

Adolescent health series

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use among Adolescents in Sub-Saharan Africa: a Narrative Review

Neo K. Morojele^{1,2,3,4}, Leane Ramsoomar^{4,5,6}, Emeka W. Dumbili^{7,8}, Saidi Kapiga^{9,10}

¹Department of Psychology, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa

²Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Pretoria, South Africa

³School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

⁴School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁵Gender and Health Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Pretoria, South Africa

⁶School of Public Health and Health Systems, University of the Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa

⁷Institute for Therapy and Health Research, Kiel, Germany

⁸Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

⁹Mwanza Intervention Trials Unit, Mwanza, Tanzania

¹⁰Department of Infectious Diseases Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Sustainable Development Goals: No poverty; Zero Hunger; Good Health and Wellbeing; Quality Education; Gender equality; Reduced Inequalities

Abstract

Alcohol, tobacco and other drug (ATOD) use by adolescents are major contributors to death and disability in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). This paper reviews the extent of adolescents' ATOD use, risk and protective factors, and studies evaluating prevention interventions for adolescents in SSA. It also describes the harms associated with adolescents' ATOD use in SSA, which include mainly interpersonal violence, sexual risk behaviours and negative academic outcomes. We use the socio-ecological model as our framework for understanding ATOD use risk and protective factors at individual, interpersonal, peer/school and societal/structural levels. We used two strategies to find literature evaluating ATOD interventions for adolescents in SSA: (a) we sought systematic reviews of adolescent ATOD interventions in SSA covering the period 2000-2020; and (b) we used a comprehensive evidence review strategy and searched for studies that had evaluated ATOD interventions in all SSA countries between 2000 and 2020. Only two community interventions (a brief intervention and an HIV prevention intervention), out of four that were identified, were partially effective in reducing adolescent ATOD. Furthermore, only one school-based intervention (HealthWise), out of six that we uncovered, had any effect on ATOD use among adolescents. Possible reasons why many interventions were not effective include methodological limitations, involvement of nonevidence-based education-only approaches in some studies, and shortcomings in adaptations of evidence-based interventions. The scale of ATOD and related problems is disproportionate to the number of evaluated interventions to address them in SSA. More ATOD interventions need to be developed and evaluated in well-powered and well-This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/TMI.13687

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

designed studies.

Keywords: Sub-Saharan Africa; Adolescents; Alcohol, tobacco and other drug use; Interventions

Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), just under 50% of adolescents use at least one psychoactive substance, and the prevalence of substance use varies by region and type of drug [1]. Alcohol is the most commonly used drug [1] and approximately 22.5 million adolescents (aged 12-19 years) are current drinkers [2]. About one third of adolescents have used alcohol in their lifetime [1,2], and just over 50% of adolescent drinkers engage in heavy episodic drinking (HED) (consumption of 60 g of pure alcohol per sitting at least once per month [2]), which is particularly linked to acute alcohol problems, including violence and alcohol poisoning [3]. About one in four adolescents use tobacco [1], while inhalants and cannabis are less commonly used, and drugs such as cocaine and heroin are used by less than 5% of adolescents [1]. Adolescents' use of over-the-counter (OTC) and prescription drugs such as tramadol is an emerging problem in SSA [4].

Adolescent ATOD use is a major contributor to morbidity and mortality globally, particularly among older adolescents (5)]. Alcohol was the leading risk factor for both death and disability adjusted life years (DALYS) among older adolescents (15-19 years) in 2013 [5]; and for younger adolescents (10-14 years) it was the 5th and 7th most important risk factor for death and disability, respectively. Drug use was the 8th and 5th most important risk factor for death and DALYS lost, respectively among older adolescents, and the 14th most important risk factor for DALYS lost among younger adolescents [5].

ATOD use by adolescents is associated with increased mortality both directly, due to overdose from alcohol or other drugs such as opiates [6], and indirectly, most notably due to increased risk of HIV acquisition [7,8], through condomless sex and less commonly, needle sharing. Alcohol compromises the immune system and adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) [9], thereby worsening health outcomes for adolescents living with HIV. Substance use also increases risks of unplanned pregnancy among adolescent girls, who often report late for antenatal services [10,11], and consequently have an increased risk of maternal complications, late ART initiation (when indicated), and poor birth outcomes [10,11].

Adolescent ATOD use is also associated with violence, including bullying, intimate partner violence (IPV) and sexual assault [12,13]. Culbreth et al. [12] found that young people living in slum areas in Uganda who were non-problem drinkers and problem drinkers were 2.03 (1.15-3.57) and 2.65 (1.48-4.74) times, respectively more likely than non-drinkers to report IPV perpetration and victimization combined. In terms of unintentional injuries (such as vehicular crashes), as many as 40% of cases of adolescent transport-related deaths had positive blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) in a study in South Africa [14]. Substance use is often linked to suicidal ideation or a precursor of completed suicides [14-16]. One SSA study found that street children who had used cannabis were 17.06 (13.99-22.81) and 13.75 (8.54-15.01) more likely to report suicidal ideation or attempted suicide, respectively [16] and another revealed that just under one in five suicidal deaths were alcohol positive [14]. Substance use can sometimes

also contribute to truancy, school drop-out or expulsion from school [17]. Mental health problems are also exacerbated by the use of alcohol and other drugs (AODs) [6] and sometimes, substance use disorders may develop during adolescence [6].

There is often a cyclical relationship between many factors described above as consequences of substance use and substance use itself, as they can be antecedents of substance use, consequences, and in some cases both.

Risk and protective factors for substance use

The use of ATODs usually begins during adolescence, often for recreational purposes [6], but other motivations for use exist including coping motives in order to deal with social or mental health problems [18] or daily living for those in difficult circumstances on the streets or in conflict areas [19,20]. Multiple factors influence adolescent ATOD initiation, and prevention efforts have predominantly been rooted in a risk reduction/protection enhancement model [21]. This has meant understanding both risk factors, which increase adolescents' engagement in problem behaviour, and protective factors, which reduce the likelihood of problem behaviours (or may buffer the effects of risk factors). Risk and protective factors are understood to occur at the individual, interpersonal, and community and societal levels.

