

Triple jeopardy: disabled people and the Covid-19 pandemic

Tom Shakespeare, International Centre for Evidence in Disability, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Florence Ndagire, Department of Social Work and Social Administration, Makerere University

Queen E. Seketi, Zambart, School of Public Health, University of Zambia

Corresponding author:

Professor Tom Shakespeare

International Centre for Evidence in Disability

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

tom.shakespeare@lshtm.ac.uk

Conflict of interest statement

Tom Shakespeare works on the Penda project and the SUCCEED project, both funded by the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office. The Penda project also funds the Phd studentships held by Ms Ndagire and Ms Seketi. None of the authors have other conflicts of interest.

Triple jeopardy: disabled people and the Covid-19 pandemic

The three-fold risk experienced by people with disabilities during the current COVID-19 pandemic results from: a greater risk of negative outcomes from the disease itself; a greater risk of reduced access to routine healthcare and rehabilitation which differentially affects people with disabilities; and the deleterious social impacts of efforts to mitigate the pandemic. Ten years ago, the World Health Organisation (WHO) *World Report on Disability* noted that people with disabilities were more likely to be older, poorer, experience co-morbidities, and be female [1]. Other than being female, three of those four characteristics are also associated with greater risk of severe and/or fatal outcomes from COVID-19 [2]. Risk of death involving COVID-19 between 24 January and 30 November 2020 in England was 3.1 times greater for disabled men, and 3.5 times greater for disabled women, than for non-disabled men and women [3]. People with intellectual disabilities living in congregate residential settings, relevant mainly to high income settings, had a higher mortality rate than others [4, 5] but even in domiciliary settings [6], there were higher risks for people with intellectual disability [7].

Additionally, the lockdown measures societies have taken to protect themselves from the pandemic also render people with disabilities worse-off and more excluded. Evidently, these times are hard for everyone, but they impact particularly on those with disabilities. Worldwide, disasters and emergencies often disproportionately impact the disability community, and this pandemic is no exception [8, 9, 10]. Cancellation or postponement of regular healthcare or rehabilitation will differentially impact those with a narrower margin of health or additional health needs [10; 11]. When assistive technology is not prescribed, maintained or repaired, it is disabled people who are rendered dependent; when social care is put on hold or cancelled, disabled people are thrown back on the support of families, where they have them; when it is impossible to attend day centres or voluntary projects, it is disabled people who are left with nothing to do and no one to meet [12]; when everyone is expected to follow rules about masks or social distancing, it is particularly hard of hearing who cannot lip-read, or visually impaired people using guide-dogs who find that difficult; as a result they might be stigmatised. Often, confinement in homes increases the risk of sexual violence and abuse, to which children and adults with disabilities are additionally vulnerable [13,14].

If lockdown and curfew are enforced through aggressive actions by security forces, as in southern Africa, then people with disabilities who may need to access healthcare or pharmacies are particularly penalised.

The lack of preparedness for the impact of the pandemic on disabled people has been shockingly familiar. Disabled people have been ignored at worst, and an afterthought at best [9, 11], whether it comes to educational provision of children with special educational needs [9, 12, 15], PPE in social care [4,5,6], or sign language in government announcements. In Uganda, the closure of schools has led to the exclusion of many young people with disabilities since educational materials are not in accessible formats and access to assistive technology including the internet has been a challenge.

In a public health crisis such as the COVID-19 pandemic, clear information becomes more important than ever. In the UK messages have been confused or complicated, which has been very difficult for people with intellectual disabilities to understand; the situation has been better in Scotland. In other countries, there is often low confidence in public health messaging (16). People with mental health conditions often find isolation and fear particularly debilitating [17, 18]. People with physical health conditions are also disproportionately at risk of mental health conditions (19). British and Dutch evidence finds that mental health symptoms are higher than usual for everyone [17, 18].

It is not all bad: where people with disabilities can get internet access, they have been able to participate in society as never before, because physical and communication barriers have suddenly disappeared now that education, work, shopping and much leisure has been driven online [20]. Moreover, there are some reassuring signs that people are looking out for each other more, often replacing the dominant individualism of high-income settings with neighbourliness and mutual aid. Disabled people do much better in societies which support each other.

However, participation, whether digital or in person, usually requires financial and other resources. While families and societies everywhere have found the pandemic economically stressful, this particularly impacts the poorest in society, who are often old, disabled or female. About 80 percent of the poorest people with disabilities live in

Low- and Middle-Income countries where there is either inadequate social safety net or no welfare state [1]. The consequence is that people with disabilities often have to rely on families or charity.

People with disabilities do not want simply a return to the normality of a year ago, which was a world filled with complex barriers to inclusion, especially in Low and Middle-Income Countries. The COVID-19 pandemic has raised risks, compounded unmet health needs, and disproportionately affected the socioeconomic lives of people with disabilities around the world. As evidence evolves, the much-vaunted slogan of “Build back better” has to mean strategic thinking about how society, social inclusion and public health can be made real for the 15% of the global population [1] who are disabled. The inclusion of persons with disabilities in the COVID-19 response should be remembered throughout all stages of the post-recovery cycle by conducting needs assessments and ensuring that persons with disabilities are consulted and can participate in policy development, program design and implementation. This better world has to grow from learning the lessons, listening to the life experiences of people with disabilities and making meaningful investments that lessen the triple jeopardy faced by people with disabilities.

