

# Long-term mental health and quality of life in women with a history of breast cancer

# HELENA ISABEL MORIM CARREIRA

# Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy of the University of London

## January 2020

### Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE & TROPICAL MEDICINE

Funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) at the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).

Research group affiliation: Electronic Health Records Group

### Statement of own work

I, Helena Isabel Morim Carreira, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information was derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in this thesis.

Student Signature

Date

10 January 2020

### Funding and role of funding sources

This work was supported by an MRC Industrial CASE PhD Studentship in Epidemiology, jointly funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) at the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (grant MR/M016234/1).

MRC had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and in the writing of the articles, and thesis.

The study presented in Chapter 7 was conducted in collaboration with CPRD. CPRD participated in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and in the writing of the articles. More specifically, members of the CPRD Observational and Interventional Research Teams provided comments on the study protocol. The CPRD Interventional Research Team was pivotal in the acquisition of data for the study. Dr Rachael Williams, who is employed by CPRD and was the associate supervisor of this PhD, was involved in all studies in this thesis.

### Acknowledgments

'No man is an island, entire of itself'

(John Donne)

This thesis exists thanks to a number of extraordinary people. The following paragraphs attempt to give credit where credit is due.

First and foremost, I must acknowledge that I received world-class supervision throughout this PhD. Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran and Dr Rachael Williams consistently provided constructive, high-quality and timely feedback on my work. They were always part of the solution, never the problem. A whole-hearted thank you for your guidance and unwavering support. What a privilege it was to work with you both!

I am grateful for the continuous support and valuable clinical feedback of Dr Susannah Stanway, Consultant in Medical Oncology at The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, who early on kindly accepted to be on my advisory committee.

Professor Isabel dos Santos Silva and Professor Helen Weiss examined my PhD project as part of my upgrading process, and I am grateful for the constructive feedback and wise advice that helped to shape my research.

I acknowledge and am thankful for the contribution of the co-authors of the papers in this thesis. Rhea Harewood, Dr Martin Müller, and Helen Strongman, kindly duplicated the screening of references in the systematic reviews, and commented on the manuscripts. Dr Garth Funston provided invaluable assistance to define the lists of Read codes used to identify outcomes, and helpful clinical feedback on the manuscript.

I am grateful to the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), and its dedicated staff, for financial, logistical and scientific support. Thank you to Dr Tim Williams, for the support in the initial phases of the patient-reported outcomes (PRO) study. Thank you to Dr Puja Myles for the insightful comments on the study protocol. Thank you to Dr Mark Wright for always being supportive of the study, for comments on the protocol and for guidance with the ethical approval applications. Thank you also to Susan Beatty and Brooke Jackson for help with aspects of running the study, and Peter Singleton for his help in managing patient feedback. Finally, thank you to Dr Rachael Williams for liaising with several groups within CPRD to ensure a high level of efficiency throughout the project.

7

I am particularly indebted to Harley Dempsey, from the Interventional Research Team at CPRD, who managed the day-to-day activities of the PRO study, and to Maimoona Hashmi, who shared this burden with Harley prior to leaving CPRD. It was a year of invitations, patient lists back and forth, and thousands of questionnaires sent out, scanned and sent back to me. This study would not exist without your excellent hard work, and 'thank you' understates how grateful I am for all your help!

I want to express my gratitude to the 615 women who kindly participated in the study in Chapter 7. Thank you for taking the time to reply, and for your comments in the margins that made me laugh when entering the data! Thank you also to the general practitioners at the 40 practices that participated in the study, for screening the lists of patients for eligibility, and posting out the study questionnaires to patients.

Several people at LSHTM also provided valuable support to this PhD. I am very grateful to Lara Crawford and Wendy Raatz, from the Scholarships team at LSHTM, for their continuous support and encouragement, at times navigating a labyrinth of internal procedures to sort out contracts and payments related to data acquisition. Thank you to Patricia Henley, Head of Research Governance and Integrity at LSHTM, for her help with the PRO study sponsorship, insurance, ethical approvals submissions, and for liaising with the Legal team when needed. Thank you also to Mathias Oleron, Web Content Editor at LSHTM, for his help in setting up a web page for the PRO study on the LSHTM website, which was need to obtain approvals for the study.

Lastly, I wish to thank Dan Scannell, Patricia Henley, Professor Liam Smeeth, Peter Singleton, and my supervisors, for their prompt response and unwavering support managing issues related to the PRO study. I sincerely appreciated it, thank you!

### 'Every man is a piece of the continent, a part of the main'

#### (John Donne)

No human being thrives in isolation, and I am no exception. LSHTM has been my home away from home for nearly seven years. During this time, I had the privilege of meeting and working with many brilliant and talented minds, from all continents and backgrounds. I am humbled that some of them became close friends.

To Rhea Harewood, (soon to be Dr) Manuela Quaresma and Dr Renata Abrahão, no words can express how grateful I am to have you in my life. Thank you for

8

always being on my corner, and especially for being the net that supported me when I hit the bottom.

To Maud Amon, Maria Peppa, Jennifer Davidson, Dr Anu Jain, Dr Yume Imahori, Dr Fabio Girardi and Dr Andreia Leite, with whom I shared an office, ideas, frustrations, but also laughs, coffees and healthy rants - thank you! You are all incredible.

To Yuki Alencar, Dr Jane Ahn, Dr Sara Benitez-Majano, Dr Audrey Bonaventure, Aimilia Exarchakou, Dr Miguel Angel Luque Fernandez, Camille Maringe, and Devon Spika, thank you for the support and company that made everything more bearable.

I also have to acknowledge my lighthouses during the storm. To Alexandra Coelho, Dr Elisabete Alves, Joana Pereira, Dr Marta Pereira, Melanie Fontes, Ricardo Soares and Dr Sara Nogueira, thank you for never being too far away, regardless of the distance. To Laura Millar, for giving me hope when I most needed. This thesis would not exist without you. I am forever indebted to you.

> 'Eles não sabem nem sonham que o sonho comanda a vida e que sempre que o homem sonha o mundo pula e avança como bola colorida entre as mãos duma criança' (António Gedeão)

To my family, for being the steady and safe harbour that allows me to roam free and mingle far and beyond my childhood dreams. None of this would have been possible without you. This PhD is as much mine as it is yours.

À minha família - mãe, pai, madrinha, Vitor, Emília, Fernando, Joana, Isabel, Ricardo, Susana, Catarina e Pedro - por serem o meu porto seguro onde quer que eu esteja, e por com isso me darem a liberdade de voar livremente neste mundo, e concretizar os meus sonhos de criança. Este doutoramento é tão meu quanto vosso.

À minha avó, Maria Fernandes Eusébio, que me ensinou as mais importantes lições na vida sem saber ler ou escrever.

Saudades.

To my grandmother, Maria Fernandes Eusébio, who taught me the most important lessons of my life without knowing how to write or read.

I will always miss you.

### Abstract

This thesis focused on the long-term mental health and quality of life of breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no prior cancer.

The first study was a systematic review of studies that assessed adverse mental health outcomes in women who had breast cancer and non-cancer controls. This found evidence suggestive of an increased risk of anxiety, depression, suicide, and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions in breast cancer survivors.

The second study systematically summarised the lists of Read codes and clinical definitions used in previous studies of mental health-related outcomes in primary care databases of electronic health records in the UK. The results showed substantial heterogeneity across studies and informed the definition of the outcomes in this thesis.

The third study used data from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD primary care database to quantify the risk of adverse mental health-related outcomes in 57,571 breast cancer survivors and 230,067 women with no previous cancer. Breast cancer survivorship was positively associated with anxiety, depression, fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder and being prescribed opioid analgesics, but there was no evidence of association with cognitive dysfunction or fatal and non-fatal self-harm.

The fourth study included 353 breast cancer survivors and 252 women with no prior cancer who replied to questionnaires assessing quality of life and mental health. Compared to women with no prior cancer, breast cancer survivors had poorer quality of life in the domains of cognitive problems, sexual function, and fatigue, but no evidence of difference in negative feelings, positive feelings, pain, or social avoidance. Women with advanced-stage cancer at diagnosis, and/or prior receipt of chemotherapy, had poorer quality of life and mental health.

In conclusion, breast cancer survivorship is associated with impaired quality of life and raised risk of adverse mental health-related outcomes, persisting well into the survivorship period.

# Table of contents

Sta	atement	of own work	3			
Fu	Funding and role of funding sources					
Ac	knowled	gments	7			
Ab	stract		13			
Та	ble of co	ntents	15			
Lis	t of abbr	eviations & acronyms	19			
Lis	t of figur	es	21			
Lis	t of table	9S	23			
Lis	t of appe	endices	25			
1	Backgro	bund	27			
	1.1 Intro	oduction	27			
	1.2 Mer	ntal disorders	27			
	1.2.1	Depressive disorders	27			
	1.2.2	Anxiety disorders	33			
	1.2.3	Relationship between anxiety & depression	37			
	1.2.4	Other mental health conditions	38			
	1.3 Bre	ast cancer	44			
	1.3.1	Incidence	44			
	1.3.2	Control	46			
	1.3.3	Treatment	47			
	1.3.4	Common physical consequences of breast cancer treatments	49			
	1.4 Mer	ntal health and quality of life beyond breast cancer	51			
	1.5 Sur	nmary	55			
2	Aims ar	nd objectives	57			
3	Review	of the associations between breast cancer survivorship and				
	adverse	mental health outcomes	59			
	3.1 Intro	oduction	59			
	3.2 Sys	tematic review protocol	59			
	3.3 Arti	cle	59			
	3.4 Sys	tematic review update	93			
	3.5 Sur	nmary	99			

4	Descrip	tion of the data sources	101			
	4.1 Introduction					
	4.2 Clin	ical Practice Research Datalink General Practitioner Online Database	101			
	4.2.1	Data and database version	101			
	4.2.2	Quality control	103			
	4.2.3	Representativeness of the broad UK general population	104			
	4.2.4	Validity and completeness of the data	104			
	4.2.5	Linkage to other sources of data	105			
	4.3 Hos	pital Episodes Statistics, Admitted Patient Care	107			
	4.4 Inde	ex of Multiple Deprivation	108			
	4.5 Offi	ce for National Statistics mortality data	109			
	4.6 Pat	ient-reported outcomes	110			
	4.6.1	Quality of Life	110			
	4.6.2	Symptoms of anxiety and depression	113			
	4.6.3	Demographic data	114			
	4.6.4	Clinical data	114			
	4.6.5	Data collection procedures	114			
	4.7 Sur	nmary	117			
5	5 Review of the identification of mental health and quality of life-related					
	outcom	es in primary care databases in the UK	119			
	5.1 Intro	oduction	119			
	5.2 Sys	tematic review protocol	119			
	5.3 Arti	cle	119			
	5.4 Sur	nmary	139			
6	Quantifi	cation of the associations between breast cancer survivorship				
	and adverse mental health-related outcomes: population-based					
	matche	d cohort study	141			
	6.1 Intro	oduction	141			
	6.2 Stu	dy protocol and ethical approvals	141			
	6.3 Arti	cle	141			
	6.4 Sur	nmary	170			

7	Quantification of the associations between breast cancer survivorship and					
	quality of life and mental health: a study of patient-reported outcomes					
	7.1	Introduction	172			
	7.2	Study protocol and ethical approvals	172			
	7.3	Article	172			
	7.4	Summary	206			
8	Con	nparison between patient-reported outcomes and data recorded				
	in tł	ne patients' electronic health record	208			
	8.1	Introduction	208			
	8.2	Methods	208			
	8.3	Results	211			
	8.4	Discussion and Conclusions	215			
	8.5	Summary	218			
9	Disc	cussion	220			
	9.1	Introduction	220			
	9.2	Summary of key findings	220			
	9.3	Comparison with the literature	223			
	9.4	Strengths and limitations	228			
	9.5	Contribution to knowledge	232			
	9.6	Implications for clinical practice	234			
	9.7	Implications for public health policy	236			
	9.8	Implications for further research	237			
	9.9	Conclusions	239			
10	Ref	erences	240			
11	App	endices	257			
	11.1	Appendix 1 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 3	257			
	11.2	Appendix 2 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 5	307			
	11.3	Appendix 3 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 6	441			
	11.4	Appendix 4 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 7	477			

# List of abbreviations & acronyms

95%CI	95% confidence interval
AI	Aromatase Inhibitors
BNF	British National Formulary
CCGs	Clinical Commissioning Groups
CIS-R	Clinical Interview Schedule Revised
CPRD	Clinical Practice Research Datalink
DALYs	Disability Adjusted Life Years
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DSM-III	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 3th Edition
DSM-III-R	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 3th Edition Revised
DSM-IV	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition
DSM-V	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition
EGFR	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
EHR	Electronic Health Records
ER	Oestrogen Receptor
ESMO	European Society for Medical Oncology
G-CSF	Granulocyte colony stimulating factor
GCSEs	General Certificate of Secondary Education
GOLD	General Practitioner Online Database
GP	General Practitioner
HADS	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
HADS-A	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety subscale
HADS-D	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression subscale
HER2	Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2
HES-APC	Hospital Episode Statistics, Admitted Patient Care
HRQoL	Health-Related Quality of Life
HR	Hazard Ratio
ICD-9	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
	Problems, 9th revision
ICD-10	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
	Problems, 10th revision
IMD	Index of Multiple Deprivation
ISAC	The Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for MHRA Database
	Research
LSOA	Lower-layer Super Output Areas
MDD	Major Depressive Disorder
MHDS	Mental Health Data Set

MHRA	Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
MRC	Medical Research Council
NHS	National Health Service
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
ONS	Office of National Statistics
OR	Odds Ratio
PTSD	Post-traumatic Stress Disorder
QLACS	Quality of life in Adult Cancer Survivors
SDI	Socio-economic Development Index
UK	United Kingdom
YLD	Years Lived with Disability

# List of figures

Figure 1.1	Life course social field model.						
Figure 1.2	Diathesis stress model.						
Figure 1.3	Sex- and age-specific incidence rate and cumulative incidence, for treated mood disorders in Denmark.						
Figure 1.4	Leading causes of global years lived with disability (YLDs) for women in 2017, and percentage change in the number of YLDs and all age and age-standardised rates.						
Figure 1.5	Prevalence of depressive episodes and mixed depression and anxiety in the past week by calendar year and sex. Results from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Surveys.						
Figure 1.6	Model of anxiety aetiology.	34					
Figure 1.7	Prevalence of anxiety and mixed depression and anxiety in the past week by calendar year and sex. Results from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Surveys.						
Figure 1.8	Trends of age-standardised YLD rates per 100 000 for the top eight causes of non-fatal burden in 2017 for each sex by SDI quintile, 1990–2017.	37					
Figure 1.9	Model of stress-reactive neurosis.	38					
Figure 1.10	Risk factors for self-harm and suicide.						
Figure 1.11	Prevalence of self-harm without suicidal intention in men and women in England.						
Figure 1.12	Venn diagram showing the relation between suicide attempts, suicides and mood disorders.	43					
Figure 1.13	Trends of the age-standardised incidence rates of breast cancer in England (1995-2011).	44					
Figure 1.14	Five-year net survival, adjusted for age, for patients diagnosed in 2010-2011, and absolute change since 1971 in England and Wales.	46					
Figure 1.15	Trends in five-year age-standardised net survival (%) from breast cancer in the United Kingdom (UK) and in three Nordic countries from 1995-99 to 2010-14.						
Figure 1.16	Early breast cancer treatment algorithm.	48					
Figure 4.1	Spatial distribution of the 7526 general practices in the UK by number of general practices using InPS Vision, and percentage of the population share, at both Clinical Commissioning Group (thinner borders) and NHS region (thicker border) levels.	102					

Figure 4.2	Data flow for primary care data linkage.	104
Figure 6.1	Direct acyclic graph.	151
Figure 6.2	Flowchart of the selection of the study cohorts.	152
Figure 6.3	Risk of anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors and women with no prior cancer.	157
Figure 6.4	Associations between breast cancer survivorship and anxiety, and depression, by potential effect modifiers.	159
Figure 6.5B	Associations between breast cancer survivorship and cognitive dysfunction, fatigue, pain and opioid analgesics, by potential effect modifiers.	160
Figure 6.5B	Associations between breast cancer survivorship and sleep disorder, sexual dysfunction and fatal and non-fatal self-harm, by potential effect modifiers.	161
Figure 6.6	Results of sensitivity analysis.	162
Figure 7.1	Flowchart of patient recruitment.	183
Figure 7.2	Mean scores of HRQoL and anxiety and depression, by age at questionnaire response and education (N=353).	193
Figure 7.3	Mean scores of HRQoL and anxiety and depression, by exposure to chemotherapy and stage at diagnosis in breast cancer survivors (N=353).	194
Figure 9.1	Results for anxiety and depression clinically assessed (Chapter 6), and symptoms of anxiety and depression (Chapter 7) (Study ID = ***Carreira <i>et al.</i> 2020) compared to the studies identified in the systematic review (Chapter 3).	223
Figure 9.2	Results for cognitive dysfunction, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder, suicide, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm reported in Chapter 6 (Study ID = ***Carreira <i>et al.</i> 2020), compared to the studies identified in the systematic review (Chapter 3).	225

# List of tables

Table 1.1	DSM-V categories for mental disorders other than anxiety and depression.	38						
Table 1.2	Lifestyle risk factors for breast cancer: levels of evidence.	45						
Table 1.3	Possible physical and psychological consequences of breast cancer treatments.							
Table 3.1	Results of the studies eligible in the update of the systematic review.	94						
Table 4.1	Example of internal consistency check performed by CPRD on the data collected from the InPS Vision software.							
Table 4.2	Instruments identified by Chopra et al. as having been used to assess HRQoL in samples of breast cancer survivors, with respective domains and psychometric properties.							
Table 4.3	Items of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors scale grouped by domain.	112						
Table 6.1	Characteristics of the study participants.*	153						
Table 6.2	Associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes.	156						
Table 7.1	Characteristics of the study participants.*	184						
Table 7.2	Mean scores for HRQoL domains, anxiety and depressive symptoms, in each group of women.	187						
Table 7.3	Comparison of patient-reported outcomes between breast cancer survivors and controls, by chemotherapy and stage at diagnosis.	188						
Table 7.4	Unadjusted and adjusted associations between breast cancer survivorship and anxiety and depression.	189						
Table 7.5	HRQoL in breast cancer survivors by socio-demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics (N=353).	191						
Table 7.6	Anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors by socio-demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics (N=353).	192						
Table 7.7	Associations between age and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).	195						
Table 7.8	Associations between education and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).	196						
Table 7.9	Associations between exposure to chemotherapy and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).	197						
Table 7.10	Associations between stage of at diagnosis and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).	198						
Table 8.1	Matching between HRQoL domain and information in the EHR.	208						

- Table 8.2Patients scoring above a given threshold in the PRO study211that had domain-related information in the EHR by time priorto the last data collection for the practice in the CPRD versionJanuary 2019.
- Table 8.3Patients scoring above a given threshold in the PRO study212that had domain-related information in the EHR by time priorto the last data collection for the practice in the CPRD versionJuly 2019.
- Table 8.4Proportion of women who had information in the EHR who213reported distressing levels when inquired about their HRQoL.
- Table 8.5Example of the HRQoL domain, its items and information 217searched in the EHRs.

# List of appendices

Appendix 1	Supplementary materials to the paper presented in Chapter 3	255
Appendix 2	Supplementary materials to the paper presented in Chapter 5	305
Appendix 3	Supplementary materials to the paper presented in Chapter 6	439
Appendix 4	Supplementary materials to the paper presented in Chapter 7	475

### 1 Background

### 1.1 Introduction

This thesis focuses on the mental health and quality of life of breast cancer survivors in the UK, compared to women with no history of cancer. This opening chapter provides background information on the current knowledge of the aetiology and epidemiology of mental health conditions and breast cancer, the potential intersection between the two, and plausible implications for health-related quality of life (HRQoL). This motivated the aims and objectives of this thesis, which are provided in Chapter 2.

### 1.2 Mental disorders

Mental disorders are very common conditions. A meta-analysis of 155 studies from 55 countries, estimated a one-year prevalence of all mental disorders of 17.6% (95% confidence interval (95%CI): 16.3% to 18.9%) [1]. Anxiety and mood disorders represented 88% of all diagnosis [1], therefore the following sections focus in detail on these two groups of disorders, and briefly on other mental disorders.

### 1.2.1 Depressive disorders

### Definition

Depressive disorders are characterised by cardinal feelings of sadness, anhedonia, lack of interest, feelings of helplessness, irritability, and tearfulness, among others [2]. These negative feelings are amongst the panoply that occur normally in mammals, and short periods of sadness are part of everyday life [2, 3]. Depression in the pathological sense occurs when the severity and duration of these symptoms are exaggerated, so much so that they disrupt daily life. Major depressive disorder (MDD) is the terminology used to refer to the most severe cases, and is defined by the following criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-V): the presence of 1) an abnormal depressed mood most of the day, nearly every day, for at least two weeks; or an abnormal loss of all interest and pleasure most of the day, nearly every day, for at least two weeks: depressed mood, loss of all pleasure, appetite or weight disturbance, sleep disturbance, agitation or

slowing, fatigue or loss of energy, abnormal inappropriate guilt, poor concentration, thoughts of death or suicide [4].

Historically, the terms 'depressive disorders', 'mood disorders' and 'affective disorders' have all been used to describe a group of disorders that are characterised by depressive episodes. These groups included unipolar depressive disorder and bipolar disorder (sometimes referred to as manic depression), among other less common disorders. In DSM-V, published in 2013, the category of 'Mood disorders' was replaced by two categories: 'Bipolar and related disorders' and 'Depressive disorders'. This split was motivated by the similarities in symptomatology, family history and genetics between psychotic disorders and bipolar disorders. Unipolar depressive disorder has always represented the vast majority of the cases in any group of 'mood disorders', and it is the focus of the following section on aetiology. For simplicity, unipolar depressive disorder is hereafter referred to as depression.

#### Aetiology

The aetiology of depression is complex. Early studies showed that depression tended to occur in those with a family history of the disorder, suggesting that genetic components could be involved [5]. Adoption studies explored the role of environmental exposures, as families tend to share both genetics and habits. The higher frequency of major depression in adoptees with biological family history of depression, compared to adoptees without, further lent support to the theory of genetic susceptibility [5]. Heritability for depression has now been estimated at around 30-40% (at population level), based on studies with monozygotic and dizygotic twins [5]. Studies on the specific genes involved revealed a multifaceted picture of polygenic inheritance, where several genes contribute a small or modest effect independently [5, 6].

Stress plays a central role in the aetiology of depression [7]. The Oxford dictionary defines stress as 'a state of mental or emotional strain or tension resulting from adverse or demanding circumstances' [8]. Adverse psychological exposures during childhood appear to affect one's predisposition to subsequently develop depression [9]. In addition, there is vast empirical evidence that recent stressful events, measured in several forms, may precipitate episodes of depression [10-12]. Increasing odds of depression were also found for more long-lasting forms of stress, such as lower socio-economic status [13], distressed partnered relationships [14], singlehood at older ages [15], motherhood with low social support [16], and work-

28

related factors, such as job strain, workplace bullying, lack of autonomy and decision capacity [17].

Stress is often induced by change [7]. Whilst some changes may be avoided, those arising from one's life course trajectories are less so. The life course social field model (Figure 1.1) puts forward a number of life span events that may act as stressors during an individual's lifetime and trigger episodes of depression; these events are thought to be closely related to the higher incidence of depression in certain age groups (*vide* section 1.2.1.4) [7].



**Figure 1.1** Life course social field model. Figure adapted from [7]; reproduced with permission from Oxford Publishing Limited.

The diathesis stress model (Figure 1.2) explains the interaction between genes and stress in the aetiology of depression. The model postulates that neither genes nor stress alone invoke most pathological events, but instead it is the combination of sufficient components of the two that are implicated [18, 19].

Several factors, at individual and societal levels, have been described as modifiers of the association between stress and depression in susceptible individuals. For example, personality traits, such as higher levels of neuroticism, have been shown to interact with stress and potentiate the risk of depression [20]. Increased social support, measured as higher perceived social support, higher number of social relationships, working outside home, or having someone to confide in, have been shown to buffer some of the negative effects of stress, particularly among women, and protect against depression [21, 22].



**Figure 1.2** The diathesis stress model. Figure from [7]; reproduced with permission from Oxford Publishing Limited.

Physical activity appears to also protect against the onset of depression [23], and part of this effect may be due to increased social interaction.

### Natural course of depression

Diagnosed episodes of depression usually last for months, and are on average for four weeks longer in women compared to men [24]. A 12-year longitudinal study on the prognosis of depression reported that 50% of the patients did not observe any further episode, 35% achieved remission but later relapsed, and 15% had unremitting disease during the 12-year period [24]. Depression has also a lengthy prodromal period, often longer than the depressive episode itself [24].

### Epidemiology

The risk of depression varies by age and sex, typically following the distribution shown in Figure 1.3 in high-income settings. The higher risk of depression in females starts around puberty, and rates increase rapidly in both sexes, peaking around the mid-twenties and early thirties [25]. Incidence rates tend to decline in both sexes until the mid to late 50s, when small increases have been noted [24, 25]. One may observe that these peaks coincide with life stages when major changes occur (Figure 1.1). In young adulthood, the societal expectations are for one to start a career, be financially independent, have a partner, start a family, etc., which may be a reasonable source of emotional strain for many [7]. In the late 50s, many experience angst over their own children's independence, illnesses, loss of loved

ones, retirement and defining a meaning for the fewer years ahead [7]. Social interactions often change around these stages in life, possibly adding further strain through reduced social support.



**Figure 1.3** Sex- and age-specific incidence rate and cumulative incidence, for treated mood disorders in Denmark. Limit lines show the 95% confidence intervals. Figure adapted from [25]; reproduced with permission from the American Medical Association.

In 2000, unipolar depressive disorder accounted for 4% of all disability adjusted life years (DALYs) in the world, ranking only below infections of the lower respiratory tract and human immunodeficiency virus [26, 27]. In the most affluent regions, it accounted for nearly 8% of the DALYs, ranking only after ischaemic heart disease [26, 27]. Years of life lost due to depression are few (usually attributed to suicide) but the number of years lived with disability (YLDs) is phenomenally high. Women have the largest share of this burden, due to higher prevalence and duration of the disease. In women, YLDs increased 32.2% from 1990 to 2007 and 14.1% from 2007 to 2017 (Figure 1.4). Depressive disorders rank third in the global rank of YLDs in women since 2007 [28].

Leading causes 1990		Leading causes 2007	Mean percentage change in number of YLDs, 1990-2007	Mean percentage change in all-age YLD rate, 1990–2007	Mean percentage change in age standardised YLD rate, 1990–2007	-	Leading causes 2017	Mean percentage change in number of YLDs, 2007-17	Mean percentage change in all-age YLD rate, 2007–17	Mean percentage change in ag standardised YLD rate, 2007–17
1 Low back pain		1 Low back pain	29.8	3.3	-7.6		1 Low back pain	17-3	3.7	-2.7
2 Headache disorders		2 Headache disorders	34.0	6.7	-0.1		2 Headache disorders	15-3	1.9	0.7
3 Dietary iron deficiency		3 Depressive disorders	32-2	5-2	-3-0		3 Depressive disorders	14.1	0.8	-3-1
4 Depressive disorders	· · · ·	4 Dietary iron deficiency	0.3	-20-2	-18-8		4 Dietary iron deficiency	-5-0	-16-0	-14-6
5 Anxiety disorders		5 Anxiety disorders	33-0	5.9	0.6	. /	5 Diabetes	30-0	14-9	3.5
6 COPD		6 Diabetes	72·5	37-3	18.6	X,	6 COPD	28-9	13-9	1.7
7 Blindness and vision impairment	AL	7 Age-related hearing loss	44-9	15-3	0.7	<u> </u>	7 Age-related hearing loss	25.7	11-1	0.2
8 Age-related hearing loss	···	8 Neck pain	45·7	16.0	0.8	·/``	8 Anxiety disorders	12.4	-0.7	-1.9
9 Neck pain	Ti	9 Blindness and vision impairment	41.8	12-9	-0.6	1.	9 Neck pain	20-8	6.8	-1.5
10 Other musculoskeletal	1.	10 COPD	19-1	-5·2	-17.7		10 Blindness and vision impairment	22.6	8.4	-2.1
11 Diabetes	· · · · · ·	11 Other musculoskeletal	50-0	19.4	7.0		11 Other musculoskeletal	21-2	7.1	0.9
12 Neonatal disorders		12 Neonatal disorders	52·7	21.5	26.8		12 Neonatal disorders	24.8	10.3	12.6
13 Gynaecological diseases		13 Gynaecological diseases	33-9	6.6	-2.5		13 Gynaecological diseases	10.2	-2.6	-2-3
14 Vitamin A deficiency		14 Oral disorders	38-1	9.9	-2.6		14 Oral disorders	22.1	7.9	-0-9
				🗖 Iniuri	es Non-co	mmunical	le diseases 🔲 Communicable mate	rnal neonatal	and nutritiona	diseases

Female



The global variation in burden of disease may be partially explained by differences in the prevalence of depression across settings. For example, the one-year prevalence of depression was found to vary in a systematic review from <1% in Taipei, Taiwan, to 15.4% in the Republic of Udmurtia, Russia [29]. Several factors may contribute to this disparity, including true heterogeneity in the risk and course of the disease, differential reporting of negative feelings in settings where mental health conditions are stigmatised, and differences in the criteria used to establish 'caseness' (e.g. inclusion/exclusion of dysthymia and bipolar disorders in 'depression').

In the UK, the 2014 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey estimated that 4% of women and 3% of men aged 16-64 met the criteria for a depressive episode in the past week, and a further 11% and 6%, respectively, had symptoms of mixed anxiety and depression [30]. This represented an increase of 2% in the frequency of depressive episodes in both sexes since 1993 (Figure 1.5).





In summary, depression affects approximately a fifth of women and a tenth of men during their lifetime. Episodes of depression, even when of mild severity, are debilitating, affecting the individual and their social groups. The high prevalence, duration and impairments generated by the disorder are translated into large numbers of years lived with disability, and demand for public health strategies that mitigate these effects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey includes a large probability sample of the adult general population (aged ≥16 years) living in private households [15] and evaluated the presence of common mental health conditions in the previous week using the revised Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS-R) [16]. Participants were aged 16-64; data for subjects aged over 64 were collected in the two most recent waves, but were not included in the graph to retain comparability over time. The three first waves include data for the whole UK, while only England has been included in 2014.

### 1.2.2 Anxiety disorders

#### Definition

Anxiety is the physiological response to situations perceived as dangerous, an ancient biological programming to survive [2]. Low to moderate levels of anxiety are normally associated with enhanced performance but high levels are counter-productive [31]. Anxiety disorders are characterised by exaggerated mental symptoms of fears and anxiousness, physical symptoms, and respective behavioural reactions [4]. Fear is defined in DSM-V as 'the emotional response to a real or perceived immediate threat', while anxiety is 'the anticipation of future threat' [4]. Anxiety disorders have several components, including psychological (feelings of dread, restlessness, narrowed attention, increased alertness and irritability), somatic (hyperventilation and muscle tension), autonomic (increased heart rate and sweating) and avoidance (phobia) [2].

DSM-V includes five anxiety disorders common in adults: specific phobias, social anxiety disorder (social phobia), panic disorder, agoraphobia, and generalised anxiety disorder [4]. Whilst all have symptoms of fear and anxiety at their core, they are differentiated by different patterns in cognition, behaviour, physiology and temporal aspects [7].

Phobias, including specific phobias, social phobia, and agoraphobia, are marked by sporadic anxiety that occurs when one is exposed to a particular object, place or situation, and it causes anxious anticipation and avoidance. Specific phobias refer to fear of specific objects or situations (e.g. heights, blood, flying), while social phobia refers to the fear and anxiety caused by social situations (e.g. meeting strangers). Agoraphobia is characterised by fears related to places and/or situations from which escaping might be, or is perceived as, difficult, and these situations are intensely avoided (e.g. public transportation, crowed spaces, going outside home). Even though the individual recognises these fears as irrational, anticipation or exposure to the object/situation inevitably triggers intense anxiety, causing one to markedly avoid the situation [4].

Anxiety in panic disorder is also intermittent, but unrelated to a particular exposure [2]. Panic disorder is defined by spontaneous and recurrent panic attacks, and at least one month of concerns about additional attacks and their consequences, or changes in behaviour because of the panic attacks [4]. A panic attack, in turn, is defined by fear, with four or more of the following symptoms developing and peaking within 10 minutes: palpitations, sweating, shaking or trembling, shortness of

breath, feeling of chocking, chest pain, nausea, feeling dizzy or faint, de-realization, numbing or tingling sensation, chills or hot flushes [4].

Generalised anxiety disorder is defined by a continuum of excessive and uncontrollable anxiety and worry, in more days than not, for at least six months. Anxiety in generalised anxiety disorder is linked to three or more of the following: restlessness, fatigue, concentration problems, irritability, muscle tension and sleep disturbance [4].

Traditionally, anxiety disorders also included obsessive-compulsive, acute stressrelated disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [32]. In DSM-V, obsessive-compulsive and stress-related disorders were separated from anxiety disorders. In their new categories, diagnostic criteria remained similar, with obsessive-compulsive disorders having as central features compulsions guided by obsessions (e.g. hoarding) and stress-related disorders originating from a welldefined traumatic situation [4].

#### Aetiology

The aetiology of anxiety is also complex, and has several similarities to the aetiology of depression. Anxiety disorders are thought to also have a genetic component [33], with studies with twins estimating heritability at ~10-15% at population level [5]. The individual susceptibility to anxiety disorders, however, is thought to be the product of several gene-environment interactions (Figure 1.6) [34].



**Figure 1.6** Model of anxiety aetiology. Figure from [35]; reproduced with permission from Oxford Publishing Limited.

Environmental factors that appear to contribute to increased susceptibility to anxiety disorders include some that negatively affect personality development during childhood, including parental indifference, physical or sexual abuse, parenting styles dominated by overprotection and lack of emotional warmth [36-39]. Individual personality traits, such as neuroticism may also be implicated [2].

In individuals with increased susceptibility, stress also plays a major role in the incidence of anxiety disorders [2, 35]. Compared to depression, evidence on the stressors for anxiety disorders is scarcer. Lower socio-economic status (measured through levels of income and years of education) was a strong predictor of panic attacks (odds ratio (OR) for <12 years vs. >15 years of schooling: 4.9), panic disorder (OR: 10.4) and panic disorder with agoraphobia (OR: 7.6) [40-42]. Social support has been associated with better outcomes in the treatment of anxiety disorders [43]. Higher levels of physical activity also protect against the onset of anxiety [44].

#### Epidemiology

Steel et al. estimated the lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders was 18.2% in women and 10.1% in men based on comprehensive systematic review of studies conducted between 1980 and 2013 [1]. However, there has been considerable variation in the prevalence estimates of anxiety disorders available in the literature, and results from studies using stricter, diagnostic manual, definitions of anxiety disorders tend to show lower estimates. For example, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported that the prevalence of anxiety disorders worldwide in 2015 was 3.6% [45].

Considering specific anxiety disorders, Eaton et al. found that 4% of patients met the criteria for a lifetime DSM-III-R diagnosis of panic disorder, and 16% met the criteria for lifetime fearful spell (i.e. met some but not all DSM-III-R criteria for panic disorder) [40]. Phobias, including agoraphobia, social phobia and specific phobias, are quite common in the population. In the United States, the lifetime prevalence of agoraphobia has been estimated at 9.0% in women and 4.1% in men, while social phobia affected 15.5% of women and 11.1% of men during their life time [42].

Incidence of anxiety disorders registered in EHRs of patients attending primary care practices in 1998-2008 in the UK was estimated at 7.4 per 1000 person-years in women (95%CI: 7.4 to 7.5), and 3.9 per 1000 in men (95%CI: 3.9 to 4.0) [46]. A declining trend in recording of diagnosis of anxiety, accompanied by an increasing

recording of symptoms for anxiety, was observed during the 10-year period [46]. The risk of having an anxiety diagnosis recorded was 58% (95%CI: 37% to 82%) higher in patients in the most deprived areas, compared to those least deprived ones [46]. Incidence of anxiety disorders was lowest in the age group 16 to 24 years and highest among those aged 45-64 years [46]. Figure 1.7 describes the prevalence of each anxiety disorder in the UK Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Surveys. In 2014, 24.6% of the women and 15.9% of the men met the criteria for an anxiety disorder in the week before [30]. Although these figures are not directly comparable to those from EHRs, it is still interesting to observe the increasing trends in patient-reported anxiety.



**Figure 1.7** Results from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Surveys [30] for the prevalence of anxiety disorders in the week before interview by calendar year of data collection and sex.

Anxiety disorders rank eight in the global rank of YLDs (Figure 1.3). The burden of anxiety is particularly high in countries with high socio-demographic index (Figure 1.8). This might be partially explained by the higher lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders diagnosed in high-income countries (19.4%), compared to low- and middle-income countries (16.0%) [1].


**Figure 1.8** Trends of age-standardised YLD rates per 100,000 for the top eight causes of non-fatal burden in 2017 for each sex by socio-economic development index (SDI) quintile, 1990-2017. Figure adapted from [28]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

In summary, anxiety disorders arise from stressful situations in anxiety personalityprone individuals. The burden generated by anxiety disorders is high, particularly in high-income countries. Women and those with lower socio-economic status are more likely to have an anxiety disorder during their lifetime.

## 1.2.3 Relationship between anxiety & depression

Descriptive data on anxiety and depressive disorders show considerable similarities [7]. It was postulated that anxiety disorders themselves may also include depressive symptoms [47], with increased stress causing dysthymia, and if these persist, symptoms of panic and agoraphobia may also appear (Figure 1.9). This explains the high co-morbidity of anxiety and depression at individual level.

Data from prospective studies have shown that anxiety and depression are positively correlated both at baseline and at follow-up, in addition to being correlated with the incidence of the other between baseline and follow-up [48]; this means that these conditions predict one another, and share syndrome (i.e. anxiety symptoms may be present in depressive disorders, anxiety disorders may include depressive symptoms). So, while these conditions may be considered as two broad entities, part of the symptomatology will overlap, and many patients present with mixed symptomatology of anxiety and depression.

#### Stress-reactive Neurosis



**Figure 1.9** Model of stress-reactive neurosis. As the levels of stress increase, dysthymia is present. This explains the high comorbidity of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Figure from [49]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY Non-Commercial (NC) license.

In addition, for both conditions, lower socio-economic status is associated with raised risks, while social support exerts protective effects [50]. Further evidence for the overlap between these two conditions is provided by genetic studies where, for example, a family history of depression has been shown to increase the odds of having been diagnosed with panic disorder [51]. The natural course of anxiety and depression is also somehow similar, varying from patients who recover fully, patients who keep relapsing over time, and who have unremitting course [52]. Both conditions are more frequent in women, are precipitated by similar stressors, and respond to treatment with similar pharmacological agents [53]. History of depressive disorder appears to increase the risk of panic disorder [41], and both disorders are strong predictors of suicide [54].

## 1.2.4 Other mental health conditions

Mental disorders other than depressive and anxiety disorders listed in DSM-V are provided in Table 1.1.

 Table 1.1
 DSM-V categories for mental disorders other than anxiety and depression.

Neurodevelopmental disorders

Schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders

Bipolar and related disorders

Obsessive compulsive and related disorders

Somatic symptoms and related disorders

Feeding and eating disorders

- Elimination disorders
- Trauma and stressor related disorders

(continued)

Table 1.1 (continued)

Dissociative disorders Sleep-wake disorders Sexual dysfunctions Gender dysphoria Disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders Substance-related and addictive disorders Neurocognitive disorders Personality disorders Paraphilic disorders

The aetiology of these disorders is varied. Some disorders have typical onset during childhood (e.g. neurodevelopmental disorders), and thus are unlikely to be associated with exposures characteristic of adult life. A few disorders are known to be associated with biological changes in the central nervous system due to a specific medical condition (e.g. dementia in multiple sclerosis, or after stroke). Other disorders can have both physical and psychological origin (e.g. sexual dysfunction). And several disorders are defined by similar symptoms (e.g. anxiety as symptom in sleep disorders).

The following paragraphs briefly describe sleep-wake disorders, sexual dysfunctions, neurocognitive disorders, and self-harm. There were chosen due to their onset in adult life, and potential association with stress. Self-harm is also described because it is the manifestation of mental distress, even though it is not a mental disorder per se.

#### Sleep disorders

Sleep disorders are related to impairments in sleep quantity, quality or timing [4]. Insomnia and hypersomnia are the most common conditions. Insomnia is characterised by difficulties in initiating or maintaining sleep, causing sleep deprivation that can cause substantial distress to the patient [4]. Hypersomnia refers to prolonged sleep (>9 hours or recurrent episodes sleep) that is not restorative [4].

Sleep disorders are relatively common [55]. A review showed prevalences in the general population that varied from 8% to 33%, depending on the definitions used [56]. In the UK, a survey of the general population in 1994 described a prevalence of insomnia of 6.8% in men and 10.6% in women [57].

Risk factors for sleep disorders include female gender, depression, chronic physical illnesses, and possibly lower socio-economic status, widowhood, and loneliness and

perceived stress [58-60]. Insomnia becomes chronic in nearly 50% of the patients [61] and is often comorbid with other distressing conditions (most often anxiety, pain and dementia) or excess use of substances such as caffeine or ethanol [2].

## Female sexual dysfunction

Sexual dysfunctions refer to impaired or unsatisfied sexual experiences [2]. In females, the most common sexual dysfunctions are orgasmic disorders, arousal disorders, and genito-pelvic pain [4]. Orgasmic disorder is defined by the absence or substantial delay in reaching orgasm in most (75-100%) of the sexual activity events, which can cause distress to the individual [4]. Arousal disorder occurs when the woman has persistent (>6 months) lack of, or importantly reduced, sexual interest that causes clinically significant distress [4]. Genito-pain disorders (including vaginismus and dyspaneuria) include difficulties with vaginal penetration or pain during vaginal penetration, with or without constriction of the pelvic floor muscle that prevent penetration, that last for six or more months and causes distress [4].

The advances in the understanding of sexual dysfunctions are great challenges for the definition of objective criteria to establish a diagnosis and, consequently, for research in this area. All revisions of the DSM have included changes related to sexual dysfunctions [62]. DSM-V reduced the categories of sexual dysfunctions compared to DSM-IV, and included stricter criteria for diagnoses of sexual dysfunction (e.g. present in 75-100% of the time, for  $\geq$ 6 months, and causing significant distress), aiming at reducing the over-diagnoses of sexual dysfunctions with DSM-IV criteria and better represent the most recent model of female sexual function [63, 64].

In 2003, a survey of women aged 15-44 in Britain reported that 10% had a lack of sexual interest, 14% were unable to reach orgasm, and 12% had painful intercourse [65]. In a more recent survey (2010-2012), lack of sexual activity in the previous year was associated with problems in sexual function, and 10.9% of women who had had sex during the previous year were distressed about their sex life [66].

Decreased sexual activity in post-menopausal women may be explained by a wide range of factors, including lack of partner available (e.g. widowhood, separation), presence of medical conditions more common older ages (back pain, dementia, erectile dysfunction), bereavement for loved ones, relationship difficulties, fatigue, among others [58]. Psychiatric disorders, such as depression and/or anxiety, and some psychotropic drugs, such as selective serotonin receptors inhibitors (SSRI) or tricyclic antidepressants, may also impair the female sexual function [2].

### Neurocognitive dysfunction

Neurocognitive dysfunctions have impairments of the intellect, memory and personality as central features; 95% of cases are irreversible [2]. The main conditions in this category are Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, frontotemporal dementia, Lewy bodies disease, and dementia in Parkinson's disease [4]. Neurodegenerative alterations in the central nervous system, usually visible through brain atrophy, are often observed in patients with these conditions, and explain the pathophysiology. In cases where impairments to the domains of cognitive function are not sufficient for a specific diagnosis, the term mild cognitive dysfunction is used [4]. Between 10% and 20% of the cases mild cognitive impairment are expected to progress to dementia [67].

Incidence of dementia was estimated at 14.3 per 1000 person years in men and 17.0/1000 person years in women aged 50 or more in England in 2010 [68]. In those aged  $\geq 60$  years, prevalence was estimated to vary between 5 and 7% [69]. Alzheimer's disease usually accounts for 50-60% of all dementia cases, followed by vascular dementia (20-25%) and dementia with Lewy bodies (15-20%) [2].

Several factors have been pointed out to increase the risk of dementia, such as hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and alcohol use [70-73]. Trends in the incidence of Alzheimer's disease appear to be stable in western countries, but the burden has almost doubled between 1990 and 2013 due to the increased survival [74].

## Fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Intentional self-harm is commonly associated with an underlying mental condition, and it may occur for several reasons, including a desire to punishing oneself, to express distress to others, to escape or avoid situations, to release feelings of anger, tension, anxiety or depression, among others [75-77]. The severity of selfharm ranges from injuring behaviour with no suicidal intent, to suicide.

Figure 1.10 shows some of the most relevant risk factors for self-harm and suicide in adolescents and young adults, and the mechanisms that may be involved [78]; the model for adults is likely to be similar. Alcohol consumption, previous psychiatric disorder, previous self-harm attempts that led to health-service contact, among others, appear to be strong predictors of self-harm [77, 79]. Risk factors for suicide are in all similar to those for self-harm. There is also a considerable body of research on chronic diseases as a risk factor for suicide, including heart failure [80], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [81], atopic dermatitis [82], multiple sclerosis [83], chronic pain [84], among others.



**Figure 1.10** Risk factors for self-harm and suicide. Figure from reference [78]; reproduced with permission from Elsevier.

Self-harm is most common in adolescents and young adults, but the proportion of subjects who self-harm around midlife in England is not negligible [77] (Figure 1.11). In addition, the prevalence of intentional self-harm without suicidal ideation in England increased from 2.4% in 2000 to 6.4% in 2014 [77].



**Figure 1.11** Prevalence of self-harm without suicidal intention in males (panel A) and females (panel B) in England. Figure from [77]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

Self-poisoning, commonly with paracetamol or anti-depressants, accounted for 78.6% of the men, and 86.8% of the women, aged 40-59 years old that presented to hospital with self-harm; the remaining were cases of self-injury and/or self-poisoning [85].

Self-harm is a strong risk factor for suicide [86] but only a small proportion of the self-harm cases result in death (Figure 1.12) [87].



**Figure 1.12** Venn diagram showing the relation between suicide attempts, suicides and mood disorders. Adapted from [88]; reproduced with permission from Wolters Kluwer Health.

Following the global trends, the rate of suicide has increased in the UK since 1950 [2]. Even though self-harm is more common among women, completed suicide is more common in men. The highest risk of suicide in the UK in 2014 was observed for men aged 45-59 years (23.9 deaths per 100,000 population). The corresponding highest risk estimate for women was also in the age group of 45-59 years, with 7.3 deaths per 100,000 population [89].

## 1.3 Breast cancer

### 1.3.1 Incidence

Breast cancer incidence markedly increased during the last decades (Figure 1.13) and it is currently the most frequently diagnosed malignancy in women in the UK, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer [90, 91].





Breast cancer is currently understood as being a disease closely related to exposure to hormones. The female breast is composed of glandular tissue whose primary function is milk production after parturition [93]. The development of the breast tissue during adolescence relates to the effects of oestrogen and progesterone, two hormones that start to be produced with the onset of the luteal phase of the ovary [94]. During the subsequent menstrual cycles, if no pregnancy occurs, the breast develops and then regresses [95]. However, if a pregnancy occurs, the placenta produces hormones that stimulate the development of the breast tissue (e.g. oestrogen, progesterone and placental lactogen [94]) causing the expansion of the ducts and lobules [95]. Women exposed to a higher number of menstrual cycles during their lifetime, through menarche at younger age [96], late menopause [96], or no pregnancy- or lactation-related amenorrhea [97, 98], have an increased risk of breast cancer [96]. Use of exogenous hormones, such as oral

contraceptives and hormone replacement therapy, are also associated with an increased risk of breast cancer [99].

It is estimated that around 10% of the breast cancer cases occurring the western world are due to genetic predisposition [100], even though not much is known about how many and which genes increase the risk of breast cancer. Identified genes have been shown to have autosomal dominance with limited penetrance, passing to the next generations through either parent, and not leading to cancer development in all carriers. BRCA1 and BRCA2 are two notable oncogenes in this area, located on chromosomes 17 and 13, respectively [100]. BRCA1 and BRCA2 are tumour suppressor genes, involved in the regulation of transcription and reparation of DNA. Alterations in these genes are rare in the general population, at about 0.1% [95]. However, approximately 60-80% of the women who carry these mutations develop breast cancer, usually around the age of 50 years [95].

Several lifestyle risk factors for breast cancer have been identified and some of these vary by women's menopausal status [101]. The World Cancer Research Fund International and the American Institute for Cancer Research have produced robust evidence on the effect of food, nutrition and physical activity in the development of breast cancer [101-103]. According to the latest revision of the evidence, based on comprehensive systematic reviews and meta-analyses, there is strong evidence that lactation, physical activity and body fatness in young adulthood are associated with a decreased risk of breast cancer in both pre- and post-menopausal women (Table 1.2) [103].

	Protective factors (level of eviden	ce)	Risk factors (level of ev	vidence)
Pre-	Lactation	(P)	Alcoholic drinks	(P)
menopause	Body fatness	(P)	Adult attained height	(C)
	Vigorous physical activity	(P)	Greater birth weight	(P)
	Non-starchy vegetables (ER- only)	(S)		
	Dairy products	(S)		
	Carotenoid rich foods	(S)		
	Diets high in calcium	(S)		
	Physical activity	(S)		
Post-	Lactation	(P)	Alcoholic drinks	(C)
menopause	Physical activity of all types	ÌΡ)	Body fatness	(C)
	Body fatness in young adulthood	(P)	Adult attained height	(C)
	Non-starchy vegetables (ER- only)	(S)	Adult weight gain	(C)
	Carotenoid rich foods	(S)	_	
	Diets high in calcium	(S)		
C = convincing; B = probable; S = suggestive				

**Table 1.2** Lifestyle risk factors for breast cancer: levels of evidence.

C = convincing; P = probable; S = suggestive.

Convincing and probable levels of evidence are supported by strong evidence from the literature; suggestive is supported by limited evidence.

Table adapted from [103].

Of the established risk factors for breast cancer, only a few are amenable to change: exogenous hormone use, post-menopausal excess of body weight and alcohol intake. Reproductive factors are less likely to be changed for cancer prevention purposes in modern societies. Therefore, breast cancer will continue to have high incidence globally in the foreseeable future. With the gains in life expectancy observed in the last century [104], the aging of the population alone will result in increased numbers of breast cancer patients.

### 1.3.2 Control

Breast cancer control strategies have mainly focused on early detection and treatment. A mass-screening programme targeting women aged 50-64 years old has been operating since 1988 [105]; in 2000, screening was extended up to the age of 70 years [106]. Tumours detected through mammography tend to have a lower stage at diagnosis, and consequent better survival, than tumours detected when the disease is symptomatic [107]. Even for late-stage tumours, the (neo-)adjuvant hormonal and immune therapies, introduced in the last decades, resulted in notable improvements in survival. In England and Wales, for example, 5-year age-adjusted net survival from breast cancer increased from 53% in 1971-72 to 87% in 2010-11 (Figure 1.14) [108].



**Figure 1.14** Five-year net survival, adjusted for age, for patients diagnosed in 2010-2011, and absolute change since 1971 in England and Wales. Figure adapted from [108]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

This is translated into unprecedented numbers of breast cancer survivors in the general population. Approximately 570,000 women in the UK were estimated to have a history of breast cancer in 2010 [109].

A comparison of the survival estimates estimated for the UK with those from three Nordic countries (Figure 1.15), which have similar national health systems, shows that there is still margin for improvements, and therefore the number of breast cancer survivors is still expected to increase in the decades to come.





By 2040, projections indicate that there will be 1.5 million women with history of breast cancer living in the UK [109].

## 1.3.3 Treatment

Current treatment modalities with curative intent include combinations of surgery (mastectomy or breast conserving surgery, with sentinel lymph node biopsy or axillary dissection), radiotherapy, chemotherapy (e.g. antracyclines and/or taxanes), endocrine therapy (tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors) for oestrogen receptor (ER) positive tumours, and monoclonal antibody therapy (trastuzumab) for Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2 (HER2) positive tumours [112]. An example of the combinations recommended by the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) for treatment of early breast cancer is given in Figure 1.16.



Figure 1.16 Early breast cancer treatment algorithm [16].

Reproduced with permission from Oxford University Press. Cht = chemotherapy; BCS = breast-conserving surgery; ET = endocrine therapy; RT = radiotherapy.

Surgery is an essential treatment offered to all patients treated with curative intent. Currently, 60-80% of the breast cancer patients are eligible for breast-conserving surgery and mastectomy is only recommended for a subset of patients with specific characteristics or who prefer it [112]. Assessment of the lymph nodes status is mandatory for the determination of the stage of the disease and is generally carried out by axillary lymph node dissection or sentinel lymph node biopsy during surgery.

Treatment pathways after surgery largely depend on the biological characteristics of the tumour, stage of the disease and patient's physical condition [112]. For early-stage breast cancer, adjuvant radiotherapy is effective in reducing breast cancer related mortality [113], and thus almost always offered to women who have breast-conserving surgery. Six months of chemotherapy regimens have been shown to decrease the annual breast cancer death rate by 20-38% [114].

Adjuvant systemic treatments for breast cancer, other than chemotherapy, include hormone and immune therapies. Endocrine therapy is currently recommended for patients with tumours whose cells express high proportions of ER (70% of breast cancers) to reduce the risk of recurrence [112]. Tamoxifen, a selective oestrogen receptor modulator, given for 5-10 years is usually the primary choice for premenopausal women [112]. Five years of tamoxifen decreased breast cancer death rates by 31%, independently of the use of chemotherapy [114] and improved survival of women with metastatic breast cancer [115]. For post-menopausal women both tamoxifen (5-10 years) and aromatase inhibitors (AI) (5 years) have been used [112], but Als have become the preferred choice, as meta-analyses indicated lower risk of recurrence compared to tamoxifen [116, 117]. Approximately 10-20% of breast cancer patients have tumours that overexpress proteins encoded by the HER2 [118], an oncogene that belongs to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family, which is involved in the cell growth and differentiation [119]. Trastuzumab is a monoclonal antibody therapy effective in treating HER2+ tumours [120].

## **1.4** Common physical consequences of breast cancer treatments

All breast cancer treatments carry the risk of long-term iatrogenic effects.

Surgery inevitably results in a life-long scar and may change women's body image. Women who had mastectomy have reported more body image concerns compared to women who had breast-conserving surgery [121, 122].

The axillary lymph node dissection, conducted for the purposes of breast cancer staging, carries the risk of intercostobrachial nerve damage [123], which is located close to the lymph nodes and has many anatomical variants [124, 125]. Persistent pain after breast cancer treatment has been estimated to affect 25-60% of women [126], depending on patients' selection and methodology of pain assessment. Pain usually affects the axilla, medial upper arm, breast and/or chest wall [126]. Women who had axillary lymph node dissection reported more frequently persistent pain than women who had sentinel lymph node biopsy [127-129].

Axillary surgery and/or radiotherapy may lead to lymphoedema [130], a chronic condition characterised by the accumulation of fluids in the interstitial tissues due to incapacity of the lymphatic system to effectively distribute lymph [131]. The most common symptoms include shoulder, arm and hand swelling, heaviness, tightness, firmness, pain, numbness, and impaired upper member mobility [132]. The

incidence of lymphoedema in patients who had axillary lymph node dissection is estimated at around 19.9% (95%CI: 13.5-28.2%), while the corresponding figure for women who had sentinel lymph node biopsy is 5.6% (95%CI: 6.1-7.9%) [133].

Other important side effects of radiotherapy include skin reactions (e.g. dermatitis, skin thickening, hyperpigmentation, ulceration), oedema, pain, stunning or burning bothers, and fatigue [134]. Radiotherapy may also result in irradiation to the heart and blood vessels [135], oesophagus and lungs. Radiotherapy administered in the 1970s was shown to increase mortality from heart disease [136, 137] and lung cancer 10-20 years after irradiation [136]. Radiotherapy technologies and techniques have changed since then, and it is unclear whether increased cardiovascular risk persists [138, 139]. Women also often experience fatigue during the chemotherapy and radiotherapy; around 30% of women continue experiencing fatigue after treatment [140]. The aetiology of fatigue is unclear, but most likely includes psychological and biological factors, such as depression and increased pro-inflammatory cytokines [140].

The side effects of chemotherapy highly depend on the regimen used [141]. Common acute side effects of chemotherapy and their adjuvant treatments, such as granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), include nausea, gum bleeding, diarrhoea, constipation, increased risk of infection, anaemia, insomnia, alopecia, bone pain and fatigue [142, 143]. Alopecia in some cases becomes permanent [144, 145]. Chemotherapy induced amenorrhea has long been described in premenopausal women [146-148]. The proportion of women aged 50 year or younger at diagnosis who become post-menopausal after adjuvant chemotherapy varied between 33% and 77% [149]. In addition, neurocognitive changes affect 13-70% of the cancer patients within two years of treatment [150] and may be longlasting [151, 152]. These neurocognitive changes, also known as "chemo fog", are a form of cognitive impairment that usually involving memory deficits, reduced concentration and executive function [151, 153]. The pathophysiology of cognitive dysfunction in these patients is unknown, but chemotherapy may have direct toxic effect to neurons and other non-neuronal structures of the central nervous system [154, 155]. Chemotherapy may also induce damages to the pelvic nerves, causing neuropathy, which may lessen body sensations and impair the ability to reach orgasm [156]. Another adverse effect of the chemotherapy is loss of bone mineral density [157-159], through direct toxic effect and indirect effects related to ovarian failure in pre-menopausal women. Use of anthracyclines regimens has also been also linked to cardiomyopathy and congestive heart failure, especially if concomitantly used with trastuzumab [160]. Most cases of cardiac dysfunction are detected after one year of treatment completion and are often irreversible [142].

Hormonal treatments, including both tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors, have been associated with increased risk of uterine and endometrial cancers (tamoxifen), arthralgias/myalgias, fatigue, deep venous thrombosis (tamoxifen) besides climacteric symptoms induced by oestrogen deprivation [161]. It is unclear whether aromatase inhibitors are associated with higher risk of cardiovascular events, but tamoxifen has been suggested to have cardioprotective effects by reducing levels of total cholesterol and low-density lipoproteins [161, 162]. The reductions of oestrogen levels, which can be caused either by endocrine treatments or chemotherapy-induced ovarian failure, have physical implications similar to those experienced in menopause – vaginal atrophy and dryness – and make sexual intercourse painful [163].

Adverse effects of trastuzumab include a non-negligible cardio-toxic effect, as noted by significantly decreases in left ventricular ejection fraction and increased risk of congestive heart failure [164, 165]. Presently trastuzumab is administered with taxanes, for which cardiotoxicity is thought to be much lower [112].

## 1.5 Mental health and quality of life beyond breast cancer

The period of breast cancer survivorship starts at diagnosis, which is often a major cause of emotional distress for a patient [166]. The general population perceives breast cancer as life threatening and many women report traumatic experiences with the diagnosis [167, 168]. Common reactions to this ominous diagnosis include anxiety, fear of death, hopelessness, anger, suicidal thoughts, among others [169, 170].

The main treatments for breast cancer have also been associated with substantial emotional and physical distress, and there are many stressors for this. During the treatment period women are forced to adjust to a new reality that includes a new body image with alterations that may go beyond the breast disfiguration or amputation. Alopecia due to chemotherapy treatments, for example, has been often reported as is highly distressing [171], not only due to the altered body appearance also because it allows other people to become aware of the patient's cancer diagnosis and treatment. Lymphoedema is also perceptible to others, and often associated pain, numbness, tightness, increased risk of infections, among others, and all of these are distressing for the patients [152, 172, 173]. Breast cancer

survivors sometimes find social relationships challenging, as they have to adapt to the uncertainty brought by their diagnosis, which affects themselves and their significant others, including their offspring and spouse [174-176]. Neurocognitive changes, even of the milder severity, are also reported as distressing by the patients, as they interfere with important aspects of everyday life, including work performance [177]. Fatigue and persistent pain have been shown to be associated with low health-related quality of life and functional impairments [178-181]. Early menopause brings fertility concerns for women who want more children, and force women to take life-long reproductive decisions, which affect their partners as well [149, 182]. With the panoply of life-changing events, the possible presence of acute and long-term symptoms resulting from the cancer treatments, and the need to deal with the fear of cancer recurrence and death [183], it is not surprising that clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety and/or depression are common during the treatment period [184, 185]. Virtually all domains of HRQoL, including mental health, have been described as impaired in women who had recently been diagnosed with breast cancer [186, 187].

Longitudinal studies on the HRQoL of breast cancer survivors showed that mean scores for the mental health domain tend to improve over time, reaching similar levels to those of the general population around the first anniversary of diagnosis [188, 189]. This is consistent with women psychologically adjusting to a life beyond the traumatic event of breast cancer. In addition, not all changes induced by the cancer are negative. Studies have described that up to 60% of women experience post-traumatic growth, a phenomenon of heightened well-being with one-self after a stressful event, where life is seen through different lenses [190]. Women have described feeling improved empathy, closer relationships, healthy lifestyle changes, greater appreciation for life and oneself, among others. This is likely to have a positive impact in the women's quality of life and mental health [191]. However, carrying on a life after breast cancer implies coping with the negative effects of cancer as well, and positive and negative aspects most likely interact in and with time, and offset some of the negative effects [191].

Some particular groups of breast cancer survivors, however, have been described to have poorer long-term HRQoL. Examples reported in the literature include those with younger age at diagnosis [192, 193], lower socio-economic status [194], having persistent fatigue [195], lymphedema or arm symptoms [196, 197], or having had chemotherapy [198, 199]. In addition, previous research on the trajectories of

depressive symptoms after a breast cancer diagnosis showed that the symptoms persisted for at least two years in one out of every five women [200].

The long-term mental health and quality of life implications of having been diagnosed and treated for a breast cancer have not been extensively studied, partially because only relatively recently the number of women living beyond breast cancer has reached considerable numbers at population level. This increase in the prevalence of breast cancer survivors in the general population raises questions on the specific long-term health care needs of this group, and whether they might benefit from increased opportunistic screening for mental disorders. Even though depression and anxiety are the most commonly studied outcomes in the literature, it was still unclear whether breast cancer survivors had an increased risk compared to women with no history of cancer. This was because most studies involved breast cancer survivors only, without comparing the results with a group without cancer, making it difficult to ascertain whether the reported changes in the mental health of the breast cancer survivors can be attributed to the previous cancer diagnosis or are only characteristic of the aging process. In addition, little is known about the frequency of sleep disturbances, sexual disorders and post-traumatic stress disorder in breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no history of cancer. Research on the HRQoL of breast cancer survivors is scarce in the UK, and mostly has focused on establishing the feasibility of widespread collection of patientreported outcomes [201, 202].

With the increasing numbers of breast cancer survivors, the potential for mental health impact of their medical history, and the burden generated by mental disorders, it is imperative to generate evidence on the absolute and relative risk of mental disorders in this key patient group. This may in turn be used to underpin public health strategies that aim to mitigate the burden of mental disorders in these patients, and ultimately provide breast cancer patients with evidence-based care that meets their specific needs.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 1.6 Summary

- The lifetime risk of a depressive or anxiety disorder is one in five for women, and one in 10 for men. Stress acts as the precipitating factor for the onset of mental disorders in susceptible individuals.
- Episodes of the mental disorders are highly incapacitating. Only half of the patients achieve lifetime remission, with the remaining having unremitting or recurrent episodes.
- Women in the UK currently have a one in seven risk of being diagnosed with breast cancer during their lifetime. This is the most common cancer diagnosed in the UK, except for non-melanoma skin cancer, and 5-year net survival is now approaching 90%, resulting in a record number of women carrying on postbreast cancer.
- Women with history of breast cancer may have long-term physical consequences of their cancer and treatments, some of which are debilitating and may affect patients' mental health and health-related quality of life (Table 1.3).

Troatmont *	Consequences (definitive or possible)			
Treatment	Physical	Psychological		
Surgery <sup>1</sup>	Breast shape alteration (BCS)	Body image concerns		
[121, 123, 125, 133]	Breast amputation (mastectomy)	Low self-esteem		
	Life-long scar	Persistent pain		
	Intercostobrachial nerve damage (ALND) Lymphoedema	Psychological distress		
Radiotherapy	Skin reactions (e.g. hyperpigmentation)	Pain		
[134, 136]	Oedema	Psychological distress		
	Fatigue			
	Heart disease			
-	Lung cancer			
Chemotherapy <sup>2</sup>	Alopecia	Body image concerns		
[143, 144, 147, 153, 157,	Amenorrhea (if premenopausal)	Cognitive impairment		
171]	Cardiac dysfunction (anthracyclines)	Psychological distress		
	Fatigue			
	Loss of bone mineral density			
- · · · •	Vasomotor symptoms			
Endocrine therapy <sup>3</sup>	Deep venous thrombosis	Psychological distress		
[161, 162]	Vasomotor symptoms	Sexual dysfunction		
	Arthralgias			
	Fatigue			
	Uterine cancer (tamoxifen and AI)			
1	Endometrial cancer (tamoxiten)	Denote the first state of the		
Immune therapy*	Lett ventricular dystunction	Psychological distress		
[164, 165]	Congestive heart failure			

Table 1.3	Possible physic	al and psychologica	al consequences of	breast cancer treatments.
-----------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

BCS – breast conserving surgery; ALND – axillary lymph node dissection; AI – aromatase inhibitors. \* Most women receive more than one treatment.

<sup>1</sup> Refers to the procedures for tumour removal (breast-conserving surgery or mastectomy) and evaluation of the presence of metastasis in the lymph nodes (axillary lymph node dissection or sentinel lymph node biopsy). <sup>2</sup> Regimens with taxanes or anthracyclines. <sup>3</sup> Includes selective oestrogen receptors modulators and aromatase inhibitors. <sup>4</sup> Trastuzumab.

- Even though depression and anxiety are the most commonly studied outcomes in the literature, it is still unclear whether there is an increased risk in breast cancer survivors. Most studies involved breast cancer survivors only, without comparing the results with a group without cancer, and it is not possible to ascertain if the reported changes in the mental health of the breast cancer survivors can be attributed to the previous cancer.
- Little is known about the frequency of sleep disturbances, sexual disorders and post-traumatic stress disorder in breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no history of cancer.
- With the increasing numbers of breast cancer survivors, the potential impact of their medical history on their mental health, and the burden generated by mental disorders, it is imperative to generate evidence on the absolute and relative risk of mental disorders in this key patient group, to inform prevention and mitigation strategies.

## 2 Aims and objectives

Progress in breast cancer control has resulted in large and growing numbers of women living with and beyond breast cancer. The long-term mental health and quality of life impact of having a history of breast cancer is largely unknown.

The research in this thesis addresses these gaps in knowledge, and had two aims:

- Aim 1 To quantify the relative risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer, compared to women who have never had cancer, using routinely collected primary and secondary care data from the UK.
- Aim 2 To investigate the health-related quality of life and the severity of symptoms of anxiety and depression in women with a history of breast cancer (>1 year), compared to women with no history of cancer.

The specific objectives were:

- <u>Objective 1</u>: To systematically review and summarise the studies that quantified the frequency or severity of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer, compared with women with no history of cancer (Chapter 3);
- <u>Objective 2</u>: To systematically review the strategies used to identify adverse mental health outcome in studies that used electronic health records (EHRs) from primary care databases in the UK (Chapter 5);
- <u>Objective 3</u>: To quantify the risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women who had breast cancer, compared to women with no history of cancer, using primary care EHRs data (Chapter 6);
- <u>Objective 4</u>: To compare patient-reported measures of HRQoL, anxiety, and depressive symptoms, between breast cancer survivors and women with no prior cancer, and to explore the impact of demographic and clinical factors (Chapter 7);
- <u>Objective 5</u>: To describe cancer-specific measures of HRQoL in breast cancer survivors and explore the effect of demographic and clinical factors (Chapter 7);
- <u>Objective 6:</u> To compare patient-reported HRQoL, and anxiety and depressive symptoms, with the information in the EHRs for similar constructs (Chapter 8).

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 3 Review of the associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes

## 3.1 Introduction

The first objective of this thesis was to systematically review the studies that quantified the frequency and/or severity of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer, compared with women with no history of cancer. The research in this chapter directly addresses this objective. The results of this review informed the selection of the specific adverse mental health outcomes to be studied in this thesis.

## 3.2 Systematic review protocol

A study protocol was created prior to carrying out the systematic review, following best practice recommendations in systematic review studies. The protocol was published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. The article is provided in the following pages.

## 3.3 Article

The results of the systematic review were reported in an article that has been published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. This article is also provided in the following pages. The lengthy supplementary appendix referred to in this systematic review is provided in Appendix 1 of this thesis.

Dr Hulliard et al. inquired whether there were data available on the incidence of mental health outcomes by history of the condition prior to the cancer diagnosis, as their data suggested that a 'the diagnosis of cancer itself may not be a sufficient psychological and physical burden to trigger a mental disorder according to the DSM-V' [203]. The response to this letter is included after the systematic review.

This page was intentionally left blank.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

## **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed <u>for each</u> research paper included within a thesis.

## **SECTION A – Student Details**

Student ID Number	1513226	Title	Ms
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel		
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira		
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life in women with history of breast cancer		
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran		

# If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

## SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	BMC Systematic Reviews		
When was the work published?	August 2017		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

## SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	n/a
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	n/a
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)	HC, RW and KB designed the study. HC drafted the protocol. RW, RH, MM, and KB, provided comments on the draft and revised the paper for important intellectual content.

## SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

## PROTOCOL

**Open Acc<u>ess</u>** 



Adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer: systematic review protocol

Helena Carreira<sup>1\*</sup>, Rachael Williams<sup>2</sup>, Martin Müller<sup>3</sup>, Rhea Harewood<sup>1</sup> and Krishnan Bhaskaran<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Recent increasing trends in breast cancer incidence and survival have resulted in unprecedented numbers of cancer survivors in the general population. A cancer diagnosis may have a profound psychological impact, and breast cancer treatments often cause long-term physical sequelae, potentially affecting women's mental health. The aim of this systematic review is to identify and summarise all studies that have compared mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors, versus women who did not have cancer.

**Methods:** This study will be a systematic review of the literature. Four databases, including MEDLINE and PsycINFO, will be searched to identify potentially relevant studies. The search expressions will use a Boolean logic, including terms for the target population (women who have had breast cancer), outcomes (psychiatric disorders) and comparators (e.g. risk, hazard). All mental disorders will be eligible, except those with onset normally occurring during childhood or strong genetic basis (e.g. Huntington disease). The eligibility of the studies will be assessed in two phases: (1) considering the information provided in the title and abstract; (2) evaluating the full text. Studies including women diagnosed with breast cancer 1 year or more ago and that provide original data on mental health outcomes will be eligible. Studies in which all women were undergoing surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy, or hospitalised or institutionalised, will be excluded, as well as studies that include patients selected on the basis of symptomatology. Two investigators will do the screening of the references and the data extraction independently, with results compared and discrepancies resolved by involving a third investigator when necessary. Study quality and risk of bias will be assessed across six broad domains. Results will be summarised by outcome, and summary measures of frequency and/or association will be computed if possible.

**Discussion:** This review will summarise the evidence on the mental health outcomes of women who have been diagnosed with breast cancer. This information can be used to motivate further research and increase understanding of the most common mental health conditions affecting this growing population of women.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42017056946

Keywords: Breast neoplasms, Survivors, Mental health, Mental disorders, Systematic review, Protocol

\* Correspondence: helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup>Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

#### Background

Survival from breast cancer increased markedly during the last decades [1]. In 2005-2009, 5-year age-standardised net survival was higher than 85% in North America and between 71 and 87% in 29 European countries [1]. Considering that breast cancer is the most frequent malignancy diagnosed in women worldwide, after non-melanoma skin cancer [2], this has already translated into an unprecedentedly large number of breast cancer survivors in the general population. Many women find the diagnosis a traumatic experience [3], and the usual reactions include anxiety, hopelessness, anger and negative and suicidal thoughts [4, 5]. Some of the treatments can also cause severe long-term suffering. For example, surgery usually results in a lifelong scar and may cause breast shape alteration, persistent pain and/or lymphoedema [6-8]. The diagnosis and treatment of the breast cancer might also affect the woman's family, including intimacy with their partners [9] and relationships with their offspring [10]. Women who return to work may also face new challenges, not only in the relationship with their work colleagues [11] but also in their cognitive functioning [12, 13]. Women must also deal with the fear of cancer recurrence and death [14]. All of these factors may have a long-term negative impact on the mental health of breast cancer survivors.

Several systematic reviews summarised the frequency of selected mental health outcomes in oncological patients under and post-treatment [15-22]. Two reviews focused on breast cancer survivors [16, 22]. Howard-Anderson et al. [22] focused on younger breast cancer survivors (<50 years at diagnosis), an important group but who represent a small proportion of all breast cancer survivors. The systematic review by Maass et al. [16] reported prevalences of anxiety between 18 and 33% and of depression between 9 and 66%; however, most of the studies included in this review did not involve a comparison group, and therefore, it is unclear how the figures compare to those of women who did not have cancer. The range of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors is also unlikely to be limited to anxiety and depressive disorders alone. Other outcomes, such as sleep disturbances, have been reported as frequent during the treatment period and afterwards [23, 24], and very little is known about the long-term impact of these in breast cancer patients.

The overall aim of this study is to identify and summarise studies that have quantitatively compared mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors of at least 1 year since diagnosis, versus women who did not have cancer. Specifically, through summarising such studies, this systematic review will:

• Identify mental disorders that may be associated with a history of breast cancer

- Summarise and, where possible, synthesise quantitative estimates of associations between breast cancer history and a range of specific psychiatric outcomes
- Summarise the instruments used to evaluate mental disorders or their severity in breast cancer survivors

#### Methods

This systematic review protocol follows the guidance outlined by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) [25]. Additional file 1 provides information for each item of the PRISMA-P checklist. This review has been registered in the International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO 2017:CRD42017056946).

#### Eligibility

#### Inclusion criteria

Manuscripts reporting studies satisfying the following criteria will be eligible for inclusion:

- Based on original data.
- Uses any observational study design (i.e. cohort, case-control, cross-sectional designs).
- Includes adult women (≥18 years) diagnosed with breast cancer and who survived the first year after the diagnosis.
- Includes a population-based adult female comparison group with no prior cancer.
- Provides data on at least one of our pre-specified mental health outcomes of interest, namely the following: anxiety disorders; bipolar and related disorders; disruptive, impulse control and conduct disorders; feeding and eating disorders; mood disorders; neurocognitive disorders; neurotic disorders; personality disorders; schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders; sexual dysfunctions of a psychological nature; sleep-wake disorders; somatoform disorders; substance-related disorders (including alcoholism); and trauma- and stressor-related disorders. Studies providing data on self-injurious behaviour (including self-harm, suicide and suicidal ideation) will also be included. These outcomes were selected by reviewing the list of mental disorders available in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition [26] and the ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders [27].

#### Exclusions

Articles will be excluded according to the following criteria:

 Review articles, editorials, commentaries, conference abstracts, case reports and studies involving animals.

- Studies in which the selection of the breast cancer survivors depended on symptoms (e.g. *only* patients with persistent pain or fatigued) or on a mental health outcome (e.g. *only* women with depression).
- Studies which *only* presented data for the first year after the breast cancer diagnosis; however, studies following women from diagnosis may still be eligible if outcomes at  $\geq$  1 year or more since diagnosis are reported separately.
- Studies in which all breast cancer patients remain under treatment for cancer (except for long-term endocrine therapy) at the time of outcome ascertainment.
- Studies in which all women are institutionalised (e.g. hospitalised or in hospices).

#### Search strategy

We will consider as potentially eligible all studies published in the journals indexed in MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) and the Social Sciences Citation Index, since the inception of each database up to when the database was last updated at the time of the search. A search expression will be defined with a Boolean logic, including terms for the target population (breast cancer patients), outcome (psychiatric disorder) and comparators (risk, hazard, etc.). The search expression used in MEDLINE includes terms for Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) as well as key text words with truncation to allow for variations in terminology (Table 1). The search expression will be adapted to each database, to take into account the specificities of the search algorithms.

We will restrict the search to studies including humans. We will not apply any time, geographic or language restriction. If a study is published in a language not sufficiently understood by the authors, we will seek assistance to translate/understand the content.

Backwards and forward citation tracking will also be used to identify additional potential eligible studies that were not captured by the database searches.

#### Data management and selection process

All records will be imported into EndNote X7 (EndNote X7, Thomson Reuters, NY, USA), and studies identified as duplicates by the software will be removed. A backup of the search expression and the records obtained from each database, as well as the date of last update and run, will be saved.

The references will be screened in two consecutive phases by two authors (HC and MM, or HC and RH). In the first phase, the title and the abstract of each study will be read to determine their eligibility for the study by applying the pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria (see the "Eligibility" section above). If the information

#### Table 1 MEDLINE search expression, via OVID®

exp Breast Neoplasms/

- 2 (breast and (cancer\* or carcinoma\* or tumo?r\* or neoplas\*)). mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 3 1 or 2
- 4 exp catatonia/ or exp depression/ or exp self-injurious behavior/ or exp anxiety/
- 5 mental disorders/ or exp anxiety disorders/ or exp "bipolar and related disorders"/ or exp "disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders"/ or exp dissociative disorders/ or "feeding and eating disorders"/ or anorexia nervosa/ or binge-eating disorder/ or bulimia nervosa/ or pica/ or exp mood disorders/ or exp motor disorders/ or neurocognitive disorders/ or annesia/ or cognition disorders/ or auditory perceptual disorders/ or mild cognitive impairment/ or consciousness disorders/ or delirium/ or dementia/ or exp neurotic disorders/ or exp personality disorders/ or exp "schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders/ or exp somatoform disorders/ or exp substancerelated disorders/ or exp "trauma and stressor related disorders"/
- 6 (depressi\* or dysthymia or catatonia or self-injur\* or self-injury or self-injurious or self-mutilation or "self mutilation" or suicid\* or self-harm or "self harm" or "self injury" or anxious\* or anxiety or (panic adj1 (disorder# or attack#)) or catastrophi\* or (mental adj1 (disorder or disorders)) or phobia or phobic or neurotic or (compulsive adj1 disorder) or bipolar or neurotic or (personality adj1 disorder) or psychotic or psychosis or paranoid or delusional or (sexual adj1 (disorder or dysfunction or problem#)) or insomnias or (sleep adj1 (disorder or dysfunction or problem#)) or somatoform or (substance adj3 (disorder or problem#)) or stress ajd3 disorder or (adjustment adj3 disorder)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 7 4 or 5 or 6
- 8 (prevalence# or frequenc\* or incidence# or risk or rate\* or ratio or odds or epidemiolog\* or percent\* or outcomes or hazard).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 9 3 and 7 and 8
- 10 Humans/
- 11 Animals/
- 12 10 and 11
- 13 11 not 12
- 14 9 not 13

provided in the title and abstract does not allow the unequivocal exclusion of the study, the full text will be considered. In the second phase, the full text of each study considered eligible in the first phase will be obtained and read in order to determine the eligibility considering all the information in the paper. The studies will be reassessed for data extraction.

The decisions taken independently by each of the investigators will be compared, and discrepancies will be resolved, involving a third investigator when necessary (RW or KB). The agreement between the two investigators will be calculated (kappa statistics).

If more than one study reports data on the same study population, we will include only the study providing data for the largest sample; if the sample size is the same, we will consider the study providing more detailed information on outcomes (e.g. results stratified for age or type of treatment received) and consider both studies for abstraction of information on the participants' characteristics (e.g. age, menopausal status, stage at diagnosis).

A record of excluded/included studies, with the respective exclusion criterion, will be kept, and the selection process including numbers excluded at each stage for different criteria will be summarised in a flow chart.

#### Data extraction

Two authors (HC and MM, or HC and RH) will extract data from each included study into a pre-defined form in Microsoft Office Excel (2013). The form will be piloted using four studies and adapted if necessary. Information will be collected on (1) study characteristics (e.g. authors, year of publication, country where the sample was obtained or duration of follow-up if applicable); (2) characteristics of the breast cancer survivors (details on participant recruitment, sample size, demographics, distribution of stage at diagnosis, time since diagnosis and type of treatments); (3) characteristics of the women who did not have cancer (recruitment of the participants, sample size, demographics); (4) information on the mental health outcomes (name of the mental condition, diagnostic criteria, instruments applied); and (5) quantitative information on the mental health outcome (e.g. prevalence or mean/median score in each group and/or relative risk comparing groups) and variables considered as potential confounders.

If a prospective study provides data for more than one point in time, we will abstract all available information.

The data extracted by each author will be compared and discrepancies resolved by consensus or involving a third researcher (KB or RW) if necessary.

#### Risk of bias in individual studies

We will evaluate study quality and risk of bias in the original studies by assessing the main domains identified by Sanderson et al. as important for observational study quality and bias assessment [28], informed by the "STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)" guidelines [29]. These domains are: methods for selecting study participants, methods for measuring the exposure and the outcome variables, design-specific sources of bias (excluding confounding), methods to control for confounding, statistical methods (excluding confounding) and conflict of interest [28]. Within each of the above domains, individual studies will be rated as at high risk of bias, low risk of bias or unclear risk of bias, following the Cochrane Collaboration approach formulated for clinical trials [30].

#### Data analysis and synthesis

The results will be reported according to the PRISMA guidelines [31]. Tables and descriptive text will be used to summarise study characteristics and results, stratified by outcome and likely sources of heterogeneity (e.g. study design, type of population).

Quantitative synthesis of results (meta-analysis) will only be attempted for selected outcomes where deemed appropriate, taking into account the number of studies available, study designs and methods and equivalence of outcome measures and effect estimates used. Where quantitative synthesis is attempted, the DerSimonian and Laird method [32] will be used to compute summary estimates of the association between breast cancer and the discrete psychiatric outcome in question, along with 95% confidence intervals. Sub-group analyses by time since diagnosis will be conducted if possible. Prospective studies providing data for two or more time points after the first anniversary of diagnosis will be included once in meta-analysis; the relative risk estimate for the first eligible time point will be chosen. Heterogeneity will be quantified using Higgins and Thompson's I-squared statistic [33]. The meta-analysis will be repeated excluding any studies identified as at high risk of bias in the quality assessment. For outcomes deemed suitable for meta-analysis as described above, funnel plots and Egger's regression asymmetry test [34] will be used to assess publication bias and small study effects if more than ten studies are available [35].

#### Discussion

The number of women who have had breast cancer is higher than ever before. These women may face many challenges when trying to assimilate back into life following their cancer diagnosis and treatment, and it is imperative to understand the long-term psychological consequences. This systematic review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the associations between breast cancer history and mental health conditions.

Most reviews on the topic have been restricted to studying the prevalence of depression among cancer patients [15, 20]. We opted for considering a much broader list of mental disorders that have their onset during adulthood as outcomes, to give a more comprehensive picture of the spectrum of mental disorders that may affect breast cancer survivors. We also chose to include only studies in which a comparison group was available, so that the relative frequency or severity of these conditions compared to the general population could be studied.

We will include studies in which women were diagnosed with breast cancer at least one year prior to outcome measurement. Women who completed breast cancer treatments with curative intent (i.e. surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy) are often considered as survivors; however, the precise point in time when the treatments end is frequently unknown and a widely accepted definition of cancer survivor does not exist [36]. Researchers commonly use a fixed point in time to capture, in a pragmatic way, the moment at which the main course of treatment is likely to have been completed. At 1 year after the diagnosis, the vast majority of women are expected to have completed the main treatments and many have returned to their pre-cancer routines. The effect of having been diagnosed and treated for breast cancer may also vary over time [37], and thus, an adequate characterisation of the risk of mental disorders requires a known time since diagnosis.

Studies involving mental health outcomes are prone to selection bias. We will report the characteristics of the samples involved in the original studies, including the details on the recruitment of the participants. We will also evaluate and report the risk of bias and use this information to help interpret the results.

Mental disorders largely interfere with the functioning of the patients and are leading causes of disability worldwide [38]. The mean prevalence of depression among women who had breast cancer has been described in the range between 10 and 20%, depending on the methods used to evaluate it [15]. This indicates that the burden of at least depressive disorders in this population is far from negligible. The impairments caused by depression are likely to be higher in these women than in women with depression alone [39].

Even though there are several pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments available, mental disorders are often undiagnosed and untreated. The results of this review can be used to inform health professionals about the range, frequency and severity of mental disorders among breast cancer survivors.

#### **Additional file**

Additional file 1: PRISMA-P 2015 checklist. Checklist of the compliance of the systematic review protocol with the guidance established by the "Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P)" statement. (DOCX 38 kb)

#### Abbreviations

MeSH: Medical Subject Headings; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols; PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Funding

HC is supported by an Industrial CASE PhD Studentship in Epidemiology (MR/M016234/1), jointly funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) at the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. KB holds a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship (107731/Z/ 15/Z), jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Role of the funding source

The funding source had no role in developing the protocol for the study.

#### Authors' contributions

HC, RW and KB designed the study. HC wrote the first draft of the protocol. MM, RH, RW and KB made substantial contributions to the manuscript, revised it critically for important intellectual content and read and approved the final version. HC is the guarantor of the review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK. <sup>2</sup>Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, London, UK. <sup>3</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

#### Received: 4 April 2017 Accepted: 31 July 2017 Published online: 14 August 2017

#### References

- Allemani C, Weir HK, Carreira H, Harewood R, Spika D, Wang XS, Bannon F, Ahn JV, Johnson CJ, Bonaventure A, et al. Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995–2009: analysis of individual data for 25, 676, 887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD-2). Lancet. 2015; 385:977–1010.
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer. 2015;136:E359–86.
- Lee V. The existential plight of cancer: meaning making as a concrete approach to the intangible search for meaning. Support Care Cancer. 2008; 16:779–85.
- Schubart JR, Emerich M, Farnan M, Stanley Smith J, Kauffman GL, Kass RB. Screening for psychological distress in surgical breast cancer patients. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014;21:3348–53.
- Al-Azri M, Al-Awisi H, Al-Moundhri M. Coping with a diagnosis of breast cancer—literature review and implications for developing countries. Breast J. 2009;15:615–22.
- DiSipio T, Rye S, Newman B, Hayes S. Incidence of unilateral arm lymphoedema after breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2013; 14:500–15.
- Gartner R, Jensen MB, Nielsen J, Ewertz M, Kroman N, Kehlet H. Prevalence of and factors associated with persistent pain following breast cancer surgery. JAMA. 2009;302:1985–92.
- Hartl K, Janni W, Kastner R, Sommer H, Strobl B, Rack B, Stauber M. Impact of medical and demographic factors on long-term quality of life and body image of breast cancer patients. Ann Oncol. 2003;14:1064–71.

- Kim Y, Kashy DA, Wellisch DK, Spillers RL, Kaw CK, Smith TG. Quality of life of couples dealing with cancer: dyadic and individual adjustment among breast and prostate cancer survivors and their spousal caregivers. Ann Behav Med. 2008;35:230–8.
- Raveis VH, Pretter S. Existential plight of adult daughters following their mother's breast cancer diagnosis. Psychooncology. 2005;14:49–60.
- Stergiou-Kita M, Grigorovich A, Tseung V, Milosevic E, Hebert D, Phan S, Jones J. Qualitative meta-synthesis of survivors' work experiences and the development of strategies to facilitate return to work. J Cancer Surviv. 2014;8:657–70.
- Carlsen K, Jensen AJ, Rugulies R, Christensen J, Bidstrup PE, Johansen C, Huitfeldt Madsen IE, Dalton SO. Self-reported work ability in long-term breast cancer survivors. A population-based questionnaire study in Denmark. Acta Oncol. 2013;52:423–9.
- Hoving JL, Broekhuizen ML, Frings-Dresen MH. Return to work of breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of intervention studies. BMC Cancer. 2009;9:117.
- Koch-Gallenkamp L, Bertram H, Eberle A, Holleczek B, Schmid-Hopfner S, Waldmann A, Zeissig SR, Brenner H, Arndt V. Fear of recurrence in longterm cancer survivors—do cancer type, sex, time since diagnosis, and social support matter? Health Psychol. 2016;35:1329–33.
- Krebber AM, Buffart LM, Kleijn G, Riepma IC, de Bree R, Leemans CR, Becker A, Brug J, van Straten A, Cuijpers P, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM. Prevalence of depression in cancer patients: a meta-analysis of diagnostic interviews and self-report instruments. Psychooncology. 2014;23:121–30.
- Maass SW, Roorda C, Berendsen AJ, Verhaak PF, de Bock GH. The prevalence of long-term symptoms of depression and anxiety after breast cancer treatment: a systematic review. Maturitas. 2015;82:100–8.
- Mitchell AJ, Chan M, Bhatti H, Halton M, Grassi L, Johansen C, Meader N. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and adjustment disorder in oncological, haematological, and palliative-care settings: a meta-analysis of 94 interviewbased studies. Lancet Oncol. 2011;12:160–74.
- Mitchell AJ, Ferguson DW, Gill J, Paul J, Symonds P. Depression and anxiety in long-term cancer survivors compared with spouses and healthy controls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14:721–32.
- Yang YL, Liu L, Wang Y, Wu H, Yang XS, Wang JN, Wang L. The prevalence of depression and anxiety among Chinese adults with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2013;13:393.
- Walker J, Holm Hansen C, Martin P, Sawhney A, Thekkumpurath P, Beale C, Symeonides S, Wall L, Murray G, Sharpe M. Prevalence of depression in adults with cancer: a systematic review. Ann Oncol. 2013;24:895–900.
- Zainal NZ, Nik-Jaafar NR, Baharudin A, Sabki ZA, Ng CG. Prevalence of depression in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of observational studies. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14:2649–56.
- Howard-Anderson J, Ganz PA, Bower JE, Stanton AL. Quality of life, fertility concerns, and behavioral health outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;104:386–405.
- Costa AR, Fontes F, Pereira S, Goncalves M, Azevedo A, Lunet N. Impact of breast cancer treatments on sleep disturbances—a systematic review. Breast. 2014;23:697–709.
- Otte JL, Carpenter JS, Russell KM, Bigatti S, Champion VL. Prevalence, severity, and correlates of sleep-wake disturbances in long-term breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2010;39:535–47.
- Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA, Group P-P. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015;349:q7647.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th edition). Washington DC: American Pshyciatric Association Press; 2013.
- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- Sanderson S, Tatt ID, Higgins JP. Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36:666–76.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Initiative S. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008;61:344–9.

- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151:264–9. W64.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–88.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003;327:557–60.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BNJ. 1997;315:629–34.
- Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, Carpenter J, Rucker G, Harbord RM, Schmid CH, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d4002.
- 36. Khan NF, Rose PW, Evans J. Defining cancer survivorship: a more transparent approach is needed. J Cancer Surviv. 2012;6:33–6.
- Suppli NP, Johansen C, Christensen J, Kessing LV, Kroman N, Dalton SO. Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide populationbased cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998–2011. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32:3831–9.
- G. B. D. Disease Injury Incidence Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1545–602.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management (CG91). 2009.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit





London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

## **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed <u>for each</u> research paper included within a thesis.

## **SECTION A – Student Details**

Student ID Number	mber 1513226		Ms
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel		
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira		
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life in women with history of breast cancer		
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran		

# If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

## SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	JNCI – Journal of the National Cancer Institute		
When was the work published?	December 2018		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

## SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	n/a
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	n/a
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)	HC, RW, and KB designed the study. HC defined the search expression, screened all references, and extracted the data from the original studies. RH and MM duplicated the screening of the references and data extraction. HC did the analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors provided comments and revised the paper for important intellectual content.
	revised the paper for important intellectual content.

## SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

REVIEW

doi: 10.1093/jnci/djy177 First published online November 7, 2018 Review

# Associations Between Breast Cancer Survivorship and Adverse Mental Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review

Helena Carreira, Rachael Williams, Martin Müller, Rhea Harewood, Susannah Stanway, Krishnan Bhaskaran

See the Notes section for the full list of authors' affiliations.

Correspondence to: Helena Carreira, MSc, Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom (e-mail: helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk).

#### Abstract

**Background:** We aimed to systematically review the evidence on adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors ( $\geq$ 1 year) compared with women with no history of cancer.

**Methods:** Studies were identified by searching MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and the Social Sciences Citation Index, and through backward citation tracking. Two researchers selected the studies, extracted data, and assessed the risk of bias.

**Results**: Sixty studies were included. Of 38 studies of depression, 33 observed more depression in breast cancer survivors; this was statistically significant in 19 studies overall, including six of seven where depression was ascertained clinically, three of four studies of antidepressants, and 13 of 31 that quantified depressive symptoms. Of 21 studies of anxiety, 17 observed more anxiety in breast cancer survivors, statistically significant in 11 studies overall, including two of four with clinical/ prescription-based outcomes, and in eight of 17 of anxiety symptoms. Breast cancer survivors also had statistically significantly increased symptoms/frequency of neurocognitive dysfunction (18 of 24 studies), sexual dysfunctions (5 of 6 studies), sleep disturbance (5 of 5 studies), stress-related disorders/PTSD (2 of 3 studies), suicide (2 of 2 studies), somatisation (2 of 2 studies), and bipolar and obsessive-compulsive disorders (1 of 1 study each). Studies were heterogeneous in terms of participants' characteristics, time since diagnosis, ascertainment of outcomes, and measures reported. Approximately one-half of the studies were at high risk of selection bias and confounding by socio-economic status.

**Conclusions:** There is compelling evidence of an increased risk of anxiety, depression and suicide, and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions in breast cancer survivors compared with women with no prior cancer. This information can be used to support evidence-based prevention and management strategies. Further population-based and longitudinal research would help to better characterize these associations.

Women with a history of breast cancer are the largest group of cancer survivors in high-income countries (1). In the United States alone, more than 2.9 million women were estimated in 2012 to be living with a previous diagnosis of breast cancer (2). By 2022, this number is estimated to approach 4 million (2). Similarly, in the United Kingdom, the number of women living beyond breast cancer is expected to surpass 1.5 million during the next 20 years (3).

A diagnosis of breast cancer is often overwhelmingly distressing (4). Women frequently experience some combination of anger, anxiety, despair, helplessness, fear of death, and suicidal thoughts (5,6). Clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety and/or depression are common during the treatment period (7,8), when acute treatment side effects may restrict daily activities (9). High prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety have also been observed during survivorship (10,11), with one

Received: June 18, 2018; Revised: August 8, 2018; Accepted: August 31, 2018

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

study finding depressive symptoms persisting for at least two years after diagnosis in one in five women (12). Other adverse mental health outcomes, such as sleep disturbance, have also been reported both during cancer treatment and afterwards (13). A substantial proportion of the breast cancer survivors experience long-term iatrogenic effects of treatment, including fatigue, persistent pain, lymphedema, vasomotor symptoms, and infertility, all of which may negatively affect quality of life and mental health (14). Other important psychological challenges in the long term can include difficulties in re-adapting to professional, social, and intimate relationships and coping with the uncertainty about the future (15).

To our knowledge, no systematic review to date has summarized the evidence from studies comparing breast cancer survivors with a noncancer control group for a broad spectrum of adverse mental health outcomes. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify and summarize the studies that have quantitatively compared mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors ( $\geq 1$  year) vs women who did not have cancer; we also assessed the quality of the evidence on this topic by applying objective quality assessment criteria.

#### Methods

This review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO 2017: CRD42017056946) and followed the a priori methods outlined in the protocol (published elsewhere [16]). Results were reported in accordance with the guidance of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis (17).

#### Outcomes

REVIEW

The predefined outcomes of interest were anxiety disorders; bipolar and related disorders; disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders; feeding and eating disorders; mood disorders; neurocognitive disorders; neurotic disorders; personality disorders; schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders; sexual dysfunctions of psychological nature; sleep wake disorders; somatoform disorders; substance-related disorders (including alcoholism); and trauma- and stressor-related disorders. We also considered eligible the studies providing data on selfinjurious behavior (including self-harm, suicide, and suicidal ideation). These categories were selected after systematically reviewing those listed in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (18) and in the ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorder (19) to exclude conditions with usual onset during childhood or with strong genetic component (eg Huntington's disease). The comprehensive list of outcomes was aimed at exploring what evidence was available on the topic without making strong assumptions as to whether the stress induced by the breast cancer diagnosis and treatment could trigger the condition. The outcomes of interest were disorders clinically diagnosed, but we also considered symptomatology evaluated with psychometric instruments.

#### Data Sources and Identification

Potentially eligible studies were identified in four databases: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and the Social Sciences Citation Index. A search expression tailored for each database was created including terms for the exposure (breast cancer), outcomes (the predefined mental disorders), and comparators (eg, risk) (full MEDLINE search string provided in the Appendix [Supplementary Table 1, available online]). Results retrieved from the inception of the databases up to November 1, 2017 were considered for this study. Two authors screened the list of references by applying the same inclusion and exclusion criteria to determine each study's eligibility. The bibliographic references of eligible studies were manually screened to detect additional studies.

#### **Study Eligibility**

We considered as eligible observational studies that provided original data comparing the prevalence, incidence, or odds/hazard of at least one of the predefined lists of mental health out-(see above), clinically diagnosed comes or their symptomatology assessed through validated instruments, between adult female breast cancer survivors and a comparison group of women with no prior cancer. Female breast cancer survivors were defined as women with a history of breast cancer or in situ tumor for one year or longer. Studies with patients diagnosed with breast carcinomas in situ were included because despite of their excellent prognosis (20), they receive similar treatment to invasive breast cancers (21), and patients often experience substantial psychological distress both during and after the treatment period (22,23). Studies with no control group but reporting standardized incidence ratios were also eligible if the standardization was against a general female population. Studies that used psychometric instruments that had been altered from the standard/validated version were excluded, except where the alteration was limited to omission of questions that would not apply to the population under study. Studies including women who were institutionalized, under active treatment for breast cancer (excluding endocrine therapy), or who were specifically selected based on distressing psychological and/or physical symptoms were excluded. Studies evaluating the effect of further screening or diagnostic tests for cancer on the mental health of breast cancer survivors were excluded. There was no restriction in the language of study publication.

The eligibility of individual studies was assessed by two reviewers (HC and MM, or HC and RH) who independently applied the predefined inclusion/exclusion criteria. Initial agreement between reviewers in the assessment of abstracts was 92.5% for HC/MM and 81.3% for HC/RH (Cohen's kappa [ $\kappa$ ] = 0.51 and 0.32, respectively), and initial agreement in the full-text assessment was 95.9% ( $\kappa$  = 0.69) and 90.6% ( $\kappa$  = 0.54), respectively. All discordant assessments were discussed and successfully resolved.

#### **Data Extraction**

We systematically abstracted data on the characteristics of the study and study samples. We extracted quantitative data on the frequency (incidence or prevalence) or severity (mean scores) of adverse mental health outcomes for each participant's group or for the comparison between groups (eg, relative risk, hazard ratio, odds ratio), as available, and the results of any hypothesis testing reported in the original studies. Prevalences from studies involving psychometric instruments were based on the cutoffs defined by the authors of the original studies. When two or more studies reported data on the same study population, we extracted data from the study with largest sample size, or if equal, the one providing more detailed outcome information. Data were extracted independently by two investigators (HC and MM, or HC and RH) and discrepancies were resolved.
#### **Risk of Bias in Individual Studies**

The risk of bias in the included studies was assessed by two reviewers who independently evaluated domains previously identified as important in observational studies (24). The domains were: participants' selection, outcome assessment, temporality (breast cancer diagnosed prior to the onset of the mental health outcome), control for confounding by age and socio-economic status, statistical methods, handling of missing data, and disclosure of conflicts of interest. Within each domain, the studies were rated as having a high, low, or unclear risk of bias; some criteria were not applicable to all studies. Supplementary Table 2 (available online) provides the criteria used for each category and domain.

### **Statistical Methods**

Tables, graphs, and descriptive text were used to summarize study characteristics and results stratified by mental health outcome and method used to define outcomes (ie, clinical diagnosis, drug prescription, or symptoms). When sufficient information was provided in the original studies, we calculated the prevalence ratio for each outcome (25) if this was not directly reported in the paper. If prevalence data were provided by severity categories, we computed prevalence ratios for the comparison of mild to severe symptoms of the outcome between the two groups; this was the most common dichotomization in the studies that did not provide results by severity. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for derived prevalence ratios were estimated using the delta method (25). P values for the comparison of mean scores from psychometric instruments between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer were estimated with the independent samples t test; all tests were two-sided. To ensure comparability of the results across studies, we applied a type-1 error rate ( $\alpha$ ) of .05 when summarizing statistical significance even if studies themselves had provided results using a different statistical significance level. A quantitative synthesis of the results (ie, meta-analysis), as planned in the study protocol (16), was not possible due to the heterogeneity of the eligible studies in the clinical characteristics of the cancer survivors, time elapsed since breast cancer diagnosis, and instruments used to evaluate symptoms of mental health disorders.

### **Results**

#### **Characteristics of Included Studies**

Of the 7517 individual publications identified, 729 studies were eligible for full-text evaluation, and 60 (26-85) were ultimately included (Figure 1). The most commonly evaluated outcomes were anxiety (n = 21 studies), depression (n = 38), neurocognitive dysfunction (n = 24), and sexual dysfunction (n = 6) (Table 1). Schairer et al. (41) estimated the risk of suicide in more than 720 000 women diagnosed with breast cancer in 1953 to 2001, using data from 16 population-based cancer registries in Scandinavia and the United States; thus, only two studies were eligible for suicide, because smaller studies with overlapping data were excluded. The studies were heterogeneous in study design, participants' characteristics, and methods involved to assess outcomes. A total 38 of 60 studies (63.3%) included small, nonprobabilistic samples of breast cancer survivors. Mental health outcomes were most commonly evaluated with psychometric instruments (50/60 studies = 83.3%),

followed by clinical diagnoses registered in electronic health-care databases (10/60 = 16.7%).

#### Findings for Specific Mental Health Outcomes

Table 2 provides an overview of the directions of association reported for all studies/outcomes and statistical significance of the between-group comparisons. Figure 2 summarizes the relative measures of effect for the most commonly studied outcomes in the studies where these were available. Figure 3 shows the prevalence (for cross-sectional analyses) or cumulative incidence (for follow-up analyses) of outcomes in the samples of breast cancer survivors included in the original studies.

### Anxiety

Twenty-one eligible studies reported data for anxiety (Table 1; Supplementary Table 3, available online). Of 21 studies, 17 (81.0%) observed increased anxiety in the breast cancer survivor group compared with the noncancer group; the difference was statistically significant in 11 of 21 (52.4%) studies (Table 2).

Four longitudinal, population-based studies evaluated anxiety with clinical diagnoses (n = 2) or clinical diagnoses and anxiolytics prescription (n = 2); all used electronic health records data and pointed towards an increased risk in breast cancer survivors, but this was supported by strong statistical evidence in two studies only (Figure 2). The relative risk estimates in the four studies of clinically assessed anxiety varied between 1.06 (95% CI = 0.97 to 1.16) and 2.00 (95% CI = 1.69 to 2.37). The two studies that reported on anxiolytics prescription reported an 8% (95% CI = 1% to 15%) and 47% (95% CI = 35% to 61%) increase in breast cancer survivors compared with women who did not have cancer (Figure 2).

Seventeen studies investigated symptoms of anxiety using scales (Table 2). There was strong statistical evidence of increased symptoms of anxiety in eight of 17 studies, including in the six of 12 studies that focused on comparing mean scores between groups, and in two of five studies that reported prevalence of scoring above a clinically relevant threshold. For all of the latter, observed prevalence was higher in cancer survivors but confidence intervals were generally wide (Figure 2).

Prevalences of anxiety were generally less than 20% when electronic health records or anxiolytics were studied and in the range of 20% to 50% when scales were used (Figure 3). Determinants of clinically assessed anxiety were provided in one study. Clinically diagnosed anxiety in breast cancer survivors tended to decrease over time since diagnosis (58) and was independently associated with younger age and presence of comorbidities at diagnosis, having less favorable tumor characteristics, and receiving chemotherapy (58).

### Depression and Suicide

Thirty-eight studies provided data on depression (Table 1; Supplementary Table 4, available online), and 33 of 38 (86.8%) described more depression in the breast cancer survivor group compared with women who did not have cancer, with 19 fo 38 (50.0%) reporting statistical evidence of increased depression (Table 2).

Of seven studies that analyzed depression based on clinical diagnoses, six found strong evidence of an elevated risk among breast cancer survivors, with relative risk estimates ranging



Figure 1. Systematic review flowchart. CINAHL = Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; SSCI = Social Sciences Citation Index.

from 1.06 (95% CI = 1.00 to 1.12) to 2.04 (95% CI = 1.76 to 2.36) (Figure 2). All four studies defining depression by antidepressant use found higher use in breast cancer survivors, though for one smaller study the confidence interval was wide and overlapped the null; relative risk estimates ranged between 1.16 (95% CI = 1.11 to 1.22) and 2.06 (95% CI = 1.94 to 2.18).

Of 31 studies that evaluated depressive symptoms with scales, 13 reported strong statistical evidence of higher severity of depressive symptoms among women who had breast cancer (Table 2); among these, eight of nine studies that focused on the prevalence of scoring above a clinically relevant threshold found higher prevalence in breast cancer survivors, but this was Table 1. Summary of the main characteristics of the eligible studies  $(N\,{=}\,60)$ 

Study characteristic	Studies, n (%)
Type of study	
Cohort	22 (36.7)
Cross-sectional	38 (63.3)
Type of population	
Population-based	10 (16.7)
Convenience samples recruited at health institutions	43 (71.7)
Randomly selected	3 (5.0)
Convenience samples recruited from the community Randomly selected	7 (11.7) 0 (0.0)
Characteristics of the women with history of breast cance	er
Mean/median age	
≤49 y	16 (26.7)
50–69 y	41 (68.3)
$\geq$ 70 y	3 (5.0)
Mean/median time since diagnosis	40 (00 0)
~1 y	12 (20.0)
>1 and $\leq$ 5 y	26 (43.3)
$>3$ and $\leq 10$ y	17 (20.3) 5 (0.2)
>10 y Sampla sizat	5 (0.5)
<50	18 (30.0)
50-100	20 (33 3)
101–1000	14 (23 3)
>1000	8 (13.3)
Stage at diagnosis inclusion criteria	( )
In situ only	1 (1.7)
In situ and nonmetastatic invasive	6 (10.0)
In situ and invasive all stages	3 (5.0)
Invasive, nonmetastatic	30 (50.0)
Invasive, all stages	20 (33.3)
Treatment-related inclusion criteria	
Breast-conserving surgery	1 (1.7)
Mastectomy	5 (8.3)
Breast reconstruction	2 (3.3)
Chemotherapy	13 (21.7)
No chemotherapy	1 (1.7)
Hormone therapy	3 (5.0)
Radiotherapy	2 (3.3)
All treatments	22 (55 0)
Disease progression related inclusion criteria	33 (33.0)
Only natients who did not have recurrence or relanse	15 (25 0)
Only patients who were tumor free at recruitment	12 (20.0)
Patients with disease recurrence included±	19 (31.7)
Unclear	14 (23.3)
Adverse mental health outcome§	( /
Anxiety	21 (35.0)
Bipolar disorder	1 (1.7)
Depression	38 (63.3)
Neurocognitive dysfunction	24 (40.0)
Obsessive compulsion	1 (1.7)
Sexual dysfunction	6 (10.0)
Sleep disturbances	5 (8.3)
Stress-related / posttraumatic stress	3 (5.0)
Somatization	2 (3.3)
Suiciae	2 (3.3)
	(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Study characteristic	Studies n (%)
Adverse mental health outcome assessment§	
Clinical diagnosis	10 (16.7)
Pharmacological treatment	5 (8.3)
Psychometric instruments	50 (83.3)

\*Or mean/median time since treatment completion, as reported in the original studies.

†Refers to patients included in analysis.

‡Includes studies that explicitly stated the inclusion of patients with recurrence, and longitudinal studies including newly diagnosed patients and that did not report exclusions related to recurrence/relapse during follow-up.

§Studies may have provided data for more than one outcome and may have assessed one outcome by more than one method.

 $\|$ Includes self-reported medication intake.

statistically significant in only three studies and most estimates again had wide confidence intervals (Figure 2).

The prevalence of depression in breast cancer survivors was highest when evaluated with self-reported instruments (with most estimates >30%) and lower for clinically diagnosed depression (most estimates <10%; Figure 3). Determinants of depression clinically assessed in breast cancer survivors were seldom reported. Independent predictors of clinically diagnosed depression included younger age, having comorbidities at diagnosis and less favorable tumor characteristics (42,58), living alone, and having lower levels of education (42).

Two studies of suicide found breast cancer survivors to have 37% (95% CI = 28% to 47%) to 60% (95% CI = 21% and 112%) higher risk than women in the comparison group (Figure 2).

#### Neurocognitive Dysfunction

Twenty-four studies evaluated domains of neurocognitive function (Table 1; Supplementary Table 5, available online). All studies described that breast cancer survivors performed worse than noncancer controls for one or more domains of neurocognitive function (Table 2); this was supported by strong statistical evidence in 18 of 24 (75.0%) studies. When prevalence estimates were provided, all seven studies showed point estimates tending towards an increased neurocognitive dysfunction in breast cancer survivors compared with control subjects, even though this was supported by strong statistical evidence in only three instances; prevalence ratio estimates varied between 1.54 (95% CI = 0.95 to 2.49) and 5.51 (95% CI = 1.86 to 16.30) (Figure 2).

Of the 24 studies of neurocognitive dysfunction, 21 investigated the effect of being exposed to chemotherapy vs no chemotherapy; these studies consistently showed increased risk of neurocognitive impairments in breast cancer survivors exposed to chemotherapy. Three studies evaluated the effect of being exposed to hormone therapy in chemotherapy-naïve patients (29,82,85); two found strong evidence of increased neurocognitive dysfunction among breast cancer survivors exposed to hormone therapy. In most studies, neurocognitive impairments were described to affect 20% to 40% of women one year postdiagnosis (Figure 3).

곤	ł
1.1	ł
<	1
	l
122	ł
~	1

ment*
assessi
uality :
es' q
studi
and
review
natic
syster
the
in
led
luc
nc
es j.
idi
str
the
of
results
of the 1
Ň
ummaı
Ś
e 2
Tabl

	COI	z	Z	¥	Z	Z	ү	Z	Z	Z	Y	Y	Z	Z	Z	i >	Z	Z	Z	Ч	(pən
	Miss	~.	~.	~.	۰.	~•	~-	~•	~.	~•	~-	~.	~.	~.	~	. ~.	~·	~•	~.		ontin
s of ient‡	Stat	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Ι	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	I	0
es risl sessm	Conf	×	Z	Z	Z	Х	¥	Х	¥	Z	Z	¥	Z	۰.	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	
Studi ias as	lemp	¥	Z	¥	Z	Х	¥	Х	Y	z	¥	¥	¥	Y	>	· >	Z	×	Y	¥	
ģ	Inf 1	~.	z	~.	۰.	~.	~-	ا/X	~.	<u>م</u> .	~.	~.	~.	~.	~	. ~.	z	<u>~</u> .	۰.	Z	
	Sel	¥	¥	~.	۰.	~.	~.	l; ₹	¥	¥	¥	¥	¥	Y	>	· >	X	~.	~-	z	
	iuicide	I	I		I	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι		I	Ι		I	I	I	I	I	
Stress- related	PTSD S	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I		I	I		I	I	I	I	Ι	
Υ.	o omatization	I	I	SH	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	SH	·	I	I	Ι	
	disturbance† S	I	I	Ι	SH	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I		I	I	SH	I	
	oexuai dysfunction†	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	I	H§	I	I	ΗS	I	I	HS	ה    -	I	I	I	I	
	Compulsion	I	I	SH	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Neuro-	cognuve dysfunction†	I	ΗŞ	Ι	I	I	I	I	Ι	ŚН	§н	I	SH	SH	' I	I	SH	SH	9	I	
ion	Symp	Η§		Hδ	HS	Ц	Н	Н		Н	I	HS	HS	Ц	HS	с Н	I	Ц	LS LS	I	
press	Drug	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	Н	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	I		I	I	I	I		
Ď	Diag	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	HS	
	ipolar	Ι		I	I	I	I	I		I	I	Ι		I	I	I	I				
	mp B	1	I	IS	I	I	I	Н	I	H	I	I	IS	#		SF	'	Ц	ASL ST	I	
ciety	ug Sy			-				Ŧ		1			-	ΪΪ Ι		ц Ц		1			
Ant	ag Dr		1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1			I	
a č	) (o	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Sample	control:	114/149	110/39	39/39	44/35	515/496	89/43	85/85	85/85	63/44	) 40/36	58/61	122/113	53/19	795/702	) 56/66	54/54	24/23	337/1685	463/3108	
	Study	Aerts, 2014	(45) Ahles, 2010	(//) Amir, 2002	(26) Ancoli-Israel,	2014 (27) Bailey, 2010	(46) Bizetti Pelai,	2012 (60) Boehmer,	2015 (28) Boehmer,	2014 (47) Boele, 2015	(29) Brezden, 2000	(/0) Broeckel,	2002 (30) Calvio, 2010	(31) Castellon,	2004 (74) Claus 2006	(48) (in situ Cohen, 2011	(32) Collins, 2014	(67) Conroy, 2013	(83) Dahl, 2011	(33) Earle, 2007	(54)

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jnci/article-abstract/110/12/1311/5164282 by London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine user on 09 December 2019

Table 2. (continued)

	COI	¥	Z	ΖZ	Z	Ч	Х	¥	Y	Z	Z	Z	Z	ΖZ	Z	Z	Z	¥	ued)
	Miss	~.	<u>ر.</u>	~	<u>~</u> .	۰.	~-	Z	Ι	I	~-	Z		~.	<u>م</u> .	~.	$\sim \cdot$	z	ontin
k of ient‡	Stat	I	z	ΖZ	Ι	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	z	0
ies risl sessm	Conf	¥	¥	ΖZ	Ч	Ч	Ч	~-	¥	Y	Y	Z	Z	ΖZ	Z	¥	~-	~-	
Studi vias as	Temp	$\succ$	¥	ΖZ	Y	Х	¥	Y	z	Z	¥	Z	Z	z ≻	¥	Ч	¥	¥	
ىك	Jul	~.	۰.	ΖZ	~.	۰.	~-	N/  ;	Z	Z	z	N	Z	Z ~·	<u>م</u> .	N/  ;	~.	~.	
	Sel	~.	¥	~ Z	~.	¥	¥	~.	Z	z	Y	Х	Z	z ≻	z	~.	¥	¥	
	Suicide	I	I	— H§	I		Ι	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I		Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	
Stress- related	disorders /PTSD	I	l		I	I	SH			I	I	l	l		Ι	I	Ι	I	
	† Somatization	I	Ι		I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I		I	I	I	I	
5	sleep disturbance	ŜН	Ι		I	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I			I	Ι	Ι	Ι	
	sexual dysfunction†	I	I		l	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	l	I			Ι	I	l	
	Obsessive compulsion	I	I		I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I		I	I	Ι	I	
Neuro-	cognitive dysfunction†	I	Η	HS –	I	I	I	H††	I	I	Н	Н	I		ŚН	SH	ŚН	ЯН	
uo	symp (	I	I		H§,**	Н	I	Η§		Ι	Ι		I		LS	H§	Н	I	
ressi	brug 3	I	I		Ι	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	H§		I	Ι	Ι	I	
Dep	Diag I	I	I		Ι	I	I	Ι	H§	Η§	I	Ι	Н	H§ —	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	
	polar 1	1	I		Ι	I	I	I	I	Η§	Ι	I	I		I	I	Ι	I	
	mp Bi		I		I	Г	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I SF	·	IS	I	I	
tiety	ug Sy					I							w	. <u>н</u>		-			
An:	ag Dr		I		I	I	I	I	ו די	- Sl	I	I	H		I	1	I I	I	
	» رو Di		I	· · ·	I	I	I	I	ц.	ш т	' t	I	ц	0	I	I	1	I	
Sample	sıze (BC: controls	50/50	16/33	91/83 74977/NI	32/35	22/22	69/99	56/150	60431‡‡/	26 6 29 # #/	20 023. 105/55	128/49	16938/	652/1188	196/1509	63/60	42/35	28/37	
	Study	El Rafihi- Ferreira, 2011 (63)	Ernst, 2002 (85)	Fan, 2005 (68) Fang, 2012	(64) Frazzetto, 2012 (40)	(R) (R) Garcia-	Torres, 2013 (35) Gurevich,	2004 (50) Hermelink,	2017 (29) Hjerl, 2002	(LC) Hung, 2013 (۲۵)	Inagaki, 2007	(84) Jenkins, 2006 (75)	Khan, 2010	(30) Kim, 2017 (62) Klein, 2011	(53) Koppelmans,	2012 (71) Kreukels,	2008 (72) Kesler, 2013	(80) Lejbak, 2010 (82)	

(continued)	
Table 2.	

REVIEW

	Sample		Anxie	ţ			Depres	sion	Neuro-			1		Stress- related			St bias	udies r s asses	isk of sment	#		
Study	size (bus/ controls)	Diag	j Drug	Symp	Bipolaı	r Dia	g Dru§	g Symp	cognitive dysfunction†	Upsessive compulsion	sexua⊥ dysfunction†	sieep disturbance†	Somatization	11SOTGETS /PTSD	Suicide S	el In	f Ter	np Co	nf Sta	at Mis	S	
Lee, 2011 (61) McDonald	206/496 29/18			=				нн									72	Z	z z	~ ~	ΖZ	
2010 (78)				:				:									•			•		
Miao, 2016 (79)	23/26	Ι	I	Η	I			Η	θH	I	I	I	I		I	~. ~.	Y	ž	z	~.	Z	
Min, 2010 (54)	52/104	I		I	Ι			Hδ		Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	, 	د. ح	Y	Y		~.	Y	
Myers, 2015	156/46		Ι	Ι	Ι				§Η	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι		I	~.	Y	~.	Z	Z	Z	
(66) Nguyen, 2013	57/30		I	I	Ι	I		Η	SH	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I		~· ~	Y	Z	Z	~-	Ν	
(86) Otte, 2010 (37)	246/246	I	I	I	I			HS	I	I	I	SH	I	Ι		~.~	Y	~·	N	~.	N	
Phillips, 2012	129/184	I	I	Ι	I	I			SH	Ι					I	Z	Y	Z	Z	~-	Ν	
(81) Root, 2015	113/37	Ι	Ι	Г	I	I		Η	SH	I	I	I	I	I	I	~· ~·	Y	Z	Z	~.	Z	
(65) Rubino. 2007	33/33	I	I	HS	I		I	HS	I	l	Н	I	I	I	I	Z	~	~	z	~.	$\succ$	
(38) Safarinejad,	186/204	I	I	°	I	I	I	2	I	I	SH	I	I	I	I	~.	~ ~			~ ~ ·	Z	
2013 (39) Saleeba, 1996	52/88	I	I	SH	I		I	I	I		)	I	I	I	,	~. ~	×	Z	Z	~-	Y	
(40) Schairer. 2006	723 810/ND		I	,	I				I		I	I	I		I SH	Z	2	, Y	Z		Z	
(41) Schagan 2006	57/60	I	I	I	I	l	I	l	ц	I	I	I	I	I	, , ,					~	Z	
(69) (G)	01/06								: 1								. >	• >		• •		
2007 (73)	01 /5-2															•	-	-		•		
Suppli, 2014 (42)	44 494‡‡/ 2M‡‡	I	I	I	Ι	Hβ	SH S	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	Ι		Z	Z	۲ ۱	z		Z	
Vazquez- Ortiz 2010	30/30	Ι	Ι	Ι	I		1		I	l	ΗŞ	l	I	I	I	~.	Y	X	z	~-	Y	
(55) Voigt, 2016 (76)	150/56	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι		I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	H§§	I	~· ~·	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	
(70) Von Ah, 2012 (57)	62/78	I	I	I	I		l	Η	Ι	Ι	Ι	SH	Ι	Ι		~.	Y	Z	Z	~-	Z	
																				(cont	inued	

78

_
Ъ,
ă
<u>۳</u>
_ <b>F</b>
. <b>¤</b>
d
0
Ŭ
$\sim$
ц.
Ð
بكر
പ
H

	COI	z	Y	$\succ$	nen a
	Miss	۰.	<u>م</u> .	Ι	sis. W
k of nent‡	Stat	Z	Z	Z	diagnc
ies ris sessn	Conf	Z	Z	¥	cancer
Studi bias as	Temp	Y	Y	Z	sary of c
	Inf	۰.	<u>~</u> .	z	inniver
	Sel	Y	Y	Z	e first a
	Suicide	I	Ι	I	t after th
Stress- related	aisoraers /PTSD	Ι	Ι	ΗŞ	st time poin
	Somatization	I	I	I	te for only the fire
5	sieep disturbance†	I	I	I	cribed the estima
	sexuaı dysfunction†	I	Ι	I	ry tables but des
	UDSESSIVE compulsion	I	Ι	I	e supplementa
Neuro-	cognitive dysfunction†	SH	I	I	ited all data in th
uc	Symp	Н	Hβ	Ι	presen
oressio	Drug 5	I	Ι	Η§	me, we
DeJ	Diag I	Ι	Ι	SH	nt in ti
	sipolar l	I	I		n one poi
	symp E	I	Н	Ι	iore thai
nxiety	Drug 9	I	Ι	SH	es for n
Ä	Diag I	Ι	Ι	H§	estimate
Sample	sıze (buə/ controls)	52/52	60/93	40 849/ 452 507	study provided
	Study	Von Ah, 2009	Weitzner, 1997 (43)	Yang, 2017 (58) (Inv)	*When a cohort:

study provided data for invasive and in situ tumors, we presented the results for the invasive tumors only. When a study provided data on the prevalence of subjects considered impaired as well as the mean scores of the psychometric instrument, we showed the result of the hypothesis test for the comparison of the prevalences. BCS = breast cancer survivors; COI = conflict of interest; Conf = confounding (by age and SES); Diag = clinical diagnoses; Drug = based on relevant drug prescriptions; H = study observed higher prevalence, risk, or severity of outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared with women who did not have cancer; Inf = information bias; Inv = invasive tumors; L = study observed lower prevalence, risk, or severity of outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared with women who did not have cancer, M = million; Miss = missing data, N = low risk of bias; R = recurrence; Sel = selection bias; Stat = statistical methods; Symp = based on symptoms; Temp = temporality of events; Y = high nisk of bias; P = nnclear nisk of bias.