We have applied the socio-ecological model (SEM) as a basis for understanding risk and protective factors for adolescent ATOD use [22,23]. This model posits that environmental influences on behaviour fall into four broad domains: micro system, meso-system, exo-system and macro system (Table 1), and interactions within and between these domains determine behaviour. Its effectiveness in identifying risk and protective factors for prevention planning and intervention has been demonstrated through numerous health behaviour studies [9,24-28]. However, most research on modifiable risk and protective factors to prevent adolescent ATOD use comes from the Global North. We summarise the salient risk and protective factors that have been examined, acknowledging that this is not an exhaustive list.

Individual factors

Globally and in SSA, prevention planners and practitioners have focused predominantly on the role of individual and intrapersonal factors [29-32] for understanding and preventing adolescent substance use. Previous research in SSA has found increased sensation seeking and impulsivity [33] and childhood depression [34] to be associated with adolescent substance use. Furthermore, twin and adoption studies (mostly from the Global North) have found that 40-70% of alcohol use disorders have their roots in heritability [35]. While individual factors form an important basis for understanding adolescent substance use, many influences on individual factors can be found in their environmental contexts, necessitating a multi-level approach to addressing them [13].

Interpersonal factors

Adolescents exist within social networks (friends/peers, family, school) which either place them at risk or protect them from engaging in substance use or misuse, with friend/peer influence being particularly salient during this

stage.

Friend/peer environments

Previous research has found that adolescents who perceived their friends to use substances [36], socialised with substance-using peers, and used ATODs for coping or fun [37] were at increased risk of alcohol use and misuse. Similarly, adolescents who sought out friends who drink [38], had friends who used substances [39], and were offered a first drink by a friend [40] were more likely to use or misuse alcohol. These studies led to adaptions of peer-led ATOD prevention interventions in SSA, however, as discussed below, they proved only moderately successful in preventing the onset and/or progression of adolescent alcohol use [41].

Family environments

Parents can influence their adolescent children's substance use directly (through offering or making substances available), and indirectly (through holding permissive attitudes towards substance use, their own substance use and low monitoring) [39,42,43]. Conversely, parental bonding, monitoring of, and involvement with, their children, and their substance use-specific communication can protect children from substance use [44-46].

School and academic environments

School environments contribute significantly to adolescent ATOD. A growing body of literature focuses on school connectedness and school climate as substance use determinants [47-49]. Academic performance often has a less direct, and sometimes reciprocal, effect on adolescent substance use. For example, low school commitment and school disengagement have been associated with increased risk for substance use [50-52]. However, the role of the school environment is often mediated or moderated by family and peer influences [47]. Previous SSA studies have focused mainly on in-school youth, although their out-of-school counterparts are also at risk of ATOD use [16,51].

Community and Societal level factors

Research findings on community level factors related to adolescent substance use in SSA have been mixed. Some studies identify community level factors (such as availability of substances) as strongly associated with alcohol, tobacco or cannabis use [43,52-54]. Other studies have found community influence to be directly and indirectly linked to substance use [36,54]. For example, one study among South African adolescents found community factors (environmental stressors such as violence victimisation, and legal and illegal drug availability) to be both directly and indirectly (via low well-being) linked to alcohol and tobacco use [54].

Structural factors, such as alcohol and drug policies and regulations, are associated with adolescent initiation and use of substances. These include price controls, taxation, access laws, advertising and marketing, limiting alcohol availability (hours/days of sale, purchase limits, alcohol outlet density), enactment of drink-driving laws and BAC limits. Longitudinal studies confirm associations between exposure to alcohol marketing and adolescent alcohol drinking [55,56], as have some cross-sectional studies in SSA [57,58].

Interventions for addressing ATOD use

In this section we describe studies that have evaluated individual level adolescent ATOD interventions. We then describe alcohol-industry intervention approaches for preventing under-age drinking in order to illustrate how such interventions, which are widely implemented, are generally industry-serving and not evidence-based.

Evaluated interventions

We used two search approaches to find literature on evaluated ATOD interventions for adolescents. We sought (a) systematic reviews of ATOD use prevention interventions for adolescents in SSA and (b) primary studies conducted in each of the 48 countries in SSA. We searched Medline, PsychINFO, PsyArticles, ERIC, African Journals Online and Sabinet African Journals. Eligibility criteria included (a) adolescents, aged 10-19 years; (b) substance use as primary or secondary outcome; (3) randomized controlled trial (RCT), quasi-experimental or before-after design; and (4) publication period: 2000-2020.

We located two systematic reviews of adolescent ATOD interventions in SSA: one focused on school-based tobacco prevention [59] and the other on school-based alcohol prevention interventions [60]. Our comprehensive evidence review yielded studies of four community interventions [61-64] and four school-based interventions [33,65-69]. Taken together our two search approaches yielded four community interventions and six school-based interventions for this review. We did not find studies of interventions exclusively in health or family settings (settings identified as also ideal for implementing ATOD interventions [70]; nor of any societal-level interventions. The key features of the identified studies are shown in Table 2 and their main results are described in the next section.

Community Interventions

All four community interventions identified were conducted in South Africa [61-64], only two of which [62,63] were partially effective in reducing adolescent substance use. Carney et al. [62] evaluated a brief intervention. This intervention included (a) an adolescent component, involving a motivational interviewing/cognitive behavioural approach and skills building for addressing peer pressure and problem solving, and (b) a parent component, involving parenting skills and parent-child relationship enhancement activities, and provision of substance use information. There were significant reductions in adolescents': (1) frequency of alcohol use; (2) number of drinks containing alcohol; (3) frequency of cannabis use; and (4) positive biological tests for cannabis. However, there were no significant reductions in other drug use or positive biological tests for other drug use.

Stepping Stones is an HIV prevention intervention for young men and women that included participatory discussion workshops, role plays and dramas. The study found reduced alcohol use among adolescent boys and young men in community settings at 12 months but not 24 months [63].

Cluver et al. [64] evaluated the Sinovuyo parenting programme for reducing child abuse. They found that caregiver substance use decreased significantly after the intervention, whereas adolescent substance use did not.

Carney et al. [61] conducted a community cluster RCT of an intervention involving 100 females aged 16-21 years

who had dropped out from school, and reported at least weekly AOD use and condom-less sex at least once in the past 90 days. They reported no intervention effects for any substance use outcomes (binge drinking, and methamphetamine and marijuana use), and increased methaqualone use at one-month follow-up.

