Grand Challenges in global eye health: a global prioritisation process using Delphi method

*Jacqueline Ramke, Jennifer R Evans, Esmael Habtamu, Nyawira Mwangi, Juan Carlos Silva, Bonnielin K Swenor, Nathan Congdon, Hannah B Faal, Allen Foster, David S Friedman, Stephen Gichuhi, Jost B Jonas, Peng T Khaw, Fatima Kyari, Gudlavalleti V S Murthy, Ningli Wang, Tien Y Wong, Richard Wormald, Mayinuer Yusufu, Hugh Taylor, Serge Resnikoff, Sheila K West, Matthew J Burton, on behalf of the Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health study group**

Summary

Background We undertook a Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health prioritisation exercise to identify the key issues that must be addressed to improve eye health in the context of an ageing population, to eliminate persistent inequities in health-care access, and to mitigate widespread resource limitations.

Methods Drawing on methods used in previous Grand Challenges studies, we used a multi-step recruitment strategy to assemble a diverse panel of individuals from a range of disciplines relevant to global eye health from all regions globally to participate in a three-round, online, Delphi-like, prioritisation process to nominate and rank challenges in global eye health. Through this process, we developed both global and regional priority lists.

Findings Between Sept 1 and Dec 12, 2019, 470 individuals complete round 1 of the process, of whom 336 completed all three rounds (round 2 between Feb 26 and March 18, 2020, and round 3 between April 2 and April 25, 2020) 156 (46%) of 336 were women, 180 (54%) were men. The proportion of participants who worked in each region ranged from 104 (31%) in sub-Saharan Africa to 21 (6%) in central Europe, eastern Europe, and in central Asia. Of 85 unique challenges identified after round 1, 16 challenges were prioritised at the global level; six focused on detection and treatment of conditions (cataract, refractive error, glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, services for children and screening for early detection), two focused on addressing shortages in human resource capacity, five on other health service and policy factors (including strengthening policies, integration, health information systems, and budget allocation), and three on improving access to care and promoting equity.

Interpretation This list of Grand Challenges serves as a starting point for immediate action by funders to guide investment in research and innovation in eye health. It challenges researchers, clinicians, and policy makers to build collaborations to address specific challenges.

Funding The Queen Elizabeth Diamond Jubilee Trust, Moorfields Eye Charity, National Institute for Health Research Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, Wellcome Trust, Sightsavers, The Fred Hollows Foundation, The Seva Foundation, British Council for the Prevention of Blindness, and Christian Blind Mission.

Introduction

Eye health has been defined as "the state in which vision, ocular health, and functional ability are maximised, thereby contributing to overall health and wellbeing, social inclusion, and quality of life".[1] In 2020, an estimated 43 million people were blind, a further 295 million had moderate or severe distance vision impairment, 258 million had mild distance vision impairment, and 510 million had near vision impairment.[2] In addition to these 1·1 billion people with current vision impairment, there are many more who require ongoing eye-care services to prevent vision loss from conditions such as diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma, to maintain correction of their refractive error, and to treat conditions that cause substantial morbidity without impairing vision, such as dry eye and conjunctivitis. Improving eye health can reduce mortality, improve quality of life, and increase productivity, as well as help to advance several Sustainable Development Goals, including poverty reduction, zero hunger, quality education, gender equality, and decent work.[3–5]

Despite substantial progress over the past few decades, much remains to be done to achieve eye health for all.[1] For example, more than three-quarters of cases of distance vision impairment are due to cataract or uncorrected refractive error,[6] conditions for which efficacious interventions exist but remain inaccessible to many and thus have not been effective. This differential access to good quality eye-care services creates and sustains inequity in terms of who remains vision impaired.[1,2] The next most common causes of vision impairment after cataract and refractive error are age-related macular degeneration, glaucoma, and diabetic retinopathy,[6] all of which would benefit from the development of improved case finding

Lancet Healthy Longev 2022; 3: e31–41

For the French translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 1

For the Spanish translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 2

For the Chinese translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 3

For the Portuguese translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 4

For the Arabic translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 5

For the Persian translation of the abstract see Online for appendix 6

*Listed at the end of the Article

International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (J Ramke PhD, J R Evans PhD, E Habtamu PhD, N Mwangi PhD, Prof A Foster FRCOphth, F Kyari PhD, Prof G V S Murthy MD, R Wormald MSc, Prof M J Burton PhD)**; School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand** (J Ramke)**; Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK** (J R Evans, Prof N Congdon MD)**; Eyu-Ethiopia: Eye Health Research, Training and Service Centre, Bahirdar, Ethiopia** (E Habtamu)**; Kenya Medical Training College, Nairobi, Kenya** (N Mwangi)**; Pan American Health Organization, Bogotá, Colombia** (J C Silva MD)**; Dana Center for Preventive Ophthalmology** (Prof S K West PhD)**, The Wilmer Eye Institute** (B K Swenor PhD)**, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health,**

Baltimore, MD, USA (B K Swenor); **Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China** (Prof N Congdon); **Orbis International, New York, NY, USA** (Prof N Congdon); **Department of Ophthalmology, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria** (Prof H B Faal FRCOphth); **Africa Vision Research Institute, Durban, Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa** (Prof H B Faal); **Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA** (Prof D S Friedman PhD); **Department of Ophthalmology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya** (S Gichuhi PhD); **Institute of Clinical and Scientific Ophthalmology and Acupuncture Jonas & Panda, Heidelberg, Germany** (Prof J B Jonas PhD); **Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany** (Prof J B Jonas); **Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology Basel, Basel, Switzerland** (Prof J B Jonas); **National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK** (Prof P T Khaw PhD, R Wormald, Prof M J Burton); **College of Health Sciences, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria** (F Kyari); **Indian Institute of Public Health, Hyderabad, India** (Prof G V S Murthy); **Beijing Institute of Ophthalmology, Beijing Tongren Eye Center, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China** (Prof N Wang PhD, M Yusufu MTI); **Beijing Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences Key Laboratory, Beijing, China** (Prof N Wang, M Yusufu); **Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore National Eye Center, Singapore** (Prof T Y Wong MD); **Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore** (Prof T Y Wong); **Melbourne School of Population Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia** (Prof H Taylor FRANZCO); **Brien Holden Vision Institute and**

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched MEDLINE on Dec 12, 2018, and again on Sept 1, 2021, without language or date restrictions, for original articles using the following terms: ("eye" OR "blind*" OR "vis* impair*" OR "cataract" OR "glaucoma" OR "refractive error" OR "diabetic retinopathy" OR "age-related macular degeneration" OR "cornea*") AND ("grand challenge*" OR "priorit* setting" OR "research priorit*" OR "health priorit*"). We reviewed reference lists of all eye health prioritisation processes, identified studies citing them, and asked experts in the field whether they were aware of any further processes. We found no previous Grand Challenges prioritisation exercise in eye health and seven reports of prioritisation processes to identify research priorities. In 2014, the James Lind Alliance in the UK did a survey and identified 11 questions that patients, carers, and clinicians hoped to see answered, with a strong focus on aetiology and prevention. The US National Eye Institute has done consultative strategic planning exercises, most recently in 2012–13 and 2019–21, as well as an Audacious Goals Initiative in 2012 which received more than 450 submissions and resulted in the pursuit of the goal of restoring vision through the regeneration of the retina. We also identified smaller, patient-focused exercises for specific conditions such as retinoblastoma in Canada, age-related macular degeneration in the USA, and herpes simplex keratitis and blepharospasm in the UK. In the global eye health space, we identified a prioritisation exercise that resulted from a workshop attended by 32 leading researchers in 2010 that generated priorities for global and regional blindness prevention research, including health services and access issues.

Added value of this study

By engaging a large and diverse group of eye health stakeholders from all world regions to answer and prioritise responses to one open-ended question, we were able to generate one global and seven regional lists of Grand Challenges in global eye health. By not restricting the type of participant or challenge, our lists were broad in scope and included condition-specific challenges and challenges related to health services and policy. Compared with previous exercises, the larger emphasis on health services and implementation challenges in our final priority list arises from the broader range of participants in our process, including participants from lower-income settings, and reflects the challenges of delivering eye health services in these settings.

Implications of all the available evidence

With the ageing global population, the need for eye health services will continue to increase, particularly in the context of pervasive inequity in access and resource limitations. We have developed a global list and regional lists of Grand Challenges in global eye health for immediate use by funders to guide investment in research and innovation. Policy makers, researchers, and service providers could build collaborations to address particular challenges by generating the evidence needed to achieve eye health for all. These lists align with recent World Health Assembly Resolutions on Integrated people-centred eye care and the UN Resolution on Vision.

and delivery of more effective and acceptable treatment options. A further issue for many countries is the low level of integration of eye care services within the broader health system.[1,7] For example, eye health rarely features in national health policy frameworks (particularly for primary care), is neglected in health workforce planning and the training of generalists, and is often not covered by general health financing mechanisms. An additional issue is the ageing of the global population, because eye health problems increase with age, the number of people in need of eye health services is set to increase in the coming decades, exceeding current resources. For example, despite reductions in the age-standardised prevalence of blindness and vision impairment, the number of people living with vision loss is projected to reach 1·8 billion by 2050.[2] Finally, although new therapies and new digital technologies (eg, mobile eye care, and telemedicine) are being researched and developed, these remain disproportionately available in high-income countries. Thus we believe that substantial change is needed to achieve eye health for all, leaving no one behind.[1]

As part of the *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health,[1] we conducted a Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health prioritisation exercise to identify the key challenges that need to be addressed to improve eye health in the context of a growing and ageing population.

Methods

Overview and study design

Our approach was informed by previous Grand Challenges exercises, particularly that done for mental health.[8] We used a three-round, Delphi-like, prioritisation process to nominate and rank challenges, involving participants from all regions globally, to develop global and regional lists of prioritised challenges. We intentionally made the process open-ended and did not prespecify areas of interest, intended beneficiaries, or a time frame. Our target audience to implement the priorities was broad, including policy makers, funders, researchers, patient groups, and industry. We report this process according to the relevant items in the reporting guideline for priority setting of health research (REPRISE).[9]

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (17487). All participants provided informed consent before commencing round 1. We included responses

from all participants who completed round 1. Those who also completed rounds 2 and 3 were invited to join the manuscript authorship group. No reimbursement was offered to participants. Detailed methods are in appendix 7 (pp 2–4).

Study management

The Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health study was initiated by *The Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health.[1] A core team coordinated the study (JR, JRE, EH, NM, and MJB) and was responsible for coding and thematic analysis. A steering group was recruited, including leaders in the fields of clinical and public health ophthalmology, eye health services delivery, policy, and research. The 23 members of the steering group (including eight women and 15 men, nine of whom were from low-income or middle-income countries and 14 from high-income countries) guided the overall process, including nomination of participants, questionnaire development, data synthesis, and reporting of results. Members of the steering group have been involved in other priority-setting processes. The process was carried out online between September, 2019, and April, 2020, using Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, 2019; Provo, UT, USA).

Participant recruitment

We used a purposive sampling technique to recruit participants from all seven Global Burden of Disease (GBD) super-regions (hereafter called regions: central Europe, eastern Europe, and central Asia; high-income; Latin America and the Caribbean; north Africa and the Middle East; south Asia; southeast Asia, east Asia, and Oceania; and sub-Saharan Africa) and across the full range of disciplines relevant to global eye health (including decision makers, researchers, advocates, programme implementers, clinicians, and patient groups). We aimed to recruit at least 30 people per region and to have gender parity in participation.

We used three strategies to identify and recruit participants, with a focus on identifying members of typically under-represented groups. First, commissioners nominated potential participants, considering geographical distribution and gender parity. Second, an open invitation to participate was sent via publications, organisational newsletters, and social media channels that reach eye health practitioners in all regions.[10] Finally, members of the steering group used their personal networks to identify organisations and individuals in regions where the target number of participants had not been met (ie, central Europe, eastern Europe, and central Asia; and North Africa and the Middle East).

Round 1: identification of challenges

In round 1, to develop an initial list of priorities, we asked participants to answer one open-ended question: "What are the Grand Challenges in global eye health?"

A Grand Challenge was defined as a specific barrier, the removal of which would help to solve an important health problem. If successfully implemented, the intervention (or interventions) to address this Grand Challenge would have a high likelihood of feasibility for scaling up and impact.

Participants were invited to propose up to five Grand Challenges and to nominate ways in which each challenge could be addressed. Participants were encouraged to be as specific as possible. Round 1 was available in English, Chinese, French, and Spanish and ran for approximately 3 months, to enable recruitment of as many participants as possible.

In moving from round 1 to round 2, we used qualitative data analysis software (NVivo version 12.0; JR, JRE, EH, and NM) to categorise responses from round 1 into 21 subcategories, and organised them into four broad themes: eye conditions, health systems, patient-related factors, and research. Within each subcategory, we (JR, JRE, and EH) grouped similar responses and drafted a challenge to summarise the group. These challenges were reviewed for duplicates and clarity and consolidated into a draft list for round 2. This list was then reviewed by steering group members in two steps. In the first step, the original responses for each of the 21 separate categories were reviewed by at least two members (AF, DSF, EH, FK, GVSM, JCS, JBJ, MJB, NC, NM, RW, SG, and TYW) to see whether any of the original submissions had not been sufficiently captured or if there were unnecessary duplications in the proposed list. Feedback from this step resulted in further consolidation and additions. This shortened list was reviewed by six steering group members (BKS, HT, PTK, NC, SKW, and TYW), and further consolidated to a list of challenges for round 2, which was available in English, French and Spanish (all participants answering in Chinese in round 1 were able to complete subsequent rounds in English).

Round 2: prioritisation of challenges

The consolidated list of challenges was presented in a random order to all participants and they were asked to select and rank the 20 challenges they considered the most important. For each participant, their top ranked challenge was allocated 20 points, their second ranked challenge 19 points, and so on; the remaining 65 challenges were not allocated any points. Participants were given approximately 3 weeks to complete round 2. After challenges were allocated points for each participant, the total number of points awarded to each challenge was summed, and challenges were then ranked (the challenge with the most points was given rank 1 and so on) to generate lists at the regional level (compiled from the results of respondents from each region) and global level (from all respondents). These lists were reviewed for clarity and overlapping concepts by the steering group, resulting in further amalgamation of some challenges.

School of Optometry and Vision Science, UNSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia
(Prof S Resnikoff PhD)

Correspondence to:
Dr Jacqueline Ramke, International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK
jacqueline.ramke@lshtm.ac.uk

See **Online** for appendix 7

Panel: Ranking criteria

Disease burden reduction
To what extent would addressing this challenge reduce the overall burden of vision impairment and eye health disorders in the population?

Inequality reduction
To what extent would addressing this challenge reduce inequalities in the magnitude of disease or access to care for vision impairment or eye health disorders?

Immediacy of impact
To what extent would addressing this challenge produce immediate changes in the magnitude of disease or access to care for vision impairment or eye health disorders? (High <1 year; moderate 1–3 years; low 4–10 years; or very low >10 years)

Feasibility
To what extent is it practical and feasible to address this challenge (eg, in terms of resources needed, technical challenges to be overcome, and political support)?