References

- [1] WHO, *World Report on Disability*, WHO, Geneva, 2011.
- [2] Pijls BG, Jolani S, Atherley A, *et al* , Demographic risk factors for COVID-19 infection, severity, ICU admission and death: a meta-analysis of 59 studies, *BMJ Open*, 11:e044640, 2021. [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044640](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044640)
- [3] ONS. Updated estimates of coronavirus (COVID-19) related deaths by disability status January to 20 November 2020. Office of National Statistics, 2021 (<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/coronaviruscovid19relateddeathsbydisabilitystatusenglandandwales/24januaryto20november2020> Consulted 25 February 2021).
- [4] Daly, M. 2020. COVID-19 and care homes in England: What happened and why? *Social Policy and Administration* 54, 7: 985-998

- [5] Landes, SD, Turk, MA, Formica MK, McDonald KE, & Stevens JD. COVID-19 outcomes among people with intellectual and developmental disability living in residential group homes in New York State. *Disability and health journal*, 13 (4) , 100969, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2020.100969>
- [6] Glynn, JR, Fielding K, Shakespeare T. 2020. COVID-19: excess all-cause mortality in domiciliary care, *British Medical Journal*, 370:m2751
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m2751>
- [7] Learning Disabilities Mortality Review (LeDeR) Programme. 2020. Deaths of people with learning disabilities from COVID-19, Bristol: University of Bristol.
- [8] Office for National Statistics. 2020. Coronavirus and the social impacts on disabled people in Great Britain: September 2020. London: ONS.
- [9] Lee S, Kim J. 2020. A country report: impact of COVID—19 and inequity of health on South Korea’s disabled community during a pandemic. *Disability and Society* 35, 9: 1514-1519
- [10] Theis, N, Campbell N, De Leeuw J, Owen M, Schenke KC. The effects of COVID-restrictions on physical activity and mental health of children and young adults with physical and/or intellectual disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal* Online first: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2021.101064>
- [11] Jalali M, Shahabi S, Lankarani KB, Kamali M, Mojgani P. 2020. COVID_19 and disabled people: perspectives from Iran. *Disability and Society* 35: 5: 844-847
- [12] Inclusion Europe. 2020. Neglect and discrimination multiplied How Covid-19 affected the rights of people with intellectual disabilities and their families. Brussels: Inclusion Europe. <https://www.inclusion-europe.eu/covid-report-2020/#more> (Accessed 27th February 2021)
- [13] Jones L, Bellis MA, Wood S, Hughes K, Bates G, Eckley L, McCoy E, Mikton C, Shakespeare T, Officer A. 2012. Prevalence and risk of violence against children with disabilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *The Lancet* 380: 899–907
- [14] Hughes K, Bellis MA, Jones L, Wood L, Wood S, Bates G, Eckley L, McCoy E, Mikton C, Shakespeare T, Officer A. 2012. Prevalence and risk of violence against adults with disabilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *The Lancet* 379: 1621–29

- [15] Cullinane C, and Montacute R, 2020. COVID-19 and social mobility impact brief# 1: school shutdown". London: The Sutton Trust (Accessed 27th February 2021)
- [16] Mackworth-Young CS, Chingono R, Mavodza C, McHugh G, Tembo M, Chikwari D, Weiss HA, Rusakaniko S, Ruzario S, Bernays S, Ferrand RA. 'Here, we cannot practice what is preached': early qualitative learning from community perspectives on Zimbabwe's response to COVID-19. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation*, e-pub 20 April 2020. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.260224>.
- [17] Office for National Statistics. 2020. Coronavirus and depression in adults, Great Britain: June 2020. The proportion of the population with depressive symptoms in Great Britain between 4 and 14 June 2020, based on the Opinions and Lifestyle Survey. Includes how symptoms of depression have changed since before the pandemic (July 2019 to March 2020). London: ONS.
- [18] Pan, Kuan-Yu et al. 2021. The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with and without depressive, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive disorders: a longitudinal study of three Dutch case-control cohorts. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, Volume 8, Issue 2, 121 - 129
- [19] Noh JW, Kwon YD, Park J, Oh IH, Kim J. 2016. Relationship between physical disability and depression by gender: a panel regression model. *PLoS One*. 11 (11) e0166238. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166238
- [20] Goggin G, & Ellis K. 2020. Disability, communication, and life itself in the COVID-19 pandemic, *Health Sociology Review*, 29:2, 168-176, DOI: 10.1080/14461242.2020.1784020

Suggested panel: Barriers to inclusion

- Failure to ensure safety of persons with disabilities in congregate living or health facilities.
- Failure to ensure access for persons with disabilities: to food deliveries; to internet; to Coronavirus testing; to water, sanitation and hygiene facilities.
- Failure to give relevant persons with disabilities, or their families/support workers evidence-based priority for vaccination, or COVID-19 treatment where required.

- Lack of support for persons with disabilities living alone; or where family members/support workers self-isolating or affected by COVID-19
- Unclear public health messaging; lack of accessible messaging
- Postponement of required medical treatment, including rehabilitation
- Failure to collect data on disability to allow disaggregation