School-based interventions

Only one of the six school-based programmes, the HealthWise intervention [66,68,69], had any effect on substance use among young people while the other interventions had no effects or only affected mediating variables. Based on an evidence-based life skills intervention [71], HealthWise is a leisure, life skills, and sexuality education intervention that was evaluated using a matched control design in four intervention schools and four comparison schools (with one back-up school) in Cape Town. The intervention involved 12 sessions in Grade 8 and 6 booster sessions in Grade 9, delivered during usual life orientation classes. Participants were enrolled in Grade 8 and followed-up in Grade 10. The intervention's effects mainly involved reduced alcohol, tobacco and polydrug use, but it was less effective with respect to cannabis use prevention.

The HIV and Alcohol in Schools (HAPS) programme was evaluated in schools in South Africa [33] using a cluster RCT among 1095 9th grade students who were followed up at 4-6 months and 15-18 months. An intervention effect was found for one mediating alcohol-related variable, alcohol refusal self-efficacy, but not for ever using alcohol, attitudes to alcohol use or intention to use alcohol.

Jemmott et al. [65] conducted a cluster RCT of a cognitive behavioural health promotion intervention in 18 Eastern Cape Province schools among 1057 grade 6 students who were followed up at 3, 6 and 12 months, post intervention. Primary outcomes were fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity. Substance use-related attitudes, intention and behaviour were secondary outcomes. Despite improvements in fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity, there were no significant intervention effects on past 30-day cigarette use, alcohol consumption or binge drinking (cannabis users were too few for analyses to be conducted), or on attitude or intention with respect to alcohol or drug use over the follow-up period.

Resnicow et al. [67] conducted an RCT to compare the efficacy of a skills training/peer resistance programme with a harm minimisation programme and a no-treatment comparison group. They included 36 public schools in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape provinces, with 5266 students at baseline. At 24-month follow-up, there were no significant differences between the three groups on past month use of cigarettes, lifetime cigarette use, frequent cigarette use, past month marijuana use, past month binge drinking, and past month illicit drug use.

Odukoya et al. [72] conducted a health education/anti-smoking awareness intervention in three intervention and three control schools in Lagos state among 973 males (47.5%) and females (52.5%). There were significant intervention effects at three-month follow-up in terms of increased knowledge, attitude, desire to quit, and likelihood of trying to quit in the next year (among smokers), but no effects on any smoking behaviour outcome (ever smoke, current, recency, frequency and number of cigarettes smoked daily), or on smokers' quit attempts in the last three months.

Raji et al. [73] used a quasi-experimental design to evaluate a peer-led health education programme in

intervention and control schools in Sokoto State, Nigeria (114 students in each intervention arm). There were significant changes after three months in intervention participants' tobacco-related knowledge, attitudes, reported likelihood of leaving a public place where people are smoking, and their purchasing of cigarettes in the past 30 days; but no significant changes in reported past 30-day cigarette smoking.

In sum, of the eight interventions included in our review, five included ATOD use as primary outcomes and the remaining three interventions included ATOD as secondary outcomes. Only three showed some promise with respect to adolescent ATOD use prevention, all of which were individual-level interventions. The interventions seem to be most effective in terms of reducing the use of alcohol but not tobacco or other drugs. Those that were at least partially effective in terms of change in ATOD use were adaptations of evidence-based interventions [62, 63, 66, 68, 69]. For example, Carney et al.'s [62] intervention was an adaptation of an evidence-based brief intervention --*Teen Intervene* [75] -- which is one of the few brief interventions for adolescents identified by a systematic review to be effective; albeit in school settings [71]. The effective interventions also included some of the key ingredients identified as effective, including one or more areas of skills training and incorporation of multiple components and sessions [74]. For example, Carney et al.'s [62] intervention focused on parenting skills and relationship building, as well as on adolescent-focused activities.

There are several possible reasons why most of the interventions were not effective. These could relate to the intervention approaches themselves and/or methodological issues. For example, all three tobacco-focused school-based interventions had no effect in terms of tobacco use prevention, although changes in some mediating variables were observed [67,72,73]. The two smoking prevention interventions conducted in schools in Nigeria [72, 73] may not have been effective as they involved awareness-raising and education activities, despite recognition in the literature that such approaches are ineffective when used alone [74]. In contrast, Resnicow and colleagues speculated that possible shortcomings in the adaptation of the evidence-based interventions that they implemented in their study may have contributed to their null finding [67].

In terms of methodological limitations, many studies had small samples to which the authors sometimes attributed their null findings [e.g. 61, 65, 67]. In the health promotion intervention study which found significant improvements in physical activity and fruit and vegetable consumption (primary outcomes), participants' rates of alcohol, tobacco and marijuana use at baseline were considered too low for significant effects to be detectable at follow-up [65].

The failure to observe positive intervention effects was also attributed to the duration of the follow-up periods employed [e.g. 61]. Carney and colleagues [61] postulated that one month might have been too short a time following their intervention for change to have been initiated by their participants (young female adolescents who had dropped out of school).

Interventions with substance use as secondary outcomes were generally not effective in reducing substance use behaviours [64,65]. For example, in Cluver et al.'s study [64], involving an intensive (12-week) parenting programme for reducing child abuse, there were no observed changes in substance use. However, they did find significant improvements in most of the other outcomes (e.g. adolescent problem behaviour and depression, and parenting

behaviours) which would be expected to be associated with reduced substance use among adolescents.

Most studies involved adolescents in schools and community settings. However, their applicability to adolescents in other settings (including former child soldiers and children living on the street) is not clear.

There was no evidence of any societal-level or structural interventions having been implemented in SSA, although such interventions have been shown to be effective among young people elsewhere [e.g. 74]. The three "Best Buys" that have been identified as effective for preventing the harmful use of alcohol in general, include increasing excise taxes, having restrictions or bans on alcohol advertising and reducing the physical availability of alcohol [77]. For tobacco the "Best Buys" include increased taxes, plain packaging or graphic warnings, bans on tobacco advertising and promotion and on exposure to second-hand smoke, and mass media campaigns [77]. For adolescents specifically, reviews of studies outside of SSA have found the following regulatory interventions to have moderate to large meaningful benefits [74]: taxation (for reducing alcohol use, problematic use of alcohol, and problematic use of tobacco); banning or regulating tobacco advertising (for reducing use and problematic use of tobacco). There has been a small effect of increasing the minimum legal age of alcohol use on problematic use of alcohol and alcohol-related harms among adolescents. However, evidence on the effectiveness of regulatory interventions for preventing other drug use is less strong [74].