Round 3: ranking of challenges

The 40 challenges ranked highest by all participants in round 2 (in the global level list) were presented to all participants in round 3. Additionally, for each region, any challenge ranked in the top 40 by that region's participants that was not in the global list was also presented to participants from that region. Hence, between 41 and 48 challenges were presented to participants in round 3 (by region) in a random order. In round 3, participants ranked the priority of each challenge against four criteria (disease burden reduction, inequality reduction, immediacy of impact, and feasibility), which are outlined in the panel, on a four-point scale: very low (1 point), low (2 points), moderate (3 points), or high (4 points). The average score for each of these criteria was calculated for each challenge within each region and globally. For each challenge globally and within each region, we calculated the average score across all four criteria; the challenge with the highest average score was given rank 1 and so on.

Final priority list of Grand Challenges

No prioritisation approach can fully account for and integrate all potential ranking considerations of participants. To arrive at the final list of priority Grand Challenges globally and for each region, we first ranked challenges using two approaches: (1) round 2 results, which identified participants' overall priority challenges, and (2) round 3 results, which identified the priority challenges on the basis of the average scores across the four criteria.

We then integrated these two approaches by combining the ten highest-ranked challenges from each list and removing duplicates. We present the resulting list of priority challenges globally and for each region. Within each list, we highlight the five challenges that were ranked highest in round 3.

To account for any potential imbalance in the global list because of recruiting different numbers of participants from each region, we repeated the ranking process that was done after round 2, weighting for number of respondents and regional population.

To check for undue influence of participants from high-income country institutions identifying priorities for other regions in which they work but do not permanently reside, we identified the two regions with the highest proportion of non-residents and recalculated the ranks in round 3 after removing the high-income country participants and then compared the top ten ranked challenges generated by all participants for that region with the top ten challenges ranked by those participants from or permanently based in the region.

After completion of the process, when providing feedback on the final list and manuscript, we asked participants the extent to which they felt the final list of Grand Challenges was relevant to the intended stakeholders.

Role of the funding source

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. Employees of these funders participated as panellists in a personal capacity.

Results

328 participants who were nominated for inclusion completed round 1, of whom 277 (84%) went on to also complete rounds 2 and round 3. Our open invitation for participants yielded another 142 respondents in round 1, of whom 59 (42%) completed all three rounds. Round 1 was completed between Sept 1 and Dec 12, 2019, round 2 between Feb 26 and March 18, 2020, and round 3 between April 2 and April 25, 2020. Therefore, 470 individuals overall contributed Grand Challenge ideas in round 1, of whom 336 (71%) completed all three rounds.

Of 336 participants who completed all three rounds, 156 (46%) were women. Participants came from 118 countries (appendix 7 p 1), with all seven world regions represented (table 1). Almost half of participants who completed all three rounds indicated that their main geographical work focus was either sub-Saharan Africa (104 [31%] of 336) or high-income countries (58 [17%]); these two regions were also the leading regions of the institutions where participants worked. Participants had a broad range of disciplines, and 239 (71%) had some lived experience of at least one eye health problem requiring treatment.

The 3545 responses from round 1 were collated and, after subcategorisation, we identified 161 individual challenges (figure). After review for duplication, this list was consolidated into a first draft list of 112 challenges

	Nominated challenges in round 1 (n=470)	Completed all three rounds (n=336)
Sex		
Female	208 (44%)	156 (46%)
Male	262 (56%)	180 (54%)
GBD super-region of main work*		
Sub-Saharan Africa	146 (31%)	104 (31%)
High-income	74 (16%)	58 (17%)
South Asia	59 (13%)	44 (13%)
Southeast Asia, east Asia, and Oceania	75 (16%)	42 (13%)
Latin America and Caribbean	48 (10%)	35 (10%)
North Africa and Middle East	40 (9%)	32 (10%)
Central Europe, eastern Europe, and central Asia	28 (6%)	21 (6%)
GBD super-region of institution*		
High-income	177 (38%)	134 (40%)
Sub-Saharan Africa	104 (22%)	74 (22%)
South Asia	52 (11%)	37 (11%)
Latin America and Caribbean	38 (8%)	27 (8%)
North Africa and Middle East	37 (8%)	25 (7%)
Southeast Asia, east Asia, and Oceania	40 (9%)	21 (6%)
Central Europe, eastern Europe, and central Asia	22 (5%)	18 (5%)
Main field of work†		
Clinician or practitioner	200 (43%)	126 (38%)
Management or leadership in eye health	116 (25%)	89 (26%)
Clinical research	94 (20%)	76 (23%)
Eye health services research	90 (19%)	72 (21%)
Education	88 (19%)	68 (20%)
Epidemiology	64 (14%)	51 (15%)
Implementing agency (including non-governmental organisation)	58 (12%)	46 (14%)
Health service policy or planning (including Ministry of Health)	58 (12%)	44 (13%)
Other research (vision science, genetic)	37 (8%)	24 (7%)
Advocacy, corporate sector, or funder	31 (7%)	20 (6%)
International institutions (eg, WHO, Pan American Health Organization, International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness)	25 (5%)	16 (5%)
Patient group	9 (2%)	5 (1%)

Data are n (%). GBD=Global Burden of Disease Study. *List of countries available in appendix 7 (p 1). †Participants could nominate up to two fields of work, hence percentages will add up to more than 100%.

Table 1: **Characteristics of participants completing round 1 and all three rounds of the exercise**



Figure: **Summary of the process undertaken to identify the global and regional Grand Challenges in global eye health**
*Disease burden reduction, inequality reduction, immediacy of impact, and feasibility (panel).

and then further consolidated to a list of 85 challenges after review by the steering committee. This list of 85 challenges generated from round 1 are shown in appendix 7 (pp 5–7). During round 2, the list of challenges was further prioritised to a list of 40 challenges at the global level and a list of 41–48 challenges for each region (appendix 7 pp 15–16). Average scores of each criterion for each challenge and the ranking of challenges globally and for each region are shown in appendix 7 (pp 15–16). We found no difference in the global priorities selected in round 2 when we weighted the ranking process for the number of respondents from each region or when we weighted for the population of the region.

Following this process, 16 Grand Challenges were prioritised at the global level, which we grouped into four categories (table 2). There were six challenges on detection and treatment of conditions, including cataract, refractive error, glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, services for children, and screening for early detection. Two further challenges focused on addressing shortages in human resources and five challenges involved other health service and policy factors, including strengthening policies, integration between levels of eye care and between eye care and other health services, strengthening the health information system, and ensuring budget allocation for eye care. Finally, three of the prioritised challenges focused on improving access to care and promoting equity, including strategies to target marginalised or under-served groups, reducing out-of-pocket costs, and improving access to and uptake of services for all. The challenges for cataract, refractive error, and child eye health were the five highest prioritised challenges globally, alongside targeting marginalised groups and reducing out-of-pocket costs.

Only four challenges were ranked in the top ten in both rounds 2 and 3, reflecting issues that are considered

	Round 2 rank	Round 3 rank
Detection and treatment of conditions		
Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles*	15	1
Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity, and access of cataract services*	27	2
Improve child eye health: integrate evidence-based primary eye-care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye-care services; develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error and amblyopia, that are well integrated within education services*	2	3
Develop and implement one-stop services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for assessment and treatment	37	8†
Develop and implement evidence-based, effective, sustainable, and context-relevant screening and early detection strategies for eye conditions	11	10
Develop and implement effective, accessible, and inexpensive pathway approaches for screening, diagnosing, monitoring, and managing glaucoma	10	21
Health services and policy		
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between primary level and secondary and tertiary levels, improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible, and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need	4	7
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (eg, child health, diabetes, and non-communicable diseases services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable, and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population	7	8†
Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets and financing structures, and increase the investment	3	13
Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated people-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage	1	16
Strengthen the health information system for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems	9	34
Access and equity		
Develop and implement services that are designed to prioritise reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (eg, women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, and people in prisons and refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services*	5	4
Develop and implement strategies that reduce out-of-pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services (eg, subsidy, tiered pricing, and insurance)*	25	5
Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to and use of eye health services and treatment (eg, reduce barriers to accessing services and increase demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in health care provision)	8	11
Human resource capacity		
Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe shortages in eye health resources, by international bodies, professional bodies and colleges, and non-governmental organisations	38	6
Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation (including strengthening regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners)	6	28

The rank from round 2 is from 85 challenges presented to all participants; the rank from round 3 is from 41–48 challenges presented to participants according to region. *The top five challenges ranked by disease-burden reduction, impact on equity, immediacy of impact, and feasibility. †Tied score.

Table 2: **Top 16 Grand Challenges in global eye health, prioritised via the Delphi method**

conceptually important and promising in terms of reducing disease burden and inequality, and having immediacy of impact and feasibility. These were the challenges relating to child eye health, integration of eye health with other health services, integration of eye health across levels of care, and incorporating equity into the design of services (table 2).

We repeated the process used for the global list to generate a final list for each region to provide more context-specific priorities (appendix 7 pp 8–14). For example, improving cataract services was the only challenge included in both the top five challenges globally and in all regions, whereas strengthening diabetic retinopathy services was ranked in the top five challenges in three regions (north Africa and the Middle East; Latin America and Caribbean; and central Europe, eastern Europe, and central Asia) but not globally.

We checked the influence of high-income country participants prioritising challenges for a region in which they work but is not where they permanently reside. The two regions with the highest proportion of non-resident participants from high-income countries were southeast Asia, east Asia, and Oceania (21 [50%] of 42 participants completing all three rounds) and sub-Saharan Africa (30 [29%] of 104). When non-resident participants from high-income countries were removed from the responses for these regions, the top ten ranked challenges differed by only two challenges in southeast Asia, east Asia, and Oceania and by only one in sub-Saharan Africa (appendix 7 p 17).

Furthermore, participants were asked the extent to which they felt the final list of Grand Challenges was relevant to a range of stakeholders. Most of the 270 participants who responded considered the list to be either extremely or fairly relevant for policy makers (258 [96%]), funders (254 [94%]), researchers (252 [93%]), and service planners or managers (250 [93%]), while fewer participants considered it of the same relevance for service providers (225 [83%]), industry (212 [79%]), or people needing eye health services (199 [74%]).

Discussion

To our knowledge this prioritisation process is the most geographically diverse consultation to date to identify a clear set of priorities to be addressed in global eye health. We engaged 336 people from 118 countries, representing a broad range of disciplines in eye health, including clinical practice, eye health services management, research and policy making, as well as lived experience of eye health problems.

The prioritised challenges are broad ranging, and consequently addressing them calls for different responses—including advocacy, coordinated action, and research—from stakeholders including patients, policy makers, researchers, funders, programme managers, and industry. We believe more research will be required to address most of the prioritised challenges (eg,

treatment for glaucoma). Other challenges can be addressed using evidence-informed advocacy, such as ensuring financing for eye health within national budgets and strengthening leadership for eye health.

We believe the lists of prioritised challenges in global eye health presented in this study serve as a starting point for immediate action by researchers and research funders. As a follow-up to *The Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health, we aim to use these Grand Challenges as the basis for a collaborative workshop to generate a research agenda and priority research questions for global eye health, and to establish collaboration opportunities and develop a strategy for periodic monitoring of progress regionally and globally. This process would provide an opportunity for consortia, networks, advocacy organisations, universities, and governments to organise their activities around one or more of the challenges. Furthermore, we call for research funders to use the challenges to guide their research investments. Our results can also be used for other priority-setting exercises, such as that underway by the Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group.[11]

An essential initial step is to identify the existing evidence (and corresponding gaps) for each challenge through high-quality evidence synthesis. We anticipate that the level of evidence across the challenges is variable, so a range of evidence synthesis approaches will be required. For example, several systematic or scoping reviews have been done to assess cataract services in terms of access, coverage, quality, and equity,[12–14] but not productivity. The number and quality of studies within these reviews vary greatly; a review that focused on equity was limited to low-income and middle-income countries and identified only two studies from rural China,[13] whereas a review that focused on global quality identified 143 studies, predominantly (65%) from high-income countries.[12] By contrast, there is a scarcity of reviews or primary studies on refractive error services or integration of eye health, both of which are areas in need of urgent attention.

Several of the disease-focused and equity-relevant challenges could be explored through research within so-called implementation laboratories, wherein health system providers and researchers collaborate to embed rigorous research methods into initiatives to improve health care in defined populations to generate generalisable knowledge on what works, for whom, and under what circumstances.[15,16] This approach might be particularly useful for cataract and refractive error services in low-income and middle-income countries, where effective service coverage rates are low.[17,18] Disparities are also evident within countries, with marginalised or under-served groups having worse access to good quality services,[19] even in high-income countries.[20,21] Implementation research could be used to identify effective strategies to deliver the known efficacious treatments for cataract and refractive error to all who could benefit from them, including marginalised and under-served groups.

In contrast with cataract and refractive error, which have one-off treatments, glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy are chronic eye diseases that require early detection and treatment to avoid vision loss. For diabetic retinopathy, screening for early detection remains a key priority, and research into models of integrated care are needed, which could include testing the use of smartphone-based imaging and artificial intelligence-based image analysis.[22,23] Early detection for glaucoma is more complex and resource-intensive than for diabetic retinopathy, and more evidence is needed on the most effective treatment approaches, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries. Once these issues have been addressed, the focus could shift to implementation research to maximise service coverage.

Little research has been done that addresses health services and policy challenges for eye health, including integration, workforce issues, and sustainable financing.[1,24] To address this gap, research questions regarding health services and policy could be embedded within national research agenda that are aligned to eye health policies and plans.[7,25] The upcoming roll-out of the package of eye-care interventions by WHO provides an opportunity to embed research questions on integration, health services, financing, and policy into a health system strengthening process.[26]

Previous processes undertaken to generate research priorities for eye health have some alignment with our results. In 2010, the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness convened a workshop attended by 32 leading researchers in global eye health who generated priorities for global and regional research into blindness prevention.[27] This list has some overlap with the challenges we identified through our Delphi-like process, including health services and policy and access issues. In the UK, the James Lind Alliance undertook a prioritisation survey process in 2014 to ascertain research priorities from 2220 patients, carers, and clinicians.[28] The final priorities list included 12 separate eye conditions. In common with our findings was the inclusion of cataract, refractive error, glaucoma, and children's eye health among the top priorities. Our challenges align with some of the research needs outlined across the seven areas of emphasis in the 2021 Strategic Plan of the US National Eye Institute, particularly the area of public health and disparities.[29] Smaller patient-focused exercises have been done for specific conditions not included in our global list, such as retinoblastoma in Canada,[30] age-related macular degeneration in the USA,[31] and herpes simplex keratitis[32] and blepharospasm[33] in the UK.

