines that optimize the nursing scope (Mainey et al. 2019).

Secondly, we recommend nursing schools enhance advocacy and leadership training to better prepare nurses for leadership roles in health equity and policy change. For nurses to realize their potential in this field, they must be supported through appropriate academic preparation. Increasing nurse leadership in abortion services will build the foundation for educational leadership to train future generations of nurses in this important area of practice. Incorporation of abortion care and family planning in nursing education supports demystification of abortion and sexual and reproductive health and its implementation across primary care (Paynter, Martin-Misener, Norman, 2019). Nursing education that supports the development of nurses' advocacy skills may meaningfully shift the social structures that determine health and health equity. Health equity training can improve cultural safety for patients by better preparing nurses for the realities of their patient's lives and encouraging reflection on their positions of power as healthcare providers.

Lastly, we recommend that policymakers/stakeholders pursue changes which support NPs, RNs, and midwives, to take on leadership roles in abortion care. A scoping review of the international literature found that governments and regulatory bodies could safely extend RN and midwife scopes of practice to increase safe access to abortion (Mainey et al. 2019). Our priority-setting meeting with nurses uncovered how partnerships and communication with other members of the healthcare team, such as physicians, pharmacists, midwives, social workers and

technologists, supported the effective implementation of medication abortion in their practices.

Dismantling clinical hierarchies, advancing abortion, advocacy and leadership training in nursing education, and addressing structural barriers in health services will support optimization of nurse leadership in abortion care (Goldsberry 2018) and reproductive health equity in Canada.

***Table 1: Highlights for optimizing the nurse role in abortion care***

- Due to their presence in rural and remote settings and their dedication to meeting the needs of underserved communities, optimizing nurse roles (RNs, NPs) in abortion care has the potential to vastly increase the number of patients who can receive timely abortion care.
- Nurses are leaders of inclusive and accessible abortion care but their roles are underutilized and under-researched.
- Findings from a 2019 meeting indicate that nurses are engaged in communication and relationship building, reducing barriers for marginalized and underserved communities, and collaborating with medical and allied professionals in order to implement medication abortion in their practices.
- Optimizing the nurse role requires that studies are funded which explore nursing and abortion care; schools enhance their curriculum to better prepare nurses to be leaders of abortion care; policy changes which expand RN, NP, and midwives' scopes of practice and reduces professional, structural hierarchical barriers in health services.

## **Conclusion**

This article addressed gaps in knowledge about nurse roles in abortion care by describing a national knowledge exchange dialogue on medication abortion with RNs, NPs, and members of the CART-GRAC research team. We found that nurses provide leadership to facilitate the implementation of accessible and effective medication abortion in their practice by educating health care providers on current policies and protocols, reducing structural barriers for patients, and supporting interprofessional teamwork. Prioritizing nursing roles and nursing leadership within policy, research, and nursing education in abortion care would benefit patients and support health equity.

## References

- Andermann, A. 2016. Taking Action on the Social Determinants of Health in Clinical Practice: A Framework for Health Professionals. *CMAJ* 188(17-18): E474-E483. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.160177
- Andrews, M.E., N.J. Stewart, J.R. Pitblado, D.G. Morgan, D. Forbes, and C. D'Arcy. 2005. Registered Nurses Working Alone in Rural and Remote Canada. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research* 37(1): 14–33.
- Beagan, B. and C. Ells. 2007. Values That Matter, Barriers That Interfere: The Struggle of Canadian Nurses to Enact Their Values. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Leadership* 39(4): 36-57.
- Braveman P. 2014. What are Health Disparities and Health Equity? We Need to be Clear. *Public Health Reports (Washington, D.C. : 1974)* 129 Suppl 2(Suppl 2): 5–8. doi: 10.1177/00333549141291S203
- Braverman, P. and S. Gruskin. 2003. Defining Equity in Health. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 57: 254-258.



Burnett, A., C. Moorley, J. Grant, M. Kahin, R. Sagoo, E. Rivers, L. Deravin, and P. Darbyshire.

2020. Dismantling Racism in Education: In 2020, the Year of the Nurse & Midwife, "It's Time.". *Nurse Education Today* 93, 104532. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104532

Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2020, July. Nursing in Canada, 2019. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://www.cihi.ca/en/nursing-in-canada-2019>>.

