

2. Hecht S, Haig C, Chase AM. The influence of light adaptation on subsequent dark adaptation of the eye. *J Gen Physiol* 1937; 20:831-50.
3. Lamb TD, Pugh EN Jr. Dark adaptation and the retinoid cycle of vision. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2004;23:307-80.
4. Cameron AM, Mahroo OA, Lamb TD. Dark adaptation of human rod bipolar cells measured from the b-wave of the scotopic electroretinogram. *J Physiol* 2006;575:507-26.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1514294

Failure of Dual Antimicrobial Therapy in Treatment of Gonorrhea

TO THE EDITOR: Resistance to all antimicrobial agents has developed in some *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strains. Dual antimicrobial therapy (ceftriaxone plus azithromycin) is a recommended first-line empirical treatment in many countries.¹⁻³ We describe treatment failure with dual therapy in a patient with gonorrhea.

In December 2014, a heterosexual man presented to a sexual health clinic in the United Kingdom with a 2-week history of urogenital symptoms (Table 1). Ten days previously, he had returned from Japan, where his Japanese female partner had been treated for gonorrhea. He reported having no other recent sexual partners.

N. gonorrhoeae was detected in a urine specimen and pharyngeal swab on nucleic acid amplification testing (Abbott RealTime CT/NG assay) and in a culture of a urethral specimen. All *N. gonorrhoeae*-positive specimens on nucleic acid amplification testing were also confirmed as positive with the use of a duplex polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) assay targeting the *porA* pseudogene and *opa* genes. According to the local laboratory, testing with the disk-diffusion method showed that the *N. gonorrhoeae* strain was resistant to cefuroxime, ciprofloxacin, and tetracycline. The patient declined to undergo testing for syphilis and human immunodeficiency virus infection.

The patient received one dose of ceftriaxone intramuscularly at a dose of 500 mg plus 1 g of azithromycin orally.³ At the test of cure on day 15, a urine specimen was negative, but a pharyngeal swab remained positive for *N. gonorrhoeae* on the identical nucleic acid amplification test. The patient reported that he did not have sexual contact after treatment, and he did not return until day 79, when a pharyngeal swab was positive for *N. gonorrhoeae* on the nucleic acid amplification test.

On day 98, *N. gonorrhoeae* was detected in a pharyngeal sample on the nucleic acid amplification test and culture. The patient received one dose of ceftriaxone at a dose of 1 g intramuscularly plus azithromycin at a dose of 2 g orally.³ At the test of cure on day 112, the pharyngeal specimen was negative (according to the nucleic acid amplification test). Initial pre-treatment specimens were unavailable for further analysis.

The *N. gonorrhoeae* species was verified with the use of the Phadebact Monoclonal GC Test and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing with the use of Etest showed that the strain was resistant to ceftriaxone, azithromycin, cefixime, cefotaxime, penicillin, tetracycline, and ciprofloxacin, but it was susceptible to spectinomycin. Whole-genome sequencing of one isolate with the use of Illumina MiSeq (BioProject accession number PRJNA305360) and conventional sequencing identified *N. gonorrhoeae* multilocus sequence type ST1901 and a new *N. gonorrhoeae* multiantigen sequence type ST12133 in all specimens (the isolate and PCR specimens). Resistance determinants,¹ mosaic penicillin-binding protein 2 X (which decreases ceftriaxone target affinity), deletion of one adenine in the *mtrR* promoter (which increases MtrCDE efflux of ceftriaxone and azithromycin), and *penB* (which decreases PorB influx of ceftriaxone and azithromycin) were detected in all specimens.

The patient was considered to have treatment failure because the post-treatment isolate was resistant to ceftriaxone and azithromycin, all specimens contained resistance determinants and identical sequence types, and reinfection was deemed to be unlikely. The *N. gonorrhoeae* strain that caused the failure belonged to the identical *N. gonorrhoeae* multiantigen sequence

Table 1. Failure of Dual Antimicrobial Therapy in a Patient with Gonorrhoea.*

Day, Symptoms, and Test Results	Ceftriaxone MIC (mg/liter) †	Azithromycin	Multilocus Sequence Type	Multiantigen Sequence Type	PBP2	<i>mtrR</i> ‡	<i>penB</i> §	23S rRNA ¶	Treatment
Day 1, urethral discharge and dysuria Positive: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> culture (urethra) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (urine and pharynx)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	One dose of ceftriaxone 500 mg intramuscularly plus azithromycin 1 g orally
Negative: <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> PCR (urine and pharynx)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Day 15, no symptoms									
Positive: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (pharynx)	NA	NA	ST1901	ST12133	PBP2 X	Adenine deletion	KD	WT	None
Negative: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (urine)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Day 79, no symptoms									
Positive: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (pharynx)	NA	NA	ST1901	ST12133	PBP2 X	Adenine deletion	KD	WT	None
Negative: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (urine)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Day 98, no symptoms									
Positive: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> culture (pharynx) and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (pharynx)	0.25, resistant	1, resistant	ST1901	ST12133	PBP2 X	Adenine deletion	KD	WT	One dose ceftriaxone 1 g intramuscularly plus azithromycin 2 g orally
Negative: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (urine)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Day 112, no symptoms									
Negative: <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> PCR (pharynx)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	None

* KD denotes lysine and aspartic acid, MIC minimum inhibitory concentration, NA not applicable, PBP2 penicillin-binding protein 2, PCR polymerase chain reaction, rRNA ribosomal RNA, and WT wild type.