The risk of neurocognitive impairment, sexual dysfunction, and sleep disturbance was considered increased when the study reported statistically significant impairments in one or more domains of neurocognitive or sexual function. or sleep, respectively. When subjective and objective measures of neurocognitive function were provided, we considered the results of the objective measures. #Risk of bias assessment was not applicable for the domain of statistical methods for studies where no results for formal statistical comparisons between the two groups were provided, risk of bias assessment was also not applicable for the missing data domain if the study was based on electronic health records.

Statistically significant (P < .05).

||For anxiety or depression outcomes.

¶Self-reported anxiolytics and antidepressants intake.

79

#Breast cancer survivors reported lower symptoms for state anxiety compared with controls (P = .01). No statistically significant between-group differences were observed for trait anxiety (P = .08)

\*\*Severe depression and mild to severe depression were increased in breast cancer survivors (P < .05). Mild depression did not differ between groups (P > .05).

HThere was no strong statistical evidence of increased risk of cognitive dysfunction in women who had breast cancer compared with the healthy control group. However, strong statistical evidence for an increased frequency of cognitive dysfunction among breast cancer patients was found when considering the mean composite scores at one year (P < .05); the comparison remained statistically significant after correcting for multiple testing.

#Number of women at baseline; number of women at 1 year after diagnosis not reported. Suppli et al: 44494 breast cancer survivors and 1997 669 for depression analysis; the corresponding figures for the antidepressant analysis were 35 286 (exposed to breast cancer) and 1 860 552 (background population).

§§There was no strong statistical evidence of an increased risk of PTSD in breast cancer survivors compared with women without cancer. However, the mean number of PTSD symptoms in breast cancer survivors was statistically significantly higher from the mean number of symptoms in the control group (P < .001). **0**1

ID		PR/OR/RR (95% CI)	Measure	diagnosis
Anxiety, clinical diagnosis				
Khan et al. 2010 (36)		1.06 (0.97 to 1.16)	OR	≥5 y
Hung et al. 2013 (52)		1.22 (1.16 to 1.29)	RR	~2 y
Hjerl et al. 2002 (51)*		1.40 (0.93 to 2.11)	SIR	~1.5 y
Yang et al. 2017 (58) (invasive)	· -•-	2.00 (1.69 to 2.37)	SIR	~1.5 y
Anxiety, drug treatment				
Khan et al. 2010 (36)		1.08 (1.01 to 1.15)	OR	≥5 y
Yang et al. 2017 (58) (invasive)		1.47 (1.35 to 1.61)	SIR	~1.5 y
Boehmer et al. 2015 (28) (self-reported)	$\leftarrow$	2.92 (0.31 to 27.09)	PR	~4.5 y
Anxiety, scale				
Boehmer et al. 2015 (28) (HADS≥8)		1.24 (0.86 to 1.78)	PR	~4.5 v
McDonald et al. 2010 (78) (STAI-T≥65)	<	1.25 (0.12 to 12.65)	PR	~1.5 y
Rubino et al. 2007 (38) (HRS-A≥15)†		7.99 (1.06 to 60.34)	PR	≥1 v
Saleeba et al. 1996 (40) (STAI-S>85%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.00 (1.19 to 7.57)	PR	~8.5 v
Weitzner et al. 1997 (43) (STAI-T>1SD)		1.80 (0.95 to 3.41)	PR	~8.5 y
Depression clinical diagnosis				
Khan et al. 2010 (36)		1.06 (1.00 to 1 12)	OR	>5 v
Earle et al. 2010 (30)		1.00 (1.00 to 1.12)	DD	-5 y
Kim at al. 2007 (62)		1.2-+ (1.03 to 1.30)		≥0y ~1v
Kini et al. 2017 (62)		1.55 (1.24 to 1.94)	PR	~1 y
Hung et al. 2013 (52)		2.00 (1.80 to 2.22)	RR	~2 y
Suppli et al. 2014 (42)		1.48 (1.19 to 1.84)	RR	~1.5 y
Hjerl et al. 2002 (51)*		1.87 (1.49 to 2.35)	SIR	~1.5 y
Yang et al. 2017 (58) (invasive)		2.04 (1.76 to 2.36)	SIR	~1.5 y
Depression, drug treatment				
Suppli et al. 2014 (42)	◆ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.06 (1.94 to 2.18)	RR	~1.5 y
Khan et al. 2010 (36)		1.16 (1.11 to 1.22)	OR	≥5 y
Yang et al. 2017 (58) (invasive)		1.92 (1.76 to 2.09)	SIR	~1.5 y
Boehmer et al. 2015 (28) (self-reported)		1.61 (0.97 to 2.67)	PR	~4.5 y
Depression, scale				
Bailey et al. 2010 (46) (CESD≥16)	<b>→</b>	0.90 (0.80 to 1.02)	PR	~1 y
Bizetti Pelaiet al. 2012 (60) (BDI≥10)		1.49 (0.97 to 2.28)	PR	~3.7 y
Boehmer et al. 2015 (28) (HADS≥8)		1.19 (0.56 to 2.50)	PR	~4.5 v
Frazzetto et al. 2012 (49) (GDS>10)±		2.91 (1.69 to 5.03)	PR	>10 v
Garcia Torres et al. 2013 (35) (BDI-II>14)		2 22 (0 79 to 6 21)	PR	~8.2 v
Lee et al. 2011 (61) (SDS>50)		1.06 (0.89 to 1.25)	PR	~1 v
McDonald et al. 2010 (78) (CESD>16)		2.46 (0.20 to 1.20)	DD	-15 1
Rubing at al. 2007 (28) (HPS D>9)+		2.40 (0.30 to 20.20)		~1.5 y
Weitzper et al. 1997 (43) (BDI>12)		1.03 (1.03 to 3.61)	PR PP	≥iy ~85 v
Weitzher et al. 1997 (43) (BDI212)		1.93 (1.03 to 3.61)	PK	~0.5 y
Neurocognitive dysfunction		2 40 (0 51 to 0 64)	OP	4
Brozdon et al. 2000 (09)		2.10 (U.31 LU 0.01)		-1 y
Diezuen et al. 2000 (70)		4.00 (1.7 1 to 12.10)	PR	~1 y
Collins et al. 2014 (67)		3.67 (1.21 to 11.12)	PR	~1 y
Fan et al. 2005 (68)		1.54 (0.95 to 2.49)	PR	~1 y
Jenkins et al. 2006 (75)		1.58 (0.64 to 3.90)	PR	~1 y
Hermelink et al. 2017 (59)		2.43 (0.89 to 6.64)	RR	~1 y
Kreukels et al. 2008 (72)	$ \longrightarrow $	5.51 (1.86 to 16.30)	RR	~1 y
Sexual dysfunction				
Rubino et al. 2007 (38)†		2.03 (0.56 to 7.42)	PR	≥1 y
Boehmer et al. 2014 (47)		1.44 (0.72 to 2.89)	OR	~4.5 y
Claus et al. 2006 (48) (in situ)		1.25 (1.05 to 1.49)	PR	~5.8 y
Safarinejad et al. 2013 (39)		1.83 (1.42 to 2.36)	PR	~2.4 y
Suicide				
Fang et al. 2012 (64)		1.60 (1.21 to 2.12)	RR	>1 y
Schairer et al. 2006 (41)		1.37 (1.28 to 1.47)	SMR	~8.7 y
<u> </u>				
	5 1 2 4 8 16			

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jnci/article-abstract/110/12/1311/5164282 by London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine user on 09 December 2019

Figure 2. Associations between breast cancer history and anxiety, depression, neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions, and suicide. We considered that anxiolytics were being taken to treat anxiety and antidepressants to treat depression. Time since diagnosis refers to the mean/median time elapsed since the breast cancer diagnosis or completion of initial course of treatment, as reported in the original studies, for the sample of cancer survivors. When this information was not reported in the original studies, we presented the lower limit of survivorship time reported in the inclusion criteria of the study. The minimum, mean/median, and maximum follow-up of longitudinal studies are reported in the Supplementary Appendix (available online). "The original study provided relative risk estimates stratified by area of residence (urban/rural). The combined estimate presented in the forest plot was computed with inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis methods using the command "metan" in Stata v14. BDI(-II) = Beck Depression Inventory(-II); CESD = The Center for Epidemiologic Studies, Depression Scale; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HBS-A = Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; HRS-D = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence ratio; RR = relative risk; SD = standard deviation; SDS = Self-rating Depression Scale; SIR = standardized incidence ratio; SMR = standardized mortality ratio; STAI-S = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (state anxiety subscale); STAI-T = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (trait anxiety subscale). †Women who have had breast reconstruction after mastectomy. ‡Refers to a group of women who had breast cancer recurrence 10 years after the first diagnosis.

### Sexual Dysfunction

Six studies, all involving convenience samples, reported data for sexual dysfunction (Table 1). Five of these reported impairments in one or more domains of sexual function (Table 2). All studies for which prevalence ratios were available showed increased dysfunction in breast cancer survivors, with relative risk estimates between 1.25 (95% CI = 1.05 to 1.49) and 2.03 (95% CI = 0.56 to 7.42) (Figure 2), but the width of the confidence intervals did not exclude the probability of this being due to



Mean time since breast cancer diagnosis up to outcome







Mean time since breast cancer diagnosis up to outcome assessment (years)





Figure 3. Absolute frequency of anxiety, depression, and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions reported in the original studies for breast cancer survivors. Estimates for cognitive and sexual dysfunctions refer to the prevalence of women impaired for the condition or specific domains, as reported in the original studies. EHR = electronic health records. Black triangle = cumulative incidence, diagnoses in EHR; white triangle = cumulative incidence, drug treatment; white diamond = prevalence, psychometric instruments; black diamond = prevalence, psychiatric interview.

chance in two studies. The prevalence of reported impaired sexual function overall or for specific domains was generally in the range of 20% to 60% (Figure 3). Safarinejad et al. (39) reported that women who had radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and hormone therapy had four to six times higher odds of disorder for all domains, compared with women who did not have cancer (39) (Supplementary Table 6, available online).

### Other Outcomes: Bipolar Disorder, Obsessive-**Compulsive Problems, Stress-Related and Posttraumatic** Stress, Sleep Disturbance, and Somatization

Other outcomes were infrequently studied, but five of five studies of sleep disturbance found a statistically significantly higher prevalence in breast cancer survivors, as did two of three studies of stress-related disorders, two of two studies of

somatization, and the single studies identified with bipolar disorder and obsessive-compulsive outcomes (Table 2).

### **Quality of the Studies**

Approximately 50% of the studies were rated at high risk of selection bias, mostly because of the nonprobabilistic recruitment of participants (eg, fliers and advertisements [28,31,44,47,56,57]) and the low proportion of women who accepted to participate in the studies (30,45,50,53,54) (Figure 4). In most studies (>70%), the risk of information bias was unclear, and the cross-sectional design precluded the unequivocal assertion that the onset of the mental disorder was posterior to the breast cancer diagnosis. Approximately 40% of studies reported results likely to have been affected by confounding by age and socio-economic status,



Figure 4. Summary of the risk of bias in the studies included in the systematic review. The risk of bias in statistical methods was considered not applicable when formal statistical comparisons between the two groups were not presented in the original study. Missing data criteria were not applicable for studies involving electronic health records.

and strategies to handle missing data were seldom reported. Individual study ratings are provided in Table 2.

### Discussion

REVIEW

Anxiety, depression, neurocognitive dysfunction, sexual dysfunction, and suicide appear to be more common in breast cancer survivors compared with noncancer groups. Scarcer data were available for other adverse mental health outcomes, but they were also reported as increased among breast cancer survivors. Common limitations of the current available evidence include use of nonprobabilistic samples, cross-sectional study designs making temporality of events difficult to assess, lack of power, and lack of consideration for important confounders such as socio-economic status.

Strengths of this review include the extensive search of multiple databases, the duplicated screening of the references and data extraction, and the systematic evaluation of the quality of the studies. The restriction to studies involving nonhospitalized samples and the inclusion of studies with in situ tumors allowed for a more generalizable characterization of the long-term burden of mental disorders in women in the community who have had breast cancer. We aimed to reduce the potential for information bias in the outcomes by considering only studies in which outcomes were assessed clinically or with validated instruments. However, this review also has limitations. Studies that reported mood assessments as secondary outcomes may not have been identified in the searches of electronic publication databases if the mental health outcome was not mentioned in the title, abstract, keywords, or indexing terms. This problem should have been minimized by

our use of the four largest and most relevant databases in this field, supplemented by manual searches of all reference lists to further reduce the chances of major studies being missed. The comparability of clinically diagnosed outcomes over time may be limited by the changes in the diagnostic criteria, especially in cases such as sexual dysfunction where the criteria became narrower over time (87). We defined explicit criteria to evaluate the risk of bias in the studies, but our assessment may have been affected by the quality of the reporting of the original studies. We considered that confounding by age and socio-economic status had been accounted for when the studies matched participants for these factors, even though we acknowledge that matching per se may not completely remove the confounding effect (88).

The population-based studies included in this review consistently described more depression and anxiety in breast cancer survivors compared with the general population when these outcomes were clinically assessed. The group of breast cancer patients who receive a psychiatric diagnosis or who contact clinical services in relation to their mental health are likely to represent the most severe cases only; these patients are likely to benefit from medical treatment. Studies using receipt of antidepressants and anxiolytics prescriptions to define depression and anxiety, respectively, are likely to capture the specific group of patients who were thought to benefit from pharmacological intervention, which is only a subset of all patients with anxiety and depression. The indication of these drugs was not explored in any of the original studies, and misclassification of the outcome may have occurred because some of these drugs have other indications and are routinely used to manage vasomotor symptoms secondary to breast cancer treatments (89,90). In addition, we cannot rule out that patients with breast cancer

history may have been more likely to be diagnosed with a mental health outcome due to increased contact with the health services compared with participants who did not have cancer.

The results from the original studies involving selfassessment scales, especially to assess symptoms of anxiety and depression, need to be interpreted with caution. These were often small, low-powered, cross-sectional studies using nonprobabilistic samples. Several of the original studies excluded women with psychiatric conditions and relied on voluntary participation. This may have resulted in an overrepresentation of psychologically healthier women, because diseased people are less likely to volunteer to participate in epidemiological studies (91,92); it is unclear if this would be differential between breast cancer survivors and control groups. The clinical profile of the patents included in these studies may also have been more favorable, because 45% of the studies included only patients with no recurrence and who were disease free at recruitment. In addition, misclassification of the outcome may have occurred, because these scales are screening tools and not suitable to establish definitive diagnoses. For example, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale had only 50% sensitivity as a screening test for major depressive disorder in breast cancer survivors compared with the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (93). Despite these limitations, scales are widely used in psychiatric epidemiology and in psycho-oncology research, and their results in this review are helpful to show the consistency of the results across methods of assessment.

For all methods of outcome definition, selective reporting in the original studies cannot be ruled out. Information on missing data was rarely well reported, and there was limited adjustment for potentially important confounders such as age and socioeconomic status; residual confounding is still likely to be present in the studies that adjusted for education only.

Clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety and stress-related/ adjustment disorders are common shortly after diagnosis (94), which is an expected response to a stressor that may be perceived as life-threatening and considering the uncertainty about the future that women may feel at this point (95). Declining trajectories of anxiety suggest that most women adjust to the diagnosis over time (96), but clinically relevant symptoms may persist in subgroups of women. Evidence on long-term trajectories of outcomes is scarce and needs to be further explored. Reported determinants of anxiety included younger age at diagnosis and having comorbidities; this is consistent with literature reporting that young breast cancer survivors have specific concerns, for example, fertility issues for women who want more children or weight gain during and after treatments (10). The increased symptoms of posttraumatic stress is consistent with a meta-analysis reporting that 10% of breast cancer survivors have posttraumatic stress disorder (97). Results for somatic and obsessive-compulsive symptoms must be interpreted with caution because they come from a small number of studies.

The increased frequency of depression in breast cancer survivors is plausible considering that many report unmet needs in several domains that affect quality of life (98), including impact on relationships, lifestyle changes induced by the cancer, lack of psychological support, and difficulties obtaining understand-able information about the physical long-term effects of the treatments (99–101). Risk factors for depression in breast cancer patients appear to be similar to those for the general female population, including less social support and lower socio-economic status (46). Suicide almost always occurs

among people suffering from a mental health disorder, most often depression (102,103). The increased risk of suicide in breast cancer survivors is likely to be underestimated, because suicide is often classified under other causes of death, and this may happen more often in women who have had cancer.

Neurocognitive dysfunction, also known as chemo-fog, has been linked to the neurotoxic effects of chemotherapy (104). Other determinants of neurocognitive dysfunction recently postulated include posttraumatic stress disorder (59) and exposure to hormone therapy due the effects of estrogen deprivation in the neuronal structures (82). Impairments for one or more domains of neurocognitive function (eg, memory [65,83] and processing speed [77,81]) were often described, but the methodological heterogeneity of the studies (105) as well as the challenge to measure neurocognitive function (106) hamper comparisons, and it is currently debatable which specific domains are impaired.

The narrow inclusion/exclusion criteria in some eligible studies of sexual dysfunction preclude generalizability to the general population of breast cancer survivors. For example, Safarinejad et al. (39) excluded women who did not attempt sexual intercourse weekly and Boehmer et al. (47) included only in lesbian or bisexual women. The aetiology of sexual dysfunction in women with a history of breast cancer is thought to be multifactorial. Vaginal dryness is a common iatrogenic effect of hormone therapy or chemotherapy-induced ovarian failure and may lead to dyspareunia (14). However, impaired sexual function, compared with healthy women, has also been reported in women treated with surgery only (48), indicating that factors other than the physical ones may be involved. Indeed, the distress in partnered relationships (107–110), body image concerns (111,112), depressive feelings (113), younger age at diagnosis (113), and presence of comorbidities (114) have all been reported amongst the most important determinants of female sexual dysfunction.

Mitchell et al. (115) systematically reviewed studies providing data for depression and anxiety in survivors from several types of cancer (>2 years since diagnosis) and in healthy subjects. The results indicated that anxiety, but not depression, may be increased among cancer survivors (115). This conclusion arose from the meta-analysis of nine studies that provided data for anxiety and included patients diagnosed with breast, colorectal, prostate, testicular, and cervical cancers or Hodgkin's lymphomas as well as patients diagnosed with cancers during adolescence and young adulthood. It is currently unknown if, and how, the risk of anxiety and depression varies by cancer type, and thus we cannot directly compare our results. Other systematic reviews on the topic assessed the prevalence of anxiety and depressive symptoms in cancer survivors (11,116–118), including studies without a comparison group. Maass et al. (11) described a higher frequency of depressive symptoms among breast cancer survivors (>1 year since diagnosis) compared with normative data found in the literature. The results for cognitive dysfunction are in accordance with those reported by Jim et al. (119), who found small but increased cognitive deficits in breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy compared with noncancer and cancer controls.

Several studies have reported no differences in most domains of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) between longterm breast cancer survivors and women in the general population (120–122). The interpretation of our results in the context of the literature for HRQoL is not straightforward, and the apparent difference is likely to be explained by the combination of several factors, including the differential participation of

psychologically healthier women in HRQoL surveys and positive effects of surviving breast cancer. Patients with adverse mental health outcomes, especially those with the most severe categories, may be less likely to participate in HRQoL surveys. This contrasts with the studies in this review that included women with a clinical diagnosis and/or treated for a mental health disorder and were thus likely to capture the most severe cases. In addition, long-term breast cancer survivors report changes in several aspects of their lives, but not all of them are negative. Women in the survivorship period have described feeling improved empathy, closer relationships, and a greater appreciation for life (123). This phenomenon of heightened well-being after a stressful event-known as posttraumatic stress growth-has been described to affect up to 60% of breast cancer survivors (124). Quality of life reflects how women perceive their current status, and the occurrence of posttraumatic growth may offset some of the negative feelings associated with breast cancer (125). In addition, studies of HRQoL often reported mean scores of overall and domain-specific measures of HRQoL; subgroups that have a different trajectory of symptoms can be hard to disentangle based on standard analyses.

This study has several implications for clinical practice. It is important to raise awareness amongst health care professionals acting at various levels of the health care system of the increased risk of mental health symptoms among breast cancer survivors, in particular anxiety, depression, and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions. Screening for mental health disorders in some or all of the breast cancer survivor population may be warranted. Predictors of distress among breast cancer survivors include having perceived functioning limitations, fatigue, younger age, lower socioeconomic status, and psychiatric history, and modifiable factors such as vasomotor symptoms, pain, less social support, physical activity, and cigarette smoking (126). As such, screening for anxiety and depression may be especially relevant for younger patients, and all those within the first few years of survivorship, with co-morbidities, living alone, or diagnosed with more advanced disease; patients with depression should be assessed for suicidal ideation. Patients who experienced treatment-induced menopause are likely to benefit from being asked about their sexual function, because they may avoid this topic with their clinicians; patients who received chemotherapy may also benefit from assessment for clinically significant cognitive impairments. Psychosocial support and routine monitoring of patient-reported outcomes during survivorship care are likely to help reduce the burden of these conditions. Differentiated psychological services are becoming the norm in specialized breast cancer clinics; however, only a fraction of the breast cancer survivors are followed-up in these settings (127). The holistic approach to the patients' unmet needs also requires equipping health care professionals with evidence-based information on the optimal management strategies. For example, treatment for sexual dysfunction may require not only management of anxiety and depressive symptoms, but also vaginal dryness, which may be undertreated in women with history of estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer due to concerns over the effect of hormonal vaginal treatments (128) and unawareness of the recommendations for lubricants and moisturizers (129). Patients' education on common changes post breast cancer, and the strategies available to manage these, may help women to better understand and cope with their disease, increase patients' awareness of common symptomatology, and help to decrease the stigma associated with mental health disorders.

REVIEW

Our review also identified areas for further research. There is a pressing need for studies evaluating clinically diagnosed adverse mental health outcomes in samples of women likely to represent the cohort of survivors in the general population and with sufficient numbers to allow effects to be detected. Further research is particularly needed to better characterize the trajectories of mental health outcomes over time, particularly of anxiety, depression, and neurocognitive dysfunction. The long-term risk of sleep disorders needs clarification, because breast cancer treatments such as chemotherapy and steroids have been suggested to be associated with impaired sleep (130,131), possibly due to increased risk of vasomotor symptoms that affect the sleep quality and quantity (132). Evidence on the long-term effect of being diagnosed in situ vs invasive tumors and on having undergone breast reconstructive surgery is scarce despite the increasing numbers of ductal carcinoma in situ diagnoses and aesthetics surgeries performed. The role of systemic treatments other than chemotherapy on neurocognitive function also needs clarification, including the role of the different types of hormonal treatments (selective oestrogen receptors modulators vs aromatase inhibitors). Efforts should be made to employ standardized definitions of the outcomes, because the heterogeneity of diagnostic codes and psychometric instruments hampers comparability of results across studies. Further research is also needed on the performance of commonly used scales for anxiety and depression as screening tools for these conditions in breast cancer survivors. Studies should also consider that the incidence of mental health disorders after a breast cancer diagnosis may vary with age, socio-economic status, time, stage of disease, recurrence, type of treatment, and sequelae from cancer among other factors. The inclusion of a comparison group is essential to estimate the excess risk of the breast cancer survivorship.

In conclusion, women with a history of breast cancer appear to be at higher risk of a wide range of adverse mental health outcomes up to several years post diagnosis and treatment compared with women who did not have cancer. The evidence was particularly compelling for anxiety, depression and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions, and suicide, which were most often studied. However, there is a pressing need for more population-based research to better characterize the association between breast cancer history and mental health. Our results can be used to inform prevention and management strategies directed at tackling the burden of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) at the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) (grant no. MR/M016234/1 to HC); the Bangerter Foundation and the Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences (grant no. TCR 14/17 to MM); and the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (grant no. 107731/Z/15/Z to KB).

### Notes

Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (HC); Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London, UK (RW); Department of Emergency Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland (MM); Institute of Health Economics and Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany (MM); Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (RH); Department of Medicine, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London and Surrey, UK (SS); Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (KB).The funders had no role in the design of the study; the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the writing of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interest: Ms Williams reports that CPRD has financial relationships with its clients, including the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, in relation to providing access to research data and services outside the submitted work. Dr Stanway reports personal fees from Roche, Clinigen, Eli Lilly, and Novartis not related to this work. Dr Bhaskaran reports grants from Wellcome Trust, the Royal Society, Medical Research Council, and British Heart Foundation outside the submitted work. The other authors in this report have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments: We thank Dr Maja Nikšić and Ms Ruoran Li for their help in interpreting the papers written in Serbian and Chinese, respectively.

### References

- Ervik M, Lam F, Ferlay J, et al. Population fact sheets: breast cancer prevalence (5 years) very high and high HDI. http://gco.iarc.fr/today/fact-sheetscancers?cancer=15&type=0&sex=2. Accessed 1 May 2018.
- de Moor JS, Mariotto AB, Parry C, et al. Cancer survivors in the United States: prevalence across the survivorship trajectory and implications for care. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2013;22(4):561–570.
- Maddams J, Utley M, Moller H. Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer. 2012;107(7):1195–1202.
- Payne DK, Sullivan MD, Massie MJ. Women's psychological reactions to breast cancer. Semin Oncol. 1996;23(1 suppl 2):89–97.
- Al-Azri M, Al-Awisi H, Al-Moundhri M. Coping with a diagnosis of breast cancer-literature review and implications for developing countries. *Breast J.* 2009;15(6):615–622.
- 6. Schubart JR, Emerich M, Farnan M, et al. Screening for psychological distress in surgical breast cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014;21(10):3348–3353.
- Burgess C, Cornelius V, Love S, et al. Depression and anxiety in women with early breast cancer: five year observational cohort study. BMJ. 2005; 330(7493):702.
- Kang JI, Sung NY, Park SJ, et al. The epidemiology of psychiatric disorders among women with breast cancer in South Korea: analysis of national registry data. Psychooncology. 2014;23(1):35–39.
- Browall M, Ahlberg K, Karlsson P, et al. Health-related quality of life during adjuvant treatment for breast cancer among postmenopausal women. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2008;12(3):180–189.
- Howard-Anderson J, Ganz PA, Bower JE, et al. Quality of life, fertility concerns, and behavioral health outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;104(5):386–405.
- Maass SW, Roorda C, Berendsen AJ, et al. The prevalence of long-term symptoms of depression and anxiety after breast cancer treatment: a systematic review. Maturitas. 2015;82(1):100–108.
- Avis NE, Levine BJ, Case LD, et al. Trajectories of depressive symptoms following breast cancer diagnosis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2015;24(11): 1789–1795.
- Savard J, Simard S, Blanchet J, et al. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors for insomnia in the context of breast cancer. Sleep. 2001;24(5): 583–590.
- Fallowfield L, Jenkins V. Psychosocial/survivorship issues in breast cancer: are we doing better? J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015;107(1):335.
- Burg MA, Adorno G, Lopez ED, et al. Current unmet needs of cancer survivors: analysis of open-ended responses to the American Cancer Society Study of Cancer Survivors II. Cancer. 2015;121(4):623–630.
- Carreira H, Williams R, Muller M, et al. Adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer: systematic review protocol. Syst Rev. 2017;6(1):162.

- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 151(4):264–269, W64.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Pshyciatric Association Press; 2013.
- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- Sagara Y, Mallory MA, Wong S, et al. Survival benefit of breast surgery for low-grade ductal carcinoma in situ: a population-based cohort study. JAMA Surg. 2015;150(8):739–745.
- Virnig BA, Tuttle TM, Shamliyan T, et al. Ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: a systematic review of incidence, treatment, and outcomes. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010;102(3):170–178.
- Lauzier S, Maunsell E, Levesque P, et al. Psychological distress and physical health in the year after diagnosis of DCIS or invasive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2010;120(3):685–691.
- Mertz BG, Duriaud HM, Kroman N, et al. Pain, sensory disturbances and psychological distress are common sequelae after treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ: a cross-sectional study. Acta Oncol. 2017;56(5):724–729.
- Sanderson S, Tatt ID, Higgins JP. Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(3):666–676.
- Kirkwood BR, Sterne JAC. Essential Medical Statistics. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science Ltd; 2003.
- Amir M, Ramati A. Post-traumatic symptoms, emotional distress and quality of life in long-term survivors of breast cancer: a preliminary research. J Anxiety Disord. 2002;16(2):195–206.
- Ancoli-Israel S, Liu L, Rissling M, et al. Sleep, fatigue, depression, and circadian activity rhythms in women with breast cancer before and after treatment: a 1-year longitudinal study. Support Care Cancer. 2014;22(9):2535–2545.
- Boehmer U, Ozonoff A, Potter J. Sexual minority women's health behaviors and outcomes after breast cancer. LGBT Health. 2015;2(3):221–227.
- Boele FW, Schilder CM, de Roode ML, et al. Cognitive functioning during long-term tamoxifen treatment in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. *Menopause*. 2015;22(1):17–25.
- Broeckel JA, Thors CL, Jacobsen PB, et al. Sexual functioning in long-term breast cancer survivors treated with adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2002;75(3):241–248.
- Calvio L, Peugeot M, Bruns GL, et al. Measures of cognitive function and work in occupationally active breast cancer survivors. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52(2):219–227.
- Cohen M, Mabjish AA, Zidan J. Comparison of Arab breast cancer survivors and healthy controls for spousal relationship, body image, and emotional distress. Qual Life Res. 2011;20(2):191–198.
- Dahl AA, Nesvold IL, Reinertsen KV, et al. Arm/shoulder problems and insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors: cross-sectional, controlled and longitudinal observations. Sleep Med. 2011;12(6):584–590.
- Earle CC, Neville BA, Fletcher R. Mental health service utilization among long-term cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv. 2007;1(2):156–160.
- Garcia-Torres F, Alos FJ. Identification of different depressive symptoms after mastectomy. Psychooncology. 2013;22(12):2857–2859.
- Khan NF, Ward AM, Watson E, et al. Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. Eur J Cancer. 2010;46(18):3339–3344.
- Otte JL, Carpenter JS, Russell KM, et al. Prevalence, severity, and correlates of sleep-wake disturbances in long-term breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2010;39(3):535–547.
- Rubino C, Figus A, Lorettu L, et al. Post-mastectomy reconstruction: a comparative analysis on psychosocial and psychopathological outcomes. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2007;60(5):509–518.
- Safarinejad MR, Shafiei N, Safarinejad S. Quality of life and sexual functioning in young women with early-stage breast cancer 1 year after lumpectomy. Psychooncology. 2013;22(6):1242–1248.
- Saleeba AK, Weitzner MA, Meyers CA. Subclinical psychological distress in long-term survivors of breast cancer: a preliminary communication. J Psychosoc Oncol. 1996;14(1):83–93.
- Schairer C, Brown LM, Chen BE, et al. Suicide after breast cancer: an international population-based study of 723,810 women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006; 98(19):1416–1419.
- Suppli NP, Johansen C, Christensen J, et al. Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide population-based cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(34):3831–3839.
- Weitzner MA, Meyers CA, Stuebing KK, et al. Relationship between quality of life and mood in long-term survivors of breast cancer treated with mastectomy. Support Care Cancer. 1997;5(3):241–248.
- Yamada TH, Denburg NL, Beglinger LJ, et al. Neuropsychological outcomes of older breast cancer survivors: cognitive features ten or more years after chemotherapy. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2010;22(1):48–54.
- Aerts L, Christiaens MR, Enzlin P, et al. Sexual functioning in women after mastectomy versus breast conserving therapy for early-stage breast cancer: a prospective controlled study. Breast. 2014;23(5):629–636.

REVIEW

ool of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine user on 09 December 2019

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jnci/article-abstract/110/12/1311/

- Bailey EH, Perez M, Aft RL, et al. Impact of multiple caregiving roles on elevated depressed mood in early-stage breast cancer patients and same-age controls. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2010;121(3):709–718.
- Boehmer U, Ozonoff A, Timm A, et al. After breast cancer: sexual functioning of sexual minority survivors. J Sex Res. 2014;51(6):681–689.
- Claus EB, Petruzella S, Carter D, et al. Quality of life for women diagnosed with breast carcinoma in situ. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(30):4875–4881.
- Frazzetto P, Vacante M, Malaguarnera M, et al. Depression in older breast cancer survivors. BMC Surg. 2012;12(suppl 1):S14.
- Gurevich M, Devins GM, Wilson C, et al. Stress response syndromes in women undergoing mammography: a comparison of women with and without a history of breast cancer. Psychosom Med. 2004;66(1):104–112.
- 51. Hjerl K, Andersen EW, Keiding N, et al. Increased incidence of affective disorders, anxiety disorders, and non-natural mortality in women after breast cancer diagnosis: a nation-wide cohort study in Denmark. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2002;105(4):258–264.
- 52. Hung YP, Liu CJ, Tsai CF, et al. Incidence and risk of mood disorders in patients with breast cancers in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based study. Psychooncology. 2013;22(10):2227–2234.
- Klein D, Mercier M, Abeilard E, et al. Long-term quality of life after breast cancer: a French registry-based controlled study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2011;129(1):125–134.
- Min SY, Kim HY, Jung SY, et al. Oncological safety and quality of life associated with mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction with a latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap. Breast J. 2010;16(4):356–361.
- Vazquez-Ortiz J, Antequera R, Picabia AB. Ajuste Sexual e imagen corporal en mujeres mastectomizadas por cancer de mama. Psicooncologia. 2010; 7(2–3):433–451.
- Von Ah D, Harvison KW, Monahan PO, et al. Cognitive function in breast cancer survivors compared to healthy age- and education-matched women. *Clin Neuropsychol.* 2009;23(4):661–674.
- Von Ah DM, Russell KM, Carpenter J, et al. Health-related quality of life of african american breast cancer survivors compared with healthy African American women. *Cancer Nurs.* 2012;35(5):337–346.
- Yang H, Brand JS, Fang F, et al. Time-dependent risk of depression, anxiety, and stress-related disorders in patients with invasive and in situ breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 2017;140(4):841–852.
- Hermelink K, Buhner M, Sckopke P, et al. Chemotherapy and post-traumatic stress in the causation of cognitive dysfunction in breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2017;109(10).
- Bizetti PE, Ibde Jaquiel FJ, Madia Mantovani A, et al. Quality of life, depression and pain in women after breast cancer surgery. *Ter Man.* 2012;10(48): 161–167.
- Lee MK, Park S, Lee ES, et al. Social support and depressive mood 1 year after diagnosis of breast cancer compared with the general female population: a prospective cohort study. Support Care Cancer. 2011;19(9):1379–1392.
- Kim MS, Kim SY, Kim JH, et al. Depression in breast cancer patients who have undergone mastectomy: a national cohort study. PLoS One. 2017;12(4): e0175395.
- El Rafihi-Ferreira R, Nogueira Pires ML, Zoega Soares MR. Sleep, quality of life and depression in women in breast cancer post-treatment. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2012;25(3):506–513.
- Fang F, Fall K, Mittleman MA, et al. Suicide and cardiovascular death after a cancer diagnosis. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(14):1310–1318.
- Root JC, Andreotti C, Tsu L, et al. Learning and memory performance in breast cancer survivors 2 to 6 years post-treatment: the role of encoding versus forgetting. J Cancer Surviv. 2016;10(3):593–599.
- Myers JS, Wick JA, Klemp J. Potential factors associated with perceived cognitive impairment in breast cancer survivors. Support Care Cancer. 2015; 23(11):3219–3228.
- Collins B, Mackenzie J, Tasca GA, et al. Persistent cognitive changes in breast cancer patients 1 year following completion of chemotherapy. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2014;20(04):370–379.
- Fan HG, Houede-Tchen N, Yi QL, et al. Fatigue, menopausal symptoms, and cognitive function in women after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: 1- and 2-year follow-up of a prospective controlled study. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(31):8025–8032.
- Schagen SB, Muller MJ, Boogerd W, et al. Change in cognitive function after chemotherapy: a prospective longitudinal study in breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006;98(23):1742–1745.
- Brezden CB, Phillips KA, Abdolell M, et al. Cognitive function in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2000;18(14): 2695–2701.
- Koppelmans V, Breteler MM, Boogerd W, et al. Neuropsychological performance in survivors of breast cancer more than 20 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(10):1080–1086.
- Kreukels BP, van Dam FS, Ridderinkhof KR, et al. Persistent neurocognitive problems after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer. 2008;8(1):80–87.
- Silverman DH, Dy CJ, Castellon SA, et al. Altered frontocortical, cerebellar, and basal ganglia activity in adjuvant-treated breast cancer survivors 5-10 years after chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2007;103(3): 303–311.

- Castellon SA, Ganz PA, Bower JE, et al. Neurocognitive performance in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy and tamoxifen. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2004;26(7):955–969.
- Jenkins V, Shilling V, Deutsch G, et al. A 3-year prospective study of the effects of adjuvant treatments on cognition in women with early stage breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 2006;94(6):828–834.
- Voigt V, Neufeld F, Kaste J, et al. Clinically assessed posttraumatic stress in patients with breast cancer during the first year after diagnosis in the prospective, longitudinal, controlled COGNICARES study. Psychooncology. 2017; 26(1):74–80.
- 77. Ahles TA, Saykin AJ, McDonald BC, et al. Longitudinal assessment of cognitive changes associated with adjuvant treatment for breast cancer: impact of age and cognitive reserve. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(29):4434–4440.
- McDonald BC, Conroy SK, Ahles TA, et al. Gray matter reduction associated with systemic chemotherapy for breast cancer: a prospective MRI study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2010;123(3):819–828.
- Miao H, Li J, Hu S, et al. Long-term cognitive impairment of breast cancer patients after chemotherapy: a functional MRI study. *Eur J Radiol.* 2016;85(6): 1053–1057.
- Kesler S, Janelsins M, Koovakkattu D, et al. Reduced hippocampal volume and verbal memory performance associated with interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha levels in chemotherapy-treated breast cancer survivors. Brain Behav Immun. 2013;30:S109–S116.
- Phillips KM, Jim HS, Small BJ, et al. Cognitive functioning after cancer treatment: a 3-year longitudinal comparison of breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy or radiation and noncancer controls. *Cancer.* 2012; 118(7):1925–1932.
- Lejbak L, Vrbancic M, Crossley M. Endocrine therapy is associated with low performance on some estrogen-sensitive cognitive tasks in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2010;32(8): 836–846.
- Conroy SK, McDonald BC, Smith DJ, et al. Alterations in brain structure and function in breast cancer survivors: effect of post-chemotherapy interval and relation to oxidative DNA damage. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013;137(2): 493–502.
- Inagaki M, Yoshikawa E, Matsuoka Y, et al. Smaller regional volumes of brain gray and white matter demonstrated in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer*. 2007;109(1):146–156.
- Ernst T, Chang L, Cooray D, et al. The effects of tamoxifen and estrogen on brain metabolism in elderly women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94(8):592–597.
- Nguyen CM, Yamada TH, Beglinger LJ, et al. Cognitive features 10 or more years after successful breast cancer survival: comparisons across types of cancer interventions. Psychooncology. 2013;22(4):862–868.
- Angel K. The history of 'Female Sexual Dysfunction' as a mental disorder in the 20th century. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2010;23(6):536–541.
- Pearce N. Analysis of matched case-control studies. BMJ. 2016;352:i969.
   British Medical Association, Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.
- British National Formulary. 74th ed. London, UK; 2018.90. Rada G, Capurro D, Pantoja T, et al. Non-hormonal interventions for hot flushes in women with a history of breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst
- Rev. 2010; doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004923.pub2.
  91. Lindsted KD, Fraser GE, Steinkohl M, et al. Healthy volunteer effect in a cohort study: temporal resolution in the Adventist Health Study. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996;49(7):783-790.
- Croswell JM, Ransohoff DF, Kramer BS. Principles of cancer screening: lessons from history and study design issues. Semin Oncol. 2010;37(3):202–215.
- Alexander S, Palmer C, Stone PC. Evaluation of screening instruments for depression and anxiety in breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2010;122(2):573–578.
- Heo J, Chun M, Oh YT, et al. Psychiatric comorbidities among breast cancer survivors in South Korea: a nationwide population-based study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2017;162(1):151–158.
- Lindberg P, Koller M, Steinger B, et al. Breast cancer survivors' recollection of their illness and therapy seven years after enrolment into a randomised controlled clinical trial. BMC Cancer. 2015;15(1):554.
- Saboonchi F, Petersson LM, Wennman-Larsen A, et al. Trajectories of anxiety among women with breast cancer: a proxy for adjustment from acute to transitional survivorship. J Psychosoc Oncol. 2015;33(6):603–619.
- Swartzman S, Booth JN, Munro A, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder after cancer diagnosis in adults: a meta-analysis. Depress Anxiety. 2017;34(4): 327–339.
- Cheng KKF, Wong WH, Koh C. Unmet needs mediate the relationship between symptoms and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. Support Care Cancer. 2016;24(5):2025–2033.
- Ellegaard MB, Grau C, Zachariae R, et al. Fear of cancer recurrence and unmet needs among breast cancer survivors in the first five years. A crosssectional study. Acta Oncol. 2017;56(2):314–320.
- 100. Fiszer C, Dolbeault S, Sultan S, et al. Prevalence, intensity, and predictors of the supportive care needs of women diagnosed with breast cancer: a systematic review. Psychooncology. 2014;23(4):361–374.
- 101. Khan NF, Evans J, Rose PW. A qualitative study of unmet needs and interactions with primary care among cancer survivors. Br J Cancer. 2011; 105(suppl 1):S46–S51.

- 102. Barraclough B, Bunch J, Nelson B, et al. A hundred cases of suicide: clinical aspects. Br J Psychiatry. 1974;125(0):355-373.
- 103. Diekstra RF. The epidemiology of suicide and parasuicide. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 1993;371:9-20.
- 104. Ahles TA, Saykin AJ. Candidate mechanisms for chemotherapy-induced cognitive changes. Nat Rev Cancer, 2007;7(3):192-201.
- 105. Wefel JS, Vardy J, Ahles T, et al. International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommendations to harmonise studies of cognitive function in patients with cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2011;12(7):703-708.
- 106. Shilling V, Jenkins V, Trapala IS. The (mis)classification of chemo-fogmethodological inconsistencies in the investigation of cognitive impairment after chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2006;95(2):125-129.
- 107. Speer JJ, Hillenberg B, Sugrue DP, et al. Study of sexual functioning determinants in breast cancer survivors. Breast J. 2005;11(6):440-447.
- 108. Hummel SB, Hahn DEE, van Lankveld J, et al. Factors associated with specific diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition sexual dysfunctions in breast cancer survivors: a study of patients and their partners. J Sex Med. 2017;14(10):1248-1259.
- 109. Greendale GA, Petersen L, Zibecchi L, et al. Factors related to sexual function in postmenopausal women with a history of breast cancer. Menopause. 2001; 8(2):111-119.
- 110. Ussher IM, Perz J, Gilbert E, Perceived causes and consequences of sexual changes after cancer for women and men: a mixed method study, BMC Cancer, 2015:15:268.
- 111. Helms RL, O'Hea EL, Corso M. Body image issues in women with breast cancer. Psychol Health Med. 2008;13(3):313-325.
- 112. Fobair P, Stewart SL, Chang S, et al. Body image and sexual problems in young women with breast cancer. Psychooncology. 2006;15(7):579-594.
- 113. Oberguggenberger A, Martini C, Huber N, et al. Self-reported sexual health: breast cancer survivors compared to women from the general populationan observational study. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):599.
- 114. Bober SL, Giobbie-Hurder A, Emmons KM, et al. Psychosexual functioning and body image following a diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ. J Sex Med. 2013;10(2):370-377.
- 115. Mitchell AJ, Ferguson DW, Gill J, et al. Depression and anxiety in long-term cancer survivors compared with spouses and healthy controls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14(8):721-732.
- 116. Zainal NZ, Nik-Jaafar NR, Baharudin A, et al. Prevalence of depression in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of observational studies. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(4):2649-2656.
- 117. Krebber AM, Buffart LM, Kleijn G, et al. Prevalence of depression in cancer patients: a meta-analysis of diagnostic interviews and self-report instruments. Psychooncology. 2014;23(2):121-130.

- 118. Walker J, Holm Hansen C, Martin P, et al. Prevalence of depression in adults with cancer: a systematic review. Ann Oncol. 2013;24(4):895-900.
- 119. Jim HS, Phillips KM, Chait S, et al. Meta-analysis of cognitive functioning in breast cancer survivors previously treated with standard-dose chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(29):3578-3587.
- 120. Stover AM, Mayer DK, Muss H, et al. Quality of life changes during the preto postdiagnosis period and treatment-related recovery time in older women with breast cancer, Cancer, 2014:120(12):1881-1889.
- 121. Neuner JM, Zokoe N, McGinley EL, et al. Quality of life among a populationbased cohort of older patients with breast cancer. Breast. 2014;23(5):609-616.
- 122. Koch L, Jansen L, Herrmann A, et al. Quality of life in long-term breast cancer survivors—a 10-year longitudinal population-based study. Acta Oncol. 2013;52(6):1119-1128.
- 123. Brix SA, Bidstrup PE, Christensen J, et al. Post-traumatic growth among elderly women with breast cancer compared to breast cancer-free women. Acta Oncol. 2013;52(2):345-354
- 124. Petrie KJ, Buick DL, Weinman J, et al. Positive effects of illness reported by myocardial infarction and breast cancer patients. J Psychosom Res. 1999; 47(6):537-543.
- 125. Morrill EF, Brewer NT, O'Neill SC, et al. The interaction of post-traumatic growth and post-traumatic stress symptoms in predicting depressive symptoms and quality of life. Psychooncology. 2008;17(9):948–953.
- 126. Syrowatka A, Motulsky A, Kurteva S, et al. Predictors of distress in female breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2017; 165(2):229-245
- 127. Moschetti I, Cinquini M, Lambertini M, et al. Follow-up strategies for women treated for early breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001768.pub3.
- 128. Sulaica E, Han T, Wang W, et al. Vaginal estrogen products in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer patients on aromatase inhibitor therapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2016;157(2):203–210.
- 129. Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, et al. American Cancer Society/ American Society of Clinical Oncology Breast Cancer Survivorship Care Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(6):611-635.
- 130. Costa AR, Fontes F, Pereira S, et al. Impact of breast cancer treatments on sleep disturbances—a systematic review. Breast. 2014;23(6):697–709.
- 131. Turner R, Elson E. Sleep disorders. Steroids cause sleep disturbance. BMJ. 1993:306(6890):1477-1478.
- 132. Savard J, Davidson JR, Ivers H, et al. The association between nocturnal hot flashes and sleep in breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2004; 27(6):513-522.

This page was intentionally left blank.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

# **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed for each research paper included within a thesis.

# **SECTION A – Student Details**

Student ID Number	1513226	Title	Ms
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel		
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira		
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life cancer	e in women w	vith history of breast
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran		

# If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

# SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	JNCI – Journal of the National Cancer Institute				
When was the work published?	December 2018				
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a				
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	No		

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

# SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	n/a
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	n/a
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

# SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

doi: 10.1093/jnci/djz004 First published online January 10, 2019 Response

# Response to Hulliard, Le Strat, Dubertre, et al.

Helena Carreira, Rachael Williams, Martin Müller, Rhea Harewood, Susannah Stanway, Krishnan Bhaskaran

See the Notes section for the full list of authors' affiliations. Correspondence to: Helena Carreira, MSc, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK (e-mail: helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk).

Dr Huillard et al. suggested that we report on mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors with and without history of mental disorders. Twenty-two of the 60 studies excluded participants with history of mental disorders. Of the 38 studies that did not mention psychiatric history in their exclusion criteria, three accounted for it either through matching or adjustment in multivariable analyses; only one study explored the role of psychiatric history (it showed no correlation between psychiatric history and symptoms of posttraumatic stress).

Dr Huillard et al. noted that in a previous study, an increased risk of mental disorders was only observed among cancer patients who had a history of mental disorder (1). As noted above, results stratified by psychiatric history were seldom available in studies that we reviewed. However, we believe that the results of the studies that included only participants with no history of mental disorders are informative. Four population-based studies included in our review, in which outcomes were clinically ascertained, showed an increased risk of anxiety and/or depression in breast cancer survivors with no history of mental disorders, relative to comparable women without cancer (2–5). This shows that for breast cancer survivors (>1 year), the risk of first-ever disorders is increased relative to women who never had cancer. If the hypothesis of Dr Huillard et al. is correct, the burden of mental disorders is likely to be underestimated in the studies restricted to women with no history of mental disorders. We should also note that our study focused solely on female breast cancer survivors at least 1 year after diagnosis, whereas the Huillard et al. study included patients with a wide range of cancers (16% were of the breast). It is plausible that the effect of a cancer diagnosis on the patients' mental health varies by cancer site. We thank Dr Huillard et al. for their interest in our study.

### Notes

Affiliations of authors: Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (HC, RH, KB); Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, London, UK (RW); Department of Emergency Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland (MM); Institute of Health Economics and Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany (MM); Department of Medicine, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London and Surrey, UK (SS).

### References

- Mallet J, Huillard O, Goldwasser F, Dubertret C, Le Strat Y. Mental disorders associated with recent cancer diagnosis: results from a nationally representative survey. Eur J Cancer. 2018;105:10–18.
- Hjerl K, Andersen EW, Keiding N, Mortensen PB, Jorgensen T. Increased incidence of affective disorders, anxiety disorders, and non-natural mortality in women after breast cancer diagnosis: a nation-wide cohort study in Denmark. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2002;105(4):258–264.
- Hung YP, Liu CJ, Tsai CF, et al. Incidence and risk of mood disorders in patients with breast cancers in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based study. Psychooncology. 2013;22(10):2227–2234.
- Suppli NP, Johansen C, Christensen J, Kessing LV, Kroman N, Dalton SO. Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide populationbased cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(34):3831–3839.
- Yang H, Brand JS, Fang F, et al. Time-dependent risk of depression, anxiety, and stress-related disorders in patients with invasive and in situ breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 2017;140(4):841–852.

Received: December 11, 2018; Accepted: January 16, 2019

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 3.4 Systematic review update

The research described in the systematic review was based on search expressions that had been last run on the 1<sup>st</sup> of November 2017. The search was updated on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of October 2019, with a total of 2,041 new records identified. Using the same inclusion/exclusion criteria, 126 studies were eligible for full text assessment and ultimately six studies were eligible for the systematic review update (Table 3.1). In total, 66 studies, covering nine mental health outcomes, were eligible (60 from the original search, six from the update).

The most commonly evaluated outcomes were anxiety (n=23 studies) and depression (n=41). Of 23 studies of anxiety, 12 observed more anxiety in breast cancer survivors, including two of four studies with clinical/ prescription-based outcomes, and in 10 of 19 of anxiety symptoms. Of 41 studies of depression, 22 reported some statistical evidence of more depression in breast cancer survivors, compared to the non-cancer group; this included seven of eight studies where depression was ascertained clinically, and 15 of 33 studies that quantified depressive symptoms. Breast cancer survivors also had statistically significantly increased symptoms/frequency of neurocognitive dysfunction (21 of 28 studies), sexual dysfunctions (6 of 7 studies), sleep disturbance (5 of 5 studies), stress-related disorders (2 of 3 studies), suicide (2 of 2 studies), somatisation (2 of 2 studies), and bipolar and obsessive-compulsive disorders (1 of one study each).

Studies were heterogeneous in terms of participants' characteristics, time since diagnosis, ascertainment of outcomes, and measures reported. This precluded a quantitative summary of the data. A total 44 of 66 studies (66.7%) included small, non-probabilistic samples of breast cancer survivors. Mental health outcomes were most commonly evaluated with psychometric instruments (55/66 studies=83.3%), followed by clinical diagnoses registered in electronic healthcare databases (11/66=16.7%). Approximately one-half of the studies were at high risk of selection bias due to non-probabilistic recruitment of participants or low participation rates. In most studies (>70%), the risk of information bias was unclear and the cross-sectional design precluded the unequivocal assertion that the onset of the mental disorder was posterior to the breast cancer diagnosis. Approximately 40% of studies reported results likely to have been affected by confounding by age and socio-economic status.

First	Breast canc		east cancer survivors		Comparison group	Outcome	Quantitative measure of the		Relative	P-value* or	Notes
author, year of publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis† in years: mean/median (SD), range	Type of population and main characteristics	assessment	Breast cancer survivors	come Comparison group	estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	95% confidence interval	
Anxiety											
Cheng, 2018 [204] China	Convenience sample Patients aged 20-60 years, with non- metastatic disease, working at least 20h per week recruited from hospitals in four regions of China	I (47.2%) II (46.8%) III (6.0%)	Srg only (13.5%) RT only (6.7%) Srg + RT (34.5%) Srg + CT (7.1%) Srg + RT + CT (38.2%)	3.2 (ND), 2-ND	Convenience sample Women with no history of cancer, aged 20 to 60 years, working >20h per week and in the current job for >1yr	HADS	Mean score (SD): 5.43 (3.51)	Mean score (SD): 2.89 (1.37)	-	<u>P&lt;0.0001</u>	Higher scores represent more anxiety.
Wirkner, 2017 [205] Germany	Convenience sample 20 breast cancer survivors recruited from one center	ND (ND)	Srg (ND%) RT (85%) CT (100% HT (75%)	3.43 (1.9), ND-7	Convenience sample 31 healthy controls recruited via bulletin boards	STAI (trait anxiety only)	Mean score (SD): 48.40 (2.09)	Mean score (SD): 31.67 (1.70)		<u>P&lt;0.001</u>	Cases and controls matched for age, education and handedness
Depression	ı										
Cheng, 2018 [204] China	Convenience sample Patients aged 20-60 years, with non- metastatic disease, working at least 20h per week recruited from hospitals in four regions of China	I (47.2%) II (46.8%) III (6.0%)	Srg only (13.5%) RT only (6.7%) Srg + RT (34.5%) Srg + CT (7.1%) Srg + RT + CT (38.2%)	3.2 (ND), 2-ND	Convenience sample Women with no history of cancer, aged 20 to 60 years, working >20h per week and in the current job for >1yr	HADS	Mean score (SD): 6.71 (3.56)	Mean score (SD): 2.30 (1.26)	-	<u>P&lt;0.0001</u>	Higher scores represent more depression.
Wirkner, 2017 [205] Germany	Convenience sample 20 breast cancer survivors recruited from one center	ND (ND)	Srg (ND%) RT (85%) CT (100% HT (75%)	3.43 (1.9), ND-7	Convenience sample 31 healthy controls recruited via bulletin boards	BDI-II	Mean score (SD): 16.20 (1.64)	Mean score (SD): 4.57 (1.34)		<u>P&lt;0.001</u>	Cases and controls matched for age, education and handedness

**Table 3.1** Results of the studies eligible in the update of the systematic review.

(Continued)

Table 3.1 Cor	ntinued
---------------	---------

First		Breast cance	cer survivors Comparison group Outcome Quantitative measure of the				measure of the	asure of the Relative P-va		Notes	
author, year of publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis† in years: mean/median (SD), range	Type of population and main characteristics	assessment	outcome		risk estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	95% confidence interval	
Depression	า			· · · -							
Ng, 2019 [206] Canada	Convenience sample 12,127 women aged >18, diagnosed with breast cancer between 2005-2009, from the cancer registry. Women who did not have health insurance were excluded.	I (40.8%) II (30.9%) III (12.4%) IV (3.9%) Unknown (12.0%)	ND (ND)	ND (ND), 4-9	Convenience sample Women with no cancer history or history of prescription of chemotherapy agents, selected at random from primary care databases.	Primary care diagnosis of depression, ICD codes or antidepressant prescription	Incidence rate: 5.57 (5.34-5.82)	Incidence rate: 3.05 (2.94-3.17)	<u>HR=1.68</u>	<u>95%Cl:</u> <u>1.60-1.76</u>	Adjusted for neighborhood quintile of deprivation, presence of comorbidity at baseline.
Neurocogn	itive dysfunction										
Cheng, 2018 [204] China	Convenience sample Patients aged 20-60 years, with non- metastatic disease, working at least 20h per week recruited from hospitals in four regions of China	I (47.2%) II (46.8%) III (6.0%)	Srg only (13.5%) RT only (6.7%) Srg + RT (34.5%) Srg + CT (7.1%) Srg + RT + CT (38.2%)	3.2 (ND), 2-ND	Convenience sample Women with no history of cancer, aged 20 to 60 years, working >20h per week and in the current job for >1yr	CSC-W21	Mean score (SD): 6.43 (18.32)	Mean score (SD): 0.32 (0.71)	-	<u>P&lt;0.0001</u>	Higher scores represent more problems.
Jung, 2016[207] United States	Convenience sample 62 right-handed women recruited from one breast cancer center	I (18%) II (57%) IIIa (25%)	Srg, M (54%) Srg, BC (46%) RT (90.3%) CT (45.2% HT (80.6%)	~1 year	Convenience sample 30 healthy women with no cancer	VWMT	Overall deficit score, CT group: +0.4 Overall deficit score, non-CT group: 0.0	Overall deficit score: -0.6	-	P=0.007	The group exposed to CT had significantly worse performance at 1 year evaluation compared to women in the control group.

(Continued)

# Table 3.1 Continued

First		Breast cance	r survivors		Comparison group	Outcome	Quantitative measure of the outcome		Relative P-value* or		Notes
author, year of publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis† in years: mean/median (SD), range	Type of population and main characteristics	assessment			risk estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	95% confidence interval	
Neurocogr	nitive dysfunction										
Wirkner, 2017 [205] Germany	Convenience sample 20 breast cancer survivors recruited from one center	ND (ND)	Srg (ND%) RT (85%) CT (100% HT (75%)	3.43 (1.9), ND-7	Convenience sample 31 healthy controls recruited via bulletin boards	WMS-R	Mean score (SD): Digit span forward: 33.90 (6.22) Digit span backward: 60.84 (6.69) Logical memory I: 67.12 (6.39) Logical memory II: 61.40 (6.26)	Mean score (SD): Digit span forward: 53.32 (5.26) Digit span backward: 61.61 (5.51) Logical memory I: 84.66 (5.40) Logical memory II: 89.02 (5.29)	-	Digit span forward: P=0.021 Digit span backward: P=0.930 Logical memory I: P=0.042 Logical memory II: P=0.002	Cases and controls matched for age, education and handedness
Kesler, 2017 [208]	Convenience sample 31 newly diagnosed	l (16%) II (65%) III (19%)	Srg (ND%) RT (65%) CT (100%	~1 year	Convenience sample 43 frequency	RAVLT A1	Mean score (SD): 54 (8.3)	Mean score (SD): 57 (8.8)	-	P>0.193	
China	breast cancer patients aged 34-65 years recruited from		HT (71%)		matched healthy controls	RAVLT A6	Mean score (SD): 10 (3.0)	Mean score (SD): 11 (2.8)	-	P>0.193	_
	one center					CTMT 1	Mean score (SD): 53 (9.7)	Mean score (SD): 58 (9.6)	-	P>0.193	_
						CTMT 5	Mean score (SD): 53 (9.5)	Mean score (SD): 57 (8.9)	-	P>0.193	
						COWA	Mean score (SD): 47 (9.0)	Mean score (SD): 52 (13)	-	P>0.193	-
						MCAB Adjustment Index	Mean score (SD): 1.7 (1.9)	Mean score (SD): 0.73 (1.2)	-	P>0.193	-

(Continued)

First	Breast cancer survivors				Comparison group	Outcome	Outcome Quantitative measure of the			P-value* or	Notes
author, year of publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis† in years: mean/median (SD), range	Type of population assessment and main characteristics		outcome		risk estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	95% confidence interval	
Sexual dys	function										
Soldera, 2018 [209] Canada	Convenience sample 248 women who had been newly diagnosed with breast cancer at one	venience sample       I-III (100%)       Srg, M (25%)       12.5 (ND), 9.4-       Convenience sample         women who had       RT (28%)       17.6       159 Women         n newly       CT (29%       undergoing         inosed with       HT (27%)       screening         ast cancer at one       mammography at th         pitals, who didn't       same hospitals, age         have neo       breast cancer group         wont       Abnormalities in the         motherapy and       mammography were	Convenience sample 159 Women undergoing screening mammography at the	SAQ: Pleasure	Mean score (SD): 12 (4.25)	Mean score (SD): 12 (4.41)	-	P=0.56	Adjusted for age. There was a significant interaction between		
	of three metropolitan hospitals, who didn't not have neo adjuvant chemotherapy and lived within 1 hour		same hospitals, age- matched to the breast cancer group. Abnormalities in the mammography were	SAQ: Discomfort	Mean score (SD): 2 (2)	Mean score (SD): 2 (2.02)	-	P=0.14	menopausal status and type of population, with pre- and peri-menopausal		
	distance of the hospital.				excluded as well as women with previous cancer diagnosis.	SAQ: Habit	Mean score (SD): 1 (0.46)	Mean score (SD): 1 (0.54)	-	P=0.49	less likely to be sexually active compared to controls (Odds ratio=0.12, P=0.012).

\* Underlined text is used to denote that the differences between the two groups were supported by some statistical evidence (P<0.05).

BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory-II; COWA = Controlled Oral Word Association; CT = chemotherapy; CTMT = Comprehensive Trail Making Test; CSC-W21 = Chinese version of the Cognitive symptoms Checklist; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HR = hazard ratio; HT = hormone therapy; MCAB = Mobile Cognitive Assessment Battery; ND = not defined; RAVLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; RT = radiotherapy; SAQ = Sexual Activity Questionnaire; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; Srg, M = mastectomy; Srg, BC = breast conserving surgery; SD = Standard deviation; TAP = Test battery for Assessment of Attention; VWMT = Verbal Working Memory Test; 95%CI = 95% confidence interval.

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 3.5 Summary

- This systematic review summarised the evidence of the studies that quantified differences in the frequency and/or severity of adverse mental health outcomes between women with a history of breast cancer (>1 year) and women who never had cancer.
- 66 studies were included after updating the searches in October 2019. These studies provided data for 9 mental health outcomes. Depression (n=41 studies), neurocognitive dysfunction (n=28) and anxiety (n=23) were the most commonly studied outcomes. Fewer studies provided data for sexual dysfunction (n=7), sleep disturbances (n=5), post-traumatic stress (n=3), obsessive compulsion (n=1), somatization (n=2), bipolar disorder (n=1), and suicide (n=2).
- Overall, the studies provided some evidence of a raised risk of anxiety, depression and suicide, and neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions, in breast cancer survivors compared with women with no prior cancer, persisting for several years post-treatment. Sleep disturbances, sexual disorders and post-traumatic stress disorder, also appear to be increased in breast cancer survivors, but the smaller number of studies precludes firm conclusions.
- However, the quality of most studies investigating mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no prior cancer, was suboptimal. Studies often relied on small convenience samples that are likely to lack statistical power. There was a large potential for misclassification of the outcomes in several studies and outcomes were rarely based on clinical assessments. Confounding by age and socio-economic status is also likely to have affected 40% of the studies.
- The current body of research lacks-well powered studies involving samples of breast cancer survivors broadly representative of those in the general population, and with clinically assessed outcomes.

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 4 Description of the data sources

# 4.1 Introduction

The research in this thesis is based on electronic health records (EHRs) of patients attending primary care practices that contributed with data to the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) General Practitioner Online Database (GOLD) (hereafter referred to as CPRD GOLD primary care database). For Aim 1 (i.e. quantifying the risk of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared to women with no history of cancer), the data in the CPRD GOLD primary care database were linked to EHRs from secondary care, official death registration data, and area- and patient-level deprivation data. The research to address Aim 2 (i.e. investigating the HRQoL and presence/severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms breast cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls) involved using the CPRD primary care database to select both women with a history of breast cancer and women who never had cancer, and invite them to respond to questionnaires on their HRQoL, anxiety and depressive symptoms. This chapter provides the description of the data.

# 4.2 Clinical Practice Research Datalink General Practitioner Online Database

CPRD is a UK government research service supported by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). CPRD has been collecting, processing, and releasing anonymised EHRs from patients attending primary and secondary care in the UK since 1987 [210].

### 4.2.1 Data and database version

The CPRD GOLD primary care database is one of the largest and longest-established databases of EHRs in the world. Data come from primary care practices that use the general practitioner (GP) software system InPS Vision, which is one of the four main systems in use in the NHS GP practices (TPP SystmOne, EMIS Web, and Microtest Evolution are the others) [211]. The number of practices using InPS Vision has decreased during the recent years, with several practices opting to move to EMIS Web or TPP SystmOne. Figure 4.1 shows the spatial distribution of the percentage of the population share and number of practices using the InPS Vision software system in 2016 at both Clinical Commissioning Group and NHS region levels.



**Figure 4.1** Spatial distribution of the 7526 general practices in the UK by number of general practices using InPS Vision, and percentage of the population share, at both Clinical Commissioning Group (thinner borders) and NHS region (thicker border) levels. Figure from [212]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

The data in the InPS Vision system are routinely entered by the patient's GP or the health care team, at the point of providing care, for consultations occurring at the participating practices [213]. The resulting clinical record includes information collected prospectively on demographics, lifestyles, biochemical analysis results, diagnoses, prescriptions, and referrals to secondary and tertiary care. When a patient is referred for secondary care, information from inpatient and outpatient care is usually sent back to the GP to be added to their clinical record. It should be noted that the availability of this information may differ from the information that is collected during primary care appointments (e.g. conditions added to the free text section of the clinical record would not be included in CPRD GOLD data; nor would discharge letters scanned and kept as attachments to the patient record).

Much of the information (but not all) recorded in the InPS Vision system uses version 2 Read codes [214]. The Read code classification includes approximately 250,000 codes that allow for the recording of a wide range of information, such as diagnoses and symptoms, biochemistry laboratory results, tests, family history of diseases, therapeutic and surgical procedures and surgeries carried out, ethnicity, religion, occupation, social circumstances, and administrative details related to patient care. To enter data in the patient record during consultations, the GP searches for relevant codes using keywords, which prompts a list of potential codes with the keyword in their description

to come up. The GP then selects the preferred code from the list; the consultation manager interface also includes a section for comments where free text can be added. If no suitable code is found, a new code may be added. Data can also be added retrospectively, with a past event date, which in this case will be different from the system date. Prescription data are recorded at the point of issue using product codes, which are based on the drugs listed in the British National Formulary (BNF).

The CPRD periodically retrieves data and processes and releases data for wider use in public health research. Procedures are in place to ensure the confidentiality of the data. Identifiable information in the patients' EHR, such as name, address, telephone number, or day and month of birth in adults, is sent to a trusted third party (NHS Digital, the statutory body in England that is allowed to receive identifiable patient information), where a set of anonymised patient identifiers are generated. CPRD automatically retrieves from the system InPS Vision anonymised clinical data, to which the anonymised patient identifiers are added. The information in the free text notes added by the GP is not sent to CPRD, as this may contain identifiable information. This process ensures that there is total separation, with the trusted third party never seeing the patient medical information, and CPRD never receiving information that allows for patients to be identified.

# 4.2.2 Quality control

At CPRD, the quality of the data is checked both at patient- and practice-level. Data quality controls at patient-level include internal consistency checks such as having a date of registration with the practice that is later than the date of birth, among others (Table 4.1). At the end of the checks, a flag is added to the data indicating whether the patient record failed one or more of the checks; patients who pass all checks are considered to have medical records of acceptable data quality for research purposes.

**Table 4.1**Example of internal consistency checks performed by CPRD on the data collectedfrom the InPS Vision software.

Valid gender and birth date
First registration posterior to birth date
Current registration date:
Valid
After the first registration date
After date of birth
Permanent registration with the practice
Transferred out of the practice & reason: either both missing or both completed
If transferred out of the practice, dates consistent with registration and birth dates.
Valid event date recording (e.g. not a future date, or <1 <sup>st</sup> Jan 1980).

The data are also checked at practice-level for completeness, internal consistency and external validity. Examples of these checks at practice-level include assessing whether there are temporal gaps in the data provided by the practice to CPRD, and whether the mortality rates observed for patients registered with the practice lie within reasonable expected limits. A field is also added to the data indicating the date after which the data in that practice is considered of sufficient quality to be used for research (termed by CPRD as the "up to standard date").

### 4.2.3 Representativeness of the broad UK general population

The representativeness of the data included in the CPRD GOLD primary care database needs some consideration. In the UK, virtually all inhabitants are registered with a GP practice [215]. Access to NHS services is free of charge, following the principle that 'access to NHS services is based on clinical need, not an individual's ability to pay' [216]. The GP practices throughout the UK provide the first level of care to the population within the NHS, and are responsible for providing preventive care, treatment for suitable illnesses and act as gatekeepers to other levels of care. The data gathered by CPRD comes from computer software systems that are used in clinical practice to create, add and manage information in patients' EHR. As of January 2019, the CPRD GOLD primary care database included data from 18.4 million patients from 761 GP practices. Within each contributing GP practice, patients may opt out of having their data transferred to other entities or used for research purposes, which is a threat to the population-based nature of the data. In July of 2013, the CPRD GOLD primary care database included data for 11.3 million patients, of which 4.4 million were alive and registered (7% of the UK population). It is known that the distribution of the GP practices contributing data in the most recent years is not geographically representative of the UK as a whole (Figure 4.1); this may potentially lead to overrepresentation of wealthier areas in the UK. Nevertheless, patients in the database were shown to be broadly representative of the UK general population in terms of age, sex and ethnicity [210, 213].

### 4.2.4 Validity and completeness of the data

The completeness and validity of the information in CPRD GOLD primary care database is of major interest. The CPRD GOLD primary care database has been shown to capture more than 90% of the cancer diagnoses registered in the cancer registries (gold-standard) [217]. The completeness of the information on mental health

is more difficult to ascertain, as a gold standard for comparisons has not been available until recently (the CPRD Mental Health Dataset). The absence of a Read code for a mental health condition is typically interpreted as evidence of the patient not having the condition, but one has to acknowledge the potential for low sensitivity [213]. For other types of information, such as weight or smoking habits, absence of the information may be related to the information itself; for example, it is plausible that obese patients are more likely to have their weight recorded compared to those who have healthy weight [213].

Several studies employed strategies to validate outcomes defined in CPRD primary care data [218, 219]. These most often ascertained positive predictive values (i.e. the proportion of cases who are confirmed to have the disease), using as gold-standard information requested from the patient's GP (e.g. GP questionnaires) [219]. The proportion of cases confirmed varies by disease type; for infectious disease, neoplasms, skin diseases, genitourinary conditions, congenital disorders and external causes of morbidity and mortality the median proportion of cases confirmed was >90% [219]. For mental and behavioural disorders, the median proportion of cases confirmed in 20 validation studies was 83.0% (95%CI: 52-100) [219].

# 4.2.5 Linkage to other sources of data

The data in the CPRD GOLD primary care dataset are linked to other databases containing health care data at individual patient level [220]. Examples of established linkages include the Hospital Episode Statistics Admitted Patient Care (HES-APC), the National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service data from Public Health England, and Office of National Statistics (ONS) death registration data.

The data flow from the other data sources is similar to the one described for the CPRD GOLD primary care database. Data from the external sources is sent to CPRD, alongside a link identifier, at the same time that identifiable patient data (NHS number, date of birth, postcode, gender and link identifier) is sent to a trusted third party (NHS Digital). The trusted third party then links the data of the two datasets, and generates the IDs that allow the sources of data to be linked. Once the process is completed, the identifiers are provided to CPRD, allowing for in-house linkage of the data [220].



**Figure 4.2** Data flow for primary care data linkage. Figure from [220]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

The linkage of the data is done using deterministic methods, involving eight steps with decreasing specificity [220]. The first step involving matching on exact NHS number, gender, date of birth and postcode; patients who do not match on all of these characteristics between the two databases are considered for the subsequent steps. In the second step, the criterion for post code match is dropped, and during the following five steps the linkage is attempted after removing one or more variables. The last step involves searching for the same exact NHS number only [220]. Linkage between the CPRD GOLD June 2018 version and HES showed that over 95% of the patients eligible for linkage are linked within the first two steps (67.6% in the first, and 28.7% in the second).

It should be noted that linkage is only available for GP practices geographically located in England and that consented to take part in the linkage scheme (80% of the practices in England, 60% of those in the UK). In the practices participating in the linkage scheme, patients can opt out of having their data linked to other sources of data.

# 4.3 Hospital Episodes Statistics, Admitted Patient Care

The HES database includes information on all contacts with NHS hospitals in England, including outpatients appointments, hospitalizations and emergency visits [221]. All patients receiving care in NHS Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) in England are present in HES, including private patients treated in the NHS, residents outside of England treated in England, and care outside of the NHS but funded by the NHS [221].

This database is maintained by NHS Digital, and extraction from the main database occurs on a monthly basis [221]. There are different versions of the database, which differ on the type of contact with the hospital: accident and emergency attendance, outpatient appointments and attendances, critical care, and admitted patient care (APC); the latter includes inpatients and day case admissions to the hospital [221].

The research in this thesis used data from the HES-APC database. Data on APC have been collected since 1989, and are available for linkage with CPRD GOLD primary care data from 1997 onwards. In this thesis, HES-APC set 16 data were used linked to the CPRD GOLD primary care database, covering the period between April 1997 and December 2017 [222]. The linkage process has been described before (see section 4.2.5). Patients in the HES-APC database may not be eligible for linkage with CPRD primary care data, as they may live outside England and attended care in England, or have invalid identifiers for linkage. Source files were available identifying each patients' eligibility for linkage.

The data in the HES-APC are organized by hospitalisations and episodes. Hospitalisations are defined by the period between admission and discharge from the hospital [222]. Episodes are defined by the period during which a patient is under continuous care of one consultant in one health care institution [222]. Patients may be transferred to the care of another consultant during the same hospital stay, generating another episode within the same hospitalisation [222]. Each episode may be recorded with up to 20 diagnosis, which are coded using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10<sup>th</sup> revision (ICD-10) [223].

The data from this database were used to identify outcomes of self-harm, which have been described as imperfectly recorded in the CPRD GOLD primary care database [224].

### 4.4 Index of Multiple Deprivation

The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) is a widely used measure of relative deprivation in England, and similar measures are available for Wales [225], Scotland [226] and Northern Ireland [227]. This measure was used to account for the potential confounding effect of socio-economic status (*vide* Chapters 6 and 7).

The IMD is an ecological measure based on the premise that deprivation can be measured by different dimensions at small area level, and that individuals living in these areas share these dimensions of deprivation [228].

The IMD is calculated for small geographical areas including approximately 1,500 residents, which are known as Lower-layer Super Output Areas (LSOA). Based on the 2011 Census, there were 32,844 LSOA in England. Mathematically, the IMD is calculated by using a set of indicators (at LSOA level) to produce information for seven domain indices that are related to material deprivation (income deprivation; employment deprivation; education, skills and training deprivation; health deprivation and disability; crime; barriers to housing and services; and living environment deprivation) [228]. The data from these seven domains are combined using specified weights to produce a single measure for each LSOA. The 32,844 LSOA are then sorted by measure of deprivation, and assigned a rank from one to 32,844, creating a relative measure of deprivation. For research purposes, IMD is typically categorised in percentile-based groups (e.g. quintiles) [228]. The IMD has been estimated periodically, and the most recent version (2015) has been used for this thesis.

All GP practices contributing with data to the CPRD GOLD primary care database can be assigned IMD rank based on the GP practice post-code. This has been used in several studies as a proxy measure for socio-economic status at individual level because it is available for all patients, even though the ecological fallacy might apply (i.e. the individual experience may be different that the group). IMD based on the LSOA of the individual patient's residential address allows for a finer adjustment for confounding by socio-economic status, even though it is still based on area and therefore may not correspond to the patient's true socio-economic status. IMD for patient postcode has the disadvantage of only being available for patients in England and whose data are eligible for linkage.

Data from the IMD at practice level were used in the analyses presented in Chapters 6 and 7, to adjust for the potential confounding effect of deprivation on the associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes and quality of
life. Patient level IMD were limited to patients eligible for linkage and used in sensitivity analyses only.

## 4.5 Office for National Statistics mortality data

The Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality data include all deaths occurring in the UK, and are the basis of the official mortality statistics issued on behalf of the UK government [229]. In the UK, by law, all deaths must be registered with the General Register Office within five days (8 in Scotland), and to do so, one needs to provide either a Medical Certificate of Cause of Death issued by the attending doctor (most cases), or permission from the coroner to report the death, if that has been reported to a coroner [230]. Cremation or burial are not allowed before death registration [230].

The ONS mortality data include information entered in the death certificate by the doctor attending the deceased. This includes the primary cause of death, which is defined by the World Health Organization as 'the disease or injury which initiated the train of morbid events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury' [231], and space for up to 15 contributory causes of death.

Some deaths are referred to a coroner for investigation. Reasons for this include an unknown cause of death, suspicious or violent causes of death, possible suicide, among others [229]. The rules specify that the certifying doctor must have seen the deceased during the last two weeks of life to complete a certificate, otherwise it has to be referred to a coroner [229]. Deaths referred to the coroner are subsequently investigated, and assigned a primary cause of death, using post-mortem examinations to ascertain whether it was a natural death if needed [229]. In cases where a natural death cannot be unequivocally ascertained, there is a coroner's inquest and the primary cause of death, if ascertained, is registered later [229].

To assign a primary cause of death, the text of the death certificate is converted to ICD codes, using computerised algorithms [229]. Since 2010, the data have been coded using ICD-10 codes, with ICD-9 codes being used prior to that [223, 232].

Data on cause-specific deaths were used in this thesis to define suicide (one of the outcomes of interest, vide Chapter 6), which is poorly captured in the CPRD GOLD primary care database [224].

## 4.6 Patient-reported outcomes

HRQoL refers to how a patient *perceives* their overall health status, and is a multidimensional construct that encompasses physical, psychological, social and spiritual dimensions of well-being [233, 234]. Standard methods for HRQoL assessment involve collecting information directly from the patients using validated questionnaires [235]. A similar approach was used in this thesis. The following paragraphs described the scales that were used the study of patient-reported outcomes that assessed quality of life and symptoms of anxiety and depression.

## 4.6.1 Quality of Life

Ideally, a tool to measure HRQoL would include items for several dimensions of quality of life (e.g. physical, emotional, social, role performance, pain and other symptoms relevant to the patient population). In addition, the tools should produce the same results on repeated use of the instrument (reliability), measure the concept they intend to measure (validity), provide different results when circumstances change (sensitivity to change), be appropriate to the question being assessed (appropriateness to the question) and have the potential for clinical interpretability (practicality) [236, 237].

Several tools have been used to assess HRQoL in breast cancer survivors [238]. Some tools were developed to assess HRQoL during the main treatments for cancer, and include items that may not apply to long-term survivors (e.g. nausea secondary to cytotoxic drugs), besides lacking items that are specific to cancer survivors' long-term concerns such as fear of cancer recurrence. Recognising the need for tools that address concerns beyond the treatment phase of the disease, researchers have developed disease-specific tools specifically for long-term cancer survivors [239].

Chopra *et al* conducted a systematic review of validated quality of life instruments that have been used in studies of breast cancer survivors [239]. 10 instruments were identified; their properties are listed in Table 4.2 [239]. Most scales have been shown to have good reliability and validity, but few were ever tested for sensitivity to changes (responsiveness). This is an important disadvantage because breast cancer survivorship is a journey, and it is important that tools are able to detect changes in HRQoL [239].

**Table 4.2** Instruments identified by Chopra et al. as having been used to assess HRQoL in samples of breast cancer survivors, with respective domains and psychometric properties. Table adapted from [237]; reproduced under the terms of a CC BY license.

Instrument		HRQoL	domain			Properties	5
	Physical	Mental	Social	Spiritual	Reliability	Validity <sup>†</sup>	Responsiveness
BIRS		Ø	Ø	X	Internal consistency: 0.94	Convergent & divergent	Not Reported
CARES-SF	V	Ø	Ø	×	Internal consistency: 0.85-0.61	Concurrent	Not Reported
EORTC QLQ-30	V	Ø	Ø	×	Internal consistency >0.70	Content, concurrent, discriminant	Not Reported
EORTC QLQ-BR23	Ŋ	X	X	X	Internal consistency: 0.46-0.94	Content, construct, criterion-relate	Responsiveness in side effects & body image
QLI-CV	Ø	Ø	Ø		Internal consistency: 0.95	Concurrent (criterion related, r=0.80 construct.	Not Reported
FACT-B		V			Internal consistency: 0.90 Test- retest=0.85	Content, construct, concurrent (r=0.87), divergent, known group	Sensitive to 2-month changes
FACT-G		Ø	Ø	X	Internal consistency: 0.89 Test- retest=0.92	Content, construct, divergent, known group.	Not Reported
FACIT-SP	X	X	X	Ø	Internal consistency: 0.81-0.88	Discriminant, convergent	Not Reported
QOL-CS		Ø	Ø		Internal consistency: 0.93 Test-retest: 0.89	Content, concurrent (r=0.78), predictive, construct, discriminant	Not Reported
QLACS		Ø	V		Internal consistency: generic=0.95; cancer- specific=0.98	Concurrent, retrospective	Change in health status

BIRS = Body Image and Relationship Scale; CARES-SF = Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System Cancer -Short Form; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; EORTC QLQ-BR23 = European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer - Breast module; QLI-CV = Ferrans and Powers's Quality of Life Index -Cancer Version; FACT-B = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Breast; FACT-G = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General; FACIT-SP = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Spiritual Well Being Scale; QOL-CS = Quality of life-Cancer Survivor; QLACS = Quality of Life-Cancer Survivors.

<sup>+</sup> Construct validity assesses if a test measures what it aims to measure; it is composed of convergent and discriminant validity. Convergent validity refers to how well a scale is related to other measures of the same construct. Discriminant validity aims to assess that variables that should not be associated with a given factor, are found to not be associated in the study. Divergent validity aims to establish how one concept is different from the others included in the scale. Concurrent validity refers to the performance of a test against another test that has been previously validated.

Of the scales that addressed HRQoL specifically in cancer survivors beyond the treatment phase, the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) [240] showed good validity, reliability and responsiveness compared to the other scales. In addition, it was one of the only three instruments that included items for physical, mental, social and spiritual domains of HRQoL [239]. Further investigation showed that the QLACS scale was developed to take into account the specific needs of long-term cancer survivors (≥5 years), including issues that continue after treatment, new issues

that arise during the period post-cancer, late physical effects of the cancer treatments and positive aspects of surviving to cancer [240]. The QLACS scale has also been used to evaluate HRQoL in a sample of early post-treatment (18-24 months) breast cancer survivors [241]. This scale was therefore chosen to assess HRQoL in this thesis.

The QLACS scale includes 47 items, divided between seven generic and five cancerspecific domains (specific domains shown in Table 4.3) [240]. Answers to the QLACS scale are provided on an ordinal Likert-type of scale, with values for individual items ranging from 1 to 7. Breast cancer survivors were asked to reply to all 47 items. Women who never had cancer replied to the 28 items of the generic domains only.

Table 4.3 Items of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors scale grouped by domain.

Generic domains	
Negative feelings	
19 Bothered by mood swings	
7 Felt blue or depressed	
9 Worried about little things	
24 Felt anxious	
Positive feelings	
8 Enjoyed life	
28 Content with life	
6 Felt happy	
22 Had a positive outlook on life	
Cognitive problems	
3 Bothered by having a short attention span	
4 Had trouble remembering things	
2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration	
23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do	
Pain	
13 Bothered by pain preventing activities	
17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment	
27 Pain Interfered with social activities	
21 Had acres of pains	
Sexual Interest/Tunction	
26 Avoided covuel activity	
20 Avoided Sexual activity	
12 Dissellished with sex me	
11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to	
14 Felt tired a lot	
1 Had energy to do things wanted to do	
5 Felt fatigued	
Social avoidance	
18 Avoided social gatherings	
20 Avoided friends	
25 Reluctant to meet new people	
15 Reluctant to start new relationships	

(Continued)

Table 4.3 Items of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors scale grouped by domain.

Cancer-specific domains
Financial problems
43 Had money problems from cancer
45 Financial problems from loss of income due to cancer
30 Financial problems from cost of cancer surgery or treatment
37 Problems with insurance because of cancer
Benefits of cancer
40 Cancer helped recognize what important in life
41 Better able to deal with stress because of cancer
32 Cancer helped cope better w/problems
29 Appreciated life more because of cancer
Distress-family
34 Worried whether family had cancer causing genes
31 Worried family members were at risk for cancer
42 Worried family should have genetic tests - cancer
Appearance
35 Felt unattractive because of cancer or its treatment
33 Self-conscious about appearance because of cancer
44 Felt treated differently because of changes in appearance
38 Bothered by hair loss from cancer treatments
Distress-recurrence
39 Worried about cancer coming back
46 When felt pain, worried it was cancer again
36 Worried about dying from cancer
47 Preoccupied with concerns about cancer

## 4.6.2 Symptoms of anxiety and depression

Symptoms of anxiety and depression were assessed with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [242]. This scale has been widely used in samples of the general population as well as hospitalized patients, as it excludes somatic symptoms of anxiety/depression that may be disease manifestations. This scale has been validated for use in primary care [243] and was used in primary care studies in the UK [244-246].

HADS is a 14-item self-reported screening tool capturing anxiety and depressive symptoms in the past week. It contains two subscales, one for anxiety (HADS-A) and another for depression (HADS-D), with seven items each [242]. The scale then uses cut-off points to identify patients who are likely to have clinically relevant symptoms of depression and anxiety.

## 4.7 Demographic data

Information on education, ethnicity and living arrangements (as a proxy for social support) were collected directly from the patients alongside the patient-reported outcomes, as this information is known to be incompletely recorded in the patients' EHR. Education was evaluated by qualifications held (up to GCSEs, O levels, or equivalent; A levels or equivalent; undergraduate degree; post-graduate degree; trade, technical or vocational training). Ethnicity categories were based on the 2011 census categories, without sub-specification of the White and Asian categories (White; Asian/Asian British; Black/African/Caribbean/Black British; Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups; Other ethnic group). Living arrangements options were: living with partner/spouse; living with family/friends; living alone; in a long term care facility; other).

## 4.8 Clinical data

Information regarding treatments received for breast cancer, stage of the disease at diagnosis, current status of the disease and menopausal status are also sub optimally reported in the EHRs. A questionnaire was therefore used to collect information directly from the patients.

## 4.9 Data collection procedures

The operational aspects of my study on patient-reported outcomes were conducted in collaboration with CPRD, to enable active data collection from CPRD participants (study protocol in Appendix 4). The Interventional Research Team is able to liaise with primary care practices actively contributing with data to the CPRD GOLD primary care database, and holds both CPRD GOLD and InPS Vision patient identifiers.

At the beginning of the study, the Interventional Research Team at CPRD identified a list of 253 GP practices that were actively contributing with data to CPRD in December of 2018, and invited them to participate in the study by email and post. The Interventional Research Team sent a further reminder to all those that did not reply.

I generated lists of potentially eligible patients from each GP practice using data from the CPRD GOLD primary care database, and passed these on to the Interventional Research Team at CPRD.

Practices that agreed to participate in the study were sent the list of potentially eligible patients from their practice, and asked to confirm each patient's eligibility. A

compensatory payment of £40 per list checked was provided in line with common practice for other studies at CPRD.

Upon receiving a list of eligible patients back fro the GPs, the Interventional Research Team at CPRD prepared packs containing all materials to be sent to the patients, and sent these by post to the GP practice. Each questionnaire included an InPS Vision software identifier, referring to the patient to be sent.

At the GP practice, members of staff used the InPS Vision software identify the patient's name and address, added these to the respective envelope, and posted these out to the patients.

Women received in their home address the envelope sent by their primary care practice, which contained an invitation letter, study participant information sheet, anonymised questionnaires and a pre-paid envelope to return the questionnaires. The envelopes were pre-addressed to the Interventional Research Team at CPRD.

Once the completed questionnaires were received at CPRD, the Interventional Research Team replaced the InPS Vision software identifier with the CPRD GOLD patient identifier, ensured that no identifiable information had been included, and sent the scanned questionnaires to me for data entry and analysis.

The data in the questionnaire were entered, cleaned and analysed. A broad description of the methods, and the full results of this study are reported in Chapters 6, 7 and 8.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 4.10 Summary

- The research in this thesis is largely based on data stored in the CPRD GOLD primary care database. This is one of the largest databases of primary care electronic health records in the world, with data for >18.6 million patients from over 760 GP practices in the whole UK.
- Information in the CPRD GOLD primary care database comes directly from GP practices that use InPS Vision software to manage patient records. The data are recorded during consultations by the patients' GP using Read codes, a clinical terminology that captures a wide range of information including symptoms, diagnoses, social characteristics, among others.
- Major strengths of the UK CPRD GOLD primary care database are the prospective nature of the data routinely collected at the point of patient care, the representativeness of the data in terms of age, sex and ethnicity of the broad UK population.
- Weaknesses of this data source include the lack of geographical representativeness, the potential for missing data, and misclassification of exposures and outcomes due to the lack of validated definitions of several conditions. Even though the validity of outcomes defined in the CPRD GOLD primary care database has been generally high, the variation by group of diseases warrants consideration.
- The CPRD GOLD primary care database can be linked to other sources of data using deterministic methods. The research in Chapter 6 includes analyses of primary care data linked to HES-APC, practice- and patient-level linked IMD, and ONS mortality data.
- Data on patient-reported outcomes were collected with collaboration with the Interventional Studies Team at CPRD and the patients' primary care practices.
- HRQoL was evaluated with the QLACS, which has been developed to take into account the specific needs of long-term cancer survivors. It includes 47 items, divided between seven generic and five cancer-specific domains.
- Depressive and anxiety symptoms were assessed with HADS. This is a 14-item self-reported screening tool addressing symptoms in the past week.

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 5 Review of the identification of mental health and quality of life-related outcomes in primary care databases in the UK

## 5.1 Introduction

Chapter 5 directly addresses Objective 2 of this thesis (i.e. to systematically review the strategies used to identify adverse mental health outcome in studies that used EHRs from primary care databases in the UK). This chapter arose from the necessity to better understand the definition of mental health conditions in CPRD using codelists. The CPRD GOLD primary care database contains a vast amount of information primarily collected to support patient care, and its use for research purposes needs careful consideration of the completeness and accuracy of the data. This systematic review aimed to summarise the lists of Read codes used in studies that looked at these outcomes before, as well as gather information on the results from validation studies carried out, and the range of clinical conditions that authors included in their definitions of the outcomes (e.g. bipolar disorder in depression studies). In addition to mental health outcomes selected from Chapter 3, I also reviewed studies of pain and fatigue, because these conditions affect a large proportion of breast cancer survivors (as described in Chapter 1) and are relevant to the HRQoL-related objectives in this thesis. The definition of the outcomes in the studies presented in Chapter 6, 7 and 8 were informed by this systematic review.

# 5.2 Systematic review protocol

The systematic review protocol is included in Appendix 2, as it was included in the supplementary materials to the paper (see below).

# 5.3 Article

The results of the systematic review were reported in an article that has been published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. The article is provided in the following pages; the lengthy supplementary materials referred to in this systematic review paper are provided in Appendix 2 of this thesis. This page was intentionally left blank.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

# **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed <u>for each</u> research paper included within a thesis.

# SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	1513226	Title	Ms
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel		
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira		
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life cancer	e in women w	ith history of breast
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran		

# If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

## SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	BMJ Open		
When was the work published?	March 2019		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

## SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	n/a
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	n/a
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)	HC, RW and KB designed the study. HC defined the search expressions, screened all references, extracted the data and contacted authors of the original studies. HS duplicated the screening of the references and data extraction. HC drafted the manuscript. All authors provided comments to the manuscript.

# SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

# **BMJ Open** Identification of mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK: a systematic review

Helena Carreira,<sup>9</sup><sup>1</sup> Rachael Williams,<sup>2</sup> Helen Strongman,<sup>1</sup> Krishnan Bhaskaran<sup>1</sup>

**To cite:** Carreira H, Williams R, Strongman H, *et al.* Identification of mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e029227. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2019-029227

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view please visit the journal (http:// dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029227).

Received 17 January 2019 Revised 21 March 2019 Accepted 3 June 2019



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Noncommunicable Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London, UK <sup>2</sup>Clinical Practice Research Datalink, Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London, UK

## Correspondence to

Helena Carreira; helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To summarise the definitions and combinations of codes used to identify outcomes of anxiety, depression, fatigue, cognitive dysfunction (including mild cognitive dysfunction and dementia), sexual dysfunction, pain, sleep disorders, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm in studies using electronic health records from primary care databases in the UK.

Design Systematic review. Data sources Medline, Embase and lists of publications

of the main primary care databases in the UK. **Eligibility criteria** Included data from a UK primary care database and studied outcome(s) of interest.

**Data extraction and synthesis** We abstracted information on the outcomes definition and codelists. When necessary, authors were contacted to request codelists.

**Results** 120 studies were eligible. Codelists were available for 17/42 studies of depression: 21/41 studies of fatal and non-fatal self-harm: 17/27 studies of dementia/ cognitive dysfunction; 5/12 studies of anxiety; 4/8 studies of pain; 3/6 studies of fatigue and sexual dysfunction; 1/2 studies of sleep disorders. Depression was most often defined using codes for diagnoses (37/42 studies) and/or antidepressants prescriptions (21/42 studies); six studies reported including symptoms in their definition. Anxiety was defined with codes for diagnoses (12/12 studies); four studies also reported including symptoms. Fatal self-harm was ascertained in primary care data linked to the Office for National Statistics mortality database in nine studies. Most studies of cognitive dysfunction included Alzheimer's disease, and vascular and frontotemporal dementia. Fatigue definitions varied little, including chronic fatigue syndrome, neurasthenia and postviral fatigue syndrome. All studies of sexual dysfunction focused on male conditions, principally erectile dysfunction. Sleep disorders included insomnia and hypersomnia. There was substantial variability in the codelists; validation was carried out i21/120 studies.

**Conclusions** There is a need for standardised definitions and validated list of codes to assess mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Primary care databases of electronic health records (EHRs) in the UK such as The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), QResearch or The Health Improvement

### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Comprehensive systematic review of the literature aiming at describing the definitions and combination of codes used to identify outcomes of mental health and quality of life in electronic health records databases in the UK.
- Potential for error in the selection of the eligible studies minimised by duplication of the screening.
- The authors of the original studies were contacted to obtain the list of Read codes used when these were not publicly available.
- We only considered definitions of study outcomes, and did not consider studies where mental health or quality of life variables were covariates or exposure variables, limiting the generalisability of our results to these other contexts.

Network (THIN) have been widely used to study mental health outcomes such as depression,<sup>1 2</sup> and other key aspects of quality of life (QoL), such as fatigue and pain,<sup>3 4</sup> even though the identification of patients with these conditions is not straightforward.

Strategies to identify patients with a given condition in the EHRs typically include generating lists of relevant codes, then searching the patients' record for these codes to identify symptoms, diagnoses, referrals, appointments for disease management and monitoring, and/or prescriptions of interest.<sup>5</sup> The process of developing a list of codes of interest, and deciding how to apply them, may be subjective. For example, a study on the selection of codes for stroke, a relatively well-defined clinical outcome, showed that researchers with clinical and epidemiological experience may have differing interpretations of the relevance of each code.<sup>6</sup> A systematic review on the identification of patients with cancer in UK primary care databases described several combinations of Read codes used across studies.<sup>7</sup> Estimates of validity of diagnoses in these databases have been generally high across disease types,<sup>89</sup> but the heterogeneity in the codelists raises issues of misclassification, and hampers

the comparability of studies using the same data to assess the same outcome.<sup>10</sup> The pattern of use of the codes by the general practitioners (GPs) also needs consideration. For example, in recording depression, it has been shown that GPs have switched from diagnostic to symptom codes in recent years<sup>11</sup>; this may have a large impact on outcome definitions based around diagnostic codes. In addition, outcome definitions using prescription data may lead to misclassification where drugs have multiple indications: for example, sertraline, paroxetine or escitalopram, among the most commonly used antidepressants, are also first-line treatments for generalised anxiety disorder<sup>12</sup>; and amitriptyline, a tricyclic antidepressant, is also a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain.<sup>13</sup>

Given the broad interest in mental health and QoL outcomes, and the strong potential for primary care data to contribute to studying these outcomes, our aim was to systematically review and summarise the strategies used to define such outcomes in previous studies, and the extent to which case definitions have been validated.

#### **METHODS**

This review followed the a priori defined methods specified in the systematic review protocol (online supplementary appendix 1).

#### **Outcomes of interest**

The outcomes of interest for this review were: anxiety, depression, fatigue, cognitive dysfunction, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder and fatal and non-fatal self-harm. We considered that a study provided data for cognitive dysfunction when dementia, mild cognitive impairment or single domains of cognitive function were studied (ie, attention, executive function, memory, language, motor and social). Composite outcomes of two or more of these outcomes (eg, psychological impairment defined by anxiety or depression) were also eligible.

#### Information sources and search strategy

We searched MEDLINE and Embase via Ovid, from inception up to 28 June 2018, to identify studies that involved EHRs from primary care databases and studied one of the outcomes of interest (see above). The search expressions are provided in online supplementary appendix 1, and combined terms to identify primary care databases, terms to identify mental health and QoL outcomes, and terms indicating UK-based research. The CPRD, THIN and QResearch list of publications, available in their websites, were manually revised to identify additional studies. The lists of bibliographical references of the studies considered eligible for the review were also screened by hand to identify additional studies.

#### **Studies eligibility**

We considered eligible the studies that used data from a primary care database that routinely gathers EHR data from primary care practices in the UK, and in which the outcome of interest was one of those of interest for this study (see list above). This included purely descriptive studies on the incidence/prevalence of the outcome and analytical studies where the condition of interest was one of the main outcomes of the study. Studies of primary care data linked to other sources of data, such as the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) or the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality data, were also considered eligible.

Abstracts from conferences were excluded, as it was unlikely that the methods section would provide sufficiently detailed information on the definition of the outcomes. Studies of pain caused by infectious agents (eg, herpes zoster) were excluded; similarly, studies of sleep apnoea and narcolepsy were excluded due to their unlikely psychological origin.<sup>14 15</sup> Studies reporting only on patterns of treatment of the conditions of interest were excluded, unless pharmacological treatment was clearly used as a proxy for the definition of the condition. Studies, where the outcome of interest was comorbidity, were also excluded. Where there were multiple studies from the same group of authors, we considered these separately, since the definition of the same outcomes could have been updated over time.

The eligibility of the studies was determined by two authors (HC and HS) reviewing all records retrieved from the publications databases. First, the title of each study was read to determine the eligibility for the review; when the information provided in the title was insufficient for a clear exclusion of the study, the study was considered for further assessment. Second, the full text of each study not previously excluded was read, in order to determine the eligibility. Disagreements over study eligibility between the two reviewers were resolved by discussion, including with a third researcher (KB or RW) where needed.

### Data acquisition and extraction

We abstracted data on study characteristics (title, study design), the primary care database used, any database(s) linked to the primary care data, outcome(s) reported, definition of the outcome(s) (ie, Read codes, drug prescriptions, International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes, etc) and any codelist available. When there were two or more definitions of the outcome (eg, used in sensitivity analyses), we abstracted all information but considered only the main outcome for data analysis in this review. We also abstracted data on whether the codelist had been validated, and any description related to the handling of past or prevalent (at baseline in cohort studies) episodes of these outcomes. We considered that the study had attempted to validate the list of codes when the results were compared with data from another source, or when outcomes were confirmed by enquiring the patients' GP or by reviewing the patients' medical record. The data extraction process was repeated by a second author (HS) for 10% of the papers included for each outcome, to check for reliability in the extraction process.

When a study did not provide the codelist for the definition of the outcome in the original publication or in a publicly available repository, we contacted the



Figure 1 Systematic review flow chart. CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink; THIN, The Health Improvement Network.

corresponding author of the study by email seeking this information (online supplementary appendix 2). In the case of emails that could not be delivered to the corresponding author, we searched the contact of another study author, usually the first or the last author, and addressed the email to her/him; if this failed to be delivered, no further attempt of contact was made. For all delivered emails, if no response was received within 2weeks, a follow-up email was sent.

## Data analysis

We produced descriptive tables showing the number and proportion of studies eligible for each outcome, by primary care database and codelist availability. We described, for each outcome, the types of codes used in the definition of the outcomes (eg, diagnosis codes, symptom codes, prescription codes). The lists of codes were also reviewed to assess the clinical characteristics of the disorders included (eg, whether mixed anxiety and depression was included in the definition of anxiety or depression); this was done by manually reviewing the list of codes to identify codes related to different clinical characteristics of the specific outcome. To describe the Read codes most commonly used to identify these outcomes in the data, we produced a list of Read codes sorted by number of studies that used the code. The results of the validation studies described in the original papers were reported descriptively.

### Patient and public involvement

No patients or public were involved in the design and conduct of this study.

## RESULTS

Of 5946 records initially identified in the bibliographical references search, 2979 were discarded as being duplicated, which left 2967 records to be assessed for eligibility (figure 1). The title assessment resulted in the exclusion of 2485 records, and 482 studies were considered for full-text assessment. Of these, 368 studies were excluded, mostly because they were abstracts from conferences or did not evaluate relevant outcomes. Six papers were identified from the screening of the references. A total of 120 studies were eligible for the systematic review; a list of codes were obtained for nearly half of the studies. The definitions and combinations of codes used to identify

Table 1 Characterist	tics o	f the studi	es inclu	ided in the s	ystem	atic review												
	Anxi	ety	Depre	ession	Anxi depr (com outc	ety and ession iposite ome)	Demen cogniti <sup>,</sup> impairn	ltia/ ve nent	Fatiç	ent	Pain		Sexu dysft (male	al inction	Sleep disorc	ders	Fatal <i>a</i> non-fa self-ha	and arm
Ι	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	N N	%	Z	8	°` Z	%	% N	
Total no of studies	12	100.0	42	100.0	. ⊲	100.0	27	100.0	9	100.0	ω	100.0	9	0.001	2	100.0	41 1(	0.00
Main primary care database																		
CPRD	7	58.3	24	57.1	0	0.0	21	77.8	2	83.3	2	62.5	4	66.7	-	50.0	31	75.6
PCCIU	0	0.0	-	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
THIN	5	41.7	10	23.8	-	50.0	5	18.5	-	16.7	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	9	14.6
Other	0	0.0	7	16.7	÷	50.0	-	3.7	0	0.0	ო	37.5	0	0.0	-	50.0	4	9.8
Outcome ascertained	usin	g linked da	ata (HES	S or ONS)														
Yes	-	8.3	2	4.8	0	0.0	2	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	22.0
No	11	91.7	40	95.2	⊲	100.0	25	92.6	9	100.0	œ	100.0	9	0.00	2	0.001	32	78.0
Code list availability																		
Total no of studies with code lists available	Q	41.7	17	40.5	2	100.0	17	63.0	<b>с</b>	50.0	4	50.0	ი	50.0	<del></del>	20.0	21	51.2
Stated in publication	2	16.7	7	16.7	-	50.0	10	37.0	-	16.7	2	25.0	2	33.3	-	50.0	17	41.5
Cited another paper or web repository	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	<del></del>	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Obtained from authors	ო	25.0	10	23.8	<del></del>	50.0	7	25.9	2	33.3	-	12.5	-	16.7	0	0.0	4	9.8
Total no of studies with code lists not available	~	58.3	25	59.5	0	0.0	10	37.0	ო	50.0	4	50.0	က	50.0	<del></del>	20.0	20	48.8
Cited another paper or web repository but couldn't obtain	<del></del>	8.3	-	2.4	0	0.0	÷	3.7	-	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	ი	7.3
Stated available on request but did not provide	2	16.7	4	9.5	0	0.0	ი	11.1	2	33.3	0	0.0	<del></del>	16.7	<del></del>	50.0	0	0.0
																	Conti	nued

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029227 on 2 July 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 3 July 2019 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 1 Continued																			
	Anxie	ety	Depr	ession	or Cc de A	nxiety and pression omposite tcome)	E C C	mentia/ gnitive pairment	Ea l	tigue	_ <u> </u>	ain		Sexu <i>ɛ</i> dysfuı (male)	al nction	Slee	p rders	Fata non self	al and -fatal -harm
	z	%	z	%	<b>z</b> 	%	<b>z</b>	%	z	%	z 	%		<b>N</b>	,0	z	%	z	%
Not mentioned in the paper and did not provide	4	33.3	19	45.2	0	0.0	9	22.2	0	0.0	7	4 50	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	17	41.5
Type of codes availat	ole*																		
Read/medical codes	5	100.0	4	82.4	0	100.0	17	100.0	с	100.0	V	100	0.0	3 1	0.00	-	100.0	ω	42.1
<b>OXMIS codes</b>	0	0.0	ო	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	C V	2 50	0.	0	0.0	0	0.0	00	42.1
ICD codes	-	20.0	0	4.8	0	0.0	2	11.7	0	0.0		0	0.	0	0.0	0	0.0	თ	47.4
Definition of the outo	ome†																		
Study described th included	lat co	des for d	iagnosis	were															
Yes [in isolation‡]	12	100.0	[5] 37	88.1	14] 2	100.0	[0] 27	100.0	[19] 6	100.0	Ξ	I		2	83.3 [2	2 2	100.0 [2	-	I
No	0	0.0	5	11.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	I	I		-	16.7	0	0.0	I	I
Unclear	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	Ι	Ι		0	0.0	0	0.0	I	I
Study described th included	lat co	des for s	ymptom	s were															
Yes [in isolation <sup>‡</sup> ]	4	33.3	9 (0)	14.3	[0] 2	100.0	[0] 6	22.2	[0] 5	83.3	3 [0]	3 100	.0 [6]	0	0.0 [0]	0	0.0 [0	'	
No	9	50.0	33	78.6	0	0.0	20	74.1	-	16.7	5	0	0.0	4	66.7	0	100.0	I	I
Unclear	N	16.7	ო	7.1	0	0.0	-	3.7	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	I	I
Study described th	iat pre	scription	is were i	ncluded															
Yes [in isolation <sup>‡</sup> ]	-	8.3 [(	o] 21	50.0	[6] 2	100.0	[0] 4	14.8	0 [0]	0.0	[0]	25	[0] 0.	с С	50.0 [1	0 [	0.0 [0	'	I
No	11	91.7	21	50.0	0	0.0	23	85.2	9	100.0	9	3 75	0.	е С	50.0	0	100.0	I	I
Unclear	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	ı.	I
Handling of outcome	S OCCI	urring pri	ior to stu	Idy perio	q														
Excluded	2	41.7	15	35.7	0	0.0	21	77.8	က	50.0		3 37	.5	-	16.7		50.0	7	17.1
Adjusted/matched for	2	16.7	ω	19.0	0	0.0	-	3.7		16.7	5	0	0.0	с С	50.0	0	0.0	10	24.4
Stratified results	-	8.3	ო	7.1	0	100.0	0	0.0	-	16.7	0	0	0.	с С	50.0	0	0.0	4	9.8
Not stated	ю	25.0	11	26.2	0	0.0	-	3.7	0	0.0		3 37	.5	0	0.0	-	50.0	15	36.6
Not applicable §	N	16.7	œ	19.0	0	0.0	4	14.8	0	33.3		25	0.	0	0.0	0	0.0	o	22.0
																		ပိ	ntinued

5

6

	Anx	iety	Depr	ession	Anx dep (con	iety and ression nposite :ome)	Demer cogniti impairi	ntia/ ive ment	Fatig	en	Pain		Sexu dysfi (male	al inction	Sleep disor	ders	Fatal non-fa self-h	and atal arm
	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	° Z	,0	z	%	z	%	° Z	,0
Validation of list of c	sodes																	
Yes	S	16.7	5	11.9	-	50.0	5	18.5	0	0.0	e	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	22.0
None stated	10	83.3	37	88.1	-	50.0	22	81.5	و	0.00	S	62.5	O	0.001	<ul><li>N</li></ul>	100.0	32	78.0
Study aiming at validating the outcome	0	0.0	0	0.0	-	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	ი	7.3
*Refers only to stud †Defined based on t when this informatic	ies pro the de	oviding cc scription explicitly	of the oustand	A study m <i>e</i> utcome pro by the auth	ay incluivivided in ors. Pa	de more th ר the origin in was con	an one t al studie sidered	ype of code ss. Studies v a symptom	es. were co atic out	insidered t come, reg	o have ardless	included of how it	sympto was d	oms in the escribed in	definit the o	tion of the c riginal publ	outcom	les Is.

CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink; HES, Hospital Episodes Statistics; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ONS, Office for National Statistics; OXMIS, Sbepends on study design and outcome. For example, it includes studies describing the epidemiology of first ever diagnoses or focusing on completed suicide only. The number of studies where the definition of the outcome included only this category of codes is provided in squared brackets.

Research Data Set; THIN, The Health Improvement Network.

Oxford Medical Information System; PCCIU, Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit

mental health and OoL outcomes from UK primary care databases were heterogeneous for all outcomes; there was particular variability in the inclusion/exclusion of codes for symptoms of the mental disorders. Prescriptions were not frequently used as proxy for mental disorders. Validation efforts were rarely employed. Detailed results for each outcome are provided below.

## Anxietv

Twelve studies had anxiety as an outcome (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 1); of these, two studied panic only.<sup>2</sup> <sup>16</sup> The list of codes used to identify outcomes of anxiety was available for 5 of the 12 studies (41.7%); in one study, the cases of anxiety were identified in CPRD data linked to HES. All 12 studies included codes for diagnosis of anxiety, and 4 (33.3%) included also codes for anxiety symptoms. Prescriptions were considered in the definition of the outcome in one study only (8.3%).

Of the five studies for which codelists were available, five included codes for generalised anxiety disorder (100%), four for phobia (80.0%), four for panic disorder/attacks (80.0%), three for mixed anxiety and depression (60.0%), and two for stress-related disorders (40.0%) (table 2). Codes for post-traumatic stress disorder and obsession-compulsion were less often included (one study each, 20.0%).

Only one study reported including drugs prescriptions in the definition of the outcome<sup>17</sup>; this considered diazepam and lorazepam only (table 3).

Two studies<sup>2 18</sup> assessed the validity of the codelists (table 4). The proportion of cases confirmed was reported in one study: 73.5% for cases treated with anxiolytics, antidepressants and hypnotics, and 89.6% in those not pharmacologically treated.<sup>18</sup>

Online supplementary appendix 4 table 1 provides the list of Read codes used to identify patients with anxiety in the eligible studies; online supplementary appendix 4 table 2 provides the list of ICD-10 codes.

## **Depression**

Forty-two studies identified outcomes of depression (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 2). The list of codes used to identify outcomes of depression was available for 17 of the 42 studies (40.5%); 2 studies identified cases of depression in primary care data linked to HES data, using ICD-10 codes. Six studies defined depression by proxy of antidepressants intake only; the remaining 36 studies described to have included codes for diagnoses of depression and 6 (14.3%) studies also considered symptoms of depression in the definition of the outcome. Fifteen studies (35.7%) reported having excluded patients with history of depression.

Of the 17 studies for which the codelists were available, 10 included codes for mixed anxiety and depression (58.8%), 4 for bipolar disorder (23.5%) and 3 for depression in dementia (17.6%) (table 2).

Antidepressant prescriptions, in isolation or combination with diagnostic/symptoms Read codes, were considered in the identification of patients with depression in 21 studies (50.0%); in six studies, depression was solely 
 Table 2
 Clinical characteristics of the outcomes of interest

 in the studies for which the list of codes was available

o/ e

Study included codes for	N	% of total with code lists available
Anxiety	5	100.0
Generalised anxiety disorder	5	100.0
Panic disorder/attacks	4	80.0
Phobia	4	80.0
Mixed anxiety and depression	3	60.0
Stress-related disorders	2	40.0
Obsession-compulsion	1	20.0
Post-traumatic stress disorder	1	20.0
Depression	17	100.0
Unipolar depression	17	100.0
Depression with psychotic symptoms	14	82.4
Mixed anxiety and depression	10	58.8
Bipolar disorder	4	23.5
Depression in dementia	3	17.6
Dementia/cognitive impairment	17	100.0
Alzheimer's disease	13	81.3
Vascular dementia	13	81.3
Frontotemporal dementia	12	75.0
Lewy bodies disease	11	68.8
Mild cognitive impairment only		17.6
Fatigue	3	100.0
Chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalitis	3	100.0
Neurasthenia	3	100.0
Post viral fatigue syndrome	3	100.0
Fibromyalgia	2	66.7
Pain	4	100.0
Chest pain	1	25.0
Chronic widespread pain	1	25.0
Musculoskeletal pain	1	25.0
Unspecified abdominal pain	1	25.0
Sexual dysfunction (male)	3	100.0
Erectile dysfunction	3	100.0
Other male sexual dysfunctions	1	33.3
Sleep disorder	1	100.0
Insomnia	1	100.0
Hypersomnia	1	100.0
Fatal and non-fatal self-harm	21	100.0
Completed suicide	17	80.9
Completed suicide only	8	38.1
Completed and attempted suicide only	6	28.6

Continued

Table 2   Continued		
Study included codes for	N	% of total with code lists available
Completed and attempted suicide, and self-harm	4	19.1
Included deaths of undetermined intent	7	33.3
Attempted suicide/self-harm	4	19.1

defined by the prescription of antidepressants (table 3). The list of antidepressant categories was seldom provided; of the studies that reported this information, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors were the group most often considered (six studies), followed by monoamine oxidase inhibitors and tricyclic and related antidepressants drugs (three studies each).

Five studies (11.9%) assessed the performance of the list of codes to identify patients with depression (table 4). The proportion of cases confirmed was reported by two studies only:  $83.3\%^{19}$  and  $89.6\%^{20}$ 

The list of Read codes used to identify patients with depression is provided in online supplementary appendix 4 tables 3 and 4 provides the list of ICD-10 codes.

### **Composite outcome of anxiety and depression**

Two studies provided data for composite outcomes of anxiety and depression (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 3). The codelist was available for the two studies. The studies reported including codes for symptoms as well as diagnosis of anxiety and depression, and included prescriptions of antidepressants and antianxiety drugs in the definition of the outcome.

John *et al*<sup>21</sup> compared the performance of 12 different algorithms to identify patients with anxiety and depression in the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage Databank; the positive predictive value of the Read codes for anxiety and depression diagnoses, symptoms and treatments, against the five-item Mental Health Inventory (gold standard), varied between 61% and 76%<sup>21</sup> (table 4). The list of Read codes used to identify patients with composite outcomes of anxiety and depression is provided in online supplementary appendix 4 table 5.

# Cognitive dysfunction (including mild cognitive dysfunction and dementia)

Twenty-seven studies reported outcomes of dementia or cognitive function (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 4). The codelists were available for 17 studies (63.0%); in two studies dementia was ascertained in primary care data linked to other sources of data. All studies included codes for diagnosis of dementia or cognitive impairment, and six studies (22.2%) reported to have included also codes for symptoms of dementia. Twenty-one studies (77.8%) referred to have excluded

Table 3         Pharmacological categories used in the studies that used d interest	rug prescriptions to identify patien	ts with the outcome of
Outcome	No of studies	%
Anxiety	12	100.0
Studies of anxiety that used drugs prescriptions only	0	0.0
Studies of anxiety that used drugs prescriptions	1	8.3
Diazepam and lorazepam	1	8.3
Depression	42	100.0
Studies of depression that used drugs prescriptions only	6	14.3
Studies of depression that used drugs prescriptions	21	50.0
Antidepressants, categories not further specified	15	35.7
Antidepressants, categories further specified	6	14.3
Tricyclic and related antidepressant drugs	3	7.1
Monoamine oxidase inhibitors	3	7.1
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	6	14.3
Other antidepressant drugs	3	7.1
Dementia	27	100.0
Studies of dementia that used drugs prescriptions only	0	0.0
Studies of dementia that used drugs prescriptions	4	14.8
Anticholinesterases	4	14.8
Dopaminergic drugs	4	14.8
Pain	8	100.0
Studies of pain that used drugs prescriptions only	2	25.0
Studies of pain that used drugs prescriptions	2	25.0
Analgesics, not otherwise specified	2	25.0
Antidepressants	2	25.0
Antiepileptics	2	25.0
Anaesthetics	2	25.0
Sexual dysfunction (male)	6	100.0
Studies of sexual dysfunction that used drugs prescriptions only	1	16.7
Studies of sexual dysfunction that used drugs prescriptions	3	50.0
Phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors	2	33.3
Prostaglandin analogues and prostamides	1	16.7

patients with prior diagnoses of dementia from longitudinal analyses.

Of the 17 studies for which the codelists were available, 13 reported codes for Alzheimer's disease (81.3%), 13 for vascular dementia (81.3%), 12 for frontotemporal dementia (75.0%) and 11 for Lewy bodies disease (68.8%) (table 2). Three studies reported data for cognitive impairment without dementia (17.6%).

Four studies (14.8%) used prescriptions in the identification of patients with dementia; all four included anticholinesterases and dopaminergic agents (table 3).

Five studies<sup>22-26</sup> involved validation of the list of codes; the proportion of cases confirmed varied between 74% and 100% (table 4).

The list of Read codes used in the studies is provided in online supplementary appendix 4 table 6; the list of ICD-10 codes is provided in online supplementary appendix 4 table 7.

## Fatigue

Six studies had fatigue as the outcome (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 5). All studies considered codes for diagnoses of fatigue, and five studies also described including codes for symptoms of fatigue. The list of codes use to identify patients with fatigue was provided in three studies (50.0%).