A key strength of our process is the broad global engagement achieved, with participants from 118 (61%) of 195 countries and territories, with these regions representing 93% of the global population, and at least 20 people working in each region. Our multi-pronged

recruitment strategy meant we reached a broad range of participants from all regions of the world, including from countries and disciplines not typically included in international networks and debates. This geographical diversity enabled us to extend the usual approach and generate lists of regional priorities in addition to the global list. A further extension of the usual Grand Challenges approach was retaining the top-ranking challenges after round 2 in the final prioritised list. This approach allowed us to retain those challenges considered conceptually important that perhaps do not have a direct link to disease reduction (eg, strengthening the health information system) or are not considered to have high immediacy (eg, establishing effective glaucoma treatment). Despite this approach, we recognise that the process we followed meant that rare conditions, which have a substantial impact on individuals and families, and essential elements of eye health services, such as rehabilitation services, are absent from the list.

We also recognise the limitations of our approach. First, few participants nominated "patient group" among their two main fields of work despite a high proportion indicating that they had experienced at least one eye health problem. This might reflect that the eye health problems of most participants were not severe or were being effectively managed, and the challenges prioritised might have differed had more participants with more severe eye health problems been included. Second, despite our efforts, some regions remained under-represented in our panel, which we might have overcome if we expanded the languages we used and explored and addressed other barriers to participation. Third, we acknowledge our regional lists were generated from participants who worked in each region rather than exclusively being from or permanently residing in the region. However, our analysis showed that the priorities identified by these participants were not meaningfully different from those participants from the region, confirming participants from high-income countries did not skew the perspective. Fourth, there was possible confirmation bias in the process of collating, categorising, and refining responses after round 1 and round 2. We attempted to mitigate this potential bias by having two members of the core team reviewing each coded item followed by two members of the steering group. Fifth, round 1 took place before the COVID-19 pandemic and so the new challenges to eye health arising as a result of the pandemic are missing from this list. Finally, we recognise that these processes often include a workshop to finalise the results, which was not feasible given the large number of participants involved. However, the list of prioritised challenges was shared with all participants and suggestions for implementation sought; these suggestions have been incorporated into this Article.

We have built on previous Grand Challenges exercises to engage several hundred people across all world regions. The resulting lists of global and regional priorities can be used by a broad range of stakeholders to guide investment and action to strengthen eye health services and work towards eye health for all. We believe this process has provided a framework for the high-quality research that WHO called for in its World Report on Vision.[7] This framework can be used by countries in their pursuit of integrated people-centred eye care, as endorsed at the World Health Assembly in 2020[34] and supported by the UN Resolution on Vision.[35]

The Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health Study group
Afghanistan Ahmad Shah Salam (Ministry of Public Health, Kabul), Qais Nasimee, Shabir ahmad Muez (Afghanistan Society of Ophthalmology, Kabul). *Antigua and Barbuda* Aubrey Webson (Ambassador to the United Nations). *Argentina* Ana G Palis (Instituto Universitario Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires), Eduardo Mayorga (Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires). *Armenia* Naira Khachatryan (Yerevan). *Australia* Alicia J Jenkins, Himal Kandel (The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW), Amanda Davis (International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, Sydney, NSW), Anagha Joshi (Melbourne, VIC), Anthea Burnett, Aryati Yashadhana, Lisa Keay, Nicole A Carnt (UNSW, Sydney, NSW), Catherine L Jan, Robyn H Guymer (University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC), Fabrizio D'Esposito, S May Ho, Sarity Dodson (The Fred Hollows Foundation, Melbourne, VIC), Gerhard Schlenther (Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists, Sydney, NSW), Gillian M Cochrane (Collaborative Vision, Melbourne, VIC), Katrina L Schmid, Shelley Hopkins (Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD), Mark D Daniell (Centre for Eye Research Australia, Melbourne, VIC), Timothy R Fricke (Brien Holden Vision Institute, Melbourne, VIC). *Austria* Jess Blijkers (Light for the World, Vienna). *Bangladesh* Ava Hossain (OSB Eye Hospital, Dhaka). *Bahrain* Ebtisam S K AlAlawi (The Eye Center, Manama). *Barbados* Nigel H Barker (Warrens Eye Care Centre, St Michael). *Bhutan* Indra P Sharma (JDW National Referral Hospital, Thimphu). *Bosnia and Herzegovina* Suzana Nikolic Pavljasevic (Eye Policlinic Public Health Centre, Tuzla). *Botswana* Pearl K Mbulawa (PMH/Diabetes Center, Gaborone). *Brazil* João M Furtado (University of São Paulo, São Paulo), Silvana A Schellini (State University of São Paulo - UNESP, São Paulo), Solange R Salomão (Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo). *Burkina Faso* Küssome Paulin Somda (Ministry of Health, Ouagadougou). *Cameroon* Bella Assumpta Lucienne (Ministry of Health, Yaounde). *Canada* Benoit Tousignant, Luigi Bilotto (Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC), Helen Dimaras (University of Toronto, Toronto, ON), Jayme R Vianna (Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS). *Chad* Jean-eudes Biao (Regional Office for Central Africa of the Organization for the Prevention of Blindness, Ndjamena). *Chile* Sergio R Munoz (Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco). *China* Min Wu (The Affiliated Hospital of Yunnan University, Kunming), Carol Y Cheung (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region). *Colombia* Sandra Talero (Instituto Barraquer de América, Bogota), Fernando Yaacov Pena (Universidad El Bosque, Bogota). *Costa Rica* Marisela Salas Vargas (Caja Costarricense del Seguro Social, San José). *Czech Republic* Pavel Rozsíval (Charles University, Prague). *Democratic Republic of the Congo* Jason Pithuwa Nirwoth (Aide-Vision, Goma). *Egypt* Gamal Ezz Elarab, Mohammad Shalaby (Magrabi Foundation, Cairo), Islam Elbeih (National Eye Center, Cairo). *Ethiopia* Alemayehu Woldeyes Tefera (Gofar Specialized Eye Clinic, Addis Ababa), Tsehaynesh Kassa (Addis Ababa). *Fiji* Harris M Ansari (Pacific Eye Institute, Suva). *France* Alain M Bron (University Hospital, Dijon). *Germany* Manfred Mörchen (Eye Center Mittelmosel, Bernkastel-Kues), Robert P Finger (University of Bonn, Bonn). *Ghana* Boateng Wiafe (Operation Eyesight Universal, Accra), Carl H Abraham (University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast), Gertrude Oforiwa Fefoame, Simon R Bush (Sightsavers, Accra), Kwame Yeboah Jr (Cape Coast Teaching Hospital, Cape Coast), Kwesi N Amissah-Arthur (University of Ghana, Accra). *Greece* Fotis Topouzis (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki). *Guatemala* Lucía Silva, Mariano Yee Melgar (Visualiza, Guatemala City). *Guyana* Anne O Orlina (Georgetown). *Hungary* Adrienne Csutak (University of Pécs, Pécs). *India* Anitha Arvind (Eye Care Centre,

Bangalore), Ashik Mohamed, Muralidhar Ramappa, Padmaja Kumari Rani, Rohit C Khanna, Srinivas Marmamula (L V Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad), Ashish Bacchav (Eknath Eye Hospital and Laser Centre, Thane), Bindiganavale R Shamanna (University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad), Damodar Bachani (John Snow India Private Limited, New Delhi), Elizabeth Kurian (Mission for Vision, Mumbai), Lingam Vijaya, Ronnie George (Sankara Nethralaya, Chennai), Mona Duggal (Chandigarh), Nagaraju Pamarthi (Sankara Eye Hospital, Bangalore), Phanindra Babu Nukella (VISION 2020: The Right to Sight-India, New Delhi), Rajdeep Das (Pailan College of Management and Technology, Jalpaiguri), Rajvardhan Azad (Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Science, Patna, Bihar), Sandeep Buttan (Sightsavers, New Delhi), Sanil Joseph, Thulasiraj Ravilla (LAICO - Aravind Eye Care System, Madurai), Subeesh Kuyyadiyil (Sadguru Netra Chikitsalaya, Chitrakoot), Sucheta Kulkarni (PBMA's H V Desai Eye Hospital, Pune). *Indonesia* Aldiana Halim (Cicendo Eye Hospital, Bandung). *Iran* Elham Ashrafi, Seyed Farzad Mohammadi, Sare Safi (Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran), Marzieh Katibeh (WHO Collaborating Centre for Eye Care and Prevention of Blindness, Tehran). *Israel* Ido Didi Fabian (Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv), Michael Belkin (Sheba Medical Center, Tel Aviv University). *Italy* Francesco Bandello (Vita-Salute University, Milano). *Jamaica* Dawn Woo-Lawson (Kingston), Lizette Mowatt (University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7). *Japan* Koichi Ono, Yoshimune Hiratsuka (Juntendo University, Tokyo), Ryo Kawasaki (Osaka University Hospital, Suita). *Jerusalem* David Dahdal (St John Eye Hospital Group). *Kenya* Alice Mwangi (Operation Eyesight, Nairobi), Anne M Karanu (Iten Hospital, Elgeyo Marakwet), Dan Kiage (Kisii Eye Hospital, Kisii), Dorothy M Mutie (Kenya Medical Training College, Nairobi), Faith Masila (Kikuyu Hospital, Kiambu), Jane A Ohuma (The Fred Hollows Foundation, Nairobi), Michael Gichangi (Ministry of Health, Nairobi), Rebecca Oenga (Department of Health, Kajiado County). *Kyrgyzstan* Aselia Abdurakhmanova (The Red Crescent Society of Kyrgyzstan, Bishkek). *Latvia* Guna Laganovska (Riga Stradins University, Riga). *Liberia* Niranjan K Pehere (L V Prasad Eye Institute-Liberia Eye Center, Monrovia). *Madagascar* Hoby Lalaina Randrianarisoa (CHU-JRA, Antananarivo). *Mali* Seydou Bakayoko (Institute of Tropical Ophthalmology of Africa, Bamako), Sidi Mohamed Coulibaly (Consultant Organization for the Prevention of Blindness, Bamako). *Malaysia* Effendy Bin Hashim (Hospital Pulau Pinang, Penang). *Mexico* Van C Lansingh (Help Me See, Queretaro). *Moldova* Ala Paduca (Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Chisinau), Tatiana Ghidirimschi (SUMPh Nicolae Tetstemitanu, Chisinau), Rodica Sevciuc (Institute of Emergency Medicine). *Mongolia* Chimgee Chuluunkhuu (Orbis, Ulaanbaatar). *Morocco* Jaouad Hammou (Ministry of Health, Rabat). *Mozambique* Margarida Chagunda (Ministry of Health, Maputo). *Nepal* Pradeep Bastola (Chitwan Medical College, Chitwan), Parami Dhakhwa (Seva Foundation, Kathmandu), Reeta Gurung (Tilganga Institute of Ophthalmology, Kathmandu), Sailesh Kumar Mishra (Nepal Netra Jyoti Sangh, Kathmandu), Sudarshan Khanal (Better Vision Foundation, Kathmandu), Yuddha Sapkota (IAPB-South East Asia, Kathmandu). *Netherlands* Jan E E Keunen (Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen). *New Zealand* Joanna M Black, Lisa M Hamm, Robert J Jacobs, Stuti L Misra (University of Auckland, Auckland), Neil Murray (Rotorua Eye Clinic, Rotorua). *Nigeria* Ada Aghaji, Nkechinyere J Uche (University of Nigeria, Enugu), Adeyemi T Adewole (University College Hospital, Ibadan), Amina H Wali (National Eye Center, Kaduna), Caleb Mpyet (University of Jos, Jos), Chike Emedike, Chinomso Chinyere (Landmark University, Omu-Aran), Dennis G Nkanga (University of Calabar, Calabar), Funmilayo J Oyediji (Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Teaching Hospital, Bauchi), Henrietta I Monye (University College Hospital, Ibadan), Nasiru Muhammad (Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto), Oluwaseun O Awe (Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife), Oteri E Okolo (Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja), Stella N Onwubiko (University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu). *Pakistan* Haroon R Awan (Independent Consultant, Islamabad), Sumrana Yasmin (Sightsavers, Islamabad), Yousaf Jamal Mahsood (Khyber Girls Medical College, Peshawar). *Paraguay* Miriam R Cano (Ministry of Public Health and Social Welfare, Asunción). *Peru* Alberto Lazo Legua (Asociacion Civil Divino Niño Jesus, Lima). *Poland* Andrzej Grzybowski (Foundation for Ophthalmology Development, Poznan). *Portugal* Helena P Filipe (Lisbon), João Barbosa-Breda (University of Porto, Porto), Raúl A R C Sousa (Association of Licensed Optometry Professionals, Porto). *Romania* Cristina Elena Nitulescu (Emergency Children's Hospital "Marie S Curie", Bucharest). *Russia* Mukharram M Bikbov (Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa). *Rwanda* Gatera Fiston Kitema (University of Rwanda, Kigali), Wanjiku Mathenge (Rwanda International Institute of Ophthalmology). *Saudi Arabia* Rajiv B Khandekar (King Khaled Eye Specialist Hospital, Riyadh). *Senegal* Papa Amadou Ndiaye (Dakar Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar). *Sierra Leone* Lloyd C M Harrison-Williams (Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Freetown). *South Africa* Daniel Etya'ale (University of Capetown, Cape Town), Lene Øverland (Population Services International, Johannesburg). *South Korea* Sangchul Yoon (Yonsei University, Seoul). *Spain* J Carlos Pastor (University of Valladolid, Valladolid). *Sri Lanka* Asela P Abeydeera (Association of Community Ophthalmologists of Sri Lanka, Colombo). *Saint Lucia* Denise Godin (Saint Lucia Blind Welfare Association, Castries). *Switzerland* Andreas J Kreis, Gabriele Thumann, Karl Blanchet (University of Geneva, Geneva), Andreas Mueller (World Health Organization, Geneva), Brigitte Mueller, Fortunat Büsch (Swiss Red Cross, Bern), Michelle Sylvanowicz (Bayer Consumer Care, Basel). *Taiwan* Shwu-Jiuan Sheu (Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung). *Tanzania* Elizabeth Andrew Kishiki, Robert Geneau (Kilimanjaro Centre For Community Ophthalmology, Moshi), Karin M Knoll (KCMC, Moshi). *Thailand* Paisan Ruamviboonsuk (Rajavithi Hospital, Bangkok). *Togo* Komi Balo (University of Lomé, Lomé). *Trinidad and Tobago* Nigel A St Rose (Island Eyes, St Joseph). *Tunisia* Amel Meddeb Ouertani (Clinique Ophtalmologique de Tunis), Moncef Khairallah (University of Monastir, Monastir). *Turkey* Bayazit Ilhan (University of Health Sciences Ministry of Health, Ankara), Cagatay Caglar (Hitit University, Corum), Kadircan H Keskinbora (Istanbul), Mehmet Numan Alp (University of Health Sciences, Ankara), Muhammed Batur (Yuzuncu Yil University, Van), Pinar Aydin O'Dwyer (Private Eye Clinic, Ankara). *Uganda* Dennis M Ondeyo (Georgina Eye Clinic, Kampala), Simon Arunga (Mbarara University Of Science And Technology, Mbarara). *United Arab Emirates* Mansur Rabiu (Noor Dubai Foundation, Dubai Health Authority, Dubai). *UK* Alastair K Denniston (University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham), Andrew Bastawrous, Clare E Gilbert, Covadonga Bascaran, Daksha Patel, Heiko Philippin, Islay Mactaggart, John C Buchan, Justine H Zhang, Marcia Zondervan, Nick Astbury, Priya Morjaria, Victor H Hu, William H Dean (London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London), Andrew Cooper (Vision Catalyst Fund, London), Andrew F Smith, Tasanee Braithwaite (King's College London, London), Augusto Azuara-Blanco, Noemi Lois, Neil Kennedy, Prabhath Piyasena, Tunde Peto (Queen's University Belfast, Belfast), Christin Henein, Sobha Sivaprasad, Winifred Nolan (Moorfields Eye Hospital, London), Donna O'Brien, Matt Broom (SeeAbility, Epsom), Helen Burn (Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury), Iain Jones, Imran A Khan, Kolawole Ogundimu (Sightsavers, Haywards Heath), Ian E Murdoch, Pearse A Keane (University College London, London), John G Lawrenson, Pete R Jones (University of London, London), Judith Stern, Peter Holland (International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, London), Maria Teresa Sandinha (Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool), Nicholas A V Beare, Philip I Burgess (University of Liverpool, Liverpool), Ronnie Graham (Vision Aid Overseas), Shahina Pardhan (Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge), Jennifer M Burr (University of St Andrews, St Andrews). *USA* Ana Bastos de Carvalho (University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, KY), Ashlie Bernhisel (Moran Eye Center, Salt Lake City, UT), Cassandra L Thiel (NYU Langone Health, New York, NY), Jeremy D Keenan (University of California, San Francisco, CA), John H Kempen (Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Boston, MA), Joshua R Ehrlich (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Lee Nasehi (VisionServe Alliance, St Louis, MO), Megan E Collins (Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD), Oscar J Mujica (Pan American Health Organization. Washington, DC), Ramona Bashshur (Eversight, Ann Arbor, MI), Sandra S Block (Illinois College of Optometry, Chicago, IL), Tiziana Cogliati (National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD), Suzanne S Gilbert (Seva Foundation, Berkeley, CA), Victoria M Sheffield (International Eye Foundation, Alexandria, VA). *Zimbabwe* Michael A Kwarteng (Bindura University of Science Education, Bindura), Raizza A T Rambacal (Parirenyatwa Group of Hospitals, Harare), Ute Dibb (Council for the Blind, Norton).