Alcohol prevention through alcohol-industry corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities

While multi-national alcohol companies (e.g. Diageo- the parent company of Guinness) market alcohol to adolescents, they also deliver alcohol prevention programmes for "under age" teenagers, as part of their corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities [78,79]. However, such programmes tend to not be evidence-based and tend to glamourize alcohol [80]. One such programme is the *Diageo-sponsored SMASHED* "responsible drinking" education initiative [81]. Developed in the UK [82,83], the programme has been launched in Ethiopia, Mozambique, Nigeria, and Seychelles. Smashed provides alcohol education to young people via a theatre production and interactive workshops, and also has special resources for teachers and parents.

'Guinness Nigeria' (a subsidiary of Diageo) launched *Smashed* in Nigeria in 2018, promising to reach 5000 students and teachers in 28 secondary schools in Lagos state (Western region) [84]. In 2019, 'Guinness Nigeria' stated that *Smashed* would reach 14000 students in Edo (South), Anambra, and Enugu states (Eastern region) [85], and promised to extend it to six other Nigerian states in 2020, with the aim of "breaking the culture of underage drinking and reducing alcohol-related harm among Nigerian youth" [84,85]. They further stated that the Federal Ministry of Health endorsed the *Smashed* programme, and that it had received huge support from the local (states) education and health sectors (85)]. During the launch of the Lagos event, the programme's developer -- Collingwood Learning -- stated that the programme had been successful in other countries [81]. Therefore, it was launched in Nigeria [84]. Collingwood Learning's website states that "every *Smashed* project is rigorously evaluated, meaning that we can accurately report our educational impact by project, by continent, and globally" [83]. However, recent evidence shows that *Smashed* has not undergone independent evaluations [82].

Discussion

Adolescent ATOD is a significant public health problem in SSA and rates of ATOD use and associated harms among adolescents continue to be high across the continent. Despite signs of minor reductions in HED among adolescents in SSA [2] that have also been observed globally [86], interventions to reduce ATOD use and mitigate harms during this developmental period are urgently needed. Effective interventions may be delivered in varied settings (including schools, communities, family and health care sectors [70]), but in reality they seldom are. Very few ATOD-specific interventions have been evaluated in SSA, and even fewer have been found to be effective, which is unfortunate given the extent of adolescent substance use throughout the continent, and the resultant challenges that they face. Our review finding that school-based interventions in SSA are largely ineffective concurs with empirical evidence suggesting that the effectiveness of information/education-based alcohol education programmes is weak in countries where they have been implemented and evaluated [87]. However, school-based interventions can be effective as long as they focus on particular activities, such as skills building. Alcohol and tobacco – both legal drugs – are marketed heavily to young people [58,88,89] and in the absence of effective interventions to counter the alcohol and tobacco industries' influence, increased use may be expected.

The findings of this review have numerous implications for further research on substance use interventions among adolescents in SSA. They suggest a need for high quality RCTs of ATOD-specific interventions that are sufficiently powered to detect intervention effects. They also suggest that priority should be given to the cultural adaptation of evidence-based interventions, which should then be implemented with fidelity and tested in SSA contexts. Further research is also needed to test multi-component interventions in multiple contexts, including schools and communities as well as family and health care settings. Such interventions would address risk factors at multiple levels, given the evidence that multi-component interventions tend to show the most promise [70]. The scale up of effective interventions would be a very important next step once such effective interventions have been identified. Finally, due to the limited adolescent ATOD use interventions -- a problem identified by several authors almost a decade ago [41] – we recommend that societal level interventions and policy responses, which are often more effective than individual level interventions [90] should be implemented and evaluated in SSA.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Mr Dawie Jacobs from the University of Johannesburg library for assisting us with the literature searches for this manuscript.

References

- (1) Olawole-Isaac A, Ogundipe O, Amoo EO, Adeloye D. Substance use among adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. South African Journal of Child Health 2018;12(SPE):s79-s84.
- (2) World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
- (3) World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization,

2014.

- (4) Idowu A, Aremu AO, Olumide A, Ogunlaja AO. Substance abuse among students in selected secondary schools of an urban community of Oyo-state, South West Nigeria: implication for policy action. African Health Sciences 2018;18(3):776-785.
- (5) Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, Mokdad AA, El Bcheraoui C, Moradi-Lakeh M, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors for young people's health during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. The Lancet 2016;387(10036):2383-2401.
- (6) UNODC. World Drug Report 2018. United Nations Publication, Sales No. E.18.XI.9).; 2018.
- (7) Culbreth R, Swahn MH, Salazar LF, Ametewee LA, Kasirye R. Risk factors associated with HIV, sexually transmitted infections (STI), and HIV/STI co-infection among youth living in the slums of Kampala, Uganda. AIDS and Behavior 2020;24(4):1023-1031.
- (8) Price JT, Rosenberg NE, Vansia D, Phanga T, Bhushan NL, Maseko B, et al. Predictors of HIV, HIV Risk Perception, and HIV Worry Among Adolescent Girls and Young Women in Lilongwe, Malawi. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2018 Jan 1;77(1):53-63.
- (9) Kim H, Ma J, Maehashi A. Factors impacting levels of physical activity and sedentary behavior among young children: A literature review. Int.J.Appl.Sports Sci 2017;29:1-12.
- (10) Ebonwu J, Mumbauer A, Uys M, Wainberg ML, Medina-Marino A. Determinants of late antenatal care presentation in rural and peri-urban communities in South Africa: A cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2018;13(3):e0191903.
- (11) Owolabi OO, Wong KL, Dennis ML, Radovich E, Cavallaro FL, Lynch CA, et al. Comparing the use and content of antenatal care in adolescent and older first-time mothers in 13 countries of west Africa: a cross-sectional analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health 2017;1(3):203-212.
- (12) Culbreth R, Swahn MH, Salazar LF, Kasirye R, Musuya T. Intimate partner violence and associated risk factors among youth in the slums of Kampala. J Interpers Viol 2019:0886260519889927.
- (13) Morojele NK, Brook JS. Substance use and multiple victimisation among adolescents in South Africa. Addict Behav 2006;31(7):1163-1176.
- (14) Ramsoomar L, Morojele NK. Trends in alcohol prevalence, age of initiation and association with alcohol-related harm among South African youth: implications for policy. South African Medical Journal 2012;102(7).
- (15) Abubakar-Abdullateef A, Adedokun B, Omigbodun O. A comparative study of the prevalence and correlates of psychiatric disorders in Almajiris and public primary school pupils in Zaria, Northwest Nigeria. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health 2017;11(1):1-12.
- (16) Oppong Asante K, Meyer-Weitz A. Prevalence and predictors of suicidal ideations and attempts among homeless children and adolescents in Ghana. Journal of Child & Adolescent Mental Health 2017;29(1):27-37.
- (17) Dumbili EW. Drinking practices and alcohol-related problems among Nigerian students. Drugs: Edu, Prev and Pol 2020;27(3):238-247.
- (18) Hills F, Meyer-Weitz A, Asante KO. The lived experiences of street children in Durban, South Africa: Violence,