† Resistance breakpoints according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (www.eucast.org) were used (i.e., MIC, >0.125 mg per liter for ceftriaxone, and MIC, >0.5 mg per liter for azithromycin). The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (<http://clsi.org>) does not state any breakpoints for azithromycin; however, isolates with a MIC of 0.25 mg per liter or less of ceftriaxone are considered to be susceptible. The gonococcal isolate was also resistant to cefixime (MIC, 0.5 mg per liter), cefotaxime (MIC, 1 mg per liter), penicillin G (MIC, 4 mg per liter), tetracycline (MIC, >32 mg per liter), and ciprofloxacin (MIC, >32 mg per liter); however, it was susceptible to spectinomycin (MIC, 12 mg per liter).

‡ Deletion of one adenine in the inverted repeat sequence of the *mtrR* promoter results in an overexpression of the *MtrCDE* efflux pump.

§ The *penB* mutations in *porB1b* decrease the influx of many antimicrobial agents through an outer membrane protein channel (PorB1b).

¶ Mutations in the macrolide target 23S rRNA result in azithromycin resistance (in positions C2611 and A2059).

type genogroup as multilocus sequence type ST1901, *N. gonorrhoeae* multiantigen sequence type ST6800, which is spreading in Japan and is associated with decreased susceptibility to cephalosporins and azithromycin.^{4,5}

In addition, the treatment failure reflected difficulties in treating pharyngeal gonorrhoea as compared with urogenital gonorrhoea.^{1,3} Pharyngeal gonorrhoea is rare in heterosexual men. However, this patient reported no homosexual exposure; this highlights the need to test all potential sites of infection. A test of cure, partner notification and treatment, and effective antimicrobial stewardship and robust surveillance need to be considered so that gonorrhoea may continue to be a treatable infection.

Helen Fifer, M.R.C.P., F.R.C.Path.

Public Health England
London, United Kingdom
helen.fifer@phe.gov.uk

Usha Natarajan, M.R.C.P.I., F.R.C.P.

Lucy Jones, B.Sc.

Virgin Care
London, United Kingdom

Sarah Alexander, Ph.D.

Gwenda Hughes, Ph.D., F.F.P.H.

Public Health England
London, United Kingdom

Daniel Golparian, M.Sc.

Magnus Unemo, Ph.D.

Örebro University
Örebro, Sweden

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this letter at NEJM.org.

1. Unemo M, Shafer WM. Antimicrobial resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in the 21st century: past, evolution, and future. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2014;27:587-613.
2. Bolan GA, Sparling PF, Wasserheit JN. The emerging threat of untreatable gonococcal infection. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:485-7.
3. Unemo M. Current and future antimicrobial treatment of gonorrhoea — the rapidly evolving *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* continues to challenge. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015;15:364.
4. Shimuta K, Unemo M, Nakayama S, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular typing of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* isolates in Kyoto and Osaka, Japan, 2010 to 2012: intensified surveillance after identification of the first strain (H041) with high-level ceftriaxone resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57:5225-32.
5. Shigemura K, Osawa K, Miura M, et al. Azithromycin resistance and its mechanism in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strains in Hyogo, Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;59:2695-9.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1512757

Correspondence Copyright © 2016 Massachusetts Medical Society.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Letters to the Editor are considered for publication, subject to editing and abridgment, provided they do not contain material that has been submitted or published elsewhere. Please note the following:

- Letters in reference to a *Journal* article must not exceed 175 words (excluding references) and must be received within 3 weeks after publication of the article.
- Letters not related to a *Journal* article must not exceed 400 words.
- A letter can have no more than five references and one figure or table.
- A letter can be signed by no more than three authors.
- Financial associations or other possible conflicts of interest must be disclosed. Disclosures will be published with the letters. (For authors of *Journal* articles who are responding to letters, we will only publish new relevant relationships that have developed since publication of the article.)
- Include your full mailing address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address with your letter.
- All letters must be submitted at authors.NEJM.org.

Letters that do not adhere to these instructions will not be considered. We will notify you when we have made a decision about possible publication. Letters regarding a recent *Journal* article may be shared with the authors of that article. We are unable to provide prepublication proofs. Submission of a letter constitutes permission for the Massachusetts Medical Society, its licensees, and its assignees to use it in the *Journal's* various print and electronic publications and in collections, revisions, and any other form or medium.

THE JOURNAL'S WEB AND E-MAIL ADDRESSES

To submit a letter to the Editor:
authors.NEJM.org

For information about the status
of a submitted manuscript:
authors.NEJM.org

To submit a meeting notice:
meetingnotices@NEJM.org

The *Journal's* web pages:
NEJM.org