Contributors

JR contributed to the methods, data curation, formal analysis, project administration, and writing of the original draft. JRE, EH, NM contributed to the methods, formal analysis, and review and editing of the manuscript. MJB contributed to conceptualisation, funding acquisition, methodology, formal analysis, and review and editing of the manuscript. JCS, SR, and MY contributed to project administration, data validation, and review and editing of the manuscript. BKS, NC, HBF, AF, DSF, SG, JBJ, PTK, FK, GVSM, NW, TYW, RW, HT, and SKW contributed to data validation and review and editing of the manuscript. JR, JRE, and MJB had access to and verified the data reported in manuscript. The corresponding author had full access to all of the data and the final responsibility to submit for publication.

Declaration of interests

MJB reports grants (in support of the work of the *Lancet* Global Health Commission) from The Wellcome Trust, The Queen Elizabeth Diamond Jubilee Trust, Sightsavers, The Fred Hollows Foundation, The British Council for the Prevention of Blindness, Moorfields Eye Charity, The Seva Foundation, and Christian Blind Mission during the conduct of the study. NC reports personal fees from Belkin Vision. DSF reports personal fees from W L Gore, Bausch and Lomb, Life Biosciences, Thea, and iDx outside of the submitted work; and is a member of the Board of Orbis International. TYW reports grants from Allergan, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eden Ophthalmic, Genentech, Iveric Bio, Merck, Novartis, Oxurion (formerly ThromboGenics), Roche, Samsung, Shanghai Henlius, Zhaoke Pharmaceutical, and Aldropika Therapeutics, and is co-founder of start-up companies Plano and EyRiS. PTK reports stockholding for Radiance Therapeutics and Optceutics; personal fees from Aerie, Alcon, AstraZeneca, Bausch + Lomb, Genetech, Novartis, Pfizer, and Sanofi-Aventis outside of the submitted work; being a board member of Moorfields Eye Hospital; and having a patent pending for Biochannel Device. HT reports leadership roles for the International Council of Ophthalmology and the Ophthalmology Foundation (unpaid roles). SKW reports board membership (unpaid) of Christian Blind Mission-USA. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

Data generated during this process are included in the manuscript and appendix.

Acknowledgments

The *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health is supported by The Queen Elizabeth Diamond Jubilee Trust, Moorfields Eye Charity (grant number GR001061), National Institute for Health Research Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, Wellcome Trust, Sightsavers, The Fred Hollows Foundation, the Seva Foundation, and British Council for the Prevention of Blindness and Christian Blind Mission. MJB is supported by the Wellcome Trust (207472/Z/17/Z). JR's position at the University of Auckland is funded by the Buchanan Charitable Foundation, New Zealand.

Editorial note: the *Lancet* Group takes a neutral position with respect to territorial claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1 Burton MJ, Ramke J, Marques AP, et al. *The Lancet* Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health: vision beyond 2020. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9:** e489–551.

2 Bourne R, Steinmetz JD, Flaxman S, et al. Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9:** e130–43.

3 Assi L, Chamseddine F, Ibrahim P, et al. A global assessment of eye health and quality of life: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2021; **139:** 526–41.

4 Ehrlich JR, Ramke J, Macleod D, et al. Association between vision impairment and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9:** e418–30.

5 Zhang JH, Ramke J, Mwangi N, et al. Global eye health and the sustainable development goals: protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 2020; **10:** e035789.

6 Adelson JD, Bourne RR, Briant PS, et al. Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020 and trends over 30 years, and prevalence of avoidable blindness in relation to VISION 2020: the Right to Sight: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9:** e144–60.

7 WHO. World report on vision. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2019.

8 Collins PY, Patel V, Joestl SS, et al. Grand challenges in global mental health. *Nature* 2011; **475:** 27–30.

9 Tong A, Synnot A, Crowe S, et al. Reporting guideline for priority setting of health research (REPRISE). *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2019; **19:** 243.

10 Ramke J, Faal H, Burton M. Take part in the Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health study. *Community Eye Health* 2019; **32:** 36.

11 Evans JR, Gordon I, Lawrenson JG, et al. Identifying priority review questions for Cochrane Eyes and Vision: protocol for a priority setting exercise. *BMJ Open* 2021; **11:** e046319.

12 Yoshizaki M, Ramke J, Zhang JH, et al. How can we improve the quality of cataract services for all? A global scoping review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2021; **49:** 672–85.

13 Ramke J, Petkovic J, Welch V, et al. Interventions to improve access to cataract surgical services and their impact on equity in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **11:** CD011307.

14 Mailu EW, Virendrakumar B, Bechange S, Jolley E, Schmidt E. Factors associated with the uptake of cataract surgery and interventions to improve uptake in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2020; **15:** e0235699.

15 Ramke J, Evans JR, Gilbert CE. Reducing inequity of cataract blindness and vision impairment is a global priority, but where is the evidence? *Br J Ophthalmol* 2018; **102:** 1179–81.

16 Ivers NM, Grimshaw JM. Reducing research waste with implementation laboratories. *Lancet* 2016; **388:** 547–48.

17 McCormick I, Mactaggart I, Bastawrous A, Burton MJ, Ramke J. Effective refractive error coverage: an eye health indicator to measure progress towards universal health coverage. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2020; **40:** 1–5.

18 Ramke J, Gilbert CE, Lee AC, Ackland P, Limburg H, Foster A. Effective cataract surgical coverage: an indicator for measuring quality-of-care in the context of Universal Health Coverage. *PLoS One* 2017; **12:** e0172342.

19 Ramke J, Kyari F, Mwangi N, Piyasena M, Murthy G, Gilbert CE. Cataract services are leaving widows behind: examples from national cross-sectional surveys in Nigeria and Sri Lanka. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; **16:** 3854.

20 Foreman J, Xie J, Keel S, Taylor HR, Dirani M. Treatment coverage rates for refractive error in the National Eye Health survey. *PLoS One* 2017; **12:** e0175353.

21 Keel S, Xie J, Foreman J, Taylor HR, Dirani M. Population-based assessment of visual acuity outcomes following cataract surgery in Australia: the National Eye Health Survey. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2018; **102:** 1419–24.

22 Gunasekeran DV, Ting DSW, Tan GSW, Wong TY. Artificial intelligence for diabetic retinopathy screening, prediction and management. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2020; **31:** 357–65.

23 Wintergerst MWM, Jansen LG, Holz FG, Finger RP. Smartphone-based fundus imaging-where are we now? *Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila)* 2020; **9:** 308–14.

24 du Toit R, Faal HB, Etya'ale D, et al. Evidence for integrating eye health into primary health care in Africa: a health systems strengthening approach. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013; **13:** 102.

25 Ramke J, Zwi AB, Silva JC, et al. Evidence for national universal eye health plans. *Bull World Health Organ* 2018; **96:** 695–704.

26 Keel S, Evans JR, Block S, et al. Strengthening the integration of eye care into the health system: methodology for the development of the WHO package of eye care interventions. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol* 2020; **5:** e000533.

27 International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness. Workshop report: a VISION 2020 workshop on research for global blindness prevention. International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, 2010. https://www.iapb.org/learn/resources/vision-2020-workshop-on-research-for-global-blindness-prevention/ (accessed Sept 1, 2021).

28 Rowe F, Wormald R, Cable R, et al. The Sight Loss and Vision Priority Setting Partnership (SLV-PSP): overview and results of the research prioritisation survey process. *BMJ Open* 2014; **4:** e004905.

29 National Eye Institute. NEI Strategic Plan: Vision for the Future (2021–2025). National Institutes of Health. https://www.nei.nih.gov/about/strategic-planning (accessed Sept 2, 2021).

30 Flegg K, Gelkopf MJ, Johnson SA, Dimaras H. The top 10 retinoblastoma research priorities in Canada as determined by patients, clinicians and researchers: a patient-oriented priority-setting partnership. *CMAJ Open* 2020; **8:** E420–28.

31 Lindsley KB, Hutfless S, Hawkins BS, et al. Evaluation of clinical questions and patient-important outcomes associated with the treatment of age-related macular degeneration. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2018; **136:** 1217–25.

32 Liu X, Kolli S, McDonnell P, et al. Patient priorities in herpes simplex keratitis. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol* 2019; **4:** e000177.

33 Murta FR, Waxman J, Skilton A, et al. The first UK national blepharospasm patient and public involvement day; identifying priorities. *Orbit* 2020; **39:** 233–40.

34 World Health Assembly. Integrated people-centred eye care, including preventable vision impairment and blindness: WHA 73.4. Geneva: World Health Assembly, Aug 3, 2020.

35 UN General Assembly. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 23 July 2021. 75/310. Vision for Everyone: accelerating action to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals. July 26, 2021. https://undocs.org/en/A/RES/75/310 (accessed Sept 1, 2021).

THE LANCET
Healthy Longevity

Supplementary appendix 7

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Ramke J, Evans JR, Habtamu E, et al. Grand Challenges in global eye health: a global prioritisation process using Delphi method. *Lancet Healthy Longev* 2022; **3:** e31–41.

Appendix 1

List 1: Countries of panellists (n=118)

Central Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia: Armenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Mongolia, Poland, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan

High-income countries: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, South Korea, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, Uruguay

Latin-America: Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Lucia, Trinidad & Tobago, Venezuela

North Africa & Middle East: Afghanistan, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Kuwait, Lebanon, Morocco, Oman, Palestine, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates

South Asia: Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan

Southeast Asia & East Asia: Cambodia, China, Fiji, Hong Kong (S.A.R.), Indonesia, Lao, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Vanuatu, Viet Nam

Sub-Saharan Africa: Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Côte d´Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Tanzania, The Gambia, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Full description of methods

Overview

Our approach was informed by previous Grand Challenges exercises, particularly that undertaken for mental health.[1] We used a three-round, Delphi-like prioritisation process to nominate and rank challenges, involving participants from all world regions to develop both global and regional priority lists (Figure 1). We intentionally made the process open-ended and did not pre-specify areas of interest (e.g. public health, health services research, clinical research, basic science), intended beneficiaries, or the time frame. Our target audience was broad, including policy makers, funders, researchers, patient groups and industry. We report this process according to the relevant items in the reporting guideline for priority setting of health research (REPRISE).[2]

Ethics approval was granted from the Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (reference number: 17487). We included responses from all participants who completed a Round 1 form. Those who also completed the second and third rounds were invited to be named a contributor within a manuscript authorship group. No reimbursement was offered to participants.

Study Management

The *Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health* was initiated by the *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health, and was coordinated by the International Centre for Eye Health at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM).[3] The day-to-day functioning of the process was coordinated by a core team at LSHTM [JR, JE, EH, NM, MB] with administrative support. This group was also responsible for the coding and thematic analysis between Rounds 1 and 2, for which they drew on assistance from qualitative researchers.

A Steering Group was drawn from among Commissioners. This included leaders in the fields of clinical and public health ophthalmology, as well as eye health services delivery, policy and research. The 23 members of the Steering Group (8 women, 9 low- or middle-income country) guided the overall scientific process, including nomination of participants, questionnaire development, data synthesis and reporting of results. Members of the Steering Group have been involved in other priority-setting processes including the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership in Sight Loss and Vision and a process convened by the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness (IAPB) focussed on low- and middle-income countries.[4, 5] The project was also supported by other Commissioners of the *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health, a group of 73 leaders in eye health from 25 countries. Commissioners were invited to help identify potential participants and to take part in the process.

The process was carried out online between September 2019 and April 2020 using Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, 2019; Utah, USA, available at https://www.qualtrics.com). Prior to all rounds, Steering Group members trialled the online form for clarity and user experience, and modifications were made as required.

Participant Recruitment

We employed a purposive sampling technique to recruit participants from all seven Global Burden of Disease (GBD) super-regions (hereafter called regions: Central Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia; High-income countries; Latin America & Caribbean; North Africa & Middle East; South Asia; Southeast Asia, East Asia & Oceania; Sub-Saharan Africa) and across the full range of disciplines relevant to global eye health (including decision-makers, researchers, advocates, programme implementers, clinicians and patient groups). We aimed to recruit at least 30 people from each of the regions and to achieve gender-parity in participation.

We used four strategies to identify and recruit participants, with a focus on identifying members of typically under-represented groups. These strategies included:

1. Calling on the Commissioners to nominate potential participants, highlighting targets for broad geographic distribution and gender-parity;
2. Sharing the invitation to participate in publications and social media channels that reach eye health practitioners in low- and middle-income countries[6];
3. Requesting organisations, including the World Council of Optometry, International Council of Ophthalmology, Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, and the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, to share the invitation with their members in newsletters and on social media;

4. Drawing on personal networks of the Steering Group to identify organisations and individuals in the regions where our target number of participants (30) had not been met by these other strategies (Central Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia and North Africa & the Middle East).