The three studies for which the codelist was available included codes for chronic fatigue syndrome, neurasthenia and postviral fatigue syndrome (table 2). Fibromyalgia was included in two studies (66.7%). None of the

Table 4         Methods and results of the validation of the outcomes reported in the original studies			
Outcome and study authors	Validation method	# case validations completed/# case validations attempted	% of cases confirmed
Anxiety			
Martín-Merino <i>et al,</i> 2010 <sup>18</sup>	GP questionnaire	135/140	Among pharmacologically treated: 73.5%; Among not pharmacologically treated: 89.6%.
Meier <i>et al,</i> 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Record review	nr/nr	nr
Depression			
Becker, 2011	Sensitivity analysis with different definitions	nr/nr	nr
Hagberg, 2016	Record review	nr/nr	nr
Martín-Merino et al, 2010 <sup>18</sup>	GP questionnaire	135/140	89.6%
Meier <i>et al</i> , 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Record review	nr/nr	nr
Yang et al, 2003 <sup>19</sup>	Record review	30/nr	83.3%
Anxiety and depression (cor	nposite outcome)		
John, 2016	Compared 12 EHR algorithms to results of the Mental Health Inventory , a subscale of SF-36	2799*	Between 61% and 76%, depending on the algorithm.
Dementia/cognitive impairm	ent		
Imfeld <i>et al</i> , 2013 and Imfeld <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>22 23</sup>	GP questionnaire	nr/120	Alzheimer's disease: 79%; Vascular dementia: 74%.
Dunn <i>et al</i> , 2005 <sup>24</sup>	GP asked to confirm diagnosis	50/200	100%
Dunn et al, 2005 <sup>26</sup>	GP questionnaire	95/~100	83%
Strom <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>25</sup>	GP questionnaire	86/100	88.4%
Strom <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>25</sup>	Review of free text	1047/1048	1.5% patients excluded as not having the diagnosis; 42.4% confirmed as having definite memory loss, 36.8% possible memory loss, 3.2% undetermined and 16.0% unknown.
Pain			
Hall <i>et al,</i> 2013 <sup>4</sup>	GP questionnaire	48/54	56%
Mansfield <i>et al,</i> 2017 <sup>27</sup>	EHR data linked to self-reported pain status collected by postal questionnaire	1780 <sup>*</sup>	97%
Becker, 2008	GP questionnaire	176/200	86.4%
Self-harm			
Thomas <i>et al</i> 2013 <sup>29</sup>	Comparison of cases of suicide and self-harm identified in CPRD with Read codes, with the cases identified in CPRD data linked to HES data, and published self-harm incidence data.	74236	68.4%
Suicide (attempted and completed)			
Hagberg, 2016	Record review	nr/nr	nr
Haste, 1998	GP asked to confirm suicides	77% of uncertain deaths/nr	82%
Jick, 1995	Record review	nr/nr	nr
Meier <i>et al</i> , 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Record review	nr/nr	nr

Continued

	ω
	Ż
	2
	В
	Ð
	Ľ
	5
	F
	š
	Ě
	ŏ
	as
	-
	2
	ğ
	₫
,	₫.
	g
	en
	Ň
	2
	φ
	2
	š
	N
	2
	ĭ
	N
	긑
•	<
	22
	ž
	<u> </u>
	2
	₹
	릵
	ğ
	e
	<u>_</u>
	2
	З
	₫
1	ġ
	Ъ
,	3
	8
	Φ
	5
	Ś
	0
	9
	2
	З
	ω
	۲
•	<
	2
	ž
	90
1	Ž
0	ç
	ß
	-
	g
	ង្គ័
	e Q
	σ
`	<
	ğ
•	₹
¢	ā
	_

Table 4 Continued			
Outcome and study authors	Validation method	# case validations completed/# case validations attempted	% of cases confirmed
Schuerch, 2016	Outcomes identified in CPRD were compared with those identified in CPRD linked to HES and ONS data.	nr/nr	Compared with CPRD data, the frequency of the outcomes in linked data was approximately three times higher.
Yang et al, 2003 <sup>19</sup>	Record review	30/nr	83.3%
Suicide (completed)			
Arana, 2010	GP questionnaire and record review	nr/132	97%
Arana, 2010	GP questionnaire and record review	nr/86	87%
Hall, 2009 <sup>28</sup>	GP questionnaire and record review	33/33	21.2%
Thomas <i>et al,</i> 2013 <sup>29</sup>	Comparison of cases of suicide and self-harm identified in CPRD with Read codes, with the cases identified in CPRD data linked to ONS mortality data, and national suicide rates.	1767*	59.7% for men; 46.0% for women.

\*Validation attempted and completed for all patients identified in electronic health records database.

CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink; EHR, electronic health record; GP, general practitioner; HES, Hospital Episode Statistics; nr, not reported; ONS, Office for National Statistics; SF-36, 36-item Short Form Health Survey.

studies assessed the validity of the list of the codes. The list of Read codes used in the studies of fatigue is available in online supplementary appendix 4 table 8.

#### Pain

Pain was the outcome in eight studies (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 6). The list of codes was available for four of the eight studies. Of these four studies, three looked at pain by body site (ie, chest, abdominal, musculoskeletal pain), one study studied widespread body pain (table 2). Two studies included drugs in the identification of patients with pain; all considered antiepileptics (in the absence of codes for an epilepsy diagnosis), anaesthetics, antidepressants and analgesics (table 3).

Three studies validated the list of patients selected with the codelist (table 4). The proportion of cases confirmed varied between 56% and 86.4%. One study compared pain recorded in the EHR with pain reported in a survey; in 97% of the self-reported cases of pain, there was an entry in the EHR.<sup>27</sup>

Online supplementary appendix 4 table 9 provides the list of codes used in the original studies.

### **Sexual dysfunction**

Six studies had sexual dysfunction as an outcome, all of which focused on male sexual dysfunction (table 1, online supplementary appendix 3 table 7). Three studies provided codelists (50.0%). Of these, all included codes for erectile dysfunction and one study included codes for other male sexual dysfunctions (table 2). Three studies included considered the prescription of drugs sufficient to ascertain the outcome; two studies considered phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors (table 3). No study validated the list of codes used. The list of Read codes used in the original studies is available in online supplementary appendix 4 table 10.

### **Sleep disorders**

Two studies were eligible for sleep disorders (table 1, online supplementary appendix 3 table 8); the two studies included diagnoses of insomnia, and one included hypersomnia as well (50%) (table 2). The list of codes was available for one study. No validation was reported. Online supplementary appendix 4 table 11 provides the list of Read codes used in the original study.

### Fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Forty-one studies had outcomes related to fatal and non-fatal self-harm (table 1 and online supplementary appendix 3 table 9). The list of codes used to define the outcomes was available for 21 studies (51.2%); 9 studies reported using ICD-10 codes.

Of the 21 studies for which the codelist was available, 17 studies (80.9%) included completed suicide, while 4 studies focused on attempted suicide only (19.1%). Of the 17 studies including completed suicide as an outcome, eight reported only completed suicides, six considered completed and attempted suicides and four included complete and attempted suicide, as well as selfharm (table 2). All studies where outcomes were identified using primary care data linked to ONS mortality data (gold standard) considered deaths recorded as of undetermined intent in the definition of suicide.

Nine studies involved some method of validation of the list of cases identified via code search (table 4). Four studies referred to have revised the clinical record of the patient to determine the final outcome and two studies asked the GPs to confirm the events. The proportion of cases confirmed varied between 21.2% and 97%. Hall<sup>28</sup> assessed the validity of cause of death recording in the THIN primary care database through search of the free text and death certificate review; the underlying cause of death registered in the death certificate was listed as the cause of death in the EHR in 70% of the cases. Thomas *et al*<sup>29</sup> compared the ascertainment of cases of suicide and self-harm using Read codes in CPRD, with those ascertained when data from HES and ONS mortality data were available. 26.1% of the cases of suicide identified in the ONS mortality data were registered in the CPRD primary care database. HES was considered the gold standard for self-harm; 68.4% of the cases of self-harm in HES were identified as such in CPRD.

Online supplementary appendix 4 table 12 provides the list of Read codes used to identify outcomes of fatal and non-fatal self-harm in primary care data; online supplementary appendix 4 table 13 includes the lists of ICD-10 codes using in studies of linked data.

## DISCUSSION

#### **Results overview**

This review summarised the definitions and combinations of codes used to identify outcomes of anxiety, depression, dementia and cognitive impairment, fatigue, pain, male sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder and self-injurious behaviour in primary care databases of patients in the UK. The list of codes used in the original studies was obtained for approximately half of the papers; the lack of detailed information on the definition of the outcomes in most studies raises important questions as to whether studies can be replicated by others. In the studies where the codelist was available, for all outcomes, there was substantial heterogeneity in the type of codes included (eg, diagnoses and symptoms) and drugs selected to identify outcomes; for the remaining studies, the details provided in the original publications suggest a similar pattern. We also noted considerable variability in the clinical definition of some outcomes (eg, inclusion/ exclusion of bipolar disorders in studies of depression). Validation of codes used to identify these outcomes was rarely carried out; where done, positive predictive values of case definitions were variable but mostly above 80%. To overcome these issues in the current context of limited number of studies with validation efforts, it is imperative that researchers develop, validate and make publicly available code lists for these outcomes.

### **Strengths and limitations**

This review is based on an extensive search of the studies involving EHRs in the UK. Errors in study selection and data extraction were minimised by the independent assessment of the studies by two investigators. We contacted the authors of all original studies where the list of codes had not been provided in the original publication to seek this information; this largely increased the number of studies for which lists of codes were available, and contributed to a more detailed characterisation of the combination of codes used to define mental health outcomes in primary care databases of EHR in the UK.

However, this review has limitations. Some relevant studies may have been missed due to imperfect search terms, as there is no Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) term for the primary care databases, and studies could be potentially missed if the keywords did not appear in the title and abstract, or due to inaccurate indexing in the publications database. We attempted to minimise the risk of missing potential eligible studies by using broad search terms incorporating both indexing terms and keywords, two databases with different indexing systems, and an additional manual check of the eligible studies and list of bibliographical references from the main EHR databases. We only considered studies where mental health or OoL variables were the outcomes of interest, limiting generalisability to other contexts. For example, we excluded studies where these variables were covariates because we expected that detailed information about covariate definitions would rarely be available. We also excluded studies where the mental health or QoL variable was used to define the patient population (eg, a study of risk of stroke in depressed patients), on the basis that decisions about how to define cases may have had quite different motivations, compared with studies where the condition was the outcome of the study, making case definitions difficult to meaningfully compare. We included studies that explicitly referred to using prescription data as a proxy for the definition of the condition (eg, treated depression assessed by proxy of antidepressant intake), but we acknowledge that it was not always clear to decide whether treatment of the condition was being used to define the condition. This could have resulted in a few studies erroneously excluded, even though this should have been minimised by the duplication of the search and study selection process by two researchers working independently, with discussion of all discordant results. It is unclear if the list of codes that could not be obtained differ in any systematic way to the ones obtained. Some authors expressed concerns over intellectual property when sharing the list of codes, and this may have been a bigger concern among those who put a lot of time and thought into their codelists; on the other hand, authors who have concerns about the quality of their codelist may have been less willing to share them. Lastly, we summarised the types of codes used to define the outcome based on what was stated in original studies' methods sections (because code lists were not available for all studies), but this may have been inaccurate, for example, some studies that reported in their methods only including diagnosis codes then provided code lists that appeared to also contain symptom codes.

#### Availability of the list of codes

The list of codes was provided in the original publications for just over a quarter of the studies. Contacting the authors resulted in codelists being made available for approximately half of the studies. For the remaining studies, the authors either could not be contacted (eg, moved institutions, retired) or could not locate the relevant codelist (including for some studies where the paper had stated that the codelist would be available on request). Provision of codelists within the publication or in a web repository would eliminate the difficulties of authors having to be contacted and archived codelists retrieved. Most journals currently accept codelists in online supplementary appendices. Codelists were hardly ever obtained for older studies, especially those published before 2000, when email addresses were not routinely included in the details of the corresponding authors. We searched for alternative contacts in these cases, but not always successfully.

# Variability in the definition of cases and codelists

## Anxiety and depression

Anxiety was often defined with diagnostic and symptoms codes, and in a few studies by the prescription of anxiolytics and hypnotics. Even though the sensitivity of symptoms codes for anxiety is expected to be high, the positive predictive value is unknown. Anxiolytics may also result in misclassification of the outcomes, as they are currently discouraged as first line of treatment for anxiety<sup>12</sup> and are often prescribed for management of other conditions such as insomnia. No study considered antidepressants in the definition of anxiety even though these are currently used to manage anxiety<sup>12</sup>; this may have resulted in cases of anxiety treated with antidepressants, and where no Read code was available, being missed.

The inclusion/exclusion of codes for symptoms may have a larger impact in the definition of depression, as it has been shown that GPs switched from diagnostic to symptoms codes after the introduction of performance indicators in the GP contract Quality and Outcomes Framework in 2006<sup>11</sup> and under claims that depression was being overdiagnosed.<sup>30 31</sup> Codelists solely relying on Read codes for diagnosis of depression are, therefore, likely to have low sensitivity, but the impact of including/ excluding a specific code will be variable, depending on how often that code is used by GPs at the point of providing care. In a few studies, depression was defined by proxy of antidepressant prescribing, alone or in combination with Read codes for symptoms/diagnosis. Considering antidepressant prescribing in the definition of depression has several issues. Certain types of antidepressants are currently used as first line of treatment for other conditions, such as pain and anxiety, and the studies relying solely on this information will be affected by misclassification of the outcome; some studies took this into account by excluding low dose tricyclic antidepressants, usually prescribed for pain, from their list of codes used to define depression.<sup>32–34</sup> Among the studies that did include antidepressants in their definition, there was heterogeneity in the group of antidepressants included, with some studies selecting only a few specific drugs commonly used for the treatment of depression. Studies defining depression by proxy of antidepressant prescribing only are likely also

to be affected by changes in the behaviour of antidepressant prescribing. In 2004, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued guidelines discouraging antidepressants for mild depression,<sup>35</sup> and in 2006 a performance indicator in the UK GP Ouality and Outcomes Framework pay for performance was introduced for depression severity assessed with validated symptoms questionnaires.<sup>36</sup> Following this measure, the proportion of new cases of pharmacologically treated depression decreased (from 73% in 2003 to 61% in  $2012^{37}$ ), but the proportion of recurrent episodes pharmacologically treated increased from 74.3% to 77.8%.<sup>37</sup> Treatment duration times with antidepressants also increased over time<sup>38</sup>; this may affect the number of new episodes of depression identified in the studies. In several studies, the authors chose to report separate results for antidepressant prescribing, without using this information to ascertain the outcome of depression<sup>39 40</sup>; this may partially be due to the difficulties of ascertaining the indications for which antidepressants were prescribed. John et al explored the indications of antidepressants; more than half of the new antidepressant prescriptions were for depression, with increasing but low incidence of prescriptions for pain and anxiety, but the authors could not identify the indication for antidepressants in 17% of the new prescriptions.<sup>41</sup>

Regardless of the type of codes included, authors will need to often choose the inclusion/exclusion of codes relating to the clinical profile of the patients. This may have a particular impact for conditions that are highly comorbid. For example, the code for 'mixed anxiety and depression' was sometimes used in the definition of anxiety and in the definition of depression; anxiety and depression are highly comorbid and the inclusion/exclusion of these patients may have an impact on the results. In addition, for depression, the inclusion of codes related to depression in the context of bipolar disease, dementia and schizophrenia may raise issues as to whether it represents a primary depressive episode.

Part of the heterogeneity in the list of codes used to identify these outcomes may be explained by the complexity of these conditions and by the purpose for which these data are collected. Electronic healthcare data are primarily collected to provide patients with treatment, and distinctions between diagnosis and symptoms may have less weight at the point of care than when researchers aim to define these conditions using data routinely collected.

#### Fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Routinely collected primary care data were shown to have low sensitivity to detect cases of suicide.<sup>29</sup> Thus, record linkage to ONS mortality data is of interest; this has the advantage of including causes of death other than suicide. Ascertainment of the cause of death is not always straightforward when the death is non-natural, and several studies have included cases of accidents and open verdicts in their case definition. Open verdicts have been shown to include many similarities with suicides, and several are

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029227 on 2 July 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 3 July 2019 by guest. Protected by copyright

later registered as suicides; these are recommended to be included in studies of suicide.<sup>42</sup> Studies varied on whether cases of self-harm without suicidal ideation were included (eg, Rubino *et al* reviewed free text to exclude those who did not seem to have attempted suicide<sup>43</sup>). For self-harm, linkage to HES data will allow for more cases to be identified,<sup>29</sup> even though authors must consider the balance between reduction of sample size and ascertainment of the outcome, as linkage is only available for a subset of patients.

### Pain

The aetiology and location of pain in the studies involved in this review varied due to our broad inclusion criteria. When pharmacological treatment was included in the definition of pain, this was most often done with prescriptions of antidepressants and antiepileptics. Antidepressants such as first-generation tricyclic antidepressants have been used for over 30 years to manage neuropathic pain (eg, amitriptyline, doxepin, clomipramine and dosulepin).<sup>44</sup> Antiepileptic drugs reduce neuronal excitability and alleviate pain through several mechanisms.<sup>44</sup>

#### Other outcomes

We considered cognitive dysfunction as a composite outcome including studies from mild to severe impairments such as those in dementia; between 10% and 20% of the patients with mild cognitive impairment are expected to convert to dementia.<sup>45 46</sup> Fewer studies had fatigue, sexual dysfunction and sleep disorders as the outcome, and no study was eligible for female sexual dysfunction. The definition of these outcomes varied little across the studies but the small numbers preclude firm conclusions. It has been reported that chronic fatigue increased prior to 2001,3 but decreased between 2001 and 2013,<sup>47</sup> possibly due to the introduction of diagnostic criteria from NICE<sup>48</sup>; in the same period, increases were noted in the diagnoses of fibromyalgia.<sup>47</sup> This may reflect the complexity of diagnosing fatigue, which is done by exclusion of other causes only.48

### Validation

Outcomes identified in EHRs may lack of validity: a person meeting the operational definition for the outcome based on specific codes may not have the diagnosis or vice versa. Only a small number of studies assessed validity in their studies, and this was almost always about assessing positive predictive value of the case definition, with sensitivity and specificity rarely explored. Of these, some studies only stated that validation had been carried out, but did not report the results, which makes the performance of the case definition unclear. However, the studies that reported results tended to show a high proportion of cases confirmed by their primary care physician or by further investigations (ie, a high positive predictive value). This is in accordance with the results of two systematic reviews that assessed the validity of the diagnostic coding within the CPRD primary care database.<sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> Studies in which

identified cases were validated by the GP did not usually specify how this validation was done—that is, whether the GPs confirmed cases by consulting the EHR, referring to additional information, relying on memory or using other methods. If GPs simply checked the same EHR used to identify the case in the first place, resulting estimates of positive predictive value would be expected to be high, but may be misleadingly optimistic.

### Implications

Mental health and QoL-related outcomes are difficult to identify in EHR databases; and thus, extra care needs to be used when defining these outcomes. The use of a particular code can vary between GP practices; for example, a study on the interpractice use of Read codes for diabetes showed that the most generic code was used in 14%-98% of the patients with diabetes in the practices.<sup>49</sup> GPs can derive Read codes for their practice; this may raise issues with new codes being added over time,<sup>50</sup> and codelists that need to be updated. It is important that authors clearly document the process of selection of the codes, so that these are available with clear rationale if needed.<sup>5</sup> Repositories of lists of codes allow researchers to access codelists easily. However, these repositories also need funding to be maintained, which limits their stability and consequently their use. Some studies of depression and dementia referred to using the Read codes recommended by the Quality and Outcomes Framework<sup>36</sup>; these are likely to be highly specific. It is also important to better understand the patterns of recording of some of these conditions, as changes in the patterns of use of the codes may have impact in the list of codes chosen. The inclusion of codes for symptoms and prescriptions must consider what is known about the use of codes by GPs at the point of patient providing care, as data recording in this setting is primarily intended to support clinical care. Future works are needed to understand how GPs conceptualise mental health problems, as these are expected to have less stringent definitions than psychiatrists, and this could provide insights into more meaningful case definitions.

Validation of the outcomes appears to be essential to understand the validity of case definitions. A balance between sensitivity and specificity may be considered depending on the aim of the study<sup>5</sup>; for depression, for example, the inclusion of terms such as 'low mood' may increase sensitivity, at the expense of decreased specificity, as some individuals who would not fit more stringent criteria for a diagnosis will be incorrectly classified as depressed.<sup>5</sup> A particular challenge with validation of primary care-based mental health outcomes is quantifying false negatives, which requires linkage to a high-quality external source of information, to identify cases that may have been 'missed' in primary care records. The Mental Health Dataset, which includes individual patient records of adults seeking mental health services in secondary care and has recently been made available for linkage with CPRD primary care databases, represents an opportunity

to assess the proportion of false negatives identified with the code lists, at least for more severe outcomes. Until then, sensitivity analysis using different lists of codes should be done, so that results can be compared and the impact of using different code lists evaluated. The consequences of underascertaining mental health outcomes are likely to depend on study design; in a cohort design this will not generally result in biased relative risks, whereas in a case–control context, a bias towards the null is likely. Studies might consider to use internal validation strategies, by assessing the proportion of patients referred for treatment or prescribed a relevant pharmacological agent.

Primary care databases of EHRs have made important contributions to medicine worldwide, particularly in the fields of infectious, respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. The burden of mental disorders in high-income countries has increased substantially in the last decades,<sup>51</sup> and more research is needed to be better understand these conditions. Primary care databases of EHRs have potential to make huge contributions to this area but, for this to happen, we need coordinated efforts across funding and research organisations to improve data quality. For example, if scientific journals make a requirement of having publicly available lists of codes, this would likely encourage researchers to spend more time defining the outcomes and potentially seek funding for validation studies, which in turn could increase the awareness of funding institutions for the importance of assessing data quality in projects using these data. In the meantime, transparency in the list of codes used to define these outcomes and reporting of sensitivity analysis with different lists of codes are key.

Despite the difficulties of assessing each separate outcome, we must take into account that mental health disorder symptoms often overlap, and is difficult to disentangle what is attributable to each condition. Lastly, these conditions have a long period of exposure to medication after symptoms have disappeared, besides a high probability of relapse and recurrence,<sup>52</sup> which may raise issues on whether the condition is incident or prevalent.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Detailed information about codes used to identify outcomes of anxiety, depression, fatigue, cognitive dysfunction, sexual dysfunction, pain, sleep disorders, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm in studies using EHRs from primary care databases in the UK was unavailable for around half of studies of these outcomes. Where available, there was substantial heterogeneity in the list of codes used to ascertain cases. Most studies did not validate case definitions, though when this was done, positive predictive values were generally high. This review focused on common mental health disorders and QoL outcomes, but our conclusions are likely to be generalisable to other mental health outcomes. Caution is needed when interpreting and comparing results between studies, as heterogeneity in case definitions may be large. Future studies should fully report outcomes definitions, use sensitivity analysis to mitigate uncertainties about the impact of the case definition on studies' reported outcomes, and seek to validate the list of codes used to identify these outcomes.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the authors of the original studies who kindly provided the list of codes used in their studies. We would also like to thank Daniel Dedman (CPRD/LSHTM) for helpful comments on the search expressions. The copyright of the morbidity definitions/categorisations lists (©2014) obtained from the authors for the studies by Roddy *et al*, 2013, Sultan *et al*, 2017 and Mansfield *et al*, 2018 are owned by Keele University, the development of which was supported by the Primary Care Research Consortium. The authors would like to acknowledge Keele University's Prognosis and Consultation Epidemiology Research Group who have given us permission to utilise the morbidity definitions/ categorisations lists (©2014); for access/details relating to the morbidity definitions/ categorisation lists (©2014) please go to www.keele.ac.uk/mrr

**Contributors** HC, RW and KB designed the study. HC and HS screened the list of references and abstracted information from the original studies. HC wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors revised the paper for important intellectual content.

**Funding** This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) at the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) (grant number MR/M016234/1 to H.C.); and the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (grant number 107731/Z/15/Z to K.B.).

**Competing interests** KB reports grants from Wellcome Trust, the Royal Society, Medical Research Council and British Heart Foundation, outside the submitted work. RW reports that CPRD has financial relationships with its clients, including the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, in relation to providing access to research data and services outside the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement** All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as online supplementary information.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### REFERENCES

- Jick SS, Kremers HM, Vasilakis-Scaramozza C. Isotretinoin use and risk of depression, psychotic symptoms, suicide, and attempted suicide. Arch Dermatol 2000;136:1231–6.
- Meier CR, Wilcock K, Jick SS. The risk of severe depression, psychosis or panic attacks with prophylactic antimalarials. *Drug Saf* 2004;27:203–13.
- Gallagher AM, Thomas JM, Hamilton WT, et al. Incidence of fatigue symptoms and diagnoses presenting in UK primary care from 1990 to 2001. J R Soc Med 2004;97:571–5.
- Hall GC, Morant SV, Carroll D, et al. An observational descriptive study of the epidemiology and treatment of neuropathic pain in a UK general population. *BMC Fam Pract* 2013;14:28.
- Davé S, Petersen I. Creating medical and drug code lists to identify cases in primary care databases. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2009;18:704–7.
- Gulliford MC, Charlton J, Ashworth M, et al. Selection of medical diagnostic codes for analysis of electronic patient records. Application to stroke in a primary care database. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e7168.
- Rañopa M, Douglas I, van Staa T, et al. The identification of incident cancers in UK primary care databases: a systematic review. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2015;24:11–18.
- Herrett E, Thomas SL, Schoonen WM, et al. Validation and validity of diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2010;69:4–14.

# <u>6</u>

## Open access

- Khan NF, Harrison SE, Rose PW. Validity of diagnostic coding within the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010;60:e128–e136.
- Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, et al. Data resource profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:827–36.
- Kendrick T, Stuart B, Newell C, et al. Changes in rates of recorded depression in English primary care 2003-2013: Time trend analyses of effects of the economic recession, and the GP contract quality outcomes framework (QOF). J Affect Disord 2015;180:68–78.
- 12. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults: management (CG113), 2011.
- 13. Moore RA, Derry S, Aldington D, *et al*. Amitriptyline for neuropathic pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:CD008242.
- 14. Lurie A. Obstructive sleep apnea in adults: epidemiology, clinical presentation, and treatment options. *Adv Cardiol* 2011;46:1–42.
- Szabo ST, Thorpy MJ, Mayer G, et al. Neurobiological and immunogenetic aspects of narcolepsy: Implications for pharmacotherapy. Sleep Med Rev 2019;43:23–36.
- Fardet L, Petersen I, Nazareth I. Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012;169:491–7.
- Bouras G, Markar SR, Burns EM, *et al.* Linked hospital and primary care database analysis of the incidence and impact of psychiatric morbidity following gastrointestinal cancer surgery in England. *Ann Surg* 2016;264:93–9.
- Martín-Merino E, Ruigómez A, Wallander MA, et al. Prevalence, incidence, morbidity and treatment patterns in a cohort of patients diagnosed with anxiety in UK primary care. Fam Pract 2010;27:9–16.
- 19. Yang CC, Jick SS, Jick H. Lipid-lowering drugs and the risk of depression and suicidal behavior. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:1926–32.
- Martín-Merino E, Ruigómez A, Johansson S, *et al.* Study of a cohort of patients newly diagnosed with depression in general practice: prevalence, incidence, comorbidity, and treatment patterns. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry* 2010;12:m00764.
- John A, McGregor J, Fone D, *et al.* Case-finding for common mental disorders of anxiety and depression in primary care: an external validation of routinely collected data. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2016;16:35.
- Imfeld P, Brauchli Pernus YB, Jick SS, et al. Epidemiology, comorbidities, and medication use of patients with Alzheimer's disease or vascular dementia in the UK. J Alzheimers Dis 2013;35:565–73.
- Imfeld P, Bodmer M, Jick SS, *et al.* Benzodiazepine Use and Risk of Developing Alzheimer's Disease or Vascular Dementia: A Case-Control Analysis. *Drug Saf* 2015;38:909–19.
- 24. Dunn N, Holmes C, Mullee M. Does lithium therapy protect against the onset of dementia? *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2005;19:20–2.
- Strom BL, Schinnar R, Karlawish J, et al. Statin Therapy and Risk of Acute Memory Impairment. JAMA Intern Med 2015;175:1399–405.
- Dunn N, Mullee M, Perry VH, et al. Association between dementia and infectious disease: evidence from a case-control study. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2005;19:91–4.
- Mansfield KE, Sim J, Croft P, *et al.* Identifying patients with chronic widespread pain in primary care. *Pain* 2017;158:110–9.
- Hall GC. Validation of death and suicide recording on the THIN UK primary care database. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2009;18:120–31.
- Thomas KH, Davies N, Metcalfe C, et al. Validation of suicide and self-harm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013;76:145–57.
- Dowrick C, Frances A. Medicalising unhappiness: new classification of depression risks more patients being put on drug treatment from which they will not benefit. *BMJ* 2013;347:f7140.
- 31. Spence D. Are antidepressants overprescribed? Yes. *BMJ* 2013;346:f191.

- 32. Smith DJ, Court H, McLean G, *et al.* Depression and multimorbidity: a cross-sectional study of 1,751,841 patients in primary care. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2014;75:1202–8.
- Agur K, McLean G, Hunt K, et al. How Does Sex Influence Multimorbidity? Secondary Analysis of a Large Nationally Representative Dataset. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016;13:391.
- Cooper SA, McLean G, Guthrie B, *et al.* Multiple physical and mental health comorbidity in adults with intellectual disabilities: population-based cross-sectional analysis. *BMC Fam Pract* 2015;16:110.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Depression

   Management of depression in primary and secondary care, Clinical Guideline, 2004;23.
- 36. BMA and NHS Employers. *Revisions to the GMS contract 2006/07.* Delivering investment in general practice.
- Kendrick T, Stuart B, Newell C, et al. Did NICE guidelines and the Quality Outcomes Framework change GP antidepressant prescribing in England? Observational study with time trend analyses 2003-2013. J Affect Disord 2015;186:171–7.
- Moore M, Yuen HM, Dunn N, *et al.* Explaining the rise in antidepressant prescribing: a descriptive study using the general practice research database. *BMJ* 2009;339:b3999.
- Khan NF, Ward AM, Watson E, et al. Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. *Eur J Cancer* 2010;46:3339–44.
- Sheehan R, Hassiotis A, Walters K, et al. Mental illness, challenging behaviour, and psychotropic drug prescribing in people with intellectual disability: UK population based cohort study. BMJ 2015;351:h4326.
- John A, Marchant AL, Fone DL, et al. Recent trends in primary-care antidepressant prescribing to children and young people: an e-cohort study. Psychol Med 2016;46:3315–27.
- Linsley KR, Schapira K, Kelly TP, *et al.* suicide importance to research. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;178:465–8.
   Detail A. Backella, N. Schapira, A. S
- 43. Rubino A, Roskell N, Tennis P, *et al*. Risk of suicide during treatment with venlafaxine, citalopram, fluoxetine, and dothiepin: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2007;334:242.
- 44. Ryder S-A, Stannard CF. Treatment of chronic pain: antidepressant, antiepileptic and antiarrhythmic drugs. *Continuing Education in Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain* 2005;5:18–21.
- Alegret M, Cuberas-Borrós G, Vinyes-Junqué G, et al. A two-year follow-up of cognitive deficits and brain perfusion in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2012;30:109–20.
- Morris JC, Cummings J. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) represents early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2005;7:235–9. discussion 255-62.
- Collin SM, Bakken IJ, Nazareth I, *et al.* Trends in the incidence of chronic fatigue syndrome and fibromyalgia in the UK, 2001-2013: a Clinical Practice Research Datalink study. *J R Soc Med* 2017;110:231–44.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (or encephalopathy): diagnosis and treatment (CG53), 2007.
- Gray J, Orr D, Majeed A. Use of Read codes in diabetes management in a south London primary care group: implications for establishing disease registers. *BMJ* 2003;326:1130.
- Shephard E, Stapley S, Hamilton W. The use of electronic databases in primary care research. *Fam Pract* 2011;28:352–4.
- GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016;388:1545–602.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management (CG91), 2009.

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 5.4 Summary

- EHRs have been extensively used to study mental health and HRQoL-related outcomes in the UK, despite difficulties in defining these outcomes in the data.
- Codelists were available for 17/42 studies of depression; 21/41 studies of fatal and non-fatal self-harm; 17/27 studies of dementia/cognitive dysfunction; 5/12 studies of anxiety; 4/8 studies of pain; 3/6 studies of fatigue and sexual dysfunction; 1/2 studies of sleep disorders.
- Outcome definitions and codelists were heterogeneous. 21 of the 120 studies validated their methods; these show positive predictive values above 80%.
- Anxiety definitions included symptoms in 33% of the studies; one study also considered drug prescriptions. Where codelists were available, these most often (80-100%) included terms for generalised anxiety disorder, panic and phobias; 60% included terms for mixed anxiety and depression.
- Depression definitions included prescriptions in 50% of the studies, and symptoms in 14%. Terms for bipolar disorder and depression in dementia were present in 25% and 18% of the codelists available, respectively, while terms for mixed anxiety and depression were present in 60%.
- Definitions of cognitive dysfunction were often tailored to the diagnosis of specific types of dementia. 15% of the studies considered diagnoses and prescriptions of anticholinesterase and dopaminergic drugs, and one study reported having included symptoms of dementia in their definition.
- Fatigue definitions included symptoms in all but one study, and varied little, except in the inclusion of fibromyalgia, which was done in two of the six studies only.
- Fatal and non-fatal self-harm definitions included completed suicide only, completed and attempted suicide, and completed and attempted suicide plus self-harm. Completed suicide was often ascertained in primary care data linked to the ONS mortality data; a validated codelist was available for self-harm.
- For the other outcomes, only two studies reported on sleep disorders, and pain definitions varied by the anatomical location; these were too heterogeneous to be meaningfully compared. No study of female sexual dysfunction was identified.

This page was intentionally left blank.

6 Quantification of the associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health-related outcomes: a population-based matched cohort study

## 6.1 Introduction

In this chapter, I used data from the UK CPRD GOLD primary care database to estimate the risk of adverse mental outcomes in breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no history of cancer. This directly responds to Objective 3 of this thesis. A matched cohort study design was chosen; the exposed cohort included all eligible women with a record of breast cancer in the database, and a random sample of women with no prior history of cancer formed the unexposed cohort. The outcomes of this study were selected among conditions identified in Chapters 1 and 3, and their definition was informed by the systematic review in Chapter 5.

## 6.2 Study protocol and ethical approvals

The study protocol (in Appendix 3) outlined the *a priori* defined methods and rationale for this study. This study received approval from The Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for MHRA Database Research (ISAC) (protocol 18\_253; Ethics1 in Appendix 3) and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Ethics Committee for Observational Research (ref. 16225; Ethics2 in Appendix 3).

## 6.3 Article

The research article produced to disseminate results is provided in the following pages. Appendix 3 of this thesis includes the supplementary materials to the article. This is currently under review. This page was intentionally left blank.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

# **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed <u>for each</u> research paper included within a thesis.

# SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	1513226	Title	Ms
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel		
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira		
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life in women with history of breast cancer		
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran		

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

## SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	n/a		
When was the work published?	n/a		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Choose an item.	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Choose an item.

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

## SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	JAMA Oncology
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	Helena Carreira, Rachael Williams, Garth Funston, Susannah Stanway, Krishnan Bhaskaran
Stage of publication	Undergoing revision

# SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019
Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with history of breast cancer: a matched population-based cohort study in the United Kingdom (1988-2018)

Subtitle: Adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors in the UK

**Authors:** Helena Carreira, BSc MSc<sup>1</sup>; Rachael Williams, BSc MSc PhD<sup>2</sup>, Garth Funston, MB BChir<sup>3</sup>; Susannah Stanway, MBChB, MSc, MD<sup>4</sup>; Krishnan Bhaskaran, BSc MSc PhD<sup>1</sup>

# Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, 10th Floor, 10 South Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU, United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup> The Primary Care Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Medicine, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London and Surrey, United Kingdom.

# Correspondence to:

Helena Carreira, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom. Telephone: +44 (0)20 7636 4754. Email address: helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk

# Abstract

**Importance:** Increasing numbers of women survive breast cancer but the long-term mental health impact of having been diagnosed and treated for the disease is unclear.

**Objective:** To estimate the risk of anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), and seven secondary mental health-related outcomes, in breast cancer survivors compared to women with no prior cancer.

**Design:** Matched population based cohort study. Median follow-up was 4.5 years in the exposed group (inter-quartile range (IQR): 1.9-8.5) and 5.2 years in the comparison group (IQR: 2.2-9.3).

Setting: Primary care practices in the United Kingdom.

**Participants:** All adult women diagnosed with an incident breast cancer between 1988 and 2018 in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD primary care database; women with a prior history of other cancers were excluded. The unexposed cohort comprised a random selection of women with no history of cancer, matched to exposed women on age and primary care practice.

Exposure: Breast cancer.

Main outcome measures: Relative risk of the primary and secondary outcomes.

**Results:** 57,571 women diagnosed with breast cancer (mean age  $62.3 \pm 13.9$  years) and 230,067 women with no previous cancer were included. Breast cancer survivorship was positively associated with the primary outcomes of anxiety (adjusted hazards ratio=1.33; 95%CI: 1.29-1.36), and depression (1.35; 1.32-1.38), and the secondary outcomes of fatigue (1.28; 1.25-1.31), pain (1.22; 1.20-1.24), sexual dysfunction (1.27; 1.17-1.38), sleep disorder (1.68; 1.63-1.73) and being prescribed opioid analgesics (1.86; 1.83-1.90), but there was no evidence of an association with cognitive dysfunction (1.00; 0.97-1.04) or fatal and non-fatal self-harm (1.15; 0.97-1.36). Hazard ratios for anxiety and depression reduced over time (p<0.001) but raised risks persisted for two and four years, respectively, after cancer diagnosis. For the secondary outcomes, increased levels of pain and sleep disorder persisted for at least 10 years. Younger age was associated with larger increases in the risks of depression, cognitive dysfunction, pain, opioid analgesic use and sleep disorders (p-interaction<0.001 in each case).

**Conclusion:** Breast cancer survivorship is associated with raised risks of anxiety and depression, as well as other adverse mental health-related outcomes, persisting well into the survivorship period.

# Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer to occur in women, with over two million new cases diagnosed annually worldwide.<sup>1</sup> In countries with the highest incidences, five-year age-standardized net survival is generally >80%.<sup>2</sup> This is resulting in a large population of women living beyond a breast cancer diagnosis, including 2.9 million in the United States and over 570,000 in the United Kingdom (UK), with numbers projected to rise further.<sup>3,4</sup> Given this, it is important to understand the long-term consequences of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Breast cancer survivorship has been associated with a wide range of iatrogenic effects including myocardial infarction, stroke and cancer.<sup>5,6</sup> Evidence on long-term mental health outcomes is less clear.

In a recent systematic review, anxiety, depression, sexual and cognitive dysfunctions, and suicide, were found to be more common in breast cancer survivors than in women with no history of cancer.<sup>7</sup> However, evidence was often drawn from studies at high-risk of selection and information bias, likely to be confounded by age and socio-economic status, and lacking generalisability to the broader group of women with a history of breast cancer.<sup>7</sup> Evidence on other outcomes, such as sleep disturbance, was insufficient to draw conclusions.<sup>7</sup>

The burden of depressive and anxiety disorders is remarkably high, particularly in highincome settings.<sup>8</sup> The efficient planning and delivery of mental health services that suit the needs of the largest group of cancer survivors requires timely and robust estimates on the risk of clinically assessed outcomes at the population level. We aimed to quantify the risk of several adverse mental health-related outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer followed in primary care in the UK National Health Service, compared to similar women who never had cancer. The primary outcomes were anxiety and depression, two common mental disorders primarily managed in primary care settings in the UK. Secondary outcomes were cognitive impairment (including dementia), fatigue, pain, sleep disorder, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm.

## Methods

#### Study design and data sources

This was a matched cohort study including data from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD primary care database (July 2018 version). This database includes anonymised electronic health records (EHRs) from 18.4 million patients registered with 761 primary care practices across the UK. The data are routinely recorded by general practitioners (GP) in the InPS Vision software system, using version 2 Read codes,<sup>9</sup> and were shown to be broadly representative of the UK population in terms of age, sex and ethnicity.<sup>10</sup> A subset of CPRD patients in England were linked at patient-level, using deterministic methods,<sup>11</sup> to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality data (containing dates and causes of death),<sup>12</sup> patient-postcode linked Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD, an area-based measure of socioeconomic status),<sup>13</sup> and the Hospital Episodes Statistics – Admitted Patient Care (HES-APC) database (containing coded diagnostic information from hospital admissions).<sup>14</sup>

# **Study populations**

The exposed cohort included all women ( $\geq$ 18 years) with an incident diagnosis of breast cancer (list of codes available at <u>https://datacompass.lshtm.ac.uk/1429/</u>) recorded between the database inception (1987) and July 2018, and who had  $\geq$ 12 months of uninterrupted prior registration meeting CPRD quality control criteria (to ensure the exclusion of prevalent breast cancer cases). We excluded women with severe mental or neurological disorders (i.e. schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, bipolar disorders, neurocognitive disorders and substance-related disorders), or a cancer diagnosis (except non-melanoma skin cancer) prior to breast cancer. As treatment for some mental health outcomes might last for several months, we excluded from each outcome-specific analysis patients who had that outcome in the year before the breast cancer diagnosis (index date). Patients with an outcome last recorded >1 year before the index date were not excluded, and the mental disorder was assumed to be in remission.

The comparison group included women with no history of cancer at the index date (defined as the date of the breast cancer diagnosis for the matched breast cancer patient), except for non-melanoma skin cancer. For each breast cancer survivor, we randomly selected four control women with no history of cancer, matched to the cancer survivor on age (within a 3-year range), primary care practice, and eligibility of the data

for linkage (to enable a sensitivity analyses among patients with linked data). Women in the exposed cohort were eligible for selection as controls up to the date of breast cancer diagnosis. Similar to the exposed group, women in the unexposed cohort had ≥12 months of uninterrupted prior registration. Exclusion criteria were the same as those applied to the exposed group.

#### Primary outcomes definition: anxiety and depression

The primary outcomes of anxiety and depression were identified by either a diagnostic Read code for conditions where anxiety/depression is the cardinal symptom (e.g. generalised anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder), or a Read code for a symptom (e.g. low mood) accompanied by a prescription of an antidepressant, for depression, or an anxiolytic or a relevant antidepressant, for anxiety, within 90 days (Methods1 in Appendix 3). The outcome definitions were informed by a systematic review on the topic.<sup>15</sup> The Read codelists (available at <u>https://datacompass.lshtm.ac.uk/1429/</u>) were created using a systematic approach by a practicing GP (author GF).

# Secondary outcomes definition: cognitive dysfunction, fatigue, pain, opioid analgesics, sleep disorder, sexual dysfunction, fatal and non-fatal self-harm

The definitions of the outcomes are provided in the Methods1 in Appendix 3; lists of codes are available at <u>https://datacompass.lshtm.ac.uk/1429/</u>. Briefly, fatigue, pain and sexual dysfunction were defined with Read codes only. Sleep disorder was identified using Read codes and combinations of Read codes and prescriptions of anxiolytics/hypnotics. Cognitive dysfunction was defined by Read codes, or a dementia-specific drug prescription.<sup>16</sup> For self-harm, we updated a validated list of codes.<sup>17</sup> Suicide was defined by International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision (ICD-10) codes X60-X84 and Y10-34, excluding Y33.9 where the verdict is pending.<sup>18</sup>

## Statistical analysis

Incidence rates were calculated for each outcome in each cohort. Follow-up started at the index date (date of breast cancer diagnosis in the exposed cohort; controls took the same index date as their matched cases) and terminated at the earliest date of: outcome observed, cancer diagnosis other than breast in the exposed cohort, any cancer diagnosis in the comparison cohort, death, transference out of the practice, and last data collection for the practice.

Associations between breast cancer survivorship and each outcome were quantified using Cox regression models, with time since index as the underlying time scale, and stratifying on matched set to account for matching by age, primary care practice and data eligibility for linkage. Robust estimates of the standard errors were used to calculate 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). For the subset of patients for which data were available, we estimated hazard ratios (HR) adjusted for diabetes mellitus at baseline (yes/no), body mass index (BMI) category (<18.50; 18.5-24.9; 25.0-29.9; 30.0-34.9; 35.0-39.9;  $\geq$ 40.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), smoking status (non-smoker, current smoker, former smoker) and drinking status (never drinker; current drinker; former drinker). The directed, acyclic graph is provided in Figure 6.1; covariates definitions are provided in Methods2 in Appendix 3. Missing data were not imputed, as the missingness for these variables is likely to dependent on the values themselves, a violation of the missing at random assumption.<sup>19</sup>

We assessed the potential for effect modification by age group at index date (18-34; 35-44; 45-54; 55-64; 65-74; 75-84; ≥85 years), practice postcode-linked quintile of IMD, calendar period of index date (1988-94; 1995-99; 2000-04; 2005-09; 2010-14; 2015-18), follow-up time (1 year interval up to 5 years, and 5-10 years, an implicit test of proportional hazards), cardiovascular comorbidity (yes/no), and history of the outcome <1 year before index date (yes/no), by fitting interaction terms between the exposure and these variables.

All analyses were conducted in Stata version 15.20

#### Sensitivity analysis

We repeated the analyses including only patients with no history of the outcome before the index date. For the subset of patients for whom linked data were available, we ran analyses using linked HES-APC data to improve outcome ascertainment, and adjusted for deprivation based on the patient postcode (rather than practice postcode). We ran analyses with alternative outcome definitions that are expected to have higher specificity, to assess the impact of choice of outcome codes on our results. For opioid analgesics, we ran the analysis excluding codeine, which can be prescribed for its antitussive or antidiarrheal properties. We re-ran the analyses of fatal and non-fatal self-harm considering these as two separate outcomes (self-harm and completed suicide).

# **Ethical approvals**

The study protocol (Protocol in Appendix 3) was approved by The Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for MHRA Database Research (ISAC) (protocol 18\_253; Ethics1 in Appendix 3) and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Ethics Committee for Observational Research (ref. 16225; Ethics2 in Appendix 3). Informed consent was not required as the data were anonymised.



Figure 6.1 Direct acyclic graph.

# Results

57,571 women with history of breast cancer and 230,067 women with no history of cancer were included in the study (Figure 6.2). Overall median follow-up time was 4.5 years in the exposed group (inter-quartile range (IQR): 1.9-8.5 years) and 5.2 years in the comparison group (IQR: 2.2-9.3 years). Outcome specific follow-up time and person-time at risk are included in Tables 1A to 1C in Appendix 3. 11,790 breast cancer survivors (24%) and 55,609 women in the comparison group (20%) had  $\geq$ 10 years of follow-up. Approximately 20% of all participants had anxiety recorded >1 year before the index date, and 29% had history of depression (Table 6.1).



## Figure 6.2 Flowchart of the selection of the study cohorts.

EHR = electronic health records; CPRD =Clinical Practice Research Datalink.

\* Women with research quality follow-up, as defined by CPRD based on systematic checks for data quality at both patient and practice level.

† Almost all had a first record of breast cancer a few days after the recorded date of death.

 Table 6.1
 Characteristics of the study participants.\*

	Women with history of breast cancer		Women whistory of	Women with no history of cancer	
	No.	%	No.	%	
All participants	57,571	100.0	230,067	100.0	
Socio-demographic					
Age group at index date (years) †					
18-34	781	1.4	3,125	1.4	
35-44	4,768	8.3	19,059	8.3	
45-54	13,039	22.6	52,114	22.7	
55-64	14,436	25.1	57,707	25.1	
65-74	12,361	21.5	49,395	21.5	
75-84	8,386	14.6	33,524	14.6	
85+	3,800	6.6	15,143	6.6	
Calendar period of diagnosis					
1988-1994	2,656	4.6	-	-	
1995-1999	4,796	8.3	-	-	
2000-2004	11,590	20.1	-	-	
2005-2009	16,381	28.5	-	-	
2010-2014	15,733	27.3	-	-	
2015-2018	6,415	11.1	-	-	
Ethnicity					
White	21,187	36.8	86,187	37.5	
South Asian	411	0.7	2,247	1.0	
Black	271	0.5	1,384	0.6	
Other & mixed	221	0.4	1,228	0.5	
Unknown	35,481	61.6	139,021	60.4	
Practice deprivation (quintiles of IMD)					
1 (least deprived)	11,381	19.8	45,502	19.8	
2	9,913	17.2	39,618	17.2	
3	11,820	20.5	47,239	20.5	
4	11,736	20.4	46,899	20.4	
5 (most deprived)	12,721	22.1	50,809	22.1	
Lifestyle					
Body mass index (kg/m²) at index date ‡					
<18.50	908	1.6	4,561	2.0	
18.50-24.99	20,958	36.4	85,030	37.0	
25.00-29.99	17,565	30.5	69,052	30.0	
30.00-34.99	8,666	15.1	32,401	14.1	
35.00-39.99	3,302	5.7	12,549	5.5	
≥40.00	1,490	2.6	6,350	2.8	
Unknown	4,682	8.1	20,124	8.7	
Alcohol intake at index date					
Never drinker	7,780	13.5	35,065	15.2	
Current drinker	40,438	70.2	156,604	68.1	
Former drinker	4,436	7.7	17,181	7.5	
Unknown	4,917	8.5	21,217	9.2	

(Continued)

Smoking status at index date				
Non-smoker	30,452	52.9	122,985	53.5
Current smoker	9,565	16.6	39,986	17.4
Former smoker	16,343	28.4	60,604	26.3
Unknown	1,211	2.1	6,492	2.8
Comorbidity				
History of diabetes at index date	3,844	6.7	14,030	6.1
History of coronary heart disease or stroke	4,648	8.1	18,523	8.1
Mental health history (>1 year before index date) §				
Anxiety	11,986	20.8	45,482	19.8
Depression	16,771	29.1	65,628	28.5
Cognitive dysfunction ¥	-	-	-	-
Fatigue	11,200	19.5	42,578	18.5
Sleep disorder	7,221	12.5	27,528	12.0
Pain	44,829	77.9	173,536	75.4
Sexual dysfunction	1,670	2.9	6,479	2.8
Self-harm	1,652	2.9	6,847	3.0

IMD - Index of multiple deprivation.\* Refers to all patients potentially eligible for analyses. The number of patients included in the analyses varied by outcome because we excluded women who had that particular outcome in the year before the index date, as we assumed that these were likely to still be under treatment at the index date.

† Women with no history of cancer were individually matched by age (within a 3-year age range) to women in the exposed group.

‡ Body mass index was calculated from weight and height records, when available. Missing information was supplemented with data from Read codes when possible. Patients with a Read code of obesity without indication of the category (e.g. 66C.00 - obesity monitoring) were categorised in the BMI 30.00-34.99 category; Read codes referent to morbid obesity were included in the BMI≥40 category.

§ Refers to women who had the outcome recorded at >1 year before the index date. Women who had the outcome in the year before the index date were excluded from the cohort.

¶ After the first record of breast cancer in the exposed group, it was not possible to differentiate between this cancer and what could be a recurrence or second breast cancer; therefore only cancers other than breast and non-melanoma skin cancer were considered. In the comparison group, all cancers, except non-melanoma skin ones, were considered.

¥ Patients with a record of cognitive dysfunction at any point prior to the index date were excluded, as changes in cognitive function are often non-reversible.

# Relative and absolute risks of mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared with cancer-free controls

Breast cancer survivors had an increased risk of anxiety (adjusted HR 1.33, 95%CI 1.29-1.36) and depression (HR=1.35, 95%CI 1.32-1.38) compared with cancer-free controls, with adjustment for potential confounders having little influence on effect estimates (Table 6.2). The cumulative incidence of anxiety 10 years after diagnosis was 16.4% (95%CI 15.9-16.8%); for depression, this figure was 28.5% (95%CI 28.0-29.1%) (Figure 6.3; Table 2 in Appendix 3).

Raised risks were also observed for the secondary outcomes of fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder, and being prescribed an opioid analgesic. The most common secondary outcomes after 10 years of follow-up were pain (85.6%), opioid analgesics (45.5%), and fatigue (23.9%) (Figure 6.3).

			Unadjusted associations *			Ac	ljusted for dia smoking and	abetes, BM d drinking s	l categ status '	ory,		
	No. exposed	No. unexposed	No.	PY at risk	No. events	HR	95%CI	No.	PY at risk	No. events	HR	95%CI
Primary outcomes												
Anxiety	55,616	224,138	279,754	1,594,899	26,112	1.35	1.31-1.38	244,766	1,431,613	24,038	1.33	1.29-1.36
Depression	54,073	216,355	270,428	1,463,728	44,733	1.37	1.35-1.40	236,146	1,308,004	41,173	1.35	1.32-1.38
Secondary outcomes												
Cognitive dysfunction	56,052	224,444	280,496	1,700,632	24,213	1.03	1.00-1.60	245,595	1,532,084	21,956	1.00	0.97-1.04
Fatigue	55,911	223,506	279,417	1,547,957	37,245	1.31	1.28-1.34	244,381	1,386,056	34,610	1.28	1.25-1.31
Pain	38,771	162,037	200,808	605,762	118,693	1.28	1.26-1.30	172,779	514,616	107,132	1.22	1.20-1.24
Sexual dysfunction	57,444	229,577	287,021	1,761,230	2,836	1.34	1.24-1.44	251,340	1,586,969	2,703	1.27	1.17-1.38
Sleep disorder	56,210	225,583	281,793	1,628,851	22,800	1.71	1.66-1.76	246,717	1,463,804	20,806	1.68	1.63-1.73
Opioid analgesics	52,672	213,190	265,862	1,429,809	62,165	1.95	1.92-1.98	232,154	1,277,168	56,190	1.86	1.83-1.90
Fatal and non-fatal self-harm	57,508	229,752	162,971	1,015,108	752	1.16	0.99-1.36	144,083	918,441	672	1.15	0.97-1.36

 Table 6.2
 Associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes.

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; BMI = body mass index; HR = hazard ratio; PY = person-years.

\* Women with a breast cancer diagnosis were matched with women without cancer on age (within a 3-year range), primary care practice (proxy of socio-economic status), and eligibility for data linkage (to avoid loss of precision in subset analyses).



Figure 6.3 Risk of anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors and women with no prior cancer.

# Effect of age, deprivation, calendar period, follow-up time, cardiovascular comorbidity and history of the outcomes on the association between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes

Figure 6.4 shows the association between breast cancer survivorship and anxiety, and depression, stratified by potential effect modifiers. HRs for anxiety tended to be larger for younger women, in more deprived areas, and in later calendar years; HRs for depression were also larger in younger women. For both outcomes, HRs tended to decline over time since diagnosis ( $p \le 0.001$ ), and risks were no longer significantly elevated after two years for anxiety and four years for depression.

Effect modification for the secondary outcomes is shown in Figures 6.5A and 6.55B. Similar variation by age was found for cognitive dysfunction, pain, opioid analgesics prescribing and sleep disorder (p<0.001). HRs for most secondary outcomes also tended to diminish in magnitude over time, though statistically raised risks of fatigue, pain, opioid analgesic use, and sleep disorder persisted for at least 5-10 years.

Anxiety
---------

٦C	nr	000	ion
	P	633	

Study ID		HR (95% Cl) p_int	Study ID	HR (95% Cl) p_int
Age at index 18-34 years 35-44 years 45-54 years 55-64 years 65-74 years 75-84 years >=85 years	+ + + + +	1.59 (1.38, 1.83) p=0.25 1.37 (1.29, 1.46) 1.36 (1.31, 1.41) 1.29 (1.24, 1.35) 1.35 (1.29, 1.42) 1.19 (1.10, 1.28) 1.33 (1.15, 1.54)	Age at index         18-34 years         35-44 years         35-44 years         45-54 years         55-64 years         65-74 years         75-84 years         >=85 years	1.86 (1.69, 2.04) p<0.001 1.57 (1.51, 1.64) 1.36 (1.32, 1.40) 1.34 (1.30, 1.39) 1.28 (1.23, 1.33) 1.26 (1.20, 1.33) 1.28 (1.15, 1.42)
Deprivation (quintiles) 1 (least deprived) 2 3 4 5 (most deprived)	+ + + +	1.39 (1.33, 1.46) p<0.001 1.44 (1.38, 1.51) 1.21 (1.15, 1.28) 1.38 (1.32, 1.45) 1.24 (1.19, 1.30)	Deprivation (quintiles) 1 (least deprived) 2 3 4 5 (most deprived) 1 (least depr	1.32 (1.27, 1.37) p=0.86 1.38 (1.33, 1.44) 1.33 (1.29, 1.38) 1.35 (1.30, 1.40) 1.36 (1.32, 1.41)
Calendar period 1988-94 1995-99 2000-04 2005-09 2010-14 2015-18	+ + + + +	1.25 (1.14, 1.37) <b>p=0.01</b> 1.27 (1.19, 1.35) 1.25 (1.20, 1.31) 1.34 (1.29, 1.39) 1.41 (1.35, 1.47) 1.65 (1.52, 1.79)	Calendar period       1988-94       1995-99       2000-04       2005-09       2010-14       2015-18	1.34 (1.24, 1.44) p=0.11 1.27 (1.20, 1.34) 1.38 (1.34, 1.42) 1.32 (1.29, 1.36) 1.43 (1.38, 1.48) 1.28 (1.18, 1.39)
Follow-up time 0-1 year 1-2 years 2-3 years 3-4 years 4-5 years 5-10 years	+ + ++ ++ +	2.10 (2.05, 2.15) <b>p&lt;0.001</b> 1.19 (1.13, 1.26) 1.05 (0.97, 1.13) 1.05 (0.96, 1.15) 1.04 (0.94, 1.15) 1.07 (1.01, 1.14)	Follow-up time       0-1 year       1-2 years       2-3 years       3-4 years       4-5 years       5-10 years	1.79 (1.75, 1.83) <b>p&lt;0.001</b> 1.44 (1.39, 1.49) 1.21 (1.15, 1.28) 1.11 (1.04, 1.19) 1.02 (0.93, 1.11) 1.03 (0.98, 1.08)
Cardiovascular comorbidity No Yes	<b>→</b>	1.33 (1.30, 1.36) p=0.39 1.25 (1.14, 1.38)	Cardiovascular comorbidity No Yes ↔	1.36 (1.34, 1.38)   p=0.50 1.31 (1.23, 1.39)
History of anxiety No Yes	* *	1.36 (1.32, 1.40)   p=0.18 1.28 (1.23, 1.34)	History of depression No ♦ Yes ♦	1.40 (1.37, 1.43) p=0.40 1.36 (1.32, 1.40)
				1

Figure 6.4 Associations between breast cancer survivorship and anxiety, and depression, by potential effect modifiers.

#### **Cognitive dysfunction**



Fatigue



#### Sleep disorder



#### Sexual dysfunction



**Figure 6.5B** Associations between breast cancer survivorship and sleep disorder, sexual dysfunction and fatal and non-fatal self-harm, by potential effect modifiers.

#### Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses yielded generally similar results to the main analyses, with the exception of an analysis using a more specific definition for anxiety, which moved the association close to the null (Figure 6.6).



Figure 6.6 Results of sensitivity analysis.

# Discussion

Compared to women with no history of cancer, breast cancer survivors had higher risks of anxiety and depression compared to women with no history of cancer. We also found evidence of raised risks of several secondary mental-health related outcomes, namely fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder, and being prescribed opioid analgesics. Younger age at diagnosis and more recent diagnosis were strong determinants of increased risk for most outcomes. The excess risks tended to decline over time since diagnosis, but risks of depression and anxiety remained significantly elevated for at least two and four years. Raised risks of fatigue, pain, opioid use and sleep disorders persisted for at least 5-10 years.

Our findings are consistent with results from previous studies.<sup>7</sup> Results remained virtually unchanged in sensitivity analyses, except for an analysis using a highly specific outcome definition for anxiety; it is plausible that GPs do not use specific codes for these disorders in cases where there is another medical condition such as breast cancer. The frequency of anxiety and depression in our sample was higher than other studies involving EHRs, which have reported incidences below 10%,<sup>7</sup> but these studies often used data from psychiatric registries, which usually include patients with more severe or persistent symptomatology. Our estimates for secondary outcomes were consistent with previous literature (e.g. pain and fatigue<sup>21,22</sup>) but sexual dysfunction was much lower compared to the 20-50% reported both in breast cancer survivors and in the general female population.<sup>7,23</sup> Only 21% of the British women with sexual problems seek help for their condition, which may explain the discrepancy.<sup>24</sup>

A major strength of this study is the population-based nature of the data, which make our results representative of the broad population of breast cancer survivors in the UK. Selection bias is unlikely, as registration with a primary care practice is nearly universal. The large study size and the wealth of data in the CPRD GOLD primary care database permitted the study of several outcomes with sufficient power to detect small effects. We accounted for major confounders such as age and socio-economic status; matching for GP practice also accounted for practice-level characteristics that are difficult to measure (e.g. shared environment). We carried out extensive sensitivity analyses exploring different definitions of outcomes, as well as the impact of the data sources, to assess the robustness of our results.

However, this study has limitations. The CPRD GOLD primary care database captures >90% of the cancers compared to the cancer registries,<sup>25</sup> which may have resulted in a

small proportion of women being incorrectly classified as unexposed and biased our results towards the null. The alternative of obtaining a list of patients from linked cancer registry data would have restricted our study to the roughly 50% of patients in England who are eligible for linkage, reducing sample size, power, and generalisability. There is a potential for misclassification of the outcomes due to the incompleteness of the information registered in EHRs (e.g. diagnoses in secondary care not fed back to the GP), or lack of validity of the definitions, even though outcomes defined in our data source generally showed high positive predictive values (median 83% of cases confirmed for mental and behavioral disorders).<sup>26</sup> We sought to maximize completeness and validity by conducting a systematic review of outcome definitions used in previous studies to inform decisions.<sup>15</sup> Unmeasured and residual confounding might also affect our results. We were unable to account for menopausal status, physical activity and mammography screening, as this information is often not registered, though age-matching should to some extent have taken account of menopausal status. Data on smoking and alcohol drinking habits relied on patients selfreporting accurately to their GP. We censored patients diagnosed with cancer during follow-up, but we were unable to distinguish between a second primary of the breast and recurrence in the exposed group. Lastly, breast cancer survivors may have more regular contact with health services making it more likely for conditions to be recorded in their records, due to a detection bias.

Symptoms of anxiety and depression are considered a normal response to the diagnosis, but some patients have traumatic reactions, and symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder are not uncommon.<sup>27</sup> An increased risk of depression was observed for longer, possibly because some women struggle to cope in the long-term. Breast cancer is an ominous diagnosis that brings several changes to the woman's life, including concerns over the impact of their disease on significant others, for example their children and spouses (e.g. carer roles, financial constrains), and for herself, who has to cope with many physical consequences of the cancer treatments. The drivers of raised risks of fatigue, sleep disorders, pain and sexual dysfunction cannot be discerned from this study, but may include physical consequences of breast cancer treatments (e.g. chemotherapy-induced fatigue,<sup>28</sup> arthralgias as a side effect of hormone therapy)<sup>29</sup> and psychological factors, such as body image concerns for sexual dysfunction,<sup>30</sup> or anxiety for sleep disorders.<sup>31</sup>

Effective treatments are available for anxiety and depression, and it is important that these women are diagnosed early, and receive appropriate support and treatment. The risks were particularly increased during the main treatment period, and screening all women at this point could help identify patients struggling to cope. Mental disorders still carry considerable stigma and not all patients will self-present. It is important that clinicians at all levels of care are aware of the increased risk of these conditions in breast cancer survivors, so that they can provide the necessary support if needed. Breast cancer survivors in the UK had low levels of self-efficacy to manage the complications of their disease, particularly fatigue and distress.<sup>32</sup> Cancer rehabilitation interventions with focus on potential physical and mental health consequences of breast cancer might reduce the disease burden by empowering women to better understand their disease.<sup>33</sup> Reducing waiting time for mental health services in general will also benefit breast cancer survivors.

Future studies should explore the possible modifying effect of social support, as increased social support may buffer some of the negative effects of stress.<sup>34,35</sup> Consequences of breast cancer treatments, such as lymphoedema, may mediate the association between breast cancer survivorship and mental health outcomes, and should be the subject of further work.

In conclusion, breast cancer survivorship was associated with raised risks of anxiety and depression, and several other mental health-related outcomes, persisting for several years after the cancer diagnosis.

# Bibliography

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68(6):394-424
- Allemani C, Matsuda T, Di Carlo V, et al. Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. Lancet 2018;391(10125):1023-1075
- de Moor JS, Mariotto AB, Parry C, et al. Cancer survivors in the United States: prevalence across the survivorship trajectory and implications for care. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013;22(4):561-70
- 4. Maddams J, Utley M, Moller H. Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer 2012;107(7):1195-202
- Strongman H, Gadd S, Matthews A, et al. Medium and long-term risks of specific cardiovascular diseases in survivors of 20 adult cancers: a population-based cohort study using multiple linked UK electronic health records databases. Lancet 2019;
- 6. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative G, Davies C, Godwin J, et al. Relevance of breast cancer hormone receptors and other factors to the efficacy of adjuvant tamoxifen: patient-level meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet 2011;378(9793):771-84
- Carreira H, Williams R, Muller M, Harewood R, Stanway S, Bhaskaran K. Associations Between Breast Cancer Survivorship and Adverse Mental Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review. J Natl Cancer Inst 2018;110(12):1311-1327
- 8. GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018;392(10159):1789-1858
- 9. Chisholm J. The Read clinical classification. BMJ 1990;300(6732):1092
- 10. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol 2015;44(3):827-36
- 11. Padmanabhan S, Carty L, Cameron E, Ghosh RE, Williams R, Strongman H. Approach to record linkage of primary care data from Clinical Practice Research Datalink to other health-related patient data: overview and implications. Eur J Epidemiol 2019;34(1):91-99
- 12. Office for National Statistics: User guide to mortality statistics UK, 2019
- 13. Department for Communities and Local Government: Official Statistics English indices of deprivation 2015, 2015
- 14. NHS Digital: Data Services: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), 2019
- Carreira H, Williams R, Strongman H, Bhaskaran K. Identification of mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2019;9(7):e029227
- 16. British Medical Association, Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain: British national formulary, (ed 74). London, United Kingdom, 2018
- 17. Thomas KH, Davies N, Metcalfe C, Windmeijer F, Martin RM, Gunnell D. Validation of suicide and self-harm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013;76(1):145-57
- 18. World Health Organization: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). (ed 10). Geneva, 1992
- 19. Bhaskaran K, Smeeth L. What is the difference between missing completely at random and missing at random? Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(4):1336-9

- 20. StataCorp: STATA statistical software, (ed 15). College Station TX, Stata Corporation, 2017
- 21. Andersen KG, Kehlet H. Persistent pain after breast cancer treatment: a critical review of risk factors and strategies for prevention. J Pain 2011;12(7):725-46
- 22. Fallowfield L, Jenkins V. Psychosocial/survivorship issues in breast cancer: are we doing better? J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107(1):335
- Mitchell KR, Mercer CH, Ploubidis GB, et al. Sexual function in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). Lancet 2013;382(9907):1817-29
- 24. Mercer CH, Fenton KA, Johnson AM, et al. Sexual function problems and help seeking behaviour in Britain: national probability sample survey. BMJ 2003;327(7412):426-7
- 25. Boggon R, van Staa TP, Chapman M, Gallagher AM, Hammad TA, Richards MA. Cancer recording and mortality in the General Practice Research Database and linked cancer registries. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013;22(2):168-75
- 26. Herrett E, Thomas SL, Schoonen WM, Smeeth L, Hall AJ. Validation and validity of diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2010;69(1):4-14
- 27. Voigt V, Neufeld F, Kaste J, et al. Clinically assessed posttraumatic stress in patients with breast cancer during the first year after diagnosis in the prospective, longitudinal, controlled COGNICARES study. Psychooncology 2017;26(1):74-80
- 28. Abrahams HJ, Gielissen MF, Schmits IC, Verhagen CA, Rovers MM, Knoop H. Risk factors, prevalence, and course of severe fatigue after breast cancer treatment: a metaanalysis involving 12 327 breast cancer survivors. Ann Oncol 2016;27(6):965-74
- 29. Niravath P. Aromatase inhibitor-induced arthralgia: a review. Ann Oncol 2013;24(6):1443-9
- 30. Bredart A, Dolbeault S, Savignoni A, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of sexual problems after early-stage breast cancer treatment: results of a French exploratory survey. Psychooncology 2011;20(8):841-50
- 31. Otte JL, Carpenter JS, Russell KM, Bigatti S, Champion VL. Prevalence, severity, and correlates of sleep-wake disturbances in long-term breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage 2010;39(3):535-47
- 32. Foster C, Breckons M, Cotterell P, et al. Cancer survivors' self-efficacy to self-manage in the year following primary treatment. J Cancer Surviv 2015;9(1):11-9
- 33. Silver JK, Baima J. Cancer prehabilitation: an opportunity to decrease treatment-related morbidity, increase cancer treatment options, and improve physical and psychological health outcomes. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2013;92(8):715-27
- Kendler KS, Myers J, Prescott CA. Sex differences in the relationship between social support and risk for major depression: a longitudinal study of opposite-sex twin pairs. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162(2):250-6
- 35. Wildes JE, Harkness KL, Simons AD. Life events, number of social relationships, and twelve-month naturalistic course of major depression in a community sample of women. Depress Anxiety 2002;16(3):104-13

# 6.4 Summary

- This population-based matched cohort study aimed to estimate the risk of a range of anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), and seven secondary mental health-related outcomes, in breast cancer survivors compared to women with no prior cancer.
- The exposed cohort included all 57,571 women diagnosed with an incident breast cancer registered in the CPRD GOLD primary care database between 1988 and 2018. The comparison cohort was comprised of 230,067 women with no previous cancer, randomly selected from the same data source, matched to exposed women on age and primary care practice. Median follow-up was 4.5 years in the exposed group (inter-quartile range (IQR): 1.9-8.5) and 5.2 years in the comparison group (IQR: 2.2-9.3).
- Five years after diagnosis, the most common outcomes in breast cancer survivors were pain (70%), depression (19%) and fatigue (15.2%). Recorded sexual dysfunction (1%) and fatal and non-fatal self-harm (<1%) were rare.</li>
- Breast cancer survivorship was positively associated with anxiety (adjusted HR=1.33; 95%CI: 1.29 to 1.36), depression (1.35; 1.32 to 1.38), fatigue (1.28; 1.25 to 1.31), pain (1.22; 1.20 to 1.24), sexual dysfunction (1.27; 1.17 to 1.38), sleep disorder (1.68; 1.63 to 1.73) and being prescribed opioid analgesics (1.86; 1.83 to 1.90), but there was no evidence of an association with cognitive dysfunction (1.00; 0.97 to 1.04) or fatal/non-fatal self-harm (1.15; 0.97 to 1.36).
- Hazard ratios for anxiety and depression reduced over time (p<0.001) but raised risks persisted for two and four years, respectively, after cancer diagnosis, while increased levels of pain and sleep disorder persisted for at least 10 years. Younger age was associated with larger increases in the risks of depression, cognitive dysfunction, pain, opioid analgesic use and sleep disorders (p-interaction<0.001 in each case).</li>

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 7 Quantification of the associations between breast cancer survivorship and quality of life and mental health: a study of patient-reported outcomes

# 7.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the research conducted to answer Aim 2 (i.e. to investigate quality of life and mental health in women with a history of breast cancer, compared to women with no history of cancer). A cross-sectional study was designed, in which two groups of women (one group of breast cancer survivors and one group of women with no prior cancer) were asked to complete validated questionnaires that assess HRQoL, and anxiety and depressive symptoms. Patient recruitment and the rationale for opting for each scale are described in detail in Chapter 4.

# 7.2 Study protocol and ethical approvals

The study protocol is provided in Appendix 4. Favourable ethical opinions for this study were obtained from the NHS East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 17/EE/0403; Ethics1 in Appendix 4); the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Observation Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 14417; Ethics2 in Appendix 4); the Health Research Authority and the Health and Care Research Wales (IRAS Project ID224561: Ethics3 in Appendix 4).

# 7.3 Article

The manuscript that describes the results of this study is provided in the following pages. The supplementary tables are provided in Appendix 4.

This page was intentionally left blank.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

# **RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET**

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed <u>for each</u> research paper included within a thesis.

# SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	1513226	Title	Ms		
First Name(s)	Helena Isabel				
Surname/Family Name	Morim Carreira				
Thesis Title	Long-term mental health and quality of life in women with history of breast cancer				
Primary Supervisor	Professor Krishnan Bhaskaran				

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

# SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	n/a		
When was the work published?	n/a		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	n/a		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Choose an item.	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Choose an item.

\*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

# SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	JNCI – Journal of the National Cancer Institute
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	Helena Carreira, Rachael Williams, Harley Dampsey, Susannah Stanway, Liam Smeeth,Krishnan Bhaskaran
Stage of publication	Not yet submitted

# SECTION E

Student Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	09 December 2019

# Mental health and quality of life of breast cancer survivors compared to women with no prior cancer: a study of patient-reported outcomes in the United Kingdom

**Authorship:** Helena Carreira<sup>1</sup>, Rachael Williams<sup>2</sup>, Harley Dempsey<sup>2</sup>, Susannah Stanway<sup>3</sup>, Liam Smeeth<sup>1</sup>, Krishnan Bhaskaran<sup>1</sup>

# Authors' affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, 10th Floor, 10 South Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU, United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London and Surrey, United Kingdom.

# Correspondence to:

Helena Carreira Department of Non-communicable Disease Epidemiology London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT, UK Helena.carreira@lshtm.ac.uk

Keywords: breast cancer; anxiety; depression; quality of life; United Kingdom.

# Abstract

**Background:** Breast cancer and its treatment may affect long-term health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and mental health of survivors, but few studies have quantified this. We aimed to assess HRQoL, anxiety, and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors (>1 year), compared to women with no prior cancer.

**Methods:** A matched cross-sectional study of patient-reported outcomes was carried out among women included in the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink GOLD primary care database. Breast cancer survivors and women with no prior cancer, frequency matched by age and primary care practice, were invited to participate. Outcomes were measured via postal questionnaire using the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) and Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS). Linear and logistic regression models were fitted to estimate adjusted associations between breast cancer survivorship and HRQoL domains, and anxiety and depressive symptoms.

**Results:** 353 BCS (mean time since diagnosis 8.1 years) and 252 women with no prior cancer were included. Compared to women with no prior cancer, BCS had poorer HRQoL (higher mean QLACS score) in the domains of cognitive problems (adjusted  $\beta$  (a $\beta$ )=1.4, p=0.01), sexual function (a $\beta$ =1.7, p=0.02) and fatigue (a $\beta$ =1.3, p=0.01), but no evidence of difference in negative feelings, positive feelings, pain, or social avoidance. BCS had non-significantly higher odds of probable anxiety (HADS-anxiety score ≥11) than controls (adjusted OR (aOR)=1.40, 0.93-2.10), however there was strong evidence of a difference when a more sensitive threshold (score ≥8, "borderline/probable anxiety") was used (aOR=1.47, 1.15-1.87). There were no differences in odds of probable depression (aOR=1.18, 0.52-2.68). Poorer quality of life and mental health outcomes were more pronounced among women with advanced-stage cancer at diagnosis, and/or treated with chemotherapy.

**Conclusion:** BCS had raised risks of problems with cognition, sexual function, fatigue and borderline/probable anxiety, particularly where their cancer was advanced and/or treated with chemotherapy.

# Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy diagnosed in women in most countries, and incidence is still on the rise [1]. Trends in survival from the disease also markedly increased in the last decades and five-year age-standardised net survival is now close to 90% in the United States, Canada, and several European countries [2]. This is resulting in millions of women worldwide living for several years beyond their disease, including over 2.7 million in the United States and 500,000 in the United Kingdom (UK) [3, 4]. In spite of the increasing prevalence of breast cancer survivors, little is known about the long-term impact of breast cancer on the patients' mental health and health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

The National Cancer Research Institute identified several areas related to psychological wellbeing and quality of life in the top 20 priorities for patients living with and beyond cancer [5]. A large proportion of breast cancer survivors in England have been reported to experience issues that may negatively affect HRQoL, such as worries of family members getting the disease (73%), weight changes (60%), and stress (58%) [6]. These numbers suggest that breast cancer survivors may have poor HRQoL, but guantitative data on HRQoL, and its determinants, in this patient population are scarce. Furthermore, it is unclear whether differences in HRQoL exist between breast cancer survivors and women with no history of cancer. A recent study using primary care EHRs showed that breast cancer survivors in the UK were more likely to have anxiety and depression, as well as sexual dysfunction, sleep disturbance, fatigue, and pain recorded in their clinical record, compared to women with no cancer (unpublished data; please refer to Chapter 6 of this thesis). However, recording of some of these outcomes in routinely collected health records is likely to be incomplete and susceptible to ascertainment bias if cancer survivors are more likely to have problems recorded due to more healthcare contact. Collecting data on anxiety and depressive symptoms directly from cancer survivors and cancer-free controls may overcome these limitations.

This study aimed to quantify HRQoL, and anxiety and depressive symptoms, in breast cancer survivors (>1 year), compared to women with no prior history of cancer. We also investigated socio-demographic and clinical determinants of HRQoL among breast cancer survivors.

# Methods

#### Study design and sampling frame

We designed a matched cross-sectional study including breast cancer survivors and a comparison group of women with no prior cancer. Between October 2018 and August 2019, we invited all primary care practices that were actively contributing with data to the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD primary care database in August 2018 to participate in the study. CPRD is a UK government research service that collects, processes, and releases anonymised electronic health records (EHR) from patients attending the UK National Health Service. Patients registered with primary care practices that accepted to participate were potentially eligible for the study (see details below).

## Patient eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria for the breast cancer survivors group were: 1) a prior diagnosis of invasive breast cancer at least one year before; 2) aged 18-80 years; 3) alive and registered with the practice. To ensure that the recorded breast cancer was incident, we required one year of follow-up in CPRD prior to the diagnosis. For the comparison group, inclusion criteria were: 1) no history of cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer); 2) alive and registered with the practice; and 3) at least two years of follow-up data in CPRD (since we required one year of follow-up before and after cancer to be included in the breast cancer group). Exclusion criteria for both groups were: 1) inability to complete a self-reported questionnaire (e.g. due to dementia); 2) having had another (non-breast) cancer or having been treated for a non-invasive breast tumour.

## Patient selection and recruitment

The CPRD GOLD primary care database was used to identify all breast cancer survivors from the participating practices, as well as a random sample of women with no prior cancer from the same practice. Women in the comparison group were frequency-matched on age to breast cancer survivors in the same practice. Initially controls were matched to exposed women (breast cancer survivors) with a ratio of 1:1, but this was revised early in recruitment to 2:1 due to ~50% lower response among controls. The authors had full access to the CPRD GOLD primary care database to create the list of potentially eligible patients. General practitioners then reviewed the records of potentially eligible patients, applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria (*vide* above), and sent the study materials to the eligible patients' addresses with a pre-paid

envelope to return the questionnaires. Patients were recruited between January and October 2019.

#### Patient-reported outcomes

Anxiety and depressive symptoms were measured with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [7]. This is a 14-item self-reported screening tool for anxiety and depressive symptoms in the past week [7]. The recommended cut-offs were used to categorise patients as non-case (scores 0-7), borderline (scores 8-10) and probable case (scores 11-21) [7].

HRQoL was assessed with the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) [8]. This tool includes 47 items, divided in seven generic domains (i.e. negative feelings; positive feelings; cognitive problems; pain; sexual function/interest; energy/fatigue; and avoidance) and five cancer-specific domains (i.e. financial problems; benefits of cancer; distress-family; appearance; distress-recurrence). Women with no history of cancer replied to the generic domains only. Items refer to the previous four weeks, and responses range between 1 (never) and 7 (always); higher scores indicate poorer HRQoL, except for positive feelings and benefits of cancer.

#### Demographic and clinical information

All women were asked to complete a questionnaire with information on education, ethnicity, and social support by proxy of living arrangements (alone/not alone). Breast cancer survivors provided information about treatments for their cancer, stage of the disease at diagnosis, time since last treatment (excluding long-term hormonal therapy), menopausal status, and status of the disease (active/remission). The patient-reported outcomes were also linked to the patient's EHR and to the practice-postcode Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) quintile, via a patient identifier generated by CPRD. The research team had no access to patient identifiable information.

#### Statistical analysis

#### Descriptive HRQoL and anxiety and depressive symptoms

We calculated domain scores for each patient. When a participant had one missing response for an item within a domain, we imputed the mean of the responses to the other items within that same domain; if  $\geq 2$  responses were missing, the domain score was not calculated [9]. The summary score for generic domains of HRQoL was calculated by the sum of the domain scores, with reverse scoring for the positive feelings domain. For the cancer specific domains, we added all domain scores,
excluding the score for 'benefits of cancer'. Scores were summarised for each group using means and measures of dispersion.

We also calculated mean and median scores for each subscale of HADS. When there were three or fewer items missing per subscale, we imputed these as the average of the responses in that subscale following proposed methods [10].

#### Comparison of outcomes between breast cancer survivors and controls

We fitted domain-specific multiple linear regression models, using the domain scores as the dependent variable and the following independent variables: patient group (exposed vs. control), age group (<60, 60-69, 70-81 years); higher education degree (yes/no), and practice postcode-linked quintile of IMD. Interactions between the exposure and socio-demographic variables were tested, but not included in the final models as these were not significant.

Outcome-specific logistic regression models were used to estimate the association between breast cancer survivorship and abnormal levels of anxiety (HADS-A $\geq$ 11) and depression (HADS-D $\geq$ 11). Models were further adjusted for age (<60, 60-69, 70-81 years), higher education degree (yes/no), and quintile of IMD. In an *a priori* defined sensitivity analysis (see protocol, Appendix 4 of this thesis), we used a lower cut-off (HADS-A $\geq$ 8; HADS-D $\geq$ 8) for caseness, as the standard cut-off  $\geq$ 11 was found to have low sensitivity (50%, 95%CI: 27% to 73%) to detect cases of depression in this patient population [11].

In a post-hoc analysis, we estimated the effect of stage at diagnosis and chemotherapy treatment on the HRQoL and HADS scores of the breast cancer survivors compared to women in the comparison group. For this, we fitted domain-specific multivariate linear regression models using the scores as the dependent variable, and a three-level exposure variable as the independent variable (e.g. for chemotherapy: cancer survivors with prior chemotherapy, cancer survivors without prior chemotherapy, controls), adjusting for age, education and IMD quintile.

For all models, robust standard errors were computed to account for patient clustering by primary care practice, and regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were reported.

## Socio-demographic and clinical determinants of HRQoL, and anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors

We used linear regression models to assess the impact of socio-demographic, clinical and treatment factors on the generic and cancer-specific domains of HRQoL, and

HADS-subscales. Socio-demographic variables were age (<60, 60-69 and 70-81), practice postcode-linked IMD quintile, higher education degree (yes/no), and living arrangements (alone/not alone). Clinical variables were type of surgery (breast conserving/mastectomy), breast reconstruction (yes/no), stage at diagnosis (localised/regional or distant metastases), remission status (yes/no), menopausal status (pre/postmenopausal), time since diagnosis (<10/ $\geq$ 10 years), and treatment with chemotherapy (yes/no), radiotherapy (yes/no), hormone therapy (yes/no) and immunotherapy (yes/no). For age at diagnosis, education, stage at diagnosis, and exposure to chemotherapy, we fitted models adjusted for socio-demographic factors only (age, education, deprivation and country), as well as models adjusted for chemotherapy (yes/no) and stage at diagnosis (early/advanced). The regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) and respective 95%CIs were reported.

#### **Ethical approvals**

The study protocol was approved by the East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 17/EE/0403; Ethics1 in Appendix 4), the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Interventions Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 14417; Ethics2 in Appendix 4) and the Health Research Authority and Health and Care Research Wales (IRAS Project ID: 224561; Ethics3 in Appendix 4). Implicit patient consent was obtained when the patient posted the completed questionnaires.

### Results

353 women with a history of breast cancer and 252 women with no history of cancer, from 40 primary care practices, participated in the study (Figure 7.1). Participants and non-participants were similarly distributed by country (England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland) and deprivation, but participants in the control group tended to be older than those in the breast cancer survivor group (Supplementary Table 1 in Appendix 4). Mean age was 64.8 years among breast cancer survivors (standard deviation (SD) 9.0, range 34-81) and 65.5 years in the non-cancer comparison group (SD=9.4; range 36-81 years) (Table 7.1). Breast cancer survivors were on average 8.1 years post-diagnosis. In both groups, a quarter of the women had a higher education degree. 99% of the breast cancer survivors had surgery (35% mastectomy), 80% radiotherapy, 49% hormone therapy, and 41% chemotherapy. Most women had been diagnosed with localised (54.4%), or locally invasive disease (43.3%).

254 primary care prac CPRD GOLD primary	ctices conti care datat	ributing with data to base in August 2018
1 primary care practice's data stopped meeting the quality criteria for acceptability for research		
253 primary care practices invited to particip only (n=28) between C	ate in the s October 20	study by email and post (n=225) or by post 18 and August 2019
157 primary care practices did not reply † 41 primary care practices declined to participate 3 accepted to participate but later declined		
52 primary care practices expressed interpotentially eligible patient	rest to par ts to scree	ticipate in the study & were sent lists of n for patient's eligibility
11 primary care practices did not return the screening lists	•	
41 primary care practices completed the	screening	of the patient lists for eligibility criteria
1 primary care practice dropped out because of lack of time to send out questionnaires	•	
40 primary care prac	tices partic	pipated in the study
	г	•
1,116 women with history of breast cancer potentially eligible for the study	Matched* ►	1,711 women with no history of cancer (except for non-melanoma skin cancer)
98 women (8.78%) excluded because the GP considered the patient unable to complete a self-reported questionnaire ¥		■ 236 women (13.79%) excluded because the GP considered the patient unable to complete a self-reported questionnaire ¥
1,018 women with history of breast cancer eligible for the study and invited to participate		1,475 women with no history of cancer eligible for the study and invited to participate
6 replied but refused to participate ◀ 659 did not reply	-	6 replied but refused to participate 1,217 did not reply
353 women with history of breast cancer included in the study (34.7% of those eligible)		252 women with no history of cancer included in the study (17.1% of those eligible)

Figure 7.1 Flowchart of patient recruitment.

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink.

† 292 reminders were sent; all primary care practices that did not reply to the first invitation were sent at least one reminder.

\* Women in the comparison group included a random sample of women with no history of cancer that had the same age distribution as the breast cancer survivors in the primary care practice (frequency matching). Initially matching occurred on a ratio of one case to one control. We later revised this to one case to two controls, to account for lower participation rate in the control group.

¥ Exclusion criteria included patients with dementia, terminally ill, or with another cancer diagnosis. GPs also excluded patients who were not able to complete questionnaires in English, or who had died or transferred out of their practice recently.

Table 7.1	Characteristics	of the stud	y participants.*
-----------	-----------------	-------------	------------------

	No histo cancer (N	ry of =252)	Breast c surviv (N=35	ancer ors i3)
	N	(%)	N	(%)
Age at completion of questionnaire				
34-59 years	71	28.2	100	28.3
60-69 years	80	31.7	130	36.8
70-81 years	101	40.1	123	34.8
Highest education level				
Up to GCSEs, O levels, or equivalent	78	31.0	127	36.0
A levels or equivalent	29	11.5	35	9.9
Trade or technical training	52	20.6	54	15.3
Undergraduate or post-graduate degree	66	26.2	92	26.1
Did not want to disclose	27	10.7	45	12.7
Ethnicity				
White	242	96.0	344	97.5
Asian / Asian British	6	2.4	1	0.3
Did not want to disclose	4	1.6	8	2.3
IMD deprivation quintile				
1 (most deprived)	53	21.0	71	20.1
2	36	14.3	54	15.3
3	26	10.3	53	15.0
4	98	38.9	140	39.7
5 (least deprived)	39	15.5	35	9.9
Living arrangements				
Not alone	185	73.4	270	76.5
Alone	63	25.0	76	21.5
Did not want to disclose	4	1.6	7	2.0
Country				
England	64	25.4	50	14.1
Northern Ireland	16	6.3	33	9.3
Scotland	74	29.4	114	32.3
Wales	98	38.9	156	44.2
Time since breast cancer diagnosis				
1-5 years	-	-	133	37.7
5-10 years	-	-	111	31.4
10-15 years	-	-	88	24.9
15-20 years	-	-	16	4.5
>20 years	-	-	5	1.4
Breast cancer treatments			0.40	00.0
Surgery	-	-	348	98.6
	-	-	225	63.7
Mastectomy	-	-	122	34.0
Reconstruction	-	-	42	11.9
Raulotherapy	-	-	282	79.9
	-	-	143	40.5
	-	-	1/4	49.3
интипотпегару	-	-	6	1.7

(Continued)

## Table 7.1 Continued

Stage at diagnosis				
Localised to the breast	-	-	192	54.4
Regional metastasis	-	-	153	43.3
Distant metastasis	-	-	2	0.6
Unknown	-	-	6	1.7
Time since last treatment for breast cancer				
Undergoing treatment	-	-	3	0.8
<12 months	-	-	2	0.6
Between 1 and 5 years	-	-	123	34.8
More than 5 years	-	-	217	61.5
Doesn't know	-	-	8	2.3
Disease status at questionnaire response				
In remission	-	-	319	90.4
Active disease	-	-	7	2.0
Doesn't know			27	7.6
Menopausal status				
Menopausal at breast cancer diagnosis	-	-	244	69.1
Became menopausal during treatments for	-	-	71	20.1
breast cancer				20.1
Not menopausal	-	-	31	8.8
Unknown	-	-	7	2.0

\* Information on age at questionnaire completion, time since breast cancer diagnosis, practice postcode level of deprivation and country were obtained from the EHRs of the participating patients. Information on education, ethnicity, living arrangements, treatments for breast cancer, stage at diagnosis, time since last treatment for breast cancer, and disease and menopausal status, were collected directly from the patients using a self-reported questionnaire.

## HRQoL, anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors and women with no history of cancer

Table 7.2 shows the mean scores for all HRQoL domains. Fatigue and sexual dysfunction were the domains for which women in both groups reported poorer HRQoL (i.e. higher scores); breast cancer survivors also had cognitive dysfunction amongst the highest scoring domains. The correlation coefficients among HRQoL domains are shown in Supplementary Table 2 in Appendix 4.

Compared to women with no history of cancer, breast cancer survivors had poorer HRQoL for cognitive problems (p<0.01), sexual function (p=0.02) and fatigue (p<0.01) (Table 7.3); the differences for the other domains were compatible with chance variation. Breast cancer survivors had non-significantly higher odds of probable anxiety (HADS-anxiety score  $\geq$ 11) than controls (adjusted OR (aOR)=1.40, 0.93-2.10), however there was strong evidence of a difference when a more sensitive threshold (score  $\geq$ 8, "borderline/probable anxiety") was used, (aOR=1.47, 1.15-1.87). There were no differences in odds of probable depression (aOR=1.08, 0.78-1.50) (Table 7.4).

The worse HRQoL and mental health outcomes among breast cancer survivors appeared to be driven by higher risk in those treated with chemotherapy and/or diagnosed with more advanced disease (Table 7.3). Breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy reported significantly more negative feelings, cognitive problems, sexual dysfunction, fatigue, and anxiety than women with no history of cancer; in contrast, differences between breast cancer survivors with no chemotherapy exposure and cancer-free controls were smaller and (except for fatigue) non-significant. Similarly, breast cancer survivors diagnosed with more advanced disease had significantly more negative feelings, cognitive problems, sexual dysfunction, and fatigue than women with no history of cancer, while no significant differences were seen between survivors of localised cancers and cancer-free controls.

		No hist	ory of ca	ancer (N=25	52)	Breast cancer survivors (N=353)						
	No. (Imputed*)	Mean score	SD	Range	% Floor	% Ceiling	No. (Imputed*)	Mean score	SD	Range	% Floor	% Ceiling
Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Su	urvivors Scale§											
Generic domains Negative feelings	251 (7)	11.0	4.9	4 - 26	3.7	0.0	343 (22)	11.6	5.3	4 - 28	4.4	0.3
Positive feelings <sup>§</sup>	250 (5)	21.1	5.4	7 - 28	0.0	9.9	344 (18)	20.8	5.7	7 - 28	0.0	10.8
Cognitive problems	251 (4)	10.5	4.7	4 - 28	6.2	0.8	347 (19)	11.7	5.3	4 - 27	4.9	0.0
Physical pain	249 (4)	11.1	6.5	4 - 28	12.0	0.8	344 (19)	11.2	6.2	4 - 28	9.6	1.5
Sexual interest/function	227 (8)	12.0	6.4	4 - 28	13.2	2.7	327 (27)	13.7	7.2	4 - 28	13.2	4.9
Energy/Fatigue	251 (1)	12.3	4.8	4 - 24	4.1	0.0	347 (11)	13.3	5.0	4 - 25	3.8	0.0
Social avoidance	251 (21)	9.8	5.6	4 - 28	17.3	0.4	344 (45)	9.9	5.9	4 - 28	25.0	0.6
Summary ‡	226 (0)	75.7	27.7	28 - 157	-	-	315 (0)	80.4	29.1	29 - 162	-	-
Cancer-specific domains												
Financial problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	348 (9)	7.2	5.1	4 - 28	46.6	0.6
Distress related to family	-	-	-	-	-	-	349 (4)	12.3	7.5	4 - 28	2.6	7.8
Appearance concerns	-	-	-	-	-	-	347 (12)	9.3	5.8	4 - 28	20.1	4.9
Distress over recurrence	-	-	-	-	-	-	348 (8)	13.9	6.8	4 - 28	27.7	0.9
Summary	-	-	-	-	-	-	347 (0)	42.5	19.5	4 - 28	7.2	2.9
Benefits of cancer§	-	-	-	-	-	-	345 (7)	17.0	6.6	4 - 28	-	-
Hospital Anxiety and Depression	Scale											
Anxiety	248 (7)	6.4	4.1	0 - 20	5.0	0.4	348 (14)	6.8	4.6	0 - 20	8.1	0.3
Depression	249 (4)	3.6	3.3	0 - 17	14.5	0.0	349 (3)	3.6	3.6	0 - 19	17.8	0.0

**Table 7.2** Mean scores for HRQoL domains, anxiety and depressive symptoms, in each group of women.

SD: standard deviation.

\* Number of patient with score imputed. When one item was missing out of the four items in the domain, we imputed this item with the arithmetic mean of the values in the other three items. Mean domain score was not calculated for patients that did not reply to two or more items in a domain.

§ Higher scores represent poorer HRQoL, except for the domains 'positive feelings' and 'benefits of cancer'.

‡ Calculated as the sum of all domain scores except for positive feelings.

							Breast cancer survivors by chemotherapy						ару	Breast cancer survivors by stage at diagnosis							
	Controls	All	breast surviv	car ors	ncer	No chemotherapy		erapy	Chemotherapy		ару	Localised			Advanced						
		β*	lb		ub	β*	lb		ub	β*	lb		ub	β*	lb		ub	β*	lb		ub
Generic domains																					
Negative feelings	Ref.	0.7	-0.1	-	1.4	0.2	-0.7	-	1.0	1.5	0.2	-	2.7	0.2	-0.6	-	1.1	1.3	0.2	-	2.5
Positive feelings	Ref.	-0.4	-1.3	-	0.5	-0.2	-1.2	-	0.8	-0.7	-1.9	-	0.6	-0.3	-1.3	-	0.6	-0.5	-1.8	-	0.8
Cognitive problems	Ref.	1.4	0.4	-	2.3	0.6	-0.3	-	1.5	2.6	1.3	-	3.8	0.9	-0.1	-	1.9	2.0	0.9	-	3.2
Pain	Ref.	0.0	-1.1	-	1.5	-0.2	-1.6	-	1.2	0.9	-0.8	-	2.6	-0.6	-2.0	-	0.8	1.2	-0.4	-	2.8
Sexual function	Ref.	1.7	0.4	-	3.1	1.2	0.0	-	2.4	2.5	0.7	-	4.4	0.9	-0.5	-	2.2	2.9	1.3	-	4.6
Energy/Fatigue	Ref.	1.3	0.4	-	2.2	1.2	0.2	-	2.1	1.5	0.3	-	2.7	1.0	0.0	-	2.0	1.7	0.6	-	2.9
Avoidance	Ref.	0.1	-1.0	-	1.2	-0.3	-1.5	-	0.8	0.8	-0.6	-	2.2	-0.2	-1.2	-	0.8	0.6	-0.9	-	2.2
Summary	Ref.	5.9	0.0	-	11.9	2.2	-3.7	-	8.2	11.2	3.8	-	18.6	1.8	-4.1	-	7.7	11.1	3.8	-	18.3
HADS																					
Anxiety	Ref.	0.5	-0.1	-	1.0	0.1	-0.6	-	0.8	1.1	0.2	-	2.0	0.4	-0.3	-	1.0	0.8	-0.2	-	1.7
Depression	Ref.	0.1	-0.5	-	0.7	0.1	-0.6	-	0.7	0.2	-0.7	-	1.0	0.0	-0.7	-	0.7	0.3	-0.5	-	1.0

 Table 7.3
 Comparison of patient-reported outcomes between breast cancer survivors and controls, by chemotherapy and stage at diagnosis.

\* Adjusted for age, education and deprivation. HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; Ib – lower bound of the 95% confidence interval; ub – upper bound of the 95% confidence interval.

Bold is used to denote statistical significance.

			An	xiety			Depression							
	Cut-off ≥11 for caseness			Cut-off	<sup>-</sup> ≥8 for c	aseness	Cut-off	≥11 for c	aseness	Cut-off ≥8 for caseness				
	No. of cases (%)	Odds ratio	95% Cl	No. of cases (%)	Odds ratio	95% Cl	No. of cases (%)	Odds ratio	95% CI	No. of cases (%)	Odds ratio	95% CI		
Univariate analysis														
No cancer	43 (17.3)	Ref		87 (35.1)	Ref		9 (3.6)	Ref		38 (15.3)	Ref			
Breast cancer	79 (22.7)	1.36	0.95 - 1.96	153 (44.0)	1.45	1.14 - 1.86	17 (4.87)	1.37	0.69 - 2.70	54 (15.5)	1.02	0.72 - 1.42		
Multivariate analysis	5*													
No cancer	39 (17.7)	Ref		79 (35.8)	Ref		8 (3.6)	Ref		33 (14.9)	Ref			
Breast cancer	69 (22.6)	1.40	0.93 - 2.10	137 (44.9)	1.47	1.15 - 1.87	12 (3.9)	1.18	0.52 - 2.68	46 (15.0)	1.08	0.78 - 1.50		

 Table 7.4
 Unadjusted and adjusted associations between breast cancer survivorship and anxiety and depression.

\* Adjusted for age at questionnaire completion (<60 years; 60-69 years; 70+ years), education (university degree vs. no university degree) and quintile of practice-level postcode linked deprivation. Bold is used to denote statistical significance.

## Determinants of HRQoL, anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors

Younger age, more advanced disease at diagnosis, receipt of chemotherapy, not being menopausal were all strongly associated with poorer HRQoL for both generic and cancer-specific domains (Table 7.5). In addition, women with no higher education, and who did not live alone had poorer HRQoL for the cancer-specific domains. Symptoms of anxiety were associated with younger age, receipt of chemotherapy, and not being menopausal (Table 7.6). For depression, only living in a more affluent area was associated with more symptoms.

Figures 7.1 and 7.2 show the variation of the summary scores, as well as individual HRQoL domain scores, by age, education, chemotherapy and stage at diagnosis. After adjusting for socio-demographic and clinical variables, older women reported significantly better HRQoL than women in the youngest age group (34-60 years) for all domains except positive feelings, pain, fatigue, benefits of cancer, and family-related distress (Table 7.7). Women with higher education had better HRQoL for several domains, but only pain, family-related distress and distress with recurrence were significantly lower after adjusting for confounders (Table 7.8). Women treated with chemotherapy had poorer HRQoL for a number of domains, but significant differences were only observed for cognitive problems, appearance concerns, and distress with recurrence after adjusting for confounders (Table 7.9). For stage, in adjusted models, significantly worse HRQoL in women with more advanced disease, compared to those diagnosed with localised tumours, was found for cognitive problems, pain, sexual function, financial problems, distress with appearance and recurrence (Table 7.10). Anxiety and depression scores did not vary by exposure to chemotherapy, stage at diagnosis, or education in adjusted models. However, women aged 70-81 years had significantly less anxiety and depressive symptoms, compared to women aged 34-59.

Table 7.5	HRQoL in breast cancer survivors by socio-demographic, clinical and treatment
characteris	ics (N=353).

		G	eneric	domain	S:	Cancer-specific domains:							
		5	summa	ry score	)	summary score							
	No.	Mean	SD	β	95%CI	No.	Mean	SD	β	95%CI			
Age group													
34-59 years	92	86.4	30.7	Ref.		99	47.4	21.3	Ref.				
60-69 years	118	81.7	28.7	-4.7	-13.4 to 4.0	129	43.1	18.2	-4.3	-10.3 to 1.7			
70-81 years	105	73.6	27.0	-12.8	-22.9 to -2.6	119	37.9	18.3	-9.5	-14.8 to -4.1			
IMD quintile													
1 (most deprived)	66	73.6	26.0	Ref.		70	41.3	18.2	Ref.				
2	50	81.5	30.7	7.9	-0.3 to 16.2	54	42.1	18.8	0.8	-5.8 to 7.4			
3	48	79.9	23.5	6.3	-2.8 to 15.4	52	42.8	19.5	1.5	-4.1 to 7.1			
4	120	82.6	30.1	9.0	-0.2 to 18.2	137	42.1	19.3	0.8	-3.6 to 5.3			
5 (least deprived)	31	85.1	35.4	11.6	-1.9 to 25.0	34	47.2	23.9	5.9	-2.9 to 14.8			
Higher education													
No	198	82.9	30.3	Ref.		214	44.4	20.6	Ref.				
Yes	85	76.4	26.2	-6.5	-14.8 to 1.7	91	38.5	16.5	-5.9	-10.5 to -1.3			
Living arrangements													
Not alone	250	81.6	29.1	Ref.		267	44.0	19.4	Ref.				
Alone	59	75.1	28.3	-6.5	-15.5 to 2.5	73	38.6	19.5	-5.4	-9.7 to -1.0			
Type of surgery													
Lumpectomy	188	80.6	28.1	Ref.		207	41.5	18.1	Ref.				
Mastectomy	112	81.5	31.6	0.94	-6.4 to 8.2	121	45.4	21.3	3.9	-1.1 to 8.9			
Reconstruction													
No	277	79.3	28.8	Ref.		305	41.8	18.9	Ref.				
Yes	38	87.9	30.6	8.6	-1.0 to 18.3	42	47.8	22.8	6.0	-0.02 to 12.1			
Radiotherapy													
No	59	74.8	31.3	Ref.		68	40.0	17.1	Ref.				
Yes	256	81.6	28.5	6.8	-0.4 to 14.0	279	43.1	20.0	3.1	-0.7 to 7.0			
Chemotherapy													
No	183	76.6	28.4	Ref.		206	38.1	17.1	Ref.				
Yes	132	85.5	29.4	8.9	3.9 to 13.9	141	49.0	20.9	11.0	7.4 to 14.5			
Hormone therapy													
No	160	79.3	28.5	Ref.		177	41.4	17.8	Ref.				
Yes	155	81.5	29.8	2.1	-4.1 to 8.4	170	43.7	21.0	2.3	-1.6 to 6.3			
Immune therapy													
No	309	80.3	29.1	Ref		341	42.3	19.3	Ref.				
Yes	6	82.2	33.8	1.8	-22 4 to 26 0	6	54.5	26.2	12.2	-7.8 to 32.2			
Stage at diagnosis	· ·	0	00.0	1.0	-22.4 (0 20.0	· ·	0.110						
Farly	169	76.7	27.8	Ref.		187	39.8	17.5	Ref.				
Advanced	142	85.3	30.1	8.6	2.4 to 14.9	154	46.5	21.2	6.7	2.0 to 11.4			
Status of disease									••••				
Remission	287	78.8	28.7	Ref.		314	41.1	18.9	Ref.				
Active disease	3	79.3	34.3	0.5	-34.1 to 35.1	6	52.2	21.5	11.0	-8.3 to 30.3			
Menopausal status													
Menopausal	216	77.7	29.1	Ref.		239	40.8	18.6	Ref.				
Not menopausal	99	86.2	28.4	8.5	2.1 to 14.9	108	46.4	20.8	5.6	1.2 to 10.0			
Time since diagnosis													
≤10 years	215	80.0	28.9	Ref		242	42.0	19.9	Ref.				
>10 years	100	81.1	29.8	1 1	-6 1 to 8 2	105	43.7	18.5	1.6	-3.6 to 6.9			
10 90010	100	01.1	20.0	1.1	-0.1 10 0.2	.00	.0.7	.0.0	1.0	0.0 10 0.0			

No.: number; SD: standard deviation.

Bold text is used to denote that the differences between the two groups were supported by some statistical evidence.

**Table 7.6** Anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors by sociodemographic, clinical and treatment characteristics (N=353).

No.MeanSD $\beta$ 95%ClNo.MeanSD $\beta$ 95%ClAge group 34-59 years1007.94.4Ref.1004.13.8Ref.60-69 years1296.74.7-1.1-2.5 to 0.181293.63.4-0.5-1.5 to 0.4T/0-31 years1196.04.5-1.8-3.1 to 0.51203.33.6-0.8-2.1 to 0.41MD quintile1 (more deprived)717.24.6Ref.712.93.4Ref.2526.34.3-0.8-2.5 to 0.3523.33.0-0.4 to 1.03525.74.0-1.5-2.7 to -0.3523.33.6-0.4 to 1.041387.14.7-0.02-1.2 to 1.21394.13.81.40.1 to 2.6EducationNo graduate degree2146.84.7Ref.2143.93.7Ref.Mot alone2687.04.6Ref.2163.53.48.61.71.0 to 0.2Lumpectomy2096.64.4Ref.2103.53.48.61.01.3 to 0.8Not alone2687.04.6Ref.2103.53.48.61.01.1 to 1.1Remateromy2096.64.4Ref.2103.53.48.61.71.0 to 0.2Not alone2881.1-				Anx	iety		Depression							
Age group         34-59 years         100         7.9         4.4         Ref.         100         4.1         3.8         Ref.           60-69 years         129         6.7         4.7         1.1         -2.5 to 0.18         129         3.3         3.6         -0.8         -2.1 to 0.4           70-81 years         119         6.0         4.5         -1.8         -3.1 to -0.5         120         3.3         3.6         -0.8         -2.1 to 0.4           IMD quintile         1         (more deprived)         71         7.2         4.6         Ref.         71         2.9         3.4         Ref.           2         52         6.3         4.0         -1.5         -2.7 to -0.3         52         3.3         2.5         0.4         -0.3 to 1.0           4         138         7.1         4.7         -0.02         -1.2 to 1.2         139         4.1         8.8         1.4         0.1 to 2.6           Education         No         graduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements         No         70         6.2         4.6<		No.	Mean	SD	β	95%CI	No.	Mean	SD	β	95%CI			
34-59 years         100         7.9         4.4         Ref.         100         4.1         3.8         Ref.           60-69 years         129         6.7         4.7         -1.1         -2.5 to 0.18         129         3.6         3.4         -0.5         -1.5 to 0.4           70-61 years         10         4.5         -1.8         -3.1 to -0.5         120         3.3         6.0.5         -2.1 to 0.4           1 (more deprived)         71         7.2         4.6         Ref.         71         2.9         3.4         Ref.           2         52         6.3         4.3         -0.8         -2.5 to 0.8         52         3.3         2.5         0.4         -0.3 to 1.0           4         138         7.1         4.7         -0.02         -1.2 to 1.2         139         4.1         3.8         1.4         0.1 to 2.6           Education         No graduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements         No arrangements         No         7.0         4.6         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref. <td>Age group</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td>	Age group				•					•	1			
60-69 years       129       6.7       4.7       -1.1       -2.5 to 0.18       129       3.6       3.4       -0.5       -1.5 to 0.4         MD quintile       1       6.0       4.5       -1.8       -3.1 to -0.5       120       3.3       3.6       -0.8       -2.1 to 0.4         2       52       6.3       4.3       -0.8       -2.5 to 0.8       52       3.3       3.4       Ref.         2       52       6.3       4.3       -0.8       -2.5 to 0.3       52       3.3       2.5       0.4       -0.3 to 1.0         3       52       5.7       4.0       -1.5 <b>-2.7 to 0.3</b> 52       3.3       2.5       0.4       -0.3 to 1.0         4       138       7.1       4.7       -0.02       -1.2 to 1.2       139       4.1       3.8 <b>1.2</b> 0.3 to 1.0         4       138       7.1       4.7       -0.0       -1.0 to 1.8       32       3.0       -0.8       -1.7 to 0.02         Living arrangements       7.2       4.6       Ref.       2.0 to 0.4       74       3.4       3.5       -0.3       -1.3 to 0.8         No talone       268       7.0       4.6       Ref.	34-59 years	100	7.9	4.4	Ref.		100	4.1	3.8	Ref.				
Top-Biyears       119       6.0       4.5       -1.8       -3.1 to -0.5       120       3.3       3.6       -0.8       -2.1 to 0.4         IMD quintile	60-69 years	129	6.7	4.7	-1.1	-2.5 to 0.18	129	3.6	3.4	-0.5	-1.5 to 0.4			
IMD quintile         (more deprived)         71         7.2         8.4         7.1         7.1         2.9         3.4         Ref.           2         52         6.3         4.3         -0.8         -2.5 to 0.8         52         3.3         3.1         0.3         -0.4 to 1.0           3         52         5.7         4.0         -1.5         -2.7 to -0.3         52         3.3         3.1         0.3         -0.4 to 1.0           4         138         7.1         4.7         -0.02         -1.2 to 1.2         139         4.1         8.8         1.2         0.3 to 2.1           5 (least deprived)         35         7.2         5.0         0.06         -1.6 to 1.8         32         3.3         4.8         1.4         0.1 to 2.6           Education	70-81 years	119	6.0	4.5	-1.8	-3.1 to -0.5	120	3.3	3.6	-0.8	-2.1 to 0.4			
1 (more deprived)         71         7.2         4.6         Ref.         71         2.9         3.4         Ref.           2         52         6.3         4.3         -0.8         -2.5 to 0.8         52         3.3         3.1         0.3         -0.4 to 1.0           3         52         5.7         4.0         -1.5         -2.7 to 0.3         52         3.3         2.5         0.4         -0.3 to 1.0           4         138         7.1         4.7         -0.02         -1.2 to 1.2         139         4.1         3.8         1.2         0.3 to 2.1           5 (least deprived)         35         7.2         5.0         0.06         -1.6 to 1.8         3.9         3.7         Ref.           Clearduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements         100         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210 to 2.4         7.8         3.6         Ref.           Mostectomy         119         7.3         4.7         R.7 </td <td>IMD quintile</td> <td></td>	IMD quintile													
2       52       6.3       4.3       -0.8       -2.5 to 0.8       52       3.3       3.1       0.3       -0.4 to 1.0         3       52       5.7       4.0       -1.5       -2.7 to -0.3       52       3.3       3.1       0.3       -0.4 to 1.0         4       138       7.1       4.7       -0.02       -1.2 to 1.2       139       4.1       3.8       1.4       0.1 to 2.6         Education       No graduate degree       91       7.2       4.0       0.4       -1.0 to 1.8       92       3.0       -0.8       -1.7 to 0.02         Living arrangements       No graduate degree       91       7.2       4.0       0.4       -1.0 to 1.8       92       3.0       -0.8       -1.7 to 0.02         Living arrangements       No talone       268       7.0       4.6       Ref.       210       3.5       3.4       Ref.         Mastectomy       109       6.6       4.4       Ref.       210       3.5       3.4       Ref.         Yes       411       7.3       4.7       7.7       -0.4 to 1.8       119       3.9       3.8       0.4       -0.6 to 1.3         Breast reconstruction       No       306 <td< td=""><td>1 (more deprived)</td><td>71</td><td>7.2</td><td>4.6</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>71</td><td>2.9</td><td>3.4</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td></td<>	1 (more deprived)	71	7.2	4.6	Ref.		71	2.9	3.4	Ref.				
3       52       5.7       4.0       -1.5       -2.7 to -0.3       52       3.3       2.5       0.4       -0.3 to 1.0         4       138       7.1       4.7       -0.02       -1.2 to 1.2       139       4.1       3.8       1.2       0.3 to 2.1         5 (least deprived)       35       7.2       5.0       0.06       -1.6 to 1.8       35       4.3       4.8       1.4       0.1 to 2.6         Education	2	52	6.3	4.3	-0.8	-2.5 to 0.8	52	3.3	3.1	0.3	-0.4 to 1.0			
4       138       7.1       4.7       -0.02       -1.2 to 1.2       139       4.1       3.8       1.2       0.3 to 2.1         5 (least deprived)       35       7.2       5.0       0.06       -1.6 to 1.8       35       4.3       4.8       1.4       0.1 to 2.6         Education       No graduate degree       214       6.8       4.7       Ref.       214       3.9       3.7       Ref.         Graduate degree       91       7.2       4.0       0.4       -1.0 to 1.8       92       3.0       3.0       -0.8       -1.7 to 0.02         Living arrangements       Not alone       268       7.0       4.6       Ref.       268       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Not alone       73       6.2       4.6       Pote       210       3.5       3.4       Ref.         Lumpectomy       209       6.6       4.4       Ref.       210       3.5       3.4       Ref.         Mastectomy       119       7.3       4.7       0.7       -0.4 to 1.8       119       3.9       3.8       0.4       -0.6 to 1.3         Breast reconstruction       No       306       6.7       4.5       Ref.       206	3	52	5.7	4.0	-1.5	-2.7 to -0.3	52	3.3	2.5	0.4	-0.3 to 1.0			
5 (least deprived)         35         7.2         5.0         0.06         -1.6 to 1.8         35         4.3         4.8         1.4         0.1 to 2.6           Education         No graduate degree         214         6.8         4.7         Ref.         214         3.9         3.7         Ref.           Graduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements         73         6.2         4.6         Ref.         268         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Alone         73         6.2         4.6         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         No         366         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         Ref.           Yes         211         7.8 <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>138</td><td>7.1</td><td>4.7</td><td>-0.02</td><td>-1.2 to 1.2</td><td>139</td><td>4.1</td><td>3.8</td><td>1.2</td><td>0.3 to 2.1</td></t<>	4	138	7.1	4.7	-0.02	-1.2 to 1.2	139	4.1	3.8	1.2	0.3 to 2.1			
Education No graduate degree Graduate degree         214         6.8         4.7         Ref.         214         3.9         3.7         Ref.           Graduate degree Graduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements Not alone         268         7.0         4.6         Ref.         268         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Alone         73         6.2         4.6         -0.8         -2.0 to 0.4         74         3.4         3.5         -0.3         -1.3 to 0.8           Type of surgery         Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         6.6         -0.1         -1.1 t	5 (least deprived)	35	7.2	5.0	0.06	-1.6 to 1.8	35	4.3	4.8	1.4	0.1 to 2.6			
No graduate degree Graduate degree         214         6.8         4.7         Ref.         214         3.9         3.7         Ref.           Living arrangements          1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements	Education													
Graduate degree         91         7.2         4.0         0.4         -1.0 to 1.8         92         3.0         3.0         -0.8         -1.7 to 0.02           Living arrangements         Not alone         268         7.0         4.6         Ref.         268         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Alone         73         6.2         4.6         -0.8         -2.0 to 0.4         74         3.4         3.5         -0.3         -1.3 to 0.8           Type of surgery         Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         Mo         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         4.1         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         422         3.6         3.6         6.7         1.1 to 1.1           Radiotherapy         No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6	No graduate degree	214	6.8	4.7	Ref.		214	3.9	3.7	Ref.				
Living arrangements Not alone         268         7.0         4.6         Ref.         268         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Alone         73         6.2         4.6         -0.8         -2.0 to 0.4         74         3.4         3.5         -0.3         -1.3 to 0.8           Type of surgery         Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         4.1         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         133         7.5         4.3         1.1	Graduate degree	91	7.2	4.0	0.4	-1.0 to 1.8	92	3.0	3.0	-0.8	-1.7 to 0.02			
Not alone         268         7.0         4.6         Ref.         268         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Alone         73         6.2         4.6         -0.8         -2.0 to 0.4         74         3.4         3.5         -0.3         -1.3 to 0.8           Type of surgery         Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.6         0.01         -1.1 to 1.1           Radiotherapy         No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5	Living arrangements													
Alone       73       6.2       4.6       -0.8       -2.0 to 0.4       74       3.4       3.5       -0.3       -1.3 to 0.8         Type of surgery       Lumpectomy       209       6.6       4.4       Ref.       210       3.5       3.4       Ref.         Mastectomy       119       7.3       4.7       0.7       -0.4 to 1.8       119       3.9       3.8       0.4       -0.6 to 1.3         Breast reconstruction       No       306       6.7       4.5       Ref.       307       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       41       7.8       4.8       Ref.       0.2 to 2.4       42       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       281       6.8       4.6       Ref.       68       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Yes       281       6.8       4.6       Ref.       206       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       143       7.5       4.3       1.1       0.2 to 2.0       143       3.7       3.5       0.2       -0.6 to 0.9         Momone therapy       No       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         <	Not alone	268	7.0	4.6	Ref.		268	3.7	3.6	Ref.				
Type of surgery         Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction         No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Radiotherapy           6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         0.0         -1.1 to 1.1         281         3.6         Ref.           Yes         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         173         6.8         4.7         Ref.         170 to 2.0         143	Alone	73	6.2	4.6	-0.8	-2.0 to 0.4	74	3.4	3.5	-0.3	-1.3 to 0.8			
Lumpectomy         209         6.6         4.4         Ref.         210         3.5         3.4         Ref.           Mastectomy         119         7.3         4.7         0.7         -0.4 to 1.8         119         3.9         3.8         0.4         -0.6 to 1.3           Breast reconstruction No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.5         0.01         -1.1 to 1.1           Radiotherapy         No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         5.0         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Immune therapy         No         175         6.8         4.6	Type of surgery													
Mastectomy       119       7.3       4.7       0.7       -0.4 to 1.8       119       3.9       3.8       0.4       -0.6 to 1.3         Breast reconstruction No       306       6.7       4.5       Ref.       307       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       41       7.8       4.8       1.1       -0.2 to 2.4       42       3.6       3.6       Ref.         No       67       6.8       4.6       Ref.       68       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Yes       281       6.8       4.6       Ref.       68       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Yes       281       6.8       4.6       Ref.       206       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       143       7.5       4.3       1.1       0.2 to 2.0       143       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Yes       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       206       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       173       6.8       4.4       0.0       -1.3 to 1.2       173       3.7       3.	Lumpectomy	209	6.6	4.4	Ref.		210	3.5	3.4	Ref.				
Breast reconstruction No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.5         0.01         -1.1 to 1.1           Radiotherapy No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         0.0         -1.1 to 1.1         281         3.6         3.6         -0.1         -1.0 to 0.8           Chemotherapy No         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy No         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         173         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         173         6.8         4.7         Ref.         173         3.7         3.6         0.04         -0.8 to 0.9           Immune therapy No         342         6.8         4.6	Mastectomy	119	7.3	4.7	0.7	-0.4 to 1.8	119	3.9	3.8	0.4	-0.6 to 1.3			
No         306         6.7         4.5         Ref.         307         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         41         7.8         4.8         1.1         -0.2 to 2.4         42         3.6         3.5         0.01         -1.1 to 1.1           Radiotherapy         No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         Ref.         281         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         283         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy         No         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         176         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         173         6.8         4.7         Ref.         173         3.7         3.6         0.04         -0.8 to 0.9           Immune therapy         No         342         6.8         4.6         Ref.         343	Breast reconstruction													
Yes       41       7.8       4.8       1.1       -0.2 to 2.4       42       3.6       3.5       0.01       -1.1 to 1.1         Radiotherapy No       67       6.8       4.6       Ref.       68       3.7       3.6       Ref.         Yes       281       6.8       4.6       0.0       -1.1 to 1.1       281       3.6       3.6       Ref.         No       205       6.4       4.7       Ref.       206       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       143       7.5       4.3       1.1       0.2 to 2.0       143       3.7       3.5       0.2       -0.6 to 0.9         Hormone therapy       No       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       173       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       173       6.8       4.4       0.0       -1.3 to 1.2       173       3.7       3.6       0.04       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy       No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       2.0       -0.1	No	306	6.7	4.5	Ref.		307	3.6	3.6	Ref.				
Radiotherapy         No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         0.0         -1.1 to 1.1         281         3.6         3.6         -0.1         -1.0 to 0.8           Chemotherapy         No         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy	Yes	41	7.8	4.8	1.1	-0.2 to 2.4	42	3.6	3.5	0.01	-1.1 to 1.1			
No         67         6.8         4.6         Ref.         68         3.7         3.6         Ref.           Yes         281         6.8         4.6         0.0         -1.1 to 1.1         281         3.6         3.6         -0.1         -1.0 to 0.8           Chemotherapy         No         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy         No         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         176         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         176         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         173         3.7         3.6         0.04         -0.8 to 0.9           Immune therapy         No         342         6.8         4.6         Ref.         343         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         6         7.8         5.2         1.0         -2.9 to 5.	Radiotherapy													
Yes       281       6.8       4.6       0.0       -1.1 to 1.1       281       3.6       3.6       -0.1       -1.0 to 0.8         Chemotherapy No       205       6.4       4.7       Ref.       206       3.6       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       143       7.5       4.3       1.1       0.2 to 2.0       143       3.7       3.5       0.2       -0.6 to 0.9         Hormone therapy No       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       173       3.7       3.6       0.04       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis          3.3       3.6       3.6            Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       Ref.	No	67	6.8	4.6	Ref.		68	3.7	3.6	Ref.				
Chemotherapy         No         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy	Yes	281	6.8	4.6	0.0	-1.1 to 1.1	281	3.6	3.6	-0.1	-1.0 to 0.8			
No         205         6.4         4.7         Ref.         206         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         143         7.5         4.3         1.1         0.2 to 2.0         143         3.7         3.5         0.2         -0.6 to 0.9           Hormone therapy         No         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         176         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         173         6.8         4.4         0.0         -1.3 to 1.2         173         3.7         3.6         0.04         -0.8 to 0.9           Immune therapy         No         342         6.8         4.6         Ref.         343         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         6         7.8         5.2         1.0         -2.9 to 5.0         6         3.5         4.0         -0.1         -3.1 to 2.9           Stage at diagnosis         Early         187         6.6         4.5         Ref.         188         3.4         3.3         Ref.           Advanced         155         7.2         4.7         0.5         -0.7 to 1.8         155         3.9         3.8         0.5         -0.4 to 1.4           Current status of	Chemotherapy													
Yes       143       7.5       4.3       1.1       0.2 to 2.0       143       3.7       3.5       0.2       -0.6 to 0.9         Hormone therapy No       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       173       6.8       4.4       0.0       -1.3 to 1.2       173       3.7       3.6       0.04       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy       No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       107       8.0       4.5       Ref.       242 <td>No</td> <td>205</td> <td>6.4</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>Ref.</td> <td></td> <td>206</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>Ref.</td> <td></td>	No	205	6.4	4.7	Ref.		206	3.6	3.6	Ref.				
Hormone therapy         No         175         6.8         4.7         Ref.         176         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         173         6.8         4.4         0.0         -1.3 to 1.2         173         3.7         3.6         0.04         -0.8 to 0.9           Immune therapy	Yes	143	7.5	4.3	1.1	0.2 to 2.0	143	3.7	3.5	0.2	-0.6 to 0.9			
No       175       6.8       4.7       Ref.       176       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       173       6.8       4.4       0.0       -1.3 to 1.2       173       3.7       3.6       0.04       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy       No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Menopausal status       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.	Hormone therapy													
Yes       173       6.8       4.4       0.0       -1.3 to 1.2       173       3.7       3.6       0.04       -0.8 to 0.9         Immune therapy No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5         Time since diagnosis       107       8.0       4.5       1.7	No	175	6.8	4.7	Ref.		176	3.6	3.6	Ref.				
Immune therapy         342         6.8         4.6         Ref.         343         3.6         3.6         Ref.           Yes         6         7.8         5.2         1.0         -2.9 to 5.0         6         3.5         4.0         -0.1         -3.1 to 2.9           Stage at diagnosis         Early         187         6.6         4.5         Ref.         188         3.4         3.3         Ref.           Advanced         155         7.2         4.7         0.5         -0.7 to 1.8         155         3.9         3.8         0.5         -0.4 to 1.4           Current status of disease         7         10.7         7.0         4.2         -2.3 to 10.7         7         6.1         4.8         2.9         -1.2 to 6.9           Menopausal status         315         6.5         4.5         Ref.         315         3.3         3.3         Ref.           Not menopausal         241         6.3         4.5         Ref.         242         3.5         3.5         Ref.           Not menopausal         107         8.0         4.5         1.7         0.5 to 2.8         107         3.9         3.7         0.4         -0.6 to 1.5	Yes	173	6.8	4.4	0.0	-1.3 to 1.2	173	3.7	3.6	0.04	-0.8 to 0.9			
No       342       6.8       4.6       Ref.       343       3.6       3.6       Ref.         Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.       Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       Menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5         Time since diagnosis       Since d	Immune therapy													
Yes       6       7.8       5.2       1.0       -2.9 to 5.0       6       3.5       4.0       -0.1       -3.1 to 2.9         Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5         Time since diagnosis       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5	No	342	6.8	4.6	Ref.		343	3.6	3.6	Ref.				
Stage at diagnosis       Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5         Time since diagnosis       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5	Yes	6	7.8	5.2	1.0	-2.9 to 5.0	6	3.5	4.0	-0.1	-3.1 to 2.9			
Early       187       6.6       4.5       Ref.       188       3.4       3.3       Ref.         Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease       Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       Menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5         Time since diagnosis       107       8.0       4.5       1.7       0.5 to 2.8       107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5	Stage at diagnosis													
Advanced       155       7.2       4.7       0.5       -0.7 to 1.8       155       3.9       3.8       0.5       -0.4 to 1.4         Current status of disease Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status Menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5	Early	187	6.6	4.5	Ref.		188	3.4	3.3	Ref.				
Current status of disease         315         6.5         4.5         Ref.         315         3.3         3.3         Ref.           Active disease         7         10.7         7.0         4.2         -2.3 to 10.7         7         6.1         4.8         2.9         -1.2 to 6.9           Menopausal status         Menopausal         241         6.3         4.5         Ref.         242         3.5         3.5         Ref.           Not menopausal         107         8.0         4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107         3.9         3.7         0.4         -0.6 to 1.5	Advanced	155	7.2	4.7	0.5	-0.7 to 1.8	155	3.9	3.8	0.5	-0.4 to 1.4			
Remission       315       6.5       4.5       Ref.       315       3.3       3.3       Ref.         Active disease       7       10.7       7.0       4.2       -2.3 to 10.7       7       6.1       4.8       2.9       -1.2 to 6.9         Menopausal status       Menopausal       241       6.3       4.5       Ref.       242       3.5       3.5       Ref.         Not menopausal       107       8.0       4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107       3.9       3.7       0.4       -0.6 to 1.5	Current status of diseas	е												
Active disease         7         10.7         7.0         4.2         -2.3 to 10.7         7         6.1         4.8         2.9         -1.2 to 6.9           Menopausal status         Menopausal         241         6.3         4.5         Ref.         242         3.5         3.5         Ref.           Not menopausal         107         8.0         4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107         3.9         3.7         0.4         -0.6 to 1.5           Time since diagnosis         Image: Content of the second s	Remission	315	6.5	4.5	Ref.		315	3.3	3.3	Ref.				
Menopausal status         Menopausal         241         6.3         4.5         Ref.         242         3.5         3.5         Ref.           Not menopausal         107         8.0         4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107         3.9         3.7         0.4         -0.6 to 1.5           Time since diagnosis         Contract of the second se	Active disease	7	10.7	7.0	4.2	-2.3 to 10.7	7	6.1	4.8	2.9	-1.2 to 6.9			
Menopausal         241         6.3         4.5         Ref.         242         3.5         3.5         Ref.           Not menopausal         107         8.0         4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107         3.9         3.7         0.4         -0.6 to 1.5           Time since diagnosis         Contract of the second se	Menopausal status													
Not menopausal 107 8.0 4.5 <b>1.7 0.5 to 2.8</b> 107 3.9 3.7 0.4 -0.6 to 1.5 <b>Time since diagnosis</b>	Menopausal	241	6.3	4.5	Ref.		242	3.5	3.5	Ref.				
Time since diagnosis	Not menopausal	107	8.0	4.5	1.7	0.5 to 2.8	107	3.9	3.7	0.4	-0.6 to 1.5			
	Time since diagnosis													
<10 years 242 6.7 4.6 Ref. 243 3.6 3.6 Ref.	<10 years	242	6.7	4.6	Ref.		243	3.6	3.6	Ref.				
≥10 years 106 7.1 4.5 0.4 -0.8 to 1.6 106 3.8 3.5 0.2 -0.7 to 1.1	≥10 years	<u>1</u> 06	7.1	4.5	0.4	-0.8 to 1.6	106	3.8	3.5	0.2	-0.7 to 1.1			

#### Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

No.: number; SD: standard deviation. Bold text is used to denote that the differences between the two groups were supported by some statistical evidence.