- substance use, and resilience. International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being 2016;11(1):30302.
- (19) Barnie AJ, Nyarko AS, Dapaah JM, Appiah SCY, Awuviry-Newton K. Understanding Youth Violence in Kumasi:

 Does Community Socialization Matter? A Cross-Sectional Study. Urban Studies Research 2017.
- (20) Ghebrehiwet S, Harris BL, Ojediran B, Owusu M, Augello LP, Durham MP, et al. Using local terminology to measure substance use behaviors among youth in post-conflict Liberia: a mixed methods study. Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies 2020:1-13.
- (21) Hawkins JD, Arthur MW, Catalano RF. Preventing substance abuse. Crime and Justice 1995;19:343-427.
- (22) Bronfenbrenner U. The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. : Harvard University Press; 1979.
- (23) McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. Health Educ Q 1988;15(4):351-377.
- (24) Ngwenya N, Nkosi B, Mchunu LS, Ferguson J, Seeley J, Doyle AM. Behavioural and socio-ecological factors that influence access and utilisation of health services by young people living in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: Implications for intervention. PloS One 2020;15(4):e0231080.
- (25) Zimmerman GM, Farrell C. Parents, peers, perceived risk of harm, and the neighborhood: Contextualizing key influences on adolescent substance use. Journal of Youth and Adolescence 2017;46(1):228-247.
- (26) Salazar LF, Bradley EL, Younge SN, Daluga NA, Crosby RA, Lang DL, et al. Applying ecological perspectives to adolescent sexual health in the United States: rhetoric or reality? Health Educ Res 2010;25(4):552-562.
- (27) Protogerou C, Johnson BT. Factors underlying the success of behavioral HIV-prevention interventions for adolescents: A meta-review. AIDS and Behavior 2014;18(10):1847-1863.
- (28) Heise LL. Violence against women: An integrated, ecological framework. Violence Against Women 1998;4(3):262-290.
- (29) Francis JM, Myers B, Nkosi S, Petersen Williams P, Carney T, Lombard C, et al. The prevalence of religiosity and association between religiosity and alcohol use, other drug use, and risky sexual behaviours among grade 8-10 learners in Western Cape, South Africa. PloS One 2019;14(2):e0211322.
- (30) McGill E, Petticrew M, Marks D, McGrath M, Rinaldi C, Egan M. Applying a complex systems perspective to alcohol consumption and the prevention of alcohol-related harms in the 21st century: a scoping review. Addiction 2020.
- (31) Schulenberg JE, Maggs JL. A developmental perspective on alcohol use and heavy drinking during adolescence and the transition to young adulthood. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, Supplement 2002(14):54-70.
- (32) Wallace Jr JM, Yamaguchi R, Bachman JG, O'Malley PM, Schulenberg JE, Johnston LD. Religiosity and adolescent substance use: The role of individual and contextual influences. Soc Probl 2007;54(2):308-327.
- (33) Cupp PK, Zimmerman RS, Bhana A, Feist-Price S, Dekhtyar O, Karnell A, et al. Combining and adapting American school-based alcohol and HIV prevention programmes in South Africa: The HAPS project. Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies 2008;3(2):134-142.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- (34) Nyundo A, Manu A, Regan M, Ismail A, Chukwu A, Dessie Y, et al. Factors associated with depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation and behaviours amongst sub-Saharan African adolescents aged 10-19 years: cross-sectional study. Tropical Medicine & International Health 2020;25(1):54-69.
- (35) Verhulst B, Neale MC, Kendler KS. The heritability of alcohol use disorders: a meta-analysis of twin and adoption studies. Psychol Med 2015 Apr;45(5):1061-1072.
- (36) Brooks-Russell A, Simons-Morton B, Haynie D, Farhat T, Wang J. Longitudinal relationship between drinking with peers, descriptive norms, and adolescent alcohol use. Prevention Science 2014;15(4):497-505.
- (37) Tyler KA, Handema R, Schmitz RM, Phiri F, Kuyper KS, Wood C. Multi-level risk and protective factors for substance use among Zambian street youth. Subst Use Misuse 2016;51(7):922-931.
- (38) Leung RK, Toumbourou JW, Hemphill SA. The effect of peer influence and selection processes on adolescent alcohol use: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Health Psychology Review 2014;8(4):426-457.
- (39) Ogunsola OO, Fatusi AO. Risk and protective factors for adolescent substance use: a comparative study of secondary school students in rural and urban areas of Osun State, Nigeria. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2016;29(3).
- (40) Ghuman S, Meyer-Weitz A, Knight S. Prevalence patterns and predictors of alcohol use and abuse among secondary school students in southern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: demographic factors and the influence of parents and peers. South African Family Practice 2012;54(2):132-138.
- (41) Protogerou C, Flisher AJ, Morojele N. Evaluated interventions to prevent substance abuse among young South