Round 1: Identification of challenges

In Round 1, to develop an initial list of priorities, we asked participants to answer one open-ended question:

> ***What are the grand challenges in Global Eye Health?***
>
> **A grand challenge was defined as** *a specific barrier that, if removed, would help to solve an important health problem. If successfully implemented, the intervention(s) to address this grand challenge would have a high likelihood of feasibility for scaling up and impact.*

Participants were invited to propose up to five grand challenges. Space was also provided to nominate ways in which each challenge could be addressed. Participants were encouraged to be as specific as possible. Round 1 was available in English, Chinese, French and Spanish.

In moving from Round 1 to Round 2, using NVivo 12.0, we (JR, JE, EH, NM) categorised and collated the responses from Round 1 into 21 categories, organised into four broad themes:

- ***Eye conditions:*** blindness and vision impairment, low vision/rehabilitation, cataract, refractive error, glaucoma, AMD, diabetic retinopathy, cornea, childhood vision loss, other;
- ***Health Systems:*** governance/leadership, human resources, financing, health information systems, service delivery, infrastructure/equipment;
- ***Patient-related factors:*** awareness, equity, access, determinants of eye health;
- ***Research***.

Within each of the 21 categories, we (JR, JE, EH) grouped similar suggestions and drafted a summary challenge that best reflected the grouped suggestions. Sometimes we retained the exact text of a submission within the group, but often we edited for clarity. When we combined the challenges across the 21 categories, we had 161 challenges. These were reviewed by JR, JE and MB for duplicates and clarity, and consolidated to 112 challenges as the draft list for Round 2.

This list was then reviewed by Steering Group members in two steps. In the first step, the original responses for each of the 21 separate categories were reviewed by at least two members (AF, DF, EH, FK, GVM, JCS, JJ, MB, NC, NM, RW, SG, TW) to see whether any of the original submissions had not been sufficiently captured or if there were unnecessary duplications in the proposed list. Feedback from this step resulted in further consolidation and additions, resulting in a list of 100. This shortened list was reviewed by six Steering Group members (BKS, HRT, PTK, NC, SW, TW), and further consolidated to a list of 85 challenges for Round 2, which was available in English, French and Spanish (all participants answering in Chinese in Round 1 were able to complete subsequent rounds in English).

Round 2: Prioritization of challenges

The list of 85 challenges was presented to all participants in a random order and they were asked to select and rank the 20 challenges they considered the most important. For each participant, their top challenge was allocated 20 points, their 2nd ranked challenge 19 points and so on; the remaining 65 challenges (not in the top 20) were allocated no points. The total number of points awarded to each challenge was summed, and then they were ordered to generate lists at the regional level (being all responses of people located in each region) and global level (being all responses). These lists were reviewed by the Steering Group (AF, BKS, DF, EH, HF, HRT, JCS, JJ, MY, NC, NM, NW, RW, SR, SW), resulting in further amalgamation of some challenges.

Round 3: Ranking of Challenges

The 40 challenges ranked highest by participants in Round 2 (the 'global list') were presented to all participants in Round 3. In addition, within each region, any challenge ranked in the top 40 by that region's participants that was not in the global list was also presented to participants from that region in Round 3. For example, the challenge of reducing the environmental impact of eye care ranked in the top 40 in only one region—Southeast Asia, East Asia & Oceania, so it was only presented to participants from this region in Round 3. In this way, between 41 and 48 challenges were presented to participants in Round 3 (depending on

their region) in a random order. In Round 3 participants were asked to rank each of the challenges against the four criteria outlined in Table 1 on a four-point scale: very low (1), low (2), moderate (3), or high (4). The average score for each of these criteria was calculated for each challenge within each region and globally. For each challenge globally and within each region we calculated the average score across all four criteria.

Final priority list

We recognised that no prioritisation approach can fully take account of and integrate all potential ranking considerations. To arrive at the final list of priority Grand Challenges globally and within each region, we first ranked the challenges using two approaches:

1. the results from Round 2, which identified participants' overall priorities (likely shaped by combining a very wide range of considerations and criteria); and
2. the average score of the four criteria in Round 3.

We then integrated these two approaches, by combining the 10 highest-ranked challenges from each of these lists and removing duplicates. The resulting list of priority challenges is presented globally and for each region. Within each list, we highlighted the five challenges that were ranked highest in Round 3.

To account for any potential imbalance in the global list due to recruiting different numbers of participants from each region, we reran the ranking process between Round 2 and Round 3 weighting for 1) number of respondents and 2) population of the region.

To check for undue influence of participants from high-income country institutions identifying priorities for other regions in which they work, we recalculated the ranks in Round 3 after removing the high-income country participants and compared the Top 10 ranked priorities generated by the whole panel for that region and the panel of participants from / permanently based in the region.

Role of the funding source

The funders of the *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health (listed elsewhere in this manuscript) had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of data for this process. Employees of these funders may have participated as panellists.

References

1. Collins PY, Patel V, Joestl SS, March D, Insel TR, Daar AS, et al. Grand challenges in global mental health. *Nature* 2011;475:27-30.
2. Tong A, Synnot A, Crowe S, Hill S, Matus A, Scholes-Robertson N, et al. Reporting guideline for priority setting of health research (REPRISE). *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2019;19:1-11.
3. Burton M, Ramke J, Marques AP, Bourne R, Congdon N, Jones I, et al. Lancet Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health: Vision Beyond 2020. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021;9:e489-e551.
4. Rowe F, Wormald R, Cable R, Acton M, Bonstein K, Bowen M, et al. The Sight Loss and Vision Priority Setting Partnership (SLV-PSP): overview and results of the research prioritisation survey process. *BMJ open* 2014;4:e004905.
5. International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness. Workshop Report: A VISION 2020 Workshop on Research for Global Blindness Prevention. International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness; 2010.
6. Ramke J, Faal H, Burton M. Take part in the Grand Challenges in Global Eye Health study. *Community eye health* 2019;32:36.

Supplementary Table 1: 85 Challenges identified during Round 1

(Arranged according to categories)

Challenge	Category
1. **Build a stronger advocacy case for investing in eye health and communicate this more effectively.** Through generating robust data on the importance of eye health in relation to sustainable development, quality of life, health in general, economics and the rapidly growing need for services. Work in a more coordinated and effective manner to communicate with governments, industry, philanthropists, donors, and the community about the importance of eye health.	Advocacy/Policy
2. Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred **eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage**. [Through including a National Eye Health strategy in national health plans, policies and allocation of resources, developing evidence-based patient-centred national guidelines and protocols, governments actively overseeing the eye healthcare provider market to meet the needs of the population.]	
3. Establish infrastructure to **promote shared learning and exchange** (South-South, South-North) within the global eye health community, ensuring appropriate clinical governance and registration frameworks are enforced to ensure patient safety.	
4. **Enable participation of people and communities with eye health problems** in policy, practice and research development.	
5. Develop updated short- and long-term global, regional and national **strategies to follow *Vision 2020***.	
6. Establish and implement **minimum standards for eye health care** for use around the world.	
7. Develop further effective prevention and long-lasting, low-cost treatment approaches for **wet and dry AMD**.	AMD
8. Strengthen **planning** by national and regional governments for **eye health human resource development**. [Integrate/align eye care workforce planning with the general health system needs and policies, and draw on global technical documents]	Capacity/ human resources
9. Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs .	
10. Strengthen **leadership and public health expertise** across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. Strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners, to contribute this process. [To set and monitor standards for training and clinical practice, and promote and provide ongoing education / professional development.]	
11. Develop **competency-based training**, responsive to local needs, including assessment and audit approaches to identify gaps and training needs of ophthalmic personnel and all relevant non-eye health care personnel to delineate roles and responsibilities of different cadres.	
12. **Train more people** in general, public health and sub-speciality ophthalmology and other cadres for the eye health workforce, particularly in regions where numbers of practitioners are limited. Strengthen and expand eye health training through online learning.	
13. Implement policies to **recruit, retain and motivate ophthalmic personnel** to deliver more productive services where they are most needed, including in the public sector or hard to reach communities e.g. through continuing professional development and transparent and participatory leadership and career progression plans at all levels.	
14. Foster **service development networks** [regional, national and international] between different eye health worker cadres, others working in related fields to help to promote the development of systems change and shared learning.	
15. Implement a **team-based service delivery model** with clearly set roles and tasks across cadres, and improve the integration of optometrists, refractionists and other allied ophthalmic personnel.	
16. Identify and implement strategies to improve the **quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services**. E.g. accurate biometry and an appropriate range of intraocular lens power choices.	Cataract
17. Develop and scale-up training strategies that more efficiently establish and maintain **optimal skills for good quality cataract surgery** and improve patient safety, particularly through the application of **surgical simulation methods**.	
18. Develop evidence-based, integrated services to effectively detect, treat and follow-up of **treatable and preventable eye conditions in children** such as cataract, glaucoma and retinoblastoma.	Children
19. Strengthen the provision of **retinopathy of prematurity** (ROP) services at country level, for prevention, screening, treatment, follow-up and rehabilitation.	
20. Create effective and sustainable networks of **eye banks** within each country, including donor supply and corneal transplant services.	Cornea
21. Reduce sight loss from **corneal infection**, through promoting prevention and early detection strategies; strengthen the ability of ophthalmic and general health workers to identify and appropriately manage eye infections; make microbiological diagnosis and appropriate treatments more widely available.	
22. Develop new treatments to rehabilitate vision from corneal blindness for example developing tissue-engineered corneas for transplantation (**artificial corneas**) and enable **corneal limbal regeneration.**	
23. Strengthen the **health information system** (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems. [More comprehensive data collection, electronic records, integration with district and national HIS, internet connection, better data quality, increased use in monitoring and planning at the local and national level]	Data / information
24. Develop an international **standard core indicator set for eye health and eye care services**, which can be used within a national statistics register and inter-country comparisons.	
25. Strengthen **monitoring, audit and feedback on cataract** and other surgical services to improve quality, including better use of technology; and inclusion in national reporting.	
26. Develop and implement "one-stop" **services for people with diabetes**, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care, and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.	Diabetes
27. Strengthen dedicated services for **young people with diabetes**, including screening and treatment for diabetic retinopathy, and adequate planning to transition care into the adult stream at an appropriate time.	
28. Develop more effective, longer-lasting, safer and affordable **treatments for diabetic retinopathy** to halt progression and preserve vision (e.g. anti-VEGF therapies).	

29. Develop population-specific **risk calculators** to provide risk predictions for the development and progression of specific eye conditions and guide the provision of screening, monitoring and treatment services (e.g. diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma).	Diagnosis
30. Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant **screening / early detection strategies** for eye conditions.	
31. Develop high-quality **economic analyses for eye health** and improve the precision of estimates through global data collection collaborations. In order to make the case for increased resource allocation and to guide decision making to maximise the benefit ("Best Buys").	Economics
32. Increase **understanding of the association** between vision impairment and quality of life, aging, dementia, depression, functional decline and mortality and the impact of treatment and vision rehabilitation on these outcomes.	Epidemiology
33. Understand **modifiable biological, environmental and social determinants** of eye disease across the life course, with an emphasis on leading causes of sight loss (cataract / refractive error / glaucoma / AMD / diabetic retinopathy / corneal disease). To support the development of approaches to prevent and slow progression of disease, and addressing poverty, literacy/education, living standards, access to clean air and water and sanitation.	
34. Develop a more complete understanding of the **epidemiology of eye disease** (prevalence, distribution, incidence, risk factors), including less common conditions, bearing in mind that about a quarter of people with blindness and visual impairment are classified as "Other" – e.g. Uveitis, corneal infection, eye cancers, non-AMD retinal conditions.	
35. Ensure all eye care services are **gender-responsive**. [Shaped by relevant evidence of the distribution of need and through the development of contextually appropriate strategies to deliver equitable services]	Equity / finance
36. Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, **reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups** (poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and **people living in rural communities** with quality, affordable eye services.	
37. Develop and rigorously test strategies that **reduce out of pocket costs** for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance. For example, by increasing the proportion of the population covered by a health insurance package that includes essential eye care.	
38. Ensure **health insurance boards** develop guidelines and governance structures on how **costs of cataract and other services can be contained** while providing good quality, affordable, equitable care.	
39. Disaggregate all eye health data to **monitor inequality** between social groups (e.g. sex/gender, place of residence, socioeconomic status) and use these data to set targets to address inequities.	
40. Ensure **financing for eye health** exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investment.	Finance
41. Establish '**strategic purchasing**' of eye health services by government or other payers that promotes quality and equity and maintains cost control	
42. Distribute **health spending** in line with the relative magnitude of the problem, and the benefit / cost-benefit.	
43. Develop and implement effective, accessible and inexpensive pathway approaches for **screening, diagnosing, monitoring and managing glaucoma**. This would include a **safe and simple surgical or laser procedure for glaucoma** that can be performed quickly at low cost that reduces the pressure in eyes for a sustained period without intensive ongoing care.	Glaucoma
44. Develop technology to cost-effectively and simply **monitor low risk glaucoma patients** and glaucoma suspects who do not need ophthalmologist care.	
45. Develop and implement **macrofilaricidal agents for onchocerciasis** control programmes [a one off treatment to kill the adult worms]	Onchocerciasis
46. Strengthen and complete the global **onchocerciasis elimination** effort.	
47. Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant **health promotion / disease prevention** strategies for eye health, that address underlying risk factors and lead to **Healthy Ageing**.	Prevention
48. Research into the impact of **screen time and smart devices** on eye health.	
49. Develop and implement appropriately responsive **programmes to increase the use of eye health services and treatment**. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision. [Approaches could include co-production with patients and community groups, mobile health "m-health" solutions, social marketing, radio, partnership with the education sector. This will require a better understanding of cultural beliefs, behaviour, knowledge, attitudes and practice.]	
50. Monitor the burden of **trauma-related vision loss** and advocate for context specific prevention strategies (e.g. reduce road traffic injuries and regulate the sale of fireworks).	
51. Engage positively with **traditional healers** around safe eye health practices. Alert people to the potential dangers of some traditional eye medicine.	
52. Integrate evidenced-based **primary eye care services for children** into general children's health services. Develop and implement sustainable **school eye health programmes**. Including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia that are well-integrated within education services.	Refractive error
53. Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate **refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles** (e.g. social entrepreneurship for presbyopic correction, public-private partnerships).	
54. Stop the **pandemic of high myopia**, through encouraging all children to spend time outside each day, developing technology to detect progressive myopia, identifying interventions to prevent it and control progression.	
55. Improve access to and quality of **rehabilitation services** for people with vision impairment. [Recruit / train eye care service providers, community-based volunteers, rehabilitation therapists, and psychosocial counsellors to add vision rehabilitation to the services they currently provide. Improve access to low vision assistive devices and good quality prosthetic eyes]	Rehabilitation
56. Ensure **children with low vision** can access good quality education and rehabilitation services that maximise their independence and educational opportunities.	
57. Strengthen understanding of the **impact of irreversible blindness and vision impairment on people's lives**. In order to ensure sufficient priority is given to their needs, to improve understanding of their capabilities, to increase awareness on the availability of accommodations and vision assistive equipment and to reduce stigma.	