Figure 7.2 Mean scores of HRQoL and anxiety and depression, by age at questionnaire response and education (N=353).



**Figure 7.3** Mean scores of HRQoL and anxiety and depression, by exposure to chemotherapy and stage at diagnosis in breast cancer survivors (N=353).

Table 7.7	Associations between age and gu	ualitv of life. a	nxietv and dep	pression in breast c	ancer survivors (N=353).

		Unad	justed a	ssociation		adj	1: -demogra es¹	Model 2: model 1 + stage and chemoth				nerapy			
	<60	60-69 70-81			)-81	<60	60-69		70-81		<60	60-69		70	-81
	years	yea	ars	ye	ars	years	yea	ars	ye	ears	years	ye	ars	ye	ars
		β	Ρ	β	Ρ		β	Ρ	β	Ρ		β	Ρ	β	Р
Quality of Life in Adult Cance	r Survivo	rs Scal	е												
Generic domains															
Negative feelings	Ref.	-1.0	0.16	-2.1	0.03	Ref.	-1.4	0.07	-2.4	0.01	Ref.	-1.3	0.10	-2.2	0.03
Positive feelings	Ref.	0.3	0.57	1.3	0.12	Ref.	0.6	0.36	1.8	0.04	Ref.	0.4	0.51	1.6	0.08
Cognitive problems	Ref.	-1.1	0.13	-2.7	<0.001	Ref.	-1.6	0.04	-2.7	0.001	Ref.	-1.3	0.11	-2.2	0.01
Pain	Ref.	0.1	0.87	-0.5	0.62	Ref.	-0.1	0.93	-0.7	0.47	Ref.	0.1	0.87	-0.6	0.58
Sexual function	Ref.	-2.1	0.08	-3.3	0.002	Ref.	-3.5	0.01	-4.5	<0.001	Ref.	-3.3	0.01	-4.5	<0.001
Energy/Fatigue	Ref.	-0.3	0.61	-0.3	0.66	Ref.	-0.8	0.28	-0.7	0.38	Ref.	-0.7	0.32	-0.8	0.35
Avoidance	Ref.	-0.6	0.47	-2.3	0.02	Ref.	-1.1	0.21	-2.4	0.02	Ref.	-1.0	0.27	-2.3	0.04
Summary score	Ref.	-4.7	0.28	-12.8	0.01	Ref.	-9.2	0.05	-15.8	0.002	Ref.	-8.2	0.08	-14.8	0.01
Cancer specific domains															
Financial problems	Ref.	-2.3	0.01	-3.0	<0.001	Ref.	-2.2	0.03	-2.8	<0.001	Ref.	-2.1	0.04	-2.6	<0.001
Benefits of cancer	Ref.	-1.5	0.15	0.7	0.52	Ref.	-0.9	0.37	0.5	0.63	Ref.	-0.8	0.41	0.5	0.68
Distress-family	Ref.	0.3	0.80	-0.3	0.73	Ref.	0.5	0.57	-1.2	0.11	Ref.	0.5	0.57	-1.0	0.21
Appearance	Ref.	-1.2	0.14	-3.2	<0.001	Ref.	-1.6	0.06	-4.1	<0.001	Ref.	-1.0	0.26	-3.0	0.001
Distress-recurrence	Ref.	-1.3	0.22	-3.0	0.002	Ref.	-1.5	0.15	-3.4	0.002	Ref.	-1.1	0.32	-2.5	0.02
Summary score	Ref.	-4.3	0.15	-9.5	<0.001	Ref.	-4.8	0.12	-11.4	<0.001	Ref.	-3.6	0.26	-9.1	0.001
Hospital Anxiety and Depress	sion Scale	)													
Anxiety	Ref.	-1.1	0.09	-1.8	0.01	Ref.	-1.0	0.14	-1.8	0.01	Ref.	-0.9	0.20	-1.7	0.03
Depression	Ref.	-0.5	0.27	-0.8	0.19	Ref.	-0.6	0.21	-1.3	0.04	Ref.	-0.6	0.28	-1.3	0.04

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for education (university degree vs. no degree), practice postcode quintile level of the index of multiple deprivation (IMD), and country (Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, England).

Α	a	e
	-	-

## Table 7.8 Associations between education and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).

#### Education

	Unadjusted association			Model 1: socio-demog	adjusted raphic va	for iriables <sup>1</sup>	Model 2: model 1 + stage and chemotherapy				
	No higher education	lo higher education Higher edu		No higher education	Hi edu	gher cation	No higher education	Highe	er education		
		β	P-value		β	P-value		β	P-value		
Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors	Scale										
Generic domains											
Negative feelings	Ref.	-0.7	0.32	Ref.	-0.8	0.25	Ref.	-0.7	0.27		
Positive feelings	Ref.	0.4	0.62	Ref.	0.4	0.63	Ref.	0.3	0.73		
Cognitive problems	Ref.	-0.7	0.22	Ref.	-0.9	0.18	Ref.	-1.0	0.13		
Pain	Ref.	-1.8	0.01	Ref.	-1.9	0.01	Ref.	-2.0	0.01		
Sexual function	Ref.	-1.2	0.25	Ref.	-1.4	0.16	Ref.	-1.4	0.16		
Energy/Fatigue	Ref.	-1.2	0.08	Ref.	-1.3	0.07	Ref.	-1.3	0.06		
Avoidance	Ref.	-0.4	0.53	Ref.	-0.5	0.47	Ref.	-0.4	0.52		
Summary score	Ref.	-6.5	0.12	Ref.	-7.3	0.08	Ref.	-7.4	0.08		
Cancer-specific domains											
Financial problems	Ref.	-0.6	0.32	Ref.	-0.7	0.26	Ref.	-0.8	0.23		
Benefits of cancer	Ref.	-0.9	0.27	Ref.	-1.1	0.20	Ref.	-1.5	0.10		
Distress-family	Ref.	-3.0	<0.001	Ref.	-3.0	<0.001	Ref.	-3.0	<0.001		
Appearance	Ref.	-0.8	0.32	Ref.	-1.1	0.18	Ref.	-1.2	0.12		
Distress-recurrence	Ref.	-1.4	0.07	Ref.	-1.7	0.04	Ref.	-1.9	0.02		
Summary score	Ref.	-5.9	0.01	Ref.	-6.5	0.01	Ref.	-7.0	0.004		
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale											
Anxiety	Ref.	0.4	0.57	Ref.	0.3	0.69	Ref.	0.3	0.68		
Depression	Ref.	-0.8	0.06	Ref.	-0.8	0.10	Ref.	-0.7	0.15		

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age (<60, 60-69, 70-81 years), practice postcode quintile level of the index of multiple deprivation (IMD), and country (Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, England).

Table 7.9 Associations between exposure to chemotherapy and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).

	Unadjusted association			adju: demog	Model 1 sted for s raphic va	socio- iriables <sup>1</sup>	Model 2: model 1 + stage at diagnosis			
	No ChT	(	ChT	No ChT		ChT	No ChT	(	ChT	
		β	P-value		β	P-value		β	P-value	
Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale										
Generic domains										
Negative feelings	Ref.	1.7	0.004	Ref.	1.3	0.05	Ref.	1.0	0.15	
Positive feelings	Ref.	-0.7	0.21	Ref.	-0.4	0.49	Ref.	-0.6	0.39	
Cognitive problems	Ref.	2.0	<0.001	Ref.	1.9	0.003	Ref.	1.6	0.03	
Pain	Ref.	0.8	0.22	Ref.	0.9	0.29	Ref.	-0.1	0.90	
Sexual function	Ref.	1.6	0.01	Ref.	1.1	0.18	Ref.	0.1	0.91	
Energy/Fatigue	Ref.	0.1	0.77	Ref.	0.3	0.53	Ref.	-0.2	0.66	
Avoidance	Ref.	1.5	0.01	Ref.	1.0	0.09	Ref.	0.8	0.24	
Summary score	Ref.	8.9	<0.001	Ref.	8.0	0.02	Ref.	4.4	0.25	
Cancer specific domains										
Financial problems	Ref.	2.0	0.003	Ref.	1.4	0.06	Ref.	1.1	0.20	
Benefits of cancer	Ref.	0.9	0.24	Ref.	0.5	0.58	Ref.	0.0	0.98	
Distress-family	Ref.	1.2	0.05	Ref.	1.4	0.06	Ref.	1.0	0.20	
Appearance	Ref.	4.5	<0.001	Ref.	4.2	<0.001	Ref.	3.6	<0.001	
Distress-recurrence	Ref.	3.2	<0.001	Ref.	3.2	<0.001	Ref.	2.9	<0.001	
Summary score	Ref.	11.0	<0.001	Ref.	10.1	<0.001	Ref.	8.5	<0.001	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale										
Anxiety	Ref.	1.1	0.02	Ref.	0.9	0.10	Ref.	0.8	0.14	
Depression	Ref.	0.2	0.66	Ref.	0.0	0.92	Ref.	-0.2	0.63	

Chemotherapy exposure

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age (34-59, 60-69, 70-81 years), education (graduate degree: yes/no), practice postcode quintile level of the index of multiple deprivation (IMD), and country (Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, England). ChT = Chemotherapy.

Table 7.10 Associations between stage of at diagnosis and quality of life, anxiety and depression in breast cancer survivors (N=353).

	Unadjusted association			adj demo	Model ′ justed for ographic v	1: socio- variables <sup>1</sup>	Model 2: model 1 + chemotherapy			
	Early stage	Regi distant r	onal or netastases	Early stage	Regiona met	al or distant astases	Early stage	Regio distant m	onal or netastases	
		β	P-value		β	P-value		β	P-value	
Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale										
Generic domains										
Negative feelings	Ref.	1.2	0.08	Ref.	1.2	0.07	Ref.	1.2	0.07	
Positive feelings	Ref.	-0.3	0.70	Ref.	-0.1	0.85	Ref.	-0.1	0.85	
Cognitive problems	Ref.	1.2	0.01	Ref.	1.2	0.02	Ref.	1.2	0.02	
Pain	Ref.	2.0	0.003	Ref.	1.9	0.01	Ref.	1.9	0.01	
Sexual function	Ref.	2.0	0.01	Ref.	2.0	0.01	Ref.	2.0	0.01	
Energy/Fatigue	Ref.	0.8	0.16	Ref.	0.9	0.13 Ref.		0.9	0.13	
Avoidance	Ref.	1.1	0.09	Ref.	1.0	0.12	Ref.	1.0	0.12	
Summary score	Ref.	8.6	0.01	Ref.	9.7	0.002	Ref.	9.7	0.002	
Cancer specific domains										
Financial problems	Ref.	1.4	0.01	Ref.	1.0	0.04	Ref.	1.0	0.04	
Benefits of cancer	Ref.	0.4	0.56	Ref.	0.4	0.48	Ref.	0.4	0.48	
Distress-family	Ref.	0.8	0.38	Ref.	1.2	0.24	Ref.	1.2	0.24	
Appearance	Ref.	2.7	<0.001	Ref.	2.9	<0.001	Ref.	2.9	<0.001	
Distress-recurrence	Ref.	1.8	0.04	Ref.	1.7	0.05	Ref.	1.7	0.05	
Summary score	Ref.	6.7	0.01	Ref.	6.7	0.004	Ref.	6.7	0.004	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale										
Anxiety	Ref.	0.5	0.40	Ref.	0.5	0.38	Ref.	0.5	0.38	
Depression	Ref.	0.5	0.25	Ref.	0.4	0.36	Ref.	0.4	0.36	

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age (34-59, 60-69, 70-81 years), practice postcode quintile level of the index of multiple deprivation (IMD), and country (Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, England).

#### Discussion

Breast cancer survivors had more cognitive problems, sexual dysfunction, fatigue, and borderline to abnormal anxiety symptoms compared to women with no history of cancer. The poorer quality of life in breast cancer survivors compared to controls appeared to be driven by treatment with chemotherapy, and more advanced disease at diagnosis. Among breast cancer survivors, younger age, lower education, more advanced disease at diagnosis, and treatment with chemotherapy, were all independently associated with poorer HRQoL.

The increased cognitive problems, sexual dysfunction and fatigue in breast cancer survivors might be partially explained by the distress caused by the diagnosis and treatment, as well as physical and often permanent side effects of the breast cancer treatments. The direct toxic effect of chemotherapy regimens to the central nervous system may be involved in the pathophysiology of cognitive dysfunction [12, 13]. This is consistent with our results, where chemotherapy was independently associated with more cognitive problems, compared to both controls and breast cancer survivors not exposed to chemotherapy. Cognitive problems were also raised in women diagnosed with more advanced disease, independently of treatment with chemotherapy; this is also consistent with results from studies showing that post-traumatic stress may also be involved in the causation of cognitive dysfunction [14]. Fatigue is common during chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and is probably due to psychological and biological factors, such as depression and increased pro-inflammatory cytokines [15]. Sexual problems are often related to breast cancer treatments that lower circulating levels of oestrogen, and body imagine concerns after a surgery that inevitably changes breast appearance.

Our results on HRQoL varying by age, education, stage at diagnosis and treatment are consistent with the previous literature [16-20]. Post-traumatic growth, a phenomenon in which women appreciate life more after a traumatic event [21], is likely explain the better HRQoL of older women as they also had the highest scores for positive feelings and benefits of cancer.

This study has several strengths. We selected patients from the CPRD GOLD primary care database, which is representative of the UK population in terms of age, sex, and ethnicity [22]. Matching the groups by primary care practice and age is likely to have accounted for measurable and some unmeasurable confounding; we further collected data for education, ethnicity, and proxy of social support, which are known to be

imperfectly recorded in the patients' clinical records, and this allowed us to account for these variables in the analyses. The validity of the tools used to assess outcomes has been established. QLACS was specifically developed to assess HRQoL in long-term cancer survivors, and it has high validity and reliability, both in cancer patients early post-treatment [23] and in long-term survivors [24, 25]. HADS has also been validated for use in primary care [26]. Finally, our study was sufficiently powered for the main comparison of HRQoL between breast cancer survivors and controls, as we exceeded the target sample size.

However, this study also has limitations. The major threat to the validity of our results comes from the low participation rate (35% in the breast cancer survivors group and 17% in the control group). Even though this participation rate overall surpassed our estimate at study design of 20%, and is similar to participation rates in HRQoL studies among other cancer survivors in the UK [27], we cannot rule out selection bias where psychologically healthier women were more likely to participate. The broad demographic determinants of participation were similar between breast cancer survivors and controls, but we cannot rule out differential participation associated with the outcomes. Another limitation is that clinical information was self-reported, which may have led to some information bias, but we expect this to have a minor impact on our results. The QLACS was well accepted but some missing responses were observed, most often for items related to sexual interest and function, and social avoidance; our proportion of missing data was similar to another study assessing HRQoL with QLACS among cancer survivors in the UK [23]. It is unclear whether the missing responses were related to values themselves, but it is plausible that older women may not feel comfortable reporting their sexual function. In addition, older women may have fewer opportunities to engage in partnered sexual activity (e.g. widowed, erectile dysfunction in partners, etc.), and therefore consider these items not applicable to them. For the social avoidance domain, one item was left unanswered particularly often - it related to being 'reluctant to start new relationships'. We think this item might have been interpreted by the patients as starting new romantic relationships, and thus left blank due to no applicability.

The results of this study suggest that selected groups of breast cancer survivors in the UK may benefit from increased surveillance for mental health and consequences of treatment that negatively affect HRQoL, such as cognitive problems, sexual problems and fatigue. Early identification and management of problems related to these domains is likely to reduce the burden of the disease. In the most recent years, patient rehabilitation programs have been made available to help patients better understand

201

their disease and what can be expected from the cancer treatments. This is likely to help women to better cope with their disease, as well as raise awareness that help is available for these issues, and reduce the stigma associated with sensitive topics such as mental health and sexual function. It is also important to raise awareness among health care professionals that long-term breast cancer survivors may still experience important distress related to their history of cancer.

Future research on the HRQoL of breast cancer survivors in the UK should focus on interventions aimed at preventing declines in HRQoL in the long term after breast cancer, interventions aimed at improving HRQoL in patients currently reporting low levels of HRQoL, and assessing trends in HRQoL, as it is unclear whether modern treatments yield better HRQoL. Studies are also needed to assess whether women diagnosed with breast carcinomas *in situ* differ in terms of HRQoL from both breast cancer survivors and women with no history of cancer, as these tumours are treated similarly to early stage breast cancer.

In conclusion, breast cancer survivors in the UK reported raised risk of problems with cognition, sexual function, fatigue and borderline/probable anxiety, particularly where their cancer was advanced and/or treated with chemotherapy. This information can be used to tailor increased surveillance for mental health and HRQoL issues in these groups.

### References

- 1. Bray, F., et al., Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin, 2018. 68(6): p. 394-424.
- Allemani, C., et al., Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. Lancet, 2018. 391(10125): p. 1023-1075.
- 3. de Moor, J.S., et al., Cancer survivors in the United States: prevalence across the survivorship trajectory and implications for care. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2013. 22(4): p. 561-70.
- 4. Maddams, J., M. Utley, and H. Moller, Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer, 2012. 107(7): p. 1195-202.
- National Cancer Research Institute. The UK top living with and beyond cancer research priorities. 2019; Available from: https://www.ncri.org.uk/lwbc/allquestions/.
- Department of Health Quality Health, Quality of life of cancer survivors in England: Report on a pilot survey using Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS). 2012.
- 7. Zigmond, A.S. and R.P. Snaith, The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand, 1983. 67(6): p. 361-70.
- 8. Avis, N.E., et al., Assessing quality of life in adult cancer survivors (QLACS). Qual Life Res, 2005. 14(4): p. 1007-23.
- 9. N Avis, Personal Communication. 2013.
- 10. Bell, M.L., et al., Handling missing items in the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS): a simulation study. BMC Res Notes, 2016. 9(1): p. 479.
- 11. Alexander, S., C. Palmer, and P.C. Stone, Evaluation of screening instruments for depression and anxiety in breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2010. 122(2): p. 573-8.
- 12. Stewart, A., et al., A meta-analysis of the neuropsychological effects of adjuvant chemotherapy treatment in women treated for breast cancer. Clin Neuropsychol, 2006. 20(1): p. 76-89.
- 13. Ahles, T.A. and A.J. Saykin, Candidate mechanisms for chemotherapy-induced cognitive changes. Nat Rev Cancer, 2007. 7(3): p. 192-201.
- Hermelink, K., et al., Chemotherapy and Post-traumatic Stress in the Causation of Cognitive Dysfunction in Breast Cancer Patients. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2017. 109(10).
- 15. Fallowfield, L. and V. Jenkins, Psychosocial/survivorship issues in breast cancer: are we doing better? J Natl Cancer Inst, 2015. 107(1): p. 335.
- 16. Ganz, P.A., et al., Breast cancer in younger women: reproductive and late health effects of treatment. J Clin Oncol, 2003. 21(22): p. 4184-93.
- 17. Koch, L., et al., Quality of life in long-term breast cancer survivors a 10-year longitudinal population-based study. Acta Oncol, 2013. 52(6): p. 1119-28.

- 18. Maly, R.C., et al., Quality of life over 5 years after a breast cancer diagnosis among low-income women: effects of race/ethnicity and patient-physician communication. Cancer, 2015. 121(6): p. 916-26.
- 19. DiSipio, T., et al., Health-related quality of life 18 months after breast cancer: comparison with the general population of Queensland, Australia. Support Care Cancer, 2008. 16(10): p. 1141-50.
- 20. Ganz, P.A., et al., Quality of life in long-term, disease-free survivors of breast cancer: a follow-up study. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2002. 94(1): p. 39-49.
- 21. Petrie, K.J., et al., Positive effects of illness reported by myocardial infarction and breast cancer patients. J Psychosom Res, 1999. 47(6): p. 537-43.
- 22. Herrett, E., et al., Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol, 2015. 44(3): p. 827-36.
- Ashley, L., et al., Traditional and Rasch psychometric analyses of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) questionnaire in shorter-term cancer survivors 15 months post-diagnosis. J Psychosom Res, 2014. 77(4): p. 322-9.
- 24. Chopra, I. and K.M. Kamal, A systematic review of quality of life instruments in long-term breast cancer survivors. Health Qual Life Outcomes, 2012. 10: p. 14.
- 25. Muzzatti, B. and M.A. Annunziata, Assessing quality of life in long-term cancer survivors: a review of available tools. Support Care Cancer, 2013. 21(11): p. 3143-52.
- 26. Wilkinson, M.J. and P. Barczak, Psychiatric screening in general practice: comparison of the general health questionnaire and the hospital anxiety depression scale. J R Coll Gen Pract, 1988. 38(312): p. 311-3.
- 27. Santin, O., et al., Self-reported psychosocial needs and health-related quality of life of colorectal cancer survivors. Eur J Oncol Nurs, 2015. 19(4): p. 336-42.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 7.4 Summary

- This cross-sectional study aimed to assess HRQoL, anxiety, and depressive symptoms in long-term breast cancer survivors (>1 year), compared to women with no prior cancer.
- The CPRD GOLD primary care database was used to identify all women with history of breast in the participating practices, and a random sample of women who have never had cancer. The patient's GP confirmed their eligibility and posted the questionnaires. Outcomes were measured using QLACS and HADS.
- 353 women with a history of breast cancer (mean time since diagnosis 8.1 years) and 252 women with no prior cancer, from all four UK countries, participated in the study. These were 35% of the breast cancer survivors and 17% of the women with no history of cancer that were invited to participate.
- Breast cancer survivors had poorer HRQoL (higher mean QLACS score) in the domains of cognitive problems (adjusted β (aβ)=1.4, p=0.01), sexual function (aβ=1.7, p=0.02) and fatigue (aβ=1.3, p=0.01), compared to women with no history of cancer, but we found no evidence of difference in negative feelings, positive feelings, pain, or social avoidance. Breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy or diagnosed with more advanced disease, also had poorer HRQoL for the domain of negative feelings (chemotherapy: aβ=1.5, 95%CI: 0.2-2.7; stage: aβ=1.3, 95%CI: 0.2-1.5).
- Breast cancer survivors also had non-significantly higher odds of probable anxiety (HADS-anxiety score ≥11) than controls (adjusted OR (aOR)=1.40, 0.93-2.10), however there was strong evidence of a difference when a more sensitive threshold (score ≥8, "borderline/probable anxiety") was used, (aOR=1.47, 1.15-1.87). There were no differences in the odds of probable depression (aOR=1.18, 0.52-2.68).
- Poorer HRQoL and mental health outcomes were more pronounced among women with advanced-stage cancer at diagnosis, and/or prior treatment with chemotherapy.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 8 Comparison between patient-reported outcomes and data recorded in the patients' electronic health record

## 8.1 Introduction

Objective 6 of this thesis, and s secondary aim of the cross-sectional study described in Chapter 7, was to assess the feasibility of using EHRs to study aspects of mental health and quality of life that are more typically captured directly from patients. If such outcomes could be adequately captured using routinely collected health records data, this would allow for much larger and lower-cost studies compared to when direct patient involvement is required. This chapter focuses on the comparison between information on certain domains of HRQoL that were directly reported by the patients participating in the study (N=602), and the data registered in their EHRs in the CPRD GOLD primary care database.

### 8.2 Methods

## 8.3 Identifying patients with poor quality of life (patient-reported outcome)

The QLACS includes seven generic domains of HRQoL (i.e. negative feelings, positive feelings, fatigue, cognitive problems, sexual function, physical pain and avoidance). Of these, five are particularly suitable for comparison with the data recorded in the EHR because women with distressing levels for these domains may have visited their GP to seek help: 'negative feelings', 'cognitive problems', 'physical pain', 'sexual problems' and 'fatigue'. Read codes for the 'social avoidance' domain are also available, and therefore this domain was also included. Data on 'positive feelings' were not expected to be captured in GP records so are not considered further here.

The domains of negative feelings, cognitive problems, physical pain, sexual problems, fatigue and social avoidance have four items each. Responses to each item are given on a Likert-type of scale that varies between 1 (never) and 7 (always). To identify women who had high levels of distress for each domain, I calculated the arithmetic mean of their responses (i.e. the sum of the individual item scores divided by four; mean values range between one and seven). I considered as reporting important levels of distress all women with a mean of  $\geq 5$  (corresponding to average replies of frequently, very often or always) in the domain. As this is an arbitrary cut-off, two

sensitivity analyses were conducted: 1) using a lower cut-off of  $\geq$ 3 (corresponding to replies of sometimes and as often as not, in addition to replies of frequently, very often or always to most questions); 2) considering as exposed to important levels of distress all women who replied  $\geq$ 5 to at least one item in the domain.

# 8.4 Identifying conditions closely related to specific domains of HRQoL in electronic health records

The EHRs data from the 602 patients that participated in the cross-sectional study were extracted from the CPRD GOLD primary care database. As patient-reported outcomes were collected between January and November 2019, I extracted data from the January 2019 version of CPRD, which included data from 1987 up to December 2018. In a sensitivity analysis, I used data from the CPRD GOLD primary care database version of July 2019, which included data collected from primary care practices up to June 2019.

For each domain of HRQoL being assessed, I produced lists of Read codes closely related to the QLACS items in the domain (please see Table 8.1 for concepts; lists of Read codes are provided in Chapter 6).

HRQoL domain	QLACS Items	Search in the EHR for Read codes* related to:
Negative feelings	<ul><li>19 Bothered by mood swings</li><li>7 Felt blue or depressed</li><li>9 Worried about little things</li><li>24 Felt anxious</li></ul>	Depression and/or anxiety (disorders and symptoms), antidepressants, or anxiolytic prescription
Cognitive problems	<ul><li>3 Bothered by having a short attention span</li><li>4 Had trouble remembering things</li><li>2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration</li><li>23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do</li></ul>	Cognitive impairment; cognitive dysfunction symptoms; dementia*; dementia-specific drug*.
Physical pain	<ul><li>13 Bothered by pain preventing activities</li><li>17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment</li><li>27 Pain interfered with social activities</li><li>21 Had aches or pains</li></ul>	Pain; painful conditions; prescriptions of analgesics.
Sexual problems	16 Lacked interest in sex 26 Avoided sexual activity 12 Dissatisfied with sex life 10 Bothered by inability to function sexually	Low libido; anorgasmia; vaginismus.
Fatigue	<ul><li>11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to</li><li>14 Felt tired a lot</li><li>1 Had energy to do things wanted to do</li><li>5 Felt fatigued</li></ul>	Low energy; tiredness.
Social avoidance	18 Avoided social gatherings 20 Avoided friends 25 Reluctant to meet new people 15 Reluctant to start new relationships	Social isolation, or social avoidance.

\* definitions were based on the systematic review provided in Chapter 5 when possible. QLACS – Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale; EHR – electronic health records.

The lists of Read codes were used to identify women with these codes registered in their EHR in the 3, 6, 12 and 24 months prior to the date of last data collection from the practice. The last collection date varied from practice to practice, but was generally within three weeks of the database version (e.g. in the January 2019 version, the date of last data collection from the practices was in median 20 days (inter-quartile range: 19-20) prior to 31 December 2018).

# 8.5 Comparison between patient-reported outcomes and information recorded in the electronic health records

To compare the two sources of data, I quantified for each domain:

- 1) of the women who reported high levels of distress in the questionnaires, how many had similar information in their EHR (sensitivity);
- 2) of the women who had information about the domain in the EHR, how many reported distressing levels in the questionnaires (positive predictive value).

Results are shown in tables.

### 8.6 Results

## 8.7 Sensitivity of electronic health data in capturing patient-reported distress

Of the 605 women that participated in the study, 100 (17%) reported high levels of distress (mean score  $\geq$ 5) for negative feelings (Table 8.2). 36% of these had information related to anxiety and/or depression recorded in their EHR in the three months prior to the date of last data collection for the practice, and 50% had a record in the previous two years. Distress with pain was reported in the questionnaires by 122 (21%) of the women, and 52% and 75% of these had symptoms of pain or an analgesic prescription recorded the EHR in the previous three and 24 months, respectively. 93 women reported high levels of distress related to cognitive problems, 155 to sexual dysfunction, 157 to fatigue/energy, and 82 to social avoidance. No codes relevant to these domains were found in the patients' EHR up to 24 months prior to the date of last data collection for the practice. The results of the sensitivity analysis using data of the July 2019 version of CPRD were not meaningfully different (Table 8.3).

## 8.8 Positive predictive value of electronic health data for capturing patientreported distress

Of the patients that had information about negative feelings recorded in their EHR (20-30% of all patients, depending on the length of the time-window used to identify codes), only a minority (20-30%) reported distressing levels of negative feelings in the questionnaires (Table 8.4). For pain, approximately one-half of the 134 patients who had pain recorded in their EHR also reported distressing levels for pain in the questionnaires. The positive predictive value tended to decline when older information was included in the ascertainment of negative feelings in the EHRs (i.e. when a longer time-window/look back period was used). For the other four domains, no codes were identified in the EHRs for in the observation period. **Table 8.2** Sensitivity analysis using a more recent CPRD data cut: patients scoring above a given threshold in the PRO study that had domain-related information in the EHRs by time prior to the last data collection for the practice in the CPRD version January 2019 (N=605 §)

				PRO		Patients scoring above a given threshold in the study that had domain-related information in E by time prior to the last data collection for the p							PRO HRs, actice
			Mean				3mo		6mo		12mo	2mo	
Domain	Items in the QLACS	Read codes for	domain cut-off	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Negative	19 Bothered by mood swings	Depression and/or	≥5	100	16.8	36	36.0	37	37.0	47	47.0	51	51.0
feelings	7 Felt blue or depressed	anxiety,	≥3	383	64.5	89	23.2	90	23.5	109	28.5	129	33.7
	9 Worried about little things 24 Felt anxious	antidepressants, or anxiolytic prescription.	1 item ≥5	226	37.4	65	28.8	66	29.2	82	36.3	95	42.0
Cognitive	3 Bothered by having a short attention span	Cognitive impairment;	≥5	93	15.6	0		0		0		0	
problems	4 Had trouble remembering things	cognitive dysfunction	≥3	391	64.6	0		0		0		0	
	2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration	symptoms; dementia; dementia-specific	1 item ≥5	192	31.7	0		0		0		0	
Dhusiaal	23 Bothered by rolgetting what statted to do	ulug.	\F	400	20.0	64	50 F	60	56.6	01	66.4	01	74.0
Physical	13 Boundreed by pain preventing activities	Pain; painful conditions;	≥0 >2	227	20.0	105	0Z.0	115	20.0	151	46.2	101	74.0
pain	27 Pain interfered w/social activities	prescriptions of	23 1 item ≥5	229	37.9	85	32.1 37.1	93	35.2 40.6	119	40.2 52.0	140	61.1
	21 Had aches or pains	analgesies.											
Sexual	16 Lacked interest in sex		≥5	155	28.0	0		0		0		0	
dysfunction	26 Avoided sexual activity	Low libido; anorgasmia;	≥3	375	62.0	0		0		0		0	
	12 Dissatisfied w/sex life 10 Bothered by inability to function sexually	vaginismus.	1 item ≥5	302	49.9	0		0		0		0	
Fatigue	11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to		≥5	157	26.3	0		0		0		0	
	14 Felt tired a lot	Low operate tiredpase	≥3	469	77.5	0		0		0		0	
	1 Had energy to do things wanted to do 5 Felt fatigued	Low energy, tredriess.	1 item ≥5	533	88.1	0		0		0		0	
Social	18 Avoided social gatherings		≥5	82	13.8	0		0		0		0	
avoidance	20 Avoided friends	Social isolation; social	≥3	292	48.6	0		0		0		0	
	25 Reluctant to meet new people 15 Reluctant to start new relationships	avoidance.	1 item ≥5	194	32.1	0		0		0		0	

EHRs = electronic health records; HRQoL = Health-Related Quality of Life; mo. = month. PRO = Patient-reported outcomes.\* Severe cognitive dysfunction was an exclusion criterion for the study. § 605 women participated in the study; due to missing data for some items, the number of women included in the denominator varies slightly by domain. **Table 8.3** Patients scoring above a given threshold in the PRO study that had domain-related information in the EHRS by time prior to the last data collection for the practice in the CPRD July 2019 version (N=605 §).

				PR	ROs	Patie stuc by tin	ents sco ly that h ne prior	oring at ad dor to the	above a given threshold in the P domain-related information in EHF he last data collection for the prac					
			Mean				3mo		6mo		12mo		24mo	
Domain	Items in the QLACS	Read codes for	domain cut-off	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Negative	19 Bothered by mood swings	Depression and/or	≥5	100	16.8	37	37.0	37	37.0	48	48.0	55	55.0	
feelings	7 Felt blue or depressed	anxiety (disorders	≥3	383	64.5	89	23.2	89	23.2	113	29.1	130	33.9	
	9 Worried about little things	and symptoms),	1 item ≥5	226	37.4	68	30.1	68	30.1	84	37.2	98	43.4	
	24 Felt anxious	antidepressants, or anxiolytic prescription												
Cognitive	3 Bothered by having a short attention span	Cognitive impairment;	≥5	93	15.6	0		0		0		0		
problems	4 Had trouble remembering things	cognitive dysfunction	≥3	391	64.6	0		0		0		0		
	2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration	symptoms; dementia; dementia-specific	1 item ≥5	192	31.7	0		0		0		0		
	23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do	drug.*												
Physical	13 Bothered by pain preventing activities	Pain; painful	≥5	122	20.6	64	52.5	69	56.6	81	66.4	91	74.6	
pain	17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment	conditions;	≥3	327	55.1	106	32.4	116	35.5	152	46.5	185	56.6	
	27 Pain interfered w/social activities	prescriptions of	1 item ≥5	229	37.9	86	37.6	94	41.1	120	52.4	141	61.6	
	21 Had aches or pains	analgesics.												
Sexual	16 Lacked interest in sex		≥5	155	28.0	0		0		0		0		
dysfunction	26 Avoided sexual activity	Low libido; anorgasm;	≥3	375	62.0	0		0		0		0		
	12 Dissatisfied w/sex life	vaginismus.	1 item ≥5	302	49.9	0		0		0		0		
	10 Bothered by inability to function sexually													
Fatigue	11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to		≥5	157	26.3	0		0		0		0		
	14 Felt fired a lot	Low energy;	≥3	469	77.5	0		0		0		0		
	1 Had energy to do things wanted to do 5 Felt fatigued	tiredness.	1 item ≥5	533	88.1	0		0		0		0		
Social	18 Avoided social gatherings		≥5	82	13.8	0		0		0		0		
avoidance	20 Avoided friends	Social isolation; social	≥3	292	48.6	0		0		0		0		
	25 Reluctant to meet new people	avoidance.	1 item ≥5	194	32.1	0		0		0		0		
	15 Reluctant to start new relationships													

EHR = electronic health records; HRQoL = Health-Related Quality of Life; mo. = month. \* Severe cognitive dysfunction was an exclusion criterion for the study. § 605 women participated in the study; due to missing data for some items, the number of women included in the denominator varies slightly by domain.

				Patients w	ith info	F ac	Patients cording	scoring to pati	g as dis ent-rep	tressed orted da	I, ata
				in EH	in EHR			≥3		At le one ite	east em 5
Domain	Items in the QLACS	Read codes related to:	Time prior to LDC	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Negative	19 Bothered by mood swings	Depression and/or	3 mo.	115	19.4	36	31.3	88	77.4	65	55.1
feelings	7 Felt blue or depressed	anxiety (disorders and	6 mo.	117	19.7	37	31.6	90	76.9	66	55.0
	9 Worried about little things	symptoms), antidepressants, or	12 mo.	142	23.9	47	33.1	109	76.8	82	56.2
	24 Felt anxious	anxiolytic prescription.	24 mo.	170	28.6	51	30.0	129	75.9	95	54.3
Cognitive	3 Bothered by having a short attention span		3 mo.	0		-		-		-	
problems	4 Had trouble remembering things	cognitive impairment, cognitive dysfunction symptoms; dementia; dementia-specific drug *	6 mo.	0		-		-		-	
	2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration		12 mo.	0		-		-		-	
	23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do		24 mo.	0		-		-		-	
Physical pain	13 Bothered by pain preventing activities	Dain: painful conditiona:	3 mo.	134	22.6	64	47.8	105	78.4	85	62.0
	17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment	prescriptions of	6 mo.	146	24.6	69	47.3	115	78.8	93	62.4
	27 Pain interfered w/social activities	analgesics.	12 mo.	202	34.1	81	40.1	151	74.8	119	57.8
	21 Had aches or pains		24 mo.	257	43.3	91	35.4	184	71.6	140	53.4
Sexual	16 Lacked interest in sex		3 mo.	0		-		-		-	
dysfunction	26 Avoided sexual activity	Low libido; anorgasm;	6 mo.	0		-		-		-	
	12 DISSatisfied W/Sex life	vaginismus.	12 mo.	0		-		-		-	
Eatique	11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to		24 1110. 3 mo	0		-		-		-	
raligue	14 Felt tired a lot		5 mo.	0		-		-		-	
	1 Had energy to do things wanted to do	Low energy; tiredness.	12 mo.	Ő		-		_		-	
	5 Felt fatigued		24 mo.	0		-		-		-	
Social	18 Avoided social gatherings		3 mo.	0		-		-		-	
avoidance	20 Avoided friends	Social isolation; social	6 mo.	0		-		-		-	
	25 Reluctant to meet new people	avoidance.	12 mo.	0		-		-		-	
15 Reluctant to start new relationships			24 mo.	0		-		-		-	

**Table 8.4** Proportion of women who had information in the EHR who reported distressing levels when inquired about their HRQoL (N=605).

EHR = electronic health records; HRQoL = Health-Related Quality of Life; mo. = month. \* Severe cognitive dysfunction was an exclusion criterion for the study. § 605 women participated in the study; due to missing data for some items, the number of women included in the denominator varies slightly by domain.

#### 8.9 Discussion and Conclusions

The results of this study suggest that EHRs have low sensitivity to detect patients experiencing poor HRQoL at a particular point in time, particularly for the domains of sexual function, fatigue, cognitive problems and social avoidance; none of the patients self-reporting distress in these domains in the questionnaires had corresponding codes present in their electronic data. For pain and negative feelings, some relevant codes were present in the EHRs, but both sensitivity and positive predictive values were <50%, which is likely to be too low to justify the use of EHRs data alone as a proxy for patient-reported outcomes in these domains.

Several factors might have affected the identification of the information in the EHRs, and are limitations of this study. First, no data were collected on the date of questionnaire response. This means that one cannot identify precisely, for each patient, the data from consultations that would have corresponded to when the patient-reported outcomes were evaluated. Since the results of the analyses using the January 2018 and July 2019 were very similar, this probably had little impact in the results. However, the July 2019 version may not capture distress recently acquired by patients that replied later in the year. In future follow-up work, I will conduct analyses using the January 2020 version, allowing me to consider EHRs across the full period of questionnaire data collection. The validity of the approach used to identify patients at probably distressed from QLACS scores has been untested. This limitation was addressed by using different cut-offs, which showed generally the same patterns. Another limitation of this study is that the database only captures drugs prescribed to the patients, and widely used drugs for pain and fatigue are sold over the counter. The comparison for cognitive problems was also limited by the need to exclude patients unable to reply to a self-reported questionnaire, which included patients with dementia, and we cannot rule out that general practitioners applying the exclusion criteria may have been overly strict in applying this criterion and also excluded those with codes for milder cognitive impairment (see below).

The results showed that one in three patients that reported distressing levels of negative feelings had similar information recorded in their EHR in the previous three months. This is consistent with patients often not seeking primary care for anxiety and depressive symptoms, possibly due to stigma associated with mental disorders and unawareness of the amenability of mental health symptoms to treatment [247].

Women may have felt more comfortable in disclosing these symptoms in an anonymous questionnaire.

Approximately one-half of the patients that reported poor HRQoL related to pain also had information related to pain in the EHR in the previous three months. This may be partly explained by patients self-treating pain with widely used over-thecounter treatments such as paracetamol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g. ibuprofen). On the other hand, the higher recording of pain compared to negative feelings may potentially be explained by patients seeking more often primary care for distressing concern that they perceive as being amenable to treatment.

I did not find any records of cognitive dysfunction, social avoidance, sexual dysfunction or fatigue entered in the EHRs of the participating patients in the last 24 months to the data cut-off. A complete absence of entries for social avoidance and sexual dysfunction are plausible. Read codes for social avoidance have seldom been used in the entire CPRD database, so lack of data on this in a relatively small patient group was expected. The absence of sexual problems in the EHRs was surprising, but may be explained, at least in part, by the rarity of the outcome (estimated in Chapter 6) and by the low proportion of subjects who ever contact their GPs for issues related to sexual function [65].

The lack of recording of cognitive problems and symptoms of fatigue in the EHRs was also unexpected. Severe cognitive dysfunction was an exclusion criterion for the study; a review of the motives provided by the GPs to exclude patients showed a non-negligible frequency of cognitive-related reasons, including cases of dementia as well as reasons such as 'memory problems'. It is possible that cases of mild cognitive dysfunction that had sought primary care with these complains were excluded, leaving an overrepresentation of women who did not seek primary care for their concerns. For fatigue, a manual review of all entries in the EHR of a random sample of patients that reported distressing levels of fatigue in the questionnaires, revealed a common pattern of multi and complex morbidity, almost always with diagnoses where fatigue is implicit (e.g. heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), but no explicit codes for fatigue. Fatigue is rarely seen as an isolated condition, as is more often associated with other diagnoses, which may explain the absence of codes in the data for this. This warrants further investigation, however, and follow-up work will be carried out on this topic.
The comparison of these results to other literature was not possible as, to my knowledge, no other study has attempted this comparison.

In conclusion, even though EHRs contain substantial data related to general domains of HRQoL, these do not appear to have good sensitivity and positive predictive value to capture outcomes that represent subjective experiences and are traditionally ascertained directly from patients.

### 8.10 Summary

- This chapter compares patient-reported outcomes for HRQoL domains, and information capturing similar constructs in patients' EHRs.
- Data were compared for six domains ('negative feelings', 'cognitive problems', 'physical pain', 'sexual problems', 'fatigue' and 'social avoidance'), as patients with high levels of distress for issues related to these domains may be likely to seek primary care.
- Patients were considered to report high levels of distress for a domain when they reported an average response of 'often' to 'always' to items in the domain (e.g. items for negative feelings shown in Table 8.5, mid-column).
- Read codes lists were defined for concepts closely related to items in each domain (e.g. last column of Table 8.5).

 Table 8.5
 Example of the HRQoL domain, its items and information searched in the EHR.

 EHR.
 Search in the EHR for Board

HRQoL domain	QLACS Items	codes* related to:
Negative feelings	<ol> <li>19 Bothered by mood swings</li> <li>7 Felt blue or depressed</li> <li>9 Worried about little things</li> <li>24 Felt anxious</li> </ol>	Depression and/or anxiety (disorders and symptoms), antidepressants, or anxiolytic prescription

- Of the 100 patients that reported distressing levels of negative feelings, 17% had Read codes for similar constructs registered in their EHR in the previous three months.
- Of the 122 patients that reported distress with pain, 50% had Read codes for similar constructs registered in their EHR in the previous three months.
- Of the 605 women that participated in the study, 16% had high levels of distress related to cognitive problems, 28% to sexual dysfunction, 26% to fatigue/energy, and 14% to social avoidance. However, none had a Read codes related to these domains in their EHRs in the last two years.
- Approximately 30% of the patients with information for anxiety and/or depression the EHR reported distress with negative feelings. For pain, approximately 50% of the patients that had symptoms of pain or had been prescribed analgesics reported distressing levels of pain.
- Further work will include exploring different outcome definitions for EHRbased outcomes, and more recent versions of the CPRD GOLD primary care database.
- In conclusion, patient-reported HRQoL outcomes do not appear to be captured with adequate sensitivity in EHR data.

This page was intentionally left blank.

### 9 Discussion

#### 9.1 Introduction

This closing chapter aims to summarise the main discussion issues, focusing on overarching points that cut across the different chapters. Detailed study-specific discussion points were previously covered in the relevant individual chapters.

#### 9.2 Summary of key findings

# 9.2.1 Aim 1: to quantify relative risk of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors, compared to women who never had cancer

### Associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes: a systematic review

This systematic review included 66 studies that compared mental health conditions among breast cancer survivors and women with no history of cancer (Chapter 3). The most commonly evaluated outcomes were anxiety (n=23 studies) and depression (n=41). Of the 23 studies of anxiety, 12 observed more anxiety in breast cancer survivors, including 2/4 studies where ascertainment of anxiety was clinical/ prescription-based, and in 10/19 studies where ascertainment of anxiety was based on symptoms. Among 41 studies of depression, 22 reported significantly more depression in breast cancer survivors, compared to controls; this included seven of eight studies where depression was ascertained clinically, and 15/33 studies that quantified depressive symptoms. Breast cancer survivors also had statistically significantly increased symptoms/frequency of neurocognitive dysfunction (21/28 studies), sexual dysfunctions (6/7 studies), sleep disturbance (5/5 studies), stress-related disorders (2/3 studies), suicide (2/2 studies), somatisation (2/2 studies), and bipolar and obsessive-compulsive disorders (1/1 study each).

## Identification of mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK: a systematic review

This study summarised the definitions and combinations of Read and/or ICD codes used to identify outcomes of anxiety, depression, fatigue, cognitive and sexual

dysfunction, pain, sleep disorders, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm, in studies of EHRs from primary care databases in the UK (Chapter 4). 120 studies were eligible. Depression was most often defined using codes for diagnoses (37/42 studies) and/or antidepressants prescriptions (21/42 studies); six studies included symptoms in their definition. Anxiety was defined with codes for diagnoses (12/12 studies); four studies also included symptoms. Fatal/non-fatal self-harm was ascertained in primary care data linked to the ONS mortality database in nine studies. Three studies evaluated domains of cognitive function. Fatigue definitions varied little. No studies of female sexual dysfunction were found. Sleep disorders included insomnia and hypersomnia. Lists of Read codes were available for approximately one-half of the studies, and showed substantial variability; validation of codelists was carried out for 21/120 studies.

## Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women who had breast cancer compared to women with no history of cancer in the UK: a population based study

In this matched-cohort study, the aim was to estimate the risk of anxiety and depression, as primary outcomes, and fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder, cognitive dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm, as secondary outcomes, in breast cancer survivors compared to women with no prior cancer, using EHR data routinely collected in primary care (Chapter 6). All women with history of incident breast cancer in the CPRD GOLD primary care database were included (n=57,571), and individually matched to women with no prior history of cancer (n=230,067), on age and primary care practice. Median follow-up time was approximately five years in both groups. After controlling for diabetes, body mass index, smoking and drinking status, breast cancer survivorship was found to be associated with a 33% raised risk of anxiety (HR 1.33 95%CI 1.29-1.36), and a 35% raised risk of depression (HR 1.35, 95% CI 1.32-1.38), as well as significantly raised risks of the secondary outcomes of fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder and opioid analgesics. However, there was no evidence of an association with cognitive dysfunction or fatal and non-fatal self-harm. The strength of the associations reduced over time but raised risks for anxiety and depression persisted for two and four years after cancer diagnosis, respectively. Increased levels of pain and sleep disorder persisted for at least 10 years. Younger age was associated with larger increases in the risks of depression, pain, opioid analgesic use, sleep disorders, and cognitive dysfunction (for which there was no association when considering all ages together).

## 9.2.2 Aim 2: to investigate quality of life, anxiety, and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors, compared to women with no history of cancer

### Quality of life of women who had breast cancer compared to women with no history of cancer

A total of 353 breast cancer survivors and 252 women without history of cancer participated in the study (Chapter 7). Mean time since diagnosis was 8.1 years. Breast cancer survivorship was significantly associated with poorer HRQoL in the domains of cognitive problems, sexual function and fatigue, but no evidence of difference in negative feelings, positive feelings, pain, or social avoidance. Breast cancer survivors had a non-statistically significant 30% higher odds of probable anxiety (HADS-anxiety score>10), however there was a statistically significant 46% increase in breast cancer survivors when a more sensitive threshold (score≥8, "borderline/probable anxiety") was used. The odds of depression were similar in the two groups. Quality of life and mental health was poorer among women with more advanced disease and/or treated with chemotherapy. Similarly, among breast cancer survivors only, younger age, lower education, more advanced disease at diagnosis, and/or prior receipt of chemotherapy were associated with poorer HRQoL. Breast cancer survivors who had advanced disease reported more cognitive problems, pain, sexual function, financial problems, and distress with appearance and recurrence compared to localised disease. Cognitive problems, appearance concerns, and distress with recurrence were more common in younger women, and in women treated with chemotherapy.

# Comparison between patient-reported outcomes and information in the patients' electronic health records.

Six hundred and five women participated in the study. One hundred women had answers consistent with high levels of negative feelings in the patient-reported outcomes. Of these, only 36% had information related to this in the EHRs in the last three months before the date of last data collection for the practice, and 50% had a record in the previous two years. One hundred and twenty two patients reported distress with pain; 52% and 75% of these had symptoms of pain or an analgesic prescriptions recorded in their EHR in the previous three and 24 months, respectively. A total of 93 women reported high levels of distress related to cognitive problems, 155 to sexual dysfunction, 157 to fatigue/energy, and 82 to social avoidance. No evidence of this was found in the patients' EHRs using Read codes up to 24 months prior to the date of last data collection for the practice. These

results suggest that electronic GP records did not have sufficient sensitivity to reasonably capture the subjective experience mental health and HRQoL outcomes that we obtained directly from patients in this study.

### 9.3 Explanation of results and comparison with the literature

#### 9.3.1 Anxiety

Breast cancer survivors had increased risk of seeking primary care for anxiety in the two years after diagnosis (Chapter 6), and increased borderline/abnormal anxiety symptoms were also found in the study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7). Similar results found in the studies included in the systematic review (Figure 9.1). Clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety and stress-related/adjustment disorders are common shortly after diagnosis [248], and are consistent with the stress induced by the diagnosis of a life-threatening condition. In Sweden, increased anxiety has been reported from the cancer diagnostic work-up [249]. This is in line with our findings, where more women were excluded from the exposed cohort in the study of EHRs because they had anxiety recorded in the year before the breast cancer diagnosis; most women went on to have the outcome registered after the index date.

The results of the patient-reported outcomes, which included breast cancer survivors on average 8.6 years from diagnosis, showed increased risk of anxiety only when considering a cut-off of borderline/abnormal. The raised anxiety symptomatology shortly after diagnosis is expected to decrease over time, with women psychologically adjusting to the new reality [250, 251]. In the long term, breast cancer survivors may experience distress with anxiety symptoms that do not meet criteria for formal diagnosis, similarly to what has been described in cancer patients [252]. Similarly to what has been described elsewhere [253], anxiety symptoms in breast cancer survivors were particularly raised in younger women. This may be because younger breast cancer survivors have specific concerns compared to older ones, such as the impact of their disease and possible death in their offspring upbringing, and infertility for women who want (more) children.

Study ID	PR/OR/RR (95% CI)	Measure	Time since diagnosis
Anxiety, clinical diagnosis Khan et al, 2010 (36) ***Carreira et al, 2020 Hung et al, 2013 (52) Hjerl et al, 2002 (51) * Yang et al, 2017 (58) (invasive)	1.06 (0.97, 1.16) 1.33 (1.30, 1.37) 1.22 (1.16, 1.29) 1.40 (0.93, 2.11) 2.00 (1.69, 2.37)	OR RR RR SIR SIR	≥5 yrs ~5 yrs ~2 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~1.5 yrs
Anxiety, drug treatment Khan et al, 2010 (36) Yang et al, 2017 (58) (invasive) Boehmer et al, 2015 (28) (self-reported <del>)≤</del> →	1.08 (1.01, 1.15) 1.47 (1.35, 1.61) 2.92 (0.31, 27.09)	or Sir Pr	≥5 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~4.5 yrs
Anxiety, scale ***Carreira et al, 2020 (HASD>10) ***Carreira et al, 2020 (HASD>28) Boehmer et al, 2015 (28) (HADS≥8) McDonald et al, 2010 (78) (STAI-T≥65) Rubino et al, 2007 (38) (HRS-A=15) † Saleeba et al, 1996 (40) (STAI-S>85%) Weitzner et al, 1997 (43) (STAI-T>1SD)	1.40 (0.93, 2.10) 1.47 (1.15, 1.87) 1.24 (0.86, 1.78) 1.25 (0.12, 12.65) 7.99 (1.06, 60.34) 3.00 (1.19, 7.57) 1.80 (0.95, 3.41)	OR OR PR PR PR PR PR	8.1 yrs 8.1 yrs ~4.5 yrs ~1.5 yrs ≥1 yr ~8.5 yrs ~8.5 yrs
Depression, clinical diagnosis         Khan et al, 2010 (36)         Earle et al, 2007 (62)         ***Carreira et al, 2020         Hung et al, 2013 (52)         Suppli et al, 2014 (42)         Hyer et al, 2017 (58) (invasive)	1.06 (1.00, 1.12) 1.24 (1.03, 1.50) 1.55 (1.24, 1.94) 1.35 (1.32, 1.38) 2.00 (1.80, 2.22) 1.48 (1.19, 1.84) 1.87 (1.49, 2.35) 2.04 (1.76, 2.36)	OR PR PR RR RR SIR SIR	≥5 yrs ≥5 yrs ~1 yr ~5 yrs ~2 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~1.5 yrs
Depression, drug treatment           Suppli et al., 2014 (42)           Khan et al., 2010 (36)           Yang et al., 2017 (58) (invasive)           Boehmer et al., 2015 (28) (self-reported)	2.06 (1.94, 2.18) 1.16 (1.11, 1.22) 1.92 (1.76, 2.09) 1.61 (0.97, 2.67)	rr or sir pr	~1.5 yrs ≥5 yrs ~1.5 yrs ~4.5 yrs
Depression, scale         ***Carreira et al, 2020 (HASD>8)         ***Carreira et al, 2020 (HASD>10)         Bailey et al, 2010 (46) (CESD>16)         Bizetti Piela et al, 2012 (60) (BD)×10)         Boehmer et al, 2015 (28) (HADS>8)         Frazzetto et al, 2012 (49) (GDS>10) ‡         Garcia Torres et al, 2013 (35) (BDI-IIs14)         Lee et al, 2011 (61) (SDS>50)         McDonald et al, 2010 (78) (ESD>16)          Rubino et al, 2007 (38) (HRS-D>8) †         Weitzner et al, 1997 (43) (BDI>12)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.18 & (0.52, 2.68) \\ 1.08 & (0.78, 1.50) \\ 0.90 & (0.80, 1.02) \\ 1.49 & (0.97, 2.28) \\ 1.19 & (0.56, 2.50) \\ 2.91 & (1.69, 5.03) \\ 2.22 & (0.79, 6.21) \\ 1.06 & (0.89, 1.25) \\ 2.46 & (0.30, 20.20) \\ 3.76 & (1.39, 10.14) \\ 1.93 & (1.03, 3.61) \end{array}$	O O P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	8.1 yrs 8.1 yrs ~1 yr ~3.7 yrs ~4.5 yrs >10 yrs ~8.2 yrs ~1.5 yrs >1 yr ~8.5 yrs
I I I I I .5 1 2 4 8 16	;		



Women treated with chemotherapy had exacerbated symptoms of anxiety possible due to fear of the side effects of chemotherapy and physical changes induced by the treatment [254]. HRQoL items for anxiety were included in the domain of negative feelings, alongside depression, which precludes a formal comparison of the results for anxiety with those for the domain of negative feelings.

### 9.3.2 Depression

Breast cancer survivors had increased risk of depression, compared to women with no prior cancer, for up to four years post-diagnosis in the study using primary care EHRs (Chapter 6). This is consistent with other studies of depression in breast cancer survivors using clinically assessed outcomes (Figure 9.1). One such study, by Khan et al [255], that included breast cancer survivors in the UK five or more years post diagnosis, did not find higher odds of being diagnosed with anxiety or depression, compared to controls. The absence of evidence for a raised depression in the study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7) may represent a lack of effect on the risk of depression among participants that were on average 8.1 years out of their breast cancer diagnosis, or could reflect a lack of power to detect small differences in this outcome. Breast cancer survivors that had a higher education degree reported fewer symptoms of depression, which is in line with previous studies suggesting that lower socio-economic status is a risk factor for depression, possibly due to higher baseline levels of distress and less access and utilization of mental health services [13, 256]. Similarly to anxiety, younger women had reported more depressive symptoms compared to older women, suggesting poorer adjustment amongst this group [253]. This younger group may be more sensitive to the negative consequences of breast cancer, such as the impact on loved ones, or the lifestyle changes induced by the cancer, among others [257-259].

#### 9.3.3 Neurocognitive dysfunction

Neurocognitive dysfunction has been widely reported in breast cancer survivors [150, 268-271], and is thought to arise from the neurotoxic effects of chemotherapy [155], psychological symptoms such as post-traumatic stress [272], or exposure to hormone therapy [273]. Impairments are usually observed for domains of cognitive function such as memory, but these are generally mild and may not completely impede most daily activities, even though they may cause distress [274].



**Figure 9.2** Results for cognitive dysfunction, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder, suicide, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm reported in Chapter 6 (Study ID = \*\*\*Carreira *et al.* 2020), compared to the studies identified in the systematic review (Chapter 3).

The reasons for a lack of association between breast cancer survivorship and cognitive dysfunction in the study of EHRs (Chapter 6) require further exploration, but the results of Chapter 8 suggest that EHRs may sub optimally capture cases of mild cognitive dysfunction (see section 9.4.2 on the ascertainment of the outcomes). This might be because patients do not seek their GP with cognitive problems as their chief complaint, or that GPs do not record these symptoms using Read codes. In the systematic review (Chapter 3), all studies of cognitive dysfunction used batteries of cognitive tests to assess the outcomes (Figure 9.2). The results obtained by these very specific tools are unlikely to be comparable to assessments of cognitive function in everyday clinical practice. In contrast to the results of the EHR study, the analysis of patient-reported outcomes showed long-term breast cancer survivors reporting poorer HRQoL related to cognitive problems compared with controls (Chapter 7), similarly to other studies [275].

#### 9.3.4 Fatigue

Symptoms of fatigue were more common in breast cancer survivors than in women who never had cancer, and the increased risk persisted for 5-10 years after diagnosis (Chapter 6). This is consistent with a vast body of research that describes fatigue as a common side effect of chemotherapy and radiotherapy [140]. Several biological mechanisms have been postulated, including inflammation, alterations in leucocytes, anaemia, five hydroxyl tryptophan (5-HT) dysregulation, among others [276]. Fatigue is highly debilitating and often interferes with normal daily functioning. This is likely to explain the poorer HRQoL related to this domain among breast cancer survivors found in Chapter 7, and in other studies [275].

#### 9.3.5 Sexual dysfunction

Even though codes for sexual dysfunction were rarely used in the CPRD GOLD primary care database, breast cancer survivors had significantly increased risk compared to non-cancer controls (Chapter 6), similarly to studies included in the systematic review (Figure 9.2). The study of patient-reported outcomes also found poorer HRQoL related to this domain in breast cancer survivors (Chapter 7). Sexual dysfunction in breast cancer survivors has a complex aetiology, often including vaginal dryness and vaginal atrophy due to oestrogen deprivation, body image concerns, low self-esteem, depressive symptoms, among others [277, 278].

#### 9.3.6 Pain

In CPRD, breast cancer survivors had higher frequency of pain compared to controls. It is possible that breast cancer survivors are more in contact with health care services, and thus have symptoms more often recorded (Chapter 6). However, these patients were also found to have increased prescriptions of analgesic opioids, which suggests that their pain may be more severe, as mild symptoms of pain are usually managed with paracetamol or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The increase in the prescriptions of opioid analgesics over calendar time was observed at the same time as symptoms of anxiety decreased; this may be due to improved pain management in these patients. No differences in the domain of physical pain were observed in the study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7), which may be explained by patients having effective pharmacological management of their pain. This is also supported by the high proportion of patients reporting poor HRQoL related to pain and who had similar information recorded in the EHR, which suggests that patients do seek care for symptoms of pain (Chapter 8).

#### 9.3.7 Sleep disorders

The increased risk of sleep disorders found in the study of EHRs (Chapter 7) is consistent with the few previous studies on this topic (*vide* Chapter 3). The trajectories of sleep disorders after breast cancer diagnosis have seldom been investigated, and the results in this thesis represent an important contribution to knowledge in this area. The aetiology of sleep disturbances is also largely unclear, but may involve comorbid anxiety, vasomotor symptoms may also interfere with sleep [279], as well as exposure to steroids [280] or chemotherapy [281]. Sleep is not a dimension captured in the QLACS scale, and no patient-reported information was available.

#### 9.3.8 Fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Suicide and self-harm are relatively rare outcomes which require studies to include large samples to have sufficient power to study these associations. Even though we included all women with history of breast cancer in the CPRD GOLD primary care database, our study was underpowered to detect differences between the two groups, should these exist. Similar limitations have affected several locale-specific studies [260-265], although a statistically significant raised risk of suicide was demonstrated in a large international study of over 721,000 breast cancer survivors [266]. The non-statistically significant raised risk of non-fatal self-harm follows the expected direction, as only a small proportion of the patients who attempt suicide actually complete it [2]. Self-harm almost always occurs with other mental health conditions, as the physical manifestation of the patient's psychological distress [267]. This was the first study, to my knowledge, to address non-fatal self-harm in breast cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls.

### 9.4 Strengths and limitations

#### 9.4.1 Selection of the samples

The systematic assessment of the literature (Chapter 3) showed that nearly one-half of studies had a high risk of selection bias due to recruitment of convenience samples and a high proportions of patients refusing to participate in the study. In addition, studies were heterogeneous in terms of participants' characteristics, clinical profile of the patients, and inclusion of patients at different times since diagnosis. This limited generalisability of results to the broad group of breast cancer survivors in the source population. In this thesis, population-based data were used to quantify the association between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health-related outcomes, and to identify patients for the study of quality of life; no restrictions were applied in terms of time since diagnosis, stage of the disease, disease progression, or comorbidity, and therefore the results in this thesis are more likely to apply to the broad population of breast cancer survivors. Selection bias is unlikely to have affected the results of the study of EHRs (Chapter 6). However, the participation rate in the study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7) was low, and this might have introduced bias in the results. Even though participants and nonparticipants were similar in age and practice-postcode IMD quintile, they may have differed in terms of the outcome, as surveys tend to include healthier women. The results of this study may not be generalisable to the whole UK, as participation rate was very low in Northern Ireland.

#### 9.4.2 Ascertainment of the exposure

All participants in the research presented in Chapters 6, 7 and 9 were identified from the CPRD GOLD primary care database, which includes more than 90% of the cancers registered in the cancer registry (gold standard, as notification is required by law) [217]. This was considered acceptable for the study using EHRs only (Chapter 6), and preferable to the alternative of using primary care data linked to data from cancer registries, which is only possible for nearly 50% of the primary care practices in England, and would limit sample size and power, in addition to limit the generalisability of the results. In the study involving patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7), the lists of potentially eligible patients were selected from the CPRD GOLD database using the same methods and GPs were asked to confirm each patient's eligibility, which largely reduced the potential for misclassification of the exposure in this study. The results of the exclusions in this study also showed a low potential for misclassification in the study of EHRs, especially among breast cancer survivors, as only one of the 98 patients excluded from this group was excluded because they did not have breast cancer.

#### 9.4.3 Ascertainment of outcomes

The CPRD GOLD primary care database is expected to have good sensitivity to capture mental health-related clinical diagnoses because of the breadth of the data available that includes symptoms, diagnoses and drug prescriptions, among others. This is in contrast to the studies of EHRs identified in Chapter 3, which often used data from psychiatric registries in the Nordic countries, that are likely to have very high specificity, but they may lack sensitivity. For example, the Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register includes data on psychiatric admissions, emergency room contacts, and outpatient treatments for mental disorders, but does not include most of the mild and moderate cases diagnosed and treated in primary care [282]. It reassuring that all results point towards similar conclusions.

A limitation of this thesis is the lack of validation of the outcomes definitions using the lists of codes produced for this thesis. The protocol of the study in Chapter 6 (available in Appendix 3) included plans to externally validate the results of the codelists using as gold standard data from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey [283]. However, such comparison was deemed unwise upon further assessment because this survey contains data on the frequency of selected mental disorders (e.g. generalised anxiety disorder, depressive episodes) in the week before the interview, evaluated with the revised Clinical Interview Schedule [284], and classified with the ICD-10 diagnostic criteria [223]. This is by far more specific than what could be reasonably obtained with EHRs, and thus no comparison was done. However, I attempted to minimise this limitation by producing codelists using a systematic approach (described in Appendix 3), and the results of previous validation studies included in Chapter 5 showed high positive predictive values. The study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7) used questionnaires to collect data on HRQoL and symptoms of anxiety and depression. While questionnaires are the preferred method to quantify HRQoL [285], the results for anxiety and depression should be interpreted as patients being at risk of the disorders, as HADS is a screening and not diagnostic tool.

#### 9.4.4 Study designs

The study that quantified the risk of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared to controls (Chapter 6) had a longitudinal study design and excluded women with evidence of the disorder in the year prior to the breast cancer exposure, ensuring that all events were incident. This is an important advantage of the research in this thesis compared to the previous studies whose cross-sectional design precluded the unequivocal assertion that the onset of the mental disorder was posterior to the breast cancer diagnosis (see Chapter 3).

A cross-sectional study design was chosen to evaluate HRQoL and mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors and women with no history of cancer (Chapter 7). Unfortunately, resources were not available for a longitudinal study on HRQoL to ensure temporality. Despite this, the results of the comparison are still of interest for clinical practice, and they showed that women with breast cancer survivors have poorer HRQoL for some domains compared to women with no history of cancer.

#### 9.4.5 Control for confounding

The breadth of information available in the CPRD GOLD primary care database enabled me to estimate the risk of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors (Chapter 6), while controlling for important confounders such as age and deprivation at study design, and other confounders that have rarely been taken into account. The confounding effects of body mass index, smoking, and alcohol drinking, were also adjusted for, which was seldom done in previous studies. One must acknowledge, however, that the quality of the adjustments depended on the quality of the data recorded in the EHRs. For some variables, such as smoking (current, former or never smokers), no detailed data on frequency or quantity were available for analysis. The broad levels of exposure used may not have been sufficient to completely remove the effect of smoking or alcohol drinking. In addition, missing data for these variables is likely to depend on the values (e.g. a patient with obesity may be more likely to have this recorded in the EHR than a patient with normal weight), which precluded multi-imputation of the missing values.

#### 9.4.6 Role of chance

The CPRD GOLD primary care database is one of the largest databases of EHRs of longitudinal data at patient level, including over 18 million patients, and the study of the risk of adverse mental health-related outcomes (Chapter 6) was well powered to detect differences in the risk between the two groups. The sample size calculations presented in the study protocol showed that the number of breast cancer survivors (the limiting factor, since controls would be easier to find) would provide sufficient power to estimate associations of similar magnitude of that reported in previous studies. The study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7) was also well powered to compare HRQoL between the two groups, as well as mean scores of anxiety, but was underpowered to compare the mean scores of depression, and anxiety and depression categorised with the relevant cut-offs, between the two groups. Unfortunately, the sample size calculations showed that 26,340 women (13,170 in each group) would need to be invited to participate in the study to reduce the potential for an erroneous conclusion in all comparisons. This was not feasible for this PhD for reasons of time and cost. The study of patient-reported outcomes was still larger than most studies identified in the systematic review (Chapter 3), and confidence intervals were reported, when possible, to enable the reader to infer on the direction and precision of the estimates.

#### 9.4.7 Multiple approaches

A strength of this thesis is the use of multiple approaches (e.g. data routinely collected as well as patient-reported outcomes) and study designs (i.e. systematic review, matched cohort study, cross-sectional study) to address the aims. Even though each approach has limitations, the use of multiple approaches helped to overcome those inherent to any single approach. For example, selection bias cannot be ruled out from the study of patient-reported outcomes (Chapter 7), but the results of the study that used only data from the CPRD GOLD primary care database (Chapter 6) are unlikely to have been importantly affected by selection bias. On the other hand, patient-reported outcomes allowed for the capture of subjective experiences that may negatively affect a patient's HRQoL, but are less likely to be captured in the EHRs.

Another strength of the study involving EHRs only (Chapter 6) was that the breadth of data in the CPRD GOLD primary care database allowed for multiple outcomes to be studied. This provided a comprehensive picture of the burden of mental healthrelated conditions in this patient population. The systematic review of the adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors (Chapter 3) included studies looking at any adverse mental health outcome, which allowed for the identification of outcomes for which not much is known (e.g. sleep disorders), and their subsequent study.

#### 9.5 Contribution to knowledge

## 9.5.1 Summary of the evidence on the associations between breast cancer survivorship and mental health conditions

The main focus of studies of mental health in breast cancer survivors tend to be on outcomes of depression and anxiety and as a result systematic reviews on the topic also narrowly concentrated on these alone. Chapter 3 not only provides an up-to-date summary of the evidence available on depression and anxiety but included all mental health outcomes listed in DSM/ICD in the search where data were available. This added a level of comprehensiveness not previously seen and confirmed the paucity of evidence on a range of other outcomes including sleep disorders and sexual dysfunction.

# 9.5.2 Risk of mental health and quality of life-related outcomes in breast cancer survivors in the UK, compared to women who have not had cancer

Previously, of the 66 studies investigating mental health outcomes in female breast cancer survivors compared to those without cancer, only one was conducted in the UK [255], including data from three years on breast cancer survivors five or more years into the survivorship period, and focused on two outcomes, anxiety and depression. The research in this thesis built on this previous work, analysing 31 years of data, from 1988 to 2018, to evaluate the risk of not only anxiety and depression, but seven other mental health and HRQoL-related outcomes and investigated associations from as early as the first year after diagnosis. This generated evidence for outcomes where none existed (cognitive dysfunction,

fatigue, sexual dysfunction, pain, opioid prescriptions, sleep disorders, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm), and provided estimates of the risk of anxiety and depression in the early period of cancer survivorship. In doing this, it was also demonstrated the feasibility of using the CPRD primary care database to study these outcomes.

# 9.5.3 Quality of life of breast cancer survivors in the UK compared to women who did not have cancer

Very few studies are available for the HRQoL of breast cancer survivors in the UK. Where existing, these most often used tools that only comprised generic domains of quality of life, or that were created for the treatment period; both of which may not fully capture the experience of living beyond the acute phases of breast cancer treatment. In this thesis, HRQoL was measured with a validated tool developed for long-term cancer survivors, including domains for generic as well as cancer-specific quality of life. The novel research in this thesis provides robust results on the comparison of HRQoL in long-term breast cancer survivors in the UK, compared to women who never had cancer. The results not only highlighted that breast cancer survivors experienced impaired quality of life in the domains of cognitive function, fatigue and sexual dysfunction but also identified a high-risk group of the population (more advanced disease and/or treated with chemotherapy) with poorer quality of life compared to other breast cancer survivors and those without cancer.

### 9.5.4 Comparison of data in clinical records and patient-reported outcomes

EHRs hold information for several domains of HRQoL but its use as a proxy for the patients' HRQoL had never been assessed. The results of this thesis show that EHR are unlikely to be a good source of data to study HRQoL. This highlights the importance of patient reported outcomes, which even though costly or time consuming to collect, adds much needed insight into those quality of life outcomes not routinely captured in GP practices.

### 9.6 Implications for clinical practice

# 9.6.1 Patient education on the mental health consequences of their disease throughout the survivorship continuum

Patient education for prevention and early detection of treatment-related sequelae should start as early as possible (in the pre-operative period) [286], but current models focus on physical aspects and overlook mental health [286, 287]. Raising patient awareness on mental health conditions is needed, particularly as recent research showed that eight in 10 women with breast cancer were not told about the potential long-term impact of the cancer on their mental health [288]. Talking about mental health and common emotional challenges experienced by other patients may help women to understand better their own emotional journey, reduce stigma, and encourage patients to raise concerns about their mental health should they need. Educational interventions for fatigue, for example, have been shown to decrease anxiety and improve HRQoL [289].

# 9.6.2 Increased screening of mental disorders in breast cancer survivors followed in primary care may be needed

The raised risk of several mental disorders in breast cancer survivors calls for increased surveillance in primary care, especially among younger women (<60 years) and women treated with chemotherapy. For depression, a risk prediction algorithm is available and may help identify patients at increased risk [290]. NICE guidelines also recommend opportunistic screening for depression in adults with a chronic health problem [291]. The optimal screening method will depend on the specific mental health condition but, when possible, data should be collected using validated tools to enable progress monitoring [291]. It should be noted that patients may benefit from being asked about fatigue, cognitive and sexual dysfunction, as these were shown to negatively affected patients' HRQoL but were rarely recorded in the patients' EHRs.

## 9.6.3 Increased awareness of mental health-related conditions among healthcare professionals is needed

Increased awareness among health care professionals, particularly primary care physicians, of the raised risks of anxiety, depression, fatigue, sexual dysfunction,

sleep disturbance and pain is needed to improve detection of the mental health conditions. Increased awareness may also help with communication between patients and clinicians, particularly about fatigue, cognitive problems, and sexual dysfunction, which negatively influence HRQoL. Communication about sex-related issues appears to be poor [292], highlighting the need for GPs to raise these issues [293].

## 9.6.4 Equipping health care professionals with evidence-based strategies to identify and manage mental health conditions in breast cancer survivors may be needed

Identification and management of mental health conditions in breast cancer survivors can be challenging due to the short consultation times with a panoply of somatic and psychological manifestations [294], and due to uncertainty about effectiveness and/or safety of the interventions. For sexual dysfunction, for example, clinicians tend to have little training on the topic [293, 295], and some may have concerns over the effect of hormonal vaginal treatments in patients with oestrogenreceptor positive breast cancer [296] and be unaware of the recommendations for lubricants and moisturisers [297]. Safety concerns have also been raised for the treatment of severe anxiety and depression with antidepressants, as per guidelines [53, 298], due to a possible interaction between antidepressants and tamoxifen. This is biologically plausible, as both substances are metabolised by cytochrome P450, and since antidepressants tend to have a better affinity for the enzyme, there is a potential for preferential binding of tamoxifen [299]. Studies have reported contradictory results about the increased risk of cancer recurrence in breast cancer patients taking antidepressants, with some studies finding no effect for any antidepressant [300], while others found increased risk of recurrence in patients prescribed paroxetine or trazodone [301]. Whether information is lacking or available, there is a need to equip health care professionals with clear guidance on what is safe and unsafe, effective and ineffective, as well as unclear interventions in these patients.

# 9.6.5 Encouraging utilization of cancer rehabilitation services, and other forms of social interaction

Of the variables that were found to be associated with poorer HRQoL (i.e. younger age, lower education, chemotherapy treatment), none are amenable to change.

However, there is evidence that factors such as social support and physical activity exert a protective effect on depression [21-23]. Recently, it has been reported that 75% of breast cancer survivors in the UK felt more socially isolated at the end of treatment than at diagnosis [288]. Raising awareness about the services available to patients, and how to access them, cannot be overlooked (e.g. support from Breast Cancer Care, or Mind, two UK based charities that provide support to breast cancer patients in need).

### 9.7 Implications for public health policy

#### 9.7.1 Current provision of post-treatment support

Recognising the need for post-treatment support in cancer survivors, UK countries set out organised strategies for patients beyond cancer [302-304]. In England, the cancer strategy 'Achieving World-Class Cancer Outcomes: A Strategy for Cancer 2015-2020' includes access to a Recovery Package by 2020 among their goals [302]. Development of the cancer strategy included a Health and Wellbeing event, in which an overwhelming 96% of patients supported the idea of a breast cancer-specific health and wellbeing course at the end of treatment [305]. However, data to date show that 51% of NHS Hospital trusts do not provide breast cancer-specific support events. Breast cancer survivors seeking NHS support for mental health will be affected by the long waiting times currently observed. A survey commissioned by the Royal College of Psychiatrists revealed that one in four patients with a diagnosed mental health condition waited more than three months to access treatment in a NHS mental health service after referral [306].

#### 9.7.2 Current needs and future planning

Public health interventions to tackle the burden of mental health conditions ought to be comprehensive, including risk reducing and reactive strategies. Preventive strategies such as improved patient support and patient education during the continuity of care cannot be overemphasized. Breast Cancer Now has created a course tailored to breast cancer survivors, which was found to improve patients' HRQoL, emotional wellbeing, and self-management measures [307]. Public health organisations should work towards every patient being able to access information that meets their needs. Once significant distress is present, strategies are needed for early diagnosis and treatment of these conditions. As many as 41% of women in England reported not having received the professional support needed to cope with the long-term consequences of their disease [308]. This highlights the need for Cancer Alliances to provide or improve access to personalised support, as outlined in their priorities for 2019/2020 [308].

Mental health services are burdensome for publicly funded health care services, and the long-term provision of care that suits patients' needs is likely to require substantial investments, both in physical structures and in personnel. Waiting times for access to treatment for common mental health conditions needs to be shortened by increasing the supply of services, as current targets (75% of patients referred be treated within six weeks [309]) are not being met. Long term planning also needs to consider the need to build capacity in delivering psycho-oncology care.

#### 9.8 Implications for further research

# 9.8.1 Drivers of the association between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes

One priority area for future research is to investigate the role of mediators of the association between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes, such as the type of surgery (lumpectomy vs. mastectomy, with and without reconstruction), receipt and type of systemic treatment (chemotherapy, endocrine and/or immunotherapy), tumour characteristics as well as presence of lymphedema. The role of having had disease progression, or another cancer diagnosis, also needs to be explored. The effect of age on the likelihood of cognitive dysfunction recorded in the EHRs also deserves further attention to ascertain whether this is a true increased risk, or differential recording of these codes by GPs. The aetiological components of the disorders also need to be explored further. The impact of chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and immunotherapy on depression, fatigue, sexual dysfunction and pain needs clarification, as these conditions negatively affect the patients' HRQoL and little is known about the exact mechanisms by which the risk is increased. Cognitive dysfunction has traditionally been linked to the cytotoxic effect of chemotherapy, but recent studies suggest that this might be mediated by post-traumatic stress symptoms [272]. A better understanding of the drivers of adverse outcomes would help to identify opportunities for intervention, prevention and support.

# 9.8.2 Validation of the list of Read codes used to define mental health conditions

Recently, the Mental Health Data Set (MHDS) became available for linkage with the CPRD GOLD primary care database. This data set includes data from adult patients who accessed mental health services in secondary care and are thought to be suffering from a mental illness [310]. This could be used as the gold standard in a validation study aiming to assess the validity of Read codelists.

### 9.8.3 Longitudinal assessment of HRQoL in breast cancer survivors

Studies should focus on the longitudinal assessment of HRQoL in breast cancer survivors, as results are likely to change by domain across the survivorship period, and could help to identify critical periods for intervention (e.g. depression may arise on discharge from hospital follow-up, when women often feel isolated [288]).

## 9.8.4 Comparison of mental health outcomes in women who had breast cancer, women treated for in situ tumours, and women with no history of cancer.

The introduction of mass screening programs for breast cancer in the last decades resulted in many women being detected with *in situ* tumours, most often ductal carcinomas *in situ*. Patients diagnosed with non-invasive tumours have very good prognosis (10-year observed survival for patients surgically treated of 98.5% [311]), however, there is the potential for comparable adverse psychological effects as treatment modalities are similar to that of early-stage invasive breast cancer. This should be the subject of future studies. Also, the mental health impact of screening needs to be considered, particularly of false positive results.

# 9.8.5 Pressing need for evidence-based interventions for treatment of breast cancer survivors with mental health conditions

The benefit of most interventions for mental health conditions in breast cancer survivors needs to be established, including the optimal setting, method, and timing. There is an important gap in research about effective treatment strategies for fatigue, sexual dysfunction and cognitive dysfunction, all of which negatively affected HRQoL. Trials are also needed to pilot and evaluate the feasibility and effect of interventions aiming at raising awareness and screening for mental health conditions. The acceptability of the interventions is likely to vary by age and physical condition of the patients (e.g. internet-based interventions may have less acceptability among senior patients).

### 9.9 Conclusions

In conclusion, breast cancer survivors in the United Kingdom have an increased risk of anxiety, depression, fatigue, pain, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder and being prescribed opioid analgesics compared to women with no history of cancer. The risk of these disorders was particularly elevated in women within the first few years of breast cancer survivorship, and more pronounced in younger women. Increased risks of fatigue and pain were found to persist for 5-10 years post-diagnosis. In addition, breast cancer survivors had poorer HRQoL in the domains of cognitive problems, sexual function, and fatigue. Women with advanced-stage cancer at diagnosis, and/or treated with chemotherapy, had poorer HRQoL and mental health. It is imperative to raise awareness among patients, health-care professionals, and policy makers about the specific needs to the largest group of cancer survivors in the UK.

## 10 References

- 1. Steel Z, C Marnane, C Iranpour, T Chey, et al. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980-2013. Int J Epidemiol 2014:43:476-93.
- 2. Cowen P, P Harrison, and T Burns, *Shorter Oxford Textbook of Psychiatry, sixth edition.* 2012: Oxford University Press.
- 3. Price JS, R Gardner, Jr., and M Erickson. Can depression, anxiety and somatization be understood as appeasement displays? J Affect Disord 2004:79:1-11.
- 4. American Psychiatric Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th edition)*, 2013, American Pshyciatric Association Press: Washington DC.
- 5. Zandi PP, HC Wilcox, L Dong, S Chon, et al., *Mechanisms of risk: Genes as a Source of Risk for Mental Disorders*, in *Public Mental Health*, W.W. Eaton, Editor. 2012, Oxford University Press, Inc.: New York, NY.
- Karg K, M Burmeister, K Shedden, and S Sen. The serotonin transporter promoter variant (5-HTTLPR), stress, and depression meta-analysis revisited: evidence of genetic moderation. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2011:68:444-54.
- 7. Bradshaw CP, GW Rebok, B Zablotsky, LN Laflair, et al., *Models of Stress and Adapting to Risk: A Life Course, Developmental Perspective*, in *Public Mental Health*, W.W. Eaton, Editor. 2012, Oxford University Press, Inc.: New York.
- 8. *Definition of stress (noun)*, in *Oxford Dictionary of English*, A. Stevenson, Editor 2010, Oxford University Press.
- 9. Kim SW, HJ Kang, SY Kim, JM Kim, et al. Impact of childhood adversity on the course and suicidality of depressive disorders: the CRESCEND study. Depress Anxiety 2013:30:965-74.
- 10. Morina N, U von Lersner, and HG Prigerson. War and bereavement: consequences for mental and physical distress. PLoS One 2011:6:e22140.
- 11. Jordan HT, S Osahan, J Li, CR Stein, et al. Persistent mental and physical health impact of exposure to the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center terrorist attacks. Environ Health 2019:18:12.
- 12. Kalil A, CE Born, J Kunz, and PJ Caudill. Life stressors, social support, and depressive symptoms among first-time welfare recipients. Am J Community Psychol 2001:29:355-69.
- 13. Lorant V, D Deliege, W Eaton, A Robert, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 2003:157:98-112.
- 14. Rehman US, J Gollan, and AR Mortimer. The marital context of depression: research, limitations, and new directions. Clin Psychol Rev 2008:28:179-98.
- 15. Sjoberg L, B Karlsson, AR Atti, I Skoog, et al. Prevalence of depression: Comparisons of different depression definitions in population-based samples of older adults. J Affect Disord 2017:221:123-131.
- 16. Mistry R, GD Stevens, H Sareen, R De Vogli, et al. Parenting-related stressors and self-reported mental health of mothers with young children. Am J Public Health 2007:97:1261-8.
- 17. Theorell T, A Hammarstrom, G Aronsson, L Traskman Bendz, et al. A systematic review including meta-analysis of work environment and depressive symptoms. BMC Public Health 2015:15:738.
- 18. Domingue BW, H Liu, A Okbay, and DW Belsky. Genetic Heterogeneity in Depressive Symptoms Following the Death of a Spouse: Polygenic Score Analysis of the U.S. Health and Retirement Study. Am J Psychiatry 2017:174:963-970.
- 19. Salomon K and A Jin, *Diathesis-Stress Model*, in *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine*, Gellman M.D. and Turner J.R., Editors. 2013, Springer: New York, NY.

- 20. Kendler KS, J Kuhn, and CA Prescott. The interrelationship of neuroticism, sex, and stressful life events in the prediction of episodes of major depression. Am J Psychiatry 2004:161:631-6.
- 21. Kendler KS, J Myers, and CA Prescott. Sex differences in the relationship between social support and risk for major depression: a longitudinal study of opposite-sex twin pairs. Am J Psychiatry 2005:162:250-6.
- Wildes JE, KL Harkness, and AD Simons. Life events, number of social relationships, and twelve-month naturalistic course of major depression in a community sample of women. Depress Anxiety 2002:16:104-13.
- 23. Schuch FB, D Vancampfort, J Firth, S Rosenbaum, et al. Physical Activity and Incident Depression: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. Am J Psychiatry 2018:175:631-648.
- 24. Eaton WW, JC Anthony, J Gallo, G Cai, et al. Natural history of Diagnostic Interview Schedule/DSM-IV major depression. The Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area follow-up. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997:54:993-9.
- 25. Pedersen CB, O Mors, A Bertelsen, BL Waltoft, et al. A comprehensive nationwide study of the incidence rate and lifetime risk for treated mental disorders. JAMA Psychiatry 2014:71:573-81.
- 26. Vos T, AD Flaxman, M Naghavi, R Lozano, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012:380:2163-96.
- 27. Whiteford HA, L Degenhardt, J Rehm, AJ Baxter, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2013:382:1575-86.
- 28. GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018:392:1789-1858.
- 29. Eaton WW, P Alexandre, OJ Bienvenu, D Clarke, et al., *The Burden of Mental Disorders*, in *Public Mental Health*, W.W. Eaton, Editor. 2012, Oxford University Press, Inc.: New York, NY.
- 30. McManus S, P Bebbington, R Jenkins, T Brugha, et al., *Mental health and wellbeing in England: Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2014*, 2016: Leeds: NHS Digital.
- 31. Price JS. Evolutionary aspects of anxiety disorders. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2003:5:223-36.
- 32. American Psychiatric Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th edition)*, 1994, American Pshyciatric Association Press: Washington DC.
- Meier SM, K Trontti, KL Purves, TD Als, et al. Genetic Variants Associated With Anxiety and Stress-Related Disorders: A Genome-Wide Association Study and Mouse-Model Study. JAMA Psychiatry 2019.
- 34. Hettema JM, CA Prescott, JM Myers, MC Neale, et al. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for anxiety disorders in men and women. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005:62:182-9.
- 35. Rapee RM, *The development of generalized anxiety*, in *The developmental psychopathology of anxiety*, M.W. Vasey and M.R. Dadds, Editors. 2001, Oxford University Press: Oxford. p. 481-503.
- 36. Brown GW and TO Harris. Aetiology of anxiety and depressive disorders in an innercity population. 1. Early adversity. Psychol Med 1993:23:143-54.
- 37. Brown GW, TO Harris, and MJ Eales. Aetiology of anxiety and depressive disorders in an inner-city population. 2. Comorbidity and adversity. Psychol Med 1993:23:155-65.
- 38. Chorpita BF and DH Barlow. The development of anxiety: the role of control in the early environment. Psychol Bull 1998:124:3-21.

- Reardon JM and NL Williams. The specificity of cognitive vulnerabilities to emotional disorders: anxiety sensitivity, looming vulnerability and explanatory style. J Anxiety Disord 2007:21:625-43.
- 40. Eaton WW, RC Kessler, HU Wittchen, and WJ Magee. Panic and panic disorder in the United States. Am J Psychiatry 1994:151:413-20.
- 41. Keyl PM and WW Eaton. Risk factors for the onset of panic disorder and other panic attacks in a prospective, population-based study. Am J Epidemiol 1990:131:301-11.
- 42. Magee WJ, WW Eaton, HU Wittchen, KA McGonagle, et al. Agoraphobia, simple phobia, and social phobia in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996:53:159-68.
- 43. Kelly JM, E Jakubovski, and MH Bloch. Prognostic subgroups for remission and response in the Coordinated Anxiety Learning and Management (CALM) trial. J Clin Psychiatry 2015:76:267-78.
- 44. Schuch FB, B Stubbs, J Meyer, A Heissel, et al. Physical activity protects from incident anxiety: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Depress Anxiety 2019.
- 45. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates, 2017, World Health Organization: Geneva.
- 46. Walters K, G Rait, M Griffin, M Buszewicz, et al. Recent trends in the incidence of anxiety diagnoses and symptoms in primary care. PLoS One 2012:7:e41670.
- 47. Angst J and M Vollrath. The natural history of anxiety disorders. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1991:84:446-52.
- 48. Eaton WW and C Ritter. Distinguishing anxiety and depression with field survey data. Psychol Med 1988:18:155-66.
- 49. *Anxiety and depression (figure), Psychiatric Epidemiology*, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health: <u>http://ocw.jhsph.edu</u>.
- 50. Wang J, F Mann, B Lloyd-Evans, R Ma, et al. Associations between loneliness and perceived social support and outcomes of mental health problems: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 2018:18:156.
- 51. Leckman JF, MM Weissman, KR Merikangas, DL Pauls, et al. Panic disorder and major depression. Increased risk of depression, alcoholism, panic, and phobic disorders in families of depressed probands with panic disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1983:40:1055-60.
- 52. Eaton WW, JC Anthony, A Romanoski, A Tien, et al. Onset and recovery from panic disorder in the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area follow-up. Br J Psychiatry 1998:173:501-7.
- 53. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults: management (CG113), 2011.
- 54. Bjerkeset O, P Romundstad, and D Gunnell. Gender differences in the association of mixed anxiety and depression with suicide. Br J Psychiatry 2008:192:474-5.
- 55. Roth T, C Coulouvrat, G Hajak, MD Lakoma, et al. Prevalence and perceived health associated with insomnia based on DSM-IV-TR; International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision; and Research Diagnostic Criteria/International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Second Edition criteria: results from the America Insomnia Survey. Biol Psychiatry 2011:69:592-600.
- 56. Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of insomnia: what we know and what we still need to learn. Sleep Med Rev 2002:6:97-111.
- 57. Ohayon MM, M Caulet, RG Priest, and C Guilleminault. DSM-IV and ICSD-90 insomnia symptoms and sleep dissatisfaction. Br J Psychiatry 1997:171:382-8.
- 58. Roberts RE, SJ Shema, and GA Kaplan. Prospective data on sleep complaints and associated risk factors in an older cohort. Psychosom Med 1999:61:188-96.

- 59. Smagula SF, KL Stone, A Fabio, and JA Cauley. Risk factors for sleep disturbances in older adults: Evidence from prospective studies. Sleep Med Rev 2016:25:21-30.
- 60. Zhang B and YK Wing. Sex differences in insomnia: a meta-analysis. Sleep 2006:29:85-93.
- 61. Buysse DJ. Insomnia. JAMA 2013:309:706-16.
- 62. Angel K. The history of 'Female Sexual Dysfunction' as a mental disorder in the 20th century. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2010:23:536-41.
- 63. Laumann EO, A Paik, and RC Rosen. Sexual dysfunction in the United States: prevalence and predictors. JAMA 1999:281:537-44.
- 64. Chen CH, YC Lin, LH Chiu, YH Chu, et al. Female sexual dysfunction: definition, classification, and debates. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2013:52:3-7.
- Mercer CH, KA Fenton, AM Johnson, K Wellings, et al. Sexual function problems and help seeking behaviour in Britain: national probability sample survey. BMJ 2003:327:426-7.
- 66. Mitchell KR, CH Mercer, GB Ploubidis, KG Jones, et al. Sexual function in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). Lancet 2013:382:1817-29.
- 67. Alegret M, G Cuberas-Borros, G Vinyes-Junque, A Espinosa, et al. A two-year followup of cognitive deficits and brain perfusion in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2012:30:109-20.
- Ahmadi-Abhari S, M Guzman-Castillo, P Bandosz, MJ Shipley, et al. Temporal trend in dementia incidence since 2002 and projections for prevalence in England and Wales to 2040: modelling study. BMJ 2017:358:j2856.
- 69. Prince M, GC Ali, M Guerchet, AM Prina, et al. Recent global trends in the prevalence and incidence of dementia, and survival with dementia. Alzheimers Res Ther 2016:8:23.
- Afshin A, MB Reitsma, and CJL Murray. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries. N Engl J Med 2017:377:1496-7.
- 71. Harwood DG, A Kalechstein, WW Barker, S Strauman, et al. The effect of alcohol and tobacco consumption, and apolipoprotein E genotype, on the age of onset in Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2010:25:511-8.
- 72. Norton S, FE Matthews, DE Barnes, K Yaffe, et al. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease: an analysis of population-based data. Lancet Neurol 2014:13:788-94.
- 73. Livingston G, A Sommerlad, V Orgeta, SG Costafreda, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. Lancet 2017:390:2673-2734.
- 74. Global Burden of Disease Study C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015:386:743-800.
- 75. Hawton K and A James. Suicide and deliberate self harm in young people. BMJ 2005:330:891-4.
- 76. Scoliers G, G Portzky, N Madge, A Hewitt, et al. Reasons for adolescent deliberate self-harm: a cry of pain and/or a cry for help? Findings from the child and adolescent self-harm in Europe (CASE) study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2009:44:601-7.
- 77. McManus S, D Gunnell, C Cooper, PE Bebbington, et al. Prevalence of non-suicidal self-harm and service contact in England, 2000-14: repeated cross-sectional surveys of the general population. Lancet Psychiatry 2019:6:573-581.
- 78. Hawton K, KE Saunders, and RC O'Connor. Self-harm and suicide in adolescents. Lancet 2012:379:2373-82.

- 79. Hawton K, L Harriss, and D Zahl. Deaths from all causes in a long-term follow-up study of 11,583 deliberate self-harm patients. Psychol Med 2006:36:397-405.
- Korkmaz H, S Korkmaz, and M Cakar. Suicide risk in chronic heart failure patients and its association with depression, hopelessness and self esteem. J Clin Neurosci 2019.
- 81. Sampaio MS, WA Vieira, IM Bernardino, AM Herval, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease as a risk factor for suicide: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Med 2019:151:11-18.
- Sandhu JK, KK Wu, TL Bui, and AW Armstrong. Association Between Atopic Dermatitis and Suicidality: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Dermatol 2019:155:178-187.
- 83. Pompili M, A Forte, M Palermo, H Stefani, et al. Suicide risk in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review of current literature. J Psychosom Res 2012:73:411-7.
- 84. Tang NK and C Crane. Suicidality in chronic pain: a review of the prevalence, risk factors and psychological links. Psychol Med 2006:36:575-86.
- 85. Clements C, K Hawton, G Geulayov, K Waters, et al. Self-harm in midlife: analysis using data from the Multicentre Study of Self-harm in England. Br J Psychiatry 2019:1-8.
- Hawton K, H Bergen, J Cooper, P Turnbull, et al. Suicide following self-harm: findings from the Multicentre Study of self-harm in England, 2000-2012. J Affect Disord 2015:175:147-51.
- 87. Morgan C, RT Webb, MJ Carr, E Kontopantelis, et al. Incidence, clinical management, and mortality risk following self harm among children and adolescents: cohort study in primary care. BMJ 2017:359:j4351.
- 88. Sadock BJ, VA Sadock, and P Ruiz, eds. *Kaplan & Sadock Synopsis of psychiatry, Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry.* 11 ed. 2015, Wolter Kluwer.
- 89. Office for National Statistics, *Statistical bulletin: Suicides in the United Kingdom: 2014 registrations (released 4 February 2016)*, 2016.
- Ferlay J, I Soerjomataram, R Dikshit, S Eser, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015:136:E359-86.
- 91. Ferlay J, I Soerjomataram, M Ervik, R Dikshit, et al., *GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet].* 2013: Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- 92. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, vol. XI.* 2019; Available from: <u>http://ci5.iarc.fr</u>.
- 93. Standring S, ed. *Gray's Anatomy. The anatomical basis of clinical practice.* 40 ed. 2008, Elsevier.
- 94. Koeppen BM and BA Stanton, eds. Berne & Levy Physiology. 6 ed. 2010, Elsevier.
- 95. Kumar V, AK Abbas, and JC Aster, eds. *Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease*. 9 ed. 2015, Elsevier.
- 96. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast C. Menarche, menopause, and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis, including 118 964 women with breast cancer from 117 epidemiological studies. Lancet Oncol 2012:13:1141-51.
- 97. Ewertz M and SW Duffy. Risk of breast cancer in relation to reproductive factors in Denmark. Br J Cancer 1988:58:99-104.
- 98. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast C. Breast cancer and breastfeeding: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 47 epidemiological studies in 30 countries, including 50302 women with breast cancer and 96973 women without the disease. Lancet 2002:360:187-95.
- 99. Beral V and C Million Women Study. Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million Women Study. Lancet 2003:362:419-27.

- 100. McPherson K, CM Steel, and JM Dixon. ABC of breast diseases. Breast cancerepidemiology, risk factors, and genetics. BMJ 2000:321:624-8.
- 101. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer, *Continuous Update Project Report. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Breast Cancer*, 2010.
- 102. World Cancer Research Fund International/American Institute for Cancer Research, Continuous Update Project Report: Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Breast Cancer Survivors, 2014.
- 103. World Cancer Research Fund International/American Institute for Cancer Research, Continuous Update Project Report: Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Breast Cancer Survivors, 2018.
- 104. Omran AR. The epidemiologic transition. A theory of the epidemiology of population change. Milbank Mem Fund Q 1971:49:509-38.
- 105. Advisory Committee on Breast Cancer Screening. Screening for breast cancer in England: past and future. J Med Screen 2006:13:59-61.
- Duffy SW, L Tabar, AH Olsen, B Vitak, et al. Absolute numbers of lives saved and overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening, from a randomized trial and from the Breast Screening Programme in England. J Med Screen 2010:17:25-30.
- 107. Cheung S, N Greenway, C Lagord, L Williams, et al., *All Breast Cancer Report: a UK analysis of all symptomatic and screen-detected breast cancers diagnosed in 2006* in *NHS Cancer Screening Programmes*2006.
- 108. Quaresma M, MP Coleman, and B Rachet. 40-year trends in an index of survival for all cancers combined and survival adjusted for age and sex for each cancer in England and Wales, 1971-2011: a population-based study. Lancet 2015:385:1206-18.
- 109. Maddams J, M Utley, and H Moller. Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer 2012:107:1195-202.
- Allemani C, T Matsuda, V Di Carlo, R Harewood, et al. Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. Lancet 2018:391:1023-1075.
- 111. Allemani C, HK Weir, H Carreira, R Harewood, et al. Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995-2009: analysis of individual data for 25,676,887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD-2). Lancet 2015:385:977-1010.
- Senkus E, S Kyriakides, S Ohno, F Penault-Llorca, et al. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2015:26 Suppl 5:v8-30.
- 113. Clarke M, R Collins, S Darby, C Davies, et al. Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 2005:366:2087-106.
- 114. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative G. Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 2005:365:1687-717.
- 115. Gibson L, D Lawrence, C Dawson, and J Bliss. Aromatase inhibitors for treatment of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009:CD003370.
- 116. Dowsett M, J Cuzick, J Ingle, A Coates, et al. Meta-analysis of breast cancer outcomes in adjuvant trials of aromatase inhibitors versus tamoxifen. J Clin Oncol 2010:28:509-18.
- 117. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative G. Aromatase inhibitors versus tamoxifen in early breast cancer: patient-level meta-analysis of the randomised trials. Lancet 2015:386:1341-1352.

- 118. Livi L, I Meattini, C Saieva, C Franzese, et al. Prognostic value of positive human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status and negative hormone status in patients with T1a/T1b, lymph node-negative breast cancer. Cancer 2012:118:3236-43.
- 119. Rubin I and Y Yarden. The basic biology of HER2. Ann Oncol 2001:12 Suppl 1:S3-8.
- 120. Piccart-Gebhart MJ, M Procter, B Leyland-Jones, A Goldhirsch, et al. Trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2005:353:1659-72.
- 121. Rosenberg SM, RM Tamimi, S Gelber, KJ Ruddy, et al. Body image in recently diagnosed young women with early breast cancer. Psychooncology 2013:22:1849-55.
- 122. Hartl K, W Janni, R Kastner, H Sommer, et al. Impact of medical and demographic factors on long-term quality of life and body image of breast cancer patients. Ann Oncol 2003:14:1064-71.
- 123. Kehlet H, TS Jensen, and CJ Woolf. Persistent postsurgical pain: risk factors and prevention. Lancet 2006:367:1618-25.
- 124. Loukas M, J Hullett, RG Louis, Jr., S Holdman, et al. The gross anatomy of the extrathoracic course of the intercostobrachial nerve. Clin Anat 2006:19:106-11.
- 125. Cunnick GH, S Upponi, and GC Wishart. Anatomical variants of the intercostobrachial nerve encountered during axillary dissection. Breast 2001:10:160-2.
- 126. Andersen KG and H Kehlet. Persistent pain after breast cancer treatment: a critical review of risk factors and strategies for prevention. J Pain 2011:12:725-46.
- 127. Gartner R, MB Jensen, J Nielsen, M Ewertz, et al. Prevalence of and factors associated with persistent pain following breast cancer surgery. JAMA 2009:302:1985-92.
- 128. Langer I, U Guller, G Berclaz, OR Koechli, et al. Morbidity of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLN) alone versus SLN and completion axillary lymph node dissection after breast cancer surgery: a prospective Swiss multicenter study on 659 patients. Ann Surg 2007:245:452-61.
- 129. Schulze T, J Mucke, J Markwardt, PM Schlag, et al. Long-term morbidity of patients with early breast cancer after sentinel lymph node biopsy compared to axillary lymph node dissection. J Surg Oncol 2006:93:109-19.
- 130. Tsai RJ, LK Dennis, CF Lynch, LG Snetselaar, et al. The risk of developing arm lymphedema among breast cancer survivors: a meta-analysis of treatment factors. Ann Surg Oncol 2009:16:1959-72.
- 131. Lymphoedema Network, Best Practice for the Management of Lymphoedema. International consensus, 2006: London, MEP Ltd.
- 132. Armer J, MR Fu, JM Wainstock, E Zagar, et al. Lymphedema following breast cancer treatment, including sentinel lymph node biopsy. Lymphology 2004:37:73-91.
- 133. DiSipio T, S Rye, B Newman, and S Hayes. Incidence of unilateral arm lymphoedema after breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol 2013:14:500-15.
- 134. Shaitelman SF, PJ Schlembach, I Arzu, M Ballo, et al. Acute and Short-term Toxic Effects of Conventionally Fractionated vs Hypofractionated Whole-Breast Irradiation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2015:1:931-41.
- 135. Senkus-Konefka E and J Jassem. Cardiovascular effects of breast cancer radiotherapy. Cancer Treat Rev 2007:33:578-93.
- 136. Darby SC, P McGale, CW Taylor, and R Peto. Long-term mortality from heart disease and lung cancer after radiotherapy for early breast cancer: prospective cohort study of about 300,000 women in US SEER cancer registries. Lancet Oncol 2005:6:557-65.
- 137. Roychoudhuri R, D Robinson, V Putcha, J Cuzick, et al. Increased cardiovascular mortality more than fifteen years after radiotherapy for breast cancer: a population-based study. BMC Cancer 2007:7:9.

- 138. Henson KE, P McGale, C Taylor, and SC Darby. Radiation-related mortality from heart disease and lung cancer more than 20 years after radiotherapy for breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2013:108:179-82.
- Darby S, P McGale, R Peto, F Granath, et al. Mortality from cardiovascular disease more than 10 years after radiotherapy for breast cancer: nationwide cohort study of 90 000 Swedish women. BMJ 2003:326:256-7.
- 140. Fallowfield L and V Jenkins. Psychosocial/survivorship issues in breast cancer: are we doing better? J Natl Cancer Inst 2015:107:335.
- Shapiro CL and A Recht. Side effects of adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2001:344:1997-2008.
- 142. Mayer EL. Early and late long-term effects of adjuvant chemotherapy. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book 2013:9-14.
- 143. Abrahams HJ, MF Gielissen, IC Schmits, CA Verhagen, et al. Risk factors, prevalence, and course of severe fatigue after breast cancer treatment: a metaanalysis involving 12 327 breast cancer survivors. Ann Oncol 2016:27:965-74.
- 144. Prevezas C, B Matard, L Pinquier, and P Reygagne. Irreversible and severe alopecia following docetaxel or paclitaxel cytotoxic therapy for breast cancer. Br J Dermatol 2009:160:883-5.
- 145. Kluger N, W Jacot, E Frouin, V Rigau, et al. Permanent scalp alopecia related to breast cancer chemotherapy by sequential fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide (FEC) and docetaxel: a prospective study of 20 patients. Ann Oncol 2012:23:2879-84.
- 146. Bines J, DM Oleske, and MA Cobleigh. Ovarian function in premenopausal women treated with adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 1996:14:1718-29.
- 147. Zavos A and A Valachis. Risk of chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea in patients with breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Oncol 2016:55:664-70.
- 148. Goldhirsch A, RD Gelber, and M Castiglione. The magnitude of endocrine effects of adjuvant chemotherapy for premenopausal breast cancer patients. The International Breast Cancer Study Group. Ann Oncol 1990:1:183-8.
- 149. Howard-Anderson J, PA Ganz, JE Bower, and AL Stanton. Quality of life, fertility concerns, and behavioral health outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst 2012:104:386-405.
- 150. Wefel JS, J Vardy, T Ahles, and SB Schagen. International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommendations to harmonise studies of cognitive function in patients with cancer. Lancet Oncol 2011:12:703-8.
- 151. Ahles TA, AJ Saykin, CT Furstenberg, B Cole, et al. Neuropsychologic impact of standard-dose systemic chemotherapy in long-term survivors of breast cancer and lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2002:20:485-93.
- 152. Ahles TA, JC Root, and EL Ryan. Cancer- and cancer treatment-associated cognitive change: an update on the state of the science. J Clin Oncol 2012:30:3675-86.
- Jim HS, KM Phillips, S Chait, LA Faul, et al. Meta-analysis of cognitive functioning in breast cancer survivors previously treated with standard-dose chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2012:30:3578-87.
- 154. Stewart A, C Bielajew, B Collins, M Parkinson, et al. A meta-analysis of the neuropsychological effects of adjuvant chemotherapy treatment in women treated for breast cancer. Clin Neuropsychol 2006:20:76-89.
- 155. Ahles TA and AJ Saykin. Candidate mechanisms for chemotherapy-induced cognitive changes. Nat Rev Cancer 2007:7:192-201.
- 156. Streicher; L and JA Simon;, *Sexual function post-breast cancer*, in *Optimizing Breast Cancer Management*, Gradishar W., Editor. 2018, Springer, Cham: Cancer Treatment and Research.
- 157. Vehmanen L, T Saarto, I Elomaa, P Makela, et al. Long-term impact of chemotherapyinduced ovarian failure on bone mineral density (BMD) in premenopausal breast

cancer patients. The effect of adjuvant clodronate treatment. Eur J Cancer 2001:37:2373-8.

- 158. Vehmanen LK, I Elomaa, CP Blomqvist, and T Saarto. The effect of ovarian dysfunction on bone mineral density in breast cancer patients 10 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. Acta Oncol 2014:53:75-9.
- 159. Greep NC, AE Giuliano, NM Hansen, T Taketani, et al. The effects of adjuvant chemotherapy on bone density in postmenopausal women with early breast cancer. Am J Med 2003:114:653-9.
- 160. Gianni L, E Salvatorelli, and G Minotti. Anthracycline cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients: synergism with trastuzumab and taxanes. Cardiovasc Toxicol 2007:7:67-71.
- 161. Fabian CJ. The what, why and how of aromatase inhibitors: hormonal agents for treatment and prevention of breast cancer. Int J Clin Pract 2007:61:2051-63.
- 162. Dent SF, R Gaspo, M Kissner, and KI Pritchard. Aromatase inhibitor therapy: toxicities and management strategies in the treatment of postmenopausal women with hormone-sensitive early breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011:126:295-310.
- 163. Safarinejad MR, N Shafiei, and S Safarinejad. Quality of life and sexual functioning in young women with early-stage breast cancer 1 year after lumpectomy. Psychooncology 2013:22:1242-8.
- 164. Martin M, FJ Esteva, E Alba, B Khandheria, et al. Minimizing cardiotoxicity while optimizing treatment efficacy with trastuzumab: review and expert recommendations. Oncologist 2009:14:1-11.
- 165. Moja L, L Tagliabue, S Balduzzi, E Parmelli, et al. Trastuzumab containing regimens for early breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012:CD006243.
- 166. Weisman AD and JW Worden. The existential plight in cancer: significance of the first 100 days. Int J Psychiatry Med 1976:7:1-15.
- 167. Vargens OM and C Bertero. The phantom of death improving quality of life: you live until you die. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 2012:29:555-62.
- 168. Lee V. The existential plight of cancer: meaning making as a concrete approach to the intangible search for meaning. Support Care Cancer 2008:16:779-85.
- 169. Schubart JR, M Emerich, M Farnan, J Stanley Smith, et al. Screening for psychological distress in surgical breast cancer patients. Ann Surg Oncol 2014:21:3348-53.
- 170. Al-Azri M, H Al-Awisi, and M Al-Moundhri. Coping with a diagnosis of breast cancerliterature review and implications for developing countries. Breast J 2009:15:615-22.
- 171. Lemieux J, E Maunsell, and L Provencher. Chemotherapy-induced alopecia and effects on quality of life among women with breast cancer: a literature review. Psychooncology 2008:17:317-28.
- 172. Fu MR, SH Ridner, SH Hu, BR Stewart, et al. Psychosocial impact of lymphedema: a systematic review of literature from 2004 to 2011. Psychooncology 2013:22:1466-84.
- 173. Taghian NR, CL Miller, LS Jammallo, J O'Toole, et al. Lymphedema following breast cancer treatment and impact on quality of life: a review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2014:92:227-34.
- 174. Kim Y, DA Kashy, DK Wellisch, RL Spillers, et al. Quality of life of couples dealing with cancer: dyadic and individual adjustment among breast and prostate cancer survivors and their spousal caregivers. Ann Behav Med 2008:35:230-8.
- 175. Cohen M and S Pollack. Mothers with breast cancer and their adult daughters: the relationship between mothers' reaction to breast cancer and their daughters' emotional and neuroimmune status. Psychosom Med 2005:67:64-71.
- 176. Raveis VH and S Pretter. Existential plight of adult daughters following their mother's breast cancer diagnosis. Psychooncology 2005:14:49-60.
- 177. Todd BL, EL Feuerstein, and M Feuerstein. When breast cancer survivors report cognitive problems at work. Int J Psychiatry Med 2011:42:279-94.