 Africans. Substance Use and Abuse in South Africa 2012:257-280.
- (42) Bouchard M, Gallupe O, Dawson K, Anamali M. No place like home? Availability, opportunity, and substance use in adolescence. Journal of Youth Studies 2018;21(6):747-764.
- (43) Manu E, Douglas M, Ntsaba MJ. Contextual influences of illicit adolescent marijuana cultivation and trading in the Inqguza Hill local municipality of South Africa: implications for public health policy. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy 2021;16(1):1-15.
- (44) Muchiri BW, Dos Santos MM. Family management risk and protective factors for adolescent substance use in South Africa. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy 2018;13(1):1-10.
- (45) Ryan SM, Jorm AF, Lubman DI. Parenting factors associated with reduced adolescent alcohol use: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2010;44(9):774-783.
- (46) Yap MB, Cheong TW, Zaravinos-Tsakos F, Lubman DI, Jorm AF. Modifiable parenting factors associated with adolescent alcohol misuse: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Addiction 2017;112(7):1142-1162.
- (47) Moore GF, Cox R, Evans RE, Hallingberg B, Hawkins J, Littlecott HJ, et al. School, peer and family relationships and adolescent substance use, subjective wellbeing and mental health symptoms in Wales: a cross sectional study. Child Indicators Research 2018;11(6):1951-1965.
- (48) Tomczyk S, Isensee B, Hanewinkel R. Moderation, mediation—or even both? School climate and the association between peer and adolescent alcohol use. Addict Behav 2015;51:120-126.
- (49) Larson KE, Nguyen AJ, Solis MGO, Humphreys A, Bradshaw CP, Johnson SL. A systematic literature review of

- school climate in low and middle income countries. International Journal of Educational Research 2020;102:101606.
- (50) Arthur MW, Hawkins JD, Pollard JA, Catalano RF, Baglioni Jr A. Measuring risk and protective factors for use, delinquency, and other adolescent problem behaviors: The Communities That Care Youth Survey. Eval Rev 2002;26(6):575-601.
- (51) Desai R, Mercken L, Ruiter R, Schepers J, Reddy P. Reasons for leaving school and alcohol use among out of school youth in South Africa. Health Psychology Bulletin 2019;3(1).
- (52) Morojele NK, Flisher AJ, Muller M, Ziervogel CF, Reddy P, Lombard CJ. Measurement of risk and protective factors for drug use and anti-social behavior among high school students in South Africa. J Drug Educ 2002;32(1):25-39.
- (53) Swahn MH, Ali B, Palmier J, Tumwesigye NM, Sikazwe G, Twa-Twa J, et al. Early alcohol use and problem drinking among students in Zambia and Uganda. J Public Health Afr 2011 Sep 5;2(2):e20.
- (54) Brook DW, Rubenstone E, Zhang C, Morojele NK, Brook JS. Environmental stressors, low well-being, smoking, and alcohol use among South African adolescents. Soc Sci Med 2011;72(9):1447-1453.
- (55) Jernigan D, Noel J, Landon J, Thornton N, Lobstein T. Alcohol marketing and youth alcohol consumption: a systematic review of longitudinal studies published since 2008. Addiction 2017;112:7-20.
- (56) Anderson P, De Bruijn A, Angus K, Gordon R, Hastings G. Impact of alcohol advertising and media exposure on adolescent alcohol use: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Alcohol and Alcoholism 2009;44(3):229-243.
- (57) Swahn MH, Ali B, Palmier JB, Sikazwe G, Mayeya J. Alcohol marketing, drunkenness, and problem drinking among Zambian youth: findings from the 2004 Global School-Based Student Health Survey. Journal of Environmental and Public Health 2011;2011.
- (58) Morojele N, Lombard C, Burnhams NH, Williams PP, Nel E, Parry C. Alcohol marketing and adolescent alcohol consumption: Results from the International Alcohol Control study (South Africa). South African Medical Journal 2018;108(9).
- (59) Nishio A, Saito J, Tomokawa S, Kobayashi J, Makino Y, Akiyama T, et al. Systematic review of school tobacco prevention programs in African countries from 2000 to 2016. PloS One 2018;13(2):e0192489.
- (60) Tomokawa S, Miyake K, Akiyama T, Makino Y, Nishio A, Kobayashi J, et al. Effective school-based preventive interventions for alcohol use in Africa: a systematic review. African Health Sciences 2020;20(3):1397-1406.
- (61) Carney T, Browne FA, Myers B, Kline TL, Howard B, Wechsberg WM. Adolescent female school dropouts who use drugs and engage in risky sex: Effects of a brief pilot intervention in Cape Town, South Africa. AIDS Care 2019;31(1):77-84.
- (62) Carney T, Johnson K, Carrico A, Myers B. Acceptability and feasibility of a brief substance use intervention for adolescents in Cape Town, South Africa: A pilot study. International Journal of Psychology 2020;55(6):1016-1025.
- (63) Jewkes R, Nduna M, Levin J, Jama N, Dunkle K, Puren A, et al. Impact of stepping stones on incidence of HIV and HSV-2 and sexual behaviour in rural South Africa: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2008 Aug 7;337:a506.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- (64) Cluver L, Meinck F, Yakubovich A, Doubt J, Redfern A, Ward C, et al. Reducing child abuse amongst adolescents in low-and middle-income countries: A pre-post trial in South Africa. BMC Public Health 2016;16(1):1-11.
- (65) Jemmott III JB, Jemmott LS, O'Leary A, Ngwane Z, Icard L, Bellamy S, et al. Cognitive-behavioural health-promotion intervention increases fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity among South African adolescents: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Psychology and Health 2011;26(2):167-185.
- (66) Motamedi M, Caldwell L, Wegner L, Smith E, Jones D. Girls just want to know where to have fun: Preventing substance use initiation in an under-resourced community in South Africa through HealthWise. Prevention Science 2016;17(6):700-709.
- (67) Resnicow K, Reddy SP, James S, Gabebodeen Omardien R, Kambaran NS, Langner HG, et al. Comparison of two school-based smoking prevention programs among South African high school students: results of a randomized trial. Annals of Behavioral Medicine 2008;36(3):231-243.
- (68) Smith EA, Palen L, Caldwell LL, Flisher AJ, Graham JW, Mathews C, et al. Substance use and sexual risk prevention in Cape Town, South Africa: an evaluation of the HealthWise program. Prevention Science 2008;9(4):311-321.
- (69) Tibbits MK, Smith EA, Caldwell LL, Flisher AJ. Impact of HealthWise South Africa on polydrug use and high-risk sexual behavior. Health Educ Res 2011;26(4):653-663.
- (70) UNODC. UNODC/WHO International Standards on Drug Use Prevention, Second updated edition. Vienna: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and the World Health Organization, 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2018.
- (71) Botvin GJ, Griffin KW. Life skills training: Empirical findings and future directions. Journal of Primary Prevention 2004;25(2):211-232.
- (72) Odukoya OO, Odeyemi KA, Oyeyemi AS, Upadhyay RP. The effect of a short anti-smoking awareness programme on the knowledge, attitude and practice of cigarette smoking among secondary school students in Lagos state, Nigeria. Niger Postgrad Med J 2014 Jun;21(2):128-135.
- (73) Raji M, Abubakar I, Oche M, Kaoje A, Isah B. Using peer led health education intervention to improve in-school adolescents' cigarette smoking related knowledge, attitude and behaviour in a north west Nigeria state. Health Science Journal 2014;8(4):485.
- (74) Stockings E, Hall WD, Lynskey M, Morley KI, Reavley N, Strang J, et al. Prevention, early intervention, harm reduction, and treatment of substance use in young people. The Lancet Psychiatry 2016;3(3):280-296.
- (75) Winters KC, Leitten W. Brief intervention for drug-abusing adolescents in a school setting. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors 2007;21(2):249.
- (76) Carney T, Myers BJ, Louw J, Okwundu CI. Brief school-based interventions and behavioural outcomes for substance-using adolescents. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016(1).
- (77) World Health Organization. Best buys' and other recommended interventions for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: World Health Organization.; 2017.
- (78) Bakke Ø, Endal D. Alcohol policies out of context: drinks industry supplanting government role in alcohol policies