58. Promote coordinated action to address key regional and global research priorities, to secure funding, make more rapid advances and reduce research waste through **global collaborative studies**.	Research
59. Develop **research capacity for eye health in low- and middle-income countries**, particularly for epidemiological, implementation and health systems research.	
60. Invest in high-quality **implementation science research** around how to effectively deliver, scale-up and sustain universal health coverage for eye health; building on interventions that are already known to work. [Definition: Implementation science is the study of methods to promote the systematic uptake of clinical research findings and other evidence-based practices into sustained routine practice; it is interdisciplinary and needs to be multi-location for generalisability]	
61. Elucidate, through basic science research, the **underlying mechanisms of poorly understood eye diseases**, in order to develop new treatment approaches.	Research
62. Attract and support new **basic science research scientists** into the field of eyes and vision.	
63. Develop treatment approaches to **repair / regenerate the retina and central nervous system** for conditions where impaired vision is due to vascular or neurological damage (e.g. ischaemia, glaucoma).	
64. Research the human neural code for vision (study of information processing by nerve cells), to enable restoration of sight, through bionic and optogenetic technologies ("**artificial eyes**").	
65. Improve **procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables** to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost. (e.g. bulk purchasing, duties waiver, off-label medicines, regulations to ensure cost-effective options chosen)	Resources
66. Develop **local ophthalmic drug and device manufacturing** capacity to produce affordable medications e.g. via social enterprises.	
67. Develop local capacity to **procure and maintain sophisticated equipment**.	
68. Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective **integration** of eye care at the **primary care level and with other medical services** (e.g child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population. This will require appropriate, robust, evidence-based clinical diagnosis and management strategies.	Systems
69. Develop evidence-based strategies for the effective **integration** of eye health services between the **primary** and **secondary / tertiary level** improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.	
70. Reduce the **environmental impact** of providing eye care services, through improved practices. (e.g. decarbonize energy and supply chains, increase efficiency of practices worldwide, and develop a circular economy for eye care products such as equipment being re-used and recycled where appropriate).	
71. Develop **efficient, effective and productive eyecare systems** (with reduced waiting times) to respond to increased demand for services by a **growing and ageing population**.	
72. Strengthen **public-private partnerships** for delivery of services to contributing towards Universal Health Coverage for eye health.	
73. Develop regional and national mechanisms for **health technology assessment** (including system and patient pathway) to identify the most effective, efficient and appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic interventions.	Technology
74. Harness the potential for **big data and the application of artificial intelligence,** integrating them into eye health systems, with special attention to creating systems appropriate for low-resource settings. To support diagnosis of conditions such as diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration, glaucoma and retinopathy of prematurity. Establish ethical data governance frameworks, minimal dataset standards and compatible platforms that can be used nationally and internationally to create data bioresources that mean that every patient contact adds to our greater knowledge.	
75. **Develop affordable diagnostic technology** to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.	
76. Develop technology to support strengthened integration / referral systems, including **telemedicine and mHealth**.	
77. Innovate to **improve assistive technology** for people with low vision.	
78. Develop **sustained-release intraocular drugs** and **implant delivery systems** for a range of conditions (e.g AMD, uveitis).	Therapeutics
79. Develop a drug delivery approach that **penetrates the blood-ocular barrier** to help treat the most common intraocular diseases.	
80. Develop new **drugs to prevent fibrosis** in ocular surface and retinal scarring diseases.	
81. Realise the potential of the deepening understanding of the **genetic and molecular basis of eye disease** to develop diagnostics and precision/targeted interventions to preserve or restore vision.	
82. Develop a **vaccine for ocular chlamydia trachomatis** infection for long term control.	Trachoma
83. Develop and implement evidence-based enhanced **mass antibiotic distribution** strategies for trachoma control to more rapidly and reliably control chlamydia trachomatis infection.	
84. Develop and implement evidence-based strengthening of trachoma control strategies (**Facial cleanliness and Environmental improvement**) that more effectively limit the transmission of chlamydia trachomatis, and suppress the re-emergence of infection.	
85. Develop more effective assessment and management strategies for **uveitis**.	Uveitis

Regional List: Central Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Strengthen the provision of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) services at country level, for prevention, screening, treatment, follow-up and rehabilitation

Develop and implement effective, accessible and inexpensive pathway approaches for screening, diagnosing, monitoring and managing glaucoma.

Reduce sight loss from corneal infection, through promoting prevention and early detection strategies; strengthen the ability of ophthalmic and general health workers to identify and appropriately manage eye infections; make microbiological diagnosis and appropriate treatments more widely available.

Develop more effective, longer-lasting, safer and affordable treatments for diabetic retinopathy to halt progression and preserve vision (e.g. anti-VEGF therapies).

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Develop competency-based training, responsive to local needs, including assessment and audit approaches to identify gaps and training needs of ophthalmic personnel and all relevant non-eye health care personnel to delineate roles and responsibilities of different cadres.

Develop updated short- and long-term global, regional and national strategies to follow Vision 2020

Develop affordable diagnostic technology to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.

Develop further effective prevention and long-lasting, low-cost treatment approaches for wet and dry AMD

Establish and implement minimum standards for eye health care for use around the world.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Stop the pandemic of high myopia, through encouraging all children to spend time outside each day, developing technology to detect progressive myopia, identifying interventions to prevent it and control progression.

Regional List: High-Income Countries

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.

Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.

Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.

Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Invest in high-quality implementation science research around how to effectively deliver, scale-up and sustain universal health coverage for eye health; building on interventions that are already known to work.

Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant health promotion / disease prevention strategies for eye health, that address underlying risk factors and lead to Healthy Ageing.

Harness the potential for big data and the application of artificial intelligence, integrating them into eye health systems, with special attention to creating systems appropriate for low-resource settings. To support diagnosis of conditions such as diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration, glaucoma and retinopathy of prematurity. Establish ethical data governance frameworks, minimal dataset standards and compatible platforms that can be used nationally and internationally to create data bioresources that mean that every patient contact adds to our greater knowledge.

Develop affordable diagnostic technology to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.

Regional List: Latin America & Caribbean

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Strengthen the provision of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) services at country level, for prevention, screening, treatment, follow-up and rehabilitation

Develop efficient, effective and productive eyecare systems (with reduced waiting times) to respond to increased demand for services by a growing and ageing population.

Improve procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost.

Train more people in general, public health and sub-speciality ophthalmology and other cadres for the eye health workforce, particularly in regions where numbers of practitioners are limited. Strengthen and expand eye health training through online learning.

Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investment.

Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. To contribute to this process, strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners.

Establish and implement minimum standards for eye health care for use around the world.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Strengthen planning by national and regional governments for eye health human resource development.

Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.

Regional List: North Africa & Middle East

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Train more people in general, public health and sub-speciality ophthalmology and other cadres for the eye health workforce, particularly in regions where numbers of practitioners are limited. Strengthen and expand eye health training through online learning.

Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Develop more effective, longer-lasting, safer and affordable treatments for diabetic retinopathy to halt progression and preserve vision (e.g. anti-VEGF therapies).

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.

Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Strengthen the health information system (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems.

Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. To contribute to this process, strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners.

Regional List: South Asia

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Strengthen public-private partnerships for delivery of services to contributing towards Universal Health Coverage for eye health.

Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investment.

Implement policies to recruit, retain and motivate ophthalmic personnel to deliver more productive services where they are most needed, including in the public sector or hard to reach communities e.g. through continuing professional development and transparent and participatory leadership and career progression plans at all levels.

Develop efficient, effective and productive eyecare systems (with reduced waiting times) to respond to increased demand for services by a growing and ageing population.

Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.

Strengthen the health information system (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems.

Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. To contribute to this process, strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners.

Develop updated short- and long-term global, regional and national strategies to follow Vision 2020

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Develop research capacity for eye health in low- and middle-income countries, particularly for epidemiological, implementation and health systems research.

Regional List: Southeast Asia, East Asia & Oceania

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.

Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Develop technology to support strengthened integration / referral systems, including telemedicine and mHealth.

Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. To contribute to this process, strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners.

Stop the pandemic of high myopia, through encouraging all children to spend time outside each day, developing technology to detect progressive myopia, identifying interventions to prevent it and control progression.

Strengthen the health information system (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems.

Develop affordable diagnostic technology to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.

Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.

Harness the potential for big data and the application of artificial intelligence, integrating them into eye health systems, with special attention to creating systems appropriate for low-resource settings. To support diagnosis of conditions such as diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration, glaucoma and retinopathy of prematurity. Establish ethical data governance frameworks, minimal dataset standards and compatible platforms that can be used nationally and internationally to create data bioresources that mean that every patient contact adds to our greater knowledge.

Regional List: Sub-Saharan Africa

This list is comprised of challenges ranked by participants from this region in the Top 10 in Round 2 ***or*** *Round 3.*
The top 5 challenges in Round 3 are bolded.

Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.

Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.

Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.

Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.

Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.

Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.

Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.

Improve procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost.

Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.

Implement policies to recruit, retain and motivate ophthalmic personnel to deliver more productive services where they are most needed, including in the public sector or hard to reach communities e.g. through continuing professional development and transparent and participatory leadership and career progression plans at all levels.

Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investment.

Develop and implement effective, accessible and inexpensive pathway approaches for screening, diagnosing, monitoring and managing glaucoma.

Build a stronger advocacy case for investing in eye health and communicate this more effectively. Through generating robust data on the importance of eye health in relation to sustainable development, quality of life, health in general, economics and the rapidly growing need for services. Work in a more coordinated and effective manner to communicate with governments, industry, philanthropists, donors, and the community about the importance of eye health.

Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated patient-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.

Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation. To contribute to this process, strengthen regional and national professional bodies for eye health practitioners.

Develop research capacity for eye health in low- and middle-income countries, particularly for epidemiological, implementation and health systems research.