Canadian Nurses Association. 2017a, February. Fact Sheet on Rural/Remote. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://www.cna-aiic.ca/~media/cna/page-content/pdf-en/nurse-practioners-in-rural-and-remote-communities-fact-sheet.pdf>>.

Canadian Nurses Association. 2017b. *Code of Ethics*. Canadian Nurses Association. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://www.cna-aiic.ca/~media/cna/page-content/pdf-en/code-of-ethics-2017-edition-secure-interactive>>.

Canadian Nurses Association. 2021. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://www.cna-aiic.ca/en/nursing-practice/the-practice-of-nursing/health-human-resources/nursing-statistics>>.

Coleman, T. 2020. Anti-racism in Nursing Education: Recommendations for Racial Justice Praxis. *Journal of Nursing Education* 59(11): 642–645. doi: 10.3928/01484834-20201020-08

Dehlendorf, C., Harris, L. H., & Weitz, T. A. 2013. Disparities in Abortion Rates: A Public Health Approach. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(10): 1772–1779.  
<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301339>

Devane, C., R.M. Renner, S. Munro, É. Guilbert, S. Dunn, M.S. Wagner, and W.V. Norman.

2019. Implementation of Mifepristone Medical Abortion in Canada: Pilot and Feasibility

- Testing of a Survey to Assess Facilitators and Barriers. *Pilot & Feasibility Studies* 5: 126. doi: 10.1186/s40814-019-0520-8.
- Di Meglio, G., and E. Yorke. 2019. Universal Access to No-cost Contraception for Youth in Canada. *Paediatrics & Child Health* 24(3): 160–164. doi: 10.1093/pch/pxz033.
- Glauser, W. 2019, November. Why the Future of Health Care is Salaried. *CMAJ News*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://cmajnews.com/2019/11/28/fee-for-service-1095819/>>.
- Goldberg, D., B. Sahgal, T. Beeson, S.F. Wood, H. Mead, A. Abdul-Wakil, H. Stevens, P. Rui, and S. Rosenbaum. 2017. Patient perspectives on quality family planning services in underserved areas. *Patient Experience Journal*, 4(1). doi: 10.35680/2372-0247.1194.
- Goldsberry, J.W. 2018. Advanced Practice Nurses Leading the Way: Interprofessional Collaboration. *Nurse Education Today*, 65: 1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2018.02.024.
- Gould, O.N., D. Johnstone, and L. Wasylkiw. 2007. Nurse Practitioners in Canada: Beginnings, Benefits, and Barriers. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners* 19: 165-171. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7599.2007.00210.x
- Guilbert, E., M.S. Wagner, S. Munro, E.S. Wilcox, S. Dunn, J.A. Soon, C. Devane, and W.V. Norman. 2020. Slow Implementation of Mifepristone Medical Termination of Pregnancy in Quebec, Canada: A Qualitative Investigation. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care* 25(3): 190-198. doi: 10.1080/13625187.2020.1743825
- Halbert, C.H., Armstrong, K., Gandy, O.H., Shaker, L. 2006. Racial Differences in Trust in Health Care Providers. *Arch Intern Med*, 166(8): 896–901. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.8.896
- Hardcastle, L. 2019, December. Canadian Women Still Struggling with Access to Reproductive Care. *ABlawg*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://ablawg.ca/2019/12/09/canadian-women-still-struggling-with-access-to-reproductive-care/>>.