- 178. Bower JE, PA Ganz, KA Desmond, JH Rowland, et al. Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life. J Clin Oncol 2000:18:743-53.
- 179. Meeske K, AW Smith, CM Alfano, BA McGregor, et al. Fatigue in breast cancer survivors two to five years post diagnosis: a HEAL Study report. Qual Life Res 2007:16:947-60.
- Peuckmann V, O Ekholm, NK Rasmussen, M Groenvold, et al. Chronic pain and other sequelae in long-term breast cancer survivors: nationwide survey in Denmark. Eur J Pain 2009:13:478-85.
- 181. Caffo O, M Amichetti, A Ferro, A Lucenti, et al. Pain and quality of life after surgery for breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2003:80:39-48.
- 182. Thewes B, B Meiser, A Taylor, KA Phillips, et al. Fertility- and menopause-related information needs of younger women with a diagnosis of early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005:23:5155-65.
- 183. Koch-Gallenkamp L, H Bertram, A Eberle, B Holleczek, et al. Fear of Recurrence in Long-Term Cancer Survivors-Do Cancer Type, Sex, Time Since Diagnosis, and Social Support Matter? Health Psychol 2016.
- 184. Burgess C, V Cornelius, S Love, J Graham, et al. Depression and anxiety in women with early breast cancer: five year observational cohort study. BMJ 2005:330:702.
- 185. Mitchell AJ, M Chan, H Bhatti, M Halton, et al. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and adjustment disorder in oncological, haematological, and palliative-care settings: a meta-analysis of 94 interview-based studies. Lancet Oncol 2011:12:160-74.
- 186. Brandberg Y, H Michelson, B Nilsson, C Bolund, et al. Quality of life in women with breast cancer during the first year after random assignment to adjuvant treatment with marrow-supported high-dose chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, thiotepa, and carboplatin or tailored therapy with Fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide: Scandinavian Breast Group Study 9401. J Clin Oncol 2003:21:3659-64.
- 187. Bottomley A and P Therasse. Quality of life in patients undergoing systemic therapy for advanced breast cancer. Lancet Oncol 2002:3:620-8.
- 188. Bantema-Joppe EJ, GH de Bock, M Woltman-van Iersel, DM Busz, et al. The impact of age on changes in quality of life among breast cancer survivors treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy. Br J Cancer 2015:112:636-43.
- 189. Stover AM, DK Mayer, H Muss, SB Wheeler, et al. Quality of life changes during the pre- to postdiagnosis period and treatment-related recovery time in older women with breast cancer. Cancer 2014:120:1881-9.
- 190. Petrie KJ, DL Buick, J Weinman, and RJ Booth. Positive effects of illness reported by myocardial infarction and breast cancer patients. J Psychosom Res 1999:47:537-43.
- 191. Morrill EF, NT Brewer, SC O'Neill, SE Lillie, et al. The interaction of post-traumatic growth and post-traumatic stress symptoms in predicting depressive symptoms and quality of life. Psychooncology 2008:17:948-53.
- 192. Ganz PA, GA Greendale, L Petersen, B Kahn, et al. Breast cancer in younger women: reproductive and late health effects of treatment. J Clin Oncol 2003:21:4184-93.
- 193. Koch L, L Jansen, A Herrmann, C Stegmaier, et al. Quality of life in long-term breast cancer survivors a 10-year longitudinal population-based study. Acta Oncol 2013:52:1119-28.
- 194. Maly RC, Y Liu, LJ Liang, and PA Ganz. Quality of life over 5 years after a breast cancer diagnosis among low-income women: effects of race/ethnicity and patient-physician communication. Cancer 2015:121:916-26.
- 195. Schmidt ME, J Chang-Claude, A Vrieling, J Heinz, et al. Fatigue and quality of life in breast cancer survivors: temporal courses and long-term pattern. J Cancer Surviv 2012:6:11-9.

- 196. Ahmed RL, A Prizment, D Lazovich, KH Schmitz, et al. Lymphedema and quality of life in breast cancer survivors: the Iowa Women's Health Study. J Clin Oncol 2008:26:5689-96.
- 197. Neuner JM, N Zokoe, EL McGinley, LE Pezzin, et al. Quality of life among a population-based cohort of older patients with breast cancer. Breast 2014:23:609-16.
- 198. DiSipio T, S Hayes, B Newman, and M Janda. Health-related quality of life 18 months after breast cancer: comparison with the general population of Queensland, Australia. Support Care Cancer 2008:16:1141-50.
- 199. Ganz PA, KA Desmond, B Leedham, JH Rowland, et al. Quality of life in long-term, disease-free survivors of breast cancer: a follow-up study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002:94:39-49.
- Avis NE, BJ Levine, LD Case, EZ Naftalis, et al. Trajectories of depressive symptoms following breast cancer diagnosis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015:24:1789-95.
- 201. Maher J, L Petchey, D Greenfield, G Levitt, et al. Implementation of nationwide cancer survivorship plans: Experience from the UK. Journal of Cancer Policy 2018:15:76-81.
- Glaser AW, LK Fraser, J Corner, R Feltbower, et al. Patient-reported outcomes of cancer survivors in England 1-5 years after diagnosis: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open 2013:3.
- Huillard O, Y Le Strat, C Dubertret, F Goldwasser, et al. RE: Associations between breast cancer survivorship and adverse mental health outcomes: a systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst 2019:111:335-336.
- 204. Cheng ASK, Y Zeng, X Liu, S Liu, et al. Cognitive challenges while at work and work output in breast cancer survivors employed in a rapidly evolving economy. J Cancer Surviv 2018:12:753-761.
- 205. Wirkner J, M Weymar, A Low, C Hamm, et al. Cognitive functioning and emotion processing in breast cancer survivors and controls: An ERP pilot study. Psychophysiology 2017:54:1209-1222.
- 206. Ng HS, A Vitry, B Koczwara, D Roder, et al. Patterns of comorbidities in women with breast cancer: a Canadian population-based study. Cancer Causes Control 2019:30:931-941.
- 207. Jung MS, M Zhang, MK Askren, MG Berman, et al. Cognitive dysfunction and symptom burden in women treated for breast cancer: a prospective behavioral and fMRI analysis. Brain Imaging Behav 2017:11:86-97.
- 208. Kesler SR, A Rao, DW Blayney, IA Oakley-Girvan, et al. Predicting Long-Term Cognitive Outcome Following Breast Cancer with Pre-Treatment Resting State fMRI and Random Forest Machine Learning. Front Hum Neurosci 2017:11:555.
- 209. Soldera SV, M Ennis, AE Lohmann, and PJ Goodwin. Sexual health in long-term breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018:172:159-166.
- 210. NHS National Institute for Health Research and the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. *The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)*. 2016; Available from: <a href="https://www.cprd.com/intro.asp">https://www.cprd.com/intro.asp</a>.
- 211. NHS Digital. *GP Systems of Choice*. System and services 2019 [cited 2019 08/08/2019]; Available from: <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/services/gp-systems-of-choice</u>.
- 212. Kontopantelis E, RJ Stevens, PJ Helms, D Edwards, et al. Spatial distribution of clinical computer systems in primary care in England in 2016 and implications for primary care electronic medical record databases: a cross-sectional population study. BMJ Open 2018:8:e020738.
- 213. Herrett E, AM Gallagher, K Bhaskaran, H Forbes, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol 2015:44:827-36.
- 214. Chisholm J. The Read clinical classification. BMJ 1990:300:1092.

- 215. Health and Social Care Information Centre. Attribution Data Set GP-Registered Populations Scaled to ONS Population Estimates 2011. 2012; Available from: http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB05054
- 216. Department of Health & Social Care. *The NHS Constitution for England*. 2015 15/08/2019]; Available from: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-nhs-constitution-for-england/the-nhs-constitution-for-england</u>.
- 217. Boggon R, TP van Staa, M Chapman, AM Gallagher, et al. Cancer recording and mortality in the General Practice Research Database and linked cancer registries. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013:22:168-75.
- 218. Khan NF, SE Harrison, and PW Rose. Validity of diagnostic coding within the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. Br J Gen Pract 2010:60:e128-36.
- Herrett E, SL Thomas, WM Schoonen, L Smeeth, et al. Validation and validity of diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2010:69:4-14.
- 220. Padmanabhan S, L Carty, E Cameron, RE Ghosh, et al. Approach to record linkage of primary care data from Clinical Practice Research Datalink to other health-related patient data: overview and implications. Eur J Epidemiol 2019:34:91-99.
- 221. NHS Digital. *Data Services: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES)*. 2019; Available from: http://digital.nhs.uk/hes.
- 222. Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), *Documentation: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) Admitted Patient Care and CPRD primary care data (Set 16), version* 2.1, 2018.
- 223. World Health Organization, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). 10 ed. 1992, Geneva.
- 224. Thomas KH, N Davies, C Metcalfe, F Windmeijer, et al. Validation of suicide and selfharm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013:76:145-57.
- 225. Statistics for Wales. *Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation*. 2018; Available from: <u>https://gweddill.gov.wales/statistics-and-research/welsh-index-multiple-</u> <u>deprivation/?lang=en</u>.
- 226. Scottish Government. *The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation*. 2016; Available from: <u>https://gweddill.gov.wales/statistics-and-research/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation/?lang=en</u>.
- 227. Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. *Northern Ireland Multiple Deprivation Measure*. 2010; Available from: <u>https://gweddill.gov.wales/statistics-and-research/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation/?lang=en</u>.
- 228. Department for Communities and Local Government. *Official Statistics English indices of deprivation 2015*. 2015 10 10 2015]; Available from: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2015</u>.
- 229. Office for National Statistics. *User guide to mortality statistics* 2019; Available from: <u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/de</u> <u>aths/methodologies/userguidetomortalitystatisticsjuly2017#information-collected-at-</u> <u>death-registration</u>.
- 230. UK Government. *Register a death*. 2019; Available from: <u>https://www.gov.uk/after-a-death</u>.
- 231. World Health Organization. *Mortality*. Health topics 2016; Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/topics/mortality/en/</u>.
- 232. World Health Organization, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-9). 9 ed. 1978, Geneva.
- 233. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Soc Sci Med 1995:41:1403-9.
- 234. Ferrans CE, JJ Zerwic, JE Wilbur, and JL Larson. Conceptual model of health-related quality of life. J Nurs Scholarsh 2005:37:336-42.

- 235. U. S. Department of Health HS, F. D. A. Center for Drug Evaluation Research, HS U. S. Department of Health, F. D. A. Center for Biologics Evaluation Research, et al. Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims: draft guidance. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2006:4:79.
- 236. Fitzpatrick R, A Fletcher, S Gore, D Jones, et al. Quality of life measures in health care. I: Applications and issues in assessment. BMJ 1992:305:1074-7.
- 237. Higginson IJ and AJ Carr. Measuring quality of life: Using quality of life measures in the clinical setting. BMJ 2001:322:1297-300.
- 238. Muzzatti B and MA Annunziata. Assessing quality of life in long-term cancer survivors: a review of available tools. Support Care Cancer 2013:21:3143-52.
- 239. Chopra I and KM Kamal. A systematic review of quality of life instruments in long-term breast cancer survivors. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2012:10:14.
- 240. Avis NE, KW Smith, S McGraw, RG Smith, et al. Assessing quality of life in adult cancer survivors (QLACS). Qual Life Res 2005:14:1007-23.
- 241. Sohl SJ, B Levine, and NE Avis. Evaluation of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) scale for early post-treatment breast cancer survivors. Qual Life Res 2015:24:205-12.
- 242. Zigmond AS and RP Snaith. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983:67:361-70.
- 243. Wilkinson MJ and P Barczak. Psychiatric screening in general practice: comparison of the general health questionnaire and the hospital anxiety depression scale. J R Coll Gen Pract 1988:38:311-3.
- 244. Thompson C, K Ostler, RC Peveler, N Baker, et al. Dimensional perspective on the recognition of depressive symptoms in primary care: The Hampshire Depression Project 3. Br J Psychiatry 2001:179:317-23.
- 245. Ostler K, C Thompson, AL Kinmonth, RC Peveler, et al. Influence of socio-economic deprivation on the prevalence and outcome of depression in primary care: the Hampshire Depression Project. Br J Psychiatry 2001:178:12-7.
- 246. Mallen CD and G Peat. Screening older people with musculoskeletal pain for depressive symptoms in primary care. Br J Gen Pract 2008:58:688-93.
- 247. Clement S, O Schauman, T Graham, F Maggioni, et al. What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. Psychol Med 2015:45:11-27.
- 248. Heo J, M Chun, YT Oh, OK Noh, et al. Psychiatric comorbidities among breast cancer survivors in South Korea: a nationwide population-based study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2017:162:151-158.
- 249. Lu D, TM Andersson, K Fall, CM Hultman, et al. Clinical Diagnosis of Mental Disorders Immediately Before and After Cancer Diagnosis: A Nationwide Matched Cohort Study in Sweden. JAMA Oncol 2016:2:1188-96.
- 250. Stanton AL and JE Bower. Psychological Adjustment in Breast Cancer Survivors. Adv Exp Med Biol 2015:862:231-42.
- 251. Saboonchi F, LM Petersson, A Wennman-Larsen, K Alexanderson, et al. Trajectories of Anxiety Among Women with Breast Cancer: A Proxy for Adjustment from Acute to Transitional Survivorship. J Psychosoc Oncol 2015:33:603-19.
- 252. Bisson JI, HL Chubb, S Bennett, M Mason, et al. The prevalence and predictors of psychological distress in patients with early localized prostate cancer. BJU Int 2002:90:56-61.
- 253. Kornblith AB, M Powell, MM Regan, S Bennett, et al. Long-term psychosocial adjustment of older vs younger survivors of breast and endometrial cancer. Psychooncology 2007:16:895-903.
- 254. Charalambous A, CP Kaite, M Charalambous, T Tistsi, et al. The effects on anxiety and quality of life of breast cancer patients following completion of the first cycle of chemotherapy. SAGE Open Med 2017:5:2050312117717507.
- 255. Khan NF, AM Ward, E Watson, and PW Rose. Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. Eur J Cancer 2010:46:3339-44.
- 256. Alegria M, RV Bijl, E Lin, EE Walters, et al. Income differences in persons seeking outpatient treatment for mental disorders: a comparison of the United States with Ontario and The Netherlands. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000:57:383-91.
- 257. Ellegaard MB, C Grau, R Zachariae, and A Bonde Jensen. Fear of cancer recurrence and unmet needs among breast cancer survivors in the first five years. A crosssectional study. Acta Oncol 2017:56:314-320.
- 258. Fiszer C, S Dolbeault, S Sultan, and A Bredart. Prevalence, intensity, and predictors of the supportive care needs of women diagnosed with breast cancer: a systematic review. Psychooncology 2014:23:361-74.
- 259. Khan NF, J Evans, and PW Rose. A qualitative study of unmet needs and interactions with primary care among cancer survivors. Br J Cancer 2011:105 Suppl 1:S46-51.
- Erlangsen A, E Stenager, and Y Conwell. Physical diseases as predictors of suicide in older adults: a nationwide, register-based cohort study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2015:50:1427-39.
- 261. Riihimaki M, H Thomsen, A Brandt, J Sundquist, et al. Death causes in breast cancer patients. Ann Oncol 2012:23:604-10.
- 262. Nasseri K, PK Mills, HR Mirshahidi, and LH Moulton. Suicide in cancer patients in California, 1997-2006. Arch Suicide Res 2012:16:324-33.
- Guth U, ME Myrick, T Reisch, G Bosshard, et al. Suicide in breast cancer patients: an individual-centered approach provides insight beyond epidemiology. Acta Oncol 2011:50:1037-44.
- 264. Yousaf U, ML Christensen, G Engholm, and HH Storm. Suicides among Danish cancer patients 1971-1999. Br J Cancer 2005:92:995-1000.
- 265. Juurlink DN, N Herrmann, JP Szalai, A Kopp, et al. Medical illness and the risk of suicide in the elderly. Arch Intern Med 2004:164:1179-84.
- 266. Schairer C, LM Brown, BE Chen, R Howard, et al. Suicide after breast cancer: an international population-based study of 723,810 women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006:98:1416-9.
- 267. Diekstra RF. The epidemiology of suicide and parasuicide. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 1993:371:9-20.
- 268. Conroy SK, BC McDonald, DJ Smith, LR Moser, et al. Alterations in brain structure and function in breast cancer survivors: effect of post-chemotherapy interval and relation to oxidative DNA damage. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013:137:493-502.
- Root JC, C Andreotti, L Tsu, TM Ellmore, et al. Learning and memory performance in breast cancer survivors 2 to 6 years post-treatment: the role of encoding versus forgetting. J Cancer Surviv 2016:10:593-9.
- 270. Phillips KM, HS Jim, BJ Small, C Laronga, et al. Cognitive functioning after cancer treatment: a 3-year longitudinal comparison of breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy or radiation and noncancer controls. Cancer 2012:118:1925-32.
- 271. Ahles TA, AJ Saykin, BC McDonald, Y Li, et al. Longitudinal assessment of cognitive changes associated with adjuvant treatment for breast cancer: impact of age and cognitive reserve. J Clin Oncol 2010:28:4434-40.
- 272. Hermelink K, M Buhner, P Sckopke, F Neufeld, et al. Chemotherapy and Posttraumatic Stress in the Causation of Cognitive Dysfunction in Breast Cancer Patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017:109.

- 273. Lejbak L, M Vrbancic, and M Crossley. Endocrine therapy is associated with low performance on some estrogen-sensitive cognitive tasks in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2010:32:836-46.
- 274. Falleti MG, A Sanfilippo, P Maruff, L Weih, et al. The nature and severity of cognitive impairment associated with adjuvant chemotherapy in women with breast cancer: a meta-analysis of the current literature. Brain Cogn 2005:59:60-70.
- Schmidt ME, J Wiskemann, and K Steindorf. Quality of life, problems, and needs of disease-free breast cancer survivors 5 years after diagnosis. Qual Life Res 2018:27:2077-2086.
- 276. Joly F, M Lange, M Dos Santos, I Vaz-Luis, et al. Long-Term Fatigue and Cognitive Disorders in Breast Cancer Survivors. Cancers (Basel) 2019:11.
- 277. Speer JJ, B Hillenberg, DP Sugrue, C Blacker, et al. Study of sexual functioning determinants in breast cancer survivors. Breast J 2005:11:440-7.
- 278. Greendale GA, L Petersen, L Zibecchi, and PA Ganz. Factors related to sexual function in postmenopausal women with a history of breast cancer. Menopause 2001:8:111-9.
- 279. Savard J, JR Davidson, H Ivers, C Quesnel, et al. The association between nocturnal hot flashes and sleep in breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004:27:513-22.
- 280. Turner R and E Elson. Sleep disorders. Steroids cause sleep disturbance. BMJ 1993:306:1477-8.
- 281. Costa AR, F Fontes, S Pereira, M Goncalves, et al. Impact of breast cancer treatments on sleep disturbances A systematic review. Breast 2014:23:697-709.
- 282. Mors O, GP Perto, and PB Mortensen. The Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register. Scand J Public Health 2011:39:54-7.
- 283. Byron C, Morgan Z, Bridges S, Papp M, et al., *Chapter 14: Methods*, in *Mental health and wellbeing in England: Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey*, B.P. McManus S, Jenkins R, Brugha T,, Editor. 2016, NHS Digital: Leeds.
- 284. Lewis G, AJ Pelosi, R Araya, and G Dunn. Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: a standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. Psychol Med 1992:22:465-86.
- 285. Fayers PM and D Machin, *Quality of Life: The assessment, analysis and reporting of patient-reported outcomes.* 3 ed. 2016: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 286. Stout NL, JM Binkley, KH Schmitz, K Andrews, et al. A prospective surveillance model for rehabilitation for women with breast cancer. Cancer 2012:118:2191-200.
- McNeely ML, JM Binkley, AL Pusic, KL Campbell, et al. A prospective model of care for breast cancer rehabilitation: postoperative and postreconstructive issues. Cancer 2012:118:2226-36.
- 288. Breast Cancer Now The research & care charity. *Eight in ten with breast cancer not told about possible impact on mental health (data from Breast Cancer Care survey by Quality Health).* 2019; Available from: <u>https://breastcancernow.org/about-us/media/press-releases/eight-in-ten-breast-cancer-not-told-about-possible-impact-mental.</u>
- 289. Bennett S, A Pigott, EM Beller, T Haines, et al. Educational interventions for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016:11:CD008144.
- 290. King M, C Walker, G Levy, C Bottomley, et al. Development and validation of an international risk prediction algorithm for episodes of major depression in general practice attendees: the PredictD study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008:65:1368-76.
- 291. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management (CG91), 2009.

- 292. Flynn KE, JB Reese, DD Jeffery, AP Abernethy, et al. Patient experiences with communication about sex during and after treatment for cancer. Psychooncology 2012:21:594-601.
- 293. Bober SL and VS Varela. Sexuality in adult cancer survivors: challenges and intervention. J Clin Oncol 2012:30:3712-9.
- Yardley L, N Owen, I Nazareth, and L Luxon. Prevalence and presentation of dizziness in a general practice community sample of working age people. Br J Gen Pract 1998:48:1131-5.
- 295. Day R, PA Ganz, JP Costantino, WM Cronin, et al. Health-related quality of life and tamoxifen in breast cancer prevention: a report from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study. J Clin Oncol 1999:17:2659-69.
- 296. Sulaica E, T Han, W Wang, R Bhat, et al. Vaginal estrogen products in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer patients on aromatase inhibitor therapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2016:157:203-210.
- Runowicz CD, CR Leach, NL Henry, KS Henry, et al. American Cancer Society/American Society of Clinical Oncology Breast Cancer Survivorship Care Guideline. J Clin Oncol 2016:34:611-35.
- 298. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), *Depression Management* of depression in primary and secondary care. Clinical Guideline 23, 2004.
- 299. Desmarais JE and KJ Looper. Interactions between tamoxifen and antidepressants via cytochrome P450 2D6. J Clin Psychiatry 2009:70:1688-97.
- Haque R, J Shi, JE Schottinger, SA Ahmed, et al. Tamoxifen and Antidepressant Drug Interaction in a Cohort of 16,887 Breast Cancer Survivors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2016:108.
- 301. Chubak J, EJ Bowles, O Yu, DS Buist, et al. Breast cancer recurrence in relation to antidepressant use. Cancer Causes Control 2016:27:125-36.
- 302. Independent Cancer Taskforce, Achieving World Class Outcomes: A Cancer Strategy for England 2015-2020, 2015.
- 303. The Scottish Government. Beating Cancer: Ambition and Action. 2016.
- 304. Wales Cancer Network. Cancer Delivery Plan for Wales 2016-2020. 2016.
- 305. Breast Cancer Care, Care After Breast Cancer. It shouldn't end with treatment. 2019; Available from: https://breastcancernow.org/sites/default/files/care after breast cancer report.pdf.
- 306. Royal College of Psychiatrists. *Waiting too long*. 2018; Available from: <u>https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/members/your-monthly-enewsletter/rcpsych-enewsletter-november-2018/waiting-too-long</u>.
- 307. Breast Cancer Care, *Moving forward: Supporting people to live well with and beyond breast cancer*, 2017.
- NHS England. Cancer Alliance priorities 2019/20. Cancer Alliances improving care locally. 2019; Available from: <u>https://www.england.nhs.uk/cancer/cancer-alliancesimproving-care-locally/</u>.
- 309. NHS England / Medical Directorate / Mental Health Team, Guidance to support the introduction of access and waiting time standards for mental health services in 2015/16, 2015.
- 310. Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Mental Health Data Set and CPRD primary care data documentation*. 2019; Available from: <a href="https://www.cprd.com/sites/default/files/Mental%20health%20dataset%20documentation.pdf">https://www.cprd.com/sites/default/files/Mental%20health%20dataset%20documentation.pdf</a>.
- Sagara Y, MA Mallory, S Wong, F Aydogan, et al. Survival Benefit of Breast Surgery for Low-Grade Ductal Carcinoma In Situ: A Population-Based Cohort Study. JAMA Surg 2015:150:739-45.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## **11 Appendices**

## 11.1 Appendix 1 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 3

Carreira H, Williams R, Müller M, Harewood R, Stanway S, Bhaskaran K. Associations Between Breast Cancer Survivorship and Adverse Mental Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review.

J Natl Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1311-1327

## Contents

Supplementary Table 1. MEDLINE search expression in OVID®.

**Supplementary Table 2.** Criteria used to judge the <u>risk of bias</u> in the systematic review studies.

**Supplementary Table 3.** <u>Anxiety</u>: main characteristics and results of the studies that compared the risk, prevalence or severity of anxiety (disorders or symptoms) between breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

**Supplementary Table 4.** <u>Depression</u>: main characteristics and results of the studies that evaluated the risk of depression, or the prevalence or severity of depressive symptoms, in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

**Supplementary Table 5.** <u>Neurocognitive dysfunction</u>: main characteristics and results of the studies that evaluated the cognitive dysfunction or its domains in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

**Supplementary Table 6.** <u>Sexual dysfunction</u>: main characteristics and results of the studies that provided data on the frequency and/or severity of sexual dysfunction in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

**Supplementary Table 7.** <u>Other outcomes</u>: characteristics and results of the studies that provided data on the frequency and/or severity of bipolar disorders, obsessive-compulsive problems, post-traumatic stress, sleep-wake disturbances, somatization and suicide in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

## **Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary Table 1. MEDLINE search expression in OVID®.

#	Search
1	exp Breast Neoplasms/
2	(breast and (cancer* or carcinoma* or tumo?r* or neoplas*)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
3	1 or 2
4	exp catatonia/ or exp depression/ or exp self-injurious behavior/ or exp anxiety/
5	mental disorders/ or exp anxiety disorders/ or exp "bipolar and related disorders"/ or exp "disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders"/ or exp dissociative disorders/ or "feeding and eating disorders"/ or anorexia nervosa/ or binge-eating disorder/ or bulimia nervosa/ or pica/ or exp mood disorders/ or exp motor disorders/ or neurocognitive disorders/ or amnesia/ or cognition disorders/ or auditory perceptual disorders/ or mild cognitive impairment/ or consciousness disorders/ or delirium/ or dementia/ or exp neurotic disorders/ or exp personality disorders/ or exp "schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders"/ or sexual dysfunctions, psychological/ or exp sleep wake disorders/ or exp somatoform disorders/ or exp substance-related disorders/ or exp "trauma and stressor related disorders"/
6	(depressi* or dysthymia or catatonia or self-injur* or self-injury or self-injurious or self- mutilation or "self mutilation" or suicid* or self-harm or "self harm" or "self injury" or anxious* or anxiety or (panic adj1 (disorder# or attack#)) or catastrophi* or (mental adj1 (disorder or disorders)) or phobia or phobic or neurotic or (compulsive adj1 disorder) or bipolar or neurotic or (personality adj1 disorder) or psychotic or psychosis or paranoid or delusional or (sexual adj1 (disorder or dysfunction or problem#)) or insomnias or (sleep adj1 (disorder or dysfunction or problem#)) or somatoform or (substance adj3 (disorder or problem#)) or stress ajd3 disorder or (adjustment adj3 disorder)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier] 4 or 5 or 6
ı	
8	(prevalence# or frequenc* or incidence# or risk or rate* or ratio or odds or epidemiolog* or percent* or outcomes or hazard).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance

- word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 9 3 and 7 and 8
- 10 Humans/
- 11 Animals/
- 12 10 and 11
- 13 11 not 12
- 14 9 not 13

Judament Selection bias Outcome variable: **Design-specific** Confounding by age and Statistical Missing data Conflict of information bias source of bias socio-economic status methods interest (temporality) Low risk of Appropriate use Describes the source and Outcome assessed through one of The breast cancer The study attempts to minimise ≤15% of missing data The study bias methods of selection of the diagnosis preceded the confounding using one or more of of statistics for (for studies with authors explicitly the following: Psychiatric interviews onset of the mental health the following: primary analysis questionnaires), with or report the participants AND OŔ of effect without multiple existence, or outcome Eligibility criteria given imputation methods for not, of conflicts Evidence of having been prescribed OR Matching for age and for an (specific to each AND anxiolytics (for anxiety) and Diagnosis of the relevant indicator of socio-economic status study design missing data of interests (Participants selected at antidepressants (for depression) outcome prior to the BC OR OR (e.g. education, attending the and data) random OR population-based OR diagnosis taken into >15% of missing data, The study's same primary care practice, or studv) Record of a diagnostic code for account by restriction. small geographic area) with missing data funding source imputed using multiple AND mental health (for studies including matching or in multivariate AND/OR is acknowledged Proportion of participation electronic health records) Multivariate analysis, reporting imputation methods analvsis OR >50% mean scores or association AND/OR Country's official mortality registry measures, adjusted for age and a ≤30% of attrition (for cohort data (for completed suicide) socio-economic status indicator OR studies with a pre-defined follow up time for the entire Objective data on the trajectories of cohort) cognitive function over time (for neurocognitive dysfunction) High risk of Participants not selected at Self-reported intake of anxiolytics Unclear whether the onset The study only reports crude Not appropriate >15% of missing data The presence or bias random (for anxiety) OR antidepressants (for of the mental health measures of frequency or use of statistics (for studies using absence of OR depression) outcome occurred before association (e.g. univariate for primary questionnaires), with conflicts of Proportion of participation or after the breast cancer association, or mean scores of the analysis of missing data imputed interest is not ≤50% diagnosis instrument) effect with a measure of reported and OR OR OR central tendency thus unknown (There are differences between the Women selected on the basis Diagnoses of mental AND disorders before the onset two the group of breast cancer No study's of a the relevant mental health outcome for this review of the BC not considered survivors and the women in the funding source comparison group for age OR for is acknowledged OR an indicator of socio-economic >30% of attrition (for cohort status) studies with a pre-defined follow up time for the entire cohort) The study reports mean scores or Unclear Unknown method of Outcome assessed using self-Not applicable Statistical Proportion of missing Not applicable risk of bias participants' recruitment reported scales measured of associations that methods not data not reported (for OR were adjusted for an unclear or reported studies involving Unknown exclusion criteria unknown list of potential questionnaires) OR confounders Unknown participation rate Not applicable if the study uses data from diagnoses ascertained via electronic records, or if formal statistical comparisons between breast cancer survivors and women who did not

Supplementary Table 2. Criteria used to judge the risk of bias in the systematic review studies.

have cancer could not

be done.

**Supplementary Table 3.** Anxiety: main characteristics and results of the studies that compared the risk, prevalence or severity of anxiety (disorders or symptoms) between breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

First		Breast cand	er survivors		Comparison group	Outcome	Quantitative r	neasure of the	Relative risk	P-value or 95%	Notes
author, year of publication	Type of population and main	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis/ treatment in	Type of population and main	assessment	Outo Breast cancer	come Comparison	estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	confidence interval	
Country	characteristics	(75)		years: mean/ median (SD), range	characteristics		301110013	group			
Electronic h	nealth records										
Hjerl et al.,	Population-based	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15	Population-based	EHR, first ever	Cumulative	Cumulative	SIR= 1.3 *	95%CI: 1.1-1.5	
2002 [1]	All 60.431 women			(Median	Danish female	psychiatric admission, as	incidence: 0.25%	incidence: 0.20%	By age:	By age:	_
Denmark	aged >15 years with a first primary invasive breast cancer registered in			cohort follow up: 4 years since diagnosis;	population aged >15 years.	registered in the Danish Psychiatric Central Registry	0.2070	0.2070	30-34: SIR= 1.93 35-39: SIR= 1.28 40-44: SIR= 0.91 45-49: SIR= 0.89 50-54: SIR= 1.24	95%CI:0.69-4.15 95%CI:0.58-2.38 95%CI:0.48-1.52 95%CI:0.54-1.37 95%CI:0.84-1.76	Standardised incidence ratio
(continues)	the national Cancer Registry in 1970-1993.			range: 0 to 15)		ICD-8 codes: 300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49			55-59: SIR= 1.56 * 60-64: SIR= 1.18 65-69: SIR= 1.42 70-74: SIR= 1.98 * 75-70: SIR= 0.47	95%CI:1.04-2.22 95%CI:0.69-1.86 95%CI:0.81-2.26 95%CI:1.12-3.21	estimated considering all follow up time since diagnosis.
									80-84: SIR= 1.27 85-89: SIR= 2.91 ≥90: SIR= 8.74	95%CI:0.08-1.40 95%CI:0.21-3.91 95%CI:0.17-12.8 95%CI:0.50-38.5	
									By calendar period: 1970-74: SIR=1.11 1975-79: SIR=1.15 1980-84: SIR=1.04 1985-89: SIR=1.80 * 1990-93: SIR=0.89	By calendar period: 95%Cl:0.58-1.91 95%Cl:0.78-1.61 95%Cl:0.72-1.45 95%Cl:1.37-2.31 95%Cl:0.55-1.35	-
	Women aged >15 years with first	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15	Female population aged >15 years and	EHR, first ever psychiatric	-	-	SIR= 1.3 *	95%CI: 1.1-1.5	Standardised incidence ratio estimated considering all follow
	invasive breast			15	_ living outside Copenhagen city	admission, as registered in the	- <u>-</u>	_	SIR=14	95%CI: 0.8-2.1	up time since diagnosis.
	the national Cancer			2.5	area (non-	Danish Psychiatric	-	-	SIR= 1.1	95%CI: 0.6-1.8	-
	Registry in 1970-			3.5	metropolitan).	Central Registry	-	-	SIR= 1.6	95%CI: 0.9-2.5	_
	1993 and living			4.5	_		-	-	SIR= 1.5	95%CI: 0.6-2.4	_
	Outside Copophagon city			5.5	_	ICD-8 codes:		-	SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.3-1.6	_
	area (non-			6.5	-	300.00-300.99	-	-	SIR= 1.3	95%CI: 0.5-2.6	
	metropolitan).			7.5	-	except 300.49	-	-	SIR= 1.2	95%CI: 0.4-2.5	_ Approximate SiR values
	,			8.5	-		-	-	SIR= 0.8	95%CI: 0.3-2.2	provided in the original study
				9.5	-		-	-	SIK= 0.7	95%CI: 0.2-2.1	-
				10.5	-		-	-	SIR = 0.4	95%CI. 0. 1-1.9	-
				12.5	-		-	-	SIR= 2.6	95%CI: 0.3-2.9	-
				13.5	-		-	-	SIR= 0.5	95%CI: 0.1-2.1	-

men aged >15 rs with first asive breast cer registered in national Cancer gistry in 1970- 3 and living in benhagen city a (metropolitan). bulation-based 629 women with orior mood border and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- te	All	ND 	4 (ND), 0-15 1.5 2.5 3.5 5.0 6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	Female population aged >15 years and living in Copenhagen city area (metropolitan). Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	EHR, first ever psychiatric admission, as registered in the Danish Psychiatric Central Registry ICD-8 codes: 300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SIR= 1.1 SIR= 1.4 SIR= 1.5 SIR= 0.7 SIR= 0.8 SIR= 1.3 SIR= 3.3 SIR= 0.5 SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.8-1.6 95%CI: 0.5-2.5 95%CI: 0.4-3.0 95%CI: 0.2-2.2 95%CI: 0.3-1.8 95%CI: 0.3-1.8 95%CI: 0.4-4.0 95%CI: 1.0-7.6 95%CI: 0.1-1.8 95%CI: 0.1-2.9	Standardised incidence ratio estimated considering all follow up time since diagnosis. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
cer registered in national Cancer gistry in 1970- 13 and living in benhagen city a (metropolitan).	All	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1.5 2.5 3.5 5.0 6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	registered in the Danish Psychiatric Central Registry ICD-8 codes: 300.0-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SIR= 1.4         SIR= 1.5         SIR= 0.7         SIR= 1.3         SIR= 3.3         SIR= 0.5         SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.5-2.5 95%CI: 0.4-3.0 95%CI: 0.2-2.2 95%CI: 0.3-1.8 95%CI: 0.4-4.0 95%CI: 1.0-7.6 95%CI: 0.1-1.8 95%CI: 0.1-2.9	<ul> <li>Approximate values estimated</li> <li>from the graphics provided in the</li> <li>original study.</li> </ul>
national Cancer jistry in 1970- i3 and living in penhagen city a (metropolitan). Julation-based 629 women with prior mood prior mood prior mood prior and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- te	All	ND	2.5 3.5 5.0 6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	city area (metropolitan). Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	Danish Psychiatric Central Registry ICD-8 codes: 300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SIR= 1.5         SIR= 0.7         SIR= 0.8         SIR= 1.3         SIR= 3.3         SIR= 0.5         SIR= 0.7	95%Cl: 0.4-3.0 95%Cl: 0.2-2.2 95%Cl: 0.3-1.8 95%Cl: 0.4-4.0 95%Cl: 0.4-4.0 95%Cl: 0.1-1.8 95%Cl: 0.1-1.8	<ul> <li>Approximate values estimated</li> <li>from the graphics provided in the</li> <li>original study.</li> </ul>
jistry in 1970- 3 and living in beenhagen city a (metropolitan). oulation-based 629 women with prior mood profer and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- 55	All	ND	3.5 5.0 6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	(metropolitan). Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	Central Registry ICD-8 codes: 300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SIR= 0.7           SIR= 0.8           SIR= 1.3           SIR= 3.3           SIR= 0.5           SIR= 0.7	95%Cl: 0.2-2.2 95%Cl: 0.3-1.8 95%Cl: 0.4-4.0 95%Cl: 1.0-7.6 95%Cl: 0.1-1.8 95%Cl: 0.1-2.9	<ul> <li>Approximate values estimated</li> <li>from the graphics provided in the</li> <li>original study.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 and living in penhagen city</li> <li>a (metropolitan).</li> <li>bulation-based</li> <li>629 women with prior mood</li> <li>border and</li> <li>cer, with breast</li> <li>cer registered in</li> <li>National Health</li> <li>urance</li> <li>abase in 2000- bre</li> </ul>	All	ND	5.0 6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	ICD-8 codes: 300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - Incidence rate: 40.82 per	SIR= 0.8           SIR= 1.3           SIR= 3.3           SIR= 0.5           SIR= 0.7	95%Cl: 0.3-1.8 95%Cl: 0.4-4.0 95%Cl: 1.0-7.6 95%Cl: 0.1-1.8 95%Cl: 0.1-2.9	from the graphics provided in the     original study.
enhagen city a (metropolitan). bulation-based 629 women with porior mood prder and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- te	All	ND	6.5 7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	300.81 and 300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - - - Incidence rate: 49.64 per	- - - - Incidence rate: 40.82 per	SIR= 1.3           SIR= 3.3           SIR= 0.5           SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.4-4.0 95%CI: 1.0-7.6 95%CI: 0.1-1.8 95%CI: 0.1-2.9	- original study. -
a (metropolitan). bulation-based 629 women with orior mood order and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- te	All	ND	7.5 9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors; 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	300.00-300.99, except 300.49 EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - Incidence rate: 49.64 per	- - - Incidence rate: 40.82 per	SIR= 3.3 SIR= 0.5 SIR= 0.7	95%Cl: 1.0-7.6 95%Cl: 0.1-1.8 95%Cl: 0.1-2.9	- -
bulation-based 629 women with brior mood order and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- 55	All	ND	9.5 13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors; 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- - Incidence rate: 49.64 per	- - Incidence rate: 40 82 per	SIR= 0.5 SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.1-1.8 95%CI: 0.1-2.9	-
oulation-based 529 women with prior mood order and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- te	All	ND	13.0 2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors; 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	- Incidence rate: 49.64 per	- Incidence rate: 40.82 per	SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.1-2.9	
oulation-based 629 women with prior mood order and cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000- 55	All	ND	2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors; 2.7:	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women	EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic	Incidence rate: 49.64 per	Incidence rate: 40 82 per			
cer, with breast cer registered in National Health urance abase in 2000-			survivors: 2.7:	who did not have	Illness with an ICD-9-CM code for	1,000 person- years	1,000 person- years	RR= 1.22 *	95%CI: 1.16-1.27	Includes patients diagnosed with breast cancer at <1 yr.
National Health Jrance abase in 2000-				breast cancer	anxiety	Cumulative	Cumulative			
National Health Jrance abase in 2000-			for matched	registered in the	(300-300.3, 300.5,	incidence:	incidence:			
abase in 2000-		-	cohort: 3.2)	same database,	300.7-300.9)	15%	14%			
				individually matched		Cumulative	Cumulative			
D.			2	for age and Charlson		11%		RR= 1 22 * ÷	05%CI: 1 16-1 20	Approximate cumulative
		-	2			1170	370	NN- 1.22	357001. 1.10-1.23	incidence values estimated from
		-	4	matching not		17%	15%	RR= 1.13 * †	95%CI: 1.09-1.18	the graphics provided in the original study.
			6	reported).		22%	20%	RR= 1.10 * †	95%CI: 1.06-1.14	<b>3 1 1 1 1</b>
oulation-based 938 women	All	ND	ND (ND), ≥5	Population-based 67,649 women who did not have breast	EHR, having primary care consultations for anxiety	Prevalence: 5.4%	Prevalence: 5.0%	OR= 1.06	95%Cl: 0.97-1.16	Odds ratio adjusted for Charlson comorbidity score, previous history of anxiety and death.
id ≥30 with ast cancer istered in the General ctice Research abase.				or colorectal cancer at beginning of follow up; individually matched for age (± 1 year) and primary care practice (small area).	EHR, being prescribed an anxiolytic at least once	Prevalence: 9.0%	Prevalence: 7.7%	OR= 1.08 *	95%Cl: 1.01-1.15	Odds ratio adjusted for Charlson comorbidity score, number of consultations, and death.
ulation based	0	ND	4.7 (4.4), 0-10	Population based	EHR. ICD-10			SIR= 0.99	95%CI: 0.73-1.34	Standardised incidence ratio
					diagnostic codes		-	By age group:	By age group:	estimated considering all follow
4,402 women			(median (IQR)	452,507 women	for anxiety (F40-	Cumulative	Cumulative	20-44: SIR= 1.18	95%CI: 0.59-2.36	up time since diagnosis.
gnosed with an			duration of	randomly selected	F41) at in patient	incidence:	incidence:	45-54 SIR= 0.97	95%CI: 0 57-1 64	
itu breast			follow up: 4.7	from the respondents	or outpatient	0.9%	0.9%	55-64 SIR= 0.95	95%CI 0 53-1 72	
cer at the age			(4.4))	to the 1990 census	hospital visits			65-80: SIR= 0.91	95%CI: 0.45-1.81	
0-80 years			0.05			-0.40/	0.40/		05%01.0.40 0.40	Standardised incidence ratios
ween 2001-			0-0.5			<0.1%	0.1%	SIK= 0.53	90%01: 0.13-2.12	were standardised by calendar
9			0.5-1			0.0%	0.0%	-	-	period (1-year categories) age
		-	1-2			0.3%	0.2%	SIR= 1.62	95%CI: 0.92-2.85	(5-vear categories), and region
			2-5			0.4%	0.4%	SIR= 1.09	95%CI: 0.68-1.73	of residence (North, Stockholm-
			5-10			0.2%	0.2%	SIR= 0.90	95%CI: 0.47-1.74	Gotland, South, Southeast,
oul 93 assist Gct at 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	lation-based 8 women ≥30 with t cancer tered in the eneral ice Research based 402 women osed with an u breast er at the age 80 years seen 2001-	lation-based     All       8 women     ≥30 with       ≥30 with     t cancer       tered in the     eneral       ice Research     ice Research       pase.     0       402 women     0       402 women     0       osed with an     µ       µ breast     µ       er at the age     80 years       een 2001-     0	lation-based     All     ND       8 women     ≥30 with     t cancer       ≥30 with     t cancer     ered in the       eneral     ice Research     ice Research       ice Research     0     ND       402 women     0     ND	ation-based     All     ND     ND (ND), ≥5       8 women     ≥30 with     t cancer       ≥30 with     t cancer       tered in the     eneral       icce Research     o       ND     4.7 (4.4), 0-10       402 women     (median (IQR)       osed with an     ouration of       i breast     if ollow up: 4.7       er at the age     (4.4))       80 years     0.5-1       i 1-2     2-5       i 2-5     5-10	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Iation-based       All       ND       ND (ND), ≥5       Population-based       EHR, having primary care consultations for anxiety         230 with t cancer       ≥30 with t cancer       or colorectal cancer at beginning of follow up; individually matched for age (±1) year) and primary care practice (small area).       EHR, having primary care consultations for anxiety         Iation based       0       ND       4.7 (4.4), 0-10       Population based       EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for anxiety (F40-for anxiety (	6     22%       Pation-based     All     ND     ND (ND), ≥5     Population-based 67,649 women who did not have breast or colorectal cancer at beginning of follow up; individually matched for age (± 1) year) and primary care practice (small area).     EHR, having primary care consultations for anxiety     Prevalence: 5.4%       Iation based     0     ND     4.7 (4.4), 0-10 (median (IQR) up; rative are the age sen 2001-     Population based (median (IQR) ass.     EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for anxiety (F40- F41) at in patient or outpatient hospital visits     Cumulative incidence: 0.9%	622%20%lation-basedAllNDND (ND), ≥5Population-based 67,649 women who did not have breast or colorectal cancer at beginning of follow up; individually matched for age (± 1) year) and primary care practice (small area).EHR, having primary care anxietyPrevalence: 5.4%Prevalence: 5.0%Iation based0ND4.7 (4.4), 0-10Population based duration of follow up: 4.7EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for anxiety (F40- F41) at in patient to the 1990 censusEHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for anxiety (F40- F41) at in patient or outpatient hospital visitsCumulative incidence: 0.9%Cumulative incidence: 0.9%80 years sen 2001-0-0.5 0.0%0.0% 0.0%0.05-11-2 2-52-50.02%0.2% 0.2%0.2%	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Yang et al.,	Population based	0	ND	4.7 (4.4), 0-10	452,507 women	EHR, being	Cumulative	Cumulative	SIR= 1.64 *	95%Cl: 1.43-1.88	Standardised incidence ratio
Sweden (continued)	All 4,402 women diagnosed with an in situ breast cancer at the age of 20-80 years			(median (IQR) duration of follow up: 4.7 (4.4))	from the respondents to the 1990 census	nviolytic (group N05B of the ATC classification system)	4.5%	2.8%	By age group: 20-44: SIR= 1.52 45-54: SIR= 1.69 * 55-64: SIR= 1.69 * 65-80: SIR= 1.69 *	By age: 95%CI: 0.96-2.42 95%CI: 1.28-2.22 95%CI: 1.22-2.02 95%CI: 1.34-2.14	Standardised incidence ratios were standardised by calendar period (1-year categories), age
	between 2001- 2009			0-0.5				-	SIR= 3.86 *	95%CI: 3.17-4.71	of residence (North Stockholm-
	2009			1-2	-			-	SIR= 0.93 SIR= 1.28	95%Cl: 0.61-1.41	Gotland, South, Southeast,
				2-4.5	-		-	-	SIR= 0.91	95%CI: 0.64-1.28	– Uppsala-Orebro, West).
	Population based	I-IV	ND	4.5 (4.5), 0-10	Population based	EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes			SIR= 1.55 *	95%CI: 1.43-1.68	<ul> <li>The following were significant</li> </ul>
	All 40,849 women diagnosed with an invasive breast cancer at the age of 20-80 years			(median (IQR) duration of follow up: 4.4 (4.5))	452,507 women randomly selected from the respondents to the 1990 census	for anxiety (F40- F41) at in patient or outpatient hospital visits	Cumulative incidence: 1.4%	Cumulative incidence: 0.9%	20-44: SIR= 1.84 * 45-54: SIR= 1.56 * 55-64: SIR= 1.58 * 65-80: SIR= 1.31 *	95%CI: 1.54-2.21 95%CI: 1.34-1.81 95%CI: 1.35-1.84 95%CI: 1.10-1.56	predictors of increased anxiety among breast cancer survivors: younger age at diagnosis, presence of co-morbidities, having moderate and high – bistological grade, and baving
	between 2001-			0-0.5	-		0.2%	0.1%	SIR= 2.53 *	95%CI: 2.05-3.13	<ul> <li>had chemotherapy.</li> </ul>
	2009			0.5-1	-		0.2%	0.1%	SIR= 2.30 *	95%CI: 1.85-2.87	_
				1-2	-		0.3%	0.2%	SIR= 2.00 *	95%CI: 1.69-2.38	_
				2-5	-		0.4%	0.4%	SIR= 1.17 *	95%CI: 1.01-1.36	_
	Desulation based	1.157	ND	5-10	Desulation based		0.3%	0.270	SIR= 1.10	95%CI. 0.97-1.42	_
	All 40,849 women	I-IV	ND	4.5 (4.5), 0-10 (median (IQR)	452,507 women	EHR, being prescribed an anxiolytic (group N05B of the ATC	incidence: 6.4%	incidence: 2.5%	SIR= 2.52 ^ By age group: 20-44: SIR= 3.96 *	95%CI: 2.43-2.62 By age group: 95%CI: 3.56-4.40	-
	invasive breast cancer at the age			follow up: 4.4 (4.5))	from the respondents to the 1990 census	classification system)			45-54: SIR= 3.04 55-64: SIR= 2.50 * 65-80: SIR= 2.04 *	95%CI: 2.33-2.68 95%CI: 1.91-2.17	_
	of 20-80 years			0-0.5	-		-	-	SIR= 6.13 *	95%CI: 5.81-6.47	_
	2000			0.5-1	-		-	-	SIR= 1.90 ^	95%CI: 1.72-2.10	_
	2003			2-4.5	-		-	-	SIR= 1.47		_
				2-4.5			-	-	5IR= 1.30	95%01. 1.20-1.52	
Studies invo	lving scales										
Cohen et al., 2011 [5]	Convenience sample	I-III (ND%)	Srg, C: 48.2% Srg, M: 51.8% Srg, R: 12.5%	4.8 (4.2), 1-17	Convenience sample 66 married and 'healthy' Arab						Higher levels of anxiety
Israel	56 married Israeli Arab breast cancer survivors, post treatment and free of disease recruited from one hospital.		CT: 85.7% RT: 85.7% HT: 58.9%		women living in Israel, approached in community settings; individually matched for age and education (matching categories not reported).	BSI-18	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 2.7 (1.2)	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 2.2 (0.9)	-	P<0.05 *	associated with higher levels of depression, somatization and emotional distress in both groups (P<0.001). Higher levels of anxiety associated with lower body image in breast cancer survivors only (P=0.05).

Boehmer et	Convenience	I-III (100%)	ND	4.5 (ND), 1-10	Convenience sample						
al., 2015 [6] ND	sample 85 lesbian or bisexual breast cancer survivors				85 lesbian or bisexual women with no history of cancer, not using hormone therapy, recruited via floor	Anxiolytics intake (self-reported)	Prevalence: 3.5%	Prevalence: 1.2%	PR=2.92 †	95%CI: 0.31-27.1	Anxiety was more common in women taking any psycho
	post-active treatment recruited via advertisements, flyers, etc. (3.5% of whom had had cancer recurrence).				advertisements, etc.; individually matched for age (± 3 years) and partner status (partnered vs. unpartnered).	HADS score ≥8	Prevalence: 45.2%	Prevalence: 36.5%	PR=1.24 †	95%CI: 0.86-1.78	pharmacological medication, compared to those who did not (OR=3.78, 95%CI: 1.76 to 8.09).
Calvio et al., 2010 [7]	Convenience sample	l (36.9%) ll (44.3%)	Srg, ND: 96.7%	3.1 (2.4), 1-10	Convenience sample 113 women without						Higher HADS scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.
United States	122 breast cancer survivors, working full-time for ≥1 year, with computer and internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.	III (17.2%)	CT: 82.8% RT: 73.0% HT: 45.9% IT: 13.1%		cancer, working full- time for ≥1 year, with computer and internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.	HADS	HADS mean score (SD): 7.8 (3.0)	HADS mean score (SD): 7.1 (2.6)	-	P<0.01 *	Mean scores adjusted for marital status (cohabitating with partner vs. single/not cohabitating), race (Caucasian vs. non-Caucasian), ethnicity (Hispanic vs. non- Hispanic), age (<40, 41-50, 51-65), income (0-39,000; 40-59,000; 60- 79,000; 80-89,000; 80-99,000; ≥100,000), and menopausal status (currently going through, premenopausal, postmenopausal).
Dahl et al., 2011 [8]	Convenience sample	II (ND) III (ND)	Srg, C: 24% Srg, M <sup>.</sup> 76%	3.9 (ND), 2.6- 6.9	Convenience sample						Lligher LADS approximition
Norwov	337 tumor free	()	CT: 82%	0.0	1,685 women randomly selected						more anxiety symptoms.
Norway	breast cancer survivors treated with radiotherapy during 1998 and		HT: 81%		from a population- based sample of women with no bistony of cancor who	HADS	HADS mean score (SD):	HADS mean score (SD):	-	P<0.001 *	Mean scores adjusted for level of education, on disability pension and menopausal status.
	2002 in one hospital.				finding of carles who provided questionnaires with complete data; individually matched for age (± 5 years).		6.3 (2.8)	4.8 (3.7)			Higher scores of HADS for anxiety were associated with more insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors and in controls (p<0.001).
Miao et al., 2016 [9]	Convenience	I-III (100%)	CT: 100%	3 (0.3),	Convenience sample						
China	23 patients with breast cancer who had been treated with chemotherapy at a local hospital.				26 age-matched healthy controls selected amongst patients relatives and local universities; matched for age (matching method not reported).	HRS-A	HRS-A mean score (SD): 4.96 (1.43)	HRS-A mean score (SD): 4.5 (1.22)	-	P=0.232	Higher HRS-A score indicates more anxiety symptoms.

Rubino et al., 2007 [10] Italy	Convenience sample 33 consecutive patients who had had breast- reconstruction after mastectomy, in 2001-2002.	ND	Srg, M: 100% Srg, R: 100%	ND (ND), >1	Convenience sample 33 'healthy' women, randomly selected amongst the personnel of the local university.	HRS-A, applied during psychiatric interview Cut-off score: >14	Prevalence: 24.2%	Prevalence: 0.0%	PR=7.99 * †	95%Cl: 1.06-60.34	PR calculated by the authors of the present study. For calculation purposes, it was assumed that one person in the non-cancer group had the outcome.
Boele et al., 2015 [11] The Netherlands	Convenience sample Post-menopausal breast cancer survivors with no diagnosis of psychiatric illness, not treated with adjuvant CT, selected from the medical records of the Cancer Institute.	ND	Srg, ND: 95% CT: 0% RT: 65% HT: 100% / 0%	Exposure to HT: 3.2 (1.9), 1.5-7; Unexposed to HT: 2.8 (0.3), 2.3-3.3.	Convenience sample 44 friends or family members of the women who had had breast cancer, with no history of breast cancer, matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	HSCL-25	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): HT: 11.17 (10.39) No HT: 13.57 (11.74)	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 9.92 (10.55)	-	P=0.30	Higher HSCL-25 score indicates more anxiety symptoms. P adjusted for age and estimated premorbid IQ. Women with higher anxiety levels had significantly lower processing speed evaluated as part of cognitive function.
Kreukels et al., 2008 [12] The Netherlands	Convenience sample 63 women who had non-metastatic breast cancer, with no history of psychiatric diseases.	I-III (100%)	CT: 100% HT: 40%	~ 1 (follow up at 12 months after CT)	Convenience sample 60 friends or family of the patients with the same age who never had cancer, matched for age (method of matching not reported).	HSCL-25	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 16.3 (12.2)	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 8.7 (7.9)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher HSCL-25 score indicates more anxiety symptoms.
Amir et al., 2002 [13] Israel	Convenience sample 39 women free of cancer symptoms for ≥3 years and not under active treatment, identified through 2 hospitals.	I (46%) II (46%) III (8%)	Srg, C: 20% Srg, M: 80% CT: 66% RT: 41% HT: 46%	6.5 (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 39 women who did not experience life- threatening disease, recruited by unknown methods, matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	SCL-90	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.87 (0.96)	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.49 (0.35)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher SCL-90 scores indicate more anxiety symptoms. Women who had breast cancer and reported PTSD symptoms had higher anxiety levels than those who did not report PTSD symptoms: 1.81 (1.23) vs. 0.67 (0.76), P<0.01.
Garcia- Torres et al., 2013 [14] Spain	Convenience sample 22 breast cancer survivors, free of relapse, identified by staff of the local association against cancer.	ND	Srg, M: 100% CT: 72.7%	8.2 (5.6), 1-21	Convenience sample 22 women with no history of cancer who volunteered with the same association against cancer.	ISRA (trait anxiety)	ISRA mean score (SD): 155.13 (71.51)	ISRA mean score (SD): 157.29 (82.45)	-	P=0.92	Correlation between anxiety and depression: r = 0.46, p<0.05.

Castellon et al., 2004 [15] United States	Convenience sample 53 women who had breast cancer at or before the age of 50, with no evidence of disease or recurrence, and no history of psychiatric disorder.	0-II (100%)	CT: 34% CT+HT: 34%	ND (ND), 2-5	Convenience sample 19 Healthy women recruited via fliers, newsletter articles and advertisements, or amongst the acquaintances of the hospital staff.	STAI (trait anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD), by treatment No CT: 31.9 (7.3) CT: 33.1 (8.1)	STAI mean score (SD): 38.0 (9.3)	-	P=0.075	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.
Weitzner et al., 1997 [16] ‡	Convenience sample 60 women with age	I (15%) II (63%) III (22%)	Srg, M: 100%	ND (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 93 employees or volunteer workers at	STAI (mild to moderate trait anxiety)	Prevalence: 27%	Prevalence: 15%	PR=1.8 †	95%CI: 0.95-3.41	Cut-off to be identified as case defined as >1 standard deviation above the mean.
United States	<70 years, education ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade, no history of psychiatric diagnoses, >5 years disease- free, selected from those returning to the hospital for long-term follow up of cancer.				the same hospital with no personal or family history of breast cancer, age <70 years, education ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade, and no history of psychiatric diagnosis.	STAI (trait anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD): 35 (ND)	STAI mean score (SD): 33 (ND)	-	P<0.05 *	Adjusted for years of age and years of education. Women with stage III breast cancer at diagnosis had more trait anxiety compared to the other breast cancer survivors (P<0.004). Trait anxiety in breast cancer survivors was predictive of all domains of quality of life, except family functioning
Root et al., 2015 [17] United States	Convenience sample 113 women aged <70 years who had breast cancer, were post-menopausal at diagnosis, receiving HT at recruitment, with no recurrence, no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses and who did not report sleep disturbances.	I (58%) II (0%) III (33%) IV (8%)	Srg, C: 75% Srg, M: 32% CT: 52% RT: 78% HT: 52%	4.2 (1.2)	Convenience sample 37 health women with no history of cancer or cancer treatment, post- menopausal, with no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses, matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	STAI	STAI mean score (SD): 32.4 (8.6)	STAI mean score (SD): 33.1 (1.4)	-	P=0.62	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.
Castellon et al., 2004 [15] United States	Convenience sample 53 women who had breast cancer at or before the age of 50, with no evidence of disease or recurrence, and no history of psychiatric disorder.	0-II (100%)	CT: 34% CT+HT: 34%	ND (ND), 2-5	Convenience sample 19 Healthy women recruited via fliers, newsletter articles and advertisements, or amongst the acquaintances of the hospital staff.	STAI (state anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD), by treatment No CT: 24.6 (3.6) CT: 28.6 (8.8)	STAI mean score (SD): 33.2 (8.0)	-	P=0.01 *	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.

\_

-

Conroy et al., 2013 [18] United States	Convenience sample 24 breast cancer survivors with history of non- metastatic disease and chemotherapy treated.	I (29%) Ila (33%) Ilb (25%) Illa (8%) Illb (4%)	CT: 100% RT: 79%	6.4 (2.1), 3.2- 10.2	Convenience sample 23 healthy women matched for age and education (categories of matching not reported)	STAI (state anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD): 30.2 (7.9)	STAI mean score (SD): 31.9 (9.1)	-	P>0.05	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.
McDonald et al., 2010 [19]	Convenience sample 29 female breast cancer patients without neurobehavioral risk factors including	0 (14%) I (35%) II (48%) IIIA (3%)	CT: 59% RT: 69%	~1.5 (0.15)	Convenience sample 18 healthy controls 'demographically matched' (method of matching not reported).	STAI	STAI mean score (SD): CT: 27.6 (8.8) No CT: 28.3 (11.3)	STAI mean score (SD): 25.6 (7.2)	-	P>0.05	-
ND	neurologic, medical, or psychiatric conditions, except history of depression or anxiety.					(state anxiety)	Prevalence of anxiety: 7%	Prevalence of anxiety: 0%	PR= 1.25 †	95%CI: 0.12-12.65	Cut off for case: STAI-S T-score ≥65
Klein et al., 2011 [20] France	Population based 652 breast cancer survivors >5 post active-treatment, randomly selected from 3 population- based cancer registries by year of diagnosis.	0-IV (ND)	Srg, C: 64.7% Srg, M: 34.6% CT: 45.8% RT: 83.0% HT: 68.0%	Diagnosed in: 2000: 5.6 (1.0), 5.0-5.9 1995: 10.3 (0.6), 10.0- 10.9 1990: 15.6 (1.0), 15.0- 15.9	Population based 1,188 women with no history of cancer randomly selected from the electoral rolls; individually matched by age (±10 years) and place of residence (area of the cancer registry, and urban/rural).	STAI (state anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD): Diagnosed in: 2000: 34.4 (ND) 1995: 34.7 (ND) 1990: 33.2 (ND)	STAI mean score (SD): 28.5 (ND)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms. Mean scores adjusted for age group, marital status, education, employment status, household monthly income comorbidities and hospitalization in the last 12 months.
Saleeba et	52 women aged <70 years, education ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade, no history of	1(129/)			88 women aged <70 years, with ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade of education,	STAI (mild to moderate state anxiety)	Prevalence: 21%	Prevalence: 7%	PR=3.0 * †	95%CI: 1.19-7.57	Cases defined as state anxiety scores above the 85th percentile for respective age group.
[21] ‡ United States	psychiatric diagnoses, >5 years disease-free, selected from those under long-term follow up of breast cancer.	II (63%) III (23%)	Srg, C: 0% Srg, M: 100%	8.5 (ND), 5-18	no history of psychiatric diagnoses and undergoing routine low risk breast cancer screening.	STAI (state anxiety)	STAI mean score (SD): 33.08 (11.50)	STAI mean score (SD): 31.82 (8.40)	-	P>0.05	Higher STAI scores indicate more anxiety symptoms.

ATC = Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical classification system; BSI-18 = Brief Symptom Inventory 18 [22]; CT = chemotherapy; EHR = electronic health records; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [23]; HRS-A = Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety [24]; HSCL-25 = The Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 [25]; HT = hormone therapy; ICD-8 = The International Classification of Diseases, Eight Revision; ICD-9-CM = The International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ICD-10 =

The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision; IQR = interquartile range; ISRA = Inventory of Situations and Responses to Anxiety [26]; IT = immunotherapy; ND = not defined; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence ratio; RR = relative risk; RT = radiotherapy; SCL-90 = Anxiety subscale of the Symptoms Checklist-90 [27]; SD = standard deviation; SIR = standardised incidence ratio; Srg, C = Breast conserving surgery; Srg, ND = Surgery, not further specified; Srg, M = Mastectomy; Srg, R = Breast reconstructive surgery; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [28]; yrs = years; 95%CI = 95% confidence interval.

\* There was some statistical evidence (P<0.05) for a different prevalence, risk or severity of anxiety between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

+ Prevalence ratio calculated by the authors of the present study.

‡ The two studies provided results for different components of anxiety (trait and state) based on the same sample of patients.

**Supplementary Table 4.** Depression: main characteristics and results of the studies that evaluated the risk of depression, or the prevalence or severity of depressive symptoms, in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

First author,		Breast canc	er survivors		Comparison group	Outcome assessment	Quantitative n outo	neasure of the	Relative risk estimate	P-value or 95% confidence interval	Notes
year of publication	Type of population and main	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis/ treatment in	Type of population and main	-	Breast cancer survivors	Comparison group	(RR, OR, SIR, PR)		
Country	characteristics	( )		years: mean/ median (SD), range	characteristics						
Electronic h	ealth records										
Suppli et al., 2014 [29] Denmark (continues)	Population-based All 44,494 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, who had breast cancer diagnosed in 1998- 2011, without history of other cancers or major psychiatric disorder.	All	ND	5 (ND), 0-15	Population-based 1,997,669 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, without history of cancer or major psychiatric disorder	EHR, first hospital contact (in- or outpatient) for unipolar depression, as registered in the Danish Psychiatric Central Registry. ICD-8 codes: 296.09, 296.29; ICD-10 codes: F32-33.9	Incidence rate: 215 per 100,000 person-years Cumulative incidence: 1.1% Cumulative incidence: 0.3% 0.2% 0.3% 0.2% 0.2%	Incidence rate: 171 per 100,000 person-years Cumulative incidence: 0.8% Cumulative incidence: 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2%	All patients: RR= 1.39 * By age: 30-39: RR= 0.78 40-49: RR= 1.56 * 50-59: RR= 1.35 * 60-69: RR= 1.41 * 70-79: RR= 1.25 * ≥80: RR= 1.56 * By Charlson comorbidity index: 0: RR= 1.47 * 1: RR= 1.41 * ≥2: RR= 1.02	All patients: 95%Cl: 1.27-1.52 By age: 95%Cl: 0.39-1.55 95%Cl: 1.23-1.96 95%Cl: 1.123-1.96 95%Cl: 1.16-1.71 95%Cl: 1.03-1.51 95%Cl: 1.25-1.93 By Charlson comorbidity index: 95%Cl: 1.31-1.64 95%Cl: 1.31-1.64 95%Cl: 0.77-1.34 95%Cl: 1.41-2.05 95%Cl: 1.41-2.05 95%Cl: 1.19-1.83 95%Cl: 1.31-2.06 95%Cl: 1.04-1.87	Includes patients diagnosed with breast cancer at <1yr. RR adjusted for age (5-year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008, 2009-2011) and Charlson comorbidity index score (0, 1, $\geq$ 2). RR adjusted for age (5-year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008, 2009-2011). RR adjusted for age (5- year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001- 2004, 2005-2008, 2009- 2011) and Charlson comorbidity index score (0, 1, $\geq$ 2). Significant predictors
				<u>4-5</u> 6-8			0.2%	0.2%	RR= 1.40 RR= 1.13	95%CI: 0.90-1.41	of depression among breast
				9-14			0.2%	0.1%	RR= 1.09	95%CI: 0.80-1.46	diagnosis and living alone.
	Population-based All 35,286 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, who had breast cancer diagnosed in 1998-	All	ND	5 (ND), 0-15	Population based 1,860,552 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, without history of cancer or	EHR, first redeemed prescription of antidepressants (group N06A of the ATC classification	Incidence rate: 3,772 per 100,000 person- years Cumulative incidence:	Incidence rate: 1,971 per 100,000 person- years Cumulative incidence: 9.4%			RR adjusted for age (5-year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008, 2009-2011) and Charlson comorbidity index score $(0, 1, \ge 2)$ . Predictors of depression
	2011 and did not use antidepressants in the 3 years before study entry, without history of other cancers or major psychiatric disorder.				major psychiatric disorder and who did not use antidepressants during the three years prior to study entry.	system)	17.1%		RR= 1.82 *	95%CI: 1.77-1.86	among breast cancer survivors: age at diagnosis, living alone, not having higher education, having comorbidities, positive lymph node metastasis.

Suppli et al., 2014 [29] Denmark (continued)	Population-based All 35,286 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, who had breast cancer	All	ND	5 (ND), 0-15	Population based 1,860,552 women born in 1920-1981 and living in Denmark, without history of cancer or	EHR, first redeemed prescription of antidepressants (group N06A of the ATC classification	Incidence rate: 3,772 per 100,000 person- years Cumulative incidence:	Incidence rate: 1,971 per 100,000 person- years Cumulative incidence: 9.4%	By Charlson comorbidity index score: 0: RR= 2.06 * 1: RR= 1.49 * ≥2: RR= 1.25 *	By Charlson comorbidity index score: 95%CI: 2.00-2.12 95%CI: 1.40-1.58 95%CI: 1.15-1.36	RR adjusted for age (5-year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008, 2009-2011).
(continued)	diagnosed in 1998- 2011 and did not use antidepressants in the 3 years before study entry, without history of other				major psychiatric disorder and who did not use antidepressants during the three years prior to study entry.	system)	17.1%	incidence: 9.4%	By age: 30-39: RR= 2.07 * 40-49: RR= 2.12 * 50-59: RR= 2.12 * 60-69: RR= 1.89 * 70-79: RR= 1.59 * ≥80: RR= 1.29 *	By age: 95%Cl: 1.77-2.43 95%Cl: 1.98-2.27 95%Cl: 2.02-2.23 95%Cl: 1.80-1.99 95%Cl: 1.51-1.68 95%Cl: 1.19-1.40	Includes patients diagnosed with breast cancer at <1yr. RR adjusted for age (5-year intervals), calendar period (1998-2000, 2001-2004, 2005-2008, 2009-2011) and
	cancers or major psychiatric disordor			5 (ND), 0-15	_		Cumulative incidence: 17%	Cumulative incidence: 9.4%	RR= 1.82 *	95%CI: 1.77-1.86	Charlson comorbidity index score (0, 1, ≥2).
	disorder.			0-1	_		6.4%	2.1%	RR= 3.09 *	95%CI: 2.95-3.22	_
				1-2	_		4.2%	2.1%	RR= 2.06 *	95%CI: 1.94-2.18	_
				2-3	-		3.3%	2.1%	RR= 1.60 *	95%CI: 1.49-1.72	_
				3-4	-		3.3%	2.1%	RR= 1.59 *	95%CI: 1.46-1.72	_
				4-5	_		2.7%	2.1%	RR= 1.30 *	95%CI: 1.18-1.44	_
				6-8	_		2.6%	2.1%	RR= 1.23 *	95%CI: 1.15-1.32	_
				9-14	_		2.2%	2.0%	RR= 1.08	95%CI: 0.98-1.19	_
Hjerl et al., 2002 [1]	Population-based All 60,431 women	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15	Population-based Danish female	EHR, first ever psychiatric admission with	Cumulative incidence: 0.7%	Cumulative incidence: 0.5%	SIR= 1.49 *	95%CI: 1.35-1.63	
Denmark	aged >15 years with first invasive			(Median cohort follow	population aged >15 years.	affective disorders, as			By calendar	By calendar period:	
(continues)	breast cancer			up: 4 years		registered in the			1970-74: SIR=1.68 *	95%CI: 1.20-2.27	Standardised incidence
	national Cancer			since diagnosis:		Psychiatric			1975-79: SIR=1.60 *	95%CI: 1.30-1.94	all follow up time since
	Registry in 1970-			range:		Central Registry			1980-84: SIR=1.56 *	95%CI: 1.28-1.88	diagnosis.
				U to 15)		296.19-296.99,			1985-89: SIR=1.46 *	95%CI: 1.19-1.77	
						298.09, 301.19, 300.49			1990-93: SIR=1.25	95%CI: 0.99-1.55	

Hjerl et al., 2002 [1] Denmark (continued)	Population-based All 60,431 women aged >15 years with first invasive breast cancer registered in the national Cancer Registry in 1970- 1993.	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15 (Median cohort follow up: 4 years since diagnosis; range: 0 to 15)	Population-based Danish female population aged >15 years.	EHR, first ever psychiatric admission with affective disorders, as registered in the Danish Psychiatric Central Registry ICD-8 codes: 296.19-296.99, 298.09, 301.19, 300.49	-	-	By age group: 15-29: SIR= 3.24 30-34: SIR= 0.67 35-39: SIR= 1.96 40-44: SIR= 2.92 * 45-49: SIR= 1.46 * 50-54: SIR= 1.46 * 60-64: SIR= 1.46 * 65-69: SIR= 1.32 70-74: SIR= 1.22 75-79: SIR= 1.09 80-84: SIR= 1.00 85-89: SIR= 1.28 ≥90: SIR= 2.43	By age group: 95%Cl: 0.19-14.3 95%Cl: 0.04-2.94 95%Cl: 0.98-3.44 95%Cl: 2.06-4.00 95%Cl: 1.03-2.00 95%Cl: 1.03-2.00 95%Cl: 1.05-1.81 95%Cl: 1.05-1.81 95%Cl: 0.99-1.73 95%Cl: 0.99-1.73 95%Cl: 0.99-1.61 95%Cl: 0.75-1.51 95%Cl: 0.60-1.53 95%Cl: 0.59-2.39 95%Cl: 0.60-6.30	Standardised incidence ratio estimated considering all follow up time since diagnosis.
	Women aged >15 years with first invasive breast cancer registered in	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15	Female population aged >15 years and living in Copenhagen city	EHR, first ever psychiatric admission with affective	-	-	SIR= 1.19	95%CI: 0.95-1.48	Standardised incidence ratio estimated considering all follow up time since diagnosis.
	the national Cancer			1.5	area.	disorders, as	-	-	SIR= 0.9	95%CI: 0.5-1.7	
	Registry in 1970-			2.5	-	registered in the	-	-	SIR= 1.2	95%CI: 0.6-2.0	
	1993 and living in			3.5	-	Danish	-	_	SIR= 0.9	95%CI: 0.4-1.9	—
	Copennagen city			4.5	-	Psychiatric Control Registry	-	-	SIR= 1.1	95%CI: 0.4-2.3	
	area (metropolitari).			5.5	-	ICD-8 codes:	-	-	SIR= 1.7	95%CI: 0.7-3.1	
				6.5	-	296.19-296.99.	-	-	SIR= 1.3	95%CI: 0.4-2.8	<ul> <li>Approximate values</li> </ul>
				7.5	-	298.09, 301.19,	-	_	SIR= 0.6	95%CI: 0.1-1.9	<ul> <li>estimated from the graphics</li> </ul>
				8.5	-	300.49	-	-	SIR= 0.7	95%CI: 0.1-2.2	<ul> <li>provided in the original study</li> </ul>
				9.5	-		-	-	SIR= 0.4	95%CI: 0.0-1.9	Study.
				10.5	-		-	-	SIR= 2.5	95%CI: 0.9-5.4	—
				13.0	-		-	-	SIR= 0.2 *	95%CI: 0.0-0.9	_
	Women aged >15 years with first	All	ND	4 (ND), 0-15	Female population aged >15 years	EHR, first ever psychiatric	-	-	SIR= 1.57 *	95%CI: 1.41-1.75	Standardised incidence ratio estimated considering
	cancer registered in			1.5	- Copenhagen city	aumission with	-	-	SIR= 2.1 *	95%CI: 1.6-2.6	aii iollow up time since
	the national Cancer			2.5	area.	disorders, as	-		SIR= 1.3	95%CI: 0.9-1.8	alagnosis.
	Registry in 1970-			3.5		registered in the	-	-	SIR= 1.5 *	95%CI: 1.1-2.1	Approximate values
	1993 and living			4.5	-	Danish	-	-	SIR= 1.4	95%CI: 0.9-2.0	estimated from the graphics
	outside			5.5	-	Psychiatric	-	-	SIR= 1.6 *	95%CI: 1.1-2.4	provided in the original
	Copenhagen city			6.5	-	Central Registry	-	-	SIR= 1.4	95%CI: 0.8-1.9	study.
	alea.			7.5	-	10D-0 000es: 206 10-206 00	-	-	SIR= 1.1	95%CI: 0.6-1.8	
				8.5	-	298.09, 301.19	-	-	SIR= 1.0	95%CI: 0.5-1.8	—
				9.5	-	300.49	-	-	SIR= 0.8	95%CI: 0.3-1.6	—
				10.5	-		_	-	SIR= 0.9	95%CI: 0.4-1.8	
				11.5	-		_	-	SIR= 0.9	95%CI: 0.3-1.9	
				12.5	-		-	-	SIR= 1.1	95%CI: 0.4-2.3	
				13.5				_	SIR= 1.6	95%CI: 0.6-3.1	
				10.0			-	-	511 - 1.0	007001.0.0 0.1	

Hung et al., 2013 [2] Taiwan	Population-based 26,629 women with no prior mood disorder and cancer, with breast cancer registered in the National Health Insurance Database in 2000- 2005.	All	ND	2.7 (ND), ND-7 (median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7; for matched cohort: 3.21) 2	Population-based 26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women who did not have breast cancer registered in the National Health Insurance Database; matched for age and	EHR, recorded in the Registry for Catastrophic Illness with an ICD-9-CM code for major depressive disorder (296.2X-296.3X, 300.4, 311.X)	Incidence rate: 14.55 per 1,000 person-years Cumulative incidence= 4.4%	Incidence rate: 7.51 per 1,000 person-years Cumulative incidence= 2.6% 2%	RR=1.94 * RR=2.0 * †	95%CI: 1.76-2.13 95%CI: 1.80-2.22	Includes patients diagnosed with breast cancer at <1 yr. Approximate cumulative incidence values estimated
				4	Charlson comorbidity score (matching, categories not		5%	3%	RR=1.7 * †	95%CI: 1.53-1.82	<ul> <li>from the graphics provided in the original study.</li> </ul>
				6	reporteu).		6%	4%	RR=1.5 * †	95%CI: 1.39-1.62	P value for the log-rank test comparing the Kaplan-Meier curves: P<0.001
Earle et al., 2007 [30] United States	Convenience sample 463 women who had non-metastatic cancer registered with a private health care insurance company and not receiving active treatment; patients had no evidence of recurrence.	Non- metastatic	ND	ND (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 3,108 women without cancer registered with a private health care insure company; matched for age and clinic location (individual matching, categories not reported).	EHR, ICD-9 codes for diagnoses of psychotic depression and dysthymia in an administrative database from a health care plan.	Prevalence: 22.5%	Prevalence: 18.1%	PR=1.24 * †	95%Cl: 1.03-1.50 P=0.04	Breast cancer survivors had more visits with mental health providers compared to women without cancer.
Kim et al., 2017 [31]	Population based	All	Srg, M: 100%		Population based	EHR, ICD-10	Prevalence:	Prevalence:			
2011 [01]	2,130 women who			0	8,520 women	depression	5.5%	2.5%	PR= 2.20 * +	95%Cl: 1.76-2.74	_
Korea	had mastectomy for breast cancer.			1	never diagnosed with cancer		4.8%	3.1%	PR= 1.55 * †	95%CI: 1.24-1.94	
	randomly selected			2	randomly selected		4.4%	3.0%	PR= 1.47 * †	95%CI: 1.14-1.89	
	from the National Health Insurance			3	database as the		4.4%	3.1%	PR= 1.42 * †	95%CI: 1.08-1.87	_
	Database			4	cases matched for		4.1%	4.0%	PR= 1.03 +	95%CI: 0.76-1.39	_
				5	region, pre-		4.4%	3.5%	PR= 1.26 +	95%Cl: 0.91-1.75	_
				6	operative		4.5%	4.3%	PR= 1.05 +	95%CI: 0.73-1.49	
				7	(individual		5.0%	3.9%	PR= 1.28 †	95%CI: 0.86-1.91	_
				8	matching, categories not		6.0%	3.9%	PR= 1.54 †	95%CI: 0.99-2.39	_
				9	reported).		5.4%	4.7%	PR= 1.15 +	95%CI: 0.67-1.98	_
				10			8.1%	4.5%	PR= 1.80 †	95%CI: 0.93-3.47	_

Yang et al.,	Population based	0	ND	4.7 (4.4), 0-10	Population based	EHR, ICD-10			SIR= 1.03	95%CI: 0.80-1.34	o
2017 [4]	All 4 402 women			(median (IOR)	452 507 women	diagnostic codes			By age group:	By age group:	<ul> <li>Standardised incidence</li> <li>ratios were standardised by</li> </ul>
Sweden	diagnosed with an			duration of	randomly selected	(F32-F33) at in	Cumulative	Cumulative	20-44: SIR= 1.48	95%CI: 0.84-2.61	calendar period (1-vear
	in situ breast			follow up: 4.7	from the	patient or	incidence: 1.3%	incidence: 1.2%	45-54: SIR= 0.84	95%CI: 0.51-1.36	categories), age (5-year
	cancer at the age of			(4.4))	respondents to the	outpatient			55-64: SIR= 1.01	95%CI: 0.61-1.68	categories), and region of
	20-80 years			0.0.5	1990 census	hospital visits	0.4%	0.40/	00-00. 3IK- 1.07	95 %CI: 0.02-1.85	_ residence (North,
	between 2001-2009			0-0.3			0.1%	0.1%	SIR= 0.77	95%CI. 0.29-2.05	Southeast_Uppsala_Orebro
				0.0-1			0.1%	0.1%	SIR= 1.14	95%CI: 0.51-2.54	West).
				1-2			0.2%	0.2%	SIR= 0.91	95%CI: 0.47-1.74	
				2-5			0.6%	0.5%	SIR= 1.15	95%CI: 0.78-1.70	
				5-10			0.3%	0.3%	SIR= 1.00	95%CI: 0.57-1.76	
				4.7 (4.4), 0-10		EHR, being			SIR= 1.58 *	95%CI: 1.36-1.85	Ctandardiand incidence
				(median (IOR)		prescribed an	Cumulative	Cumulative	By ago group:	By ago group:	_ Standardised Incidence
				duration of		(group N06A of	incidence:	incidence:	20-44: SIR= 1.36	95%CI: 0.84-2.23	calendar period (1-year
				follow up: 4.7		the ATC	3.6%	2.3%	45-54: SIR= 1.93 *	95%CI: 1.48-2.53	categories), age (5-year
				(4.4))		classification			55-64: SIR= 1.54 *	95%CI: 1.15-2.07	categories), and region of
						system)			65-80: SIR= 1.40 *	95%CI: 1.05-1.87	residence (North,
				0-0.5			-	-	SIR= 2.09	95%CI: 1.57-2.79	Stockholm- Gotland, South,
				0.5-1			-	-	SIR= 1.49	95%CI: 1.04-2.13	Southeast, Uppsala-Orebro,
				1-2			-	-	SIR= 1.70	95%CI: 1.30-2.22	•••••••
				2-4.5	-		-	-	SIR= 1.12	95%CI: 0.79-1.59	_
	Population based	I-IV	ND	4.5 (4.5), 0-10	Population based	EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes			SIR= 1.57 *	95%CI: 1.46-1.69	
	All 40,849 women			(median (IQR)	452,507 women	for depression	Cumulativa	Cumulativa	By age group:	By age group:	SID standardized by
	diagnosed with an			duration of	randomly selected	(F32-F33) at in	incidence: 1.0%	incidence: 1 2%	20-44: SIR= 1.69	95%CI: 1.42-2.01	calendar period age and
	invasive breast			follow up: 4.4	from the	patient or			45-54: SIR= 1.70	95%CI: 1.50-1.93	region
	cancer at the age of			(4.5))	respondents to the	outpatient			55-64: SIR= 1.56	95%CI: 1.36-1.79	. og. om
	20-80 years				1990 census	hospital visits	0.00/	0.40/	65-80: SIR= 1.38	<u>95%CI: 1.19-1.59</u>	Predictors of depression
	between 2001-2009			0-0.5			0.2%	0.1%	SIR= 1.83 *	95%CI: 1.48-2.26	among breast cancer
				0.5-1			0.3%	0.1%	SIR= 2.48 "	95%CI: 2.07-2.97	comorbidities and positive
				1-2			0.4%	0.2%	SIR= 2.04 "	95%CI: 1.76-2.36	<ul> <li>lymph nodes.</li> </ul>
				2-5			0.6%	0.5%	SIR= 1.29 "	95%CI: 1.14-1.46	_
				5-10			0.3%	0.3%	SIR= 1.18	95%CI: 0.99-1.41	
				4.5 (4.5), 0-10		EHR, being prescribed an			SIR= 1.95 *	95%CI: 1.86-2.04	_
				(median (IOR)		antidepressant	Cumulative	Cumulative	By age group:	By age group:	
				duration of		(group N06A of	incidence: 9.2%	incidence: 2.2%	20-44: SIR= 2.43 *	95%CI: 2.14-2.76	
				follow up: 4.4		the AIC			45-54: SIR= 2.23 *	95%CI: 2.02-2.45	SIR standardised by
				(4.5))		cidSSIIICation			55-04: SIK= 2.00 * 65-80: SIR= 1.64 *	95%CI: 1.83-2.18 95%CI: 1.51-1.77	calendar period, age, and
				0_0 5		system			SIR= 2 1/ *	95%CI: 1.05-7.26	– region.
				0.5-1			-	-	SIR= 2.62 *	95%CI: 2 40-2 87	_
				1-2				_	SIR= 1.92 *	95%CI: 1 76-2 00	_
				2-4.5			-	_	SIR= 1.34 *	95%CI: 1 20-1 49	_
				2 4.5					0111 1.01	557651. 1.20 T. <del>T</del> O	

\_

Khan et al., 2010 [3] United Kingdom	Population-based 16,938 women aged ≥30 with breast cancer registered in the UK General Practice Research Database.	All	ND	ND (ND), ≥5	Population-based 67,649 women who did not have breast or colorectal cancer at beginning of follow; individual matching for age (± 1 year) and primary care practice (small area).	EHR, primary care consultations for depression recorded with Read codes EHR, ≥1 prescription of antidepressants	Prevalence: 9.6% Prevalence: 23.7%	Prevalence: 8.9% Prevalence: 20.2%	OR= 1.06 OR= 1.16 *	95%CI: 1.00-1.14 95%CI: 1.11-1.22	Odds ratio adjusted for Charlson comorbidity score, previous history of depression and death. Odds ratio adjusted for Charlson comorbidity score, number of consultations, and death.
Cohort studi	es involving scales										
Aerts et al., 2014 [32] ND	Convenience sample 66 women who had breast-conserving surgery for early breast cancer and no recurrence during follow up. Convenience sample 48 women who had mastectomy for early breast cancer at one university hospital and no recurrence during follow up.	'Early-stage' (100%) 'Early-stage' (100%)	Srg, C: 100% CT: 24.7% RT: 76.5% HT: 70.3% Srg, M: 100% CT: 44.1% RT: 45.6% HT: 54.4%	~ 1 (follow up at 1 year) ~ 1 (follow up at 1 year)	Convenience sample 149 women with no history of cancer recruited in: a gynaecology outpatient clinic, an organisation for elderly women and online; matched for age (method not reported).	BDI	BDI mean score (SD) 7.71 (8.00) BDI mean score (SD) 8.85 (6.79)	BDI mean score (SD) 5.28 (5.34) BDI mean score (SD) 5.28 (5.34)	-	P=0.02 * P<0.01 *	Higher CES-D scores indicate more depressive symptoms. Women who had advanced stage or had had relapse were excluded at baseline, as were those who had recurrence or a second cancer during follow up.
Ancoli- Israel et al., 2014 [33] United States	Convenience sample 44 women who had been diagnosed with breast cancer 1 year before, and scheduled to receive ≥4 cycles of CT, with no psychological impairments and not receiving RT at recruitment.	l (27.9%) ll (39.7%) lll (30.9%) Unknown (1.5%)	Srg, C: 45.6% Srg, M: 49.7% CT: 100%	~ 1 (follow up at 1 year after CT)	Convenience sample 35 cancer-free friends of the women who had breast cancer with no psychological impairments at the time of recruitment; individual matching for age (±5 years), ethnicity and education (categories of ethnicity and education not reported).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD) 10.0 (ND)	CES-D mean score (SD) 4.8 (ND)	-	P=0.04 *	Higher CES-D scores indicate more depressive symptoms. Mean scores adjusted for age and body mass index.

Kesler et al., 2013 [34]	Convenience sample	I-IIIA	Srg, ND: 100% CT: 100%	4.8 (3.4), 1-12	Convenience sample						-
United States	44 women who had breast cancer recruited via support groups and				38 healthy female controls recruited through advertisements	CAD	CAD mean score (SD):	CAD mean score (SD):	-	P=0.08	
	advertisements; patients excluded if they had had disease recurrence or relapse.						48.8 (8.2)	48.0 (7.2)			
Bailey et al., 2010 [35] United States	Convenience sample 515 patients with first primary breast cancer, aged >40 years, with no cognitive impairment or prior history of breast cancer, post active treatment and who spoke English.	0 (34.4%) I (51.4%) IIA (14.2%)	Srg, ND: 100%	~ 1 (follow up at 12 months after surgery)	Convenience sample 496 women who had a normal/benign mammogram, aged >40 years, with no cognitive impairment or prior history of breast cancer, and who spoke English; frequency matched for age (40-50, 50- 69, ≥70 years).	CES-D Cut-off score for case: ≥16	Prevalence: 47.4%	Prevalence: 52.6%	PR= 0.9 †	95%CI: 0.80-1.02	Women with more advanced disease at diagnosis (stage IIA) had significantly more depression compared to those diagnosed at earlier stages.
Hermelink et al., 2017 [36] Germany	Convenience sample 150 women aged 18-65 years, newly diagnosed with breast cancer, with no previous history of neurological or psychotic disorders and no previous systemic treatment for cancer	0 (7%) I (42%) II (41.4%) III (%9.6)	CT: 100% HT: 73.9% vs. CT: 0% HT: 80.7%	~ 1 (follow up at 1 year after diagnosis)	Convenience sample 56 women aged 18-65 years, who never had cancer, and attended the same institution as cases for breast imagining and did not require further tests.	PHQ-D	PHQ-D mean score CT: 4.7 (4.5) No CT: 4.2 (4.5)	PHQ-D mean score 2.7 (3.0)	-	P=0.03 * (for differences between the three groups)	Higher PHQ-D mean scores indicate more depressive symptoms.
Lee et al., 2011 [37] Korea	Convenience sample 206 patients aged ≥18 years who had been diagnosed with breast cancer 1 year before	I-IIA (71.2%) IIB-III (25.0%)	Srg, C: 82.5% Srg, M: 16.4% CT: 86.7% RT: 82.5% HT: 82.2%	~ 1 (follow up at 1 year after diagnosis)	Population-based Nationally representative sample of 496 adult women.	SDS	SDS mean score 38.1 (0.94)	SDS mean score 38.8 (0.37)		P=0.514	Mean scores adjusted for age, menopausal status, comorbidity, marital status, educational level, religious practice, job status, monthly income, body mass index, smoking status, drinking status, regular exercise, propensity score, and subscales of social support.
							Prevalence: 49.3%	Prevalence: 46.6%	PR=1.06 +	95%CI: 0.89-1.25 P=0.516	Cut-off score for case: ≥50

Cross-section	onal studies involving	scales									
Bizetti Pelai et al., 2012 [38]	Convenience sample 89 women who had surgery for breast cancer at <10 years	ND (ND)	Srg, BCS: 37% Srg, M: 50-63% RT: 2-11% CT: 24-30% CT+RT: 54-60%	3.7 (ND), ≤10	Convenience sample 43 women without breast cancer, or neurological or orthopaedic impairments of the upper limbs	BDI	Prevalence: 41.6%	Prevalence: 28.0%	PR=1.49 †	95%Cl: 0.97-2.28	Cut-off score for case: ≥10
Castellon et al., 2004 [15] United States	Convenience sample 53 women who had breast cancer at or before the age of 50, with no evidence of disease or recurrence, and no history of psychiatric disorder.	0-II (100%)	CT: 34% CT+HT: 34%	ND (ND), 2-5	Convenience sample 19 Healthy women recruited via fliers, newsletter articles and advertisements, or amongst the acquaintances of the hospital staff.	BDI	BDI mean score (SD): No CT: 7.0 (4.5) CT: 6.3 (5.1)	BDI mean score (SD): 7.8 (7.9)	-	P=0.63	-
Weitzner et al., 1997 [16] United States	Convenience sample 60 women with age <70 years, education ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade, no history of psychiatric diagnoses, >5 years disease-free, selected from those returning to the hospital for long- term follow up of cancer.	I (15%) II (63%) III (22%)	Srg, M: 100%	ND (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 93 employees or volunteer workers at the same hospital with no personal or family history of breast cancer, age <70 years, education ≥6 <sup>th</sup> grade, and no psychiatric history.	BDI Scale applied as part of a psychiatric interview	BDI mean score (SD): 7 (ND) Prevalence: 29%	BDI mean score (SD): 5 (ND) Prevalence: 15%	- PR= 1.93 * †	P<0.003 * 95%CI: 1.03-3.61	Adjusted for years of age and years of education. Among breast cancer survivors, lower BDI scores, indicating less depression, were associated with better quality of life for all domains (P<0.02), except in the family one. Cut-off score for case: >12 (mild to moderate depression)
Garcia- Torres et al., 2013 [14] Spain	Convenience sample 22 breast cancer survivors free of relapse identified by staff of the local association against cancer.	ND	Srg. M: 100% CT: 72.7%	8.2 (5.6), 1-21	Convenience sample 22 women with no history of cancer who volunteered with the same association against cancer.	BDI-II	BDI-II mean score (SD): 13.13 (7.83) Cognitive- affective component: 5.86 (4.06) Motivational- somatic component: 6.81 (5.07) Prevalence: 40%	BDI-II mean score (SD): 8.18 (7.78) Cognitive- affective component: 3.72 (3.88) Motivational- somatic component: 3.81 (2.92) Prevalence: 18%	- - PR= 2.22 †	P=0.02 * P=0.03 * P=0.02 * 95%CI: 0.79-6.21	Correlation between anxiety and depression: r = 0.46, p<0.05; Cut-off score for case: >14 (slight to severe depression)

Nguyen et al., 2013 [39] United States	Convenience sample 57 women survivors of breast cancer, aged over 65 years, without recurrence, recruited from a cancer registry	I-IIIA (100%)	RT: 53% CT: 47%	>10	Convenience sample 30 healthy female adults, selected in the community for a previous study.	BDI-II	BDI-II mean score (SD): 4.86 (4.07)	BDI-II mean score (SD): 4.03 (3.38)	-	P=0.39	-
Cohen et al., 2011 [5] Israel	Convenience sample 56 married Israeli Arab breast cancer survivors, post treatment and free of disease recruited from one hospital.	I-III (ND%)	Srg, C: 48.2% Srg, M: 51.8% Srg, R: 12.5% CT: 85.7% RT: 85.7% HT: 58.9%	4.8 (4.2), 1-17	Convenience sample 66 married and healthy Arab women living in Israel, approached in community settings; individual matching for age and education (matching categories not reported).	BSI-18	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 2.0 (1.1)	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 1.8 (0.8)	-	P>0.05	Higher levels of depression associated with higher levels of anxiety and somatization, and lower levels of support in both groups (P<0.05). Higher levels of depression associated with lower body image in breast cancer survivors (P=0.05).
Broeckel et al., 2002 [40] United States	Convenience sample 58 breast cancer survivors who had a spouse or partner, free of recurrence for >5 years, with no known neurological disorder, and no history of other cancer.	I (26%) II (62%) III (10%) Unknown (2%)	Srg, C: 50% Srg, M: 47% CT: 100% RT: 71% HT: 48%	7.7 (2.3), 5.2- 15.2	Convenience sample 61 women with no history of cancer who had a spouse or partner, recruited among the friends of the women who had breast cancer; individual matching for age (± 6 years).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 8.01 (6.34)	CES-D mean score (SD): 4.75 (4.12)	-	P≤0.05 *	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms. Correlation between depression scores and problems in sexual function: $r = 0.27$ , P $\leq 0.05$
Claus et al., 2006 [41] United	Population-based All 795 women diagnosed with	0 (100%)	Srg, C: 35.5% Srg, M: 14.0%	5.8 (1.0), ND	Population based 702 women selected by random-digit-		CES-D mean score (95%Cl): 8.3 (7.7-8.9)	CES-D mean score (95%CI): 7.2 (6.6-7.8)	-	P<0.05 *	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms.
States	DCIS in 1994-1998, with no history of invasive breast cancer;		Srg, C: 100%	5.7 (1.1)	dialling methods, with no history of DCIS or invasive breast cancer;		CES-D mean score (SD): 8.1 (7.2-9.0)	CES-D mean score (SD): 7.2 (6.6-7.8)	-	P>0.05	Mean scores adjusted for age at diagnosis/interview, race (white/non-white), education (college
	reinterviewed on average 6.2 years after first interview.		Srg, C: 100% RT: 100%	5.7 (1.1)	frequency matched for age (± 5 years) and geography. Reinterviewed on	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 8.7 (7.9-9.5)	CES-D mean score (SD): 7.2 (6.6-7.8)	-	P<0.05 *	degree/no college) menopausal status, comorbid conditions (myocardial infarction,
			Srg, M: 100%	6.0 (0.9)	average at 6.0 (0.6) years after first interview.		CES-D mean score (SD): 7.4 (5.8-8.9)	CES-D mean score (SD): 7.2 (6.6-7.8)	-	P>0.05	stroke, cancer), marital status (married/living as married vs. not), time since diagnosis and case/control status.

Conroy et al., 2013 [18]	Convenience sample 24 breast cancer survivors with history of non- metastatic disease and CT treated.	I (29%) Ila (33%) Ilb (25%) Illa (8%) Illb (4%)	CT: 100% RT: 79%	6.4 (2.1), 3.2- 10.2	Convenience sample 23 healthy women matched for age and education (matching method not reported).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 7.5 (5.8)	CES-D mean score (SD): 8.7 (6.9)		P>0.05	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms.
Koppelmans et al., 2012 [42] The Netherlands	Convenience sample 196 women who had been treated for breast cancer between 1976 and 1995, were aged between 50 and 80 years in 2008, did not have recurrence or a second primary cancer and never used adjuvant hormone therapy.	I-III (100%)	HT: 0% CT: 100%	21 (4.4), ND	Convenience sample All 1,509 women without a history of cancer who were between 50 and 80 years of age at the time of the assessments, selected from a larger population- based cohort.	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 4.7 (8.0)	CES-D mean score (SD): 6.7 (8.4)	-	P<0.05 *	Mean score adjusted for age (format of the variable not reported). Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms.
McDonald et al., 2010 [19] ND	Convenience sample 29 female breast cancer patients without neurobehavioral risk factors including neurologic, medical, or psychiatric conditions, except history of depression or anxiety	0 (14%) I (35%) II (48%) IIIA (3%)	CT: 59% RT: 69%	~1.5 (0.15)	Convenience sample 18 healthy controls 'demographically matched' (matching method not reported).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): CT: 6.8 (6.2) No CT: 7.5 (10.4) Prevalence of depression: 13.8%	CES-D mean score (SD): 4.7 (8.9) Prevalence of depression: 5.6%	PR= 2.46 †	P>0.05 95%Cl: 0.30 - 20.20	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms. Cut-off for case: CES-D score ≥16
Otte et al., 2010 [43] United States	Convenience sample 246 breast cancer survivors free of cancer at recruitment, with no history of other cancers and able to speak, read and write English	I (ND) II (ND) III (ND)	Srg, C: 42% Srg, M: 59% CT: 89% RT: ND HT: 33%	5.6 (2.0), 2-10	Convenience sample 246 women in general good health with no history of breast cancer recruited by acquaintance referral, self- referral or from corporative group; individual matching for age (±5 years).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 11.53 (9.60)	CES-D mean score (SD): 9.00 (9.20)	-	P<0.01 *	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms. Depressive scores were correlated with sleep-wake disturbances (p<0.05).

Root et al., 2015 [17]	Convenience sample 113 women aged <70 years who had breast cancer, were post-menopausal at diagnosis, receiving HT at recruitment, with no recurrence, no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses and who did not report sleep disturbances.	I (58%) II (0%) III (33%) IV (8%)	Srg, C: 75% Srg, M: 32% CT: 52% RT: 78% HT: 52%	4.2 (1.2)	Convenience sample 37 health women with no history of cancer or cancer treatment, post- menopausal, with no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses, matched for age and education (matching method not reported).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 8.6 (8.2)	CES-D mean score (SD): 7.8 (6.5)	P=0.59	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms.
Von Ah et al., 2009 [44] United States	Convenience sample 52 women aged ≥40 years, who had breast cancer and had completed primary treatment ≥1 year ago, no cancer relapse, no metastatic disease or other cancer, and no history of psychiatric illnesses, recruited from cancer support groups, advertisements in churches and community centres, or by referral of enrolled participants.	I-II (50%) III (ND)	Srg, C: 66% Srg, M: 33% CT: 55.8% RT: 80.8% HT: 79%	4.6 (2.8), 1.2- 15.8	Convenience sample 52 women aged 240 years, with no history of cancer, no history of psychiatric illnesses, recruited from cancer support groups, advertisements in churches and community centres, or by referral of enrolled participants; individual matching for age (±5 years) and education (±3 years).	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 10.8 (8.1)	CES-D mean score (SD): 9.5 (8.2)	P=0.415	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms.
Von Ah et al., 2012 [45] United States	Convenience sample 62 non-Hispanic African American women diagnosed with non-metastatic breast cancer and able to read and write English, recruited by medical record review and by self- referral.	I-IIB (85.7%) IIIB (14.3%)	Srg, C: 0% Srg, M: 60.3% CT & RT: 54.6% HT: ND	5.0 (2.7), 2-10	Convenience sample 78 African American women with no history of breast cancer, recruited through community advertisements and events.	CES-D	CES-D mean score (SD): 12.2 (11.7)	CES-D mean score (SD): 11.6 (11.0)	P=0.757	Higher CES-D score indicates more depressive symptoms. Mean scores adjusted for age, income, years of education and body mass index.

Frazzetto et al., 2012 [46]	Convenience sample	ND	ND	ND (ND), ≥10	Convenience sample		Prevalence: 33.3%	Prevalence: 20.0%	PR= 1.67 †	95%CI: 0.73-3.80	Cut-off score for case: 10- 19 (mild depression)
Italy	75 years, with breast cancer recurrence ≥10				health' previously recruited in a hospital for a study	GDS	Prevalence: 50.0%	Prevalence: 8.6%	PR= 5.81 * +	95%CI: 1.87-18.08	Cut-off score for case: 20- 30 (severe depression)
	years after initial diagnosis, recruited in one hospital.				on health-related quality of life		Prevalence: 83.3%	Prevalence: 28.6%	PR= 2.91 * †	95%CI: 1.69-5.03	Cut-off score for case: ≥10 (mild to severe depression)
Calvio et al., 2010 [7]	Convenience sample	l (36.9%) ll (44.3%) lll (17.2%)	Srg, ND: 96.7% CT: 82.8% RT: 73.0%	3.1 (2.4), 1-10	Convenience sample						Higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms.
United States	122 breast cancer survivors ≥1 year post treatment, working full-time for ≥1 year, with computer and internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.		HT: 45.9% IT: 13.1%		113 women without a previous cancer diagnosis, working full-time for ≥1 year, with computer and Internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.	HADS	HADS mean score (SD): 4.6 (3.3)	HADS mean score (SD): 3.2 (2.7)	-	P<0.001 *	Mean scores adjusted for marital status (cohabitating with partner vs. single/not cohabitating), race (Caucasian vs. non- Caucasian), ethnicity (Hispanic vs. non-Hispanic), age (<40, 41-50, 51-65), income (0-39,000; 40- 59,000; 60-79,000; 80- 89,000; 80-99,000; ≥100,000), and menopausal status (currently going through, premenopausal, postmenopausal).

Boehmer et al., 2015 [6]	Convenience sample	I-III (100%)	ND	4.5 (ND), 1-10	Convenience sample						
ND	85 lesbian or bisexual breast cancer survivors post-active treatment recruited via advertisements, flyers, etc. (3.5% of whom had had cancer recurrence)				85 lesbian or bisexual women with no history of cancer, no prophylactic mastectomy or oophorectomy, and not using hormone therapy, recruited via flyers,	Antidepressants intake (self-reported)	Prevalence: 34.1%	Prevalence: 21.2%	PR=1.61 †	95%Cl: 0.97-2.67	Depression was more common in women taking any psychopharmacological medication, compared to those who did not (OR=2.29, 95%CI: 1.02 to 5.15), and less common in
					advertisements, etc.; individual matching for age (± 3 years) and partner status (partnered vs. unpartnered).	HADS score ≥8	Prevalence: 15.3%	Prevalence: 12.9%	PR=1.19 †	95%Cl: 0.56-2.50	women with higher levels of physical activity (OR= 0.31, 95%CI: 0.11-0.84).

Dahl et al., 2011 [8] Norway	Convenience sample 337 tumor free breast cancer survivors treated with radiotherapy during 1998 and 2002 in one hospital.	II (ND) III (ND)	Srg, C: 24% Srg, M: 76% CT: 82% RT: 100% HT: 81%	3.9 (ND), 2.6- 6.9	Convenience sample 1,685 women randomly selected from a population- based sample with no history of cancer and had complete data for questionnaires; individual matching for age (± 5 years).	HADS	HADS mean score (SD): 3.1 (3.3)	HADS mean score (SD): 3.7 (3.1)	-	P<0.001 *	Mean scores adjusted for level of education, on disability pension and menopausal status. Higher scores of HADS for depression were associated in univariate analysis with more insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors and in controls (P<0.05).
Miao et al., 2016 [9]	Convenience sample 23 patients with breast cancer who had been treated with chemotherapy at a local hospital	I-III (100%)	CT: 100%	3 (0.3),	Convenience sample 26 age matched healthy controls selected amongst patients relatives and local universities (matching method not reported).	HRS-D	Mean score (SD) 5.04 (1.19)	Mean score (SD) 4.88 (1.23)	-	P=0.650	Higher score indicates more anxiety symptoms
Rubino et al., 2007 [10] Italy	Convenience sample 33 consecutive patients who had had breast- reconstruction after mastectomy, in 2001-2002.	ND	Srg, M: 100% Srg, R: 100%	ND (ND), >1	Convenience sample 33 women, randomly selected amongst university staff.	HRS-D‡ Score ≥8	Prevalence: 45.4%	Prevalence: 12.1%	PR=3.76 * †	95%Cl: 1.39-10.14	A P-value of 0.02 was reported in the article, for the chi-square test of differences in depression between groups.
Boele et al., 2015 [11] The Netherlands	Convenience sample Post-menopausal breast cancer survivors with no psychiatric history, who did not receive CT, selected from medical records. 20 exposed to HT, 43 in the Srg+RT group.	ND	Srg, ND: 95% CT: 0% RT: 65% HT: 100% / 0%	Exposure to HT: 3.2 (1.9), 1.5-7; Unexposed to HT: 2.8 (0.3), 2.3-3.3.	Convenience sample 44 friends or family members of the women who had had breast cancer, with no history of breast cancer; matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	HSCL-25	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): HT: 12.89 (8.40) No HT: 15.46 (15.82)	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 11.92 (10.97)	-	P=0.43	Higher HSCL-25 score indicates more depressive symptoms. P-value adjusted for age and premorbid IQ.

Kreukels et al., 2008 [12] The Netherlands	Convenience sample 63 women who had non-metastatic breast cancer, with no history of psychiatric diseases.	I-III (100%)	CT: 100% HT: 40%	~1	Convenience sample 60 friends or family of the patients with the same age who never had cancer; matched for age (matching method not reported).	HSCL-25	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 17.1 (13.6)	HSCL-25 mean score (SD): 9.6 (9.2)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher HSCL-25 score indicates more depressive symptoms.
Min et al., 2010 [47]	Convenience sample	0 (15.4%) I (40.4%) II (30.7%)	Srg, M: 100% Srg, R: 100%	3.1 (1.3), ND	Convenience sample						
Korea	52 women who had breast cancer treated with mastectomy and followed up immediate reconstruction with latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap, recruited in one cancer center (3% had disease recurrence).	III (13.5%) III (13.5%) IV (0%)			104 'healthy female volunteers' matched for age (matching method not reported).	SDS	SDS mean score (SD): 48.5 (11.6)	SDS mean score (SD): 39.9 (9.1)	-	P<0.001 *	Mean SDS scores in breast cancer survivors were significantly higher in women who had neo adjuvant chemotherapy compared to those who did not.
Amir et al., 2002 [13] Israel	Convenience sample 39 women free of cancer symptoms for ≥3 years and not under active treatment, identified through two hospitals.	I (46%) II (46%) III (8%)	Srg, C: 20% Srg, M: 80% CT: 66% RT: 41% HT: 46%	6.5 (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 39 women who did not experience any life-threatening disease; matched for age and education (matching method not reported).	SCL-90	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.99 (1.07)	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.66 (0.55)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher SCL-90 scores indicate more depressive symptoms. Women who had breast cancer and reported PTSD symptoms had more depressive symptoms than those who did not: 2.13 (1.22) vs. 0.75 (0.75), P<0.01.

ATC = Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical classification system; BC = breast cancer; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory [48]; BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory-II [49]; BSI-18 = Brief Symptom Inventory-18 [22]; CAD = Clinical Assessment of Depression [50]; CES-D = The Center for Epidemiologic Studies, Depression Scale [51]; CT = chemotherapy; EHR = electronic health records; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale [52]; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [23]; HRS-D = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression [53]; HSCL-25 = Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 [25]; HT = hormone therapy; ICD-8 = The International Classification of Diseases, Eight Revision; ICD-9-CM = The International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ICD-10 = The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision; ND = not defined; OR = odds ratio; PHQ-D = Patient Health Questionnaire - Depression [54]; PR = prevalence ratio; RR = relative risk; RT = radiotherapy; SCL-90 = Depression subscale of Symptoms Checlist-90 [27]; SD = standard deviation; SDS = Zung's self-rating depression scale [55]; SIR = standardised incidence ratio; Srg, C = Breast conserving surgery; Srg, ND = Surgery, not further specified; Srg, M = Mastectomy; Srg, R = Breast reconstructive surgery; yrs = years.

\* There was some statistical evidence (P<0.05) for a different prevalence, risk or severity of anxiety between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

<sup>+</sup> Prevalence ratio calculated by the authors of the present study.

**Supplementary Table 5.** Neurocognitive dysfunction: main characteristics and results of the studies that evaluated the cognitive dysfunction or its domains in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

First		Breast ca	ncer survivors		Comparison group	Outcome assessment	Quantitative me	easure of the outcome	Relative	P-value or 95%	Notes
author, year of publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis/ treatment in years: mean/median (SD), range	Type of population and main characteristics	-	Breast cancer survivors	Comparison group	risk estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	confidence interval	
Cohort stud	dies involving neur	ocognitive as	sessment batteries								
Ahles et al., 2010 [56] United States	Convenience sample 46 women, aged 18-70 years, newly diagnosed with breast cancer, without history of neurologic disorders or axis I psychiatric disorders, consecutively recruited from one centre. Convenience sample 64 women, aged 18-70 years, newly diagnosed with breast cancer, without history of neurologic disorders or axis I psychiatric disorders or axis I psychiatric disorders, consecutively recruited from one centre.	0 (16.7%) I (47.0%) II (28.0%) IIIA (8.3%) IIIA (8.3%) I (47.0%) II (28.0%) IIIA (8.3%)	CT: 0%	~1.5 (follow up at 18 months after treatment) ~1.5 (follow up at 18 months after treatment)	Convenience sample 39 women without cancer recruited through community advertisements; frequency matched for age and education (categories of matching not reported). Convenience sample 39 women without cancer recruited through community advertisements; frequency matched for age and education (categories of matching not reported).	Change in the standardised scores for processing speed since baseline assessment prior to CT. Processing speed: Digit Symbol-Coding (WAIS-III), Trail Making Test (D- KEFS), Color-Word Interference Test (D-KEFS), and Grooved Pegboard. Verbal ability: Vocabulary [WASI, Verbal Fluency Test (D-KEFS)]. Verbal memory: CVLT-II, Logical Memory I and II (WMS-III). Visual memory: Faces I and II (WMS-III). Working memory: PASAT. Sorting: Sorting Test (D-KEFS). Distractibility: CPT. Reaction time: CPT.	Mean score (SD) Processing speed -0.01 (0.45) Verbal ability 0.17 (0.87) Verbal memory 0.68 (0.80) Visual memory 1.04 (0.69) Working memory 0.69 (0.65) Sorting 0.52 (0.91) Distractibility 0.20 (0.45) Reaction time -0.57 (1.14) Block design 0.11 (0.84) Mean score (SD) Processing speed -0.09 (0.65) Verbal ability -0.04 (0.73) Verbal memory 0.38 (0.93) Visual memory 0.44 (0.95) Sorting 0.21 (0.86) Distractibility -0.02 (1.05) Reaction time -0.28 (0.95) Block design	Mean score (SD) Processing speed 0.25 (0.52) Verbal ability 0.17 (0.71) Verbal memory 1.05 (0.80) Working memory 0.64 (0.92) Sorting 0.55 (0.73) Distractibility 0.16 (0.81) Reaction time 0.16 (0.88) Block design 0.18 (0.76) Mean score (SD) Processing speed 0.25 (0.52) Verbal ability 0.17 (0.71) Verbal memory 0.69 (0.69) Visual memory 1.05 (0.80) Working memory 0.64 (0.92) Sorting 0.55 (0.73) Distractibility 0.16 (0.81) Reaction time 0.16 (0.88) Block design			Domain scores adjusted for age, education, and baseline score. The linear mixed-methods model indicated that older patients who received chemotherapy had lower post-treatment processing speed performance (z-score difference, -0.16 per 10 years increase in age; 95%CI: -0.29 to - 0.04) compared with healthy controls. Domain scores adjusted for age, education, and baseline score. The linear mixed-methods model indicated that older patients not exposed to chemotherapy had lower post-treatment Processing Speed performance (z-score difference, -0.11; 95%CI, -0.21 to -0.001).
							-0.07 (0.82)	0.18 (0.76)			

Collins et al., 2014 [57] Canada	Convenience sample 60 women, aged 18-65 years, with at least the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade of education, newly diagnosed with non-metastatic breast cancer, scheduled to receive CT, recruited in one hospital; patients who had disease progression during follow up were excluded.	I-III (100%)	CT: 100%	~ 1 (follow up at 12 months after CT)	Convenience sample 60 women recruited through hospital advertisements and peer nomination, with at least the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade of education; matched on age, education and first language (categories of matching not reported).	Processing Speed: Digit-Symbol Coding & Symbol Search (WAIS- III); TMT-A; TMT-B; Processing speed & Reaction time indices (CNS-VS). Working Memory: Digit Span & Letter- Number-Sequencing (WAIS-III); PASAT; ACTT; COWA; Flexibility & working memory indices (CNS-VS). Visual Memory Visual Memory Visual Memory Visual Memory Visual Memory HVLT-; verbal memory index (CNS-VS).	Prevalence: 22%	Prevalence: 6%	PR= 3.67* †	95%CI: 1.21-11.12	Cut off for case: A standardised- regression based score of ≥ -2.0 on 3 or more of the 19 cognitive measures	
Fan et al.,	Convenience	ND	CT: 100%	~ 1	Convenience sample	HSCS, mild dysfunction	Prevalence: 30.8%	Prevalence: 19.3%	PR= 1.60 †	95%CI: 0.93-2.73		
Canada	91 women with		HT: 67%	(follow up at	102 healthy women,	HSCS, moderate to severe dysfunction	Prevalence: 4.4%	Prevalence: 3.6%	PR= 1.22 †	95%CI: 0.28-5.31		
Callaua	breast cancer			T year after CT)	relatives of the patients; individual	TMT-A	Median score: 44.0	Median score: 45.0	-	P= 0.25	-	
	with no psychiatric					TMT-B	Median score: 49.0	Median score: 54.0	-	P= 0.0005 *		
	history and no use of psychotropic			~ 2	years).	HSCS, mild dysfunction	Prevalence: 21.3%	Prevalence: 11.1%	PR= 1.92 †	95%CI: 0.91-4.04	-	
	medications other			(follow up at		HSCS, moderate to severe dysfunction	Prevalence: 3.8%	Prevalence: 0.0%	PR= 3.88 †	95%CI: 0.33-28.77	-	
	benzodiazepines			2 year atter CT)		TMT-A	Median score: 47.0	Median score: 49.0	-	P= 0.61		
	or anxiety.					TMT-B	Median score: 50.0	Median score: 53.0	-	P= 0.048 *		
Hermelink	Convenience	0(7%)	CT: 100%	~ 1	Convenience sample		Composite z-score:	Composite z-score:			Composite score of overall	
[36]	150 women with	II (42.%)	VS.	(follow up at	56 women aged 18- 65 years, who never		No CT: 0.04 (0.45); CT: -0.10 (0.42)	0.10 (0.38)	-	P= 0.01 *	the mean across all age-	
Germany	breast cancer, aged 18-65 years, with no history of neurological disorders and no previous systemic	m ( <i>7</i> 09.0 <i>)</i>	HT: 80.7% diagnosis)	i year arter diagnosis)	had cancer, and attended the same institution as cases for breast imagining and did not require further tests.	Memory Digit span (WSM-R); VLMT. Executive function TMT-B; lexical and	Composite score, change in the first year of diagnosis: No CT: -0.01 (0.38) CT: -0.07 (0.37)	Composite score, change in the first year of diagnosis 0.11 (0.35)	-	P=0.02 *	cognitive indices (age and education categories in the models not reported). Cognitive change scores were further adjusted for cognitive scores at baseline.	
	treatment.	treatment.					semantic search (RWT).	Prevalence: 17.7%	Prevalence: 5.3%	RR= 2.43	95% CI: 0.89 - 6.65	≥5 scores below 1.5 SD and/or ≥4 scores below 2 SD

\_

-

Jenkins et al., 2006 [59] United Kingdom	Convenience sample 128 women diagnosed with early breast cancer across the UK, with no disease progression	'early breast cancer'	Srg, M: 26% CT: 66.4%	~ 1 (follow up at 12 months after CT)	Convenience sample 49 healthy women who were friends or family of the patients, or from the local women's support group	Verbal memory Logical memory (WMS); Immediate & delayed recall (AVLT). Visual memory Complex figure task. Executive function The Stroop task Working memory Spatial span, letter/number sequencing & digit span (WMS-III) Processing speed Letter cancellation task.	Prevalence of decline on ≥2 measures as measured by the reliable change index: 16.8%	Prevalence of decline on ≥2 measures as measured by the reliable change index: 10.6%	PR= 1.58 †	95%Cl: 0.64-3.90	Reliable change index corrected for practice effects.
Phillips et al., 2012 [60] United States	Convenience sample 129 women diagnosed with breast cancer and scheduled to receive CT or RT; patients with recurrence were excluded	0 (10%) I (53%) II (37%)	Srg, M: 91.5% Srg, C: 8.5% HT: 62%	3 (follow up at 26 months after RT)	Convenience sample 184 women with no history of cancer, individual matching for age (±5 years) and ZIP code.	Attention Trial 1 Color Trails Test; Digit & Spatial Span (WAIS-III). Executive functioning Digit Symbol Coding (WAIS-III); Trial 2 Color Trails Test; COWAT. Nonverbal memory Visual Reproduction test (WMS-III). Processing speed Ruff 2 & 7 Test. Verbal memory CVLT.	Score Means (SE) CT group: Attention 53.55 (0.72) Executive functioning 51.87 (0.81) Nonverbal memory 56.24 (0.95) Processing speed 49.90 (0.84) Verbal memory 50.67 (1.11) RT group: Attention 51.59 (0.68) Executive functioning 52.30 (0.77) Nonverbal memory 54.97 (0.90) Processing speed 49.03 (0.80) Verbal memory 50.75 (1.05)	Score Means (SE) Attention 51.78 (0.41) Executive functioning 54.63 (0.46) Nonverbal memory 55.90 (0.54) Processing speed 51.38 (0.48) Verbal memory 51.26 (0.63)	-	P<0.05 *	Score means are adjusted for age, T1 National Adult Reading Test scores, and time from T1 to T2 assessments. Significant group x time interaction detected for processing speed (P=0.009).
Schagen et al., 2006 [61] The Netherlands	Convenience sample 57 women who had breast cancer treated with RT but not CT, and no relapse	l (100%)	RT: 100% CT: 0% HT: 0%	~1	Convenience sample 60 healthy women, friends of the participants in the study	24 test indices, covering the following domains: focused-sustained attention, working-verbal- visual memory, processing speed, executive function, and verbal/motor function	Prevalence: 22.8%	Prevalence: 6.7%	OR= 2.1	95%CI: 0.5-8.4	Odds ratio adjusted for age and IQ. Cognitive impairment defined as scoring 2 SD below the mean of the control group for ≥3 of the 24 tests.