Challenge	Global							High-Income							South Asia							North Africa and Middle East						
	Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank	
	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2
Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated people-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.	3.45	3.40	2.75	2.70	3.08	16	1	3.43	3.48	2.60	2.45	2.99	16	4	3.59	3.52	2.84	2.73	3.17	14	1	3.41	3.50	2.69	2.84	3.11	10	3
Improve child eye health: integrate evidence-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.	3.41	3.40	3.13	3.14	3.27	3	2	3.22	3.43	2.97	3.05	3.17	4	22	3.48	3.27	3.16	3.09	3.25	7	2	3.13	3.44	3.19	3.19	3.23	4	1
Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investi	3.54	3.38	3.03	2.53	3.12	13	3	3.50	3.38	2.91	2.36	3.04	12	25	3.68	3.41	3.09	2.66	3.21	9	7	3.50	3.31	2.97	2.59	3.09	12	22
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.	3.48	3.32	3.01	2.93	3.18	7	4	3.43	3.26	2.86	2.83	3.09	9	9	2.91	3.48	3.25	3.07	3.18	13	8	3.34	3.31	3.09	2.84	3.15	7	2
Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.	3.42	3.79	3.09	2.76	3.26	4	5	3.36	3.93	3.02	2.53	3.21	2	1	3.43	3.77	3.16	2.86	3.31	5	5	3.47	3.88	3.22	2.94	3.38	1	9
Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation (including strengthening	3.24	3.06	2.68	2.89	2.97	28	6	3.02	2.88	2.50	2.78	2.79	37	26	3.36	3.00	2.89	3.09	3.09	23	4	3.22	2.97	2.56	2.84	2.90	29	10
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that	3.47	3.44	2.94	2.85	3.18	8	7	3.34	3.31	2.84	2.69	3.05	10	5	3.43	3.48	2.84	2.91	3.16	15	23	3.31	3.41	3.03	2.72	3.12	9	11
Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. e.g. reduce barriers to accessing services and increase demand through greater	3.42	3.41	2.93	2.88	3.16	11	8	3.34	3.53	2.84	2.83	3.14	7	2	3.52	3.32	2.93	2.86	3.16	16	15	3.25	3.47	2.91	2.63	3.06	15	5
Strengthen the health information system (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems.	3.10	2.95	2.66	2.80	2.88	34	9	2.97	2.79	2.60	2.74	2.78	39	14	3.25	3.02	2.73	2.70	2.93	33	3	3.00	2.97	2.66	2.78	2.85	34	7
Develop and implement effective, accessible and inexpensive pathway approaches for screening, diagnosing, monitoring and managing glaucoma.	3.47	3.13	2.96	2.61	3.04	21	10	3.50	3.03	2.93	2.50	2.99	16	17	3.48	2.91	2.75	2.64	2.94	31	33	3.22	3.03	3.03	2.53	2.95	23	12
Develop and implement evidence-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.	3.51	3.27	2.95	2.93	3.17	10	11	3.48	3.26	2.62	2.83	3.05	10	7	3.68	3.30	3.07	2.84	3.22	8	12	3.44	3.16	2.84	2.78	3.05	16	6
Develop research capacity for eye health in low- and middle-income countries, particularly for epidemiological, implementation and health systems research.	3.12	3.05	2.49	2.85	2.88	33	12	3.09	3.17	2.34	2.69	2.82	34	27	3.18	2.93	2.55	2.80	2.86	36	9	2.97	2.88	2.53	2.72	2.77	37	34
Develop updated short- and long-term global, regional and national strategies to follow Vision 2020	3.18	3.04	2.60	3.14	2.99	26	13	2.95	3.02	2.45	3.07	2.87	29	32	3.43	3.14	2.73	3.16	3.11	20	6	2.91	2.81	2.47	2.84	2.76	38	19
Develop affordable diagnostic technology to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.	3.32	3.23	2.96	2.78	3.07	17	14	3.26	3.17	2.84	2.83	3.03	13	10	3.30	3.16	2.89	2.61	2.99	28	13	3.19	3.28	2.84	2.78	3.02	20	14
Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.	3.54	3.37	3.35	3.14	3.35	1	15	3.33	3.34	3.24	3.05	3.24	1	11	3.66	3.34	3.45	3.39	3.46	1	26	3.47	3.44	3.41	3.00	3.33	2	16
Train more people in general, public health and sub-speciality ophthalmology and other cadres for the eye health workforce, particularly in regions where numbers of practitioners are limited. Strengthen and expand eye health training through online learning.	3.46	3.23	2.85	2.95	3.13	12	16	3.29	3.16	2.74	2.90	3.02	14	21	3.45	3.23	2.91	2.86	3.11	20	28	3.47	3.31	2.94	3.03	3.19	5	21
Harness the potential for big data and the application of artificial intelligence, integrating them into eye health systems, with special attention to creating systems appropriate for low-resource settings.	3.04	2.82	2.50	2.56	2.73	40	17	2.91	2.74	2.36	2.60	2.66	45	8	3.09	2.70	2.52	2.57	2.72	40	20	3.03	2.91	2.41	2.63	2.74	40	24
Stop the pandemic of high myopia, through encouraging all children to spend time outside each day, developing technology to detect progressive myopia, identifying interventions to prevent it and control	3.34	2.81	2.57	2.84	2.89	32	18	3.17	2.59	2.16	2.74	2.66	44	15	3.45	2.86	2.73	2.84	2.97	30	17	3.41	2.84	2.63	2.84	2.93	25	18
Strengthen planning by national and regional governments for eye health human resource development.	3.25	3.11	2.59	2.83	2.95	29	19	3.14	3.07	2.48	2.69	2.84	31	29	3.48	3.09	2.70	2.82	3.02	25	19	3.13	3.06	2.53	2.78	2.88	30	38
Invest in high-quality implementation science research around how to effectively deliver, scale-up and sustain universal health coverage for eye health; building on interventions that are already known to work.	3.28	3.07	2.53	2.81	2.92	31	20	3.41	3.14	2.57	2.91	3.01	15	3	3.41	3.11	2.68	2.73	2.98	29	11	2.88	2.69	2.25	2.75	2.64	45	39
Build a stronger advocacy case for investing in eye health and communicate this more effectively. Through generating robust data on the importance of eye health in relation to sustainable development, quality of life, health in general, economics and the rapidly growing need for services. Work in a more coordinated and effective manner to communicate with governments, industry, philanthropists, donors, and the community about the importance of eye health.	3.22	3.09	2.63	2.98	2.98	27	21	2.98	2.98	2.41	2.98	2.84	33	33	3.32	2.95	2.73	3.05	3.01	26	24	3.13	3.03	2.47	2.81	2.86	32	50
Establish and implement minimum standards for eye health care for use around the world.	3.12	3.06	2.66	2.89	2.93	30	22	3.02	3.21	2.55	2.78	2.89	26	23	3.20	3.02	2.89	2.93	3.01	26	14	3.06	2.88	2.53	2.97	2.86	32	31
Implement policies to recruit, retain and motivate ophthalmic personnel to deliver more productive services where they are most needed, including in the public sector or hard to reach communities.	3.38	3.33	2.94	2.75	3.10	15	23	3.17	3.16	2.90	2.72	2.99	18	42	3.59	3.43	3.02	2.77	3.20	10	29	3.16	3.25	2.84	2.78	3.01	22	17
Develop more effective, longer-lasting, safer and affordable treatments for diabetic retinopathy to halt progression and preserve vision (e.g. anti-VEGF therapies).	3.48	2.96	2.89	2.71	3.01	24	24	3.50	2.79	2.74	2.52	2.89	26	34	3.39	2.70	2.89	2.75	2.93	32	35	3.47	3.09	3.03	2.94	3.13	8	8
Develop and implement strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.	3.41	3.66	3.12	2.65	3.21	5	25	3.31	3.74	3.12	2.47	3.16	6	40	3.57	3.75	3.14	2.86	3.33	4	32	3.22	3.59	3.00	2.59	3.10	11	23
Improve access to and quality of rehabilitation services for people with vision impairment, ensuring children with low vision can access support and good quality education, and adults are able to engage effectively in the workplace and society.	2.95	3.36	2.90	2.76	2.99	25	26	2.93	3.22	2.88	2.79	2.96	22	20	2.98	3.23	2.82	2.68	2.93	33	34	2.97	3.53	2.94	2.78	3.05	16	15
Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.	3.63	3.43	3.23	3.07	3.34	2	27	3.43	3.19	3.05	3.03	3.18	3	30	3.82	3.50	3.25	3.18	3.44	2	37	3.44	3.47	3.38	3.00	3.32	3	27
Develop competency-based training, responsive to local needs, including assessment and audit approaches to identify gaps and training needs of ophthalmic personnel and all relevant non-eye health care personnel to delineate roles and responsibilities of different cadres.	3.32	3.02	2.81	3.03	3.05	20	28	3.09	2.98	2.69	2.97	2.93	25	43	3.48	3.09	3.02	2.98	3.14	17	30	3.16	2.94	2.63	2.91	2.91	27	42
Develop technology to support strengthened integration / referral systems, including telemedicine and mHealth.	3.22	3.12	2.99	2.91	3.06	19	29	3.05	2.97	2.86	3.00	2.97	21	13	3.43	3.27	3.07	2.95	3.18	12	27	2.97	3.00	2.94	2.88	2.95	23	28
Develop a more complete understanding of the epidemiology of eye disease across the life course (prevalence, distribution, incidence, risk factors) to support the development of approaches to prevent and slow progression of disease, and addressing poverty, literacy/education, living standards, access to clean air and water and sanitation.	3.18	2.94	2.30	2.83	2.81	37	30	3.00	3.02	2.05	2.81	2.72	43	28	3.32	2.91	2.36	2.80	2.85	37	21	3.19	2.75	2.25	2.59	2.70	43	13
Develop efficient, effective and productive eyecare systems (with reduced waiting times) to respond to increased demand for services by a growing and ageing population.	3.44	3.21	2.92	2.69	3.07	18	31	3.40	3.09	2.88	2.55	2.98	19	12	3.59	3.36	2.98	2.89	3.20	10	40	3.41	3.22	2.97	2.72	3.08	13	53
Improve procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost.	3.30	3.15	3.13	2.83	3.10	14	32	3.10	3.16	2.93	2.62	2.95	23	41	3.41	3.20	2.93	2.84	3.10	22	39	3.22	3.06	3.19	2.75	3.05	16	35
Develop an international standard core indicator set for eye health and eye care services, which can be used within a national statistics register and inter-country comparisons.	2.88	2.89	2.51	2.98	2.82	36	33	2.74	2.93	2.34	2.97	2.75	42	18	3.05	3.00	2.61	2.89	2.89	35	16	2.88	3.06	2.50	2.75	2.80	36	36
Develop high-quality economic analyses for eye health and improve the precision of estimates through global data collection collaborations. In order to make the case for increased resource allocation and to	3.01	2.92	2.51	2.89	2.83	35	34	2.88	2.90	2.28	2.98	2.76	40	31	3.07	2.70	2.59	2.77	2.78	39	18	2.94	2.75	2.47	2.75	2.73	41	46
Strengthen public-private partnerships for delivery of services to contributing towards Universal Health Coverage for eye health.	3.26	3.09	2.89	2.84	3.02	23	35	2.98	2.93	2.59	2.64	2.78	38	57	3.61	3.50	3.14	3.20	3.36	3	10	2.97	2.94	2.88	2.72	2.88	30	44
Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure	3.49	3.16	3.10	2.95	3.18	8	36	3.43	3.09	2.95	2.95	3.10	8	44	3.39	3.09	3.11	2.89	3.12	18	31	3.44	3.19	3.19	2.94	3.19	5	41
Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.	3.41	3.44	3.06	2.85	3.19	6	37	3.38	3.53	3.03	2.71	3.16	5	47	3.66	3.45	3.16	2.91	3.30	6	38	3.06	3.41	2.94	2.88	3.07	14	26
Strengthen monitoring, audit and feedback on cataract and other surgical services to improve quality, including better use of technology and inclusion in national reporting.	3.20	2.96	2.92	3.01	3.02	22	38	2.81	2.76	2.79	3.02	2.84	31	66	3.36	2.93	2.91	3.07	3.07	24	50	3.25	3.13	2.91	2.84	3.03	19	43
Promote coordinated action to address key regional and global research priorities, to secure funding, make more rapid advances and reduce research waste through global collaborative studies.	3.01	2.85	2.51	2.84	2.80	38	39	3.09	2.98	2.36	2.81	2.81	35	19	2.93	2.84	2.68	2.93	2.85	37	36	2.84	2.63	2.38	2.81	2.66	44	54
Develop further effective prevention and long-lasting, low-cost treatment approaches for wet and dry AMD	3.18	2.75	2.62	2.39	2.73	39	40	3.52	2.76	2.76	2.36	2.85	30	16	3.00	2.68	2.48	2.48	2.66	41	47	3.22	2.75	2.66	2.38	2.75	39	33
Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant health promotion / disease prevention strategies for eye health, that address underlying risk factors and lead to Healthy	.	.	.	.	.	.	42	3.00	2.84	2.34	2.84	2.76	40	6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.03	3.00	2.50	2.88	2.85	34	32
Disaggregate all eye health data to monitor inequality between social groups (e.g. sex/gender, place of residence, socioeconomic status) and use the data to set targets to address inequities. - Disease burden	.	.	.	.	.	.	43	2.72	3.58	2.45	2.77	2.88	28	39	3.02	3.53	2.84	3.07	3.12	19	22	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Implement a team-based service delivery model with clearly set roles and tasks across cadres, and improve the integration of optometrists, refractionists and other allied ophthalmic personnel.	.	.	.	.	.	.	46	3.17	2.97	2.86	2.91	2.98	19	36	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.19	2.84	2.88	2.75	2.91	27	30
Enable participation of people and communities with eye health problems in policy, practice and research development.	.	.	.	.	.	.	47	2.69	3.16	2.52	2.88	2.81	35	35	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.69	3.03	2.53	2.59	2.71	42	37
Strengthen the provision of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) services at country level, for prevention, screening, treatment, follow-up and rehabilitation	.	.	.	.	.	.	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.19	2.97	3.13	2.81	3.02	20	40
Distribute spending in eye health in line with the relative magnitude of the problem, and the benefit / cost-benefit.	.	.	.	.	.	.	50	3.38	3.09	2.84	2.43	2.94	24	38	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Reduce sight loss from corneal infection, through promoting prevention and early detection strategies; strengthen the ability of ophthalmic and general health workers to identify and appropriately manage eye	.	.	.	.	.	.	51	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Create effective and sustainable networks of eye banks within each country, including donor supply and corneal transplant services.	.	.	.	.	.	.	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Increase understanding of the association between vision impairment and quality of life, aging, dementia, depression, functional decline and mortality and the impact of treatment and vision rehabilitation on	.	.	.	.	.	.	54	2.66	2.74	2.21	2.86	2.62	46	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop population-specific risk calculators to provide risk predictions for the development and progression of specific eye conditions and guide the provision of screening, monitoring and treatment	.	.	.	.	.	.	59	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Reduce the environmental impact of providing eye care services, through improved practices. (e.g. decarbonize energy and supply chains, increase efficiency of practices worldwide, and develop a circular	.	.	.	.	.	.	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop regional and national mechanisms for health technology assessment (including system and patient pathway) to identify the most effective, efficient and appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic	.	.	.	.	.	.	64	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop treatment approaches to repair / regenerate the retina and central nervous system for conditions where impaired vision is due to vascular or neurological damage (e.g. ischaemia, glaucoma).	.	.	.	.	.	.	67	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop new treatments to rehabilitate vision from corneal blindness for example developing tissue-engineered corneas for transplantation (artificial corneas) and enable corneal limbal regeneration.	.	.	.	.	.	.	68	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Challenge	Sub-Saharan Africa							Latin America & Caribbean							Southeast Asia, East Asia & Oceania							Central Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia						
	Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank		Round 3 Criteria					Rank	
	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2	Disease burden reduction	Inequality reduction	Immediacy	Feasibility	Round 3 Combined Score	Round 3	Round 2
Encourage governments to prioritise delivering integrated people-centred eye health care services for Universal Health Coverage.	3.43	3.37	2.80	2.83	3.11	22	2	3.54	3.34	2.97	2.74	3.15	22	6	3.45	3.40	2.67	2.69	3.05	14	13	3.21	2.95	2.68	2.47	2.83	29	50
Improve child eye health: integrate evidence-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.	3.48	3.38	3.10	3.15	3.28	8	6	3.63	3.51	3.29	3.14	3.39	1	2	3.48	3.40	3.14	3.21	3.31	2	10	3.42	3.47	3.26	3.21	3.34	2	1
Ensure financing for eye health exists within national budgets / financing structures and increase the investi	3.62	3.47	3.13	2.56	3.19	14	1	3.60	3.46	3.03	2.66	3.19	14	1	3.50	3.26	3.02	2.55	3.08	13	29	3.00	3.00	2.79	2.26	2.76	34	20
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.	3.61	3.43	3.12	3.03	3.30	7	3	3.54	3.29	3.06	2.83	3.18	16	7	3.50	3.36	2.90	2.98	3.18	7	11	3.05	3.00	2.84	2.79	2.92	22	9
Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.	3.54	3.81	3.13	2.86	3.33	3	14	3.40	3.60	3.09	2.66	3.19	14	5	3.29	3.88	3.00	2.71	3.22	4	3	3.25	3.35	2.85	2.60	3.01	12	42
Strengthen leadership and public health expertise across all levels of eye health care, and ensure national level leadership has the ability to influence policy and resource allocation (including strengthening	3.33	3.11	2.73	2.89	3.01	28	8	3.40	3.34	2.89	2.89	3.13	26	3	3.12	3.19	2.55	3.00	2.96	20	1	3.11	2.79	2.63	2.58	2.78	32	39
Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that	3.61	3.50	2.94	2.90	3.24	12	4	3.60	3.63	3.29	3.00	3.38	2	13	3.43	3.45	2.88	2.76	3.13	10	6	3.37	3.05	2.84	3.00	3.07	9	23
Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. e.g. reduce barriers to accessing services and increase demand through greater	3.56	3.49	3.10	3.11	3.31	4	16	3.43	3.49	3.06	2.86	3.21	12	10	3.36	3.26	2.69	2.74	3.01	15	7	3.10	2.85	2.70	2.60	2.81	31	17
Strengthen the health information system (HIS) for eye health within health facilities, integrating them into national systems.	3.16	2.99	2.65	2.94	2.94	32	12	3.23	3.09	2.74	2.74	2.95	39	12	3.05	3.02	2.69	2.88	2.91	27	4	2.75	2.50	2.50	2.38	2.53	43	49
Develop and implement effective, accessible and inexpensive pathway approaches for screening, diagnosing, monitoring and managing glaucoma.	3.61	3.29	3.01	2.73	3.16	16	5	3.57	3.31	3.17	2.63	3.17	17	11	3.17	2.95	2.69	2.52	2.83	34	31	3.48	3.29	3.24	2.57	3.14	6	2
Develop and implement evidence-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.	3.53	3.40	3.02	3.03	3.25	10	22	3.57	3.40	3.26	3.06	3.32	4	31	3.43	3.07	3.00	2.98	3.12	11	12	3.29	2.95	2.86	2.90	3.00	13	8
Develop research capacity for eye health in low- and middle-income countries, particularly for epidemiological, implementation and health systems research.	3.14	3.08	2.53	3.05	2.95	31	10	3.29	3.06	2.54	2.80	2.92	41	19	3.02	3.10	2.26	2.90	2.82	36	25	3.11	3.00	2.95	2.63	2.92	22	19
Develop updated short- and long-term global, regional and national strategies to follow Vision 2020	3.29	3.11	2.68	3.27	3.09	23	13	3.46	3.26	2.86	3.06	3.16	21	32	2.95	2.83	2.36	3.21	2.84	32	19	3.16	3.00	2.68	3.11	2.99	15	3
Develop affordable diagnostic technology to take clinical measurements (visual function, intraocular pressure, anterior chamber depth), images (retina) and monitor conditions (e.g. via smart-phone, biosensors, wearables) in a primary care setting, improving access to services.	3.34	3.26	3.05	2.80	3.11	20	31	3.46	3.31	3.06	2.83	3.16	19	34	3.43	3.24	2.98	2.76	3.10	12	5	3.24	3.10	3.05	2.81	3.05	11	4
Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.	3.65	3.37	3.39	3.17	3.40	2	23	3.51	3.46	3.29	2.94	3.30	6	14	3.60	3.38	3.31	3.31	3.40	1	8	3.26	3.21	3.32	2.95	3.18	3	31
Train more people in general, public health and sub-speciality ophthalmology and other cadres for the eye health workforce, particularly in regions where numbers of practitioners are limited. Strengthen and expand eye health training through online learning.	3.58	3.30	2.92	3.08	3.22	13	11	3.57	3.43	3.06	2.91	3.24	10	20	3.43	3.12	2.52	2.83	2.98	17	32	3.21	2.89	2.89	2.84	2.96	17	35
Harness the potential for big data and the application of artificial intelligence, integrating them into eye health systems, with special attention to creating systems appropriate for low-resource settings.	3.08	2.84	2.45	2.48	2.71	40	30	3.20	3.11	2.69	2.31	2.83	44	35	3.05	2.81	2.62	2.79	2.82	37	9	2.81	2.63	2.75	2.63	2.70	37	28
Stop the pandemic of high myopia, through encouraging all children to spend time outside each day, developing technology to detect progressive myopia, identifying interventions to prevent it and control	3.33	2.88	2.65	2.88	2.93	33	38	3.37	2.97	2.69	2.83	2.96	36	23	3.43	2.69	2.50	2.81	2.86	30	2	3.24	2.86	2.86	3.00	2.99	14	10
Strengthen planning by national and regional governments for eye health human resource development.	3.38	3.21	2.71	3.04	3.08	25	20	3.23	3.20	2.63	2.77	2.96	38	8	3.02	3.00	2.31	2.76	2.77	38	14	3.11	2.95	2.68	2.53	2.82	30	18
Invest in high-quality implementation science research around how to effectively deliver, scale-up and sustain universal health coverage for eye health; building on interventions that are already known to work.	3.33	3.11	2.60	2.89	2.98	30	32	3.46	3.17	2.63	2.60	2.96	36	37	3.14	3.12	2.31	2.88	2.86	29	23	2.95	2.95	2.53	2.58	2.75	35	29
Build a stronger advocacy case for investing in eye health and communicate this more effectively. Through generating robust data on the importance of eye health in relation to sustainable development, quality of life, health in general, economics and the rapidly growing need for services. Work in a more coordinated and effective manner to communicate with governments, industry, philanthropists, donors, and the community about the importance of eye health.	3.37	3.21	2.75	3.11	3.11	21	7	3.40	3.37	2.91	2.89	3.14	23	46	3.10	2.93	2.43	2.93	2.85	31	15	2.95	2.95	2.58	2.63	2.78	32	45
Establish and implement minimum standards for eye health care for use around the world.	3.13	3.01	2.62	2.89	2.91	35	37	3.31	3.11	2.83	3.00	3.06	28	4	3.07	3.17	2.64	2.90	2.95	22	18	3.05	2.89	2.63	2.79	2.84	28	7
Implement policies to recruit, retain and motivate ophthalmic personnel to deliver more productive services where they are most needed, including in the public sector or hard to reach communities.	3.60	3.51	3.01	2.83	3.24	11	9	3.37	3.29	3.00	2.89	3.14	25	29	3.24	3.24	2.79	2.55	2.95	21	38	3.11	3.00	2.84	2.58	2.88	25	40
Develop more effective, longer-lasting, safer and affordable treatments for diabetic retinopathy to halt progression and preserve vision (e.g. anti-VEGF therapies).	3.50	3.04	2.85	2.71	3.02	27	18	3.66	3.31	3.09	2.71	3.19	13	22	3.38	2.79	2.83	2.71	2.93	24	30	3.38	3.05	3.05	2.86	3.08	8	6
Develop and implement strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.	3.54	3.71	3.20	2.74	3.30	6	28	3.51	3.54	3.09	2.54	3.17	17	17	3.38	3.69	3.17	2.64	3.22	4	16	2.90	3.25	2.85	2.55	2.89	24	52
Improve access to and quality of rehabilitation services for people with vision impairment, ensuring children with low vision can access support and good quality education, and adults are able to engage effectively in the workplace and society.	2.87	3.40	2.91	2.83	3.00	29	25	3.29	3.57	3.17	2.63	3.16	19	24	2.79	3.40	2.76	2.74	2.92	25	55	3.05	3.05	2.90	2.80	2.95	18	48
Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.	3.73	3.49	3.32	3.15	3.42	1	17	3.74	3.60	3.23	2.91	3.37	3	39	3.60	3.31	3.10	2.90	3.23	3	39	3.53	3.53	3.32	3.26	3.41	1	15
Develop competency-based training, responsive to local needs, including assessment and audit approaches to identify gaps and training needs of ophthalmic personnel and all relevant non-eye health care personnel to delineate roles and responsibilities of different cadres.	3.44	3.03	2.93	3.18	3.15	18	26	3.37	3.09	2.80	2.86	3.03	33	16	3.29	3.02	2.57	3.02	2.98	17	28	3.26	3.00	2.95	3.05	3.07	9	11
Develop technology to support strengthened integration / referral systems, including telemedicine and mHealth.	3.27	3.13	3.04	2.86	3.07	26	39	3.29	3.20	3.03	2.91	3.11	27	21	3.36	3.21	3.02	2.98	3.14	8	37	3.05	3.05	2.86	2.81	2.94	19	14
Develop a more complete understanding of the epidemiology of eye disease across the life course (prevalence, distribution, incidence, risk factors) to support the development of approaches to prevent and slow progression of disease, and addressing poverty, literacy/education, living standards, access to clean air and water and sanitation.	3.30	3.04	2.39	2.84	2.89	36	34	3.46	3.14	2.69	2.80	3.02	34	27	2.88	2.79	2.07	3.14	2.72	42	57	2.84	2.47	2.32	2.68	2.58	40	16
Develop efficient, effective and productive eyecare systems (with reduced waiting times) to respond to increased demand for services by a growing and ageing population.	3.47	3.25	2.97	2.70	3.10	24	41	3.60	3.49	3.23	2.77	3.27	8	9	3.29	2.95	2.55	2.57	2.84	32	17	3.20	3.00	2.85	2.70	2.94	20	47
Improve procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost.	3.51	3.19	3.35	2.99	3.26	9	15	3.46	3.40	3.23	2.91	3.25	9	47	3.07	3.00	3.07	2.74	2.97	19	41	2.95	2.75	2.90	2.80	2.85	27	30
Develop an international standard core indicator set for eye health and eye care services, which can be used within a national statistics register and inter-country comparisons.	2.95	2.83	2.58	3.14	2.88	39	48	3.09	3.06	2.63	2.94	2.93	40	28	2.62	2.74	2.36	2.93	2.66	44	22	2.75	2.44	2.63	3.00	2.70	37	26
Develop high-quality economic analyses for eye health and improve the precision of estimates through global data collection collaborations. In order to make the case for increased resource allocation and to	3.09	2.98	2.63	2.97	2.92	34	36	3.17	3.26	2.77	2.83	3.01	35	43	2.88	2.95	2.26	2.90	2.75	39	20	2.89	2.74	2.53	2.79	2.74	36	61
Strengthen public-private partnerships for delivery of services to contributing towards Universal Health Coverage for eye health.	3.39	3.15	3.04	2.91	3.13	19	24	3.51	3.37	3.09	2.89	3.21	11	44	3.14	2.79	2.74	2.86	2.88	28	47	2.84	2.74	2.47	2.32	2.59	39	62
Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure	3.55	3.14	3.03	2.98	3.18	15	33	3.66	3.43	3.34	2.80	3.31	5	33	3.50	3.17	3.10	3.07	3.21	6	42	3.42	3.11	3.32	2.89	3.18	3	38
Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.	3.57	3.51	3.13	2.95	3.29	5	29	3.26	3.31	3.11	2.89	3.14	23	53	3.38	3.43	2.93	2.81	3.14	9	34	3.00	3.05	2.89	2.58	2.88	25	43
Strengthen monitoring, audit and feedback on cataract and other surgical services to improve quality, including better use of technology and inclusion in national reporting.	3.37	3.05	3.05	3.15	3.15	16	19	3.31	3.06	2.91	2.86	3.04	32	41	3.07	2.90	2.81	2.88	2.92	26	26	3.00	2.88	2.88	2.94	2.92	21	21
Promote coordinated action to address key regional and global research priorities, to secure funding, make more rapid advances and reduce research waste through global collaborative studies.	3.10	2.88	2.61	2.89	2.87	38	53	3.17	3.03	2.69	2.77	2.91	42	42	2.93	2.76	2.33	2.88	2.73	40	27	2.74	2.47	2.32	2.53	2.51	44	32
Develop further effective prevention and long-lasting, low-cost treatment approaches for wet and dry AMD	3.01	2.67	2.44	2.36	2.62	41	73	3.26	2.91	2.77	2.37	2.83	44	54	3.17	2.69	2.69	2.36	2.73	40	51	3.24	3.14	2.95	2.57	2.98	16	5
Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant health promotion / disease prevention strategies for eye health, that address underlying risk factors and lead to Healthy	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.40	3.17	2.74	2.86	3.04	31	40	3.10	2.93	2.31	3.00	2.83	34	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Disaggregate all eye health data to monitor inequality between social groups (e.g. sex/gender, place of residence, socioeconomic status) and use the data to set targets to address inequities. - Disease burden	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.03	3.59	2.71	2.88	3.05	30	25	2.67	3.60	2.57	2.90	2.93	23	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Implement a team-based service delivery model with clearly set roles and tasks across cadres, and improve the integration of optometrists, refractionists and other allied ophthalmic personnel.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.43	3.17	2.91	2.74	3.06	28	26	3.26	2.93	2.79	3.07	3.01	15	35	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Enable participation of people and communities with eye health problems in policy, practice and research development.	2.98	3.17	2.64	2.78	2.89	37	40	3.06	3.11	2.57	2.74	2.87	43	30	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Strengthen the provision of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) services at country level, for prevention, screening, treatment, follow-up and rehabilitation	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.54	3.29	3.37	2.94	3.29	7	15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.33	3.14	3.19	2.95	3.155	5	22
Distribute spending in eye health in line with the relative magnitude of the problem, and the benefit / cost-benefit.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Reduce sight loss from corneal infection, through promoting prevention and early detection strategies; strengthen the ability of ophthalmic and general health workers to identify and appropriately manage eye	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.32	3.05	3.05	3.00	3.11	7	34
Create effective and sustainable networks of eye banks within each country, including donor supply and corneal transplant services.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.79	2.58	2.68	2.26	2.58	40	12
Increase understanding of the association between vision impairment and quality of life, aging, dementia, depression, functional decline and mortality and the impact of treatment and vision rehabilitation on	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop population-specific risk calculators to provide risk predictions for the development and progression of specific eye conditions and guide the provision of screening, monitoring and treatment	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.63	2.37	2.32	2.58	2.47	45	36
Reduce the environmental impact of providing eye care services, through improved practices. (e.g. decarbonize energy and supply chains, increase efficiency of practices worldwide, and develop a circular	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.17	2.26	1.98	2.45	2.21	45	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop regional and national mechanisms for health technology assessment (including system and patient pathway) to identify the most effective, efficient and appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.88	2.71	2.50	2.64	2.68	43	36	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Develop treatment approaches to repair / regenerate the retina and central nervous system for conditions where impaired vision is due to vascular or neurological damage (e.g. ischaemia, glaucoma).	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3.11	2.63	2.42	2.16	2.58	40	37
Develop new treatments to rehabilitate vision from corneal blindness for example developing tissue-engineered corneas for transplantation (artificial corneas) and enable corneal limbal regeneration.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2.84	2.53	2.21	2.11	2.42	46	33