- Harper, C.C., L. Stratton, T.R. Raine, K. Thompson, J.T. Henderson, M. Blum, D. Postlethwaite, and J.J. Speidel. 2013. Counseling and Provision of Long-acting Reversible Contraception in the US: National Survey of Nurse Practitioners. *Preventive Medicine* 57, (6), 883-888. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.10.005.
- Heale, R. 2012. Overcoming Barriers to Practice: A Nurse Practitioner-led Model. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners* 24, 358-363. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7599.2012.00737.x
- Health Canada. 2017, November. MIFEGYMISO (mifepristone and misoprostol tablets) - Updates to Product Monograph and Risk Management Plan. *Government of Canada*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://healthykanadians.gc.ca/recall-alert-rappel-avis/hc-sc/2017/65030a-eng.php>>.
- Hulme, J., S. Dunn, E. Guilbert, J. Soon, and W. Norman. 2015. Barriers and Facilitators to Family Planning Access in Canada. *Healthcare Policy* 10(3): 48–63.
- Kapp N, Whyte P, Tang J, Jackson E, Brahmi D. 2013. A Review of Evidence for Safe Abortion Care. *Contraception*, Sep; 88(3): 350-63. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2012.10.027.
- Kippenbrock, T., J. Emory, P. Lee, E. Odell, B. Buron, and B. Morrison. 2019. A National Survey of Nurse Practitioners' Patient Satisfaction Outcomes. *Nursing Outlook* 67(6): 707-712. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2019.04.010.
- Kishen, M. and Stedman, Y. 2010. The Role of Advanced Nurse Practitioners in the Availability of Abortion Services. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 24: 569-578. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2010.02.014.
- La Roche, K.J., and Foster, A.M. 2018. Exploring Canadian Women's Multiple Abortion

Experiences: Implications for Reducing Stigma. *Women's Health Issues*, 28(4): 327-332.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2018.04.002>.

and Improving Patient-Centered Care

Lathrop, B. 2013. Nursing Leadership in Addressing the Social Determinants of Health. *Policy, Politics & Nursing Practice* 14(1): 41-47. doi: 10.1177/1527154413489887.

Laurent, S. 2002, December. Rural Canada: Access to Health Care. Retrieved April 24, 2021.

<http://publications.gc.ca/Collection-R/LoPBdP/BP/prb0245-e.htm>.

Lebold M, and J. MacDonnell. 2020. A Critical Feminist Discursive Analysis of Dynamics Shaping Abortion in Canada: Implications for Nursing. *Witness: The Canadian Journal of Critical Nursing Discourse* 2(2):76-91. doi: 10.25071/2291-5796.76.

Løkeland M, Iversen OE, Engeland A, Økland I, Bjørge L. 2014. Medical Abortion with Mifepristone and Home Administration of Misoprostol up to 63 Days' Gestation. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, Jul;93(7):647-53. doi: 10.1111/aogs.12398

MacLeod, M.L., J.C. Kulig, N.J. Stewart, J.R. Pitblado, and M. Knock. 2004. The Nature of Nursing Practice in Rural and Remote Canada. *Canadian Nurse* 100(6): 27-31.

Mainey, L, C. O'Mullan, K. Reid-Searl, A. Taylor, and K. Baird. 2019. The Role of Nurses and Midwives in the Provision of Abortion Care: A Scoping Review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 29: 1513-1526. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15218.

Martin-Misener, R., Macleod, M., Wilson, E. C., Kosteniuk, J. G., Penz, K. L., Stewart, N. J., Olynick, J., & Karunanayake, C. P. 2020. The Mosaic of Primary Care Nurses in Rural and Remote Canada: Results from a National Survey. *Healthcare Policy = Politiques de Sante*, 15(3): 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.12927/hcpol.2020.26130>

- McCaffrey, G., and S. McConnell. 2015. Compassion: A Critical Review of Peer-Reviewed Nursing Literature. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 24(19–20): 3006–3015.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12924>
- Motluck, A. 2016. Birth Control Often Not Covered by Canadian Insurers. *CMAJ* 188(14), 1001-1002. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.109-5313
- Munro, S., E. Guilbert, M.S. Wagner, E.S. Wilcox, C. Devane, S. Dunn, M. Brooks, J.A. Soon, M. Mills, G. Leduc-Robert, K. Wahl, E. Zannier, and W.V. Norman. 2020. Perspectives Among Canadian Physicians on Factors Influencing Implementation of Mifepristone Medical Abortion: A National Qualitative Study. *The Annals of Family Medicine* 18(5): 413-421. doi: 10.1370/afm.2562
- Norman, W.V., E. Guilbert, C. Okpaleke, E.S. Lichtenberg, M. Paul, K. O'Connell White, and H.E. Jones. 2014. Abortion services in Canada: Results of the 2012 National Survey. *Contraception*, 90(9): P300. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2014.05.030.
- Norman, W.V., Munro, S., Brooks, M., Devane, C., Guilbert, E., Renner, R., Kendall, T., Soon, J.A., Waddington, A., Wagner, M.S., Dunner, S. 2019. Could Implementation of Mifepristone Address Canada's Urban–Rural Abortion Access Disparity: A Mixed-Methods Implementation Study Protocol. *BMJ Open*, 9(4): e028443. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028443
- O'Rourke, N. C., S.L. Crawford, N.S. Morris, and J. Pulcini. 2017. Political Efficacy and Participation of Nurse Practitioners. *Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice* 18(3): 135–148. doi: 10.1177/1527154417728514.
- Paynter, M., W. Norman, and R. Martin-Misener. 2019. Nurses are Key Members of the