in sub-Saharan Africa. Addiction 2010;105(1):22-28.

- (79) Morojele NK, Dumbili EW, Obot IS, Parry CDH. Alcohol consumption, harms and policy developments in sub-Saharan Africa: the case for stronger national and regional responses. press]. Drug Alcohol Rev 2021;40(3):402-419.
- (80) Mialon M, McCambridge J. Alcohol industry corporate social responsibility initiatives and harmful drinking: a systematic review. The European Journal of Public Health 2018;28(4):664-673.
- (81) Obi D. Guinness Nigeria rolls out campaign to tackle under-age drinking. Business Day 2018:https://businessday.ng/brands-advertising/article/guinness-nigeria-rolls-campaign-tackle-age-drinking/.
- (82) Jackson N, Dixon R. The practice of the alcohol industry as health educator: a critique. New Zealand Medical Journal 2020;133(1515):89-96.
- (83) Collingwood Learning. Smashed Project. 2019; Available at: http://smashedproject.org/. Accessed July 7, 2020.
- (84) Mwantok M. Guinness Nigeria's 'Smashed' campaign impacts over 5,000 students 2018; Available at: https://guardian.ng/features/media/guinness-nigerias-smashed-campaign-impacts-over-5000-students/. Accessed July 6, 2020.
- (85) Guinness Nigeria. BSG SMASHED Launch Press Release. 2019; Available at: https://www.guinness-nigeria.com/news-and-media/press-releases/bsg-smashed-launch-press-release/. Accessed July 6, 2020.
- (86) Pape H, Rossow I, Brunborg GS. Adolescents drink less: how, who and why? A review of the recent research literature. Drug Alcohol Rev 2018;37:S98-S114.
- (87) Lee NK, Cameron J, Battams S, Roche A. What works in school-based alcohol education: A systematic review. Health Educ J 2016;75(7):780-798.
- (88) Madkour AS, Ledford EC, Andersen L, Johnson CC. Tobacco advertising/promotions and adolescents' smoking risk in Northern Africa. Tob Control 2014 May;23(3):244-252.
- (89) Dumbili EW, Williams C. Anywhere, everywhere: alcohol industry promotion strategies in Nigeria and their influence on young people. Afri Journal of Drug and Alcohol Studies 2016;15(2):135-152.
- (90) Toumbourou JW, Stockwell T, Neighbors C, Marlatt G, Sturge J, Rehm J. Interventions to reduce harm associated with adolescent substance use. The Lancet 2007;369(9570):1391-1401.

Correspondence: Neo K. Morojele, Department of Psychology, University of Johannesburg, P O Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa. Phone +27 11 559 3125, Email nmorojele@uj.ac.za

 Table 1. Socio-ecological influences on Adolescent substance use

System Level	Characteristics					
Micro-system	The microsystems associated with adolescents commonly exist within their immediate					
	family, peer, and school environments. Examples include, parental monitoring, parental					
	substance use, peer substance use, academic performance.					
Meso-system	The meso system includes interactions among the adolescents' microsystems, e.g.					
	communication in the family may influence peer group interaction or interaction at					
	school, neighbourhood or community contexts.					
Exo-system	The exosystem includes the larger contexts within which the individual operates, such					
	as the social community e.g. community attitudes toward substance use,					
	neighbourhood organisation.					
Macro-system	The macro system includes the broader systems that exert influence on the meso and					
	micro systems of the adolescent, e.g. cultural beliefs and values about substance use,					
	laws, taxation, poverty, unemployment					