Top 10 priorities for the two regions with the highest proportion of participants non-residents from high income countries

Rank		Top 10 priorities after Round 3 Southeast Asia, East Asia and Oceania
All 42 participants	21 permanent residents	
1	1	Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.
2	2	Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.
3	8	Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.
4	6	Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.
5	11	Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.
6	12	Develop and implement 'one-stop' services for people with diabetes, through integrating diabetic retinopathy screening services with general diabetes care and developing robust systems to ensure ongoing follow-up and referral for diabetic retinopathy assessment and treatment as appropriate.
7	9	Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.
8	7	Develop technology to support strengthened integration / referral systems, including telemedicine and mHealth.
9	3	Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.
10	4	Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye care at the primary care level and with other medical services (e.g. child health, diabetes / NCD services); ensuring that services are widely accessible, affordable and of high quality, meeting the primary eye care needs of the population.

Rank		Top 10 priorities after Round 3 Sub-Saharan Africa
All 104 participants	74 permanent residents	
1	6	Identify and implement strategies to improve the quality, productivity, equity and access of cataract services.
2	2	Develop models to encourage population demand and ensure access to accurate refraction and affordable, good quality spectacles.
3	1	Develop and implement services that prioritise, by design, reaching marginalised or vulnerable groups (women, poor communities, Indigenous people, ethnic minorities, people with disabilities, people in aged care, prisons, refugee camps) and people living in rural communities with quality, affordable eye services.
4	3	Develop and implement appropriately responsive programmes to increase the access to / use of eye health services and treatment. Through reducing barriers to accessing services and increasing demand through greater awareness of need and confidence in the health care provision.
5	4	Increased support to geographical regions with particularly severe eye health human resource shortages, by international bodies, professional bodies/colleges, NGOs.
6	10	Develop and rigorously test strategies that reduce out of pocket costs for those requiring eye care who are unable to afford full-cost services e.g. subsidy, tiered pricing, insurance.
7	5	Develop and implement evidence-based strategies for the effective integration of eye health services between the primary and secondary / tertiary level improving referral pathways; ensuring that there is recognition of those who need secondary level care and that there is a timely, reliable, accessible and affordable mechanism connecting people to the care they need.
8	8	Improve child eye health: integrate evidenced-based primary eye care services for children into general children's health services and ensure strong connections to secondary eye care services. Develop and implement sustainable school eye health programmes, including screening and management for refractive error / amblyopia, that are well-integrated within education services.
9	7	Improve procurement of equipment, medicines and consumables to ensure availability, quality, and reduce cost.
10	12	Identify and implement evidenced-based, effective, sustainable and context-relevant screening / early detection strategies for eye conditions.