- Abortion Care Team: Why Aren't Schools of Nursing Teaching Abortion Care? *Witness: The Canadian Journal of Critical Nursing Discourse* 1(2): 17-29. doi: 10.25071/2291-5796.30
- Reinhart, R.J. 2020, January. Nurses Continue to Rate Highest in Honesty, Ethics. *Gallup*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://news.gallup.com/poll/274673/nurses-continue-rate-highest-honesty-ethics.aspx>>.
- Ross, L.J. and R. Solinger. 2017. *Reproductive Justice: An Introduction*. Oakland: University of California Press.
- Saftlas, A. F., Wallis, A. B., Shochet, T., Harland, K. K., Dickey, P., & Peek-Asa, C. 2010. Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence Among an Abortion Clinic Population. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(8): 1412–1415. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2009.178947>
- Sangster-Gormley, E., R. Martin-Misener, B. Downe-Wamboldt, and A. DiCenso. 2011. Factors Affecting Nurse Practitioner Role Implementation in Canadian Practice Settings: An Integrative Review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 67: 1178-1190. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05571.x.
- Scheffer, M., K. Lasater, I.M. Atherton, and R.G. Kyle. 2019. Student Nurses' Attitudes to Social Justice and Poverty: An International Comparison. *Nurse Education Today* 80: 59-66. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2019.06.007.
- Schummers, L. and Norman, W.V. 2019. Abortion Services in Canada: Access and Safety. *CMAJ*, 191(19): E517-E518. doi:10.1503/cmaj.190477
- Sciarpelletti, L. 2020, October. Sask. Nurse who was Disciplined over Facebook Comments Wins Court Appeal. *CBC News*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <<https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/saskatchewan/sask-nurse-carolyn-strom-wins-appeal-1.5752592>>.

- Shaw, J. 2019. Abortion in Canada as a Social Justice Issue in Contemporary Canada. *Critical Social Work*, 14(2): 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.22329/csw.v14i2.5878>.
- Sheinfeld, L., G. Arnott, J. El-Haddad, and A.M. Foster. 2016. Accessing Abortion Coverage in Nurse Practitioner Programs in Canada: A National Survey of Program Directors. *Contraception* 94: 483-488. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2016.06.020.
- Studnicki, J., Fisher, J. W., & Sherley, J. L. 2020. Perceiving and Addressing the Pervasive Racial Disparity in Abortion. *Health Services Research and Managerial Epidemiology*, 7, 2333392820949743. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2333392820949743>
- The Joint Commission. 2016, April. Implicit Bias in Health Care. Retrieved April 24, 2021. <[https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/23/Quick\\_Safety\\_Issue\\_23\\_Apr\\_2016.pdf](https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/23/Quick_Safety_Issue_23_Apr_2016.pdf)>.
- Wagner, M.S., S. Munro, E.S. Wilcox, C. Devane, W.V. Norman, S. Dunn, J.A. Soon, and É. Guilbert, 2020. Barriers and Facilitators to the Implementation of First Trimester Medical Abortion with Mifepristone in the Province of Québec: A Qualitative Investigation, *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada* 42(5): 576-582. doi: 10.1016/j.jogc.2019.10.037.
- Tikkanen, R., R. Osborn, E. Mossialos, A. Djordjevic, and G.A. Wharton. 2020, June. International Health Care System Profiles: Canada. *The Commonwealth Fund*. Retrieved April 24, 2021. < <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/international-health-policy-center/countries/canada>>.
- World Health Organization. 2008. *Closing the Gap in a Generation: Health Equity through Action on the Social Determinants of Health. Final Report of the Commission on Social*

*Determinants of Health*. Retrieved April 24, 2021.

<<https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/65985/retrieve>>.

Author's Accepted Version