Cross-secti	onal studies involv	ing neurocog	nitive assessment	batteries							
Boele et al., 2015 [11] The Netherlands	Convenience sample Post- menopausal breast cancer	ND	Srg, ND: 95% CT: 0% RT: 65% HT: 100% / 0%	Exposure to HT: 3.2 (1.9), 1.5-7; Unexposed to HT: 2.8	Convenience sample 44 friends or family members of the women who had had breast cancer, with no history of breast	Verbal memory AVLT; Visual association test. Visual memory WMS.	/erbal memory     Domain z-scores by treatment group:     Domain value       VVLT; Visual association     treatment group:       est.     Verbal memory       /isual memory     HT: -0.49 (0.66) Srg+RT: -0.01 (0.63)       VMS.     Verbal memory	Domain z-scores: Verbal memory -0.001 (0.81)		Verbal memory	
Brezden et	survivors with no psychiatric history, who did not receive CT, selected from medical records.	HII (ND)	CT (100%)	(0.3), 2.3- 3.3. 2 (ND). >1	Convenience sample	Working memory Letter-number sequencing (WAIS-III) Executive functioning Stroop; TMT-B. Processing speed Stroop; TMT-A Reaction speed Fepsy reaction times Fluency Category fluency, letter fluency Motor functioning Fepsy tapping	Visual memory HT: 0.136 (0.80) Srg+RT: -0.25 (1.09) Working memory HT: -0.144 (0.82) Srg+RT: 0.08 (1.06) Executive functioning HT: -0.10 (0.92) Srg+RT: 0.07 (0.93) Processing speed HT: -0.06 (0.65) Srg+RT: -0.01 (0.82) Reaction speed HT: 0.24 (0.79) Srg+RT: -0.12 (1.07) Fluency HT: -0.41 (0.78) Srg+RT: -0.31 (0.70) Motor functioning HT: 0.29 (0.70) Srg+RT: 0.14 (0.84)	Visual memory 0.000 (0.95) Working memory 0.001 (1.00) Executive functioning 0.000 (0.88) Processing speed 0.000 (0.79) Reaction speed 0.000 (0.91) Fluency 0.000 (0.88) Motor functioning 0.000 (0.96)	-	Verbal memory P=0.009 * Visual memory P=0.339 Working memory P=0.965 Executive functioning P=0.444 Processing speed P=0.554 Reaction speed P=0.529 Fluency P=0.012 * Motor functioning P=0.667	P-value for the three- group comparison. Z-scores corrected for age and estimated premorbid IQ.
Brezden et al., 2000 [62] Canada	Convenience sample 40 women who had completed CT for breast cancer, at least the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade of education, with no history of cognitive dysfunction or psychiatric illnesses and with no clinical evidence of recurrence or metastases.	⊢II (ND)	CT (100%)	2 (ND), >1	Convenience sample 36 healthy female relatives of the patients or hospital personnel who volunteered for the study.	HSCS	Median score: 34.5 Prevalence of moderate and severe cognitive impairment: 50%	Median score: 26.0 Prevalence of moderate and severe cognitive impairment: 11%	- PR= 4.5 * †	P>0.05 95%CI: 1.71-12.11	When adjusted for age, menopausal status, and level of education (categories not reported), the difference was significant (P=0.046).

Calvio et al., 2010 [7] United States	Convenience sample 122 breast cancer survivors >1 year post treatment, working full-time for >1 year, with computer and internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.	I (36.9%) II (44.3%) III (17.2%)	Srg, ND: 96.7% CT: 82.8% RT: 73.0% HT: 45.9% IT: 13.1%	3.1 (2.4), 1- 10	Convenience sample 113 women without a previous cancer diagnosis, working full-time for ≥1 year, with computer and Internet, recruited via advertisements and flyers.	CNS-VS battery Composite memory Verbal memory Visual memory Executive function Attention	Composite memory: 101.7 (18.1) Verbal memory: 99.8 (16.6) Visual memory: 102.8 (17.1) Executive function: 98.6 (9.2) Attention: 83.8 (10.3)	Composite memory: - 97.1 (19.8) Verbal memory: 96.0 (20.0) Visual memory: 99.3 (17.1) Executive function: 94.5 (16.4) Attention: 80.2 (17.7)	Executive function: P<0.001 * Attention: P<0.05 * All other domains P>0.05	Lower scores indicate poorer functioning. Mean scores adjusted for marital status (cohabitating with partner vs. single/not cohabitating), race (Caucasian vs. non- Caucasian), ethnicity (Hispanic vs. non- Hispanic), age (<40, 41- 50, 51-65), income (0- 39,000; 40-59,000; 60- 79,000; 80-89,000; 80- 99,000; ≥100,000), and menopausal status (currently going through, premenopausal).
Castellon et al., 2004 [15] United States	Convenience sample 53 women who had breast cancer at or before the age of 50, with no evidence of disease or recurrence, and no history of psychiatric disorder.	0-II (100%)	CT: 34% CT+HT: 34%	2-5	Convenience sample 19 Healthy women recruited via fliers, newsletter articles and advertisements, or amongst the acquaintances of the hospital staff.	Verbal Fluency COWA. Verbal Learning CVLT. Verbal Memory Logical memory (WMS- R). Visual Memory Visual Reproduction (WMS-R); RCFT. Visuospatial Function Block Design (WAIS-III); Copy Trial (RCFT). Psychomotor Speed Digit Symbol (WAIS-III); TMT-A; TMT-B. Reaction Time CCAP Executive Attention PASAT; Stroop Test.	z-scores, no CT nor HT: Fluency: -0.36 Verbal Learning: 0.54 Verbal memory: 0.21 Visual memory: 0.45 Visuospatial: 0.42 Reaction time: -0.20 Psychomotor speed: 0.22 Executive attention: -0.01 z-scores, CT (with or without HT): Fluency: -0.64 Verbal learning: 0.03 Verbal memory: -0.35 Visual memory: -0.39 Visuospatial: -0.51 Reaction time: -0.49 Psychomotor speed: 0.03 Executive attention: -0.41	Ref the mean scores of the healthy women used to calculate the z- scores.	Verbal Fluency: P=0.007 * All other domains: p>0.05	-

Conroy et al., 2013 [18] United States	Convenience sample 24 breast cancer survivors with history of non- metastatic disease and chemotherapy treated.	I (29%) Ila (33%) Ilb (25%) Illa (8%) Illb (4%)	CT: 100% RT: 79%	6.4 (2.1), 3.2-10.2	Convenience sample 23 healthy women; matched for age and education (matching method not reported).	Learning AVLT; BLT. Memory AVLT; BLT. Attention Digit span (WAIS-III); PASAT. Language WRAT-4; Word Reading test; Vocabulary (WASI). Visuospatial Block Design (WASI) Executive Digit span; COWA; Color- Word Test, Sorting Test, & Trail Making Test (D- KEFS). Psychomotor Symbol Digit, and Grooved Pegboard.	Age-adjusted domain z-scores: Learning: -0.2 (0.7) Memory: -0.3 (0.6) Attention: 0.4 (0.6) Language: 0.3 (0.8) Visuospatial: -0.5 (1.0) Executive: -0.04 (0.7) Psychomotor: -0.1 (0.4) Average: -0.1 (0.5)	Age-adjusted domain z- scores: Learning: 0.1 (0.7) Memory: 0.2 (0.7) Attention: 0.03 (0.5) Language: -0.03 (0.9) Visuospatial: 0.1 (0.9) Executive: 0.04 (0.6) Psychomotor: 0.04 (0.4) Average: 0.1 (0.4)	-	Memory: P≤0.05 * All other domains: P>0.05	-
Ernst et al., 2002 [63] United States	Convenience sample 16 women aged 65-80 years, recruited via advertisements.	'localised breast cancer'	HT: 100% Srg, ND: 100% CT: 0%	4.4 (1.7), 2-10	Convenience sample 33 women with no history of breast cancer; matched for age (matching method not reported).	Digit symbol substitution test TMT-A	Nr of correct substitutions (SD): 7.5 (3.1) Time required (SD): 44.2 (12.2)	Nr of correct substitutions (SD): 7.2 (2.1) Time required (SD): 36.9 (10.4)	-	P>0.05 P>0.05	-
Inagaki et al., 2006 [64] Japan	Convenience sample 105 women who had breast cancer aged 18- 55 years, with no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders other than affective or anxiety; tumor free at recruitment.	0-I (27.5%)	Srg. C: 49% CT: 100% HT: 39% RT: 48%	1	Convenience sample 55 healthy subjects who lived in the same area as the patients recruited via advertisements in the local newspaper; matched for region (matching method not reported).	WMS-R	Mean domain score (SD): Attention 99.4 (12.5) Verbal memory 96.9 (13.0) Visual memory 101.9 (12.1) Delayed recall 100.3 (10.4)	Mean domain score (SD): Attention 99.6 (13.0) Verbal memory 99.2 (14.4) Visual memory 101.4 (10.3) Delayed recall 100.7 (12.6)	-	For all domains: P>0.05	-

Kesler et	Convenience	I-IIIA	Srg, ND: 100%	4.8 (3.4), 1-12	Convenience sample						
[34]	44 women who		01.10070	1-12	38 healthy female controls recruited		Mean scores (SD):	Mean score (SD):			
United States	had breast cancer recruited				through advertisements	MMQ; HVLT-R; WAIS	HVLT-R total recall: 49.3 (8.0)	HVLT-R total recall: 57.1 (9.6)		P=0.03 *	
	via support groups and advertisements:						HVLT-R delayed recall: 49.8 (6.4)	HVLT-R delayed recall: 56.0 (8.1)	-	P=0.02 *	-
	patients excluded if they						MMQ: 42.2 (11.2)	MMQ: 59.3 (7.4)		P<0.001 *	
	had had disease recurrence or						WAIS-IQ: 112 (11)	WAIS-IQ: 115 (13)		P=0.29	
	relapse										
Koppelmans et al 2012	Convenience	I-III (100%)	HT: 0%	21 (4.4), ND	Convenience sample		Trial 1: 5.5 (2.2)	Trial 1: 5.9 (2.4)		P=0.008 *	
[42]	Sample		01.100%		All 1.509 women	Learning and memory	Trial 2: 8.6 (2.4)	Trial 2: 9.0 (2.7)		P=0.02 *	
	196 women who				without a history of		Total: 24.3 (6.2)	Total: 25.5 (6.9)	-	P=0.17 P=0.02 *	
The	had been treated				cancer who were 50-	(10 WEI)	Delaved recall: 8.0 (2.9)	Delaved recall: 8.7 (3.2)		P=0.002 *	
Netherlands	for breast cancer				80 years of age at		Recognition: 13.8 (1.8)	Recognition: 13.8 (2.0)		P=0.76	-
	and 1995, were aged 50-80				the time of the assessments, selected from a	Processing speed (LDST)	Total correct: 31.8 (6.7)	Total correct: 32.5 (7.5)	-	P=0.14	_
	years in 2008, did not have				larger population-		Word card: 16.8 (3.3)	Word card: 16.5 (3.7)		D-0.44	
	recurrence or a				Dased Conort.	Stroop color-word test	Color card: 23.3 (4.4)	Color card: 22.2 (4.9)	_	P=0.14 P=0.001 *	
	second primary						Color-word card: 45.8 (12.6)	Color-word card: 43.5 (14.0)		P=0.02 *	Adjusted for age and education (categories of
	never used adjuvant hormone					Verbal fluency (WTF)	Total: 24.1 (6.1) 15sec: 13.8 (4.8)	Total: 24.2 (6.8) 15sec: 13.8 (5.4)	-	P=0.89 P=0.95	models not reported).
	therapy.					Visuospatial (DOT)	Total correct: 28.9 (9.2)	Total correct: 28.9 (9.7)	-	P=0.99	-
							Both hands: 11.1 (1.6)	Both hands: 11.2 (1.8)		P=0.56	-
						Motor speed (PPB)	Dominant hand: 13.8 (1.9)	Dominant hand: 13.8 (2.1)	-	P=0.81	
							Nondominant hand: 12.9 (1.8)	Nondominant hand: 13.4 (2.0)		P=0.001 *	
Kreukels et	Convenience	I-III (100%)	CT: 100%	~ 1	Convenience sample						
al., 2008 [12]	sample		H1:40%		60 Female friends or	TMT-A; Digit Symbol					Cognitive impairment
[]	63 women who had been treated				relatives of the	Word Test; Eriksen Task,					defined as 2 standard
The	with CT for non-				same approximate	Working-Memory	Prevalence of	Prevalence of cognitive			deviations below the
Netherlands	metastatic				age who never had	Updating, CVLT, Visual Reproduction of the	cognitive impairment: 33.3%	impairment: 10%	RR= 5.51 *	95%CI: 1.86-16.28	control group on $\geq$ 3 tests.
	with no history of				age (matching	WMS, AFM Task,					RR adjusted for age and
	psychiatric diseases				method not reported).	IMI-B, Word Fluency, Fepsy Finger Tapping.					premorbid IQ.
Lejbak et al., 2010	Convenience sample	I (100%)	HT: 100% Sra. ND: 83%	3 (1), 2-5	Convenience sample	Immediate verbal memory: List Learning	Mean score (SD):	Mean score (SD)			
---------------------------	--	-----------------------	--------------------------	------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	----------------------	--
[65]	28 post		RT: 67%		37 age-equivalent controls recruited	Story Memory.	List Learning 29.0 (5.1)	List Learning 30.3 (3.8)		P=0.24	
Canada	menopausal women with				through mailed invitations	List Recall, Story Recall.	List Recall	List Recall		P=0.58	
	positive breast					Complex visuomotor	Stary Mamory	Stery Memory			
	cancer, aged 40 and 80 years,					Letter fluency: COWA	17.1 (3.6)	18.4 (3.3)		P=0.15	
	the local cancer registry and					Object location memory task	Story Recall 9.0 (2.3)	Story Recall 9.7 (2.1)		P=0.23	
	oncology centre					Speeded manual dexterity: Grooved Pegboard	Coding 42.9 (9.5)	Coding 49.3 (9.2)	-	P=0.01 *	Higher scores indicate better performance.
						Complex working memory Verbal n-Back	Letter Fluency 40.0 (10.8)	Letter Fluency 44.3 (11.2)		P=0.03 *	
							Object-Location 47.5 (21.1)	Object-Location 44.4 (20.0)		P=0.55	
							Grooved Pegboard 80.9 (17.1)	Grooved Pegboard 67.76 (12.7)		P<0.01 *	
							Verbal n-Back 119.9 (9.7)	Verbal n-Back 123.0 (9.5)		P=0.23	
Miao et al., 2016 [9]	Convenience sample	I-III (100%)	CT: 100%	3 (0.3),	Convenience sample						
China	23 patients with				26 age matched healthy controls	Stroop interference test	Mean score (SD)	Mean score (SD)		P=0.04 *	Higher score in the Stroop
	breast cancer				selected amongst patients relatives and	MoCA	Stroop: 35.04 (8.96)	Stroop: 30.17 (6.49)	-		interference test indicates
	treated with chemotherapy at a local hospital				local universities; matched for age (matching method not reported).		MoCA: 26.00 (1.34)	MoCA: 26.58 (1.74)		P>0.05	worse performance.
Myers et	Convenience	I (26%)	CT: 100%	1-2	Convenience sample		Mean score (SD):	Mean score (SD):		P<0.05 *	
al., 2015 [66]	sample	II (47%) III (14%)	RT: 71.2% HT: 49.4%		46 healthy controls	FACT-COG,	PCI: 48.6 (17.2) PCA: 17.6 (7.2)	PCI: 61.1 (9.4) PCA: 19.1 (8.8)	-	P>0.05	
United C States 2 n	cancer patients	IV (5%)		2-5	recruited using flyers	Perceived cognitive impairments (PCI)	PCI: 41.7 (18.3) PCA: 15.9 (6.8)	PCI: 61.1 (9.4) PCA <sup>:</sup> 19 1 (8.8)	-	P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	Higher scores indicate
	recruited across 24 states using newsletters and flvers		-	>5	-	Perceived cognitive abilities (PCA)	PCI: 50.4 (18.2) PCA: 19.0 (6.9)	PCI: 61.1 (9.4) PCA: 19.1 (8.8)	-	P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	

\_

\_

-

Nguyen et C. al., 2013 sa [39] 55 United su States br ag ye re th re	Convenience sample 57 women survivors of oreast cancer, aged over 65 years, without ecurrence, ecruited from he cancer registry	I-IIIA (100%)	RT: 53% CT: 100%	>10	Convenience sample 30 healthy female adults, selected in the community for a previous study	Intelligence and mental status WASI; Wide Range Achievement Test-III reading subtest; Folstein mini mental state examination. Attention and working memory Digit Span, Letter- Number Sequencing, and Arithmetic subtests (WAIS-III) Psychomotor speed TMT-A. Language COWA; Boston Naming Test Visuospatial BCET-Conv Condition:	WASI Vocabulary: 64.5 (7.8) Block design: 33.9 (12.3) Similarities: 36.8 (4.8) Matrix design: 20.6 (6.5) Wide Range Achievement Test-III Reading: 48.1 (4.7) Digit span: 15.5 (3.4) Letter–Number Seq 9.1 (2.1) Arithmetic total 12.4 (2.8) TMT A time: 37.8 (8.9) B-time: 97.0 (35.5) COWA: 39.4 (15.1)	WASI Vocabulary: 63.7 (6.9) Block design: 34.8 (11.9) Similarities: 36.6 (3.0) Matrix design: 21.8 (6.8) Wide Range Achievement Test-III Reading: 50.2 (5.0) Digit span: 16.9 (4.4) Letter–Number Seq 11.0 (2.0) Arithmetic total 13.7 (3.2) TMT A time: 29.3 (8.7) B-time: 72.4 (26.6) COWA: 38.8 (11.1)	P<0.05 *: Letter–Number Seq; Trail making test A and B; Boston naming test; Rey– Osterrieth Complex Figure; Pentre Viewer
						Language COWA; Boston Naming Test Visuospatial RCFT-Copy Condition; Benton Facial; Recognition Test. Memory AVLT; RCFT-Delay Condition. Benton Visual Retention Test-Revised. Executive functioning Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. TMT-B.	Animmetic total 12.4 (2.8) TMT A time: 37.8 (8.9) B-time: 97.0 (35.5) COWA: 39.4 (15.1) Boston Naming Test 57.0 (2.5) Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Copy: 33.3 (2.0) Delay: 15.9 (5.1) Benton Faces total 44.4 (3.4) Benton Visual Retention Test total 5.3 (2.2) Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test Total: 48.6 (8.3) Delay: 10.2 (2.6) IED: 3.2 (4.2) Wisconsin: Perseverative: 12.5 (6.9) Errors: 11.0 (5.7) Categories: 2.9 (1.6)	Animmetic total 13.7 (3.2) TMT A time: 29.3 (8.7) B-time: 72.4 (26.6) COWA: 38.8 (11.1) Boston Naming Test 56.1 (3.0) Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Copy: 32.0 (2.9) Delay: 15.6 (5.6) Benton Faces total 45.5 (3.8) Benton Visual Retention Test total 4.4 (2.8) Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test Total: 49.4 (8.7); Delay: 10.6 (2.3). IED: 1.3 (1.0) Wisconsin: Perseverative: 16.6 (12.2) Errors: 14.9 (11.0) Categories: 5.0 (1.9)	Seq; Trail making test A and B; Boston naming test; Rey– Osterrieth Complex Figure; Benton Visual Retention; Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning; IED; Wisconsin Card Sorting categories. P>0.05 All other tests.

Root et al.,	Convenience	I (58%)	Srg, C: 75%	4.2 (1.2)	Convenience sample		Mean score (SD)	Mean score (SD):			
2015 [17] United States	sample 113 women aged <70 years who had breast cancer, post-menopausal at diagnosis, with no recurrence, no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses.	II`(0%)́ III (33%) IV (8%)	Srg, M: 32% CT: 52% RT: 78% HT: 52%		37 health women with no history of cancer or cancer treatment, post- menopausal, with no neurological or psychiatric diagnoses; matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	FACT-COG	Memory: 20.4 (5.9) Verbal 18.5 (4.8) Concentration 12.4 (3.2) Mental acuity 12.0 (3.4) QoL impact 13.7 (3.0) PCI: 56.5 (12.7) PCA: 19.5 (6.3)	Memory: 23.5 (3.2) Verbal: 19.2 (3.6) Concentration: 13.6 (2.4) Mental acuity: 13.4 (2.0) QoL impact: 14.3 (2.4) PCI: 59.4 (8.3) PCA: 22.7 (4.5)	-	P=0.003 * P=0.42 P=0.04 * P=0.02 * P=0.27 P=0.20 P=0.005 *	-
Silverman et al., 2007 [67] United States	Convenience sample 24 women who had breast cancer and were right handed.	ND	CT+HT: 52% CT: 24%	ND (ND), 5-10	Convenience sample 10 healthy controls who had undergone PET studies before, free of cognitive impairments.	RCFT- recall test	Mean (SD): 20.6 (4.8)	Mean (SD): 23.8 (6.3)	-	P>0.05	Lower scores represent worse functioning.
Von Ah et al., 2009 [44]	Convenience sample	I-II (50%) III (ND)	Srg, C: 66% Srg, M: 33% CT: 55.8%	4.6 (2.8), 1.2-15.8	Convenience sample 52 women aged ≥40	Memory: AVLT	Sum recall: 48.5 (7.2) Delayed recall: 9.6 (2.8)	Sum recall: 52.4 (8.1) Delayed recall: 10.9 (2.8)		P=0.01 *	-
United	52 women aged ≥40 vears, who		RT: 80.8% HT: 79%		years, with no history of cancer no history of	Attention: Digit span (WAIS-III)	17.8 (4.0)	17.7 (4.1)		P=0.89	-
States	had breast cancer, recruited from cancer				psychiatric illnesses, recruited from advertisements in	Attention: Symbol digit modalities test	53.6 (8.2)	54.1 (10.4)	-	P=0.79	-
	support groups,				churches and	Executive function: COWA	38.2 (10.9)	42.2 (12.4)		P=0.08	-
	in the community centres, or by referral of enrolled participants.				by referral of enrolled participants; individual matching for age (±5 years) and education (±3 years).	Subjective memory function: Squire SRS	92.9 (17.9)	102.9 (22.6)	-	P=0.01 *	-

ACTT = Auditory Consonant Trigrams Test [68]; AFM = Additive factors method task [69]; AVLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test [70]; BC = breast cancer; BLT = Brown Learning Test [71]; CCAP - California Computerized Assessment Package [72]; CNS-VS = CNS vital signs battery [73, 74]; COWA = Controlled Oral Word Association [75]; CPT = Continuous Performance test [76]; CT = chemotherapy; CVLT = California Verbal Learning Test [77]; D-KEFS = Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System [78]; DOT = Design organization test [79]; EORTC-QLQ-CF = the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer [80]; FACT-COG = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy for Cognition [81]; HSCS = High Sensitivity Cognitive Screen [82]; HT = hormone therapy; HVLT-R = Hopkins verbal learning test revised [83]; IT = immunotherapy; LDST = Letter Digit Substitution Test [84]; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment Test [85]; Multifactorial Memory Questionnaire Ability Scale [86]; ND = not defined; OR = odds ratio; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test [87]; PCA = Perceived cognitive abilities; PCI = Perceived cognitive impairments; PPB = Purdue Pegboard test [88]; PR = prevalence ratio; RCFT = Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test, Copy Condition [89-91]; RT = radiotherapy; RWT = Regensburg word fluency test [92]; SD = standard deviation; Srg, C = Breast conserving surgery; Srg, ND = Surgery, not further specified; Srg, M = Mastectomy; Srg, R = Breast reconstructive surgery; SRS = Squire self-report scale [93]; TAP = Test of Attentional Performance [94]; TMT-A = Trail Making Test-A [95]; TMT-B = Trail Making Test-B [95]; WAIS-III = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III [96]; WASI = Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [97]; 15-WLT = 15-Word Learning Test [98]; WMS-R = Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised [99]; WRAT = Wide Range Achievement Test [100]; WTF = Word Fluency Test [101]; yrs = years; 95%CI = 95% confidence interval.

\* There was some statistical evidence (P<0.05) for a different prevalence, risk or severity of anxiety between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

+ Prevalence ratio calculated by the authors of the present study.

**Supplementary Table 6.** Sexual dysfunction: main characteristics and results of the studies that provided data on the frequency and/or severity of sexual dysfunction in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

First author, year of	•	Breast can	cer survivors		Comparison group	Outcome assessment	Prevalence / cumulative outcom	e incidence of the e	Relative risk estimate (RR, OR, SIR, PR)	P-value or 95% confidence interval	Notes
publication Country	Type of population and main characteristics	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments: %	Time since diagnosis/ treatment in years: mean/ median (SD), range	Type of population and main characteristics		Breast cancer survivors	Comparison group			
Cross-section	onal studies										
Boehmer et al., 2014 [102]	Convenience sample 85 lesbian or	0 (16.5%) I (28.2%) II (37.7%) III (8.2%)	Srg C: 41.2% Srg, M: 40.0% CT: 61.2% RT: 58.8%	4.5 (2.3), 1-10	Convenience sample 85 lesbian or bisexual women	Scale: FSFI	Prevalence: 52.5%	Prevalence: 4.3%	All women: OR=1.44 Sexually active:	All women: 95%Cl: 0.72-2.90 Sexually active:	
United States	bisexual breast cancer survivors, with no metastatic breast cancer or	Unknown (9.4%)	HT: 45.9%		with no history of cancer, not using hormone replacement	Scale: FSFI Overall score	Mean score (SD): 24.0 (7.2)	Mean score (SD): 26.0 (5.3)	OR=1.79 -	95%CI 0.78-4.07 P=0.08	40% of the cases and
	recruited via				via flyers	Subscales: Desire	4.3 (2.0)	5.7 (2.2)	-	P<0.01 *	31% of the controls
	advertisements,				advertisements,	Arousal	13.2 (5.3)	14.9 (4.5)	-	P=0.07	were sexually inactive.
	flyers, and other				etc.; individual	Lubrication	13.5 (6.0)	11.6 (1.1)	-	P=0.03 *	_
	promotional materials distributed				matching for age	Orgasm	11.1 (4.1)	12.6 (3.2)	-	P=0.04 *	_
	online and in print media (<5% had cancer recurrence).				(± 3 years) and partner status (partnered vs. unpartnered).	Satisfaction	11.0 (3.2)	11.8 (3.2)	-	P=0.22 P=0.03 *	-
Safarinejad et al., 2013	Convenience sample	l (62.4%) ll (37.6%)	Srg, C: 100% Srg, M: 0%	2.4 (ND), >1	Convenience sample	Scale: FSFI	Prevalence of dysfunction: 52.5%	Prevalence: of dysfunction:	PR=1.81 * †	95%CI: 1.40-2.34	
[103] Iran (continues)	186 women cancer survivors aged 25- 45, with BMI<30 ko/m². in a		C1: 67.7% RT: 46.2% HT: 79.6%		204 women without cancer aged 25-45 in a relationship, who attempted intercourse weekly		By treatment: RT+CT: 44.6% CT+HT: 46.2% CT+RT+HT: 66.7%	28.7%	By treatment: PR= 1.55 * PR= 1.61 * OR= 8.2 *	By treatment: 95%Cl: 1.13-1.98 95%Cl: 1.32-1.90 95%Cl: 6.5-14.2	<sup>-</sup> Odds ration adjusted
()	relationship and attempted intercourse weekly, with no breast				in same geographical area of cases, with BMI<30kg/m <sup>2</sup> , no	Desire	An treatments. 41.9% By treatment: RT+CT: 33% CT+HT: 42% CT+RT+HT: 53%	28.0%	By treatment: OR= 1.8 OR= 3.6 * OR= 4.7 *	95%CI: 1.13-1.98 By treatment: 95%CI: 0.9-2.2 95%CI: 2.6-6.8 95%CI: 2.8-8.7	for age, body mass index, occupational status, educational level, smoking history,
	no other cancer, no				relationship	Arousal	All treatments: 33.9%		PR=1.36 †	95%CI: 0.99-1.85	<ul> <li>serum normonal levels, tumour stage and</li> </ul>
no ot psycl relati distu diatu	no other cancer, no psychopathology, no relationship disturbances, no diabetes or cardiac,				disturbances, no diabetes or cardiac, renal, neurological, or liver disease,		By treatment: RT+CT: 31% CT+HT: 30% CT+RT+HT: 50%	25.0%	By treatment: OR= 1.6 OR= 1.5 OR= 4.2 *	By treatment: 95%Cl: 0.8-2.8 95%Cl: 0.8-2.8 95%Cl: 2.6-8.2	grading. The categorization of the variables included in the model were not
	renal, neurological, or liver disease, among others; identified from the cancer registry.				among others, recruited from a private clinic; matched for age (matching method not reported).	Orgasm	All treatments: 41.9% By treatment: RT+CT: 33% CT+HT: 41% CT+RT+HT: 56%	29.0%	PR=1.44 * † By treatment: OR= 2.1 OR= 3.2 * OR= 5.2 *	95%Cl: 1.10-1.90 By treatment: 95%Cl: 0.8-3.4 95%Cl: 2.4-7.1 95%Cl: 3.7-10.2	reported.

Safarinejad et al., 2013 [103] Iran	Convenience sample 186 women cancer survivors aged 25- 45. with BMI<30	l (62.4%) ll (37.6%)	Srg, C: 100% Srg, M: 0% CT: 67.7% RT: 46.2% HT: 79.6%	2.4 (ND), >1	Convenience sample 204 women without cancer aged 25-45 in a	Pain	All treatments: 39.2% By treatment: RT+CT: 31% CT+HT: 36% CT+RT+HT: 59%	Prevalence: 30.0%	PR=1.31 † By treatment: OR= 1.2 OR= 2.2 * OR= 5.6 *	95%CI: 0.99-1.72 By treatment: 95%CI: 0.96-1.8 95%CI: 1.5-3.8 95%CI: 3.2-11.4	Odds ratio adjusted for age, body mass index, occupational status, educational level, smoking history, serum hormonal levels, tumour
(continued)	kg/m <sup>2</sup> , in a relationship and attempted intercourse weekly, with no breast cancer recurrence.				relationship, who attempted intercourse weekly, in same geographical area of cases, with	Lubrication	All treatments: 58.1% By treatment: RT+CT: 56% CT+HT: 55% CT+RT+HT: 61%	31.0%	PR=1.87 * † By treatment: OR= 4.2 * OR= 4.1 * OR= 6.4 *	95%CI: 1.48-2.38 By treatment: 95%CI: 3.4-8.7 95%CI: 3.2-8.4 95%CI: 4.6-12.6	stage and grading. The categorization of the variables included in the model were not reported
	no other cancer, no psychopathology, no relationship disturbances, no diabetes or				BMI<30kg/m <sup>2</sup> , no psychopathology, no relationship disturbances, no diabetes or cardiac, renal.	Satisfaction	All treatments: 53.8% By treatment: RT+CT: 50% CT+HT: 53% CT+RT+HT: 59%	29.0%	PR=1.86 * † By treatment: OR= 3.4 * OR= 3.8 * OR= 5.7 *	95%CI: 1.44-2.39 By treatment: 95%CI: 1.8-5.8 95%CI: 2.2-6.1 95%CI: 3.4-11.4	_
	cardiac, renal, neurological, or liver disease, among others; identified from the cancer registry.				neurological, or liver disease, among others, recruited from a private clinic; matched for age (matching method	Desire	Mean score (95%CI): 3.7 (3.1-4.3) By treatment: RT+CT: 4.4 (3.8-4.7) CT+HT: 3.6 (2.9-4.4) CT+RT+HT: 3.1 (2.6-3.6)	Mean score (95%Cl): 4.8 (3.6-5.6)	-	P<0.05 * By treatment: P>0.05 P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	
					not reported).	Arousal	4.0 (3.3-4.3) By treatment: RT+CT: 4.4 (3.6-4.6) CT+HT: 4.3 3.6-4.6() CT+RT+HT: 3.3 (2.7-3.7)	4.9 (3.5-5.4)	-	P<0.05 * By treatment: P>0.05 P>0.05 P<0.05 *	_
						Lubrication	2.8 (2.4-3.3) By treatment: RT+CT: 3.1 (2.6-3.6) CT+HT: 3.1 (2.6-3.5) CT+RT+HT: 2.4 (1.9-2.8)	5.1 (3.5-5.8)	-	P<0.05 * By treatment: P<0.05 * P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	<ul> <li>Women who had RT+CT+HT reported more sexual dysfunction problems than women who had RT+CT for all domains,</li> </ul>
						Orgasm	3.7 (3.1-4.1) By treatment: RT+CT: 4.3 (3.6-4.7) CT+HT: 3.6 (3.1-3.9) CT+RT+HT: 3.2 (2.7-3.6)	4.7 (3.8-5.8)	-	P<0.05 * By treatment: P>0.05 P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	<ul> <li>and more impairments than women who had CT+HT for arousal, lubrication, satisfaction and pain.</li> </ul>
						Satisfaction	3.3 (2.9-3.7) By treatment: RT+CT: 3.4 (3.0-3.9) CT+HT: 3.5 (3.1-4.0) CT+RT+HT: 2.9 (2.5-3.3)	5.1 (3.7-5.7)	-	P<0.05 * By treatment: P<0.05 * P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	_
						Pain	4.6 (3.8-4.7) By treatment: RT+CT: 4.9 (4.5-5.0) CT+HT: 4.4 (4.1-4.6) CT+RT+HT: 3.1 (2.7-3.5)	5.1 (3.8-5.5)	-	P>0.05 By treatment: P>0.05 P<0.05 * P<0.05 *	_

Claus et al., 2006 [41] United States	Population-based All 795 women in Connecticut diagnosed with DCIS in 1994- 1998. with history	0 (100%)	Srg, C: 35.5% Srg, M: 14.0%	5.8 (1.0), ND	Population based 702 women selected by random-digit- dialling methods, with no history of	Scale: MOS-SFS, Lack of interest	Prevalence: 27.9% By treatment: Srg, C: 25.6% Srg, C + RT: 31.0% Srg, M: 22.6%	Prevalence: 22.3%	PR= 1.25 * † By treatment: PR= 1.15 † PR= 1.39 * † PR= 1.01 †	95%Cl: 1.05-1.49 By treatment: 95%Cl: 0.90-1.46 95%Cl: 1.14-1.70 95%Cl: 0.70-1.47	
	of invasive breast cancer				DCIS or invasive breast cancer; frequency matched by age (± 5 years) and geography.	Unable to relax	Prevalence: 19.2% By treatment: Srg, C: 20.1% Srg, C + RT: 18.6% Srg, M: 18.7%	Prevalence:12.8%	PR=1.50 * † By treatment: PR= 1.57 * † PR= 1.45 * † PR= 1.46 †	95%CI: 1.16-1.91 By treatment: 95%CI: 1.16-2.12 95%CI: 1.10-1.93 95%CI: 0.95-2.25	- Cut-off for case:
						Difficulty with arousal	Prevalence: 23.0% By treatment: Srg, C: 25.6% Srg, C + RT: 22.3% Srg, M: 18.7%	Prevalence:15.2%	PR=1.51 * † By treatment: PR= 1.68 * † PR= 1.47 * † PR= 1.23 †	95%Cl: 1.22-1.88 By treatment: 95%Cl: 1.29-2.19 95%Cl: 1.14-1.89 95%Cl: 0.80-1.87	<ul> <li>"somewhat of a problem" or "very much of a problem".</li> </ul>
						Difficulty with orgasm	Prevalence: 20.4% By treatment: Srg, C: 21.3% Srg, C + RT: 20.8% Srg, M: 16.8%	Prevalence:14.8%	PR=1.38 * † By treatment: PR= 1.44 * † PR= 1.41 * † PR= 1.14 †	95%CI: 1.10-1.73 By treatment: 95%CI: 1.08-1.92 95%CI: 1.08-1.83 95%CI: 0.72-1.78	-
Broeckel et al., 2002 [40]	Convenience sample	l (26%) ll (62%) lll (10%)	Srg, C: 50% Srg, M: 47% CT: 100%	7.7 (2.3), 5.2- 15.2	Convenience sample	Scale: MOS-SFS Overall	Mean score (SD): 1.95 (1.05)	Mean score (SD): 1.50 (0.70)	-	P≤0.01 *	Sexual dysfunction
United	survivors who had	Unknown (2%)	RT: 71% HT: 48%		61 women with no history of cancer	Interest	2.06 (1.16)	1.67 (0.83)		P≤0.05 *	positively correlated with vaginal dryness in
States	partner, free of				who had a spouse or partner,	Enjoyment	1.72 (0.94)	1.38 (0.74)	-	P≤0.01 *	breast cancer survivors.
	years, with no known neurological				recruited among the friends of the women who had	Arousal	1.87 (1.08)	1.40 (0.83)	-	P≤0.01 *	_
	disorder, and no history of other cancer.				breast cancer; individual matching for age (± 6 years).	Orgasm	1.78 (1.01)	1.44 (0.80)	-	P≤0.05 *	-
Rubino et al., 2007 [10]	Convenience sample 33 consecutive	ND	Srg, M: 100% Srg, R: 100%	ND (ND), >1	Convenience sample 33 healthy women,						
Italy	patients who had had breast- reconstruction after mastectomy, in 2001-2002, in one hospital.				randomly selected amongst the personnel of the local university.	Psychiatric interview	Prevalence: 18.5%	Prevalence: 9.1%	PR=2.03 †	95%CI: 0.19-21.26	-

Vazquez- Ortiz et al., 2010 [104]	Convenience sample	l (13.3%) ll (60.0%) lll-A	Srg, M: 100%	ND (ND), 2-5	Convenience sample	Scale: SAI-E Arousal	Mean score (SD): 68.5 (23.9)	Mean score (SD): 72.6 (23.7)	-	P=0.690	
Spain	30 women aged 25-59 years who had mastectomy	(26.7%)			30 women without breast cancer aged 25-59	Scale: SAI-E Satisfaction	Mean score (SD): 72.3 (23.3)	Mean score (SD): 76.9 (23.9)	-	P=0.524	-
	≥1 year ago, were free of disease, in				assistants to talks and workshops	Scale: WSQ Sex frequency					
	a stable				about woman's	per month: 0	10.0%	3.3%	PR=3.33 †	95%CI: 0.33-33.27	
	relationship, able				have an	1-3	20.0%	13.3%	PR=1.50 †	95%CI: 0.47-4.80	
	to read and write				incapacitating or	4-6	33.3%	30.0%	PR=1.11 †	95%CI: 0.53-2.34	
	and with no				severe disease.	7-9	13.3%	20.0%	PR=0.67 †	95%CI: 0.21-2.12	
	psychiatric					>9	23.3%	33.3%	PR=0.70 †	95%CI: 0.31-1.59	
	treatment in the last 10 years					Orgasm frequency					
	recruited from					during sex					
	hospitals.					Never (0%)	7.1%	3.3%	PR=2.15 †	95%CI: 0.21-22.11	
						Sometimes (1-29%)					
							14.3%	10.0%	PR=1.43 †	95%CI: 0.36-5.72	
						Often (30-58%)					-
							17.9%	23.3%	PR=0.77 †	95%CI: 0.28-2.10	
						Most of the time					
						(60-89%)	21.4%	16.7%	PR=1.28 †	95%CI: 0.45-3.67	
						Almost always					
						(90-100%)	39.3%	46.7%	PR=0.84 †	95%CI: 0.47-1.51	

BC = breast cancer; CT = chemotherapy; FSFI = Female Sexual Functioning Index [105];

HT = hormone therapy; MOS-SFS = MOS Sexual Functioning Scale [106]; ND = not defined; PR = prevalence ratio; RT = radiotherapy; SAI-E = Sexual Arousal and Satisfaction Scale - Expanded [107]; SD = standard deviation; Srg, C = Breast conserving surgery; Srg, M = Mastectomy; Srg, R = Breast reconstructive surgery; WSQ = Women's Sexuality Questionnaire [108].

\* There was some statistical evidence (P<0.05) for a different prevalence, risk or severity of anxiety between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

+ Prevalence ratio calculated by the authors of the present study.

**Supplementary Table 7.** Other outcomes: characteristics and results of the studies that provided data on the frequency and/or severity of bipolar disorders, obsessive-compulsive problems, post-traumatic stress, sleep-wake disturbances, somatization and suicide in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and women who did not have cancer.

First author, year of Type of		Breast cance	er survivors		Comparison group	Outcome assessment	Prevalence / cum of the o	ulative incidence outcome	Relative risk estimate	P-value or 95% confidence	Notes
year of publication	Type of population and main	Stage at diagnosis (%)	Breast cancer treatments (%)	Time since diagnosis/ treatment in	Type of population and main		Breast cancer survivors	Comparison group	(RR, OR, SIR, PR)	interval	
	characteristics			years: mean/ median (SD), range	characteristics						
Bipolar diso	rder										
Hung et al., 2013 [2]	Population-based	All	ND	2.7 (ND), ND-7	Population-based	EHR, recorded in the Registry					
Taiwan	26,629 women with no prior mood disorder and cancer, with primary breast cancer registered in the National Health Insurance Database in 2000-2005.			(median follow up years for breast cancer survivors: 2.7; for matched cohort: 3.21)	26,629 women randomly selected from 1 million women with no history of breast cancer in the same database; individual matching for age and Charlson comorbidity score (categories of	for Catastrophic Illness with an ICD-9-CM code for anxiety (ICD-9-CM codes: 296.0X- 296.1X, 296.4X-296.8X)	Cumulative incidence: 0.3%	Cumulative incidence: 0.1%	RR=2.06 *	95%Cl: 1.37-3.15	Approximate cumulative incidence values estimated from the graphics provided in the original study. P value for the log-rank test comparing the Kaplan-Meier curves: P<0.001
				2	matching not		0.3%	0.1%	RR=3.0 * †	95%CI: 2.56-3.39	_
				4	reported).		0.4%	0.2%	RR=2.0 * †	95%CI: 1.82-2.19	_
Obsessive-	compulsive problems			0			0.070	0.070	111-2.0	337001. 1.00-2.10	
Amir et al., 2002 [13] Israel	Convenience sample 39 women free of cancer symptoms for ≥3 years and not under active treatment, identified in 2 hospitals.	l (46%) II (46%) III (8%)	Srg, C: 20% Srg, M: 80% CT: 66% RT: 41% HT: 46%	6.5 (ND), ≥5	Convenience sample 39 women without any life-threatening disease, recruited by unknown methods; matched for age and education; matched for age and education (method of matching not reported)	Scale: SCL-90	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.92 (0.70)	SCL-90 mean score (SD): 0.68 (0.42)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher SCL-90 scores indicate more obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Women who had breast cancer and PTSD symptoms had more obsessive- compulsive problems than those who did not have PTSD symptoms (P<0.01).
Post-trauma	atic stress										
Gurevich et al., 2004 [109]	Convenience sample 66 women with a good	Local (61%) Regional (30,5%)	Srg: 96.6% CT: 48% BT: 71%	6.6 (4.5), ≥1	Convenience sample	Scale: SASRQ	SASRQ mean scores (SD): 1 07 (1 05)	SASRQ mean scores (SD): 0 45 (0 80)		P<0.0001 *	
Canada	working knowledge of English ≥1 year post breast cancer treatments with negative mammography before.	Distant (2%) Unknown (11%)	HT: 48%		undergoing surveillance mammography in the same hospital.	Re-experiencing Avoidance Arousal Impairment Total acute stress	1.23 (1.25) 1.34 (1.21) 1.96 (1.40) 1.29 (1.30) 1.37 (1.05)	0.58 (0.95) 0.83 (1.17) 1.00 (1.21) 0.66 (1.10) 0.69 (0.91)	-	P<0.001 * P<0.02 * P<0.0001 * P<0.003 * P<0.0001 *	-

Voigt et al., 2016 [110] Germany	Convenience sample 150 women aged 18- 65 years, newly diagnosed with breast cancer at recruitment, with no history of psychotic disorders	0 (7%) I (42%) II (41.4%) IIIc (%9.6)	Srg, M: 26% Srg, C: 74% CT: 58%	~1	Convenience sample 56 women aged 18- 65 years, who never had cancer, who attended the same institution as cases for breast imagining and did not require further tests	SCID, number of PTSD symptoms	Prevalence of PTSD related to BC: 2.0% Prevalence of PTSD related to stressors other than BC: 0.7%	Prevalence of PTSD related to stressors other than BC: 0%	PR= 1.51 †	95%CI: 0.17-13.20	Mean number of PTSD symptoms (SD) in breast cancer survivors: 1.7 (2.3); significantly different from the mean number of symptoms in controls (P<0.001).
Yang et al., 2017 [4] Sweden	Population based All 40,849 women diagnosed with an invasive breast cancer at the age of 20-80 years between 2001-2009	ŀΝ	ND	4.5 (4.5), 0-10 (median (IQR) duration of follow up: 4.4 (4.5))	Population based 452,507 women randomly selected from the respondents to the 1990 census	EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for stress-related disorders (F430- 2, F438-9) at in patient or outpatient hospital visits	Cumulative incidence: 0.9%	Cumulative incidence: 0.5%	SIR= 1.77 * By age group: 20-44: SIR= 1.68 * 45-54: SIR= 1.78 * 55-64: SIR= 1.89 * 65-80: SIR= 1.64 *	95%CI: 1.60-1.95 By age group: 95%CI: 1.36-2.08 95%CI: 1.52-2.09 95%CI: 1.56-2.28 95%CI: 1.23-2.19	Standardised incidence ratios were standardised by calendar period (1-year categories), age (5-year categories), and region of residence (North, Stockholm- Gotland, South, Southeast,
				0-0.5 0.5-1 1-2 2-5 5-10			- - - - -	- - - -	SIR= 4.22 * SIR= 2.73 * SIR= 1.72 * SIR= 1.36 * SIR= 0.98	95%CI: 3.44-5.19 95%CI: 2.11-3.52 95%CI: 1.36-2.17 95%CI: 1.14-1.63 95%CI: 0.73-1.32	oppsala-Orebio, westj.
	Population based All 40,849 women diagnosed with an invasive breast cancer at the age of 20-80 years between 2001-2009	0	ND	4.5 (4.5), 0-10 (median (IQR) duration of follow up: 4.4 (4.5)) 0-0.5 0.5-1 1-2 2-5 5-10	Population based 452,507 women randomly selected from the respondents to the 1990 census	EHR, ICD-10 diagnostic codes for stress-related disorders (F430- 2, F438-9) at in patient or outpatient hospital visits	Cumulative incidence: 0.6% - - - - - - - -	Cumulative incidence: 0.5% - - - - - - -	SIR= 1.02           By age group:           20-44: SIR= 0.38           45-54: SIR= 1.06           55-64: SIR= 1.46           65-80: SIR= 1.15           SIR= 2.76 *           SIR= 1.04           SIR= 0.88           SIR= 0.57	95%CI: 0.70-1.50 By age group: 95%CI: 0.09-1.51 95%CI: 0.60-1.87 95%CI: 0.76-2.81 95%CI: 0.76-2.81 95%CI: 0.37-3.56 95%CI: 0.37-3.56 95%CI: 0.20-3.14 95%CI: 0.43-2.51 95%CI: 0.46-1.69 95%CI: 0.18-1.76	
Sleep-wake	disturbances										
Ancoli- Israel et al., 2014 [33]	Convenience sample 44 women who had been newly	l (27.9%) II (39.7%) III (30.9%) Unknown	Srg, C: 45.6% Srg, M: 49.7% CT: 100%	~ 1 (follow up at 1 year after CT)	Convenience sample 35 cancer-free friends of the women	Nocturnal total sleep time	Mean time (SD), hours: 7.01 (0.74)	Mean time (SD), hours: 7.07 (0.66)	-	P>0.05	
United States	breast cancer 1 year before, and scheduled to receive	(1.5%)			cancer, or 'volunteers', with no psychological	Daytime total nap time	Mean time (SD), hours: 0.49 (0.47)	Mean time (SD), hours: 0.36 (0.44)		P=0.63	Sleen measure hy wrist
	≥4 cycles of CT, with no psychological impairments and not receiving RT at recruitment.				impairments at the time of recruitment individual matching for age (±5 years), ethnicity and education (categories of ethnicity and education not reported).	Scale: PSQI	PSQI mean scores (SD): 7.4 (ND)	PSQI mean scores (SD): 5.0 (ND)	-	P=0.02 *	activity, using an actigraph during 72 consecutive hours.

El Rafihi- Ferreira et	Convenience sample	I-II (100%)	Srg, ND: 40% CT: 66%	3.8 (2.8), 1-10	Convenience sample	Scale: PSQI	Prevalence:40%	Prevalence: 50%	PR=0.8 +	95%CI: 0.52-1.24	Cut-off for case: score >5
al., 2011 [111]	50 women with a previous diagnosis of		RT: 54% HT: 77%		50 women without a previous cancer	Cannot get to sleep in 30 min	Prevalence: 42%	Prevalence: 38%	PR= 1.1 †	95%CI: 0.68-1.79	
Brazil	encephalopathies or severe psychiatric disorders. Patients were all disease free				diagnosis, encephalopathies or severe psychiatric disorders.	Wake up in the middle of the night or early morning	40%	22%	PR= 1.82 †	95%Cl: 0.98-3.39	Cut-off for case: reported problems three or more times
	at enronnent.					Get up to use the bathroom	52%	26%	PR= 2.0 * †	95%CI: 1.17-3.43	Worse sleep quality
						Cannot breathe comfortably	8%	8%	PR= 1.0 +	-	<ul> <li>associated with poorer quality of life for the social domain, and domains of physical and</li> </ul>
						Cough or snore loudly	16%	16%	PR= 1.0 †	-	psychological health (P<0.05).
						Feel too cold	4%	6%	PR= 0.67 +	95%CI: 0.12-3.82	<ul> <li>Women who had had breast cancer and had worse quality</li> </ul>
						Feel too hot	36%	14%	PR= 2.57 * +	95%CI: 1.18-5.61	of sleep reported higher
						Pain	14%	20%	PR= 0.70 +	95%CI: 0.29-1.69	compared to those with good
						Sleep medication	12%	16%	PR= 0.75 +	95%Cl: 0.28-2.00	<ul> <li>quality of sleep (SDS mean scores 20.8 (7.12) vs. 16.6 (3.76). P&lt;0.05).</li> </ul>
						Daytime sleepiness	2%	4%	PR= 0.50 +	95%CI: 0.05-5.34	_ (* *))
						<6h of sleep	18%	14%	PR= 1.29 +	95%CI: 0.52-3.18	_
Otte et al., 2010 [43]	Convenience sample	I (ND) II (ND)	Srg, C: 42% Srg, M: 59%	5.6 (2.0), 2-10	Convenience sample	Scale: PSQI Overall score	PSQI mean scores (SD):	PSQI mean scores (SD):	-	P<0.01 *	<ul> <li>Adjusted for race (minority vs. not minority) and menopausal</li> </ul>
United	survivors free of	III (IND)	RT: ND		good health with no	Sleep quality	1.20 (ND)	0.85 (ND)	-	P<0.01 *	<ul> <li>status (pre or post menopausal).</li> </ul>
States	cancer at		HT: 33%		history of breast	Sleep latency	1.39 (ND)	1.00 (ND)	-	P<0.01 *	Determinants sleep-wake
	recruitment, with no history of other cancers and able to				cancer recruited by acquaintance referral, self-referral or from	Sleep disturbance	1.50 (ND)	1.31 (ND)	-	P<0.01 *	disorders in women who had breast cancer: race other than
	speak, read and write				corporative group;	Sleep	0.65 (ND)	0.61 (ND)	-	P=0.70	Caucasian, having hot flashes, poor physical functioning and
	English				individual matching for	Sleep efficiency	0.59 (ND)	0.57 (ND)	-	P=0.77	depression.
					age (10 years).	Sleep duration	0.98 (ND)	0.84 (ND)	-	P=0.03 *	Adjusted for race.
						Daytime dysfunction	0.96 (ND)	0.70 (ND)	-	P<0.01 *	-
Dahl et al., 2011 [8] Norway	Convenience sample 337 tumor free breast cancer survivors treated with radiotherapy during 1998 and 2002 in one hospital.	II (ND) III (ND)	Srg, C: 24% Srg, M: 76% CT: 82% RT: 100% HT: 81%	3.9 (ND), 2.6- 6.9	Convenience sample 1,685 women randomly selected from a population- based sample of women with no history of cancer whose questionnaires had complete data; matched individual matching for age (± 5 years).	Prevalence of regular use of hypnotics	Prevalence: 15%	Prevalence: 4%	PR=3.75 * †	95%CI: 2.65-5.30	Adjusted for level of education, on disability pension and menopausal status.

Von Ah et al., 2012 [45] United States	Convenience sample 62 non-Hispanic African American women diagnosed with non-metastatic breast cancer and able to read and write English, recruited by medical record review and by self-referral.	I-IIB (85.7%) IIIB (14.3%)	Srg, C: 0% Srg, M: 60.3% CT & RT: 54.6% HT: ND	5.0 (2.7), 2-10	Convenience sample 78 African American women with no history of breast cancer, recruited through community advertisements and events.	Scale: PSQI	PSQI mean scores (SD): 9.0 (4.2)	PSQI mean scores (SD): 6.1 (4.0)	-	P=<0.001 *	Mean scores adjusted for age, income, years of education and body mass index.
Somatization	า										
Cohen et al., 2011 [5] Israel	Convenience sample 56 married Israeli Arab breast cancer survivors, post treatment and free of disease recruited from one hospital.	I-III (ND%)	Srg, C: 48.2% Srg, M: 51.8% Srg, R: 12.5% CT: 85.7% HT: 58.9%	4.8 (4.2), 1-17	Convenience sample 66 married and 'healthy' Arab women living in northern Israel, approached in community settings; individual matching for age and education (matching categories not reported).	, Scale: BSI-18	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 2.6 (1.2)	BSI-18 mean score (SD): 1.8 (0.8)	-	P<0.001 *	More somatic symptoms in breast cancer survivors were associated with lower education, religiosity, depression, anxiety, emotional distress and lower body image (P<0.05).
Amir et al., 2002 [13] Israel	39 women free of cancer symptoms for ≥3 years and not under active treatment, identified through two hospitals.	I (46%) II (46%) III (8%)	Srg, C: 20% Srg, M: 80% CT: 66% RT: 41% HT: 46%	6.5 (ND), ≥5	39 women who did not experience any life- threatening disease, recruited by unknown methods; matched for age and education (method of matching not reported).	SCL-90	SCL-90 mean score: 0.92 (0.86)	SCL-90 mean score: 0.51 (0.47)	-	P<0.001 *	Higher SCL-90 scores indicate more somatic symptoms. Women who had breast cancer and reported PTSD symptoms had more somatic symptoms than women who did not have PTSD symptoms: 1.61 (1.06) vs. 0.77 (0.60), P<0.01.
Suicide											
Schairer et al., 2006	Population based	All	ND	8.7 (ND), 1-49	Population-based	Official mortality databases in	Incidence rate: 1.5 per 10,000	Incidence rate: 1.09 per 10,000	SIR= 1.37 *	95%CI: 1.28-1.47	-
[112] Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden, United States	723,810 one-year breast cancer survivors diagnosed between 1953 and 2001.			(mean follow up duration: 7.7 years, range <1 month to 49 years)	General female population in each of the countries	each country. ICD-7 codes: E963 and E970 - 979; ICD-8 and ICD-9: E950 - E959; and ICD- 10: X60 - X84.	person-years Cumulative incidence of suicide by time since diagnosis: 5 yrs: 0.05% 10 yrs: 0.10% 20 yrs: 0.16%	person-years	By country US: SIR= 1.49 * Sweden: SIR= 1.27 Denmark: SIR= 1.25 Finland: SIR= 1.53 * Norway: SIR= 1.40 * By calendar period	By country 95%Cl: 1.32-1.70 * 95%Cl: 1.12-1.45 * 95%Cl: 1.07-1.46 95%Cl: 1.28-1.83 95%Cl: 1.07-1.81 By calendar period	-
(continues)							30 yrs: 0.20%		1953-59: SIR=1.86° 1960-69: SIR=1.72* 1970-79: SIR=1.31* 1980-89: SIR=1.29* 1990-2001: SIR=1.36*	95%CI: 1.20-2.78 95%CI: 1.42-2.07 95%CI: 1.15-1.49 95%CI: 1.15-1.46 95%CI: 1.18-1.57	-

Schairer et al., 2006	Population based	All	ND	8.7 (ND), 1-49	Population-based	Official mortality databases in	Incidence rate: 1.5 per 10,000	Incidence rate: 1.09 per 10,000	By race White: SIR=1.36 *	By race 95%CI: 1.27-1.46	
[112] Denmark	723,810 one-year breast cancer				General female population in each of	each country. ICD-7 codes:	person-years	person-years	Black: SIR=2.88 * Other: SIR=1.02	95%CI: 1.44-5.17 95%CI: 0.44-2.01	-
Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden, United States (continued)	between 1953 and 2001.				the countries	E963 and E970 - 979; ICD-8 and ICD-9: E950 - E959; and ICD- 10: X60 - X84.	cumulative incidence of suicide by time since diagnosis: 5 yrs: 0.05% 10 yrs: 0.10% 20 yrs: 0.16% 30 yrs: 0.20%		By age <40: SIR=1.34 * 40-49: SIR=1.42 * 50-59: SIR=1.50 * 60-69: SIR=1.26 * ≥70: SIR=1.24 *	By age 95%Cl: 1.24-1.62 95%Cl: 1.32-1.71 95%Cl: 1.09-1.47 95%Cl: 1.04-1.48 95%Cl: 1.24-1.62	-
							·		By time since diagnosis, years 1: SIR=1.51 * 2: SIR=1.49 * 3: SIR=1.57 * 4: SIR=1.31 * 5-9: SIR=1.30 * 10-14: SIR=1.28 * 15-19: SIR=1.25 20-24: SIR=1.32 ≥25: SIR=1.35	By time since diagnosis, years 95%CI: 1.25-1.82 95%CI: 1.22-1.82 95%CI: 1.22-1.82 95%CI: 1.02-1.66 95%CI: 1.02-1.66 95%CI: 1.02-1.66 95%CI: 1.07-1.54 95%CI: 0.95-1.62 95%CI: 0.89-1.90 95%CI: 0.82-2.12	
									By stage at diagnosis Local: SIR=1.38 * Regional: SIR=1.55* Distant: SIR=2.11 * Unknown: SIR=1.05*	By stage at diagnosis 95%Cl: 1.24-1.53 95%Cl: 1.34-1.79 95%Cl: 1.16-3.55 95%Cl: 0.73-1.50	Includes only patients from the US, Demark, Finland and Norway.
									By treatment Surgery only SIR= 1.40 * Radiotherapy, no chemotherapy SIR= 1.46 * Chemotherapy, no radiotherapy SIR= 1.12 Radiotherapy and chemotherapy SIR= 1.50 * Other/none/unknown SIR= 1.84 *	By treatment 95%CI: 1.24-1.58 95%CI: 1.27-1.67 95%CI: 0.80-1.55 95%CI: 1.09-2.02 95%CI: 1.14-2.96	Refers to initial course of treatment only; Includes only patients from the US, Demark, Finland and Norway.
									Breast conserving surgery SIR= 1.22 Radical mastectomy SIR= 1.30 *	95%CI: 0.89-1.64 95%CI: 1.04-1.63	US women only, 1983-2001.

Fang et al., 2012 [113]	Population based	All	ND	>1	Population based	ICD-9 codes E950–E959 and			RR adjusted for age at follow-up (≤49 years, 5-yr groups for 50 to
	74,977 women diagnosed with primary breast cancer between 1991 and 2006			(Mean follow up time of the all cancer cohorts was 4.07 years (median 2.65, range 0 to 15.99)	Women not diagnosed with cancer during follow up.	ICD-10 codes X60–X84 and Y870	 RR= 1.6 *	95%Cl: 1.2-2.1	74 yrs, ≥75 yrs), calendar period at follow-up (5-year groups), civil status (cohabitation or non- cohabitation), socioeconomic status (blue-collar, white-collar, self-employed, or unclassified), and education (≥9 years, <9 years, or missing).

BC = breast cancer; BSI-18 = Brief Symptom Inventory-18 [22]; CT = chemotherapy; EHR = electronic health records; HT = hormone therapy; ICD-9-CM = The International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; IRR = incidence rate ratio; ND = not defined; PR = prevalence ratio; PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index [114]; RR = relative risk; RT = radiotherapy; SASRQ = Stanford Acute Stress Reaction Questionnaire [115]; SCL-90 = Somatization subscale of Symptoms Checklist-90 [27]; SD = standard deviation; Srg, C = Breast conserving surgery; Srg, M = Mastectomy.

\* There was some statistical evidence (P<0.05) for a different prevalence, risk or severity of anxiety between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

+ Prevalence ratio calculated by the authors of the present study.

### References

- 1. Hjerl K, Andersen EW, Keiding N, *et al.* Increased incidence of affective disorders, anxiety disorders, and non-natural mortality in women after breast cancer diagnosis: a nation-wide cohort study in Denmark. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2002;105(4):258-64.
- 2. Hung YP, Liu CJ, Tsai CF, *et al.* Incidence and risk of mood disorders in patients with breast cancers in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based study. Psychooncology 2013;22(10):2227-34.
- 3. Khan NF, Ward AM, Watson E, *et al.* Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. Eur J Cancer 2010;46(18):3339-44.
- 4. Yang H, Brand JS, Fang F, *et al.* Time-dependent risk of depression, anxiety, and stress-related disorders in patients with invasive and in situ breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2017;140(4):841-852.
- 5. Cohen M, Mabjish AA, Zidan J. Comparison of Arab breast cancer survivors and healthy controls for spousal relationship, body image, and emotional distress. Qual Life Res 2011;20(2):191-8.
- 6. Boehmer U, Ozonoff A, Potter J. Sexual Minority Women's Health Behaviors and Outcomes After Breast Cancer. LGBT Health 2015;2(3):221-7.
- 7. Calvio L, Peugeot M, Bruns GL, *et al.* Measures of cognitive function and work in occupationally active breast cancer survivors. J Occup Environ Med 2010;52(2):219-27.
- 8. Dahl AA, Nesvold IL, Reinertsen KV, *et al.* Arm/shoulder problems and insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors: cross-sectional, controlled and longitudinal observations. Sleep Med 2011;12(6):584-90.
- 9. Miao H, Li J, Hu S, *et al.* Long-term cognitive impairment of breast cancer patients after chemotherapy: A functional MRI study. Eur J Radiol 2016;85(6):1053-7.
- 10. Rubino C, Figus A, Lorettu L, *et al.* Post-mastectomy reconstruction: a comparative analysis on psychosocial and psychopathological outcomes. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2007;60(5):509-18.
- 11. Boele FW, Schilder CM, de Roode ML, *et al.* Cognitive functioning during long-term tamoxifen treatment in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. Menopause 2015;22(1):17-25.
- 12. Kreukels BP, van Dam FS, Ridderinkhof KR, *et al.* Persistent neurocognitive problems after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2008;8(1):80-7.
- 13. Amir M, Ramati A. Post-traumatic symptoms, emotional distress and quality of life in long-term survivors of breast cancer: a preliminary research. J Anxiety Disord 2002;16(2):195-206.
- 14. Garcia-Torres F, Alos FJ. Identification of different depressive symptoms after mastectomy. Psychooncology 2013;22(12):2857-9.
- 15. Castellon SA, Ganz PA, Bower JE, *et al.* Neurocognitive performance in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy and tamoxifen. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2004;26(7):955-69.
- 16. Weitzner MA, Meyers CA, Stuebing KK, *et al.* Relationship between quality of life and mood in long-term survivors of breast cancer treated with mastectomy. Support Care Cancer 1997;5(3):241-8.
- 17. Root JC, Andreotti C, Tsu L, *et al.* Learning and memory performance in breast cancer survivors 2 to 6 years post-treatment: the role of encoding versus forgetting. J Cancer Surviv 2016;10(3):593-9.
- 18. Conroy SK, McDonald BC, Smith DJ, *et al.* Alterations in brain structure and function in breast cancer survivors: effect of post-chemotherapy interval and relation to oxidative DNA damage. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013;137(2):493-502.
- 19. McDonald BC, Conroy SK, Ahles TA, *et al.* Gray matter reduction associated with systemic chemotherapy for breast cancer: a prospective MRI study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010;123(3):819-28.
- 20. Klein D, Mercier M, Abeilard E, *et al.* Long-term quality of life after breast cancer: a French registry-based controlled study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011;129(1):125-34.
- 21. Saleeba AK, Weitzner MA, Meyers CA. Subclinical psychological distress in long-term survivors of breast cancer: a preliminary communication. Journal of Psychological Oncology 1996;14(1):83-93.
- 22. Derogatis L. *The Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) 18: Administration, scoring, and procedures manual.* Minneapolis, MN: NCS Pearson; 2000.
- 23. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67(6):361-70.
- 24. Hamilton M. The assessment of anxiety states by rating. Br J Med Psychol 1959;32(1):50-5.
- 25. Frojdh K, Hakansson A, Karlsson I. The Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 is a sensitive case-finder of clinically important depressive states in elderly people in primary care. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2004;19(4):386-90.
- 26. Miguel-Tobal JJ, Cano-Vindel AR. *Inventario de Situaciones y Respuestas de Ansiedad. Manual*. Madrid: TEA Ediciones; 1988.
- 27. Derogatis LR. *The SCL-90 manual F: scoring, administration and procedures for the SCL-90.* Baltimore, MD: School of Medicine, Clinical Psychometrics Unit, Johns Hopkins University; 1977.

- 28. Spielberger C, Gorsuch R, Lushene R. *State-trait anxiety inventory manual*. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologist Press; 1970.
- 29. Suppli NP, Johansen C, Christensen J, *et al.* Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide population-based cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011. J Clin Oncol 2014;32(34):3831-9.
- 30. Earle CC, Neville BA, Fletcher R. Mental health service utilization among long-term cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv 2007;1(2):156-60.
- 31. Kim MS, Kim SY, Kim JH, *et al.* Depression in breast cancer patients who have undergone mastectomy: A national cohort study. PLoS One 2017;12(4):e0175395.
- Aerts L, Christiaens MR, Enzlin P, et al. Sexual functioning in women after mastectomy versus breast conserving therapy for early-stage breast cancer: a prospective controlled study. Breast 2014;23(5):629-36.
- 33. Ancoli-Israel S, Liu L, Rissling M, *et al.* Sleep, fatigue, depression, and circadian activity rhythms in women with breast cancer before and after treatment: a 1-year longitudinal study. Support Care Cancer 2014;22(9):2535-45.
- 34. Kesler S, Janelsins M, Koovakkattu D, *et al.* Reduced hippocampal volume and verbal memory performance associated with interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha levels in chemotherapy-treated breast cancer survivors. Brain Behav Immun 2013;30 Suppl:S109-16.
- 35. Bailey EH, Perez M, Aft RL, *et al.* Impact of multiple caregiving roles on elevated depressed mood in early-stage breast cancer patients and same-age controls. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010;121(3):709-18.
- 36. Hermelink K, Buhner M, Sckopke P, *et al.* Chemotherapy and Post-traumatic Stress in the Causation of Cognitive Dysfunction in Breast Cancer Patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017;109(10).
- 37. Lee MK, Park S, Lee ES, *et al.* Social support and depressive mood 1 year after diagnosis of breast cancer compared with the general female population: a prospective cohort study. Support Care Cancer 2011;19(9):1379-92.
- 38. Bizetti Pelai E, Ibde Jaquiel Figueira J, Madia Mantovani A, *et al.* Quality of life, depression and pain in women after breast cancer surgery. Ter Man 2012;10(48):161-167.
- 39. Nguyen CM, Yamada TH, Beglinger LJ, *et al.* Cognitive features 10 or more years after successful breast cancer survival: comparisons across types of cancer interventions. Psychooncology 2013;22(4):862-8.
- 40. Broeckel JA, Thors CL, Jacobsen PB, *et al.* Sexual functioning in long-term breast cancer survivors treated with adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2002;75(3):241-8.
- 41. Claus EB, Petruzella S, Carter D, *et al.* Quality of life for women diagnosed with breast carcinoma in situ. J Clin Oncol 2006;24(30):4875-81.
- 42. Koppelmans V, de Ruiter MB, van der Lijn F, *et al.* Global and focal brain volume in long-term breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;132(3):1099-106.
- 43. Otte JL, Carpenter JS, Russell KM, *et al.* Prevalence, severity, and correlates of sleep-wake disturbances in long-term breast cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage 2010;39(3):535-47.
- 44. Von Ah D, Harvison KW, Monahan PO, *et al.* Cognitive function in breast cancer survivors compared to healthy age- and education-matched women. Clin Neuropsychol 2009;23(4):661-74.
- 45. Von Ah DM, Russell KM, Carpenter J, *et al.* Health-related quality of life of african american breast cancer survivors compared with healthy African American women. Cancer Nurs 2012;35(5):337-46.
- 46. Frazzetto P, Vacante M, Malaguarnera M, *et al.* Depression in older breast cancer survivors. BMC Surg 2012;12 Suppl 1:S14.
- 47. Min SY, Kim HY, Jung SY, *et al.* Oncological safety and quality of life associated with mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction with a latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap. Breast J 2010;16(4):356-61.
- 48. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, *et al.* An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561-71.
- 49. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown G. *Beck Depression Inventory–II: Manual*. San Antonio, Tex: Psychological Corp; 1996.
- Aghakhani A, Chan EK. Test Reviews: Bracken, B. A., & Howell, K. (2004). Clinical Assessment of Depression. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment 2007;25(4):416-422.
- 51. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Applied Psychol Measurement 1977;1:385–401.
- 52. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, *et al.* Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. J Psychiatr Res 1982;17(1):37-49.
- 53. Hamilton M. Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. Br J Soc Clin Psychol 1967;6(4):278-96.

- 54. Gräfe K, Zipfel S, Herzog W, *et al.* Screening psychischer Störungen mit dem "Gesundheitsfragebogen für Patienten (PHQ-D). Ergebnisse der deutschen Validierungsstudie. Diagnostica 2004;50(4):171-181.
- 55. Shin H, Kim C, Park Y, *et al.* Validity of Zung's self-rating depression scale: detection of depression in primary care. J Korean Acad Fam Med 2000;21:1317-30.
- 56. Ahles TA, Saykin AJ, McDonald BC, *et al.* Longitudinal assessment of cognitive changes associated with adjuvant treatment for breast cancer: impact of age and cognitive reserve. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(29):4434-40.
- 57. Collins B, Mackenzie J, Tasca GA, *et al.* Persistent cognitive changes in breast cancer patients 1 year following completion of chemotherapy. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2014;20(4):370-9.
- 58. Fan HG, Houede-Tchen N, Yi QL, *et al.* Fatigue, menopausal symptoms, and cognitive function in women after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: 1- and 2-year follow-up of a prospective controlled study. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(31):8025-32.
- 59. Jenkins V, Shilling V, Deutsch G, *et al.* A 3-year prospective study of the effects of adjuvant treatments on cognition in women with early stage breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2006;94(6):828-34.
- 60. Phillips KM, Jim HS, Small BJ, *et al.* Cognitive functioning after cancer treatment: a 3-year longitudinal comparison of breast cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy or radiation and noncancer controls. Cancer 2012;118(7):1925-32.
- 61. Schagen SB, Muller MJ, Boogerd W, *et al.* Change in cognitive function after chemotherapy: a prospective longitudinal study in breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98(23):1742-5.
- 62. Brezden CB, Phillips KA, Abdolell M, *et al.* Cognitive function in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2000;18(14):2695-701.
- 63. Ernst T, Chang L, Cooray D, *et al.* The effects of tamoxifen and estrogen on brain metabolism in elderly women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94(8):592-7.
- 64. Inagaki M, Yoshikawa E, Matsuoka Y, *et al.* Smaller regional volumes of brain gray and white matter demonstrated in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy. Cancer 2007;109(1):146-56.
- 65. Lejbak L, Vrbancic M, Crossley M. Endocrine therapy is associated with low performance on some estrogen-sensitive cognitive tasks in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2010;32(8):836-46.
- 66. Myers JS, Wick JA, Klemp J. Potential factors associated with perceived cognitive impairment in breast cancer survivors. Support Care Cancer 2015;23(11):3219-28.
- 67. Silverman DH, Dy CJ, Castellon SA, *et al.* Altered frontocortical, cerebellar, and basal ganglia activity in adjuvant-treated breast cancer survivors 5-10 years after chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2007;103(3):303-11.
- 68. Brown J. Some tests of the decay theory of immediate memory. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology 1958;10:12-21.
- 69. Sanders AF. Towards a model of stress and human performance. Acta Psychol (Amst) 1983;53(1):61-97.
- 70. Rey A. L'Examen clinique en psychologie. In. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France; 1964.
- 71. Brown FC, Roth RM, Saykin AJ, *et al.* A new measure of visual location learning and memory: development and psychometric properties for the Brown Location Test (BLT). Clin Neuropsychol 2007;21(5):811-25.
- 72. Miller EN, Satz P, Visscher B. Computerized and conventional neuropsychological assessment of HIV-1infected homosexual men. Neurology 1991;41(10):1608-16.
- 73. Gualtieri CT, Johnson LG. Reliability and validity of a computerized neurocognitive test battery, CNS Vital Signs. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2006;21(7):623-43.
- 74. Gualtieri CT, Johnson LG. A computerized test battery sensitive to mild and severe brain injury. Medscape J Med 2008;10(4):90.
- 75. Lezak MD. Neuropsychological assessment. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
- 76. Gordon M, McClure F, Aylward G. The Gordon Diagnostic System Instruction Manual and Interpretive Guide. In. Dewitt, NY: Gordon Systems; 1986.
- 77. Delis D, Kramer J, Kaplan E, *et al.* California Verbal Learning Test: Adult Version. In. San Antonio, TX; 1987.
- 78. Delis D, Kaplan E, Kramer J. Delis-Kaplan Executive function System. In. San Antonio, TX: The psychological corporation; 1999.
- 79. Killgore WD, Glahn DC, Casasanto DJ. Development and Validation of the Design Organization Test (DOT): a rapid screening instrument for assessing visuospatial ability. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2005;27(4):449-59.
- 80. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, *et al.* The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85(5):365-76.

- Wagner LI, Sweet JJ, Butt Z, et al. Measuring patient self-reported cognitive function: Development of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Cognitive Function Instrument. The Journal of Supportive Oncology 2009;7(6):W32-W39.
- 82. Faust D, Fogel BS. The development and initial validation of a sensitive bedside cognitive screening test. J Nerv Ment Dis 1989;177(1):25-31.
- 83. Brandt J, Benedict R. Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised professional manual. In: Lutz, (ed). FL: Psychological assessment resources; 2001.
- 84. Van der Elst W, Dekker S, Hurks P, *et al.* The letter digit substitution test: demographic influences and regression-based normative data for school-aged children. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2012;27(4):433-9.
- 85. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, *et al.* The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53(4):695-9.
- 86. Troyer AK, Rich JB. Psychometric properties of a new metamemory questionnaire for older adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2002;57(1):P19-27.
- 87. Fischer J, Jak A, Kniker J. Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite Administration and Scoring Manual. In. New York: National Multiple Sclerosis Society; 2001.
- 88. Tiffin J, Asher EJ. The Purdue pegboard; norms and studies of reliability and validity. J Appl Psychol 1948;32(3):234-47.
- 89. Meyers J, Meyers K. Rey-Complex Figure Test under four different administration procedures. The Clinical Neuropsychologist 1995;9:63-67.
- 90. Osterrieth PA. Le Test de Copie d'une figure complex: contribution a l'etude de la perception et de la memoire (The Complex Figure Copy Test). Archives de Psychologie 1944;30:286-356.
- 91. Rey A. L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encephalopathie traumatique (The psychological examination in cases of traumatic brain injury). Archives de Psychologie 1942;28:286-340.
- 92. Aschenbrenner S, Tucha O, Lange K. RWT. Regensburger Wortflussigkeits-Test. In. Gottingen, Germany; 2000.
- 93. Squire LR, Zouzounis JA. Self-ratings of memory dysfunction: different findings in depression and amnesia. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1988;10(6):727-38.
- 94. Zimmermann P, Fimm B. Test of Attentional Performance, version 2.2. In. Herzogenrath, Germany; 2009.
- 95. Army Individual Test Battery: Manual of directions and scoring. In: War Department AGsO, (ed). Washington, DC; 1944.
- 96. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-3rd edition (WAIS-III). In. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corportation; 1997.
- 97. The Psychological Corporation: Weschler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence Scale. In. San Antonio, TX; 1999.
- 98. Kalverboer A, Deelman B. 1986. In; De 15-woordentest A en B. Groningen, the Netherlands, Academisch Ziekenhuis.
- 99. Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R). In. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corportation; 1987.
- 100. Wilkinson G, Robertson G. WRAT4 Wide Range Achievement Test Professional Manual. In. Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc; Futz, FL; 2006.
- 101. Van der Elst W, Van Boxtel MP, Van Breukelen GJ, *et al.* Normative data for the Animal, Profession and Letter M Naming verbal fluency tests for Dutch speaking participants and the effects of age, education, and sex. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2006;12(1):80-9.
- 102. Boehmer U, Ozonoff A, Timm A, *et al.* After breast cancer: sexual functioning of sexual minority survivors. J Sex Res 2014;51(6):681-9.
- 103. Safarinejad MR, Shafiei N, Safarinejad S. Quality of life and sexual functioning in young women with early-stage breast cancer 1 year after lumpectomy. Psychooncology 2013;22(6):1242-8.
- 104. Vazquez-Ortiz J, Antequera R, Picabia AB. Ajuste Sexual e imagen corporal en mujeres mastectomizadas por cancer de mama. Psicooncologia 2010;7(2-3):433-451.
- 105. Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, *et al.* The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): a multidimensional selfreport instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. J Sex Marital Ther 2000;26(2):191-208.
- 106. Sherbourne CD. Social functioning: sexual problems measures. In: Stewart AL, Ware JE, (eds). *Measuring Functioning and Well-Being*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 1992, 194-204.
- 107. Aluja A, Torrobuia R, Gallart D. Validacion espanola del autoinforme de ansiedad y excitacion sexual ampliado (SAI-E). Rev Psiquiatr Fac Med Barc 1990;27(6):252-68.
- 108. Chambless DL, DeMarco D. Women's Sexuality Questionnaire. In: 3rd, (ed). *Handbook of Sexuality-Related Measures*. New York, US: Routledge; 2011, 263-267.

- 109. Gurevich M, Devins GM, Wilson C, *et al.* Stress response syndromes in women undergoing mammography: a comparison of women with and without a history of breast cancer. Psychosom Med 2004;66(1):104-12.
- 110. Voigt V, Neufeld F, Kaste J, *et al.* Clinically assessed posttraumatic stress in patients with breast cancer during the first year after diagnosis in the prospective, longitudinal, controlled COGNICARES study. Psychooncology 2017;26(1):74-80.
- 111. El Rafihi-Ferreira R, Nogueira Pires ML, Zoega Soares MR. Sleep, quality of life and depression in women in breast cancer post-treatment. Psicologia: Reflexao e Critica 2011;25(3):506-513.
- 112. Schairer C, Brown LM, Chen BE, *et al.* Suicide after breast cancer: an international population-based study of 723,810 women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98(19):1416-9.
- 113. Fang F, Fall K, Mittleman MA, *et al.* Suicide and cardiovascular death after a cancer diagnosis. N Engl J Med 2012;366(14):1310-8.
- 114. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, *et al.* The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28(2):193-213.
- 115. Cardena E, Koopman C, Classen C, et al. Review of the Stanford Acute Stress Reaction Questionnaire. In: Stamm B, (ed). *Measurement of stress, trauma and adaptation*. Lutherville, MD: Sidran Press; 1996.

## 11.2 Appendix 2 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 5

Carreira H, Williams R, Strongman H, Bhaskaran K Identification of mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases in the UK: a systematic review BMJ Open. 2019 Jul 2;9(7):e029227

### Contents

Supplementary appendix 1.	MEDLINE and EMBASE search expression.
Supplementary appendix 2.	Template email sent to corresponding authors of the studies for which a list of codes was not provided in the publication.
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 1. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>anxiety</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 2. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>depression</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	<b>table 3.</b> Main characteristics of the eligible studies: composite outcomes, <u>anxiety and depression</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 4. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>dementia</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	<b>table 5.</b> Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>fatigue</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 6. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: pain.
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 7. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: sexual dysfunction.
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 8. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>sleep</u> <u>disorder</u> .
Supplementary appendix 3,	table 9. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: <u>fatal</u> and non-fatal self-harm.
Supplementary appendix 4,	table 1. List of Read codes used in the studies of anxiety.
Supplementary appendix 4,	table 2. ICD codes used in the studies of anxiety.
Supplementary appendix 4,	<b>table 3</b> . List of Read codes used in the studies of <u>depression</u> .
Supplementary appendix 4,	table 4. ICD codes used in the studies of depression.
Supplementary appendix 4,	<b>table 5.</b> List of Read codes used in the studies of composite outcomes of <u>anxiety and</u> depression.

Supplementary appendix 4, table 6. List of Read codes used in the studies of cognitive impairment.

Supplementary appendix 4, table 7. ICD codes used in the studies of dementia.

Supplementary appendix 4, table 8. List of Read codes used in the studies of fatigue.

Supplementary appendix 4, table 9. List of Read codes used in the studies of pain.

- Supplementary appendix 4, table 10. List of Read codes used in the studies of <u>male</u> <u>sexual dysfunction</u>.
- Supplementary appendix 4, table 11. List of Read codes used in the studies of <u>sleep</u> <u>disorder</u>.
- Supplementary appendix 4, table 12. List of Read codes used in the studies of <u>fatal</u> and non-fatal self-harm.

Supplementary appendix 4, table 13. ICD codes used in the studies of <u>fatal self-harm</u>.

## Supplementary Appendix 1.

#### MEDLINE search expression, applied via OVID, 28 June 2018

1. CPRD.mp.

- 2. Clinical Practice Research.mp.
- 3. GPRD.mp.
- 4. General Practice Research Database.mp.
- 5. The Health Improvement Network.mp.
- 6. QRESEARCH.mp.
- 7. DIN-LINK.mp.
- 8. VAMP.mp.

9. Value Added Information Medical.mp.

- 10. (THIN adj1 (database or dataset or data)).mp.
- 11. (Read adj1 (term\* or code# or codification)).mp.
- 12. (diagnostic adj1 (term\* or code#)).mp.
- 13. Disease Analyzer.mp.
- 14. Primary care clinical informatics unit.mp.

15. PCCIU.mp.

16. (optimum patient care adj4 data\*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 17. OPCRD.mp.
- 18. health information network.mp.
- 19. health improvement network.mp.
- 20. Q research.mp.

21. (ResearchOne or (Research One adj2 data\*)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

22. Doctors Independent Network.mp.

23. SAIL.mp.

24. (SAIL adj4 data\*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

25. mediplus.mp.

26. ((general practice or primary care or primary health care) adj4 data\*).mp.

27. longitudinal patient database.mp.

28. ((EHR or eletronic health record\*) adj4 data\*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 29. health care database\*.mp.
- 30. exp "mental disorders"/
- 31. exp "behavior and behavior mechanisms"/
- 32. exp "behavioral disciplines and activities"/
- 33. exp Psychological Phenomena/
- 34. exp fatigue/
- 35. exp pain/

36. exp "Sleep Wake Disorders"/

37. exp central nervous system depressants/

- 38. exp muscle relaxants, central/
- 39. exp psychotropic drugs/
- 40. exp sleep aids, pharmaceutical/

41. (anxiety or anxious\* or panic or anxiolytic\* or (stress not oxidat\*) or depressi\* or dysthymia or antidepress\* or sexual or erectile or suicid\* or self-harm or hopeless\* or sleep or insomnia or hypnotic\* or cognit\* or chemo-fog or chemo-brain or pain or fatigue or (mental adj1 (disorder or disorders)) or antipsychotic).mp.

42. 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38 or 39 or 40 or 41

43. exp United Kingdom/

44. (UK or Britain or British or England or English or Scotland or Scottish or Wales or Welsh Ireland).mp.

45. 43 or 44

46. or/1-29

47. 42 and 45 and 46

#### EMBASE search expression, applied via OVID, 28 June 2018

- 1. CPRD.mp.
- 2. Clinical Practice Research.mp.
- 3. GPRD.mp.
- 4. General Practice Research Database.mp.
- 5. The Health Improvement Network.mp.
- 6. QRESEARCH.mp.
- 7. DIN-LINK.mp.
- 8. VAMP.mp.
- 9. Value Added Information Medical.mp.
- 10. (THIN adj1 (database or dataset or data)).mp.
- 11. (Read adj1 (term\* or code# or codification)).mp.
- 12. (diagnostic adj1 (term\* or code#)).mp.
- 13. Disease Analyzer.mp.
- 14. Primary care clinical informatics unit.mp.
- 15. PCCIU.mp.
- 16. (optimum patient care adj4 data\*).mp.
- 17. OPCRD.mp.
- 18. health information network.mp.
- 19. health improvement network.mp.
- 20. Q research.mp.
- 21. (ResearchOne or (Research One adj2 data\*)).mp.
- 22. Doctors Independent Network.mp.
- 23. SAIL.mp.
- 24. (SAIL adj4 data\*).mp.
- 25. mediplus.mp.
- 26. ((general practice or primary care or primary health care) adj4 data\*).mp.
- 27. longitudinal patient database.mp.
- 28. ((EHR or eletronic health record\*) adj4 data\*).mp.
- 29. health care database\*.mp.
- 30. or/1-29
- 31. UK.mp.
- 32. United Kingdom.mp.
- 33. England.mp.
- 34. Wales.mp.
- 35. Scotland.mp.
- 36. Northern Ireland.mp.
- 37. 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36
- 38. exp central depressant agent/
- 39. exp central muscle relaxant/
- 40. exp psychotropic agent/
- 41. antidepress\*.mp.
- 42. antipsychotic.mp.
- 43. anxiolytic.mp.

44. exp mental capacity/ or exp mental compliance/ or exp mental concentration/ or exp mental deficiency/ or exp mental deterioration/ or exp mental development/ or exp mental development assessment/ or exp mental disease/ or exp mental disease assessment/ or exp mental dissociation/ or mental function/ or exp mental health/ or exp mental health care/ or exp mental health center/ or exp mental health or exp mental health research/ or exp mental health service/ or exp mental hospital/ or exp mental stress/

- 45. depressi\*.mp.
- 46. dysthymia.mp.
- 47. catatonia.mp.
- 48. self-injur\*.mp.
- 49. self injury.mp.
- 50. self mutilation.mp.
- 51. suicid\*.mp.
- 52. self-harm.mp.
- 53. anxious\*.mp.
- 54. anxiety.mp.
- 55. panic.mp.
- 56. catastrophi\*.mp.
- 57. phobia.mp.
- 58. phobic.mp.
- 59. neurotic.mp.
- 60. compulsive.mp.
- 61. bipolar.mp.
- 62. neurotic.mp.

- 63. personality.mp.
  64. psychotic.mp.
  65. psychosis.mp.
  66. paranoid.mp.
  67. delusional.mp.
  68. sexual.mp.
  69. insomnia.mp.
  70. exp insomnia/

- b9. Insomnia.mp.
  70. exp insomnia/
  71. exp sleep/ or exp sleep disorder/
  72. exp somatoform disorder/
  73. exp substance abuse/ or exp "substance use"/
  74. exp stress/
  75. or/38-74
  76. 20, expl 27 and 75

- 76. 30 and 37 and 75

**Supplementary Appendix 2.** Template email sent to corresponding authors of the studies for which a list of codes wasn't provided in the publication.

Dear [corresponding author],

I'm currently doing a PhD about mental health and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. As part of my PhD, I'm conducting a systematic review of the studies that assessed mental health outcomes using electronic health records. The aim of the review is to summarise how studies have identified these outcomes in primary care databases in the UK; the review will be part of my PhD thesis and we are also planning to publish it in a peer-reviewed journal in due course.

I'm writing to you because a study in which you are the corresponding author was identified as eligible (please see title below), and I would kindly ask if you could be of assistance with the issues described below.

## [title] [description of the list of codes needed]

I look forward to hearing from you and thank you in advance for your help.

Best wishes, Helena

# Supplementary appendix 3, table 1. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: anxiety.

Author, year of publication	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
Bouras, 2016 [1]	Linked and primary care database analysis of the incidence and impact of psychiatric morbidity following gastrointestinal cancer surgery in England	Cohort study	CPRD, HES	1997-2012	"Diagnosis code [for anxiety] in CPRD or HES, or a prescription code [for Diazepam or Lorazepam] between 36 months before and 12 months after surgery."	Yes	None stated	Data available for >3 years before the index date // Follow up duration: 1 year after index date	Study quantified the psychiatric morbidity before and after the index date.	-
Fardet, 2012 [2]	Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care	Cohort study	THIN	1990-2008	Read and Multilex list of codes for diagnoses of panic disorder or panic attack <u>excluding</u> c odes for anxiety	No	None stated	≥6 months of registration with the primary care practice	Hazards ratios adjusted for past history of neuropsychiatric disorders (yes/no)	Outcome was eligible if there was no record of the outcome in the previous 6 months
Granerod, 2016 [3]	Increased rates of sequelae post- encephalitis in individuals attending primary care practices in the United Kingdom: a population-based retrospective cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	1998-2012	"Anxiety disorders (including both symptom codes and diagnoses such as generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder and obsessive compulsive disorder)"	Yes	None stated	None stated // At least one contact with the GP practice in the two years after the index date	Analysis restricted to those at risk of a new-onset outcome, defined as no code in the year prior to the index date.	-
Hesdorffer, 2012 [4]	Epilepsy, suicidality, and psychiatric disorders: a bidirectional association	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1990-2008	Anxiety, not further specified	No	None stated	At least 3 years of data before the index date, 1 year after the index date, and at least 1 code for a medical or drug code in the 6 months before the index // Follow up 3 years after index date	Excluded subjects with a record of the outcome before the study date.	-

Khan, 2010 [5]	Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK	Cohort study	CPRD	2003-2006	Consultations for anxiety and prescriptions of benzodiazepines and Buspirone	No	None stated	None stated	History of anxiety prior to the analysis period included in the models	Outcomes occurred within a 3-year period chosen for the study
Kurd, 2005 [6]	The risk of depression, anxiety, and suicidality in patients with psoriasis: A population- based cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	1987-2002	"clinician diagnosis of anxiety and related disorders in which anxiety symptoms are common"	No (refers using the same codes of previous studies)	None stated	None stated	Patients with history of anxiety in the 6 months before the index date were excluded in a sensitivity analysis.	-
Lurie, 2015 [7]	Antibiotic exposure and the risk for depression, anxiety, or psychosis: A nested case-control study	Nested case control study	THIN	1995-2013	Anxiety, including codes for diagnosis of generalised anxiety disorder and phobic anxiety	Yes	None stated	Only records from patients that had been registered with the GP for more than 183 days // Outcomes were considered incident if occurring at more than 183 days after the index date.	Patients who had pharmacological treatment for a specific psychiatric diagnosis more than 90 days before the diagnosis was first recorded were excluded.	Patients with mixed anxiety and depression were excluded from the analysis
Martin- Merino, 2010 [8]	Prevalence, incidence, morbidity and treatment patterns in a cohort of patients diagnosed with anxiety in UK primary care	Cohort study	THIN	2002-2004	"Identification codes included all Read codes describing anxiety. These included codes ranging from mild anxiousness symptoms to other disorders such as phobia, panic attack and generalized and mixed anxiety disorders"	Yes	Yes Record review/ questionna ires	Enrolled for at least 2 years with the practice and have received one prescription in the previous year; patients aged $\geq$ 70 years had to have at least 2 visits registered in the follow up period of >1 year.	Patients with previous anxiety diagnoses were excluded, as well as those who had 5 or more prescriptions of anxiolytics before the diagnosis.	-

Meier, 2004 [9]	The risk of severe depression, psychosis or panic attacks with prophylactic antimalarials	Cohort study	CPRD	1990-1999	First time diagnosis of panic attack, regardless of referral or treatment, identified by OXMIS- and/or- ICD-8- codes	No	Yes 'reviewed a list of all cases to determine inclusion/ exclusion'	≥1 year of data available before index date and "some GPRD activity (diagnoses or prescriptions) recorded after the index date" // Patients were censored 18 months after exposure date	"The base population for person-time analyses consisted of all subjects free of () panic attacks at the start of follow up."	-
Schneider, 2013 [10]	Antimalarial chemoprophylaxis and the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders	Nested case control study	CPRD	2001-2009	Incident diagnosis of anxiety, not further specified	No	None stated	At least 1 year of date before the index date // some activity (diagnoses or prescriptions) recorded after the index date	Excluded patients with the outcome of interest observed before the index date	-
Sheehan, 2015 [11]	Mental illness, challenging behaviour, and psychotropic drug prescribing in people with intellectual disability: UK population based cohort study	Cohort study	THIN	1999-2013	Anxiety, including codes for symptoms and diagnoses	Yes	None stated	Entry in the cohort at least one year after registration with the practice	None stated	Excludes cases of mixed anxiety and depression
Walters, 2012 [12]	Recent trends in the incidence of anxiety diagnoses and symptoms in primary care	Cohort study	THIN	1998-2008	Anxiety, including symptoms, diagnosis, mixed anxiety and depression, panic attacks and panic disorder Results were also provided separately for: - anxiety disorders (eg. chronic anxiety, generalised anxiety disorder, anxiety state); - anxiety symptoms (e.g. 'anxiousness'); - mixed anxiety and depression; - panic attacks and panic disorder.	No	None stated	≥1 year of data since registration with the practice and 'consistent recording of at least one medical record (e.g. a diagnostic entry), one additional health data record (e.g. blood test result) and >1 prescriptions on average for the practice per patient per year.	Excluded patients with an entry for anxiety recorded in the previous year.	Participants could have had more than one episode during the follow up, provided that they were separated for more than 12 months.

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; HES – Hospital Episodes Statistics; ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, edition 10; ND – not defined; OXMIS – Oxford Medical Information System; PCCIU – Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit Research; THIN – The Health Improvement Network.

Author, year of publication Title	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
Becker, 2011 [13]	Risk of incident depression in patients with Parkinson disease in the UK	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1994-2005	'To be included in the analysis as a valid depression case, a patient had to have a code recorded for an affective disorder (depression, manic disorders, bipolar disorders, or unspecified affective disorders) during follow-up.'	Yes	Yes Pharmacol ogical treatment with antidepres sive drugs	At least 3 years of computer EHR prior to the index date // None stated.	Cases who had depression diagnosed prior to the index date were excluded;	-
Booth, 2015 [14]	Impact of bariatric surgery on clinical depression. Interrupted time series study with matched controls	Controlled interrupted time- series study	CPRD	2000-2012	Clinical depression was identified through medical diagnoses for depression recorded in clinical or referral records as well as through prescriptions for anti-depressant drugs.	Yes	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Not applicable	-
Bornand, 2016 [15]	The risk of new onset depression in association with influenza - A population- based observational study	Nested case- control study	CPRD	2000-2013	Minimum of three prescriptions for one or more antidepressant drugs recorded after the incident major depression diagnosis (i.e. the index date), identified by READ-codes based on ICD-10 codes (F32), if they started the antidepressant therapy within 90 days of the depression diagnosis	Yes	None stated	A minimum of three years of history before the index date.	Excluded patients with more than two prescriptions for antidepressants at any time prior to the index date. Adjusted for history of affective disorders in the models.	Provides data for depression severity: general depression; mild depression; moderate depression; severe depression; other.

Supplementary appendix 3, table 2. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: depression.

Bouras, 2016 [1]	Linked Hospital and Primary Care Database Analysis of the Incidence and Impact of Psychiatric Morbidity Following Gastrointestinal Cancer Surgery in England	Cohort study	CPRD HES	1997-2012	"Codes for the diagnoses of () depression were measured in CPRD () In HES, ICD-10 codes recorded in the first position of a hospital episode (signifying the main condition treated) for the diagnoses of depression. () Prescription data () including antidepressants (Fluoxetine, Paroxetine, Sertraline, Citalopram, Escitalopram, Mitrazapine, and Venlafaxine), () anxiolytics (Diazepam and Lorazepam)."	Yes	None stated	Data available for >3 years before the study index date // Follow up duration: 1 year post diagnosis	Not applicable	-
Claxton, 2000 [16]	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment in the UK: Risk of relapse or recurrence of depression	Cohort study	MediPlus	1993-1995	Re-initiation of any antidepressant after a gap of at least 6 months with no antidepressant prescription; suicide attempt, referral to psychotherapy or psychiatrist, admission to a mental health facility, emergency room use related to mental disorders, or electroconvulsive therapy and re- initiation of antidepressant one of the above.	Yes	None stated	Only patients with contact with the services during the previous 2 years of the index date; // Follow up duration of 18 months post index date	Not applicable (all patients had been treated with SSRI)	Patients dementia, schizophrenia, psychosis and manic depression were excluded.
					with a SSRI and a Read code within 1 month of the prescription.					
Clifford, 2002 [17]	Drug or symptom- induced depression in men treated with apha1- blockers for benign prostatic hyperplasia? A nested-case control study	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1992-1999	Proxy of antidepressant prescription (first prescription of antidepressant)	No	None stated	Registered in CPRD for at least 12 months // ND	None stated	-

Dave, 2010 [18]	Incidence of Maternal and Paternal Depression in Primary Care	Cohort study	THIN	1993-2007	"Read code entry for unipolar depression and/or a prescription for an antidepressant at the appropriate therapeutic dose for treatment of depression on a given consultation date () we eliminated those who had an entry for anxiety or panic disorder but had no entry for depression in their entire computerized medical record." New episode was considered when no diagnosis or prescription had been registered in the past year.	No Available on request	None stated	None stated	Results stratified by history of previous mental disorder	Mixed anxiety and depression was included.
Fardet, 2012 [2]	Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care	Cohort study	THIN	1990-2008	'Read code for unipolar depression, for symptoms of depression, or for a prescription for an antidepressant. Diagnoses were considered first; prescriptions of antidepressants were used in defining the outcome only when there was no recorded diagnosis of a neuropsychiatric illness and no other recorded indication for the prescription. To exclude patients who may have received prescriptions for antidepressants for anxiety rather than for depression, we eliminated those who had an entry for anxiety or panic disorder but had no entry for depression in their entire computerized medical record.'	Νο	None stated	≥6 months of registration with the primary care practice.	Hazards ratios adjusted for past history of neuropsychiatric disorders (yes/no)	Outcome was eligible if there was no record of the outcome in the previous 6 months

Gunnell, 2009 [19]	Varenicline and suicidal behaviour: a cohort study based on data from the General Practice Research Database	Cohort study	CPRD	2006-2008	Depression defined as the start of antidepressant therapy	No	None stated	At least 1 years of CPRD record before index date // ND	People who had - been prescribed an antidepressant within the previous 6 months before index date were excluded. Previous psychiatric consultations considered in the models.
Granerod, 2016 [3]	Increased rates of sequelae post- encephalitis in individuals attending primary care practices in the United Kingdom: a population-based retrospective cohort study	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1998-2012	Depression, consisting of codes for depression diagnosis and symptoms if evidence of pharmacological treatment was present.	Yes	None stated	None stated // At least one contact with the GP practice in the two years after the index date.	Analysis - restricted to those at risk of a new-onset outcome, defined as no code in the year prior to the index date.
Hagberg, 2017 [20]	Risk of Incident Antidepressant-Treated Depression Associated with Use of 5alpha- Reductase Inhibitors Compared with Use of alpha-Blockers in Men with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: A Population-Based Study Using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1992-2013	A Read code for a depression diagnosis and a prescription for an antidepressant within 90 days of the depression diagnosis.	No	None stated	At least 1 year of recorded history in the database before cohort entry;	Excluded men - with a diagnosis of depression or suicidal behaviors (ideation or attempts), or men who received prescriptions for antidepressant medications prior to cohort entry.

Hagberg, 2016 [21]	Incidence rates of suicidal behaviors and treated depression in patients with and without psoriatic arthritis using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Nested case control study	CPRD	1998-2012	'A patient was required to have at least one prescription for an antidepressant drug in addition to a diagnosis code for depression within 60 days of each other to qualify as a case of treated depression.'	No	Yes, record review	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Any patient who had a diagnosis of depression or a prescription for an antidepressant drug recorded before the cohort entry date was excluded from the Treated Depression sub- cohort.	-
Harris, 2011 [22]	Depression indicators in a national sample of older community and care home patients: applying the Quality and Outcomes Framework	Cohort study	THIN	ND-2008	<ul> <li>(i) depression case finding with assessment tool validated for primary care;</li> <li>(ii) assessment of depression severity in patients with a new depression episode.</li> <li>'Quality and Outcomes Framework Read Codes were used in both cases, but no account was taken of exceptions recorded by GPs, as these may bias comparisons between community and care home samples.'</li> </ul>	Yes	None stated	Patients registered for at least 90 days with a new diagnosis of depression in period 91-450 days from end of follow up and no depression severity assessment >365 days before end of follow up.	Not applicable	Only practices contributing with data up to at least March 2008 were included.
Hesdorffer, 2012 [4]	Epilepsy, suicidality, and psychiatric disorders: a bidirectional association	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1990-2008	Incident major depression, not further specified	No	None stated	At least 3 years of data before the index date, 1 year after the index date, and at least 1 code for a medical or drug code in the 6 months before the index // Follow up 3 years after index date	Excluded subjects with a record of the outcome before the study date.	-

Jacob, 2017 [23]	Depression Risk in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis in the United Kingdom	Cohort study	Disease Analyser database	2000-2014	Diagnoses of depression, according to the ICD-10 codes	No	None stated	None stated // Incidence in the first 5 years of the index date	None stated	-
Jenkins- Jones, 2018 [24]	Poor compliance and increased mortality, depression and healthcare costs in patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia	Matched cohort study	CPRD, ONS, HES inpatient and outpatient	?	Depression defined by Read Codes in CPRD, by ICD-10 code in HES inpatient data, or by the prescription of antidepressants. Depression was identified not only from ongoing records but also from patients' clinical histories dating from before the start of data follow-up. A sensitivity analysis considered only those depression outcomes identified by both diagnostic (Read or ICD-10) code and at least one antidepressant prescription.	Yes	None stated	None stated	Not applicable: the outcome was lifetime prevalence of depression rather than incident occurrence.	-
John, 2016 [25]	Recent trends in primary-care antidepressant prescribing to children and young people: an e- cohort study	Cohort study	SAIL	2003-2013	Incident episode: a Read code for a diagnosis or symptom of depression, or antidepressant, with no record of the given subtype (antidepressant prescription or depression diagnosis or depression symptom) in the previous 12 months; Participants could have more than one episode recorded across the study period as long a period of at least 12 months existed between entries within that subtype. Prevalent episode: any record of the given subtype (antidepressant prescription or depression diagnosis or depression symptom) in a target year Annual recurrent episode: defined as the first record in a given year of a given subtype where a record of that subtype exists previously in that individuals GP record.	Yes	None stated	Registered with the GP practice for at least 1 year	Not applicable	Explored the indication of the antidepressants

Kendrick, 2015 [26]	Changes in rates of recorded depression in English primary care 2003-2013: time trend analyses of effects of the economic recession, and the GP contract quality outcomes framework	Cohort study	CPRD	2003-2013	"had clinical or referral events recorded which included a Read code for non-psychotic depressive symptoms or diagnoses, or for assessment using depression symptom questionnaires." Prevalence of depression: Read code present in the year or quarter; Incidence of depression: limited to patients who had no code for depression recorded in the previous 12 months. Incidence of first-ever depression: no previous code for depression diagnosis, symptoms or antidepressant treatment recorded within 10 year study period, and no previous record of depression or antidepressant treatment recorded.	Yes	None stated	None stated	Not applicable	"We excluded patients with psychotic diagnoses including bipolar disorder, psychotic depression, and schizoaffective psychosis, and patients prescribed antidepressants for other indications besides depression."
Khan, 2010 [5]	Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK	Matched cohort study	CPRD	2003-2006	Consultations for depression and prescriptions of as tricyclics, SSRIs and MAOIs	No (Available on request)	None stated	None stated // Follow up duration: 3 years	History of anxiety prior to the analysis period was included in the models	-
Kotz, 2017 [27]	Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline and bupropion in smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Matched cohort study	QResearch	2001-2012	Depression, not further specified	No	None stated	Registered for >12 months before data extraction // Follow up duration 6 months	History of neuropsychiatric events before the index date were considered as confounders	-
Kotz, 2015 [28]	Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline: a retrospective cohort study	Matched cohort study	QResearch	2007-2012	Depression, not further specified	No	None stated	Registered for >12 months before data extraction // Follow up duration 6 months	History of neuropsychiatric events before the index date were considered as confounders	-

Kurd, 2005 [6]	The risk of depression, anxiety, and suicidality in patients with psoriasis: A population- based cohort study	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1987-2002	Depression included all clinician diagnoses of depressive symptomology including bipolar disorder, defined by diagnostic Read or OXMIS codes	No (refers using the same codes of previous studies)	None stated	None stated	Patients with history of anxiety in the 6 months before the index date were excluded in a sensitivity analysis.	-
Lurie, 2015 [7]	Antibiotic exposure and the risk for depression, anxiety, or psychosis: A nested case-control study	Nested case control study	THIN	1995-2013	At least one Read code of depression; not further specified.	Yes	None stated	Only records from patients that had been registered with the GP for more than 183 days // Outcomes were considered incidence if occurring at more than 183 days after the index date.	Patients who had pharmacological treatment for a specific psychiatric diagnosis more than 90 days before the diagnosis was first recorded were excluded.	Patients with mixed anxiety and depression were excluded from the analysis
Martin- Merino, 2010 [29]	Study of a cohort of patients newly diagnosed with depression in general practice: Prevalence, incidence, comorbidity, and treatment patterns	Cohort study, descriptive and analytical	THIN	2002-2004	Patients with incident depression during the follow up but excluding those who had 5 or more prescriptions of an antidepressant before the depression diagnosis.	No	Yes GP questionna ires	Registered for >2 years with their GP practice prior to the index date; Excluded patients aged >69 years who had fewer than 2 visits during the follow-up.	All subjects who had a record of depression before the index date were excluded.	Prevalence of depression calculated as the sum of all patients who had the outcome during the study, plus those who had the outcome in the two years before the study start date.

Meier, 2004 [9]	The Risk of Severe Depression, Psychosis or Panic Attacks with Prophylactic Antimalarials	Cohort study	CPRD	1990-1999	Depression referred to specialist or hospital, or if received treatment with antidepressants at or after the diagnosis date identified by OXMIS- and/or- ICD-8-codes	No	Yes 'reviewed a list of all cases to determine inclusion/ exclusion'	<ul> <li>≥1 year of data available before index date and "some GPRD activity (diagnoses or prescriptions) recorded after the index date" // Patients were censored 18 months after exposure date</li> </ul>	"The base population for person-time analyses consisted of all subjects free of depression at the start of follow up."	-
Millson, 2000 [30]	Are triptans with enhanced lipophilicity used for the acute treatment of migraine associated with an increased consulting rate for depressive illness?	Cohort study, analytical	CPRD, data for the West Midlands	1993-1997	'consulting at least once for depression'	Yes	Νο	None stated	None Stated	-
Milojevic, 2017 [31]	Mental health impacts of flooding: a controlled interrupted time series analysis of prescribing data in England	Cohort study	General practice prescribing data	2010-2015	Antidepressants prescription	No (but list of antidepress ants provided in appendix)	Not applicable	None stated	Not applicable	-
Moore, 2009 [32]	Explaining the rise in antidepressant prescribing: a descriptive study using the general practice research database	Cohort study	CPRD	1993-2005	Depression: "first ever antidepressant prescription for depression diagnosed up to 180 days before or 90 days after the prescribing event, or received a first ever diagnosis of depression without an associated prescription for antidepressants."	Νο	None stated	Registered with practices contributing with up to standard quality data for the entire study period	Patients only included if it was the first ever events during the follow up period.	Provides data for treatment patterns: chronic treatment; intermittent treatment; short- term treatment; delayed treatment; no treatment.
Morgan, 2014 [33]	General practice- recorded depression and antidepressant use in young people with newly diagnosed Type 1 diabetes: a cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1988-2010	Depression identified from diagnosis codes (Oxford Medical Information System and Read), along with at least one antidepressant prescription (monoamine oxidase inhibitors, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, tricyclic antidepressants or other antidepressants).	No	None stated	None stated	Participants with depression diagnoses or prescriptions prior to diabetes diagnosis (or prior to the start date for control subjects) were excluded.	-
------------------------	---	--	------	-----------	--	--	----------------	---	---	---
Petersen, 2006 [34]	Risk and predictors of fatigue after infectious mononucleosis in a large primary-care cohort	Nested case control cohort study	CPRD	1989-2000	Depression, not further specified	No (available from the authors)	None stated	At least one year of data before diagnosis // At least one year of complete follow up after diagnosis	Included patients who did not have fatigue in the year before onset	-
Rait, 2009 [35]	Recent trends in the incidence of recorded depression in primary care	Cohort study	THIN	1996-2006	"Diagnoses of depression (e.g. 'depressive disorder') and recorded depressive symptoms (e.g. 'low mood'). new episode of diagnosed depression was defined as an entry in the records where there was no previous diagnosis of depression coded in the previous year. A new episode of depressive symptoms was also defined as an entry where there had been no previous recorded depressive symptom code in the previous year."	No	None stated	At least one year of follow up data // ND	None stated	Provides results separately for diagnoses and symptoms of depression.
Shah, 2016 [36]	The mental health and mortality impact of death of a partner with dementia	Nested case control study	THIN	2005-2008	Depression, not further specified	Yes	None stated	At least one year of data with the practice	None stated	-

Schneider, 2013 [10]	Antimalarial chemoprophylaxis and the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders	Nested case control study	CPRD	2001-2009	Incident diagnosis of depression, not further specified	Yes	None stated	At least 1 year of date before the index date // some activity (diagnoses or prescriptions) recorded after the index date	Excluded patients with the outcome of interest observed before the index date	Excluded patients with history of cancer, alcoholism, and rheumatoid arthritis.
Schneider, 2010 [37]	COPD and the risk of depression	Cohort study	CPRD	1995-2005	Diagnosis of depression, not further specified In sensitivity analysis, only cases with an incident diagnosis of depression who receive pharmacological treatment within 6 months of diagnosis were included	Yes	None stated	Excluded patients with less than 3 years of active recording history prior to the date of the COPD diagnosis	Patients with previous history of depression, suicide, suicidal ideation, etc., prior to the index date were excluded	Excluded patients with history of cancer, HIV, drug abuse, or alcoholism prior to the index date
Sheehan, 2015 [11]	Mental illness, challenging behaviour, and psychotropic drug prescribing in people with intellectual disability: UK population based cohort study	Cohort study, analytical	THIN	1999-2013	Read codes for depression (including mixed depression-anxiety) that the authors' used in previous studies.	Yes	None stated	Entry in the cohort at least one year after registration with the practice	None stated	Excludes cases of mixed anxiety and depression
Smeeth, 2008 [38]	Effect of statins on a wide range of health outcomes: A cohort study validated by comparison with randomized trials	Cohort study	THIN	1995-2006	Depression defined as the start of antidepressant pharmacotherapy	No	None stated	None stated	People with a previous history of the outcome prior to the index date were excluded from the analysis of that outcome.	First year of follow up was excluded.

Smith, 2014 [39]	Depression and multimorbidity: a cross- sectional study of 1,751,841 patients in primary care	Cross-sectional	PCCIU	ND-2007	"Read code for depression recorded within last year and/or 4 or more antidepressant prescriptions (excluding low-dose tricyclic antidepressants) within the last year. Low-dose tricyclic antidepressants were excluded because they are commonly prescribed for chronic pain syndromes rather than depression."	No	None stated	Patients alive and permanently registered with a general practice at the date of the study	None stated	-
Thomas, 2013 [40]	Smoking cessation treatment and risk of depression, suicide, and self harm in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink: prospective cohort study	Nested case- control study	CPRD HES ONS	2006-2011	"Incident episodes of depression as measured by the date that antidepressant treatment was initiated (treated depression)" // For comparison with previous study, depression was also defined with Read codes only in CPRD	Yes	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date // ND	Previous psychiatric illness, and use of psychotropic medication considered as potential confounders.	-
Tyrer, 1999 [41]	A study of cardiovascular disease, depression and antidepressants on a computerised general practice database	Cohort study	UK MediPlus	1995-1996	'new diagnosis of depression and treatment, classified by the first antidepressant prescribed.'	No	None stated	At least 12 months of data before the index date // Follow up duration 12 months	Patients with depression in the 12 months period before the cardiac event were excluded.	-
Vallerand, 2018 [42]	Risk of depression among patients with acne in the U.K.: a population-based cohort study	Cohort study, analytical	THIN	1986-2012	Read code for major depressive disorder	Yes	None stated	None stated // Follow up for > 2 years after index date	Patients with MDD Read code prior to the start of follow up were excluded	-
Walters, 2011 [43]	The relationship between asthma and depression in primary care patients: A historical cohort and nested case control study	Cohort study and nested case control study	CPRD	1995-2006	GP recorded diagnosis of depression, as defined by Read/OXMIS codes) during the study period	No (codes available from the authors)	None stated	All patients had at least 24 months of 'up to standard' data prior to the index date of the case.	All cases with a recorded medical diagnosis of depression or depressive symptoms before the index date were excluded from the cohort.	-

Yang, 2003 [44]	Lipid-lowering drugs and the risk of depression	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1991 onwards	Treated depression (with antidepressants) referred to a	No	Yes	≥1 year of data available before	Patients with history of	-
[44]	and suicidal behavior	study		onwards	consultant or patient hospitalized for depression; excluded patients who also had diagnosis of anxiety or with specific causes for depression (e.g. post-partum depression)		List of patients referred to hospital or consultant were manually reviewed; referral	index date	depression prior to study start were excluded	
							letters			
							reviewed.			

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; EHR – electronic health records; HES – Hospital Episodes Statistics; ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, edition 10; ND – not defined; PCCIU – Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit Research; SAIL – SAIL Databank - The Secure Anonymised Information Linkage Databank; THIN – The Health Improvement Network; ONS – Office for National Statistics.

Author, year of publication Title	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
John, 2016 [45]	Case-finding for common mental disorders of anxiety and depression in primary care: an external validation of routinely collected data	Validation study using patient reported outcomes	SAIL	2000-2009	<u>Outcome</u> : Common mental disorders, defined as anxiety and depression. Read codes for: i) anxiety diagnosis, e.g. generalised anxiety disorder; ii) anxiety symptoms e.g. anxiousness; iii) mixed anxiety and depression; iv) panic attacks and panic disorders; v) depression diagnoses; vi) depression symptoms. Treatment defined as having at least one prescription for an antidepressant, anxiolytic or hypnotic within the year around the date of the survey answer. Excluded codes for other psychosis, phobias, obsessive compulsive disorders, post traumatic stress disorder, behavioural disorders, hyperkinetic disorders, conduct disorders, disorders of social functioning, and adjustment disorders. Defined 12 algorithms with current and historical symptoms, diagnosis and treatment.	Yes	Yes Patient reported outcomes	At least 6 months after registration with the practice	Not applicable (no exposure under study). Incidence outcome were those where no previous entry had been recorded around 1 year of the date of the survey answer. Other outcomes were considered historical.	-
Turner, 2016 [46]	Ongoing impairments following transient ischaemic attack: retrospective cohort study	Nested case control study	THIN	2009-2013	Outcome: Psychological impairment, defined as anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder. First consultation after the index date with a Read code for symptoms or diagnosis of anxiety, depression or post-traumatic stress, plus a first prescription of an antianxiety or antidepressant drug	Yes	None stated	Practice with at least one year of up to standard data, and patients registered for at least one year with the practice. // Patient alive and registered with the practice 1 month after index	Not stated.	-

## Supplementary appendix 3, table 3. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: composite outcomes, anxiety and depression.

SAIL – SAIL Databank - The Secure Anonymised Information Linkage Databank; THIN – The Health Improvement Network.

Author, year of publication Title	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
Brown, 2016 [47]	Comparison of dementia recorded in routinely collected hospital admission data in England with dementia recorded in primary care	Validation study	CPRD HES	1990-2012	Read codes for dementia and/or a code for a drug specifically prescribed for dementia (i.e. donepezil, galantamine, memantine and rivastigmine).	Yes	Yes GP questionna ire	At least 12 months before and 12 months after the first HES record of dementia	Not applicable	-
Davies, 2014 [48]	Associations of anti- hypertensive treatments with Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, and other dementias	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1997-2008	Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, or unspecified/other dementias Created four categories: probable Alzheimer's disease, possible Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, combine unspecified or other dementia.	Yes	Νο	None stated	Not applicable	-
Donegan, 2017 [49]	Trends in diagnosis and treatment for people with dementia in the UK from 2005 to 2015: a longitudinal retrospective cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	2005-2015	Dementia defined using Read codes listed for the condition in the Quality and Outcomes Framework	No	No	Patients were eligible for analysis if registered with the practice for the entire quarter of the year being analysed;	Not applicable (descriptive study of the incident cases of dementia)	-
Dregan, 2015 [50]	Are Inflammation and Related Therapy Associated with All- Cause Dementia in a Primary Care Population?	Matched cohort study	CPRD	2002-2013	"Medical diagnostic codes were used to identify new diagnoses of dementia including Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, Lewy body dementia, frontotemporal dementia, dementia in other conditions, and unspecified dementia."	No	No	None stated	Considered incident cases only	_

Dregan, 2015 [51]	Patterns of anti- inflammatory drug use and risk of dementia: a matched case-control study	Matched case control study	CPRD	1992-2014	"Medical diagnostic codes were used to identify new diagnoses of dementia and include non-specific dementia (Eu02z), Alzheimer disease (F110), vascular dementia (Eu01), Lewy body dementia (Eu025), senile dementia (E00) and dementia in other conditions (Eu02)."	Yes	No	None stated	Considered incident diagnosis	-
Dunn, 2005 [52]	Does lithium therapy protect against the onset of dementia?	Nested case control study	CPRD	1992-2002	'Cases with definite diagnosis of Alzheimer disease, vascular dementia (with which there is a diagnostic overlap), and those with uncertain cause of dementia'	No	Yes GP Questionn aire	"At least 4 years o research standard data preceding the date of the diagnosis" //	Considered incident cases only	-
Dunn, 2005 [53]	Association between dementia and infectious disease: evidence from a case-control study	Nested case control study	CPRD	1992-2002	"We identified cases as all those patients with an incident dementia, as diagnosed by their GP, a GP colleague, or a hospital specialist () We included cases with a recorded diagnosis of Alzheimer disease, vascular dementia (with which there is diagnostic overlap), and those with uncertain cause of dementia. Other specified causes of dementia were excluded (eg, dementia in Parkinson's disease)."	No	Yes GP questionna ires	"At least 4 years of research standard data preceding the date of first diagnosis (median time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis has been estimated at 4 years)."	Considered incident cases only	Cases further classified as probable or possible cases of dementia.
Emdin, 2016 [54]	Blood Pressure and Risk of Vascular Dementia: Evidence From a Primary Care Registry and a Cohort Study of Transient Ischemic Attack and Stroke	Cohort study	CPRD HES ONS	1990-2003	First record of vascular dementia, in one of the databases. For the primary analysis, cases of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia (i.e. mixed dementia) were included.	Yes	No	Registered with the GP practice for at least one year // First 4 years of follow up excluded from analysis	First 4 years of follow up excluded from analysis	-
Goh, 2014 [55]	Angiotensin receptor blockers and risk of dementia: cohort study in UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Cohort study	CPRD	1995-2010	New diagnosis of dementia, defined by Read codes, excluding specific causes of dementia (e.g. dementia in neoplastic disease).	Yes	No	At least 6 months of registration with the GP practice // Outcome occurring in the first year after the index date were not considered	Excluded all individuals with a record of dementia or cognitive impairment prior to the date of entry in the study	-

Granerod, 2016 [3]	Increased rates of sequelae post- encephalitis in individuals attending primary care practices in the United Kingdom: a population-based retrospective cohort study	Nested case control study	CPRD	1998-2012	"cognitive problems (including memory loss, aphasia, difficulty processing information, difficulty reasoning, difficulty concentrating and learning disability)"	Not provided in the original study but obtained from the authors	None stated	None stated // At least one contact with the GP practice in the two years after the index date.	Analysis restricted to those at risk of a new-onset outcome, defined as no code in the year prior to the index date.	Dementia diagnosis were considered separately
Hippisley- Cox, 2010 [56]	Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database	Cohort study	QResearch	2002-2008	Dementia, defined with Read codes, not further specified.	No; states that code list is available on request	No	At least one year of registration with the practice // ND	Incident cases included in the study	-
Imfeld, 2012 [57]	Metformin, other antidiabetic drugs, and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a population- based case-control study	Nested case control study	CPRD	1998-2008	"either a diagnosis of AD followed by at least one prescription for an AD drug or vice versa; a diagnosis of dementia followed by at least two prescriptions for an AD drug; at least two recordings of an AD diagnosis; an AD diagnosis after a specific dementia test (e.g., Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Clock Drawing Test (CDT), or Abbreviated Mental Test (7-min screen)), a referral to a specialist (e.g., neurologist, geriatrician, or psychogeriatrician), an assessment based on a neuroimaging technique (e.g., magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), or single-photon emission CT (SPECT)); or an AD diagnosis preceded or followed by any recorded dementia symptoms (e.g., memory impairment, aphasia, apraxia, or agnosia)."	Νο	Yes GP questionna ire	Patients with >3 years of active history in the database were excluded.	First time diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease included	-

Imfeld, 2013 [58] Epidemiology, co- morbidities, and medication use of patients with Alzheimer's disease or vascular dementia in the UK	Descriptive cohort study	CPRD	1998-2008	"A patient was required to have either: 1) a diagnosis of AD followed by at least one prescription for an AD drug or vice versa; 2) a diagnosis of unspecific dementia followed by at least two prescriptions for an AD drug; 3) at least two recordings of an AD diagnosis; 4) an AD diagnosis after a specific dementia test (e.g., Mini Mental State Examination, Clock Drawing Test, or Abbreviated Mental Test [7- Minute Screen]), a referral to a specialist (e.g., neurologist, geriatrician or psycho-geriatrician), or an assessment based on neuroimaging technique (e.g., magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, or single photon emission computed tomography); or 5) an AD diagnosis preceded or followed by any recorded dementia symptoms (e.g., memory impairment, aphasia, apraxia, or agnosia). In addition, AD patients with a recording of any other specific dementia diagnosis (e.g., VaD, Pick's disease, or dementia with Lewy bodies) after the index date were not eligible, as well as those AD patients with a recording of stroke within two years	No	Yes GP questionna ires	None stated	Considered incident cases during the study period only	"cases were not eligible if they had had a stroke before the index date (because this is more indicative of a diagnosis of vascular dementia (VaD)16) or a recording of any other specific dementia diagnosis (e.g., VaD, Pick's disease, or Lewy body dementia) after the index date."
				recording of stroke within two years prior to the index date."					