Table 2. Overview of Community and School-Based Interventions

References	Country	Intervention(s)	Primary Focus of Intervention	Setting	Communities	Intervention Characteristics	Key Findings	Comments
Carney et al. (2019)	South Africa	Substance use and sexual risk reduction	Substance use and sexual risk reduction	Community	Underserved communities	Two group workshops, involving: (a) Workshop 1: provision of HIV/AIDS, STI, pregnancy and sexual risk behaviour knowledge and condom negotiation and correct condom use skills and (b) Workshop 2: AOD use, gender power and violence education	No evidence of effectiveness, and an increase in methaqualone use at one- month follow-up	The authors attributed the null findings to limited power
Carney et al. (2020)	South Africa	Reducing Alcohol and Drug Use (RAD- PAL)	Alcohol and other drug use	Community	Low-income community in with high levels of substance use, crime and violence	A cognitive-behavioural/motivational interviewing Brief Intervention involving (a) two (two-hourly) sessions for adolescents focused on reducing substance use, increasing peer pressure and problem solving skills, and assessing adolescents' motivation to change; and (b) one (one-hour) parent session on parenting skills, parent-child relationship and information on substances	There were significant reductions in: (1) frequency of alcohol use; (2) number of drinks containing alcohol; (3) frequency of cannabis use; and (4) positive biological test for cannabis. However, there were no significant reductions in (1) other drug use and (2) positive biological test for other drug use.	The authors attributed the null results on drug use to the small samples.
Cluver et al. (2016)	South Africa	Sinovuyo parenting programme for child abuse	Reducing child abuse	Community	Six deprived rural and peri- urban communities	A 12-session, weekly parenting support programme	Caregiver substance use significantly decreased following the intervention, but adolescent substance use did not change significantly	
Jewkes et al. (2008)	South Africa	HIV prevention	HIV prevention	Community	Rural (villages) and Peri-urban (township) area	Thirteen (hour long) single-sex group sessions over 6-8 weeks to adolescents and young adults (15-26 years). Includes participatory discussion	There was reduced problem drinking among men (but not women) at 12 months only; but not at 24 months	The intervention effects did not persist over time (24

References	Country	Intervention(s)	Primary Focus of Intervention	Setting	Communities	Intervention Characteristics	Key Findings	Comments
						workshops, role plays, dramas. Topics included gender-based violence, relationships, behaviour in relation to sexual behaviour (safer sex and condom use), reproductive health matters, and communication skills		months)
Cupp et al. (2008)	South Africa	HIV and Alcohol in Schools (HAPS)	HIV and alcohol use	School	Peri-urban (township) areas	A total of 15 units (30–40 minutes each), delivered over approximately 8 weeks	Intervention effect was only found for one mediating alcohol-related variable, alcohol refusal self-efficacy. No significant intervention effects for ever using alcohol or attitudes to alcohol use or intention to use alcohol.	
Jemmott et al. (2011)	South Africa	Health promotion intervention and HIV/STD risk reduction intervention	Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity	School	Peri-urban (township) area and rural area	A cognitive behavioural health promotion intervention	No significant effects on smoking cigarettes, drinking alcohol or binge drinking in the past 30 days over the follow-up periods. Also no intervention effects on attitude towards using alcohol or drugs or intention to use alcohol or drugs.	Too few participants reported smoking dagga to permit analysis.
Motamedi et al., 2016; Smith et al. 2008; Tibbit et al. 2011	South Africa	HealthWise	Leisure, life skills and sexuality education	School	Peri-urban (township) area	A leisure, life skills, and sexuality education intervention consisting of 12 sessions in Grade 8 and 6 booster sessions in Grade 9 delivered during usual life orientation classes. Key elements included teaching life skills such as refusal skills, relationship skills and selfmanagement skills (selfawareness, emotion regulation	Alcohol use: HealthWise was effective in reducing past month alcohol use and heavy drinking among all participants; reducing heavy drinking among non-baseline drinkers (Smith et al., 2008). It did not delay initiation of drinking or reduce past month drinking among baseline non-drinkers. Cigarette smoking:	HealthWise was generally more effective among girls than boys.

References	Country	Intervention(s)	Primary Focus of Intervention	Setting	Communities	Intervention Characteristics	Key Findings	Comments
						and decision making, anxiety and anger management) The intervention also targets the positive use of free time (e.g. beating boredom, overcoming leisure constraints, leisure motivation). These were complemented by specific lessons on attitudes, knowledge, and skills surrounding substance use and sexual risk (e.g., relationships and sexual behavior, condom use, realities and myths of drug use). The sessions also focused on provision of correct alcohol and sexual risk behaviour norms.	HealthWise was effective in reducing past month smoking and heavy smoking among all participants, and in reducing past month smoking in baseline non-smokers. It did not delay initiation of cigarette smoking or reduce past month smoking among baseline non-smokers. Cannabis use: There were no effects of HealthWise on marijuana use for all participants and for non-users at baseline, but it reduced marijuana use initiation for girls but increase marijuana use initiation for boys. Poly drug use: HealthwWise slowed the onset of frequent poly drug use among non-users at baseline and slowed the increase in poly drug use among all participants; it was not effective with respect to past month poly drug use.	
Odukoya et al. (2014)	Nigeria	Anti-smoking health education awareness programme	Prevention of cigarette smoking and cessation among smokers	six schools (3 intervention and 3 control schools) in Lagos state, Nigeria.	Urban areas	Health talks on the effects of smoking, second hand smoking, social and peer influences (e.g. advertising and marketing) and on how to resist pressure to smoke. The talks were delivered on two occasions, one week apart, and supplemented by information leaflets and posters that were displayed across the school	There were significant intervention effects at 3-months in terms of increased knowledge, attitude, desire to quit, and likelihood of trying to quit in the next year. There were no effects on any smoking outcomes (ever smoke, current, recency, frequency and number of cigarettes smoked daily), or	

References	Country	Intervention(s)	Primary Focus of Intervention	Setting	Communities	Intervention Characteristics	Key Findings	Comments
						while the study was ongoing	attempt to quit in the last three months.	
Raji et al. (2014)	Nigeria	Anti-smoking health education intervention	Prevention of cigarette smoking and cessation among smokers	School	Metropolitan local government areas	The health education intervention consisted of two sessions involving a health education lecture and video showing the harmful effects of tobacco smoking, interactive discussions, supplemented by posters and "hand bills".	The participants were followed up 3 months after the intervention. There were significant changes in intervention participants in tobacco-related knowledge, attitudes, reports that they would leave a public place where people are smoking, and purchasing of cigarettes in the past 30 days. There were no changes in intervention participants' cigarette smoking in the past 30 days.	
Resnicow et al. (2008)	South Africa	Harm Minimization and Skills Training	Tobacco smoking	School	Different communities	Skills training/peer resistance programme and a harm minimisation programme	No significant differences between the three groups on past month use of cigarettes, lifetime cigarette use, frequent cigarette use, past month marijuana use, past month binge drinking, and past month illicit drug use.	Null findings were attributed to sample size calculation limitations (Resnicow et al., 2010).