Imfeld, 2015 [59]	Benzodiazepine Use and Risk of Developing Alzheimer's Disease or Vascular Dementia: A Case-Control Analysis	Case control	CPRD	1998-2013	"first time diagnosis of AD, VaD, or any unspecified dementia (based on Read codes) (), or who received a first-time prescription for an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (i.e. donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine, or tacrine) or the N- methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist memantine () To increase the probability of including only well-defined AD or VaD cases, a validated algorithm was based on recordings of specific dementia tests [e.g. Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Clock Drawing Test (CDT), or Abbreviated Mental Test (7- Minute Screen)], referrals to specialists (e.g. neurologists, geriatricians or psycho-geriatricians), brain imaging [computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)], or dementia symptoms (memory impairment, aphasia, apraxia, or agnosia) supportive of a diagnosis of a specific dementia subtype (i.e. AD or VaD)."	Νο	Yes GP questionna ire	At least three years of active history in the database before diagnosis // ND	Considered - incident cases during the study period only
[60]	dementia	control study	UFKU	1997-1990	Alzheimer's disease	NU	refers to previous study where the validity of the same list of codes was assessed	NOTE STATED	considered only

Judge, 2017 [61]	Protective effect of anti- rheumatic drugs on dementia in rheumatoid arthritis patients		CPRD	1995-2011	Dementia, including Alzheimer's dementia, vascular dementia, and mixed dementia.	Yes	No	At least one year of up to standard registration data before the index date // ND	Considered incident cases only	-
Khan, 2011 [62]	Long-term health outcomes in a British cohort of breast, colorectal and prostate cancer survivors	Cohort study	CPRD	2003-2006	Dementia, defined with Read codes, not further specified	No; states that codes are available upon request	No	None stated	Only incident cases were considered	-
Khosrow- Khavar, 2017 [63]	Androgen deprivation therapy and the risk of dementia in patients with prostate cancer	Cohort study	CPRD	1988-2016	All incident cases of dementia, including Alzheimer's disease	Yes	None stated (refers to validation in previous studies)	Patients with less than 1 year of history in CPRD were excluded // All patients had to have at least 1 year of follow up data	Excluded patients with previous diagnosis of any dementia	-
Lu, 2016 [64]	Gout and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: a population-based, BMI- matched cohort study	Cohort study	THIN	1995-2013	Alzheimer's disease	Yes	Not in the original study; refers to a previous validation study	None stated	First diagnoses only	-
Mehta, 2017 [65]	Association of Hypoglycaemia With Subsequent Dementia in Older Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	Cohort study	CPRD	2002-2012	Dementia defined by Read codes; used the same list of codes as another previous study.	No	None stated	None stated	Excluded patients with dementia diagnosed in the year prior to the index date	-
Mehta, 2016 [66]	Development and validation of the RxDx- Dementia risk index to predict dementia in patients with type 2 diabetes and hypertension	Cohort study	CPRD	2003-2012	Dementia, defined with a previously validated algorithm (refers to previous publication)	No	None stated	None stated	Considered incident cases only	Sensitivity analysis include the definition of dementia as clinical diagnosis or drug prescription

Perera, 2018 [67]	Dementia prevalence and incidence in a federation of European Electronic Health Record databases: The European Medical Informatics Framework resource	Cohort study	THIN	2004-2012	'Codes that clearly indicated a dementia diagnosis, rather than those that were suggestive'	Yes	No	None stated	Not applicable (calculated incidence estimates)	-
Qizilbash, 2015 [68]	BMI and risk of dementia in two million people over two decades: a retrospective cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	1992-2007	"Patients were classified as having dementia if, any of the following terms were recorded during follow- up: dementia, Alzheimer, Lewy body disease, Pick's disease. Dementia recorded on a death certificate was also used."	No	None stated	None stated	Excluded patients with dementia diagnosed in the year prior to the index date	-
Seshadri, 2001 [69]	Postmenopausal estrogen replacement therapy and the risk of Alzheimer disease	Cohort study	CPRD	1992-1998	First-time diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease, senile dementia or presenile dementia	No	Yes Case review	None stated	Only first time diagnosis were included	-
Strom, 2015 [70]	Statin therapy and risk of acute memory impairment	Nested case- control study	THIN	1987-2013	"The outcome for this study was the onset of acute, reversible memory impairment. Using Read codes Clinical Terms, version 2 (), we sought codes with descriptions specifically pertaining to memory loss including amnesia, amnesia symptom, memory loss symptom, temporary loss of memory, short- term memory loss, transient global amnesia, drug-induced amnestic syndrome, non-alcoholic amnestic syndrome, amnesia (retrograde), memory lapses, minor memory lapses, and mild memory disturbance."	Yes	Yes GP questionna ire for a random sample	At least one 365 of registration with the GP practice	Patients with codes for acute memory loss before the index date were excluded.	Excluded patients diagnosed with dementia

Turner, 2016 [46]	Ongoing impairments following transient ischaemic attack: retrospective cohort study	Matched-cohort study	THIN	2009-2013	Read codes for diagnoses (such as 28E0.00: mild cognitive impairment) and symptoms (such as 1B1A.12: memory loss symptom) related to overall cognitive impairment and impaired individual cognitive domains. 'Cognitive impairment included memory, attention, spatial awareness, perception, apraxia and executive functioning impairments but not a diagnosis of dementia.'	Not provided in the original study but obtained from the authors	None stated	Patients alive and registered with the practice 1 month after the index date	Excluded patients with fatigue recorded on the index date	Dementia diagnosis were not included
Walters, 2016 [71]	Predicting dementia risk in primary care: development and validation of the Dementia risk score using routinely collected data	peggrah Datalinku	THIN	2000-2011	Newly recorded dementia diagnoses, including Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, and unspecified or mixed dementia. Excluding dementia associated with Parkinson's disease, Lewy body dementia, Huntingdon, Picks, HIV and drug induced and alcohol- related dementia.	No but available upon request from the authors	No	Excluded patients with less than one year of follow up data // follow up restricted to a maximum of 5 years	Excluded patients with dementia, cognitive impairment or memory symptoms at baseline	-

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; GP – general practitioner; HES – Hospital Episodes Statistics; THIN – The Health Improvement Network; ONS – Office for National Statistics.

Author, year of publication	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study //	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
								Follow up requirements		
Donegan, 2013 [72]	Bivalent human papillomavirus vaccine and the risk of fatigue syndromes in girls in the UK	Ecological and self-controlled case series	CPRD	2000-2011 and 2008-2011	Chronic fatigue syndrome including chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic asthenia, post- viral fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, and neurasthenia.	No (Obtained from the authors)	None stated	None stated // At least one year of follow up available	None stated	Sensitivity analysis considered 'incident fatigue' at the earliest recording of symptoms, referrals or diagnoses.
Collin, 2017 [73]	Trends in the incidence of chronic fatigue syndrome and fibromyalgia in the UK, 2001- 2013: a Clinical Practice Research Datalink Study	Descriptive cohort study	CPRD	2001-2013	Diagnoses of chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, post-viral fatigue syndrome, or asthenia/ debility diagnosis or referral to a specialist service	Yes	None stated	At least 12 months of up to standard data	Only new first ever diagnosis were considered	Symptoms of fatigue were considered separately.
Gallagher, 2004 [74]	Incidence of fatigue symptoms and diagnoses presenting in UK primary care from 1990 to 2001.	Descriptive cohort study	CPRD	1990-2001	Read codes for diagnosis of chronic fatigue syndrome; post- viral fatigue syndrome; asthenia/debility. Read codes for symptoms were classified separately.	No (Stated that was available from the authors but could not be obtained)	None stated	Data prior to 1990 not shown due to lower numbers than expected and being the first years of data collection of the database.	Fatigue diagnosis was incident if there was no record of diagnoses in the previous year, with or without previous symptoms; symptoms were incident if there was no symptoms or diagnoses in the previous year.	-

## Supplementary appendix 3, table 5. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: fatigue.

Hamilton, 2009 [75]	Risk markers for both chronic fatigue and irritable bowel syndromes: A prospective case-control study in primary care	Nested case control study	CPRD	1988-2001	List of diagnostic codes for post- viral fatigue syndrome; chronic fatigue syndrome.	No (refers to another publication)	None stated	Only patients with complete records for 3 years prior to the index date were included	Not applicable	-
Petersen, 2006 [34]	Risk and predictors of fatigue after infectious mononucleosis in a large primary-care cohort	Nested case control cohort study	CPRD	1989-2000	Read codes for diagnoses of post-viral debility, post-viral fatigue syndromes, post- influenza debility and syndrome post-viral; symptoms of tiredness, malaise, lethargy, debility, and fatigue.	No (Stated that was available from the authors but could not be obtained)	None stated	At least one year of data before diagnosis // At least one year of complete follow up after diagnosis	Included patients who did not have fatigue in the year before onset	-
Turner, 2016 [46]	Ongoing impairments following transient ischaemic attack: retrospective cohort study	Nested case- cohort study	THIN	2009-2013	First consultation after the index date with a Read code for symptoms or diagnosis of fatigue	No Obtained from the authors	None stated	Practice with at least one year of up to standard data, and patients registered for at least one year with the practice. // Patient alive and registered with the practice 1 month after index	Excluded patients with fatigue recorded on the index date.	-

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; THIN – The Health Improvement Network.

Author, year of publication	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
Becker, 2007 [76]	Migraine incidence, comorbidity and health resource utilization in the UK	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1994-2001	<u>Migraine diagnosis</u>	No	Yes GP questionnaire	None stated	First-time diagnosis only	-
Campbell, 2015 [77]	In sickness and in health: A cross-sectional analysis of concordance for musculoskeletal pain in 13,507 couples	Descriptive	CiPCA	2005-2006	Widespread body pain: "All relevant codes were formed into the five most common consultation body regions (back, knee, neck, shoulder, foot), as well as codes for osteoarthritis consultations. A further category of 'any musculoskeletal' consultations were formed inclusive of the above body regions and conditions, as well as consultations for unspecified pain (e.g. arthralgia), widespread pain conditions and other single body regions where the proportion of consultations were too few to perform meaningful separate analysis (e.g. head, arm, elbow, wrist, hand, hip, pelvis, thigh and buttock)."	Yes	None stated	None stated	None stated	-

Supplementary appendix 3, table 6. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: pain.

code."	Hall, 2006 [78]	Epidemiology and treatment of neurophatic pain: the UK primary care perspective	Descriptive	CPRD	1992-2002	"A <u>post-herpetic neuralgia</u> record was a specific term for post-herpetic neuralgia or an acute herpes zoster term plus either neuropathy, or neuropathic pain, 3-6 months after the first acute herpes zoster entry. A <u>trigeminal neuralgia</u> record had a specific term for this diagnosis. <u>Phantom limb pain</u> was defined as a specific term or a term for amputation plus either a neuropathy or neuropathic pain record 3–24 months after the first amputation code. Patients were included in the painful <u>diabetic neuropathy</u> cohort if their record contained a specific term; a term for diabetic neuropathy with a prescription for a treatment for pain current at the date of diagnosis; a record of diabetes and neuropathic pain or record of diabetes and both neuralgia and a treatment for pain current on the date of the neuralgia code."	Νο	None stated	At least year of up to standard data	None stated	-
--------	--------------------	--	-------------	------	-----------	---	----	-------------	--	-------------	---

Hall, 2013	An observational	Cohort study,	CPRD	2005-2010	"Five neuropathic pain cohorts (post-	No	Yes	At least of 1	None stated
[79]	descriptive study of the	descriptive			herpetic neuralgia, painful diabetic			year of data of	
	epidemiology and				<u>neuropathy, phantom limb pain,</u>		GP	good quality for	
	treatment of neuropathic				neuropathic back pain and		questionnaire	research	
	pain in a UK general				neuropathic postoperative pain)		S		
	population				were identified from () a single				
					specific Read code, or a				
					combination of Read and therapy				
					codes as specified in a case				
					definition. Postherpetic neuralgia				
					was defined as a specific code for				
					post-herpetic neuralgia, or a code for				
					acute zoster plus either a code for				
					neuropathy, or neuropathic pain,				
					between three and six months after				
					the first acute zoster entry. Phantom				
					limb pain (PLP) was defined as a				
					specific code, or a code for				
					amputation plus either a code for				
					neuropathy or neuropathic pain				
					between three and twenty-four				
					months after the first amputation				
					code. The painful diabetic				
					neuropathy cohort included patients				
					with a specific code for painful				
					diabetic neuropathy; those with a				
					code for diabetes and a general				
					code for neuropathic pain and a third				
					group with a code for diabetic				
					neuropathy (or diabetes and				
					neuralgia) with a prescription for a				
					neuropathic pain treatment which				
					was initiated within 28 days of the				
					date of the neuropathy/ neuralgia				
					code."				

Mansfield, 2017 [80]	Identifying patients with chronic widespread pain in primary care	Cross sectional	CiPCA	2005-2009	<ul> <li>(A) <u>Recurrent region pain</u>, define as: "In a period of 5 consecutive years, a patient fulfils all of 1-4:</li> <li>1. At least 1 consultation for a musculoskeletal complaint in the axial skeleton (neck and back);</li> <li>2. At least 1 consultation for an upper- or lower-limb complaint;</li> <li>3. At least 1 consultation for a regional musculoskeletal complaint in each of 3 separate years;</li> <li>4. At least 4 consultations for regional musculoskeletal complaints during the 5-year period."</li> <li>(B) "Non specific <u>generalized pain</u> <u>conditions</u>, including fibromyalgia, fibrositis, rheumatism, myalgia, arthralgia, and polyalgia"</li> </ul>	Yes	Yes	Patients not registered with the practice for the full 5-year period were excluded	Not applicable - (cross sectional analysis)
Ruigomez, 2006 [81]	Chest pain in general practice: incidence, comorbidity and mortality	Case control study	CPRD	1996	<u>Chest pain:</u> Codes for chest pain that did specify the type or location of the symptom.	Yes	None stated	Registered with the GP for at least 2 years // At least one entry in the records in the last 3 years before the study	Excluded - patients with history of pain the past 2 years
Wallander, 2007 [82]	Unspecified abdominal pain in primary care: The role of gastrointestinal morbidity	Matched cohort study	CPRD	Before 1996	<u>Abdominal pain:</u> Diagnosis of abdominal pain	Yes	None stated	At least one entry to the data in the three years prior to the study start.	Patients were - excluded if they had a record of abdominal pain of any abdominal site or type in the 2 years before the study started.

Zondervan, 1999 [83]	Prevalence and incidence of chronic pelvic pain in primary care: evidence from a	Cohort study	Mediplus UK primary care database	1991-1995	<u>Chronic pelvic pain:</u> pain in the lower abdominal region persisting for at least six months.	No	None stated	None stated	Not applicable Monthly incidence estimated as the
	national general practice				"Episode of chronic pelvic pain:				number of new
	database				pelvic pain on two or more contacts,				episodes in a
					with at least six months (≥ 183 days)				given month as
					between the first and the last contact				a proportion of
					but with no period of more than one				
					year (> 365 days) without a pelvic				
					pain contact. An episode of chronic				
					pelvic pain was defined as starting				
					six months after the first contact and				
					finishing at the contact preceding a				
					pain-free, one-year interval (if any)."				
					"Excluded pain due to malignancy;				
					chronic inflammatory and other				
					defined bowel diseases such as				
					Crohn's, coeliac disease, ulcerative				
					colitis; acute conditions verified by				
					having surgery such as				
					appendicectomy, cholecystectomy;				
					or pregnancy. Women with pelvic				
					pain occurring only during				
					menstruation (dysmenorrhoea) or				
					sexual intercourse (dyspareunia)				
					were also excluded."				

CiPCA - The Consultations in Primary Care Archive; CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink;. NSAIDS - Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

Supplementary appendix 5, table 7. Main characteristics of the eligible studies. Sexual dy
--

Author, year of publication Title	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
Blumentals, 2003 [84]	Antihypertensive treatment and erectile dysfunction in a cohort of type II diabetes patients	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1987-2001	<u>Erectile dysfunction</u> , ascertained from the diagnosis codes, not further specified	No	None stated	None stated	None stated	-
Hagberg, 2016 [85]	Risk of erectile dysfunction associated with use of 5-alpha reductase inhibitors for benign prostatic hyperplasia or alopecia: population based studies using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Nested case control study	CPRD	1992-2011	Erectile dysfunction: "diagnosis of erectile dysfunction or impotence, prescription for a phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor (eg, sildenafil, tadalafil, or vardenafil) where the strength and quantity prescribed was indicated for treatment of erectile dysfunction, or record of procedures for treatment of erectile dysfunction (eg, penile prosthesis, penile injection, or other operations for treatment of erectile dysfunction)." <u>Non-erectile dysfunction</u> : including ejaculatory disorder, psychosexual dysfunction, or low libido	Νο	None stated	At least 3 years of history before the cohort entry date;	Incident cases considered after the index date.	-
Khan, 2011 [62]	Long-term health outcomes in a British cohort of breast, colorectal and prostate cancer survivors: a database study	Cohort study	CPRD	2003-2006	Erectile dysfunction: "new prescriptions for sildenafil (Viagra, Pfizer, NY, United States), apomorphone hydrochloride, vardenafil (Levitra, Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, New Haven, USA), alprostadil (an injectable treatment) and tadalafil (Cialis, Lilly, USA)"	No	None stated	None stated	Excluded patients with erectile dysfunction recorded before the index date	-
Morant, 2008 [86]	Increased sexual dysfunction in men with storage and voiding lower urinary tract symptoms	Cross-sectional analysis	THIN	2000-2007	Male sexual dysfunction	Yes	None stated	None stated	None stated	-

Schlesinger, 2018 [87]	Gout and the Risk of Incident Erectile Dysfunction: A Body Mass Index-matched Population-based Study	Cohort study	THIN	1995-2012	Erectile dysfunction noted by the presence of the Read code E227311	Yes	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice before the index date	Excluded prevalent cases at baseline	-
Sultan, 2017 [88]	Gout and subsequent erectile dysfunction: a population-based cohort study from England	Cohort study	CPRD, HES	1998-2004	Erectile dysfunction, ascertained from the medical codes, not further specified	Yes	None stated	At least 1 year of follow up data	Only incident cases after the index date were considered; cases in the first 6 months of registration were considered prevalent.	-

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; HES – Hospital Episodes Statistics; THIN – The Health Improvement Network.

Author, year of publication	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study //	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure	Notes
I ITIE								requirements		
Roddy, 2013 [89]	The association of gout with sleep disorders: A cross-sectional study in primary care	Matched cohort study	CiPCA and PiPCA	2001-2008	Read codes for sleep disorders, excluding codes for sleep apnoea which were classified separately	Yes	None stated	None stated	None stated	-
Wallander, 2007 [90]	Morbidity associated with sleep disorders in primary care: A longitudinal cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	1996	Read codes for sleep disorder including insomnia, hypersomnia, and sleep disturbance	Yes	None stated	Registered with a general practitioner for at least 2 years and having at least 1 entry in CPRD in the previous 3 years.	Patients with a consultation for sleep disorders during the 2 years before the start of the study were excluded.	-

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; CiPCA - The Consultations in Primary Care Archive; PiPCA - The Prescriptions in Primary Care Archive.

Author, year of publication Title	Study title	Type of study	Database(s)	Study period (years)	Outcome description	List of codes available	Validation of list of codes	EHR-related eligibility criteria for the study // Follow up requirements	Handling of outcomes occurring prior to exposure (applicable to self-harm only)	Notes
Andersohn, 2010 [91]	Use of antiepileptic drugs in epilepsy and the risk of self-harm or suicidal behaviour	Nested case- control study	CPRD	1990-2005	"Potential cases were identified using predefined medical codes of self-harm (i.e., without a clear suicidal intention [intentional self- harm]) or suicidal behaviour (i.e., with a clear suicidal intention [attempted suicide]). Patients who died were also considered as potential cases if suicidal thoughts were recorded within 4 weeks before death."	Yes	Yes, record review	At least one year of data with the GP practice	Models adjusted for history of self-harm at baseline	In an additional analysis, the patients with codes for self- harm without explicitly mention to suicidal behaviour were excluded.
Arana, 2010 [92]	Suicide-related events in patients treated with antiepileptic drugs	Cohort study	THIN	1988-2008	"Cases of suicide-related events were based on codes for suicide, attempted suicide, and intentional self-inflicted injuries plus suicide. A completed suicide was defined as a code for suicidality followed by a code for death in the following month and a final date of any administrative activity in the database or disenrollment within 6 months after the suicidality code. If the disenrollment date occurred more than 6 months after a suicidality code, we reviewed the patient's profile. Patients with a last medical or other health related code that was recorded within 1 month after the suicide date were also considered to have completed suicide."	Νο	Yes GP questionna ire, record and death certificate review	Patients were eligible if they were enrolled with a clinical practice for at least 6 months during the study period	Patients with personal or family history of suicide attempt were excluded	-

Supplementary appendix 3, table 9. Main characteristics of the eligible studies: fatal and non-fatal self-harm.

Carr, 2016 [93]	The epidemiology of self-harm in a UK-wide primary care patient cohort, 2001-2013	Descriptive cohort study	CPRD	2001-2013	"Read codes incorporating all cases across the spectrum from milder forms of non-suicidal behaviour through to near-fatal suicide attempts () We excluded codes that referred only to thoughts of self- harm or suicidal ideation and alcohol-related codes, unless intent to actively harm oneself was specified.	Yes	None stated	None stated	None stated	None stated
Carr, 2017 [94]	Premature Death Among Primary Care Patients With a History of Self-Harm	Cohort study	CPRD ONS	2001-2013	Suicide, ascertained with ICD-10 codes in the ONS mortality data; including open verdicts.	Yes	Not applicable	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date;	Not applicable.	-
Coupland, 2011 [95]	Antidepressant use and risk of adverse outcomes in older people: Population based cohort study	Cohort study	QResearch, ONS	1996-2007	Read codes for attempted suicide or self-harm, not further specified	No	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date;	None stated	Suicide was also an outcome, but data for this outcome was not analysed due to small numbers.
Coupland, 2015 [96]	Antidepressant use and risk of suicide and attempted suicide or self-harm in people aged 20 to 64: cohort study using a primary care database	Cohort study	QResearch, ONS	2000-2011	"code for suicide or an open verdict in their linked death certificate, or patients who had a Read code for attempted suicide or self-harm who died within 30 days.	No (refers to codes used in other studies	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date; // Most analysis restricted to the first 5 years of follow up.	Excluded patients with a previous attempted suicide or self- harm event recorded at baseline	-
Fardet, 2012 [2]	Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care	Cohort study	THIN	1990-2008	Cases of suicide or suicide attempt	No	Yes Review of death certificates	≥6 months of registration with the primary care practice	Hazards ratios adjusted for past history of neuropsychiatric disorders (yes/no)	Outcome was eligible if there was no record of the outcome in the previous 6 months
Donovan, 1996 [97]	The use of the General Practice Research Database (GPRD) to examine potential links between antidepressant medication and the incidence of suicide	Cohort study	CPRD	1988-1993	Suicide, not further specified	No	None stated	None stated	Prior suicidal history considered as a risk factor for suicide	-

Doyle, 2016 [98]	Suicide risk in primary care patients diagnosed with a personality disorder: a nested case control study	Nested case control study	CPRD, ONS	2002-2011	Suicides and open verdicts ascertained via linkage to ONS mortality data	Yes (ICD-10 codes)	Not applicable (Data linked to the gold- standard)	At least one year of up to standard CPRD data	Not applicable	-
Gao, 2013 [99]	Association between body mass index and suicide, and suicide attempt among British adults: The health improvement network database	Cohort study	THIN	2000-2007	Suicide: "defined in two ways (): 1) patients with a Read code of suicide attempt confirmed by death within 3 months or 2) patients who did not have a Read code of suicide attempt, but whose cause of death might be suicide. To identify patients using the second approach, we first searched for all deaths () the cause of death was reviewed. In addition, free text records were searched for potential suicide as cause of death, including suicide, deliberate drug overdose or self- harm, self-inflicted injuries, poisoning, asphyxiation, trauma, and acute or multiple organ failure. Death certificates for these patients were requested for the final verdict of the cause of death. Suicide attempt: "identified using the Read codes for suicide and then by confirming that the patient was still alive for at least 3 months from the time of the event."	Νο	None stated	None stated	None stated	-
Gunnell, 2009 [19]	Varenicline and suicidal behaviour: a cohort study based on data from the General Practice Research Database	Cohort study	CPRD	2006-2008	OXMIS and Read terms for fatal and non-fatal self-harm.	No	Yes Record review	At least 1 years of CPRD records before index date;	Considered the potential confounding effect of previous self- harm or suicidal thoughts in analysis.	-

Hall, 2009 [100]	Validation of death and suicide recording on the THIN UK primary care database	Validation study	THIN	2002-2004	"Coded and free text records were searched to identify any entry for suicide or a medical cause of death which might indicate suicide, including trauma, poisoning, overdose, asphyxiation, acute or multiple organ failure or a suggestion that death was intentional. An electronic record of suicide was accepted."	Yes	Yes (Validation study, record review)	None stated	Not applicable - (validation study)
Hagberg, 2016 [21]	Incidence rates of suicidal behaviors and treated depression in patients with and without psoriatic arthritis using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Cohort study	CPRD	1998-2012	Suicidal behaviours, defined as: "diagnosis of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and/or suicide recorded after the cohort entry date. () If a patient had a code for suicide, but had not died, the event was classified as a suicide attempt. Suicidal ideation, attempts, and suicide were considered separately; thus a patient may have been included in more than one analysis.	No	Yes, record review	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Patients with - suicidal behaviors were included "because patients could recover from suicidal behaviors with treatment or consultation."
Hayes, 2016 [101]	Self-harm, Unintentional Injury, and Suicide in Bipolar Disorder During Maintenance Mood Stabilizer Treatment: A UK Population-Based Electronic Health Records Study	Cohort study	THIN	1995-2013	"emergency department or primary care attendance for self-harm during the period of drug exposure and the 3 months afterward. This outcome included Read codes for intentional poisoning, intentional self injurious behavior, and self-harm acts of uncertain intent. () Secondary outcomes were unintentional injury (eg, falls or motor vehicle crashes) seen in primary or secondary care and a record of the patient's suicide during this period"	No	Refers to previous validation of the list of codes	None stated	Not applicable - (the outcome was diagnoses during the exposure period)

Hesdorffer, 2012 [4]	Epilepsy, suicidality, and psychiatric disorders: a bidirectional association	Cohort study	CPRD	1990-2008	Read codes for suicidality including codes for attempted suicide and completed suicide.	No	None stated	At least 3 years of data before the index date, and at least 1 code for a medical or drug code in the 6 months before the index // At least 1 day of data after index date; follow up duration: 3 years after index date	Excluded subjects with a record of the outcome before the study date.	-
Hesdorffer, 2016 [102]	Occurrence and Recurrence of Attempted Suicide Among People With Epilepsy	Cohort study	CPRD	1988-2013	Diagnoses of attempted suicide identified with Read codes, not further specified. Suicide attempts divided into incident or recurrent.	No	None stated	At least 6 month of complete records before the index date and ≥1 medical or drug codes for a condition other than epilepsy in the 6 months before the index date. // At least 1 day of data post index date	None stated	-
Haste, 1998 [103]	Potential for suicide prevention in primary care? An analysis of factors associated with suicide.	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1991-1993	Suicide: "were identified from the database in two ways: 1. Patients with a record of suicide in the notes; and 2. Patients who did not have a record of suicide, but whose record of cause of death on the database suggested that suicide might be possible. These causes included death from carbon monoxide poisoning (excluding accidental poisoning), hanging, suicidal or accidental overdose, and reference to self-inflicted injury. () Cases where the verdict was open were included."	Νο	Yes GP confirmatio n, review of death certificates	None stated	Not applicable (cases of completed suicide only)	-

Jick, 1995 [104]	Antidepressants and suicide	Cohort study	CPRD	1988-1993	"Cases of suicide were identified from the computer record from among all the study subjects who died. When the cause of death was recorded as suicide or was considered to be uncertain, we obtained further information from the general practitioner and the death certificate to determine the final diagnosis and means of committing suicide."	No	Yes, GP questionna ire	At least 6 month of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Not applicable (cases of completed suicide only)	-
Jick, 1998 [105]	A study of the relation of exposure to quinolones and suicidal behaviour	Nested case control study	CPRD	1991-1995	Three case groups: 1) committed suicide; 2) had a diagnosis of attempted suicide; 3) had a diagnosis of suicidal ideation. "If the subject had more than one case diagnosis during the study period, only the first such diagnosis was considered."	Yes	None stated	>18 months of information on drugs prescribed and diagnoses recorded prior to the index.	None stated	-
Jick, 2000 [106]	Isotretinoin use and risk of depression, psychotic symptoms, suicide, and attempted suicide	Cohort study	CPRD	?	Suicide and inpatient or outpatient code for attempted suicide	Yes	None stated	Between 5 years and 6 months of clinical records before the index date // At least 1 year of records post index date	Controlled for history of attempted suicide	-
Jick, 2004 [107]	Antidepressants and risk of suicidal behaviours	Cohort study	CPRD	1993-1999	Nonfatal suicidal behaviour: "Cases were those who (1) had a first-time recorded diagnosis of nonfatal suicidal ideation () or attempted suicide" Suicide: patients who committed suicide, not further specified.	Yes	None stated	At least 2 years of registration with the practice prior to the index date	None stated	-
Jick, 2009 [108]	Rate of suicide in patients taking montelukast	Cohort study	CPRD	1998-2007	'computer recorded diagnosis of suicide'	No	None stated	None stated	None stated	

Kurd, 2010 [6]	The risk of depression, anxiety, and suicidality in patients with psoriasis: A population- based cohort study	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1987-2002	'Suicidality was defined as diagnosis of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, or suicide', defined by diagnostic Read or OXMIS codes.	No Refers to diagnostic codes used in other publications.	None stated	None stated	In sensitivity analysis, the patients with a diagnosis of the outcome measured prior to or within six months of the index date were excluded	-
Kotz, 2015 [28]	Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline: a retrospective cohort study	Cohort study	QResearch	2007-2012	Fatal and non-fatal self-harm, not further specified	None stated	None stated	Registered for >12 months before data extraction // Follow up duration 6 months	History of neuropsychiatric events considered as confounders	-
Kotz, 2017 [27]	Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline and bupropion in smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Cohort study	QResearch	2001-2012	Fatal and non-fatal self-harm, not further specified	None stated	None stated	None stated // Follow up duration 6 months	History of neuropsychiatric events considered as confounders	-
Lalmohame d, 2012 [109]	Causes of death in patients with multiple sclerosis and matched referent subjects: A population-based cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD HES ONS mortality	2001-2008	Death by accident or suicide ascertained in the ONS mortality database	Yes (ICD-10 codes)	Not applicable (ONS mortality database, ICD-10 codes)	≥1 year of data available before index date	None stated	-
Martinez, 2005 [110]	Antidepressant treatment and the risk of fatal and non-fatal self harm in first episode of depression: nested case-control study	Nested case control study	CPRD	1995-2001	Non-fatal self-harm (drug overdose, deliberate self-laceration, poisoning, and non-fatal suicide attempts using other methods) Suicide, identified by OXMIS and Read codes	No Obtained from the authors	Yes, Review of the death certificates and free text entries	≥1 year of data available before index date	Model adjusted for history of non-fatal self- harm	"People with an episode of non- fatal self harm were not censored in analyses with suicide as the end point."

Meier, 2004 [9]	The Risk of Severe Depression, Psychosis or Panic Attacks with Prophylactic Antimalarials	Cohort study	CPRD	1990-1999	Suicide defined by OXMIS and/or ICD-8 codes	No	Yes 'reviewed a list of all cases to determine inclusion/ exclusion'	<ul> <li>≥1 year of data available before index date and "some GPRD activity (diagnoses or prescriptions) recorded after the index date" // Patients were censored 18 months after exposure date</li> </ul>	Not applicable (suicide as an outcome only)	-
Mines, 2005 [111]	Prevalence of risk factors for suicide in patients prescribed venlafaxine, fluoxetine, and citalopram	Cohort study	CPRD	1995-2002	Suicidal behaviour in the year prior to index date	Yes	None stated	≥1 year of data available	None stated	-
Osborn, 2008 [112]	Suicide and severe mental illnesses. Cohort study within the UK general practice research database	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1987-2002	Suicide, not further specified	No	None stated	None stated	None stated	
Rubino, 2007 [113]	Risk of suicide during treatment with venlafaxine, citalopram, fluoxetine, and dothiepin: retrospective cohort study	Cohort study	CPRD	1995-2005	Completed suicide: coding for death associated with mention of suicide in free text or by code for suicide in the medical record and a statement of death in the administrative record (30 days either way); First attempted suicide (non-fatal event).	Yes	Yes Free text search	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Suicide attempts considered as a confounder in the models	"excluded records that at the review of free text notes did not seem to represent attempted suicide - for example, unintentional overdose or self harm without suicidal intent"

Schneider, 2010 [37]	COPD and the risk of depression	Cohort study	CPRD	1995-2005	'incident suicide or suicidal ideation', not further specified	No Obtained from the authors	None stated	Patients with less than 3 years of active recording history prior to the date of the COPD diagnosis were excluded;	Patients with previous history of depression, suicide, suicidal ideation, etc., prior to the index date were excluded	-
Schuerch, 2016 [114]	Impact of varying outcomes and definitions of suicidality on the associations of antiepileptic drugs and suicidality: comparisons from UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and Danish national registries (DNR)	Validation study	CPRD HES ONS	1996-2009	Suicidal ideation/intent: "Recorded medical terms from the clinical and referral module, plus reasons for transfer out of the general practices to identify patients with one of these outcomes" Suicide attempt: "Recorded medical terms from the clinical and referral module, plus reasons for transfer out of the GP- practice to identify patients with one of these outcomes from CPRD." <u>Completed suicide:</u> "Term of suicidal attempt or ideation occurring simultaneously with a recording of death (+/- 4 weeks), death recorded as reason for leaving the practice (registering out), and a final date of any administrative activity in the database of disenrollment within six months after suicidality code."	Yes	Yes (validation study)	At least 6 months of data before the index date //	Patients with history of suicide attempts, self- harm or suicidal ideation/intent in the 6 months before index were excluded	-
Thomas, 2013 [40]	Smoking cessation treatment and risk of depression, suicide, and self harm in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink: prospective cohort study	Nested case- control study	CPRD, HES and ONS mortality data	2006-2011	Incidence fatal and non-fatal self- harm (as measured by death from suicide in the ONS mortality database) and hospital admission for self-harm (as recorded in the HES database). Includes open verdicts.	Yes for ONS database; no for Read codes.	None stated	At least one year of registration with the practice prior to the index date	Previous self- harm considered as a potential confounder in analysis	-

Thomas, 2013 [115]	Validation of suicide and self-harm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink	Validation study	CPRD, HES, ONS mortality data, and Multicentre study of self- harm	1998-2010	"Cases of suicide and self-harm (the 'events') were identified by extracting all records with Read codes for suicide, attempted suicide and self-harm (). Given that suicide-related Read codes may refer to both fatal and nonfatal suicide attempts, completed suicides within the CPRD were identified using the conventional CPRD approach of linking patient deaths to Read codes for suicide that were recorded within 95 days of the CPRD derived death dates"	Yes	Yes (Validation study)	Registered with a practice contributing with up to standard data, and having acceptable records for research.	Not applicable (descriptive study on the incidence of self- harm)	-
Tyrrell, 2016 [116]	Changes in poisonings among adolescents in the UK between 1992 and 2012: a population based cohort study	Cohort study	THIN	1992-2012	"poisonings [categorised] as intentional, unintentional, unknown intent or alcohol related. Where Read codes explicitly described the intent using the words 'deliberate' or 'intentional' (intentional); 'accidental' (unintentional); and 'unknown' or 'unspecified' (unknown) then they were classified as such. The words 'suicide', 'self-inflicted', 'self- poisoning' or 'overdose' were also used to categorise a poisoning as intentional, unless otherwise specified."	No	None stated	None stated	First poisoning within the observation period	Multiple events occurring in the same individual within a month were counted as one event only
Webb, 2012 [117]	Risk of self-harm in physically ill patients in UK primary care	Nested case control study	CPRD	2001-2008	Suicidal ideation, defined by Read coding descriptions that included the terms 'suicide and self-inflicted,' 'suicide and self-harm,' 'para- suicide' or 'attempted suicide.' Cases that died following the code were excluded.	No	None stated	At least two years of up to standard CPRD data	None stated	-
Webb, 2012 [118]	Suicide risk in primary care patients with major physical diseases: A case-control study	Nested case control study	CPRD and ONS mortality data	2001-2008	Suicides as mentioned in the death certificate, and open verdicts.	Yes	Not applicable (ONS mortality data)	At least two years of up to standard CPRD data	Not applicable (study of suicide only)	-

Wijlaars, 2013 [119]	Suicide-related events in young people following prescription of SSRIs and other antidepressants: A self- controlled case series analysis	Nested case control study	THIN	1995-2009	Suicide attempts, suicidal ideation and completed suicide. Completed suicides: "Read codes that were confirmed by a date of death within 2 weeks of the suicide event date. We searched a cause of death, if available." Excluded cases classified as open verdicts.	No Refers to a previous list, that was updated for the study	Yes Record review	At least 6 months of up to standard CPRD data	Accounted for in analysis	-
Windfuhr, 2016 [120]	Suicide risk linked with clinical consultation frequency, psychiatric diagnoses and psychotropic medication prescribing in a national study of primary-care patients	Nested case- control study	CPRD and ONS mortality data	2002-2011	International Classification of Disease version 10 (ICD-10) codes X60-84, Y10-34 (excluding Y33.9), Y87.0, Y87.2 Includes open verdicts	Yes	Not applicable (ONS mortality data)	≥1 year of data available after up to standard data	None stated	-
Yang, 2003 [44]	Lipid-lowering drugs and the risk of depression and suicidal behaviour	Matched cohort study	CPRD	1991 onwards	Suicidal behaviour, ideation suicidal, suicidal plan, suicidal thoughts, attempted suicide, threat suicide, suicidal drug overdose, drug overdose, para suicide and suicide.	Yes	No (refers to previous studies assessing suitability of the records)	Patients with history of suicidal behaviour prior to study start were excluded	None stated	-

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; THIN – The Health Improvement Network; PCCIU – Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit; SSRI - selective serotonin re-uptake

inhibitors; GP – General practice; MAOIs - monoamine oxidase inhibitors; CiPCA - Consultations in primary care archive; PiPCA - Prescriptions in primary care archive.

## References

- Bouras G, SR Markar, EM Burns, HA Mackenzie, et al. Linked Hospital and Primary Care Database Analysis of the Incidence and Impact of Psychiatric Morbidity Following Gastrointestinal Cancer Surgery in England. Ann Surg 2016:264:93-9.
- 2. Fardet L, I Petersen, and I Nazareth. Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care. Am J Psychiatry 2012:169:491-7.
- Granerod J, NW Davies, PP Ramanuj, A Easton, et al. Increased rates of sequelae post-encephalitis in individuals attending primary care practices in the United Kingdom: a population-based retrospective cohort study. J Neurol 2017:264:407-415.
- 4. Hesdorffer DC, L Ishihara, L Mynepalli, DJ Webb, et al. Epilepsy, suicidality, and psychiatric disorders: a bidirectional association. Ann Neurol 2012;72:184-91.
- 5. Khan NF, AM Ward, E Watson, and PW Rose. Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. Eur J Cancer 2010:46:3339-44.
- 6. Kurd SK, AB Troxel, P Crits-Christoph, and JM Gelfand. The risk of depression, anxiety, and suicidality in patients with psoriasis: a population-based cohort study. Arch Dermatol 2010:146:891-5.
- 7. Lurie I, YX Yang, K Haynes, R Mamtani, et al. Antibiotic exposure and the risk for depression, anxiety, or psychosis: a nested case-control study. J Clin Psychiatry 2015:76:1522-8.
- 8. Martin-Merino E, A Ruigomez, MA Wallander, S Johansson, et al. Prevalence, incidence, morbidity and treatment patterns in a cohort of patients diagnosed with anxiety in UK primary care. Fam Pract 2010:27:9-16.
- 9. Meier CR, K Wilcock, and SS Jick. The risk of severe depression, psychosis or panic attacks with prophylactic antimalarials. Drug Saf 2004:27:203-13.
- 10. Schneider C, M Adamcova, SS Jick, P Schlagenhauf, et al. Antimalarial chemoprophylaxis and the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders. Travel Med Infect Dis 2013:11:71-80.
- Sheehan R, A Hassiotis, K Walters, D Osborn, et al. Mental illness, challenging behaviour, and psychotropic drug prescribing in people with intellectual disability: UK population based cohort study. BMJ 2015:351:h4326.
- 12. Walters K, G Rait, M Griffin, M Buszewicz, et al. Recent trends in the incidence of anxiety diagnoses and symptoms in primary care. PLoS One 2012:7:e41670.
- 13. Becker C, GP Brobert, S Johansson, SS Jick, et al. Risk of incident depression in patients with Parkinson disease in the UK. Eur J Neurol 2011:18:448-53.
- 14. Booth H, O Khan, AT Prevost, M Reddy, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on clinical depression. Interrupted time series study with matched controls. J Affect Disord 2015:174:644-9.
- 15. Bornand D, S Toovey, SS Jick, and CR Meier. The risk of new onset depression in association with influenza--A population-based observational study. Brain Behav Immun 2016:53:131-137.
- 16. Claxton AJ, Z Li, and J McKendrick. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment in the UK: risk of relapse or recurrence of depression. Br J Psychiatry 2000:177:163-8.
- 17. Clifford GM and RD Farmer. Drug or symptom-induced depression in men treated with alpha 1-blockers for benign prostatic hyperplasia? A nested case-control study. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2002:11:55-61.
- 18. Dave S, I Petersen, L Sherr, and I Nazareth. Incidence of maternal and paternal depression in primary care: a cohort study using a primary care database. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2010:164:1038-44.
- 19. Gunnell D, D Irvine, L Wise, C Davies, et al. Varenicline and suicidal behaviour: a cohort study based on data from the General Practice Research Database. BMJ 2009:339:b3805.
- 20. Hagberg KW, HA Divan, JC Nickel, and SS Jick. Risk of Incident Antidepressant-Treated Depression Associated with Use of 5alpha-Reductase Inhibitors Compared with Use of alpha-Blockers in Men with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: A Population-Based Study Using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Pharmacotherapy 2017:37:517-527.
- 21. Hagberg KW, L Li, M Peng, K Shah, et al. Incidence rates of suicidal behaviors and treated depression in patients with and without psoriatic arthritis using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Mod Rheumatol 2016:26:774-9.
- Harris T, SM Shah, IM Carey, S DeWilde, et al. Depression indicators in a national sample of older community and care home patients: applying the Quality and Outcomes Framework. Br J Gen Pract 2011:61:135-8.
- 23. Jacob L, T Rockel, and K Kostev. Depression Risk in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis in the United Kingdom. Rheumatol Ther 2017:4:195-200.
- 24. Jenkins-Jones S, L Parviainen, J Porter, M Withe, et al. Poor compliance and increased mortality, depression and healthcare costs in patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia. Eur J Endocrinol 2018:178:309-320.
- 25. John A, AL Marchant, DL Fone, JI McGregor, et al. Recent trends in primary-care antidepressant prescribing to children and young people: an e-cohort study. Psychol Med 2016:46:3315-3327.
- 26. Kendrick T, B Stuart, C Newell, AW Geraghty, et al. Changes in rates of recorded depression in English primary care 2003-2013: Time trend analyses of effects of the economic recession, and the GP contract quality outcomes framework (QOF). J Affect Disord 2015:180:68-78.
- 27. Kotz Ď, W Viechtbauer, CR Šimpson, OCP van Schayck, et al. Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline and bupropion in smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax 2017:72:905-911.
- 28. Kotz D, W Viechtbauer, C Simpson, OC van Schayck, et al. Cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric risks of varenicline: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 2015:3:761-8.

- 29. Martin-Merino E, A Ruigomez, S Johansson, MA Wallander, et al. Study of a cohort of patients newly diagnosed with depression in general practice: prevalence, incidence, comorbidity, and treatment patterns. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry 2010:12:PCC 08m00764.
- 30. Millson D, M Frischer, P Croft, and PJ Goadsby. Are triptans with enhanced lipophilicity used for the acute treatment of migraine associated with an increased consulting rate for depressive illness? Cephalalgia 2000:20:732-7.
- 31. Milojevic A, B Armstrong, and P Wilkinson. Mental health impacts of flooding: a controlled interrupted time series analysis of prescribing data in England. J Epidemiol Community Health 2017;71:970-973.
- 32. Moore M, HM Yuen, N Dunn, MA Mullee, et al. Explaining the rise in antidepressant prescribing: a descriptive study using the general practice research database. BMJ 2009:339:b3999.
- 33. Morgan E, CC Patterson, and CR Cardwell. General practice-recorded depression and antidepressant use in young people with newly diagnosed Type 1 diabetes: a cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Diabet Med 2014:31:241-5.
- 34. Petersen I, JM Thomas, WT Hamilton, and PD White. Risk and predictors of fatigue after infectious mononucleosis in a large primary-care cohort. QJM 2006:99:49-55.
- 35. Rait G, K Walters, M Griffin, M Buszewicz, et al. Recent trends in the incidence of recorded depression in primary care. Br J Psychiatry 2009:195:520-4.
- 36. Shah SM, IM Carey, T Harris, S DeWilde, et al. The mental health and mortality impact of death of a partner with dementia. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2016:31:929-37.
- 37. Schneider C, SS Jick, U Bothner, and CR Meier. COPD and the risk of depression. Chest 2010:137:341-7. 38. Smeeth L, I Douglas, AJ Hall, R Hubbard, et al. Effect of statins on a wide range of health outcomes: a cohort
- study validated by comparison with randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2009:67:99-109.
- Smith DJ, H Court, G McLean, D Martin, et al. Depression and multimorbidity: a cross-sectional study of 1,751,841 patients in primary care. J Clin Psychiatry 2014:75:1202-8; quiz 1208.
- 40. Thomas KH, RM Martin, NM Davies, C Metcalfe, et al. Smoking cessation treatment and risk of depression, suicide, and self harm in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2013:347:f5704.
- 41. Tyrer F, RA Lawrenson, and RDT Farmer. A study of cardiovascular disease, depression and antidepressants on a computerised general practice database. Human Psychopharmacology: clinical & experimental 1999:14:233-237.
- 42. Vallerand IA, RT Lewinson, LM Parsons, MW Lowerison, et al. Risk of depression among patients with acne in the U.K.: a population-based cohort study. Br J Dermatol 2018:178:e194-e195.
- 43. Walters P, P Schofield, L Howard, M Ashworth, et al. The relationship between asthma and depression in primary care patients: a historical cohort and nested case control study. PLoS One 2011:6:e20750.
- 44. Yang CC, SS Jick, and H Jick. Lipid-lowering drugs and the risk of depression and suicidal behavior. Arch Intern Med 2003:163:1926-32.
- 45. John A, J McGregor, D Fone, F Dunstan, et al. Case-finding for common mental disorders of anxiety and depression in primary care: an external validation of routinely collected data. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2016:16:35.
- 46. Turner GM, M Calvert, MG Feltham, R Ryan, et al. Ongoing impairments following transient ischaemic attack: retrospective cohort study. Eur J Neurol 2016:23:1642-1650.
- 47. Brown A, O Kirichek, A Balkwill, G Reeves, et al. Comparison of dementia recorded in routinely collected hospital admission data in England with dementia recorded in primary care. Emerg Themes Epidemiol 2016:13:11.
- 48. Davies NM, PG Kehoe, Y Ben-Shlomo, and RM Martin. Associations of anti-hypertensive treatments with Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, and other dementias. J Alzheimers Dis 2011:26:699-708.
- Donegan K, N Fox, N Black, G Livingston, et al. Trends in diagnosis and treatment for people with dementia in the UK from 2005 to 2015: a longitudinal retrospective cohort study. Lancet Public Health 2017:2:e149e156.
- 50. Dregan A, P Chowienczyk, and MC Gulliford. Are Inflammation and Related Therapy Associated with All-Cause Dementia in a Primary Care Population? J Alzheimers Dis 2015:46:1039-47.
- 51. Dregan A, P Chowienczyk, and D Armstrong. Patterns of anti-inflammatory drug use and risk of dementia: a matched case-control study. Eur J Neurol 2015:22:1421-8.
- 52. Dunn N, C Holmes, and M Mullee. Does lithium therapy protect against the onset of dementia? Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2005:19:20-2.
- 53. Dunn N, M Mullee, VH Perry, and C Holmes. Association between dementia and infectious disease: evidence from a case-control study. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2005:19:91-4.
- 54. Emdin CA, PM Rothwell, G Salimi-Khorshidi, A Kiran, et al. Blood Pressure and Risk of Vascular Dementia: Evidence From a Primary Care Registry and a Cohort Study of Transient Ischemic Attack and Stroke. Stroke 2016:47:1429-35.
- 55. Goh KL, K Bhaskaran, C Minassian, SJ Evans, et al. Angiotensin receptor blockers and risk of dementia: cohort study in UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2015:79:337-50.
- 56. Hippisley-Cox J and C Coupland. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. BMJ 2010:340:c2197.
- 57. Imfeld P, M Bodmer, SS Jick, and CR Meier. Metformin, other antidiabetic drugs, and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a population-based case-control study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012:60:916-21.
- 58. Imfeld P, YB Brauchli Pernus, SS Jick, and CR Meier. Epidemiology, co-morbidities, and medication use of patients with Alzheimer's disease or vascular dementia in the UK. J Alzheimers Dis 2013:35:565-73.
- 59. Imfeld P, M Bodmer, SS Jick, and CR Meier. Benzodiazepine Use and Risk of Developing Alzheimer's Disease or Vascular Dementia: A Case-Control Analysis. Drug Saf 2015:38:909-19.
- 60. Jick H, GL Zornberg, SS Jick, S Seshadri, et al. Statins and the risk of dementia. Lancet 2000:356:1627-31.
- 61. Judge A, C Garriga, NK Arden, S Lovestone, et al. Protective effect of antirheumatic drugs on dementia in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Alzheimers Dement (N Y) 2017:3:612-621.
- 62. Khan NF, D Mant, L Carpenter, D Forman, et al. Long-term health outcomes in a British cohort of breast, colorectal and prostate cancer survivors: a database study. Br J Cancer 2011:105 Suppl 1:S29-37.
- 63. Khosrow-Khavar F, S Rej, H Yin, A Aprikian, et al. Androgen Deprivation Therapy and the Risk of Dementia in Patients With Prostate Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2017:35:201-207.
- 64. Lu N, M Dubreuil, Y Zhang, T Neogi, et al. Gout and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: a population-based, BMImatched cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 2016:75:547-51.
- 65. Mehta HB, V Mehta, and JS Goodwin. Association of Hypoglycemia With Subsequent Dementia in Older Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2017;72:1110-1116.
- 66. Mehta HB, V Mehta, CL Tsai, H Chen, et al. Development and Validation of the RxDx-Dementia Risk Index to Predict Dementia in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Hypertension. J Alzheimers Dis 2016:49:423-32.
- 67. Perera G, L Pedersen, D Ansel, M Alexander, et al. Dementia prevalence and incidence in a federation of European Electronic Health Record databases: The European Medical Informatics Framework resource. Alzheimers Dement 2018:14:130-139.
- 68. Qizilbash N, J Gregson, ME Johnson, N Pearce, et al. BMI and risk of dementia in two million people over two decades: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015:3:431-436.
- 69. Seshadri S, GL Zornberg, LE Derby, MW Myers, et al. Postmenopausal estrogen replacement therapy and the risk of Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2001:58:435-40.
- 70. Strom BL, R Schinnar, J Karlawish, S Hennessy, et al. Statin Therapy and Risk of Acute Memory Impairment. JAMA Intern Med 2015:175:1399-405.
- 71. Walters K, S Hardoon, I Petersen, S lliffe, et al. Predicting dementia risk in primary care: development and validation of the Dementia Risk Score using routinely collected data. BMC Med 2016:14:6.
- 72. Donegan K, R Beau-Lejdstrom, B King, S Šeabroke, et al. Bivalent human papillomavirus vaccine and the risk of fatigue syndromes in girls in the UK. Vaccine 2013:31:4961-7.
- Collin SM, IJ Bakken, I Nazareth, E Crawley, et al. Trends in the incidence of chronic fatigue syndrome and fibromyalgia in the UK, 2001-2013: a Clinical Practice Research Datalink study. J R Soc Med 2017:110:231-244.
- 74. Gallagher AM, JM Thomas, WT Hamilton, and PD White. Incidence of fatigue symptoms and diagnoses presenting in UK primary care from 1990 to 2001. J R Soc Med 2004:97:571-5.
- 75. Hamilton WT, AM Gallagher, JM Thomas, and PD White. Risk markers for both chronic fatigue and irritable bowel syndromes: a prospective case-control study in primary care. Psychol Med 2009:39:1913-21.
- 76. Becker C, GP Brobert, PM Almqvist, S Johansson, et al. Migraine incidence, comorbidity and health resource utilization in the UK. Cephalalgia 2008:28:57-64.
- 77. Campbell P, M Shraim, KP Jordan, and KM Dunn. In sickness and in health: A cross-sectional analysis of concordance for musculoskeletal pain in 13,507 couples. Eur J Pain 2016:20:438-46.
- 78. Hall GC, D Carroll, D Parry, and HJ McQuay. Epidemiology and treatment of neuropathic pain: the UK primary care perspective. Pain 2006:122:156-62.
- 79. Hall GC, SV Morant, D Carroll, ZL Gabriel, et al. An observational descriptive study of the epidemiology and treatment of neuropathic pain in a UK general population. BMC Fam Pract 2013:14:28.
- 80. Mansfield KE, J Sim, P Croft, and KP Jordan. Identifying patients with chronic widespread pain in primary care. Pain 2017:158:110-119.
- 81. Ruigomez A, LA Rodriguez, MA Wallander, S Johansson, et al. Chest pain in general practice: incidence, comorbidity and mortality. Fam Pract 2006:23:167-74.
- 82. Wallander MA, S Johansson, A Ruigomez, and LA Garcia Rodriguez. Unspecified abdominal pain in primary care: the role of gastrointestinal morbidity. Int J Clin Pract 2007:61:1663-70.
- 83. Zondervan KT, PL Yudkin, MP Vessey, MG Dawes, et al. Prevalence and incidence of chronic pelvic pain in primary care: evidence from a national general practice database. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1999:106:1149-55.
- 84. Blumentals WA, RR Brown, and A Gomez-Caminero. Antihypertensive treatment and erectile dysfunction in a cohort of type II diabetes patients. Int J Impot Res 2003:15:314-7.
- 85. Hagberg KW, HA Divan, R Persson, JC Nickel, et al. Risk of erectile dysfunction associated with use of 5alpha reductase inhibitors for benign prostatic hyperplasia or alopecia: population based studies using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. BMJ 2016:354:i4823.
- 86. Morant S, G Bloomfield, V Vats, and C Chapple. Increased sexual dysfunction in men with storage and voiding lower urinary tract symptoms. J Sex Med 2009:6:1103-1110.
- 87. Schlesinger N, N Lu, and HK Choi. Gout and the Risk of Incident Erectile Dysfunction: A Body Mass Indexmatched Population-based Study. J Rheumatol 2018:45:1192-1197.
- 88. Abdul Sultan A, C Mallen, R Hayward, S Muller, et al. Gout and subsequent erectile dysfunction: a population-based cohort study from England. Arthritis Res Ther 2017:19:123.
- 89. Roddy E, S Muller, R Hayward, and CD Mallen. The association of gout with sleep disorders: a crosssectional study in primary care. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2013:14:119.
- 90. Wallander MA, S Johansson, A Ruigomez, LA Garcia Rodriguez, et al. Morbidity associated with sleep disorders in primary care: a longitudinal cohort study. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry 2007:9:338-45.
- 91. Andersohn F, R Schade, SN Willich, and E Garbe. Use of antiepileptic drugs in epilepsy and the risk of selfharm or suicidal behavior. Neurology 2010:75:335-40.

- 92. Arana A, CE Wentworth, JL Ayuso-Mateos, and FM Arellano. Suicide-related events in patients treated with antiepileptic drugs. N Engl J Med 2010:363:542-51.
- 93. Carr MJ, DM Ashcroft, E Kontopantelis, Y Awenat, et al. The epidemiology of self-harm in a UK-wide primary care patient cohort, 2001-2013. BMC Psychiatry 2016:16:53.
- 94. Carr MJ, DM Ashcroft, E Kontopantelis, D While, et al. Premature Death Among Primary Care Patients With a History of Self-Harm. Ann Fam Med 2017:15:246-254.
- 95. Coupland C, P Dhiman, R Morriss, A Arthur, et al. Antidepressant use and risk of adverse outcomes in older people: population based cohort study. BMJ 2011:343:d4551.
- 96. Coupland C, T Hill, R Morriss, A Arthur, et al. Antidepressant use and risk of suicide and attempted suicide or self harm in people aged 20 to 64: cohort study using a primary care database. BMJ 2015:350:h517.
- 97. Donovan S. The Use of the General Practice Research Database (Gprd) to Examine Potential Links between Antidepressant Medication and the Incidence of Suicide. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science 1996:30:785-792.
- 98. Doyle M, D While, PL Mok, K Windfuhr, et al. Suicide risk in primary care patients diagnosed with a personality disorder: a nested case control study. BMC Fam Pract 2016:17:106.
- 99. Gao S, J Juhaeri, S Reshef, and WS Dai. Association between body mass index and suicide, and suicide attempt among British adults: the health improvement network database. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013:21:E334-42.
- 100. Hall GC. Validation of death and suicide recording on the THIN UK primary care database. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2009:18:120-31.
- Hayes JF, A Pitman, L Marston, K Walters, et al. Self-harm, Unintentional Injury, and Suicide in Bipolar Disorder During Maintenance Mood Stabilizer Treatment: A UK Population-Based Electronic Health Records Study. JAMA Psychiatry 2016;73:630-7.
- 102. Hesdorffer DC, L Ishihara, DJ Webb, L Mynepalli, et al. Occurrence and Recurrence of Attempted Suicide Among People With Epilepsy. JAMA Psychiatry 2016:73:80-6.
- 103. Haste F, J Charlton, and R Jenkins. Potential for suicide prevention in primary care? An analysis of factors associated with suicide. Br J Gen Pract 1998:48:1759-63.
- 104. Jick SS, AD Dean, and H Jick. Antidepressants and suicide. BMJ 1995:310:215-8.
- 105. Jick SS, C Vasilakis, C Martinez, and H Jick. A study of the relation of exposure to quinolones and suicidal behaviour. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1998:45:77-81.

106. Jick SS, HM Kremers, and C Vasilakis-Scaramozza. Isotretinoin use and risk of depression, psychotic symptoms, suicide, and attempted suicide. Arch Dermatol 2000:136:1231-6.

- 107. Jick H, JA Kaye, and SS Jick. Antidepressants and the risk of suicidal behaviors. JAMA 2004:292:338-43.
- 108. Jick H, KW Hagberg, and P Egger. Rate of suicide in patients taking montelukast. Pharmacotherapy 2009:29:165-6.
- 109. Lalmohamed A, MT Bazelier, TP Van Staa, BM Uitdehaag, et al. Causes of death in patients with multiple sclerosis and matched referent subjects: a population-based cohort study. Eur J Neurol 2012:19:1007-14.
- 110. Martinez C, S Rietbrock, L Wise, D Ashby, et al. Antidepressant treatment and the risk of fatal and nonfatal self harm in first episode depression: nested case-control study. BMJ 2005:330:389.
- 111. Mines D, D Hill, H Yu, and L Novelli. Prevalence of risk factors for suicide in patients prescribed venlafaxine, fluoxetine, and citalopram. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2005:14:367-72.
- 112. Osborn D, G Levy, I Nazareth, and M King. Suicide and severe mental illnesses. Cohort study within the UK general practice research database. Schizophr Res 2008:99:134-8.
- 113. Rubino A, N Roskell, P Tennis, D Mines, et al. Risk of suicide during treatment with venlafaxine, citalopram, fluoxetine, and dothiepin: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2007:334:242.
- Schuerch M, C Gasse, NJ Robinson, Y Alvarez, et al. Impact of varying outcomes and definitions of suicidality on the associations of antiepileptic drugs and suicidality: comparisons from UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and Danish national registries (DNR). Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2016:25 Suppl 1:142-55.
- 115. Thomas KH, N Davies, C Metcalfe, F Windmeijer, et al. Validation of suicide and self-harm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013:76:145-57.
- 116. Tyrrell EG, E Orton, and LJ Tata. Changes in poisonings among adolescents in the UK between 1992 and 2012: a population based cohort study. Inj Prev 2016:22:400-406.
- 117. Webb RT, E Kontopantelis, T Doran, P Qin, et al. Risk of self-harm in physically ill patients in UK primary care. J Psychosom Res 2012:73:92-7.
- 118. Webb RT, E Kontopantelis, T Doran, P Qin, et al. Suicide risk in primary care patients with major physical diseases: a case-control study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012:69:256-64.
- 119. Wijlaars LP, I Nazareth, HJ Whitaker, SJ Evans, et al. Suicide-related events in young people following prescription of SSRIs and other antidepressants: a self-controlled case series analysis. BMJ Open 2013;3:e003247.
- 120. Windfuhr K, D While, N Kapur, DM Ashcroft, et al. Suicide risk linked with clinical consultation frequency, psychiatric diagnoses and psychotropic medication prescribing in a national study of primary-care patients. Psychol Med 2016:46:3407-3417.

# Supplementary appendix 4, table 1. List of Read codes used in the studies of anxiety.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Fu41 00	[X]Other anxiety disorders	Number of studies
Eu41100	[X]Generalized anxiety disorder	5
Eu41z11	[X]Anxiety NOS	5
Eu41000	[X]Panic disorder [episodic paroxysmal anxiety]	4
Eu05400	[X]Organic anxiety disorder	4
Eu41112	[X]Anxiety reaction	4
Eu41111	[X]Anxiety neurosis	4
Eu41200	[X]Anxiety disorder, unspecified	4
E202.12 E200200	Ceneralised anviety disorder	4
E200200	Anxiety states	4
E200000	Anxiety state unspecified	4
E200z00	Anxiety state NOS	4
Eu40.00	[X]Phobic anxiety disorders	3
Eu40z00	[X]Phobic anxiety disorder, unspecified	3
Eu41012	[X]Panic state	3
Eu41011	[X]Panic attack	3
Eu41900	[X]Other specified anxiety disorders	3
Eu41300 Fu41211	[X]Mild anxiety depression	3
Eu41113	[X]Anxiety state	3
E200500	Recurrent anxiety	3
E200100	Panic disorder	3
E200111	Panic attack	3
E200400	Chronic anxiety	3
1B1V.00	C/O - panic attack	3
1B13.11	Anxiousness - symptom	3
TB13.00	Anxiousness	3
E200300 Eu93200	IXISocial anxiety disorder of childhood	3 2
Eu34114	[X]Persistant anxiety depression	2
Eu40012	[X]Panic disorder with agoraphobia	2
Eu40y00	[X]Other phobic anxiety disorders	2
Eu41200	[X]Mixed anxiety and depressive disorder	2
Eu93y12	[X]Childhood overanxious disorder	2
Eu41y11	[X]Anxiety hysteria	2
E2D0.00	Disturbance of anxiety and fearfulness childhood/adolescent	2
E2D0200	Ageraphobia with papie attacks	2
E202100 E292400	Adjustment reaction with anxious mood	2
E280.00	Acute panic state due to acute stress reaction	2
Z4I7.00	Acknowledging anxiety	2
Eu40100	[X]Social phobias	1
Eu40112	[X]Social neurosis	1
Eu93000	[X]Separation anxiety disorder of childhood	1
Eu42100	[X]Predominantiy compulsive acts [obsessional rituals]	1
Eu93100 Eu42 12	[X]Chsessive-compulsive neurosis	1
Eu42.00	[X]Obsessive - compulsive riculosis	1
Eu45215	[X]Nosophobia	1
Eu46z11	[X]Neurosis NOS	1
Eu40300	[X]Needle phobia	1
Eu45213	[X]Hypochondriacal neurosis	1
Eu45212	[X]Dysmorphophobia nondelusional	1
	[A]Dream anxiety disorder	1
Eu40213 Eu60600	[X]Clausilophobia [X]Anxious [avoidant] personality disorder	1
Eu00000 Fu42 11	[X]Anankastic neurosis	1
Eu40011	[X]Agoraphobia without history of panic disorder	1
Eu40000	[X]Agoraphobia	1
R2y2.00	[D]Nervousness	1
R2y2.12	[D]Nervous tension	1
R2y2.11	[D]Nerves	1
1BK00	vv orried	1
1012.12 E202200	rension - Nervous Social phobia, fear of eating in public	1
E202300	Single major depressive episode upspecified	1
E292000	Separation anxiety disorder	1
Z4I7211	Reducing anxiety	1
Z4I7100	Recognising anxiety	1
E29y100	Other post-traumatic stress disorder	1
E203z00	Obsessive-compulsive disorder NOS	1
E203100	Obsessional neurosis	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
225J.00	O/E - panic attack	1
2258.00	O/E - anxious	1
1465.00	H/O: depression	1
1466.00	H/O: anxiety state	1
1B100	General nervous symptoms	1
E275711	Compulsive water drinking	1
E203000	Compulsive neurosis	1
1P300	Compulsive behaviour	1
E202800	Claustrophobia	1
E2D0000	Childhood and adolescent overanxiousness disturbance	1
1B13.12	Anxious	1
8G94.00	Anxiety management training	1
Z4L1.00	Anxiety counselling	1
E203.11	Anancastic neurosis	1
Z4I7200	Alleviating anxiety	1
E202200	Agoraphobia without mention of panic attacks	1
1B12.00	'Nerves' - nervousness	1
1B12.11	'Nerves'	1

### Supplementary appendix 4, table 2. ICD codes used in the studies of anxiety.

Study	Databases	ICD version	List of codes	
Bouras, 2016	CPRD + HES	ICD-10	F40-F48	
CPRD – Clinical I	Practice Research Datalink	; HES – Hospital Episode Statistics; I	CD - International Classific	cation
of Diseases.				

Supplementary appendix 4, table 3. List of Read codes used in the studies of depression.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
E112.00	Single major depressive episode	14
E112000	Single major depressive episode, unspecified	14
E112100	Single major depressive episode, mild	14
E112200	Single major depressive episode, moderate	14
E112300	Single major depressive episode, severe, without psychosis	14
E112z00	Single major depressive episode NOS	14
E135.00	Agitated depression	14
E112.11	Agitated depression	13
E112.12	Endogenous depression first episode	13
E112.13	Endogenous depression first episode	13
E112.14	Endogenous depression	13
E112500	Single major depressive episode, partial or unspec remission	13
E2B00	Depressive disorder NEC	13
E2B1.00	Chronic depression	13
Eu32.00	[X]Depressive episode	13
E113.00	Recurrent major depressive episode	12
E11y200	Atypical depressive disorder	12
E11z200	Masked depression	12
Eu32.11	[X]Single episode of depressive reaction	12
Eu32.12	[X]Single episode of psychogenic depression	12
Eu32.13	[X]Single episode of reactive depression	12
Eu32000	[X]Mild depressive episode	12
Eu32100	[X]Moderate depressive episode	12
Eu32200	[X]Severe depressive episode without psychotic symptoms	12
Eu32400	[X]Mild depression	12
Eu32y00	[X]Other depressive episodes	12
Eu32z00	[X]Depressive episode, unspecified	12
Eu33.00	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder	12
E112400	Single major depressive episode, severe, with psychosis	11
E113.11	Endogenous depression - recurrent	11
E130.11	Psychotic reactive depression	11
Eu32212	[X]Single episode major depression w'out psychotic symptoms	11
Eu32y11	[X]Atypical depression	11
Eu32z11	[X]Depression NOS	11
Eu32z12	[X]Depressive disorder NOS	11
1B17.00	Depressed	10
E112600	Single major depressive episode, in full remission	10
E113000	Recurrent major depressive episodes, unspecified	10
E113100	Recurrent major depressive episodes, mild	10
E113200	Recurrent major depressive episodes, moderate	10
E113300	Recurrent major depressive episodes, severe, no psychosis	10
E113500	Recurrent major depressive episodes, partial/unspec remission	10
E113700	Recurrent depression	10
E113z00	Recurrent major depressive episode NOS	10
E130.00	Reactive depressive psychosis	10
E200300	Anxiety with depression	10
E291.00	Prolonged depressive reaction	10
Eu32211	[X]Single episode agitated depressn wout psychotic symptoms	10
EU32213	[X]Single episode vital depression wout psychotic symptoms	10
Eu32311	[X]Single episode of major depression and psychotic symptoms	10
EU32313	[A]Single episode of psychotic depression	10
Eu32y12	[A]Single episode of masked depression NUS	10
EU32Z13	[X]Protoriged single episode of reactive depression	10
Eu33.11	[X]Recurrent episodes of depressive reaction	10
EU33.12	[X]Recurrent episodes of psychogenic depression	10
Eu33.13	[X]Recurrent episodes of reactive depression	10
EU33000	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode mild	10
EU33100	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode moderate	10
Eu33211	[X]Enaogenous depression without psychotic symptoms	10

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Eu33y00	[X]Other recurrent depressive disorders	10
Eu33z00	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, unspecified	10
Eu34114	[X]Persistant anxiety depression	10
1B1U.00	Symptoms of depression	9
1B1U.11	Depressive symptoms	9
E113600	Recurrent major depressive episodes, in full remission	9
Eu32300	[X]Severe depressive episode with psychotic symptoms	9
Eu32312	[X]Single episode of psychogenic depressive psychosis	9
Eu32314	[X]Single episode of reactive depressive psychosis	9
Eu32500	[X]Major depression, mild	9
Eu32600	[X]Major depression, moderately severe	9
Eu32700	[X]Major depression, severe without psychotic symptoms	9
Eu32z14	[X] Reactive depression NOS	9
Eu33214	[X]Vital depression, recurrent without psychotic symptoms	9
Eu33311	[X]Endogenous depression with psychotic symptoms	9
Eu33z11	[X]Monopolar depression NOS	9
Eu34113	[X]Neurotic depression	9
Eu41200	[X]Mixed anxiety and depressive disorder	9
Eu41211	[X]Mild anxiety depression	9
1B17.11	C/O - feeling depressed	8
E113400	Recurrent major depressive episodes, severe, with psychosis	8
E204.00	Neurotic depression reactive type	8
Eu33200	[X]Recurr depress disorder cur epi severe without psyc sympt	8
Eu33212	[X]Major depression, recurrent without psychotic symptoms	8
Eu33400	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, currently in remission	8
Eu34111	[X]Depressive neurosis	8
Eu3y111	[X]Recurrent brief depressive episodes	8
1BT00	Depressed mood	7
2257.00	O/E - depressed	7
E1112	Depressive psychoses	7
Eu32800	[X]Major depression, severe with psychotic symptoms	7
Eu33.14	[X]Seasonal depressive disorder	7
Eu33313	[X]Recurr severe episodes/major depression+psychotic symptom	7
Eu33314	[X]Recurr severe episodes/psychogenic depressive psychosis	7
Eu33315	[X]Recurrent severe episodes of psychotic depression	7
Eu33316	[X]Recurrent severe episodes/reactive depressive psychosis	7
9H90.00	Depression annual review	6
9H91.00	Depression medication review	6
9H92.00	Depression interim review	6
9HA0.00	On depression register	6
E290.00	Brief depressive reaction	6
Eu33300	[X]Recurrent depress disorder cur epi severe with psyc symp	6
Eu34100	[X]Dysthymia	6
E118.00	Seasonal affective disorder	5
E204.11	Postnatal depression	5
E290200	Brief depressive reaction NOS	5
Eu53011	[X]Postnatal depression NOS	5
EU53012		5
1465.00	H/O: depression	4
1BQ00	Loss of capacity for enjoyment	4
8HHq.00	Referral for guided self-neip for depression	4
EUZY300 Eu33 15	Diug-inuuceu uepiessive slate IXISAD - Seasonal affectivo disordor	4
Eu33.13	ר שרטנאל - סבמסטומו מווכטנועל עוגטועלו וDiDestonorativo doprossion	4
RUU/213	Lose of interest in providually aniquable activity	4
10FU.UU		3
	Low mood	3
2129 00	Loss of hope for the future Depression recolved	3
2123.00 8CA2.00	Depression resolved	о С
	Depression monitoring first letter	ວ ຈ
90v1 00	Depression monitoring instruction	о С
30 1.00	Depression monitoring second letter	3

Read code	Description	Number of studies
90v2.00	Depression monitoring third letter	3
9Ov3.00	Depression monitoring verbal invite	3
90v4.00	Depression monitoring telephone invite	3
9k400	Depression - enhanced services administration	3
E001300	Presenile dementia with depression	3
E002100	Senile dementia with depression	3
E2B0.00	Postviral depression	3
Eu20400	[X]Post-schizophrenic depression	3
Eu34112	[X]Depressive personality disorder	3
Eu92000	[X]Depressive conduct disorder	3
1JJ00	Suspected depression	2
8BK0.00	Depression management programme	2
9HA1.00	Removed from depression register	2
90v00	Depression monitoring administration	2
9k40.00	Depression - enhanced service completed	2
9kQ00	On full dose long term treatment depression - enh serv admin	2
E115.00	Bipolar affective disorder, currently depressed	2
E11y.00	Other and unspecified manic-depressive psychoses	2
E211200	Depressive personality disorder	2
Eu25100	[X]Schizoaffective disorder, depressive type	2
Eu25111	[X]Schizoaffective psychosis, depressive type	2
Eu25112	[X]Schizophreniform psychosis, depressive type	2
Eu32B00	[X]Antenatal depression	2
Eu33213	[X]Manic-depress psychosis,depressd,no psychotic symptoms	2
Eu34y00	[X]Other persistent mood affective disorders	2
Eu34z00	[X]Persistent mood affective disorder, unspecified	2
Eu3y100	[X]Other recurrent mood affective disorders	2
Eu3z.00	[X]Unspecified mood affective disorder	2
1645.00	Excessive fluid intake	1
1B1J.00	Emotional problem	1
1B1J.11	Emotional upset	1
1BO00	Mood swings	1
1BP00	Loss of interest	1
1BT12	Sad mood	1
1S400	Mood observations	1
1S40.00	Dysphoric mood	1
388J.00	Hospital anxiety and depression scale	1
388K.00	Geriatric depression scale	1
388P.00	HAD scale: depression score	1
388g.00	Beck depression inventory second edition score	1
62T1.00	Puerperal depression	1
6896.00	Depression screening using questions	1
8082.00	Emotional and psychosocial support and advice	1
9ON3.00	Stress monitoring default	1
9ON4.00	Stress monitoring 1st letter	1
9ON5.00	Stress monitoring 2nd letter	1
9ON6.00	Stress monitoring 3rd letter	1
9ON7.00	Stress monitoring verbal inv.	1
90N8.00	Stress monitoring phone invite	1
90N9.00	Stress monitoring deleted	1
90NA.00	Stress monitoring check done	1
90NZ.00	Stress monitoring admin.NUS	1
E002.00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features	1
E002z00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features NOS	1
E004300	Arterioscierotic dementia with depression	1
E115.11	Manic-depressive - now depressed	1
E115000	Bipolar attective disorder, currently depressed, unspecified	1
E115100	Bipolar attective disorder, currently depressed, mild	1
E115200	Bipolar attective disorder, currently depressed, moderate	1
E115300	Bipolar affect disord, now depressed, severe, no psychosis	1
E115400	Bipolar affect disord, now depressed, severe with psychosis	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
E115500	Bipolar affect disord, now depressed, part/unspec remission	1
E115600	Bipolar affective disorder, now depressed, in full remission	1
E115z00	Bipolar affective disorder, currently depressed, NOS	1
E11y000	Unspecified manic-depressive psychoses	1
E11z100	Rebound mood swings	1
E222		1
E283.00	Other acute stress reactions	1
E283z00	Other acute stress reaction NOS	1
E284.00	Stress reaction causing mixed disturbance of emotion/conduct	1
E282.00	Adjustment reaction incominant disturbance other emotions	1
E292.00	Adjustment reaction, predominant disturbance other emotions	1
E292400	Adjustment reaction with mixed disturbance of emotion	1
E292z00	Adjustment reaction with disturbance of other emotion NOS	1
E294.00	Adjustment reaction with disturbance emotion and conduct	1
E2C4.00	Mixed disturbance of conduct and emotion	1
E2C4z00	Mixed disturbance of conduct and emotion NOS	1
E35		1
E4J5		1
Eu02z16	[X] Senile dementia, depressed or paranoid type	1
Eu300	[X]Mood - affective disorders	1
Eu31.11	[X]Manic-depressive illness	1
Eu31300	[X]Bipolar affect disorder cur epi mild or moderate depressn	1
Eu31400	[X]Bipol aff disord, curr epis sev depress, no psychot symp	1
Eu31500	[X]Bipolar affect dis cur epi severe depres with psyc symp	1
Eu31600	[X]Bipolar affective disorder, current episode mixed	1
Eu31y00	[X]Other bipolar affective disorders	1
Eu31y11	[X]Bipolar II disorder	1
Eu31200	[A]Dipolar allective disorder, dispectived	1
Eu33312 Eu34 00	[X]Persistent mood affective disorders	1
Eu3y 00	[X]Other mood affective disorders	1
Eu3v000	[XIOther single mood affective disorders	1
Eu3y011	[X]Mixed affective episode	1
Eu3yy00	[X]Other specified mood affective disorders	1
Eu400	[X]Neurotic, stress - related and somoform disorders	1
Eu43.00	[X]Reaction to severe stress, and adjustment disorders	1
Eu43000	[X]Acute stress reaction	1
Eu43012	[X]Acute reaction to stress	1
Eu43y00	[X]Other reactions to severe stress	1
Eu43z00	[X]Reaction to severe stress, unspecified	1
Eu92.11	[X]Emotional behavioural problems	1
R007z14	[D]Work stress	1
ZR2A.00	Beck depression inventory	1
ZRZA.11	Bui - Beck depression inventory	1
ZR2B.00	Behaviour and mood disturbance scale	1
ZR20.00	Brief depression rating scale	1
ZR7 00	Depression anxiety scale	1
ZR800	Depression self rating scale	1
ZR811	DSRS - Depression self rating scale	1
ZRBY.00	Edinburgh postnatal depression scale	1
ZRBY.11	EPDS - Edinburgh postnatal depression scale	1
ZRL6.00	Geriatric depression scale	1
ZRL6.11	GDS - Geriatric depression scale	1
ZRL6.12	Geriatric depression score	1
ZRLU.00	Hamilton rating scale for depression	1
ZRLU.11	HAMD - Hamilton rating scale for depression	1
ZRLU.12	HRSD - Hamilton rating scale for depression	1
ZRLfH00	Health of the Nation Outcome Scale item 7 - depressed mood	1
ZRLfI00	Health of the Nation Outcome Scale item 7 - depressed mood	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
ZRLn.00	Hopelessness scale	1
ZRLr.00	Hospital anxiety and depression scale	1
ZRLr.11	HAD - Hospital anxiety and depression scale	1
ZRLr.12	HADS - Hospital anxiety and depression scale	1
ZRVM.00	Leeds scale for the self-assessment of anxiety & depression	1
ZRaH.00	Mood affective checklist	1
ZRaH.11	MACL - Mood affective checklist	1
ZRbS.00	Positive and negative affect schedule	1
ZRby.00	Profile of mood states	1
ZRby.11	POMS - Profile of mood states	1
ZRrl.00	Wakefield self-assessment depression inventory	1
ZRrY.00	WHO depression scale	1
ZRrc.00	Zung self-rating depression scale	1
ZRrc.11	SDS - Zung self-rating depression scale	1
ZV11100	[V]Personal history of affective disorder	1
ZV11111	[V]Personal history of manic-depressive psychosis	1
ZV11112	[V]Personal history of manic-depressive psychosis	1

#### Supplementary appendix 4, table 4. ICD codes used in the studies of depression.

Study	Databases	ICD version	List of codes
Bouras, 2016	CPRD + HES	ICD-10	F32, F33
Jenkins-Jones, 2018	CPRD + HES	ICD-10	F32, F33, F41.2, F92.0

CPRD – Clinical Practice Research Datalink; HES – Hospital Episode Statistics; ICD - International Classification of Diseases.

# **Supplementary appendix 4, table 5.** List of Read codes used in the studies of composite outcomes of anxiety and depression.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Eu32200	[X]Severe depressive episode without psychotic symptoms	2
Eu33z00	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, unspecified	2
Eu33400	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, currently in remission	2
Eu33100	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode moderate	2
Eu33000	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode mild	2
EU33.00	[X]Recurrent depressive disorder	2
EU33200	[X]Recuit depress disorder cur epi severe without psyc sympt	2
Eu41v00	[X]Other specified anxiety disorders	2
Eu33v00	[X]Other recurrent depressive disorders	2
Eu32v00	[X]Other depressive episodes	2
Eu41.00	[X]Other anxiety disorders	2
Eu32100	[X]Moderate depressive episode	2
Eu41200	[X]Mixed anxiety and depressive disorder	2
Eu32000	[X]Mild depressive episode	2
Eu32400	[X]Mild depression	2
Eu41100	[X]Generalized anxiety disorder	2
Eu34100	[X]Dysthymia	2
Eu32z00	[X]Depressive episode, unspecified	2
Eu32.00	[X]Depressive episode	2
Eu41z00	[X]Anxiety disorder, unspecified	2
1B1U.00	Symptoms of depression	2
E112000	Single major depressive episode, unspecified	2
E112300	Single major depressive episode, severe, without psychosis	2
E112000	Single major depressive episode, partial of unspectremission	2
E112200	Single major depressive episode, mild	2
E112100 E112600	Single major depressive episode, in full remission	2
E112000	Single major depressive episode, in full remission	2
E112200	Single major depressive episode	2
E118.00	Seasonal affective disorder	2
E113500	Recurrent major depressive episodes partial/unspec remission	2
E113000	Recurrent major depressive episodes, unspecified	2
E113300	Recurrent major depressive episodes, severe, no psychosis	2
E113200	Recurrent major depressive episodes, moderate	2
E113100	Recurrent major depressive episodes, mild	2
E113600	Recurrent major depressive episodes, in full remission	2
E113z00	Recurrent major depressive episode NOS	2
E113.00	Recurrent major depressive episode	2
E113700	Recurrent depression	2
E200500	Recurrent anxiety	2
E291.00	Prolonged depressive reaction	2
E2B0.00	Postviral depression	2
E200100	Panic disorder	2
2257.00	U/E - depressed	2
E204.00	Ceneralized anyiety disorder	2
E200200	Depressive disorder NEC	2
1BT 00		2
1B17.00	Depressed	2
F2B1 00	Chronic depression	2
E200400	Chronic anxiety	2
E200300	Anxiety with depression	2
E200.00	Anxiety states	2
E200000	Anxiety state unspecified	2
E200z00	Anxiety state NOS	2
E135.00	Agitated depression	2
Eu33214	[X]Vital depression, recurrent without psychotic symptoms	1
Eu3z.00	[X]Unspecified mood affective disorder	1
Eu40100	[X]Social phobias	1
Eu40112	[X]Social neurosis	1
Eu32213	[X]Single episode vital depression wout psychotic symptoms	1
Eu32314	[X]Single episode of reactive depressive psychosis	1
EU32.13	[A]Single episode of reactive depression	1
EU32313	[A]Single episode of psychotic depression	1
EU32312	[A]ongle episode of psychogenic depressive psychosis	1
EU32.12	[A]Single episode of masked depression NOS	1
Eu3∠y1∠ Eu32311	[A]OILIGIE EPISOUE OF MAINT DEPISION INDO	1
Eu32311	[X]ongle episode of major depression and psycholic symptoms [X]Single episode of depressive reaction	1
Eu32217	[X]Single episode of depressive reaction [X]Single episode major depression would never hotic symptoms	1
	process sprove major depression would be junctic symptoms	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Eu32211	[X]Single episode agitated depressn wout psychotic symptoms	1
Eu32300	[X]Severe depressive episode with psychotic symptoms	1
EU33.14	[X]Seasonal depressive disorder	1
EU33.13	[A]SAD - Seasoniai anective disorder	1
	[X]Right hemisphene organic anective disorder	1
Eu33315	[X]Recurrent severe episodes of nevelotic depression	1
Eu33 13	[X]Recurrent enisodes of reactive depression	1
Eu33 12	[X]Recurrent episodes of reactive depression	1
Eu33 11	[X]Recurrent episodes of depressive reaction	1
Eu33300	[X]Recurrent depress disorder cur epi severe with psyc symp	1
Eu3v111	[X]Recurrent brief depressive episodes	1
Eu33314	[X]Recurr severe episodes/psychogenic depressive psychosis	1
Eu33313	[X]Recurr severe episodes/major depression+psychotic symptom	1
Eu43z00	[X]Reaction to severe stress, unspecified	1
Eu43.00	[X]Reaction to severe stress, and adjustment disorders	1
Eu32z13	[X]Prolonged single episode of reactive depression	1
Eu42100	[X]Predominantly compulsive acts [obsessional rituals]	1
Eu20400	[X]Post-schizophrenic depression	1
Eu43100	[X]Post - traumatic stress disorder	1
Eu40.00	[X]Phobic anxiety disorders	1
Eu34.00	[X]Persistent mood affective disorders	1
Eu34z00	[X]Persistent mood affective disorder, unspecified	1
Eu34114	[X]Persistant anxiety depression	1
Eu41012	[X]Panic state	1
Eu40012	[X]Panic disorder with agoraphobia	1
Eu41011	[X]Panic attack	1
Eu3yy00	[X]Other specified mood affective disorders	1
Eu3y000	[X]Other single mood affective disorders	1
Eu3y100	[X]Other recurrent mood affective disorders	1
Eu43y00	[X]Other reactions to severe stress	1
Eu34y00	[X]Other persistent mood affective disorders	1
Eu42y00	[X]Other obsessive-compulsive disorders	1
Eu3y.00	[X]Other mood affective disorders	1
Eu41300	[X]Other mixed anxiety disorders	1
EU31900	[X]Other bipolar affective disorders	1
EU05300	[X]Organic mood [affective] disorders	1
EU05400	[X]Organic anxiety disorder	1
EU00010	[X]Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder	1
Eu42.12	[X]Obsessive-compulsive fleurosis	1
Eu42200	[X]Obsessive-compulsive disorder	1
	[X]Neurotic stress - related and somoform disorders	1
Eu34113	[X]Neurotic depression	1
Eu3 00	[X]Mood - affective disorders	1
Eu33z11	[X]Mononolar depression NOS	1
Eu3v011	[X]Mixed affective enisode	1
Eu03011	[X]Mild anxiety depression	1
Eu31 13	[X]Manic-depressive reaction	1
Eu31 12	[X]Manic-depressive nsychosis	1
Eu31.11	[X]Manic-depressive illness	1
Eu33312	[X]Manic-depress psychosis, depressed type+psychotic symptoms	1
Eu33213	[X]Manic-depress psychosis,depressd,no psychotic symptoms	1
Eu32700	[X]Major depression, severe without psychotic symptoms	1
Eu32800	[X]Major depression, severe with psychotic symptoms	1
Eu33212	[X]Major depression, recurrent without psychotic symptoms	1
Eu32600	[X]Major depression, moderately severe	1
Eu32500	[X]Major depression, mild	1
Eu33211	[X]Endogenous depression without psychotic symptoms	1
Eu33311	[X]Endogenous depression with psychotic symptoms	1
Eu51511	[X]Dream anxiety disorder	1
Eu34112	[X]Depressive personality disorder	1
Eu34111	[X]Depressive neurosis	1
EU32Z12	[X]Depressive alsoraer NOS	1
EU92000		1
	[A]Compulaive percenditu dicorder	1
	[A]Compulsive personality disorder	1
	[A]Compatibility	1
Eu43013	[/]UUIIJal Taliyue [V]Dinalar affective disorder, uppressified	1
Eu31200 Eu31700	[A]Diputar affective disorder, currently in remission	1
Eu31600	[/]Dipolar affective disorder, current enicode mixed	1
Eu31900	IXIBinolar affective disorder type II	1
Eu31800	IXIBipolar affective disorder type I	1
Eu31.00	IXIBipolar affective disorder	1
	F. 1- 1- The second s	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Eu31300	[X]Bipolar affect disorder cur epi mild or moderate depressn	1
Eu31500	[X]Bipolar affect dis cur epi severe depres with psyc symp	1
Eu31y11	[X]Bipolar II disorder	1
Eu31911	[X]Bipolar II disorder	1
Eu31400	[X]Bipol aff disord, curr epis sev depress, no psychot symp	1
Eu32y11	[X]Atypical depression	1
Eu41113	[X]Anxiety state	1
Eu41112	[X]Anxiety reaction	1
Eu41111	[X]Anxiety neurosis	1
Eu41y11	[X]Anxiety hysteria	1
Eu41z11	[X]Anxiety NOS	1
Eu42.11	[X]Anankastic neurosis	1
Eu40011	[X]Agoraphobia without history of panic disorder	1
Eu40000	[X]Agoraphobia	1
Eu3z.11	[X]Affective psychosis NOS	1
Eu34011	[X]Affective personality disorder	1
Eu43000	[X]Acute stress reaction	1
Eu43012	[X]Acute reaction to stress	1
Eu32z14	[X] Reactive depression NOS	1
ZV11112	[V]Personal history of manic-depressive psychosis	1
ZV11111	[V]Personal history of manic-depressive psychosis	1
ZV11100	[V]Personal history of affective disorder	1
R2y2.00	[D]Nervousness	1
1BK00	Worried	1
E11y000	Unspecified manic-depressive psychoses	1
E117400	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, severe with psychosis	1
E117000	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, unspecified	1
E117300	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, severe, no psychosis	1
E117200	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, moderate	1
E117100	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, mild	1
E117600	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, in full remission	1
E117z00	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder, NOS	1
E117.00	Unspecified bipolar affective disorder	1
E117500	Unspecified bipolar affect disord, partial/unspec remission	1
E11z000	Unspecified affective psychoses NOS	1
E211000	Unspecified affective personality disorder	1
1JJ00	Suspected depression	1
E284.00	Stress reaction causing mixed disturbance of emotion/conduct	1
67J00	Stress counselling	1
E202.11	Social phobic disorders	1
E112400	Single major depressive episode, severe, with psychosis	1
E002z00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features NOS	1
E002.00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features	1
E002100	Senile dementia with depression	1
1B11Z	Sad mood	1
	Referral for guided self-help for depression	1
8HHp.00	Referral for guided self-nelp for anxiety	1
	Reducing anxiety	1
E113400	Recurrent major depressive episodes, severe, with psychosis	1
2417 100 E117100	Recognising driving	1
	Rebound mood swings	1
E130.00	Nedeuve depressive psychologis Develotic reactive depression	1
E130.11 E001300	r sycholic reactive depression Presentia dementia with depression	1
E001300	Post-traumatic brain syndrome	1
E202 00	Phobic disorders	1
E202.00	Phobic anxiety	1
E202000	Phobia unspecified	1
8CAa 00	Patient given advice about management of depression	1
E200111	Panic attack	1
E200111	Other post-traumatic stress disorder	1
E11v300	Other mixed manic-depressive psychologes	1
E11vz00	Other and unspecified manic-depressive psycholes NOS	1
E11v 00	Other and unspecified manic-depressive psychologies	1
E11z 00	Other and unspecified affective psychoses	1
E11zz00	Other affective psychosis NOS	1
E283.00	Other acute stress reactions	1
E283z00	Other acute stress reaction NOS	1
E03v200	Organic affective syndrome	1
9kQ 00	On full dose long term treatment depression - enh serv admin	1
9HA0 00	On depression register	1
F203 00	Obsessive-compulsive disorders	1
E203z00	Obsessive-compulsive disorder NOS	1
E214100	Obsessional personality	1
E203100	Obsessional neurosis	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
225J.00	O/E - panic attack	1
2259.00	O/E - nervous	1
225K.00	O/E - fearful mood	1
2253.00	O/E - distressed	1
2258.00	O/E - anxious	1
E116000	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, unspecified	1
E116300	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, severe, without psychosis	1
E116400	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, severe, with psychosis	1
E116500	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, partial/unspec remission	1
E116200	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, moderate	1
E116100	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, mild	1
E116600	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, in full remission	1
E116z00	Mixed bipolar affective disorder, NOS	1
E116.00	Mixed bipolar affective disorder	1
E11z200	Masked depression	1
E115.11	Manic-depressive - now depressed	1
13Y3.00	Manic-depression association member	1
1BT11	Low mood	1
1BU00	Loss of hope for the future	1
1BQ00	Loss of capacity for enjoyment	1
146D.00	H/O: manic depressive disorder	1
1465.00	H/O: depression	1
1466.00	H/O: anxiety state	1
1B1H.00	Frightened	1
7522600	Flooding - obsessional compulsive disorder	1
1B1T 00	Feeling stressed	1
167B100	Feeling low or worried	1
F202D00	Fear of death	1
1B1H 11	Fear	1
9hC 00	Exception reporting: depression quality indicators	1
9hC.0.00	Excepted from depression quality indicators: Patient unsuita	1
9hC1 00	Excepted from depression quality indicators: Informed dissen	1
E112 13	Endogenous depression first enisode	1
E112.10	Endogenous depression first episode	1
E113 11	Endogenous depression - recurrent	1
E112 14	Endogenous depression	1
QN54 00	Encounter for fear	1
1B11111	Depressive symptoms	1
	Depressive symptoms	1
E1112 E211200	Depressive psychoses	1
001/2 00	Depressive personality disorder	1
9013.00	Depression monitoring verbal livite	1
9012.00	Depression monitoring talenhone invite	1
90v4.00 90v1 00	Depression monitoring second letter	1
901.00	Depression monitoring first letter	1
90,000	Depression monitoring distriction	1
900.00	Depression modification review	1
	Depression medication review	1
	Depression interim review	1
9092.00	Depression annual review	1
90.00	Depression annual review	1
9K400	Depression - enhanced services completed	1
5K40.00	Compulsive personality disorders	1
$E_214.00$	Compulsive personality disorder NOS	1
E214200 E203000	Compulsive personality disorder NOS	1
E203000	Compulsive helpoise	1
153.00 E20 14	Compatibility Dellaviour	1
E2811		1
1810.00	C/O - panic attack	1
	C/O - Teeling depressed	1
E290200	Brief depressive reaction NOS	1
E290.00	Binel depressive reaction	1
	Diputal psychoses	1
E115000	Diputal anective disorder, now depressed, in full remission	1
E115000	Dipolar affective disorder, currently depressed, unspecified	1
E115200	Diputar affective disorder, currently depressed, moderate	1
	Diputal anective disorder, currently depressed, MOS	1
	Dipolar affective disorder, currently depressed, NUS	1
	Diputal anective disord, currently depressed	1
E115300	Dipolar affect disord, now depressed, severe, no psychosis	1
E115400	Bipolar affect disord, now depressed, severe with psychosis	1
E115500	Bipolar arrect disord, now depressed, part/unspec remission	1
E119200	Atopical depressive disorder	1
	Arterioscierotic dementia with depression	1
1B1H.12	Apprenension	1
1813.00	ANXIOUSHESS	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
8G94.00	Anxiety management training	1
Z4L1.00	Anxiety counselling	1
173f.00	Anxiety about breathlessness	1
6659000	Antidepressant drug treatment started	1
E214000	Anankastic personality	1
E203.11	Anancastic neurosis	1
Z4I7200	Alleviating anxiety	1
E202200	Agoraphobia without mention of panic attacks	1
E202100	Agoraphobia with panic attacks	1
E112.11	Agitated depression	1
E1100	Affective psychoses	1
E211.00	Affective personality disorder	1
E292400	Adjustment reaction with anxious mood	1
E282.00	Acute stupor state due to acute stress reaction	1
E28z.00	Acute stress reaction NOS	1
E2800	Acute reaction to stress	1
E283100	Acute posttrauma stress state	1
E280.00	Acute panic state due to acute stress reaction	1
E281.00	Acute fugue state due to acute stress reaction	1
Z4I7.00	Acknowledging anxiety	1
1B12.00	'Nerves' - nervousness	1
9kQ11		1

# **Supplementary appendix 4, table 6.** List of Read codes used in the studies of cognitive impairment.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Eu01.00	[X]Vascular dementia	13
Eu01200	[X]Subcortical vascular dementia	13
Eu00112	[X]Senile dementia, Alzheimer's type	13
Eu00011	[X]Presenile dementia, Alzheimer's type	13
Eu01100	[X]Multi-infarct dementia	13
Eu00.00	[X]Dementia in Alzheimer's disease	13
Eu01.11	[X]Arteriosclerotic dementia	13
Eu00z11	[X]Alzheimer's dementia unspec	13
E000.00	Uncomplicated senile dementia	13
E004.11	Multi infarct dementia	13
E004.00	Arteriosclerotic dementia	13
F110000	Alzheimer's disease with early onset	13
F110.00	Alzheimer's disease	13
Eu01z00	[X]Vascular dementia, unspecified	12
Eu01000	[X]Vascular dementia of acute onset	12
Eu00012	[X]Primary degen dementia, Alzheimer's type, presenile onset	12
Eu00113	[X]Primary degen dementia of Alzheimer's type, senile onset	12
Eu01111	[X]Predominantiy cortical dementia	12
EU00200	[X]Dementia in Alzheimer's disease, unspecified	12
EU00100	[X]Dementia in Alzheimer's disease with late onset	12
Eu00000	[A]Dementia in Alzheimer's dis etunied er mixed tune	12
Eu00200	[A]Dementia in Alzheimer's dis, atypical of mixed type	12
Eu00013	[X]Alzheimer's disease type 2	12
E004000	Incomplicated arterioseleratic domentia	12
E004000	Arterioseleratio domentia with paraneia	12
E004200	Arteriosclerotic dementia with depression	12
E004300	Arteriosclerotic dementia with delirium	12
E004700	Arteriosclerotic dementia NOS	12
E004200 F110100	Alzheimer's disease with late onset	12
Fu01v00	IXIOther vascular dementia	11
Eu01300	[X]Mixed cortical and subcortical vascular dementia	11
Eu02 00	[X]Dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere	11
Eu02300	[X]Dementia in Parkinson's disease	11
Eu02z00	[X] Unspecified dementia	11
Eu02z14	[X] Senile dementia NOS	11
E0012	Senile/presenile dementia	11
E002000	Senile dementia with paranoia	11
E002100	Senile dementia with depression	11
E001.00	Presenile dementia	11
E041.00	Dementia in conditions EC	11
Fyu3000	[X]Other Alzheimer's disease	10
Eu02500	[X]Lewy body dementia	10
Eu02y00	[X]Dementia in other specified diseases classif elsewhere	10
Eu04100	[X]Delirium superimposed on dementia	10
Eu02z16	[X] Senile dementia, depressed or paranoid type	10
Eu02z13	[X] Primary degenerative dementia NOS	10
Eu02z11	[X] Presenile dementia NOS	10
E001000	Uncomplicated presentile dementia	10
E002z00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features NOS	10
E002.00	Senile dementia with depressive or paranoid features	10
E003.00	Senile dementia with delirium	10
E0011	Senile dementia	10
E001200	Presenile dementia with democia	10
E001300	Presenile dementia with delirium	10
E001700	Presenile dementia NOS	10
Eu02000	IVIDementia in Dick's disease	10
Eu02000	[X]Dementia in Huntington's disease	9
Eu02200	[X]Dementia in human immunodef virus [HIV] disease	8
Eu02100	[X]Dementia in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease	8
F112 00	Senile degeneration of brain	8
F116.00	Lewy body disease	8
F111.00	Pick's disease	7
E012.00	Other alcoholic dementia	6
E012.11	Alcoholic dementia NOS	6
Eu10711	[X]Alcoholic dementia NOS	5
E00z.00	Senile or presenile psychoses NOS	5
6AB00	Dementia annual review	5
Eu02z15	[X] Senile psychosis NOS	4
Eu02z12	[X] Presenile psychosis NOS	4

Read code	Description	Number of studies
ZS7C500	Language disorder of dementia	4
E02y100	Drug-induced dementia	4
66h00	Dementia monitoring	4
R00z011	[D]Memory deficit	3
e000.00	Uncomplicated senile dementia	3
Z7CEG00	Transient memory loss	3
Z7CF811	Short-term memory loss	3
E0000	Senile and presenile organic psychotic conditions	3
2900	SENILE DEMENTIA	3
Z7CF800	Poor short-term memory	3
Z7CEH15	Poor memory	3
2901A	PRESENILE DEMENTIA	3
E00y.00	Other senile and presenile organic psychoses	3
3A30.00	Memory: present place not knwn	3
Z7CEH14	Memory problem	3
1B1A.12	Memory loss symptom	3
1B1A.00	Memory loss - amnesia	3
Z7CE611	Memory loss	3
Z7CEJ00	Memory lapses	3
Z7CEH00	Memory impairment	3
1B1A.13	Memory disturbance	3
Z7CEC11	Loss of memory for recent events	3
Z7CE615	Loss of memory	3
1461.00	H/O: dementia	3
3AE6.00	GDS level 7 - very severe cognitive decline	3
3AE5.00	GDS level 6 - severe cognitive decline	3
3AE4.00	GDS level 5 - moderately severe cognitive decline	3
3AE3.00	GDS level 4 - moderate cognitive decline	3
3AE2.00	GDS level 3 - mild cognitive decline	3
3AE1.00	GDS level 2 - very mild cognitive decline	3
2930	DEMENTIA ARTERIOSCLEROTIC	3
299 G	DEMENTIA AGGRESSIVE	3
299 B	DEMENTIA	3
E012000	Chronic alcoholic brain syndrome	3
1B1A.11	Amnesia symptom	3
ZR1K.00	Alzheimer's disease assessment scale	3
2901B	ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE	3
ZR1K.11	ADAS - Alzheimer's disease assessment scale	3
Eu80200	[X]Receptive language disorder	2
R00z000	[D]Amnesia (retrograde)	2
ZS78D00	Wernicke's dysphasia	2
ZS78D13	Wernicke's aphasia	2
Z7C3500	Unable to use verbal reasoning	2
Z7C3200	Unable to reason	2
2705100	Unable to concentrate	2
1B1A000	Temporary loss of memory	2
Z/CEF00	I emporary loss of memory	2
Z7C5313	Short concentration span	2
	Short attention span	2
	Short attention span	2
	Referral to memory clinic	2
0FII.00	Referrar to dementia care advisor	2
100 00		2
7705311		2
E00y 11	Prochvonhronic nevelosie	2
Z70EP12	Poor momory for romoto overte	2
Z7CE000	Poor long-term memory	2
1BW/ 00		2
77CEC12	No memory for recent events	2
270EK00	Minor memory lanses	2
Z7CEL00	Mild memory disturbance	2
E2A1000	Mild memory disturbance	2
3A40.00	Memory: present year not known	2
3A20.00	Memory: present time not known	2
3A10.00	Memory: own age not known	2
3A50.00	Memory: own DOB not known	2
3A70.00	Memory: important event not kn	2
3A80.00	Memory: import.person not knwn	2
3A91.00	Memory: count down unsuccess.	2
3AA1.00	Memory: address recall unsucc.	2
Z7A1500	Memory retraining	2
Z7CE412	Memory loss symptom	2
Z7CE614	Memory loss - amnesia	2
Z7CE413	Memory loss - amnesia	2

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Z/CE612	Memory gone	2
Z7CE400	Memory disturbance (8 amosia (8 symptom))	2
Z7CE400	Memory disturbance	2
27CFH12	Memory deficit	2
Z7CFx00	Memory aided by use of labels	2
Z7CE415	Loss of memory	2
Z7CFO11	Long-term memory loss	2
Z7C5111	Lack of concentration	2
Z7CE616	LOM - Loss of memory	2
2901D	JACOB- CREUZFELDT DISEASE WITH DEMENTIA	2
Z/CEN11	Invents experiences to compensate for loss of memory	2
Z7CEA11 77CEA12	Impairment of working memory	2
Z7CEA13	Impaintent of primary memory	2
129B 00	FH <sup>·</sup> Alzheimer's disease	2
Z7A1A00	Executive functions training	2
9hD0.00	Excepted from dementia quality indicators: Patient unsuitabl	2
9hD1.00	Excepted from dementia quality indicators: Informed dissent	2
1S21.00	Disturbance of memory for order of events	2
Z7C3C00	Difficulty using visuospatial reasoning	2
Z7C3600	Difficulty using verbal reasoning	2
Z7C3300	Difficulty reasoning	2
Z7C4A00 Z7C4Z00	Difficulty processing information accurately	2
Z7C4300	Difficulty processing information	2
Z7CI100	Difficulty making plans	2
ZR3V.13	Dementia rating scale	2
9Ou4.00	Dementia monitoring verbal invite	2
9Ou3.00	Dementia monitoring third letter	2
9Ou5.00	Dementia monitoring telephone invite	2
9Ou2.00	Dementia monitoring second letter	2
9Ou1.00	Dementia monitoring first letter	2
3A12 770000	Delayed verbal memory	2
Z7CGP00 ZR3V 11	DRS - Clinical dementia rating scale	2
Y0601JS	DEMENTIA CLINIC ATTENDANCE	2
Y060 JS	DEMENTIA CLINIC	2
2919	DEMENTIA ALCOHOLIC	2
Z7300	Cognitive intervention strategies	2
28E00	Cognitive decline	2
ZR3V.00	Clinical dementia rating scale	2
ZR3V.12 770EH13	CDR - Clinical dementia rating scale	2
7R2X 12	BDRS - Blessed dementia rating scale	2
Z7A1400	Attention training	2
Z7CE600	Amnesia	2
EU02y0		2
X0034		2
AE00		2
Ryu5.00	[X]Symptoms/signs inv cognit, percept, emotion state & behav	1
Eu80000	[X]Severe learning disability	1
Eu81700	IXIProfound learning disability	1
Ryu5000	[X]Other amnesia	1
Ryu5100	XOth & unspec symptom/sign involv cognit funct/awareness	1
Eu81600	[X]Mild learning disability	1
Eu05700	[X]Mild cognitive disorder	1
Eu81z12	[X]Learning disorder NOS	1
Eu81z11	[X]Learning disability NOS	1
EU81213 Eu03 11	[X]Learn acquisition disab NOS	1
Eu00.11 Eu10611	[X]Korsakov's psychosis, nonaconolic [X]Korsakov's psychosis, alcohol induced	1
Eu80014	[X]Functional speech articulation disorder	1
Eu80100	[X]Expressive language disorder	1
Eu90000	[X]Disturbance of activity and attention	1
Eu44000	[X]Dissociative amnesia	1
Ryu5700	[X]Disorientation, unspecified	1
Eu10712	[X]Chronic alcoholic brain syndrome	1
	[X]Auditory processing disorder [V]Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder	1
	אראראניטט עבוטנו איז אראיזע אואטעפו געזאttention deficit disorder	1
ZS91.12	IXIAttention deficit disorder	1
Eu04.12	[X]Acute / subacute confusional state, nonalcoholic	1
ZV40000	VProblems with learning	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
R045100	[D]Dysphasia	1
R00zX00	[D]Disorientation, unspecified	1
R043.00	[D]Aphasia	1
R00z500	[D]Anterograde amnesia	1
E011200	Wernicke-Korsakov syndrome	1
C253.00	Wernicke's encephalopathy	1
C251.11	Wernicke's encephalopathy	1
Z7CMB00	Visuospatial agnosia	1
Z7A1800	Visual processing training	1
F481J00	Visual disorientation syndrome	1
F584000	Unspecified other abnormal auditory perception	1
Z7CH300	Unrealistic planning	1
Z7C7200	Unable to write	1
ZT46200	Unable to use verbal communication	1
ZT49200	Unable to use non-verbal communication	1
ZT47200	Unable to use language	1
Z7CI500	Unable to use decision-making strategies	1
Z7C6200	Unable to tell the time	1
ZT4f200	Unable to responds to communication by others	1
Z7C2600	Unable to recognise surroundings	1
Z7C2200	Unable to recognise sounds	1
Z7C2D00	Unable to recognise parts of own body	1
77C2L11	Unable to recognise objects visually	1
7702100	Unable to recognise objects history	1
Z7C2H00	Unable to recognise objects by sight	1
Z7C2T00	I Inable to recognise familiar people	1
Z7C2R00	Unable to recognise faces by sight	1
77C2P00	Linable to recognise faces	1
Z7CEC00	Unable to recognise faces	1
7708200	Unable to recail five digit number at five minutes	1
7704000	Unable to reace information at normal speed	1
2704900	Unable to process information at normal speed	1
Z7C4000 Z7C4200	Unable to process information accurately	1
Z/04200 ZM19200	Unable to process information	1
ZIVI 10200		1
	Unable to pran	1
ZIN20200	Unable to organise a journey	1
2701900	Unable to make considered choices	1
	Unable to analyse information	1
1B1S.00	Transient global amnesia	1
Z/CE/00	Transient global amnesia	1
ZS78600	Transcortical sensory dysphasia	1
ZS78411	I ranscortical motor aphasia	1
Z/CE/11	IGA - Transient global amnesia	1
ZS78300	Subcortical aphasia	1
E031.00	Subacute confusional state	1
Z7A2200	Strategy training for perceptual skills	1
ZS500	Speech and language dyspraxias	1
ZS00	Speech and language disorder	1
ZS73.00	Specific language impairment	1
ZS73.11	Specific language disorder	1
27CC700	Spatial disorientation	1
Z7CD400	Slow learner	1
F483200	Simultaneous visual perception without fusion	1
1B1A100	Snort-term memory loss	1
28E2.00	Severe cognitive impairment	1
ZS78A00	Semantic dysphasia	1
9Nk1.00	Seen in memory clinic	1
9N0y.00	Seen in learning disabilities clinic	1
342 CP	SENILE PARKINSONISM	1
Z7CE900	Retrograde amnesia	1
8HHP.00	Referral to learning disability team	1
8H4f.00	Referral to learning disabilities psychiatrist	1
1BR0.00	Reduced concentration span	1
ZS72.00	Receptive language impairment	1
ZS78C00	Receptive dysphasia	1
ZS78C11	Receptive aphasia	1
Z7A1700	Reality orientation	1
Z7A1711	RO - Reality orientation	1
Z7CE911	RA - Retrograde amnesia	1
ZRbf.00	Psycholinguistic assessments of language process in aphasia	1
ZD38300	Promoting aphasics communication effectiveness programme	1
8G96.00	Problem solving therapy	1
ZS78F00	Posterior dysphasia	1
1B1Y.00	Poor visual sequential memory	1
1B1a.00	Poor auditory sequential memory	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
F591z00	Perceptive hearing loss NOS	1
F591.14	Perceptive hearing loss	1
F591.13	Perceptive deafness	1
Z7CL700	Perception that things appear grey	1
Z7CL800	Perception that things appear flat	1
Z7CLE00	Perception of things changing size	1
Z7CLH00	Perception of things changing shape	1
Z7CL911	Perception of things changing colour	1
E2F2.00	Other specific learning difficulty	1
F584z00	Other abnormal auditory perception NOS	1
F584.00	Other abnormal auditory perception	1
Z7A1600	Orientation training	1
Z7CC312	Orientation poor	1
Z7CC311	Orientation confused	1
E2A1100	Organic memory impairment	1
918e.00	On learning disability register	1
29,14,00	O/F - sensory inattention	1
2B46 11	O/E - sensory dysphasia	1
2B43.00	O/E - sensory aphasia	1
2BM3 11	$\Omega/E$ - percentive deafness	1
2B45 11	$\Omega/E$ - motor dvsnhasia	1
2B42.00		1
2B46.00	$\Omega/E = \text{diventasia}$	1
2B45.00	O/E - dysphasia - school y O/F - dysphasia - motor	1
2B43.00 2B47.00	O/E = dysphasia = MOS	1
2047.00	O/E dysphasia - NOO	1
204.12	O/E - aphasia NOS	1
2044.00	O/E - aphasia NOS	1
20411	U/E - dpildsid	1
2578100	Non-fluent dysphasia	1
25/8111	Non-nuent aphasia	1
28E1.00	Moderate cognitive impairment	1
2578200	Mixed transcortical dysphasia	1
ZS78900	Mixed dysphasia	1
ZS78911	Mixed aphasia	1
Z7C2700	Mistakes people's identity	1
28E0.00	Mild cognitive impairment	1
3A60.00	Memory: present month not knwn	1
3A11.00	Memory: own age known	1
Z7A1300	Memory skills training	1
Z7CFz00	Memory aided by use of lists	1
Z7CFw00	Memory aided by use of diary	1
ZS34.11	Learning disability	1
9HB2.00	Learning disabilities health action plan reviewed	1
9HB1.00	Learning disabilities health action plan offered	1
9HB0.00	Learning disabilities health action plan declined	1
9HB4.00	Learning disabilities health action plan completed	1
9HB6.11	Learning disabilities annual health check declined	1
9HB6.00	Learning disabilities annual health assessment declined	1
9HB5.00	Learning disabilities annual health assessment	1
Z7CD200	Learning difficulties	1
ZS300	Language-related cognitive disorder	1
ZS700	Language impairment	1
ZS7C600	Language disorder associated with thought disorder	1
13ZA.00	Language difficulty	1
E011100	Korsakov's alcoholic psychosis with peripheral neuritis	1
E011000	Korsakov's alcoholic psychosis	1
E040.11	Korsakoff's non-alcoholic psychosis	1
ZS78D12	Jargon dysphasia	1
ZS78D11	Jargon aphasia	1
A411.00	Jakob-Creutzfeldt disease	1
ZS78212	Isolation dvsphasia	1
Z7CEA12	Impairment of immediate recall	1
Z7CD300	Impaired ability to learn new material	1
E201700	Hysterical amnesia	1
Z7CL100	Heightened visual perception	1
Z7CL511	Heightened perception of touch	1
Z7CLO00	Heightened perception of taste	1
Z7CLP11	Heightened perception of sound	1
Z7CLN11	Heightened perception of smells	1
27CLN12	Heightened perception of odours	1
Z7CL N00	Heightened olfactory perception	1
77CL P00	Heightened auditory perception	1
ZRI fE00	Health of the Nation Outcome Scale item 4 - cognitive probl	1
Z7CF200	Has delayed recall	1
ZS78800	Global dysphasia	1
	· · · <b>/</b> · <b>P</b> · · · · · · ·	

Read code	Description	Number of studies
3AE00	Global deterioration scale: assessment of prim deg dementia	1
Z370011 ZZCE500	Forgetful	1
Z702500 ZS78E11	Fluent anhasia	1
1281.00	FH: Senile dementia	1
ZS71.00	Expressive language impairment	1
ZS84.00	Expressive language disorder	1
ZS78G11	Expressive aphasia	1
9hD00	Exception reporting: dementia quality indicators	1
ZS78K00	Efferent motor dysphasia	1
ZS78K11	Efferent motor aphasia	1
ZS78.00	Dysphasia	1
Z146400	Does not use verbal communication	1
ZT4J400 ZT40400	Does not use non-verbal communication	1
ZT49400 7T4f400	Does not respond to communication by others	1
Z7C2900	Does not recognise self	1
Z7C2A00	Does not recognise photographs of self	1
Z7CEM00	Distortion of memory	1
Z7CC600	Disorientation for person	1
Z7C7300	Difficulty writing	1
ZT46500	Difficulty using verbal communication	1
ZT49500	Difficulty using non-verbal communication	1
Z/CI600	Difficulty using decision-making strategies	1
Z14A500	Difficulty using a non-speech system for communication	1
Z7C8300	Difficulty reading	1
ZM18500	Difficulty reading	1
Z7C9300	Difficulty performing logical sequencing	1
ZN28500	Difficulty organising a journey	1
Z7CI200	Difficulty making decisions	1
Z7CIA00	Difficulty making considered choices	1
ZT4g500	Difficulty imitating forms of communication	1
Z7C4D00	Difficulty analysing information	1
9HB7.11	Did not attend learning disabilities annual health check	1
9HB7.00	Did not attend learning disabilities annual health assessment	1
7901.00	DAMP - Deficits in attention motor control and perception	1
ZS78B11	Conduction aphasia	1
F591y00	Combined perceptive hearing loss	1
Z7A1.00	Cognitive skills training	1
ZD15.00	Cognitive neuropsychological language therapy	1
ZD38200	Cognitive behavioural language therapy	1
E2E0z00	Child attention deficit disorder NOS	1
	Changed perception of time	1
E11x700	Created becomeration due to Jakob - Creatzfeldt disease	1
ZS78H00	Broca's dysphasia	1
ZS78H11	Broca's aphasia	1
ZS76.00	Auditory processing disorder	1
E2E0000	Attention deficit without hyperactivity	1
ZS91.00	Attention deficit disorder	1
ZS78.11	Aphasia	1
Z/CE811	Antegrade amnesia	1
25/8511	Anomic apnasia	1
2310300 770FR00	Ampesia for remote events	1
Z7CEC00	Amnesia for recent events	1
Z7CEE00	Amnesia for important personal information	1
Z7CED00	Amnesia for day to day facts	1
13Y7.00	Alzheimer's disease society member	1
ZS7C.00	Acquired language disorder	1
ZS78100	Acquired dysphasias	1
90IA.00	ADHD monitoring invitation third letter	1
9018.00	ADHD monitoring invitation first letter	1
2591.11 77C2N00	AUD - Attention deficit disorder	1
E0120		1
6AB 00		1
Z7C3800		1
Xa25J		1
Z7CEB11		1
Z7CC800		1
Z7C2J00		1
XUUKK		1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Z7C3B00		1
Z7A1100		1
Z7C6300		1
Z7C9200		1
Z7C3900		1
Z7C2F00		1
Z7C2B00		1
Z7A1712		1
Z7A2100		1
Z7A2300		1

# Supplementary appendix 4, table 7. ICD codes used in the studies of dementia.

Study	Databases	ICD version	List of codes
Brown, 2016	CPRD + HES	ICD-10	E512, F00, F01, F02, F03, F10.6, F10.7, G30, G31.0
Emdin, 2016	CPRD + HES	ICD-10	F01
CPRD – Clinical Pract	ice Research Datalink; HES	S – Hospital Episode	Statistics; ICD - International Classification
of Diseases.			

Supplementary appendix 4, table 8. List of Read codes used in the studies of fatigue.

188.0   Tredness symptom   3     188.11   Fatigue symptom   3     188.12   Lethargy - symptom   3     188.200   Tred all the time   3     188.200   Tred all the time   3     188.200   Tredness symptom NOS   3     188.100   Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team   3     201.00   Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome   3     205.12   Tired all the time   3     205.00   Neurasthenia - nervous debility   3     205.12   Tired all the time   3     205.12   Tired all the time   3     205.12   Tired all trague syndrome   3     228.11   CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome   3     228.12   Postviral fatigue syndrome   3     228.13   PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome   3     228.14   Mestylic encephalomyelitis   3     228.15   Myalgic encephalomyelitis   3     228.16   Mestylic encephalomyelitis   3     228.17   Mestylic encephalomyelitis   3     228.16   Mesty	Read code	Description	Number of studies
108.11   Falique - symptom   3     108.12   Lethargy - symptom   3     108.200   Falique   3     108.11   C/O - debility - malaise   3     108.12   Tired all the time   3     108.14   C/O - debility - malaise   3     108.10   Referal to chronic faligue syndrome specialist team   3     108.10.0   Referal to chronic faligue syndrome   3     108.10.0   Activity management for chronic faligue syndrome   3     108.10.0   Neurasthemia - nervous debility   3     128.00   Chronic faligue syndrome   3     128.01   Crisonic faligue syndrome   3     128.11   CFS - Chronic faligue syndrome   3     128.12   Postviral faligue syndrome   3     128.14   Post-viral faligue syndrome   3     128.15   Myalgic encephalomyellits   3     128.16   ME - Myalgic encephalomyellits   3     128.16   Med arbor chronic faligue syndrome   3     128.16   Med arbor chronic faligue syndrome   3     128.16   Med arbor chronic faligue syndrome   3<	16800	Tiredness symptom	3
168.12   Lethargy - symptom   3     1682.00   Fatigue   3     1683.00   Tired all the time   3     1684.11   C/C - debitly - malaise   3     1682.00   Tiredness symptom NOS   3     81HW.00   Referal to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team   3     81HW.00   Referal to chronic fatigue syndrome activity management   3     8205.00   Neurasthenia - nervous debitly   3     8205.12   Tired all the time   3     8205.00   Neurasthenia - nervous debitly   3     8205.11   C/Foroic fatigue syndrome   3     828.61   C/Foroic fatigue syndrome   3     828.61   PCFS - Obstviral fatigue syndrome   3     828.61   Post-viral fatigue syndrome   3     828.61   Post-viral fatigue syndrome   3     828.61   Medargic encephalomyelitis   3     828.61   Medargic encephalomyelitis   3     828.610   Mid chronic fatigue syndrome   3     828.610   Modarat chronic fatigue syndrome   3     828.611   Dostviral fatigue syndrome   3 <	16811	Fatigue - symptom	3
1662.00Fatigué31683.00Tired all the time31684.11C/O - debility - malaise31684.11C/O - debility - malaise31684.11C/O - debility - malaise31684.00Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team381H.W.00Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome activity management3821.00Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome38205.00Neurasthenia - nervous debility31286.10Chronic fatigue syndrome31286.11CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome31286.12Postviral fatigue syndrome31286.13PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome31286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome31286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome31286.16ME - Myälgic encephalomyellits31286.17Myälgic encephalomyellits31286.18ME - Myälgic encephalomyellits31286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome31286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome31286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome31286.11DJCeneral weakness31297.11DJCeneral weakness31207.20DJMalaise and fatigue31207.20DJMalaise and fatigue NOS31286.13CO - postviral (asthenic) syndrome21286.14CO - triced all the time21286.15Malaise - symptom21286.1	16812	Lethargy - symptom	3
1683.00   Tired all the time   3     1684.11   C/O - debility - malaise   3     1682.00   Tiredness symptom NOS   3     8HW.00   Referal for chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team   3     8HW.100   Referal for chronic fatigue syndrome activity management   3     8205.00   Neurasthenia - nervous debility   3     8205.12   Tired all the time   3     8246.00   Chronic fatigue syndrome   3     8246.01   C/Facine fatigue syndrome   3     8286.11   C/FS - Chronic fatigue syndrome   3     8286.12   PofS - Postviral fatigue syndrome   3     8286.13   PofS - Postviral fatigue syndrome   3     8286.14   Postviral fatigue syndrome   3     8286.15   Majcie encephalomyelitis   3     8286.16   ME - Myaigic encephalomyelitis   3     8286.10   Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome   3     8286.10   Moderate syndrome   3     8286.10   Moderate syndrome   3     8286.10   Moderate syndrome   3     8286.10   Malaise and fatigue   3 </td <td>1682.00</td> <td>Fatigue</td> <td>3</td>	1682.00	Fatigue	3
1684.11C/O - debility - malaise31682.00Tiredness symptom NOS38HKW.00Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team38UH.00Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome activity management38201.00Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome38205.00Neurasthenia - nervous debility38205.01Neurasthenia - nervous debility38205.02Neurasthenia - nervous debility38205.01Chronic fatigue syndrome38206.01Chronic fatigue syndrome38206.12Postviral fatigue syndrome38286.13POS-tviral fatigue syndrome38286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome38286.15Malgic encephalomyelitis38286.06Chronic fatigue syndrome38286.16ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis38286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome38286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome38007.00ID/Ibalaise and fatigue38007.00ID/Ibalaise and fatigue38007.00ID/Ibalaise and fatigue38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itredness38007.80ID/Itred	1683.00	Tired all the time	3
1682.00     Tiredness symptom NOS     3       8HW.00     Referal for chronic faligue syndrome specialist team     3       8HI.00     Referal for chronic faligue syndrome activity management     3       8205.10     Neurasthenia - nervous debility     3       8205.11     Tired all the time     3       8205.12     Tired all the time     3       8246.00     Chronic faligue syndrome     3       8286.11     CFS - Chronic faligue syndrome     3       8286.12     PCS - Ostivial faligue syndrome     3       8286.13     PVFS - Postivial faligue syndrome     3       8286.14     Malgie encephalomyelitis     3       8286.15     Myalgie encephalomyelitis     3       8286.10     Moderate chronic faligue syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate chronic faligue syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate syndrome     3       8286.10     Severe chronic faligue syndrome	1684.11	C/O - debility - malaise	3
8HW.00     Referal to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team     3       8HL.00     Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8201.00     Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8205.00     Neurasthenia - nervous debility     3       8205.01     Tired all the time     3       8205.02     Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.11     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.12     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.15     Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       8286.16     ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       8286.00     Dil/adiae sond fatigue syndrome     3       8286.00     Dil/adiae sond fatigue syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.00     Dil/adiae sond fatigue syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3  <	168Z.00	Tiredness symptom NOS	3
8HIL.00     Referral for chronic fatigue syndrome activity management     3       8205.00     Neurasthenia - nervous debility     3       8205.12     Tired all the time     3       8205.01     IV/Fatigue syndrome     3       8206.01     IV/Fatigue syndrome     3       828.01     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       828.11     OFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       828.12     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       828.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       828.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       828.15     Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       828.16     ME - Myalgic ancephalomyelitis     3       828.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       828.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8007100     ID/Patigue     3     3       800711     ID/General weakness     3     3       8007200     ID/General weakness     3     3       8007400     ID/Post viral (asthenic) syndrome     2     2       828.11<	8HkW.00	Referral to chronic fatigue syndrome specialist team	3
801.00     Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8205.00     Neurastnenia - nervous debility     3       8205.12     Tired all the time     3       8206.00     Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.10     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.12     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.13     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       8286.15     Myalic encephalomyelitis     3       8286.16     Myalic encephalomyelitis     3       8286.00     Ibid chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.00     Mild chronic fatigue syndrome     3       8286.10     Myalic encephalomyelitis     3       8286.00     Bortigue syndrome     3       8286.00     Diplatigue syndrome     3       8286.00     Diplatigue syndrome     3       8007.00     Diplatigue syndrome     3       8007.00     Diplatigue syndrome     3       8007.00     Diplatigue syndrome     3       8007.00	8HIL.00	Referral for chronic fatigue syndrome activity management	3
E205.00     Neurasthenia - nervous debility     3       E205.12     Tired all the time     3       E246.00     Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.11     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.12     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.15     Mk2 - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.16     Mk2 - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     ID[Malaise and fatigue     3       F286.10     ID[Post viral (shtenic) syndrome     3       F286.10     ID[Post viral debility     3       F286.11     ID[Post viral debility     3       F286.11     C/O - tired all the time'<	8Q100	Activity management for chronic fatigue syndrome	3
E205.12     Tired all the time     3       Eu46011     [X]Fatigue syndrome     3       F286.00     Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.11     POstviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.12     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.14     Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.15     Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.16     M. Salgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.00     Mid chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.00     Severe chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.00     Severe chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.01     Differitigue syndrome     3       F286.02     Severe chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.01     Differitigue syndrome     3       F286.02     Severe chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.01     Differitigue syndrome     3       F286.02     Suporitigue syndrome     3	E205.00	Neurasthenia - nervous debility	3
Eu46011     [X]Fatigue syndrome     3       F286.01     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.12     CPS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.15     Mgaige encephalomyelitis     3       F286.16     ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     ID[Fatigue     3       R007.00     ID[Fatigue     3       R007.10     ID[Fatigue     3       R007.20     ID[Patigue Syndrome     3       R007.411     ID[Post viral dathenic) syndrome     3       R007.500     ID[Malaise and fatigue NOS     3       R08.13     Malaise and fatigue NOS     3       R08.11     C/O - Systiral syndrome     2       R08.13	E205.12	Tired all the time	3
F286.00Chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.11CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.12Postviral fatigue syndrome3F286.13PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome3F286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome3F286.15Myalgc encephalomyelitis3F286.16ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.17Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.18ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00DJFatigue3R007.00DJFatigue3R007.01DJFatigue3R007.02DJLethargy3R007.030DJLethargy3R007.040DJPostviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007.050DJTiredness3R007.00DJMalaise and fatigue NOS316813Malaise-symptom21684.10Malaise-flethargy21684.10Malaise/flethargy21684.10Malaise/flethargy21684.10Exhaustion2173.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Meakness present1183.20Fibromyalgia2173.21Myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1183.20Fibromyalgia2183.20Wea	Eu46011	[X]Fatigue syndrome	3
F286.11     CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.12     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.13     PVFS - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.15     Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.16     ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Diperatigue syndrome     3       R007.00     IDJMalaise and fatigue     3       R007.11     IDJGeneral weakness     3       R007200     IDJLethargy     3       R007410     IDJPost viral (atbinity ontome     3       R007500     IDJINalaise and fatigue NOS     3       R007500     IDJMalaise and fatigue NOS     3       R07501     IDJMalaise and fatigue NOS     3       R08.13     Malaise - symptom     2       168.13     C/O - postivral syndrome     2	F286.00	Chronic fatigue syndrome	3
F286.12Postviral fatigue syndrome3F286.13PVFS- Postviral fatigue syndrome3F286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome3F286.15Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.16ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.17Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.10Modate chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286200Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00DJPatigue3R007.01DJFatigue3R007400DJPostviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007400DJPostviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007500DJTiredness3R007500DJTiredness3R007500DJTiredness3R017200DJMalaise and fatigue NOS3R68.11C/O - tired all the time'21684.13Malaise-symptom21684.14C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome2123.12Weakness symptoms21244.00Erbaustion2123.12Weakness symptoms21243.00Fibromyalgia2R047.00DJMalaise2123.12Weakness symptoms21244.00Fibromyalgia2125.11Nervous exhaustion21244.00Fibromyalgia2123.00Weakness present1133.12Weakness present1	F286.11	CFS - Chronic fatigue syndrome	3
F286.13     PVF5 - Postviral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.14     Post-viral fatigue syndrome     3       F286.15     Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286.16     ME - Myalgic ancephalomyelitis     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286.10     DI/Malaise and fatigue     3       R007.00     ID/Batigue and fatigue     3       R007100     ID/Fatigue     3       R007400     ID/Postviral (asthenic) syndrome     3       R007400     ID/Postviral debility     3       R007500     ID/Italiase and fatigue NOS     3       R007500     ID/Italiase and fatigue NOS     3       R007500     ID/Italiase and fatigue NOS     3       R08.11     C/O - pixtviral syndrome     2       f68.40     Malaise resymptom     2       f68.41     C/O - postviral syndrome     2       f68.41     C/O - postviral syndrome     2       <	F286.12	Postviral fatigue syndrome	3
F286.14Post-viral fatigue syndrome3F286.15Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.16ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.00Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Modrate chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.00Diplaise and fatigue3R007.00Diplaise and fatigue3R007.01Dipletigue3R007201Dipletigue3R007400Dipletigue3R007400Dipletigue3R007500Dipletigue3R007500Dipletigue3R007500Dipletigue3R007500Dipletigue NOS316813Malaise and fatigue NOS316813Malaise - symptom21684.10Malaise and fatigue NOS21684.11C/O - postviral diplication21684.12Weakness symptome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.14C/O - postviral syndrome21684.15Veakness symptoms21709.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness program2183.12Weakness program2183.12Weakness program2183.12Weakness program2183.12Weakness program2184.00Fibromyalgia<	F286.13	PVFS - Postviral fatigue syn	3
F286.15Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.16ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis3F286.00Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.10Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome3F286.10Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00[D]Malaise and fatigue3R007101[D]Fatigue Syndrome3R007101[D]Fatigue Syndrome3R007101[D]Fatigue3R007101[D]Fatigue3R007411[D]Ceneral weakness3R007401[D]Post viral debility3R007500[D]Triedness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3R083.11C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.13Malaise' symptom21684.10Malaise'letharagy21684.00Exhaustion2183.11C/O - tired all the time'2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Nervous exhaustion22183.12Weakness symptoms22205.11Nervous exhaustion2239.00Fibromyalgia2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R037200[D]Asthenia NOS2R04000[D]Malaise1R057200[D]Asthenia NOS2R04000[D]Debility.unspecified1183.200Weakness present184KW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1<	F286.14	Post-viral fatigue syndrome	3
F286.16     ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis     3       F286000     Mid chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286100     Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome     3       F286200     Severe chronic fatigue syndrome     3       R007.00     [D]Malaise and fatigue     3       R007100     [D]Fatigue     3       R007100     [D]Lethargy     3       R007400     [D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome     3       R007400     [D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome     3       R007400     [D]Postviral debility     3       R007500     [D]Tiredness     3       R007200     [D]Malaise and fatigue NOS     3       R08.13     Malaise - symptom     2       1684.10     C/O - postviral syndrome     2       1684.10     C/O - postviral syndrome     2       1684.10     C/O - postviral syndrome     <	F286.15	Myalgic encephalomyelitis	3
F286000Mild chronic fatigue syndrome3F286100Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00[D]Malaise and fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007500[D]Tredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise-symptom21684.00Malaise-symptom21684.10Malaise/lethargy21684.10Malaise/lethargy21684.11C/O - tired all the time!21684.00Exhaustion21684.12Weakness symptoms2120.11Nervous exhaustion2123.12Weakness symptoms2120.14Malaise/lethargy2123.12Weakness symptoms2123.12Weakness symptoms2120.11Nervous exhaustion2123.20Fibromyalgia2123.30Fibromyalgia2123.41C/O 'Muzzy head'1132.00Weakness present114W.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team114W.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team114W.11Referral to myalgic encephal	F286.16	ME - Myalgic encephalomyelitis	3
F286100Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome3F286200Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00[D]Malaise and fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007211[D]General weakness3R007300[D]Lethargy3R007401[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21684.13C/O - tired all the time'21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.00Kahness symptoms21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.14C/O - postviral syndrome21684.15Weakness symptoms2200700[D]Malaise221684.00K]Neurasthenia2225.11Nervous exhaustion2248.00Fibromyalgia2249.00Fibromyalgia2249.00Fibromyalgia2249.00Fibromyalgia2249.00[D]Alaise2250.11Nervous present1183.20Weakness present1183.20[D]Debility, unspecified2249.00[D]Alaise1249.00[D]Asitude1183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'1 <td< td=""><td>F286000</td><td>Mild chronic fatigue syndrome</td><td>3</td></td<>	F286000	Mild chronic fatigue syndrome	3
F286200Severe chronic fatigue syndrome3R007.00[D]Malaise and fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007211[D]General weakness3R007300[D]Lethargy3R007400[D]Post viral (asthenic) syndrome3R007400[D]Post viral debility3R007500[D]Tredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3R683.11C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.13C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.13C/O - vitred all the time'21684.13C/O - vitred all the time'21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.10Malaise/lethargy2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Nervous exhaustion22183.12Weakness syngtoms2229.00Fibromyalgia2239.00Fibromyalgia2243.00Fibromyalgia2273.00[D]Debility, unspecified2183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'1183.20Weakness present1844wit11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1807000[D]Post timal agement for myalgic encephalopathy144zy300Encephalitis lethargica1184200KJPsychasthenia1184200[D]Post timal eurosis1184200[D]Post timal eurosis1 <td< td=""><td>F286100</td><td>Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome</td><td>3</td></td<>	F286100	Moderate chronic fatigue syndrome	3
R007.00[D]Malaise and fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007100[D]Fatigue3R007211[D]Ceneral weakness3R007300[D]Lethargy3R007411[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007400[D]Postviral debility3R007500[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - tired all the time'21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.10Malaise/lethargy21684.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness symptoms22183.12Weakness symptoms22205.11Nervous exhaustion2230.00Fibromyalgia22448.00Fibromyalgia2207000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2243.00[D]Debility, unspecified11832.00Weakness present1814.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasthenia1844y14X/Psychasth	F286200	Severe chronic fatigue syndrome	3
R007110[D]Fatigue3R007211[D]General weakness3R007300[D]Lethargy3R007400[D]Post viral (asthenic) syndrome3R007411[D]Post viral debility3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3R08.13Malaise - symptom21684.13C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.13C/O - post viral syndrome21684.13C/O - post viral syndrome2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Mervous exhaustion2210.31Myalgic encephalomyelitis22205.11Mervous exhaustion2239.00Fibromyalgia2248.00Fibromyalgia2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2223.00[D]Debility, unspecified11832.00Weakness present11832.00Weakness present181.14C/O Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present1184.01Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1804.11Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1184.05XJPsychasthenia neurosis1	R007.00	[D]Malaise and fatigue	3
R007211[D]General weakness3R007300[D]Lethargy3R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007411[D]Postviral debility3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.03Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.00Exhaustion2183.12Weakness symptoms2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Nervous exhaustion22109.00[X]Neurasthenia22239.00Fibromyalgia2N239.00Fibromyalgia2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R023.00Weakness present11832.00Weakness present1844.01Acfirity management for myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1842y300Encephalitis lethargica11844V.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1844y14[X]Psychasthenia11845y14[X]Psychasthenia11807600[D]Post polio exhaustion11807600[D]Post polio exhaustion11807600[D]Post polio exhaustion11807600[D]Post polio exhaustion11807600[D]Post polio exhaustion11807600 <td>R007100</td> <td>[D]Fatigue</td> <td>3</td>	R007100	[D]Fatigue	3
R007300[D]Lethargy3R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007411[D]Post viral debility3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3R08.13Malaise - symptom2R08.13Malaise - symptom2R08.13C/O - 'tired all the time'2R08.13C/O - postviral syndrome2R08.00Exhaustion2R08.13C/O - postviral syndrome2R08.01Exhaustion2R08.02Exhaustion2R08.03Exhaustion2R08.04[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2R007000[D]Malaise2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007000[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS1R01.11Activity management for myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1R01.11Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1Atzy300Encephalitis lethargica1R007600[D]Post polic exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polic exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polic exhaustion1	R007211	[D]General weakness	3
R007400[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome3R007401[D]Post viral debility3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - tired all the time'21684.00Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.00Exhaustion21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.00Exhaustion21688.00Exhaustion2123.12Weakness symptoms2124.13Nervous exhaustion2125.11Nervous exhaustion2124.800[X]Neurasthenia2123.900Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R07200[D]Malaise2R07200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00Fibromyalgia cencephalomyelitis specialist team1183.200Weakness present1181kW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1181kW.11Referral to myalgic encephalopathy1142y300Encephalitis lethargica1142y300Encephalitis lethargica1144y14[X]Psychasthenia1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007211[D]Lassitude </td <td>R007300</td> <td>[D]Lethargy</td> <td>3</td>	R007300	[D]Lethargy	3
R007411[D]Post viral debility3R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.00Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21688.00Exhaustion2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2R037.01Fibromyalgia2R037.02[D]Malaise2R037.00[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Debility, unspecified2183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'1183.20Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q1.11Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1144914[X]Psychasthenia11407211[D]Lassitude1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007201[D]Asathenia neurosis1R007201[D]Asathenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007211[D]Lassitude1R007200[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007400	[D]Postviral (asthenic) syndrome	3
R007500[D]Tiredness3R007200[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - tired all the time'21684.00Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome2183.12Weakness symptoms2205.11Nervous exhaustion22183.12Weakness symptoms220000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalopathy1142y300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1R007201[D]Senile asthenia1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007411	[D]Post viral debility	3
R007z00[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS3168.13Malaise - symptom21683.11C/O - 'tired all the time'21684.00Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21688.00Exhaustion2183.12Weakness symptoms2E205.11Nervous exhaustion2E40000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2239.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Debility, unspecified2183.14C/O 'huzzy head'11832.00Weakness present1841.41C/O 'huzzy head'11832.00Encephalitis lethargica1841.11Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy11424.2300Encephalitis lethargica1841.41K/Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Psciple asthenia1R007600[D]Psciple asthenia1R007200[D]Senile asthenia1R007200[D]Senile asthenia1R007200[D]Senile asthenia1R007200[D]Senile asthenia1R007200[D]Pschile asthenia1R007200[D]Pschile asthenia1R007200[D]Pschile asthenia1R007200[D]Pschile asthenia1R007	R007500	[D]Tiredness	3
168.13   Malaise - symptom   2     168.11   C/O - tired all the time'   2     1684.00   Malaise/lethargy   2     1684.13   C/O - postviral syndrome   2     183.12   Weakness symptoms   2     E205.11   Nervous exhaustion   2     E40000   [X]Neurasthenia   2     F03y.12   Myalgic encephalomyelitis   2     N248.00   Fibromyalgia   2     R007000   [D]Malaise   2     R007200   [D]Asthenia NOS   2     R2y3.00   [D]Debility, unspecified   2     1832.00   Weakness present   1     BHKW.11   Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team   1     8Q1.11   Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy   1     A4zy300   Encephalitis lethargica   1     Eu46y14   [X]Psychasthenia neurosis   1     R007600   [D]Post polio exhaustion   1 <td>R007z00</td> <td>[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS</td> <td>3</td>	R007z00	[D]Malaise and fatigue NOS	3
1683.11   C/O - 'tired all the time'   2     1684.00   Malaise/lethargy   2     1684.13   C/O - postviral syndrome   2     1688.00   Exhaustion   2     183.12   Weakness symptoms   2     E205.11   Nervous exhaustion   2     E4000   [X]Neurasthenia   2     P03y.12   Myalgic encephalomyelitis   2     N239.00   Fibromyalgia   2     R00700   [D]Malaise   2     R007200   [D]Asthenia NOS   2     R007200   [D]Asthenia NOS   2     R03y.10   Weakness present   1     B4KW.11   Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team   1     8011.11   Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy   1     A4zy300   Encephalitis lethargica   1     8045/14   [X]Psychasthenia neurosis   1     R007600   [D]Pcst polic exhaustion   1     R007600   [D]Post polic exhaustion   1     R007600   [D]Post polic exhaustion   1     R007600   [D]Post polic exhaustion   1	16813	Malaise - symptom	2
1684.00Malaise/lethargy21684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21688.00Exhaustion21688.01Exhaustion218312Weakness symptoms2E205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified2183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Dest polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Dest polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1R007201[D]Lassitude1R007201[D]Lassitude1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1683.11	C/O - 'tired all the time'	2
1684.13C/O - postviral syndrome21688.00Exhaustion2183.12Weakness symptoms2E205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007000[D]Debility, unspecified2183.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team1801.11Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007201[D]Senile asthenia1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007201[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1684.00	Malaise/lethargy	2
1688.00Exhaustion21B312Weakness symptoms2E205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified216814C/O 'Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1Eu46915[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007711[D]Lassitude1R007203[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1684.13	C/O - postviral syndrome	2
1B312Weakness symptoms2E205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified21832.00Weakness present184KW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007211[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1688.00	Exhaustion	2
E205.11Nervous exhaustion2Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified21832.00Weakness present18HKW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007600[D]Senile asthenia1R007211[D]Lassitude1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1B312	Weakness symptoms	2
Eu46000[X]Neurasthenia2F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified216814C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007201[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007211[D]Lassitude1R007211[D]Lassitude1R007211[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	E205.11	Nervous exhaustion	2
F03y.12Myalgic encephalomyelitis2N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified2188.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11832.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R0077211[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	Eu46000	[X]Neurasthenia	2
N239.00Fibromyalgia2N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified216814C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R0077211[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	F03y.12	Myalgic encephalomyelitis	2
N248.00Fibromyalgia2R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified2168.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	N239.00	Fibromyalgia	2
R007000[D]Malaise2R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified2188.14C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	N248.00	Fibromyalgia	2
R007200[D]Asthenia NOS2R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified216814C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007000	[D]Malaise	2
R2y3.00[D]Debility, unspecified216814C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007200	[D]Asthenia NOS	2
16814C/O 'Muzzy head'11B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R2y3.00	DDebility, unspecified	2
1B32.00Weakness present18HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	16814	C/O 'Muzzy head'	1
8HkW.11Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team18Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	1B32.00	Weakness present	1
8Q111Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy1A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	8HkW.11	Referral to myalgic encephalomyelitis specialist team	1
A4zy300Encephalitis lethargica1Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	8Q111	Activity management for myalgic encephalopathy	1
Eu46y14[X]Psychasthenia1Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	A4zy300	Encephalitis lethargica	1
Eu46y15[X]Psychasthenia neurosis1R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	Eu46y14	[X]Psychasthenia	1
R007600[D]Post polio exhaustion1R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	Eu46y15	[X]Psychasthenia neurosis	1
R007z11[D]Lassitude1R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007600	[D]Post polio exhaustion	1
R202.00[D]Senile asthenia1SN44.00Exhaustion due to exposure1	R007z11	[D]Lassitude	1
SN44.00 Exhaustion due to exposure 1	R202.00	[D]Senile asthenia	1
	SN44.00	Exhaustion due to exposure	1

### Supplementary appendix 4, table 9. List of Read codes used in the studies of pain.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
NyuAG	[X]Uns sof tis d,use/overu/prs	2
NyuA	[X]Other soft tissue disorders	2
Nyu80	[X]Other myositis	2
Nyu3	[X]Other joint disorders	2
Ryu70	[X]Other chronic pain	2
NyuAF	[X]Oth spcf soft tissu disords	2
Nyu85	[X]Oth spot disorders/muscle	2
	[X]Oth sit tis diso/oth dis CE	2
NyuoA Nyuo	[X]Diaordoro/ovrovium+tondon	2
Nyu9 Nyu8		2
Nyu8B	[X]Disorder of muscles	2
R01zz	[D]Nerv/musculoskel sympt NOS	2
R01z	[D]Nerv/musculoskel symp other	2
R01	[D]Musculoskeletal symptoms	- 2
R01z2	[D]Musculoskeletal pain	2
R00z2	DGeneral aches and pains	2
N22z	Synovium/tendon/bursa dis.NOS	2
N0950	Stiff joint NEC-site unspecif.	2
N0958	Stiff joint NEC-other specif.	2
N240	Rheumatism/fibrositis NOS	2
N2	Rheumatism, excl.the back	2
N240z	Rheumatism or fibrositis NOS	2
N2400	Rheumatism NOS - shoulder	2
N2403	Rheumatic pain	2
N24z	Polyalgia	2
NU9	Other/unspecif.joint disorders	2
N22yz	Other tendon disorder NOS	2
N2332	Other specif arthronathy NOS	2
	Other special in opality NOS	2
NOQV	Other spec. and disorders	2
N24	Other soft tissue disorders	2
N3z	Other musculoskeletal dis NOS	2
N247	Other musculoskel limb sympts.	2
N23y	Other muscle/ligament/fascia	2
N23yz	Other musc./lig./fasc.dis.NOS	2
N096z	Other joint symptoms NOS	2
N0968	Other joint symptother spec.	2
N0969	Other joint symptmultip.site	2
N09yz	Other joint disorders NOS	2
N09y0	Other joint dissite unspec.	2
N09y8	Other joint disother specif.	2
N09y9	Other joint dismultiple site	2
N2y	Nonarticular rheumatism OS	2
N2Z	Nonarticular rheumatism NOS	2
N39 N20-	Nonaliopathic lesions, NEC	2
N392	Myositis upposified	2
N2411 N2480	Myofascial pain syndrome	2
N2400	Myolascial part synarome Myalaia/myositis unspecified	2
N2417	Myalgia/myositis NOS	2
N3v	Musculoskeletal disorders OS	- 2
Nv	Musculoskeletal diseases OS	- 2
Nz	Musculoskeletal diseases NOS	2
N2402	Muscular rheumatism	2
N23z	Muscle/ligament/fascia dis.NOS	2
N2410	Muscle pain	2
N0959	Multiple joint stiffness	2
N0450	Juv ankylosing spondylitis	2
N095z	Joint stiffness NEC NOS	2
N095	Joint stiffness NEC	2
NU9ZZ	Joint disorders NOS	2
	Joint disorder NOS	2
		2
	Joint disord NOS multiple site	2
N006		2
N2401	Fibrositis unspecified	2
N2412	Fibromyositis NOS	2
N248	Fibromvalgia	2
N239	Fibromvalgia	2
-		—

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N23	Fascia disorders	2
N06zB	Chronic arthritis	2
N06z0	Arthropathy NOS-site unspecif.	2
N06z8	Arthropathy NOS-other specif.	2
N0629	Arthropathy NOS-multiple sites	2
	Arthropathia NOS	2
	Arthritic	2
	Arthralgia of multiple joints	2
N0943	Arthralgia NOS	2
N0940	Arthralgia NOO	2
N0948	Arthralgia - other specified	2
N094	Ache in joint	2
OX7179KB	viral myalgia /ox	1
7K6T1	release of Torticollis	1
N2452	neuropathic pain	1
OX7289CH	back pain /ox	1
Syu4E	[X]Unspecif inj should/up arm	1
NyuB8	[X]Unsp osteopor + pathol frac	1
Nyu28	[X]Unilat second gonarthrosis	1
Nyu25	[X]Unilat primary gonarthrosis	1
Nyu4	[X]Systmc connetvitis disordrs	1
Nyu4C Nyu05	[X]Sys also/colline v v als CE	1
Nyu95 Nyu97	[X]Synovial hypertrophy. NEC	1
Ryu3	[X]Sym/sign inv nv/muscskel sv	1
Svi12	[X]Superf ini neck part unsp	1
Svu84	[X]Sprn/str oth unsp part knee	1
Syu46	[X]Spr/str oth/un part shl gir	1
Syu36	[X]Spr/str ot/un pt lum sp/pel	1
Syu18	[X]Spr/str jt/lg ot/un pt neck	1
Nyu92	[X]Spontans ruptr/oth tendons	1
Nyu68	[X]Spondylpthy/oth diseases CE	1
Nyu66	[X]Spondylpth/o inf+paras d CE	1
Nyu69	[X]Spondylopathy unspecified	1
Nyu6	[X]Spondylopathies	1
Nyu5B	[X]Spin osteochondrosis, unsp	1
Nyu1G Nyu10	[X]Seroposit meum annr, unsp [X]Phoum orthrittinulo org/ove	1
Nyu10 Nyu05	[X]Roacty arthropathy/o dig CE	1
Fu45v	[X]Psychogenic torticollis	1
NvuF4	[X]Postproc muscsk disord unsp	1
Nvu2F	[X]Post-traum arthr oth joints	1
NyuC9	[X]Periostitis/oth inf dis CE	1
Nyu51	[X]Other+unspecified kyphosis	1
Nyu64	[X]Other spondylosis	1
Nyu2D	[X]Other specified arthrosis	1
Nyu1B	[X]Other specified arthritis	1
Nyu65	[X]Other spcfd spondylopathies	1
Nyu3D	[X]Other spotd joint disorders	1
NyuAB	[X]Other shoulder lesions	1
Nyu54	[X]Other secondary scollosis	1
Nyu30 Nyu17	[X]Other secondary gout	1
Nyu21	[X]Other primary covarthrosis	1
Nyu20	[X]Other polyarthrosis	1
Nyu46	[X]Other overlap syndromes	1
NyuB1	[X]Other osteoporosis	1
NyuC	[X]Other osteopathies	1
NyuC5	[X]Other osteonecrosis	1
NyuC3	[X]Other osteomyelitis	1
Nyu82	[X]Other ossification/muscle	1
Nyu37	[X]Other meniscus derangements	1
Nyu52	[X]Other lordosis	1
Nyu15	[X]Other juvenile artnritis	1
	[A]Other infective burgitis	1
Nyu53	[X]Other idionathic scoliosis	1
Nyu41	[X]Other diant cell arteritis	1
Nyu56	IXIOther fusion of spine	1
Nvu55	IXIOther forms of scoliosis	1
NyuAE	[X]Other enthesopathies.NEC	1
Nyu7	[X]Other dorsopathies	1
Nyu7A	[X]Other dorsalgia	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Nyu36	[X]Other disorders of patella	1
Nyu44	[X]Other dermatomyositis	1
Nyu35	[X]Other derangements/patella	1
NyuBo NyuC2	[X]Other clyst of bone	1
Nyuta Nyuta	[X]Other chondrocalcinosis	1
Nyu81	[X]Other calcification/muscle	1
NyuA1	[X]Other bursitis of knee	1
NyuA6	[X]Other bursitis NEC	1
NyuA5	[X]Other bursal cyst	1
NyuA7	[X]Other bursa disorder	1
NyuE3	[X]Other biomechanical lesions	1
NyuB4	[X]Other adult osteomalacia	1
NyuC0 NyuC4	[X]Other 2ndary osteonecrosis	1
Nyu47	[X]Oth syst dis/connet/ tissue	1
Nyu91	[X]Oth synovitis+tenosynovitis	1
Syu40	[X]Oth sup inj should/upp arm	1
Nyu62	[X]Oth spondylosis+myelopathy	1
Nyu79	[X]Oth specified dorsopathies	1
NyuC8	[X]Oth spcfd disorders of bone	1
Nyu1A	[X]Oth spcfc arthropathies,NEC	1
Nyu12 Nyu12	[X]Oth spot rheumatd arthritis	1
	[X]Oth spot innam spondylpath	1
NyuD4 Nyu59	[X]Oth spot disordis/caliliage	1
NyuD3	[X]Oth spc osteochondronathies	1
Svu3K	[X]Oth sp ini abd/low back/nel	1
Nvu2E	[X]Oth secondary coxarthrosis	1
Nyu83	[X]Oth ruptr/muscl(nontraumtc)	1
Nyu58	[X]Oth recur vertebrl subluxtn	1
Nyu03	[X]Oth reactive arthropathies	1
Nyu13	[X]Oth psoriatic arthropathies	1
Nyu26	[X]Oth post-traum gonarthrosis	1
Nyu23	[X]Oth post-traum coxarthrosis	1
NyuB0	[X]Oth introl decongeneta/knoc	1
Nyu39 Nyu90	[X]Oth infectve/teno/svnovitis	1
Nyu60	[X]Oth infecty spondylopathies	1
Nvu45	[X]Oth forms/systemc sclerosis	1
Nyu43	[X]Oth forms/sys lup erythemat	1
NyuA9	[X]Oth fibroblastic disorders	1
Nyu22	[X]Oth dysplastic coxarthrosis	1
NyuB5	[X]Oth diso/continuity of bone	1
NyuC6	[X]Oth diso/bone dvlpmnt+grwth	1
NyuE NyuZO	[X]Oth als musculosk+connect	1
Nyu70 Nyu71	[X]Oth cervicl disc degeneratin	1
Nyu72	[X]Oth cervical disc disorders	1
Nyu3A	[X]Oth articulr cartilag disor	1
Nyu27	[X]Oth 2ndry gonarthrsis, bilat	1
Nyu24	[X]Oth 2ndry coxarthrsis, bilat	1
NyuB2	[X]Osteoporosis/oth disords CE	1
NyuBC	[X]Osteopenia	1
NyuCA	[X]Osteopathy/other inf dis CE	1
	[X]Osteopathy/oth diseases CE	1
NyuCB	[X]Osteonecros/h'moglobuth CE	1
NVUCD	[X]Osteitis defrmn/neop dis CE	1
SR1z1	[X]Op multiple fractures unsp	1
Nyu00	[X]O strep arthritis+polyarthr	1
Nyu38	[X]O spontn disrptn/lig(s)knee	1
Nyu63	[X]O spondylosis+radiculopathy	1
NyuD2	[X]O spf juvnl osteochondrosis	1
Nyu4∠ Nyu2P	[X]O spot necrotiz vasculopath	1
турар Мин77	[A]O spot juint derangrinns,NEO [X]O spot intructori disc disc	1
Nyu94	[/]o spot initiation discusso [X]O spot disc/synovium+tendon	1
Nvu19	IXIO spcf crystl arthropathies	1
Nyu76	[X]O spc intrvrtbl disc degenr	1
Nyu75	[X]O spc intervert disc displm	1
NyuB7	[X]O spc diso/bne dnsity+struc	1
NyuE0	[X]O spc acq defrm/muscskl sys	1
NyuA3	[X]O sft t d rl/use,overu+prss	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
Nyu11	[X]O sero+ve rheumat arthritis	1
Nyu57	[X]O recur atlantoaxl subluxtn	1
NyuE2	[X]O postproced muscskel disor	1
Nyu04 Nyu07	[X]O postini anniopath/dis CE	1
Nyu14	[X]O insperiophic osteolaritipiti [X]O enteronathic arthrnathies	1
NyuB3	[X]O drug-indc osteomalac/adlt	1
Nyu96	[X]O diso/svnovm+tendon/dis CE	1
Nyu93	[X]O contractre/tendon(sheath)	1
Nyu40	[X]O cond relt/polyarterit nod	1
NyuC1	[X]O chr h'matogens osteomylit	1
Nyu4D	[X]Necrotis vasculopathy, unsp	1
Nyu87	[X]Myosits/protzl+paras inf CE	1
Nyu88	[X]Myositis/oth infects dis CE	1
Nyu09 Nyu86	[X]Myositi/bacterial dis CE	1
Nyu84	[X]Muscle wasting and atrophy NEC	1
SvuA4	[X]Multi disloc/spr/strns.unsp	1
Nyu4F	[X]Mixed connective tissue disease	1
Nyu74	[X]Lumb+o intvt disc d+radiclp	1
Nyu73	[X]Lumb+o intrvrt disc d+mylop	1
Nyu5A	[X]Lordosis, unspecified	1
Nyu16	[X]Juvenile arthritis/o dis CE	1
Syu1	[X]Injuries to the neck	1
Syu3	[X]Injab0/low back/lum sp/pei [X]Inj to shoulder/upper arm	1
Syu4 Syu8	[X]Inj to shouldehapper ann [X]Ini to knee and lower leg	1
Nyu1	[X]Inflammatory polyarthropathies	1
Nyu0	[X]Infectious arthropathies	1
Nyu1C	XGt arthpth/enz d+o inh d CE	1
Syu16	[X]Fracture other parts neck	1
Syu44	[X]Fract should/upp arm unsp	1
Syu15	[X]Fract oth spec cervic vert	1
	[X]Fract Inv oth comb bod regn	1
ΝνμΔ8	[X]E asciitis NEC	1
NyuBB	[X]Frosion of bone	1
SyuA3	[X]Dsl/spr/str,oth comb bod rg	1
SyuB3	[X]Dsl/sp/st un jt/l leg,lv un	1
NyuB	[X]Disordrs/bone dens+structur	1
Nyu3E	[X]Disorder of patella, unspec	1
NyuDE	[X]Disord hone dens/struc unsp	1
Svu17	[X]Disloc oth unsp parts neck	1
SyuBC	[X]Disl/spr/str unsp body reg	1
Nyu4E	[X]Dermatopolymyositis, unspec	1
Nyu48	[X]Dermat(poly)myosit/neo d CE	1
Nyu5	[X]Deforming dorsopathies	1
Nyu1D Nyu67	[X]Crys arthptn/o meta diso,CE	1
SR1z0	[X]Cled multiple fracts unspec	1
NvuD	[X]Chondropathies	1
Nyu7B	[X]Cervical disc disord, unsp	1
Nyu4B	[X]Arthrpthy/hyprsens react CE	1
Nyu1F	[X]Arthrpth/o spcf diseases CE	1
Nyu1E	[X]Arthrpth/o en,nut+meta diso	1
Nyu2	[X]Arthrosis	1
Nyu4A Nyu40	[X]Arthropathy/o bid disord CE	1
Nyu02	[X]Arthrits/o inf+oaras dis CE	1
Nvu01	[X]Arthrit+polvarth/o s bact a	1
NyuB9	[X]Adult osteomalacia, unspec	1
Nyu	[X]Ad muscskl+con t dis cls tm	1
NyuCE	[X]#bone/neoplastic disease CE	1
	[X]#/bne f insrt/o i,j pr,bn p	1
ENIISKEQ /N945(9) HNG7018	ISOJLUMDOSACIAI JOINT - Keq.	1
R0420	[D]Swelling in head or neck	1
R042	[D]Swell.masslump head/neck	1
R027	[D]Spontaneous bruising	1
R065A	[D]Musculoskeletal chest pain	1
R137	[D]Musculoskel.ray/scan abnorm	1
R137z	[D]Musculosc xray/scan abn NOS	1
RUZZC	LDILump on knee	1

R04.     D[Head and hock symptoms NOS     1       R04.     D[Head and hock symptoms     1       R042.     D[Head and hock symptoms     1       R042.     D[Head and hock symptoms     1       R042.1     D[General aches and pains     1       R05000     D[OHEST PAN, UNSPECIFIED     1       R05000     D[DAdominal pain     1       R05000     D[DAdominal pain     1       R05000     D[DAdominal pain     1       DEGRADE_EVENT_1730.49     DEGRADE Knee Pain]     1       DEGRADE_EVENT_3164.40     DEGRADE Knee Pain]     1       N063.3     Wrifsig int pain     1       N063.4.1     Wrifsig int pain     1       N063.4.1     Wrifsig int pain     1       N063.4.1     Wrifsig int pain     1       N062.1     Wrifsig int pain     1       N074.4     Wrifsig int faces     1       N074     Wrifsig int pain     1       N074     Wrifsig int pain     1       N074     Wrifsig int pain     1       N077 <td< th=""><th>Read code</th><th>Description</th><th>Number of studies</th></td<>	Read code	Description	Number of studies
R04     D)Head and neck symptoms     1       R042     D)Head and neck symptoms     1       R042     D)Head and neck other symptoms     1       R04500     D)CHEST PAN, UNSPECIFIED     1       R04500     D)CHEST PAN, NUSPECIFIED     1       R04500     D)CHEST PAN, NUSPECIFIED     1       R04500     D)Actorninal pain     1       R04500     D)Actorninal pain     1       R04500     D)ECRADE_EVENT_30.40     D)ECRADE_EVENT_30.40       DEGRADE_EVENT_30.40     D)ECRADE Kase Pain     1       N0523     Wrist actional pain     1       N0524     Wrist actional pain     1       N0523     Wrist actional pain     1       N0524     Wrist actional pain     1       N0525     Wrist actional baction bactional pain     1	R04zz	[D]Head and neck symptoms NOS	1
1002-2-1     105 General aches and pairs     1       R06500     105 CHEST PAN, UNSPECPIED     1       R06200     105 CHEST PAN, UNSPECPIED     1       R06200     104 Adominal pain     1       R06200     104 Adominal pain     1       R06200     104 Adominal pain     1       R06200     105 CHEST PAN, UNSPECTION     1       R06300     105 CHEST PAN, UNSPECTION     1       R06310     1     1       R06321     Wrist check Panit     1       R06323     Wrist check Panit     1       R06324     Wrist check Panit     1       R06231     Wrist check Panit     1       R06242     Wrist check Panit     1       R0757     Wrist check Panit     1       R0430     Wrist check Panit     1       R0524     Wrist check Panit     1       R0575     Wrist check	R04	[D]Head and neck symptoms	1
RO65000     IDCHEST PAIN NUSPECIFIED     1       RO6500     IDCHEST PAIN     1       RO6500     IDCHEST PAIN     1       RO6500     IDCHEST PAIN     1       RO6500     IDAdominal pain     1       RO6000     IDEGRADE_EVENT_3154_40     IDEGRADE paint     1       N0533     Wirst osteearthrifts NOS     1     1       N0543-1     Wirst osteearthrifts NOS     1     1       N0543-1     Wirst osteearthrifts NOS     1     1       N0543-1     Wirst osteearthrifts NOS     1     1       N0543     Wedge Compression # Unbaca Spine     1     1       N0544     Wedge Compression # Unbaca Spine     1     1       N0543     Wedge Compression # Unbaca Spine     1     1       N0544     Wedge Compression # Unbaca Spin	R00z2-1	[D]General aches and pains	1
RO65.00     [D]CHEST PAIN NOS     1       RO65.00     [D]CHEST PAIN     1       R096.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R096.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R096.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R096.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R096.00     [D]CREST PAIN NOS     1       R096.00     [D]CREST PAIN NOS     1       R057.01     [D]CREST PAIN NOS     1       R057.02     [M]CREST PAIN NOS     1	R065000	[D]CHEST PAIN, UNSPECIFIED	1
R065.00     [D]CHEST PAN     1       R062.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R062.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R062.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R062.00     [D]Abdominal pain     1       R062.00     [D]CGRADE_EVENT_20149_10     1       DEGRADE_EVENT_20154_40     [D]CGRADE Kee Pani]     1       N053.3     Wrist osteoarthrits NOS     1       N063.1     Wrist osteoarthrits NOS     1       N063.1     Wrist osteoarthrits NOS     1       N063.1     Wrist osteoarthrits NOS     1       N063.2     Webre Christian disease     1       N0674     Webre Christian disease     1       N0675     Webre Christian disease     1       N0676     Webre Gristian disease     1       N0677     Walking difficulty nutips site     1       N0678     Welking difficulty nutips site     1       N0679     Walking difficulty nutips site     1       N0670     Walking difficulty nutips site     1       N0671     Wilonod synowitis-shoues     <	R065z00	[D]CHEST PAIN NOS	1
Float.200     D/D.D.D.IIII.I.J.Ban     I       DEGRADE_EVENT_2404     D/D.GRADE Muscle Injury]     I       DEGRADE_EVENT_24054_40     D/D.GRADE Kee Pan]     I       N352.2     Wry neck     I       N063.3     Wrst joint pain     I       N053.3     Wrst joint pain     I       N063.4     Wrst joint pain     I       S704     Wrst joint pain     I       N063.4     Wrst joint pain     I       S704     Wrst joint pain     I       N062.4     Wrst joint pain     I       N075     Wrst joint pain     I       N076     Wrst joint pain     I       N0770     Wrst joint pain     I       N0975     Wrst joint pain     I       N0972     Wrst joint pain     I       N0973     Wrst joint pain     I       N0974     Wrst joint pain     I	R065.00	[D]CHEST PAIN	1
DEGRADE_EVENT_170_49     DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_200     I       DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_200     DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_200     I       DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_200     DEGRADE Eve Panij     1       DEGRADE_EVENT_2459_200     DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_200     1       N05x3     Wrist osteearthnis NOS     1       N05x3     Wrist osteearthnis NOS     1       N05x3     Wrist attritis NOS     1       N05x3     Wrist attritis NOS     1       N05x3     Wrist attritis NOS     1       N05x4     Wedge Compression # Ot Dosal Spine     1       ECTONWE1     Wedge Compression # Lumbar Spine     1       N0575     Waking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0576     Waking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0572     Wiking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0522     Wiking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0523     Wiking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0524     Wiking difficulty-nutlis site     1       N0525     Wiking divortis-mutlis site     1       N0526     Wikinde divortis mutlis site     1 <td>RU90200 R090.00</td> <td>[D]Abdominal pain [D]Abdominal pain</td> <td>1</td>	RU90200 R090.00	[D]Abdominal pain [D]Abdominal pain	1
DEGRADE_EVENT_348_40     [DEGRADE Knee Pan]     1       N135:2     Wry neck     1       N135:2     Wry neck     1       N0843     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N0843-1     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N0843-1     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       S704     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       S704     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N0843-1     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N0824     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N0844     Wrist isteachthill NOS     1       N2430     Weber - Christian disease     1       N0976     Walking diffculty-other spec.     1       N09770     Walking diffculty-other spec.     1       N0922     Villionodular synovitis NOS     1       N0922     Villionodular synovitis isholder     1       N0922     Villionodular synovitis isholder     1       N0922     Villionod synovitis sholder     1       N0922     Villionod synovitis sholder     1       N0922     Villionod synovitis sholder	DEGRADE EVENT 1730 49	[DEGRADE Muscle Injury]	1
DEGRADE_EVENT_3154_40     [DEGRADE Knee Pain]     1       N1356-2     Wy neck     1       N0533     Wrist osteoartnits NOS     1       N0543-1     Wrist inf pain     1       N0543-1     Wrist artnits NOS     1       N052-1     Wrist artnits NOS     1       ECTONWE2     Wedge Compression # Unbaro Spine     1       V2432     Wedge Compression # Lumbar Spine     1       N0434-1     Wether - Christian disease     1       N0434     Wether - Christian disease     1       N0976     Walking diffculty-multip.site     1       N0977     Walking diffculty-multip.site     1       N0924     Villonodular synovitis NOS     1       N0922     Villonodular synovitis shoulder     1       N0922     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N0924     Villonod	DEGRADE_EVENT_2469_340	[DEGRADE Knee Pain]	1
N135-2     Wry neck     1       N0523     Wrist locatenthits NOS     1       N0543-1     Wrist locatenthits NOS     1       N052-1     Wrist locatenthits NOS     1       S704     Wrist locatenthits NOS     1       N975     Walking difficulty-other spec.     1       N9970     Walking difficulty-other spec.     1       N9922     Villonodular synovitis NOS     1       N9922     Villonod synovitis-site unspec     1       N9922     Villonod synovitis-site unspec     1       N9228     Villonod synovitis-site unspec     1       N9229     Villonod synovitis-site unspec     1       N9220     Villonod synovitis-site notatenth     1       N9220     Villonod synovitis-site notatenth     1       N9220     Villonod synovitis-dind	DEGRADE_EVENT_3154_40	[DEGRADE Knee Pain]	1
ND23.1     Wrist Status fring NOS     1       ND243.1     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       ND25.1     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       ND25.1     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       ST04     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       VEDE     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       ST04     Wrist arthrifts NOS     1       VA30     Wrist of Compression # Lumbar Spine     1       N2430     Wrist of Christian disease     1       N9376     Walking difficulty-multip site     1       N9370     Walking difficulty-multip site     1       N9320     Villonodular synovitis NOS     1       N9321     Villonodular synovitis Shoulder     1       N9322     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9323     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9324     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9325     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9326     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9327     Villonod synovitis shoulder     1       N9328     Villonod synovitis sit	N135z-2	Wry neck	1
N982-1     Winis arthritis NOS     I       EGTOMWE2     Winisen hijury     I       EGTOMWE2     Wedge Compression # Of Dorsal Spine     I       N2432     Weber - Christian disease     I       N2433     Weber - Christian disease     I       N0974     Walking difficulty-utips.ste     I       N0975     Walking difficulty-utips.ste     I       N0976     Walking difficulty-utips.ste     I       N0972     Vilionodular synovitis of knee     I       N0922     Vilionodular synovitis set unspective statistics     I       N0921     Vilionod synovitis-stourits.stourse     I       N0922     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0923     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0924     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0925     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0926     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0927     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0928     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I       N0929     Vilionod synovitis-stourse     I	N0523 N0943-1	Wrist osteoartinitis NOS Wrist joint pain	1
S5704Whiplash hijury1EGTOWVE1Wedge Compression # Of Dorsal Spine1EGTOWVE1Wedge Compression # Lumbar Spine1N2432Weber - Christlan disease1N2433Weber - Christlan disease1N9974Walking difficulty-offer spec.1N9975Walking difficulty-offer spec.1N9976Walking difficulty due to unspecified site1N9270Walking difficulty due to unspecified site1N9221Vilionodular synovitis of knee1N9222Vilionodular synovitis of knee1N9221Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9222Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9223Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9224Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9225Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9226Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9227Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9228Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9229Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1N9220Vilionod synovitis-shoulder1 </td <td>N06z3-1</td> <td>Wrist arthritis NOS</td> <td>1</td>	N06z3-1	Wrist arthritis NOS	1
EGTOWWE2     Wedge Compression # Lumbar Spine     1       N2432     Weber - Christian disease     1       N2433     Weber - Christian disease     1       N974     Walking difficulty-uther spec.     1       N9975     Walking difficulty-uthe site     1       N9976     Walking difficulty-uthe site     1       N9977     Walking difficulty-uthe site     1       N9922     Vilionodular synovitis of knee     1       N9922     Vilionodular synovitis NOS     1       N9922     Vilionodular synovitis Nols     1       N9922     Vilionod synovitis-shoulder     1       N9924     Vilionod synovitis-shoulder     1       N9925     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9926     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9927     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9928     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9927     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9928     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1       N9927     Vilionod synovitis-sterolavity     1 <td>S5704</td> <td>Whiplash injury</td> <td>1</td>	S5704	Whiplash injury	1
Ec1 OWNE1     Webge Compression # Lumoar spine     1       N2432     Weber - Christian disease     1       N2430     Weber - Christian disease     1       N0975     Waking difficulty-thors spec.     1       N0976     Waking difficulty-thors spec.     1       N0977     Waking difficulty to to unspecified site     1       N0413     Vital myaligia     1       N0422     Witened as investite of knee     1       N0923     Vital myaligia     1       N0924     Vital myaligia     1       N0925     Vital myaligia     1       N0926     Vital myaligia     1       N0927     Vital myaligia strong	EGTONWE2	Wedge Compression # Of Dorsal Spine	1
No.30     Weber - Crimitian disease     1       N0474     Weber - Crimitian disease     1       N0474     Weber - Crimitian disease     1       N0474     Weber - Crimitian disease     1       N0475     Weiking difficulty-ther spece     1       N0470     Weiking difficulty-ther spece     1       N0421     Willion difficulty-ther spece     1       N0422     Willion-dull's synovitis NOS     1       N0422     Willion-dull's synovitis shoulder     1       N0423     Willion di synovitis shoulder     1       N0424     Willion di synovitis schen clavy j     1       N0425     Willion di synovitis schen clavy j     1       N0426     Willion di synovitis schen clavy j     1       N0427     Willion di synovitis schen clavy j     1       N0428     Willion di synovitis schen clavy j     1       N0420     Vertebral ecolumn syndromes     1       N10     Vertebral ecolumn disorder NOS     1       N11     Vertebral ecoluma disorder NOS     1       N12     Vertebral ecolum noteorder NOS     1<	EGTONWET N2432	Weber - Christian disease	1
N0974     Waking difficulty-other spec.     1       N0975     Waking difficulty-multip.site     1       N0970     Waking difficulty-multip.site     1       N0922     Wilonodular synowitis NOS     1       N0922     Wilonodular synowitis NOS     1       N0922     Wilonodular synowitis NOS     1       N0922     Wilonodular synowitis Steurnspec.     1       N0921     Wilonod synowitis-steurnspec.     1       N0922     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0923     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0924     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0925     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0926     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0927     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0926     Wilonod synowitis-stendard.     1       N0927     Wilonda synowitis-stendard.     1       N0926     Wilonda synowitis-stendard.     1       N0927     Wilonda synowitis-stendard.     1       N0927     Wilonda synowitis-stendard.     1       N	N2430	Weber - Christian disease	1
N0975     Waking difficulty-multip.site     1       N0970     Waking difficulty due to unspecified site     1       N2413     Viral myalja     1       N092M     Willondullar synovitis of knee     1       N092Z     Willondullar synovitis NOS     1       N092Q     Willondullar synovitis site unspect     1       N0921     Willondullar synovitis shoulder     1       N0922     Willondullar synovitis shoulder     1       N0928     Willondu synovitis shoulder     1       N0928     Willondu synovitis stenclav j     1       N0928     Willondu synovitis stenclav j     1       N0928     Willondu synovitis scortmclav j     1       N0920     Villondu synovitis scortmclav j     1       N0920     Vertebral column disorder SOS     1       N1     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0966     Unspecified moncarthritis NOS     1       N0966     Unspecified moncarthritis NOS     1       N0966     Unsp.polyattrsite unspecif.     1       N09660     Unsp.polyattrmultiple site     1	N0974	Walking difficulty-other spec.	1
N9970     Walking difficulty due to unspecified site     1       N92413     Viral myalgia     1       N9924     Villonodular synovitis (NoS     1       N9922     Villonodular synovitis NOS     1       N9920     Villonodular synovitis Shoulder     1       N9921     Villonod synovitis-shoulder     1       N9923     Villonod synovitis-other spec.     1       N9924     Villonod synovitis-ether spec.     1       N9925     Villonod synovitis-sternclav j     1       N9926     Villonod synovitis-sternclav j     1       N9927     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9928     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9927     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9928     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9927     Villonod synovitis-shoulder     1       N9928     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9927     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9928     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1       N9929     Villonod synovitis-acronclav j     1	N0975	Walking difficulty-multip.site	1
NV41     Vital myagia     1       N0922     Villonodular synovitis of knee     1       N0922     Villonodular synovitis NOS     1       N0920     Villonodular synovitis     1       N0921     Villonod synovitis-sheu mspec     1       N0922     Villonod synovitis-sheu inspec     1       N0923     Villonod synovitis-sheu inspec     1       N0924     Villonod synovitis-sheu inspec     1       N0925     Villonod synovitis-sheu inspec     1       N0926     Villonod synovitis-ster spec.     1       N0926     Villonod synovitis-ster spec.     1       N0927     Villonod synovitis-ster spec.     1       N0928     Villonod synovitis-acromclav j     1       N0927     Villonod synovitis-acromclav j     1       N19     Vertebral column syndromes     1       N19     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N19     Vertebral colum nosindromes     1       N09662     Unspecified monearthritis NOS     1       N08650     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1	N0970	Walking difficulty due to unspecified site	1
N0922Villenodular synovitis NOS1N092Villenodular synovitis1N092Villenod synovitis-site unspec1N0921Villenod synovitis-site unspec1N0923Villenod synovitis-other spec.1N0924Villenod synovitis-enter spec.1N0925Villenod synovitis-enter spec.1N0926Villenod synovitis-enter spec.1N0927Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0928Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0920Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0921Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0922Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0922Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0922Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0924Villenod synovitis-sternelax i1N0925Vertebral column syndromes1N1Vertebral column disorder NOS1N12Vertebral column disorder NOS1N12Vertebral column disorder NOS1N0862Unspecified monarthritis NOS1N0863Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.1N0850Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.1N0859Unsp.polyarthrnutsite specif.1N0859Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site1N0859Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site1N0850Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site1N0859Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site1N0859Unsp.potemovitis-site unspecif. </td <td>N2413 N092M</td> <td>Vilai Myaigia Villonodular synovitis of knee</td> <td>1</td>	N2413 N092M	Vilai Myaigia Villonodular synovitis of knee	1
N092     Villondvissite vinovitis     1       N0920     Villond symovitis-site unspec     1       N0928     Villond symovitis-ter spec.     1       N0929     Villond symovitis-ter spec.     1       N0928     Villond symovitis-ter sheath     1       N0928     Villond symovitis-sternclav j     1       N0920     Villond symovitis-atornclav j     1       N0922     Vertebral obtomotis-atornclav j     1       N0922     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N1     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N1     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0966-2     Unstable ankle     1       N0966     Unspecified monarthritis NOS     1       N0966     Unspecified monarthritis NOS     1       N0950     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N0951     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N	N092z	Villonodular synovitis NOS	1
N0920     Villonds.synovitis-shoulder     1       N0921     Villonds.synovitis-shoulder     1       N0928     Villonds.synovitis-note.steens.     1       N0929     Villonds.synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0928     Villonds.synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0928     Villonds.synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0920     Villonds.synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0920     Villonds.synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0920     Villond synovitis-ster.steens.     1       N0920     Vertebral oblumn syndromes.     1       N1     Vertebral column syndromes.     1       N12     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N12     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0866-2     Unstable ankle     1       N0865     Unspecified monarthritis NOS     1       N0865     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N08650     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N08530     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N0859     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1 <t< td=""><td>N092</td><td>Villonodular synovitis</td><td>1</td></t<>	N092	Villonodular synovitis	1
N0921     Vilionod.synovitis-rotur spec.     1       N0928     Vilionod.synovitis-rotur spec.     1       N0929     Vilionod synovitis-tend sheath     1       N0928     Vilionod synovitis-tend sheath     1       N0920     Vilionod synovitis-storolav j     1       N0920     Vilionod synovitis-acromclav j     1       N0920     Vilionod synovitis-storomclav j     1       N320     Vertebral obtroporsis     1       N320     Vertebral column disorders OS     1       N1     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0966-2     Unstable ankle     1       N0967-1     Unstable ankle     1       N0868     Unspecified monaerthritis NOS     1       N12     Vertebral column disorder myelop.     1       N0865     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N0868     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N0859     Unsp.polyarthr-mutiple site     1       N0859     Unsp.polyarthr-mutiple site     1       N0859     Unsp.polyarthr-mutiple site     1       N0859 </td <td>N0920</td> <td>Villonod.synovitis-site unspec</td> <td>1</td>	N0920	Villonod.synovitis-site unspec	1
NosciVillond Synovitis-nutl sites1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Sheath1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Shoulder1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Shoulder1NosciVillond Synovitis-Ind Shoulder1NosciVertebral olumn disorders OS1N1Vertebral column disorder NOS1NuVertebral column disorder NOS1Nos66-Unspecified monarthritis NOS1Nos66-Unspecified monarthritis NOS1Nos6500Unspecified monarthritis NOS1Nos6500Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1Nos6500Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1Nos659Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1Nos659Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1Nos229Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site1Nos61Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos62Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos63Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos64Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos659Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos61Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos62Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1Nos63Unsp.nosteomyelitis-shoulder1 </td <td>NU921 NI0928</td> <td>Villonod.synovitis-shoulder</td> <td>1</td>	NU921 NI0928	Villonod.synovitis-shoulder	1
N2208Villonod synovitis-tend sheath1N092BVillonod synovitis-shoulder1N092CVillonod synovitis-shoulder1N092CVillonod synovitis-shoulder1N330BVertebral esteporosis1N320Vertebral osteporosis1N1Vertebral osteporosis1N1Vertebral column disorders OS1N12Vertebral column disorders OS1N14Vertebral column disorders NOS1N0967-1Unstable knee1N0966Unspecified monarthritis NOS1N0662Unspecified monarthritis NOS1N0663Unspecified colorder-myelop.1N0650Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-shoulder1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-shoulder1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1N06530Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site1N0654Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder1N0224Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder1N0250Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult site1N0668Unsp.monoarthr-shoulder1N0668Unsp.monoarthr-shoulder1N0669Unsp.osteomyelitis-nother specif.	N0929	Villonod.synovitis-mult.sites	1
N092BVillond synovitis-sternclav j1N092AVillond synovitis-acromclav j1N30BVertebral osteoporosis1N320Vertebral osteoporosis1N1Vertebral oumn disorders OS1N1Vertebral column disorders OS1N12Vertebral column disorders OS1N0966-2Unstable knee1N0967-1Unstable ankle1N0666Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0567Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0560Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0550Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0550Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0550Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N0550Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N05530Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.1N05580Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.1N0559Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site1N0522Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site1N0559Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site1N022Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder1N022Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N023Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N024Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N025Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N022Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N023Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N024Unsp.osteomyelitis-other specif.1N025Un	N2208	Villonod synovitis-tend sheath	1
N092AVillond synovtis-shoulder1N392CVillond synovtis-scronclav j1N320Vertebral osteoporosis1N320Vertebral epiphysiis1N1Vertebral column syndromes1N1yVertebral column disorders OS1N1yVertebral column disorder NOS1N0967-1Unstable Anke1N0967-2Unstable ankle1N0662Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0663Unspecified monoarthritis NOS1N0664Unspecified monoarthritis1N0650Unsp. polyarthr-site unspecif.1N0651Unsp. polyarthr-site unspecif.1N0658Unsp. polyarthr-site unspecif.1N06580Unsp. polyarthr-other specif.1N06580Unsp. polyarthr-other specif.1N06590Unsp. polyarthr-other specif.1N0659Unsp. polyarthr-multiple site1N0222Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder1N0223Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder1N0224Unsp. osteomyelitis-onder specif.1N0660Unsp. moncarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. moncarthrsite unspecif.1N0668Unsp. moncarthrsite unspecif.1N0668Unsp. moncarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. moncarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. moncarthrsite unspecif.1N0668Unsp. moncarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. moncarthrshould	N092B	Villonod synovitis-sternclav j	1
No22C     Vinitious spinotiseactorineacy j       N330B     Vertebral opinysitis       N330B     Vertebral column syndromes       N1     Vertebral column disorders OS       N1z     Vertebral column disorders OS       N1z     Vertebral column disorders OS       N1z     Vertebral column disorders OS       N0966-2     Unstable knee       N0662     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS       N0663     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS       N0560     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS       N0651     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS       N0650     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS       N0651     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.       N06530     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.       N065800     Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.       N0659     Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site       N03021     Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder       N03022     Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder       N0661     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.       N0662     Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder       N0302     Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder       N0302     Unsp. osteomyelitits-shoulder       N0302 <td>N092A</td> <td>Villonod synovitis-shoulder</td> <td>1</td>	N092A	Villonod synovitis-shoulder	1
N320     Vertebral epiphysitis     1       N1     Vertebral column syndromes     1       N1y     Vertebral column disorder SS     1       N1z     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0966-2     Unstable knee     1       N0967-1     Unstable ankle     1       N0666     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N0666     Unspecified monoarthritis     1       N0650     Unsp.colgarthr-site unspecif.     1       N06500     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N06530     Unsp.polyarthr-site unspecif.     1       N0658     Unsp.polyarthr-other specif.     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthr-multiple site     1       N0220     Unsp.coteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3021     Unsp.coteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3022     Unsp.coteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3023     Unsp.coteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3024     Unsp.coteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3025     Unsp.cot	N330B	Villonod Synovius-acromiciav j	1
N1   Vertebral column syndromes   1     N1y   Vertebral column disorders OS   1     N0966-2   Unstable knee   1     N0666-2   Unstable ankle   1     N0667   Unspecified monoarthritis NOS   1     N0666   Unspecified monoarthritis NOS   1     N0666   Unspecified monoarthritis NOS   1     N0650   Unsp.colgradrer-myelop.   1     N06500   Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.   1     N06500   Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.   1     N06580   Unsp.polyarthrsheuspecif.   1     N06580   Unsp.polyarthrother specif.   1     N06590   Unsp.polyarthrmutiple site   1     N06590   Unsp.polyarthrmutiple site   1     N03021   Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspect.   1     N30228   Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspect.   1     N3029   Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspect.   1     N3029   Unsp.nonarthrshoulder   1     N3029   Unsp.monarthrshoulder   1     N1131   Two lev th spondyl + radiculop   1     N1141	N320	Vertebral epiphysitis	1
N1y   Vertebral column disorder NOS   1     N0966-2   Unstable knee   1     N0967-1   Unstable ankle   1     N0662   Unspecified monoarthritis   1     N0663   Unspecified monoarthritis   1     N0664   Unspecified monoarthritis   1     N0650   Unspecified monoarthritis   1     N0650   Unsp.polyarthr.site unspecif.   1     N0651   Unsp.polyarthr.site unspecif.   1     N0658   Unsp.polyarthrother specif.   1     N06590   Unsp.polyarthrother specif.   1     N06590   Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site   1     N06590   Unsp.coteomyelitis-site unspect   1     N0201   Unsp.coteomyelitis-site unspect   1     N021   Unsp.coteomyelitis-site unspect   1     N0228   Unsp.coteomyelitis-site unspect   1     N0229   Unsp.coteomyelitis-other specif.   1     N0668   Unsp.monoarthrshoulder   1     N0668   Unsp.monoarthr.site unspecif.   1     N0668   Unsp.monoarthr.shoulder   1     N1121   <	N1	Vertebral column syndromes	1
N1z     Vertebral column disorder NOS     1       N0966-2     Unstable knee     1       N066z     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N066     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N066     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N065     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N0650     Unsp.c. disc disorder-myelop.     1       N06500     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1       N06530     Unsp.polyarthrshoulder     1       N0658     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N0659     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N3020     Unsp.csteomyelitis-she unspect.     1       N3022     Unsp.csteomyelitis-she unspect.     1       N3028     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspect.     1       N3029     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspect.     1       N06660     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspect.     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspect.     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl + radiculop     1 <t< td=""><td>N1y</td><td>Vertebral column disorders OS</td><td>1</td></t<>	N1y	Vertebral column disorders OS	1
Nobe2     Onatable ankle     1       N0967-1     Unstable ankle     1       N0662     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N066     Unspecified monoarthritis     1       N0660     Unspecified monoarthritis     1       N0650     Unsp.polyarthr.site unspecif.     1       N06500     Unsp.polyarthr.site unspecif.     1       N0651     Unsp.polyarthr.other specif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthr.other specif.     1       N06580     Unsp.polyarthr.multiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthr.multiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3020     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspecif.     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthr.shoulder     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthr.shoulder     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthr.shoulder     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl + myelop     1       N1181	N1Z N0966-2	Vertebral column disorder NOS	1
N066z     Unspecified monoarthritis NOS     1       N066     Unspecified monoarthritis     1       N1290     Unspec.disc disorder+myelop.     1       N0650     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0651     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1       N065300     Unsp.polyarthrsheulder     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspect     1       N3020     Unsp.osteomyelitis-shulder     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N0661     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrshoulder     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrshoulder     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl-no myelop     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl-no myelop     1	N0967-1	Unstable ankle	1
N066     Unspec.disc disorder+myelop.     1       N1290     Unspec.disc disorder+myelop.     1       N0650     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0651     Unsp.polyarthrsite unspecif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N065900     Unsp.polyarthrnultiple site     1       N06590     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N0200     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspect     1       N0220     Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N30220     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N30220     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N30220     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N0660     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrshoulder     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrshoulder     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl-no myelop     1       N1131     Two lev Umbsac spond + myelop     1	N066z	Unspecified monoarthritis NOS	1
N1290   Unspec, disc disorder+myelop.   1     N0650   Unsp. polyarthrsite unspecif.   1     N0651   Unsp. polyarthrsite unspecif.   1     N065800   Unsp. polyarthrother specif.   1     N065900   Unsp. polyarthrother specif.   1     N065900   Unsp. polyarthrmultiple site   1     N06590   Unsp. polyarthrmultiple site   1     N0220   Unsp. osteomyelitis-site unspec   1     N3021   Unsp. osteomyelitis-site unspec.   1     N3022   Unsp. osteomyelitis-unste   1     N3022   Unsp. osteomyelitis-site unspec.   1     N3022   Unsp. osteomyelitis-unste   1     N3022   Unsp. osteomyelitis-unste   1     N3022   Unsp. monoarthrsite unspecif.   1     N0660   Unsp. monoarthrshulder   1     N0668   Unsp.monoarthrshulder   1     N1121   Two lev th spondyl + radiculop   1     N1181   Two lev th spondyl + myelop   1     N1131   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1     N1141   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1 <td>N066</td> <td>Unspecified monoarthritis</td> <td>1</td>	N066	Unspecified monoarthritis	1
N06500     Unsp.polyarthr.stie unspecif.     1       N065100     Unsp.polyarthr.stie unspecif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthr.other specif.     1       N065800     Unsp.polyarthr.other specif.     1       N065900     Unsp.polyarthr.multiple site     1       N065900     Unsp.polyarthr.multiple site     1       N065900     Unsp.polyarthr.multiple site     1       N3020     Unsp.osteomyelitis-ste unspec     1       N3021     Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-nult.site     1       N3022     Unsp.osteomyelitis-nult.site     1       N0660     Unsp.monarthrshoulder     1       N0668     Unsp.monarthr.other specif.     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl-no myelop     1       N1131     Two lev th spondyl - na myelop     1       N1141     Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelop     1       N1141     Two lev lumbsac spond - myelop     1       N1141     Two lev Cx spondyl - na myelop     1	N1290 N0650	Unspec.disc disorder+myelop.	1
N0651     Unsp. polyarthrshoulder     1       N065800     Unsp. polyarthrother specif.     1       N0658     Unsp. polyarthrother specif.     1       N065900     Unsp. polyarthrmultiple site     1       N0659     Unsp. polyarthrmultiple site     1       N3020     Unsp. osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3021     Unsp. osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N3028     Unsp. osteomyelitis-other spec.     1       N3029     Unsp. osteomyelitis-unult.site     1       N06660     Unsp. monoarthrshoulder     1       N0661     Unsp. monoarthrshoulder     1       N0663     Unsp. monoarthrshoulder     1       N0664     Unsp. monoarthrshoulder     1       N0668     Unsp. monoarthrshoulder     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl + radiculop     1       N1131     Two lev th spondyl + myelop     1       N1141     Two lev Umbsac spond-no myelop     1       N1151     Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop     1       N1191     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1	N065000	Unsp.polyarthsite unspecif.	1
N065800     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N0658     Unsp.polyarthrother specif.     1       N065900     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N0659     Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site     1       N3020     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3021     Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec     1       N3028     Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site     1       N3029     Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site     1       N06660     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0661     Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.     1       N0668     Unsp.monoarthrother specif.     1       N1121     Two lev th spondyl + nadiculop     1       N1131     Two lev th spondyl + radiculop     1       N1131     Two lev Limbsac spond + myelop     1       N1141     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1       N1151     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1       N1191     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1       N1191     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1       N1191     Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop     1	N0651	Unsp.polyarthrshoulder	1
N0658   Unsp.polyarthrother spect.   1     N065900   Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site   1     N3020   Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec   1     N3021   Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder   1     N3028   Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder   1     N3029   Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site   1     N3022   Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site   1     N3023   Unsp.osteomyelitis-Noulder   1     N3024   Unsp.osteomyelitis-Noulder   1     N0660   Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.   1     N0661   Unsp.monoarthrother specif.   1     N1121   Two lev th spondyl-no myelop   1     N1121   Two lev th spondyl + radiculop   1     N1131   Two lev th spondyl + myelop   1     N1141   Two lev Lumbsac spond - no myelo   1     N1151   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1     N1101   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1     N1111   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1     N1111   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1     N1141   Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop   1	N065800	Unsp.polyarthrother specif.	1
N00550Disp.polyarthr-inditiple site1N00559Unsp.polyarthr-inditiple site1N3020Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec1N3021Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder1N3028Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder spec.1N3029Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site1N0660Unsp.monoarthr.site unspecif.1N0661Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1141Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1121Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N304Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N0658	Unsp.polyarthrother specif.	1
N3020Unsp. osteomyelitis-site unspec1N3021Unsp. osteomyelitis-shoulder1N3028Unsp. osteomyelitis-mult.site1N3029Unsp. osteomyelitis-mult.site1N3022Unsp. osteomyelitis-mult.site1N0660Unsp. monoarthrsite unspecif.1N0661Unsp. monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. monoarthrshoulder1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1141Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Lumbsac spond - no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1141Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1151Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N118Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculous arthritis1N3042Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N3042Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1	N0659	Unsp.polyarthrmultiple site	1
N3021Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder1N3028Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.1N3029Unsp.osteomyelitis-nult.site1N3022Unsp.osteomyelitis NOS1N0660Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.1N0661Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Lumbsac spond-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1131Tuberculous arthritis1N1131Tuberculous arthritis1N1131Tuberculous arthritis1N1141Tuberculous arthritis1N1141Tuberculousis of tuberse1N142Tuberculosis of tuberse1	N3020	Unsp.osteomyelitis-site unspec	1
N3028Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.1N3029Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site1N302Unsp.osteomyelitis-mult.site1N0600Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.1N0661Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp.monoarthrother specif.1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev umbsac spond-no myelop1N1161Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1121Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N304Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N3021	Unsp.osteomyelitis-shoulder	1
N3025Onsp. osteomyelitis-industrie1N3027Unsp. osteomyelitis NOS1N0660Unsp. monoarthrsite unspecif.1N0661Unsp. monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp. monoarthrother specif.1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev umbsac spond-no myelo1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1181Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N3028	Unsp.osteomyelitis-other spec.	1
N0660Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.1N0661Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp.monoarthrother specif.1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1101Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1121Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N302z	Unsp.osteomyelitis NOS	1
N0661Unsp.monoarthrshoulder1N0668Unsp.monoarthrother specif.1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1121Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1131Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1151Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N0660	Unsp.monoarthrsite unspecif.	1
N0668Unsp.monoarthrother specif.1N1121Two lev th spondyl-no myelop1N1181Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelop1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N0661	Unsp.monoarthrshoulder	1
N1121Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N11B1Two lev th spondyl + radiculop1N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1141Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N0668	Unsp.monoarthrother specif.	1
N1131Two lev th spondyl + myelop1N1131Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1141Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N1181Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N11B1	Two lev th spondyl-no myelop Two lev th spondyl + radiculop	1
N1141Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo1N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N1131	Two lev th spondyl + myelop	1
N1151Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop1N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N1141	Two lev lumbsac spond-no myelo	1
N1101Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop1N1191Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N1151	Two lev lumbsac spond + myelop	1
N1111Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop1N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N1191	Two lev Cx spondyl-no myelop Two lev Cx spondyl + radiculop	1
N018Tuberculous arthritis1N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N1111	Two lev Cx spondyl + myelop	1
N3041Tuberculosis of thoracic spine1N304Tuberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N018	Tuberculous arthritis	1
N304I uberculosis of spine1N306Tuberculosis of other bones1N3042Tuberculosis of lumbar spine1	N3041	Tuberculosis of thoracic spine	1
N3042 Tuberculosis of lumbar spine 1	N304 N306	I UDERCUIOSIS OF SPINE	1
	N3042	Tuberculosis of lumbar spine	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N3040	Tuberculosis of cervical spine	1
N3060	Tuberculosis bone-site unspec.	1
N3061	Tuberculosis bone-shoulder	1
N3064	Tuberculosis bone-other sites	1
N3065	Tuberculosis bone-multip.sites	1
N306z	Tuberculosis bone NOS	1
N22yD	luberc infec - tendon sheath	1
N2155	I rochanteric tendinitis	1
N2157 N0874	Triongular fibreastilage tear	1
N0074 N0875	Triangular fibrocartil detach	1
N118	Traumatic spondylopathy	1
N2312	Traumatic myositis ossificans	1
SE46	Traumatic haematoma	1
SK	Traumatic complicat./unsp.inj.	1
N061A	Traumatic arthropathy-shoulder	1
N061M	Traumatic arthropathy-knee	1
N061z	Traumatic arthropathy NOS	1
N061	Traumatic arthropathy	1
N0610	I raumatic arthrsite unspecif	1
N0611	I raumatic arthrshoulder	1
	Traumatic arthrmultiple site	1
N061B	Traumat arthron-sternoclav it	1
N061C	Traumat arthrop-acromioclay it	1
S906	Traumat amp at shoulder joint	1
SA72	Traum.unil.amput.>knee-no comp	1
N220Q	Transient synovitis	1
N064A	Transient arthropathy-shoulder	1
N064M	Transient arthropathy-knee	1
N064z	Transient arthropathy NOS	1
N064	Transient arthropathy	1
N064B	I ransient arthrop-sternoclav j	1
N0640	I ransient arthrsite unspecif	1
N0648	Transient arthr other specif	1
N0649	Transient arthr -multiple site	1
N064C	Transient arthr-acromioclav it	1
N135	Torticollis unspecified	1
Q20y9	Torticollis due to birth injury	1
16A3	Torticollis - symptom	1
N2451-1	Toe pain	1
N2162	Tibial collateral lig.bursitis	1
N2450-1	I humb pain	1
NU5Z4-Z		1
N3735 N1485	Thoraco-lumbar ankylosis	1
N144	Thoracic/lumbosacral neuritis	1
N144z	Thoracic/lumbosac.neuritis NOS	1
N112-1	Thoracic spondylosis	1
N112	Thoracic spondno myelopathy	1
N113	Thoracic spond.+ myelopathy	1
N148B	Thoracic spine instability	1
N1484	Thoracic spine ankylosis	1
N1401	I horacic spinal stenosis	1
N12A2 N1440	Thoracic postiaminectomy syndr	1
N 1440 N 1278	Thoracic discritis	1
N121	Thoracic disc displ -no myelon	1
N1292	Thoracic disc disord.+mvelop.	1
N126	Thoracic disc degeneration	1
S571	Thoracic back sprain	1
EMISNQTH14	Thoracic back pain	1
N245-8	Thigh pain	1
N11B	I h spondyl + radiculop	1
N1406	In spin stenos due to oth dis	1
N1201 N12R1	Th disc prolapse + myelonathy	1
N2132-1	Tennis elhow	1
N2202	Tendon sheath giant cell tumor	1
ASDFGTE2	Tendon Symptoms	1
N2456	Tender heel pad	1
S46B	Tear/articulr cart/knee,currnt	1
S545	Tear of ligament of knee joint	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N000*	Systemic lupus erythematosus	1
N000	Systemic lupus erythematosus	1
N000z	Systemic lupus erythematos.NOS	1
N0012	Syst scleros induc drugs/chems	1
N0003	Syst lup eryth + organ/sys inv	1
N2227	Syphilitic bursitis	1
N2200	Synovitis or tenosynovitis NOS	1
N220z-2	Synovitis of knee	1
N220z	Synovitis of knee	1
N220V	Synovitis of knee	1
N220	Synovitis and tenosynovitis	1
N220T	Synovitis NOS	1
N2201	Synovit./tenosynovitis+dis EC	1
N22y4	Synovial plica	1
N098	Synovial osteochondromatosis	1
N2240	Synovial cyst unspecified	1
N0980	Synov osteochondromat-shoulder	1
N098B	Synov osteochondromat-knee	1
N0981	Synov osteochondromat st-cla j	1
N0982	Synov osteocnondromat ac-cla j	1
1024	Symptom: trunk posterior	1
16.12	Swollen knee	1
16J3	Swollen joint	1
10J7	Swollen foot	1
1834-1	Swollen linger	1
IJG N21-2		1
N2122	Supraspinatus tendonius	1
N2115 N220		1
N230 SD1v4	Supportative myositis	1
SD 194	Superficialiniuricourrenceif	1
SD007	Superficially investigation	1
SD097 SD2v1	Superficial injury of scapular NOS	1
SD6y2		1
SD0y2 SD7		1
SDO	Superficial Injury: Neck	1
SD2v0		1
SD2	Superfini shoulder/upper arm	1
SD1z4	Superf back ini NOS+infect	1
N3371	Sudek's atrophy	1
N2167	Subpatellar bursitis	1
N3v01	Subjuxatn complex (vertebral)	1
N3v02	Sublux stenos of neural canal	1
N3081	Subacute osteomvelitis-th spin	1
N3082	Subacute osteomvelitis-lu spin	1
N3080	Subacute osteomyelitis-Cx spin	1
N309	Subacute osteomyelitis	1
N308	Subacute osteomyelitis	1
N2122	Subacromial impingement	1
N2116	Subacromial bursitis	1
S3z2	Stress fracture	1
N095B	Stiff sternoclavic joint NEC	1
N095A	Stiff shoulder NEC	1
16AZ	Stiff neck symptom NOS	1
16A	Stiff neck symptom	1
N135z	Stiff neck NOS	1
16A2	Stiff neck	1
N095M	Stiff knee NEC	1
N095C	Stiff acromioclavicular joint NEC	1
S5y41	Sternoclavicular sprain	1
NU108	Staphylococc arthrit/polyarthr	1
S5410	Sprn, knee jt, medial collat	1
53400 6520D	Spini, Knee ji, lät collat lynt Spini triongular fibrogortilogo	1
5520D 5520C	Sprin thangular histocal thage	1
5020G 95	Sprinishin infinise lynnin non-sp Spraine and straine	1
S50	Sprains dilu su dilis Sprained shoulder	1
S50 S54v-00	Sprained Shouldel	1
S54_99	Sprained knee	1
S560	Sprain Cumbosacral ligament	1
S564	Sprain, idinboodoridi ligament	1
S501	Sprain, coraco-clav ligament	1
S506	Sprain supraspinatus tendon	1
S505	Sprain subscapularis tendon	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S5071	Sprain shoulder joint posterior	1
S5070	Sprain shoulder joint anterior	1
S507	Sprain shoulder joint	1
S534	Sprain patellar tendon	1
5505 5500	Sprain acromic-clay ligament	1
S500	Sprain acruciate knee ligament	1
S542 S541	Sprain - medial knee ligament	1
S541_00	Sprain - medial knee ligament	1
S540-99	Sprain - Interial knee linament	1
S540	Sprain - lateral knee ligament	1
SC07	Sprain - late effect	1
S57X	Spr/str ot/un pt lum sp/pel	1
ASDFGSP5	Sports Injury	1
N082	Spontaneous joint dislocation	1
16B3	Spontaneous bruising	1
N11z0	Spondylosis-no myelopathy,NOS	1
N11zz	Spondylosis NOS	1
N11z1	Spondylosis + myelopathy, NOS	1
N388	Spondylolysis	1
OXL7561C	Spondylolisthesis /ox	1
N10z	Spondylitis NOS	1
N374	Spine curvature+other condits.	1
N3742 N1400	Spine curvature+other cond.NOS	1
N 1400 N 1407		1
N1402	Spinal stenosis	1
N140	Spinal stenosis	1
N101	Spinal enthesonathy	1
N222z	Specific bursitides NOS	1
N222	Specific bursitides	1
F1382	Spasmodic Torticollis	1
N23y4	Spasm of muscle	1
N23yE	Spasm of back muscles	1
EGTON309	Sore Neck	1
N3321	Solitary bone cyst	1
N0878	Snapping shoulder	1
N32y	Slipped radial epiphysis	1
N33ZA	Skeletal fluorosis	1
N002^	Sicca (Sjogren's) syndrome	1
N2125	Shoulder tendonitis	1
500y N245 7	Shoulder spirall NOS	1
N245	Shoulder pain	1
N2457	Shoulder pain	1
N0951	Shoulder joint stiffness	1
	Shoulder iniury	1
S221	Shoulder fracture - open	1
N22v5	Short tendon	1
N011	Sex acquired reactive arthrop	1
N0110	Sex acqd reac arthrop-unspec	1
N0111	Sex acqd reac arthrop-shoulder	1
N011y	Sex acqd reac arthrop-oth spec	1
N011x	Sex acqd reac arthrop-multiple	1
N011z	Sex acq reac arthropathy NOS	1
SR20	Severe multiple injuries	1
	Seropositive errosive RA	1
	Seroposit meum artnr unsp	1
	Sero Nogative Delvarthritic	1
	Sero negative arthritis	1
	Sero negative arthritis	1
N3301	Senile osteoporosis	1
N2169	Semimembranosus tendinitis	1
EGTON131	Semi Frozen Shoulder	1
N3y00	Segmental & somatic dysfunctn	1
N0505	Secondary multiple arthrosis	1
N050500	Secondary multiple arthrosis	1
EMISNQSC20	Scoliosis of thoracic spine	1
N374A	Scoliosis in skelet dysplasia	1
N374C	Scoliosis in neurofibromatosis	1
N374D	Scoliosis in conn tiss anomal	1
N3739	Scoliosis due to oth treatment	1
UX735AA	Scoliosis Acquired /ox	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N3743	Scoliosis + other condition	1
N001	Scleroderma	1
OX353C	Sciatica Chronic /ox	1
N1240 N1241	Schmorl's nodes-thoracic regn	1
N124z	Schmorl's nodes-region NOS	1
N1242	Schmorl's nodes-lumbar region	1
N124	Schmorl's nodes	1
N3201	Scheuermann's disease	1
N2121	Scapulohumeral fibrositis	1
N1462-1	Sacrolliac strain	1
S5731	Sacral/coccyx sprain	1
N0004	SLE with pericarditis	1
N25	SAPHO syndrome	1
S5Q2	Rupture supraspinatus tendon	1
S5Q1	Rupture subscapularis tendon	1
S5U2	Rupture patellar tendon	1
N2250 N2257	Rupture of synovium NOS	1
N2251-99	Rupture of synovium - knee	1
N225	Rupture of synovium	1
S5Q0	Rupture infraspinatus tendon	1
N2251	Ruptur poplit space synov cyst	1
N2110	Rotator cuff syndrome unspecif	1
S504	Rotator cuff sprain	1
N211 N2261	Rotator cult shoulder syndrome	1
N3385	Rotational mal-union of #	1
182B	Rib pain	1
EGTON425	Rheumatology	1
N040N	Rheumatoid vasculitis	1
N0422	Rheumatoid nodule	1
N040R	Rheumatoid nodule	1
	Rheumatoid hursitis	1
N0402	Rheumatoid arthritis-shoulder	1
N0400	Rheumatoid arthritis-Cx spine	1
N040D	Rheumatoid arthritis of knee	1
N040	Rheumatoid arthritis	1
N0403	Rheumatoid arthr-sternoclav jt	1
NU404 N1351	Rheumatolo annr-acromiociav j	1
N0420	Rheumatic carditis	1
OX7149A	Rheumatic Arthritis /ox	1
N040S	Rheumat arthr - multiple joint	1
N2333	Rhabdomyolysis	1
N042z	Rh.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.NOS	1
	Reverse Hill-Sachs lesion	1
N12zA	Resortion of thoracic disc	1
N12zE	Resorption of lumbar disc	1
N12z6	Resorption of cervical disc	1
N3732	Resolving infant.idiopath.scol	1
N246	Residual soft tiss foreign bod	1
N339	Residual foreign body in bone	1
Ny2 N33z5	Repensing polychondritis	1
N337	Reflex sympathetic dystrophy	1
N083	Redislocation of joint	1
S46D	Recurrent subluxation of patella	1
N083D	Recurrent sublux shoulder-post	1
	Recurrent sublux shoulder inf	1
	Recurrent sublux shoulder ant	1
N083a	Recurrent sublux - patella	1
N083z	Recurrent joint dislocat.NOS	1
N0839	Recurrent disloc-multip joints	1
N083B	Recurrent disloc shoulder-post	1
N083E	Recurrent disloc shoulder-inf	1
	Recurrent disloc shoulder-ant	1
N083p	Recurrent disloc - patella	1
N083n	Recurrent disloc - knee	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N0830	Recurr.joint dislocsite unsp	1
N0838	Recurr.joint disloc-other spec	1
N0831	Recur. disloc shoulder joint	1
N0836-99	Recur. disloc knee joint	1
N083K	Recur sublux shoulder-multidir	1
NU83J	Recur disloc shoulder-multidir	1
N Tyu N 0 1 w 0	Rec allantoax subl + myelopath	1
	Reactive arthropathy unspecified	1
NOTW	Reactive arthropathy of knee	1
N038	Reactive arthropathies	1
N01w2	Reactive arthrop-sternoclav it	1
N01w1	Reactive arthr-acromicclav it	1
EGTON436	Radiculopathy	1
N2422	Radiculitis unspecified	1
N3734	Radiation scoliosis	1
N3711	Radiation kyphosis	1
N0706	Radial tear of medial meniscus	1
N0717	Radial tear of lateral meniscus	1
N2204	Radial styloid tenosynovitis	1
N22yC	Pyogenic Infec - tendon sneath	1
NOTO NOTO	Pyogenic arthruis	1
N0100 N0101	Pyogenic attri-shoulder rean	1
N010v	Pyogenic arthr other specif	1
N010x	Pyogenic arthr -multiple sites	1
N010z	Pyogenic arthr -NOS	1
\$57z0	Pulled back muscle	1
OX848ML	Pulled Muscle /ox	1
E2601	Psychogenic Torticollis	1
N2373	Pseudosarcomatous fibromatosis	1
N33zC	Pseudarth after fusn/arthrodes	1
S5422	Prt tr,knee,post cruciate Igmt	1
N12C4	Prol lumb interv disc sciatic	1
N0010	Progressive systemic scierosis	1
N2311 N2722	Progressive myositis ossific.	1
N5755 N051B	Progressive Infantioup.scol.	1
N050400	Primary general osteoarthrosis	1
N0504	Primary general osteoarthrosis	1
N0519	Primary coxarthrosis bilateral	1
N051C	Primary arthrosis of first carpometacarpal joints, bilateral	1
N2165	Prepatellar bursitis	1
N3736	Postural scoliosis	1
N3307	Postsurg malabsorp osteoporos	1
N3314	Postsur malab osteop+path frct	1
NyX	Postproc muscsk disord, unsp	1
N2313 N2306	Postophereotopic calcincat.	1
N3300 N3312	Postoophore ostooport-path fret	1
N3302	Postmenonausal osteoporosis	1
N331B	Postmenop osteoport-path fract	1
N0380	Postmeningococcal arthritis	1
N12Az	Postlaminectomy syndrome NOS	1
N12A	Postlaminectomy syndrome	1
N12A0	Postlaminectomy syndr.unspec.	1
N0381	Postinf arthropath in syphilis	1
N037	Postimmunization arthropathy	1
N013	Postdysenteric react arthrop	1
N0130	Postdys react arthrop-unspec	1
NU131	Postdys react arthrop-shoulder	1
	Postdys react arthron-multiple	1
N013z	Postdys react arthrop NOS	1
16B4	Post-traumatic bruising	1
N052B	Post-traumatic arthrosis of first carpometacarpal ioints. bilateral	1
N052C	Post-trauma gonarth, unilat	1
N052A	Post-traum gonarthrosis, bilat	1
N0529	Post-traum coxarthrosis, bilat	1
N3738	Post-surgical scoliosis	1
N3721	Post-laminectomy lordosis	1
N3712	Post-laminectomy kyphosis	1
N2314	Polymyositis ossificans	1
NUU4	Polymyositis	1

N20     Polymydgia freumatica     1       N20     Polymydgia     1       N055-1     Polymytropathy NEC     1       N055     Polymytropathy NEC     1       N055     Polymytropathy NEC     1       N055     Polymytropathy NEC     1       N055     Polymytropathy NEC     1       N0572     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0771     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0772     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0773     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0774     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0775     Policonstepathy-shourspect     1       N0776     Polymathia     1       N2799     Plant thom synowits     1       N2705     Peripheral enthesopathy NOS     1       N2120     Peripheral enthesopathy NOS     1       N3038     Periostitis, no osteomy-topy     1       N3036     Periostitis, no osteomy-topy     1       N3037     Periostitis, no osteomy-topy     1       N3038<	Read code	Description	Number of studies
N20     Polyatrinopathy NEC     1       N055:1     Polyatrinopathy NEC     1       N055:2     Polyatrinopathy NEC     1       N055:2     Polyatrinis     1       N055:20     Polyatrinis     1       N057:2     Polyatrinis     1       N057:0     Polyatrinis     1       N057:0     Polyatrinis     1       N057:0     Polyatrinis     1       N070     Polyacologithy-shoulde     1       N2161     Persithis othey any NOS     1       N2161     Persithis othey any NOS     1       N2161     Persithis no steamyethy NOS     1       N2765     Perjohat any NOS     1       N2766     Perjohat any NOS     1       N2767     Perjohat any NOS     1	N20*	Polymyalgia rheumatica	1
NB65-1     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N055.1     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N055.7     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N055.7     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N055.7     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N055.7     Polyathropathy NEC     1       N057.2     Polio stepathy site unspecif     1       N071     Polio stepathy site unspecif     1       N279     Plantar facilia     1       N270     Polio stepathy NDS     1       N271     Peripheral entres polytopath     1       N271     Peripheral entres polytopath     1       N271     Peripheral entres polytopath     1       N271     Periostitis, no steomy-lis polytopath     1       N271     Periostitis, no steomy-lis polytopath     1       N3032     Periostitis, no steomy-lis polytopath	N20	Polymyalgia	1
ND85.11     Polyathropathy NEC     1       ND85.00     Polyathropathy NEC     1       ND85.00     Polyathropathy NEC     1       ND85.00     Polyathropathy NES     1       ND872     Polyathropathy NDS     1       ND873     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND874     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND873     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND874     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND875     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND876     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND876     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND876     Polico.setogathy-steurspeelf     1       ND876     Pelipheral enver injury NDS     1       N212     Peripheral enver injury NDS     1       N214     Peripheral enver injury NDS     1       N2350     Periphical meriscus     1       N2361     Periostitis, no steomyellis     1       N3362     Periostitis, no steomyellis     1       N3363     Periostitis, no steomyell	N065-1	Polyarthropathy NEC	1
Notes     Polyatti NoteLC     1       Notes     Polyatti NoteS     1       National Policion Selectaria     1	N065.11	Polyarthropathy NEC	1
Noise 300     Polyant mile Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3070     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3071     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3078     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3079     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3078     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3079     Polico steppathy work ofter stes     1       N3079     Polico steppathy shoulder     1       N3079     Polico steppathy work ofter stes     1       N2179     Plantar facilis     1       N2179     Polico steppathy work ofter stes     1       N2171     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N2172     Periph earl entresopathy NOS     1       N2171     Periph datach-medial meniscus     1       N0705     Periph datach-medial meniscus     1       N0304     Periotitis, no steomyellis     1       N0303     Periotitis, no steomyellis     1       N0304     Periotitis, no steomyellis     1       N0303     Periotitis - site unopscified     1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Polyarthropathy NEC</td><td>1</td></td<>		Polyarthropathy NEC	1
N372     Polencyelia	N065Z	Polyarthritia	1
Nath     Polic osteopathy shart unspect     1       N3071     Polic osteopathy shart unspect     1       N3078     Polic osteopathy wher sites     1       N3079     Polic osteopathy white sites     1       N3079     Polic osteopathy white sites     1       N109     Preumocce atrift & polyarthr     1       N219     Plant thom synovitis     1       N229     Peripheral nerve injury NOS     1       N212     Peripheral anter singly NOS     1       N3075     Periph datach medial meniscus     1       N3036     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N3037     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N3038     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N3037     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N3038     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N3039     Periositis, no osteomyelitis     1       N	N005200 N2077	Polyanini lus Reliemvelitie esteenethy NOS	1
13371     Delio osteopathy-dhe siles     1       13378     Polio osteopathy-dhe siles     1       13379     Polio osteopathy-dhe siles     1       1379     Polio osteopathy-dhe siles     1       1379     Polio osteopathy-dhe siles     1       1379     Plantar fascills     1       1411     Pes arserinus tendin.burstis     1       152-98     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       1212     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       1214     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       13036     Periph entre entresopathy NOS     1       13037     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       13038     Perioristis, no osteomy-lives     1       13038     Perioristis, no osteomy-lives     1       13030     Perioristis, no osteomy-lives     1       13030     Perioristis - site unspecified     1       13033     Perioristis - site unspecified     1       13038     Perioristis - site unspecified     1       13039     Perioristis - site unspecified     1       13039 <td>N3072 N3070</td> <td>Polio osteonathy site unspecif</td> <td>1</td>	N3072 N3070	Polio osteonathy site unspecif	1
https://www.communication.communica	N3070	Polio osteonathy-shoulder	1
13070     Pelio aslegathy-multiple site     1       N010B     Pneumocca artinit & polyathr     1       N2176     Plantar fascilis     1       N2177     Plantar fascilis     1       N2178     Plantar fascilis     1       N2161     Pes anserinus tendin./bursits     1       N2122     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N2122     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N0705     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N0705     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N0706     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N0303     Periostitis, no asteomyelus     1       N0304     Periostitis, no asteomyelus     1       N0303     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N0304     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N0305     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N0306     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N0307     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N0308     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N13030     Periostitis - shoulder     1 </td <td>N3078</td> <td>Polio osteopathy-shoulder</td> <td>1</td>	N3078	Polio osteopathy-shoulder	1
N010b     Pneumococa affrit & polyathr     1       N2279     Plant from synovite     1       N2209     Plant from synovite     1       N2209     Plant from synovite     1       N2161     Pes anserinus tendin.bursitis     1       S1z-98     Peripheral entrescopathy NOS     1       N217     Peripheral entrescopathy NOS     1       N2161     Peripheral entrescopathy NOS     1       N0716     Peripheral entrescopathy NOS     1       N0733     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0304     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0305     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0307     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0308     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0309     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0308     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0309     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0308     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0308     Periostitis, no soleomy-elisp     1       N0308<	N3079	Polio osteonathy-multiple site	1
N2179     Plantar fascitis     Plantar fascitis     Plantar fascitis     1       N2209     Plant throm synovitis     1       N211     Perspheral nerve jingruy NOS     1       N212     Peripheral entheseopathy NOS     1       N212     Peripheral entheseopathy NOS     1       N211     Peripheral entheseopathy NOS     1       N2705     Periph detach-hareal meniscus     1       N3030     Periostitis, no osteomy-enths     1       N3031     Periostitis, no osteomy-enths     1       N3032     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3032     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3033     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3034     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3035     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3036     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3037     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3038     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3039     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp     1       N3039     Periostitis, no osteomy-exp	N0109	Pneumococc arthrit & polyarthr	1
N2209     Plant thorn synoutis     1       N2161     Pes ansinus tendin.bursitis     1       SJz-98     Peripheral nerve injury NOS     1       N21     Peripheral nerve injury NOS     1       N21     Peripheral nerve injury NOS     1       N21     Peripheral enthesopathies     1       N216     Peripheral enthesopathies     1       N303     Periostitis, no osteomyellis     1       N303     Periostitis, no osteomyelus     1       N303C     Periostitis - stoulder     1       N303B     Periostitis - stoulder     1       N303D     Periostitis - stoulder <td< td=""><td>N2179</td><td>Plantar fasciitis</td><td>1</td></td<>	N2179	Plantar fasciitis	1
N2161   Per sensering tendin./bursitis   1     N21zz   Peripheral enthesopathy NOS   1     N21zz   Peripheral enthesopathy NOS   1     N21   Peripheral enthesopathy NOS   1     N0706   Periph detach-hareal inteniscus   1     N303   Periostitis, no osteomyelhis   1     N3036   Periostitis, no osteomyelhis   1     N3037   Periostitis, no osteomyelhis   1     N3038   Periostitis, no osteomyelhis   1     N3030   Periostitis, no osteomyelhis   1     N3030   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3031   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3030   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3030   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3031   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3032   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3033   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3034   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3030   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3031   Periostitis, no steomyellis   1     N3122<	N2209	Plant thorn synovitis	1
SJ2-80     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N21z     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N216     Peripheral entresopathy NOS     1       N0705     Periph detach-medial meniscus     1       N0716     Periph detach-medial meniscus     1       N303     Periostitis, no osteomy-ellus p     1       N303C     Periostitis, no osteomy-ellus p     1       N303Q     Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p     1       N303Q     Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p     1       N303Q     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N303B     Periostitis - shoulder     1       N303B     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N303B     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N303B     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N212D     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N212D     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N303C     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N212D     Periostitis - multiple sites     1       N212D     Periostitis - multiple sites     1	N2161	Pes anserinus tendin./bursitis	1
N21z     Peripheral enthesopathies     1       N21     Peripheral enthesopathies     1       N0705     Periph detach-heral meniscus     1       N303     Periostitis, no osteomyethis     1       N3038     Periostitis, no osteomyethis     1       N303C     Periostitis, no osteomyeth sp     1       N303C     Periostitis, no osteomyeth sp     1       N303C     Periostitis, no osteomyeth sp     1       N303C     Periostitis - site unspecified     1       N303B     Periostitis - nultiple sites     1       N212D     Periattritis NOS     1       N432     Pauciatriconsel µu ch arth     1       N432     Pauciatriconsel µu ch arth     1       N331U     Pathological fracture OS     1       N332C     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N0822	SJz-98	Peripheral nerve injury NOS	1
N21 Peripheral enthesopathies 1   N7050 Periph detach-neidial meniscus 1   N0716 Periph detach-neidial meniscus 1   N030 Periostitis, no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0303 Periostitis, no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0304 Periostitis, no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0305 Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0306 Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0307 Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0308 Periostitis no osteomy-ellus p 1   N0309 Periostitis osteoluder 1   N0309 Periostitis osteoluder 1   N0309 Periostitis - multiple sites 1   N0310 Periostitis - multiple sites 1   N0422 Pauciaticolar juvenille RA. 1   N0432 Pauciaticolar juvenille RA. 1   N0432 Pauciaticolar juvenille RA. 1   N0432 Pauciaticolar juvenille RA. 1   N0311 Pathological fracture NOS 1   N0432 Pauciaticolar juvenille RA. 1   N0432 Pathological fracture NOS 1   N0314 Pathological fracture NOS 1   N0320 Pathological fractu	N21zz	Peripheral enthesopathy NOS	1
N0705     Periph detach-medial meniscus     1       N0716     Periph detach-leardial meniscus     1       N0303     Periostitis, no osteomyellis     1       N0303     Periostitis, no osteomyellis     1       N0303     Periostitis, no osteomyelly sp     1       N0304     Periostitis, no osteomyel NOS     1       N0303     Periostitis - site unspecified     1       N0312     Periostitis - nultiple sites     1       N0422     Pauciatricular spontyloisthesis     1       N0456     Pauciatriconsel (uv ch arh     1       N04512     Pathological fracture OS     1       N03212     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological facture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological facture NOS     1	N21	Peripheral enthesopathies	1
N0716   Periph detach-lateral meniscus   1     N303   Periostitis, no osteomyelh sp   1     N303C   Periostitis, no osteomyelh sp   1     N303A   Periostitis, no osteomyelh sp   1     N303A   Periostitis, no osteomyel NS   1     N303Q   Periostitis, no osteomyel NOS   1     N3030   Periostitis - solic unspecified   1     N3031   Periostitis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - multiple sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - multiple sites   1     N2120   Periarthritis OS   1     N4343   Pedicular spondylolisthesis   1     N4456   Pauciartic unsi yuonile R A,   1     N4357   Pauciartic unsi yuonile R A,   1     N4358   Pauciartic onset juor A arth   1     N4350   Pauciartic onset juor A arth   1     N4352   Pauciartic anset juor A arth   1     N4352   Pauciartic anset juor A arth   1     N4456   Pauciartic anset juor A arth   1     N4456   Pauciartic anset juor A arth   1     N44	N0705	Periph detach-medial meniscus	1
N303   Periostitis, no osteomyellis   1     N303B   Periostitis, no osteomyell, sp   1     N303C   Periostitis, no osteomyell, sp   1     N303A   Periostitis, no osteomyell, sp   1     N303A   Periostitis no osteomyell, sp   1     N303D   Periostitis - steu suppedified   1     N303B   Periostitis - shoulder   1     N303B   Periostitis - shoulder   1     N303B   Periostitis - shoulder   1     N303B   Periostitis - multiple sites   1     N344   Periostitis - other sites   1     N342   Periostitis - other sites   1     N343   Periodual provenite other sites   1     N343   Periodual provenite other sites   1     N342   Pauciarticular juvenite R.A.   1     N456   Pauciarticular juvenite R.A.   1     N3312   Pathological facture NOS   1     N3322   Pathological facture NOS   1     N332   Pathological facture NOS   1     N332   Pathological facture NOS   1     N332   Pathologi	N0716	Periph detach-lateral meniscus	1
N303B   Periostilis, no osteomy-e1, sp   1     N303C   Periostilis, no osteomy-CX sp   1     N303A   Periostilis, no osteomy-CX sp   1     N303C   Periostilis, no osteomy-CX sp   1     N3030   Periostilis - noteomy-INOS   1     N3031   Periostilis - other sites   1     N3038   Periostilis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostilis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostilis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostilis - other sites   1     N2121   Periostilis - other sites   1     N432   Pauciaticia runnelle sites   1     N432   Pauciaticia runnelle sites   1     N442   Pauciaticia runnelle sites   1     N445   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N311   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N6821   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N6821   Pathological forcela vical vic	N303	Periostitis, no osteomyelitis	1
N303C   Periostilis, no osteomy-el.v sp   1     N303A   Periostilis no osteomy-el.V sp   1     N303C   Periostilis no osteomy-el.V sp   1     N3030   Periostilis - shoulder   1     N3031   Periostilis - shoulder   1     N3033   Periostilis - shoulder   1     N3039   Periostilis - nultiple sites   1     N2120   Periarthritis of shoulder   1     N2121   Periarthritis of shoulder   1     N3433   Pedicular spondylolisthesis   1     N3432   Pauciarticular juvenile R.A.   1     N456   Pauciarticular juvenile R.A.   1     N3311   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N3322   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N3322   Pathological dislocation NOS   1     N3320   Patholog dislocshoulder   1     N3321   Patholog dislocshoulder   1     N3322   Patholog dislocshoulder   1     N3322   Patholog dislocshoulder   1     N3324   Patholog dislocshoulder   1     N3242   Patholog dislo	N303B	Periostitis, no osteomye-th sp	1
N303A   Periostitis, no osteomye/Cx sp   1     N3032   Periostitis - site unspecified   1     N3031   Periostitis - site unspecified   1     N3033   Periostitis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - other sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - other sites   1     N2120   Periarthritis of shoulder   1     N3433   Pedicular spondyloitsthesis   1     N4422   Pauciarticic onset juv ch arth   1     N4433   Pedicular spondyloitsthesis   1     N4422   Pauciartic onset juv ch arth   1     N4566   Pauciartic onset juv ch arth   1     N4522   Pathological #cervical vert   1     N4523   Pathological #cervical vert   1     N4524 <td>N303C</td> <td>Periostitis, no osteomye-lu sp</td> <td>1</td>	N303C	Periostitis, no osteomye-lu sp	1
N3032   Periostitis no osteomyel NOS   1     N3030   Periostitis -shoulder   1     N3031   Periostitis -shoulder   1     N3033   Periostitis -shoulder   1     N3033   Periostitis -shoulder   1     N3039   Periostitis -multiple sites   1     N2120   Periarthitis of shoulder   1     N2121   Periarthitis NOS   1     N3433   Pedicular spondyloisthesis   1     N3434   Pedicular spondyloisthesis   1     N456   Pauciarticolar juvenile R.A.   1     N452   Pauciarticolar juvenile R.A.   1     N331y   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N3312   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N3312   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0821   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0822   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0822   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0824   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0825   Pathological folgocative vert   1     N0826   Pathological folgocative	N303A	Periostitis, no osteomye-Cx sp	1
N3030   Perostits - site unspecified   1     N3031   Periostits - other sites   1     N3039   Periostits - other sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - other sites   1     N2120   Periarthritis / shoulder   1     N3433   Pedicular spondyloisthesis   1     N3432   Pauciarticiar juvenile R.A.   1     N4422   Pauciarticiar juvenile R.A.   1     N456   Pauciartic conset juv ch arth   1     N3311   Pathological fracture NOS   1     N3312   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4820   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4821   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4821   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4821   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4822   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4823   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4824   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4825   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4826   Pathological # cervical vert   1     N4827	N303z	Periostitis no osteomyel NOS	1
N3031   Periostitis - houlder   1     N3038   Periostitis - multiple sites   1     N3039   Periostitis - multiple sites   1     N2120   Periathritis OS   1     N3443   Pedicular spondylolisthesis   1     N4322   Pauciartic oral juvchi e R.A.   1     N4352   Pauciartic oral juvch arth   1     N331y   Pathological fracture OS   1     N331z   Pathological fracture OS   1     N331z   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3312   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3312   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3312   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3322   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3322   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3322   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3220   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3220   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3220   Path disloc-shoulder joint   1     N3230   Pathological fracture OS   1     N3240   Pathological fracture Ostoarthritis	N3030	Periostitis - site unspecified	1
N3038     Perositis - other sites     1       N3039     Periositis - nulliple sites     1       N2120     Periathritis Of shoulder     1       N3433     Pedicular spondyloisthesis     1       N4422     Pauciartic insel juv on arth     1       N4433     Pedicular spondyloisthesis     1       N4456     Pauciartic insel juv on arth     1       N3312     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N3322     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological isocation NOS     1       N0821     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0822     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0828     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0829     Path disloc-shoulder     1       N0820     Path disloc-multiple joints     1       N0820     Patellofemoral osteoarthritis     1       N076     Patello	N3031	Periostitis - shoulder	1
N3039     Perositis - multiple sites     1       N2120     Periarthritis NOS     1       N2121     Periarthritis NOS     1       N343     Pedicular spondylolisthesis     1       N4322     Pauciartic ones fjur ch arth     1       N331y     Pathological fracture OS     1       N331y     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N3312     Pathological facture OS     1       N3312     Pathological facture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological facture NOS     1       N0821     Pathologi disloc-shou sepecif     1       N0822     Pathologi disloc-other specif.     1       N0828     Pathologi disloc-other specif.     1       N0828     Path disloc-oth point.     1       N0828     Path disloc-oth point.     1       N0829     Path disloc-oth point.     1       N0536     Patellofermoral matracking     1       N0796     Patellofermoral matracking     1       N2766     Patellofermoral matracking     1       N2766     Patellofermoral matracking	N3038	Periostitis - other sites	1
N2120     Penathmits of solution     1       N2121     Penathmits of NOS     1       N3433     Pedicular spondyloisthesis     1       N0432     Pauciartic unset juv ch arth     1       N331y     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N331c     Pathological fracture NOS     1       N0822     Pathological # cervical vert     1       N0821     Pathological # cervical vert     1       N0822     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0823     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0824     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0828     Pathologi dislocshoulder     1       N0828     Path disloc-boulder joint     1       N0829     Path disloc-multiple joints     1       N0826     Path disloc-multiple joints     1       N0336     Patellofemoral osteoarthritis     1       N034     Patellofemoral disorder     1       N0356     Patellofemoral disorder     1       N034     Patellofemoral disorder     1       N0359     Patellofemoral disord	N3039	Periostitis - multiple sites	1
N2121Periaritinus NOSN3843Pedicular spondylolisthesis1N0436Pauciarticular juvenile R.A.1N0437Pauciarticonset juv ch arth1N3312Pathological fracture NOS1N0827Pathological fracture NOS1N0828Pathological dislocation NOS1N0829Pathological dislocation NOS1N0820Pathological # cervical vert1N0821Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0829Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0829Path disloc-breatel/dem joint1N0829Path disloc-breatel/dem joint1N0829Path disloc-chl joint-shoulder1N0829Path disloc-chl joint-shoulder1N0829Path disloc-rol coscoarthritis1N0820Patellofermoral coscoarthritis1N0820Patellofermoral coscoarthritis1N0820Patellofermoral astecarthritis1N0766Patellofermoral discorder1N2666Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2666Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2144Part trak.ree, and cucitat Igmt1N329Partial epiphyseal arrest1N216Part tear.knee, and cucitat Igmt1N175Part beak.tear-post/tad menisc1N074Part tear.knee, and cucitat Igmt1N186Pannicul	N2120	Periarthritis of shoulder	1
N3430Pedicular Splot(n)istitiesN0432Pauciaritic unset juv ch arth1N0456Pauciaritic onset juv ch arth1N331yPathological fracture NOS1N331zPathological fracture NOS1N331CPathological fracture NOS1N082zPathological # cervical vert1N082dPathological # cervical vert1N082dPatholog dislocshoulder1N082dPatholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Path disloc-ballefem joint1N0829Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-multiple joints1N0821Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N08220Path disloc-multiple joints1N08236Patellofemoral costeoarthritis1N05361Patellofemoral antarcaking1N07y6Patellafermoral matracking1N0796Patellafer endon instracting1N1266Patellafer endon instracting1N1266Patellafer endon instracting1N114Patt reknee, end crucite lgmt1N114Patt reknee, end crucite lgmt1N2164Patellafer endon instracting1N2164Patellafer endon instracting1N2164Patellafer endon instracting1N2164Patellafer endon instracting1N2164Patellafer endon ins	N21Z1 N2942	Periaminius NOS Redicular anondulaliathasia	1
NotaciPauciaritic on set juv of arth1N331yPathological fracture OS1N331zPathological fracture NOS1N082cPathological fracture NOS1N082cPathological dislocation NOS1N0820Pathological # cervical vert1N0821Pathological # cervical vert1N0822Pathological # cervical vert1N0823Pathological # cervical vert1N0824Pathological # cervical vert1N0825Pathological blocshoulder1N0826Pathological co-patellofem joint1N0827Path disloc-batellofem joint1N0828Path disloc-batellofem joint1N0829Path disloc-hutiliple joints1N0820Path disloc-hutiliple joints1N0820Path disloc-hutiliple joints1N0820Path disloc-hutiliple joints1N0820Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0820Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0796Patellofemoral discorder1N2866Patellofemoral discorder1N2866Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2846Pattellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tear.knee, and collat Igmt1N174Part tear.knee, and collat Igmt1N174Part tear.knee, and collat Igmt1N174Parabeak tear-post/hat menisc1N	N0432	Peuciatioular invenile P A	1
NotoFathological fracture OS1N331yPathological fracture OS1N331zPathological fracture NOS1N331CPathological dislocation NOS1N331CPathological disloc-arise unspecif1N0820Pathologicalsicoshoulder1N0821Pathologicalsicoshoulder1N0822Pathologicalsicoshoulder1N0823Patholog.dislocother specif.1N0824Path disloc-shoulder joint1N0825Path disloc-shoulder joint1N0826Path disloc-shoulder joint1N0827Path disloc-multiple joints1N0828Path disloc-multiple joints1N0829Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Patelofemoral osteoarthritis1N0796Patelofemoral osteoarthritis1N0796Patelofemoral disorder1N2266Patelal rendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patelal rendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patelal rendon colt cult flagt1N329Partial epiphyseal arrest1N2144Part tri,knee, and cruciate lgmt1N2145Part triknee, and cruciate lgmt1N2146Parteir,knee, and cruciate lgmt1N2141Part tear,knee, and cruciate lgmt1N2142Par interarcicular strss frct1N074Par beak tear-post/hat menisc1N2316Paralycicalific/ossf muscl1N2330<	N0452 N0456	Pauciartic onset invicts arth	1
No.3112Pathological fracture NOS1N082zPathological dislocation NOS1N082zPathological dislocation NOS1N08z0Pathological # cervical vert1N08z1Pathological # cervical vert1N08z2Pathological # cervical vert1N08z4Pathological # cervical vert1N08z5Pathological # cervical vert1N08z4Pathological # cervical vert1N08z7Path disloc-shoulder joint1N08z8Path disloc-patellofem joint1N08z9Path disloc-chree joint1N08z9Path disloc-chree joint1N08z9Path disloc-chree joint1N08z9Path disloc-dree joint1N08z9Patholemoral osteoarthritis1N0746Patellofemoral asteoarthritis1N0746Patellofemoral disorder1N2866Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2866Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2841Part trichee,and cuclat lgmt1N2144Part trichee,and cuclat lgmt1N2155Par beak tear-post/med menisc1N0744Pare beak tear-post/med menisc1N233Palindromic rheum.site unspecified1N2343Paniculitis on peeck1N2344Palindromic rheum.site unspecified1N235Palindromic rheum.site unspecified1N335Palindromic rheum.site unspecified1N335	N331v	Pathological fracture OS	1
N0822Pathological dislocation NOS1N331CPathological dislocation NOS1N331CPathological dislocation NOS1N0820Patholog dislocation unspecif1N0821Patholog dislocation specif.1N0828Patholog dislocation contraction specif.1N0828Path dislocation contraction specif.1N0828Path dislocation contraction shoulder1N0828Path dislocation contraction shoulder1N0829Path dislocation contraction shoulder1N0829Path dislocation contraction shoulder1N0829Path dislocation contraction shoulder1N0836Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral adsteoarthritis1N0796Patellofemoral disorder1N084Patellofemoral disorder1N084Patellofemoral disorder1N085Patellafemoral disorder1N286Patellar tendonitis1N287Patilal epiphyseal arrest1S421Part traknee, and collat lgmt1N214Part traknee, and collat lgmt1N142Part traknee, and collat lgmt1N142Part tear, knee, and collat lgmt1N142Para tear, knee, and collat lgmt1N142Para tear, knee, and collat lgmt1N142Para tear, knee, kare post/med menisc1N216Paralytic caloific/ossif muscl1N233Palindr	N331z	Pathological fracture NOS	1
N331CPathological # cervical vert1N0820Patholog dislocshie unspecif1N0821Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Path disloc-patellofem joint1N0828Path disloc-patellofem joint1N0828Path disloc-nutiple joints1N0829Path disloc-nutiple joints1N0820Path disloc-nutiple joints1N0830Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0796Patellofemoral discorder1N2866Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon itis1N2266Patellar tendon tist1S4211Part tr_knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S4211Part tr_knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5421Part tar_knee, and collat Igmt1S5401Part tear_knee, and collat Igmt1N1/2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Par beak tear-post/med menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N233Panincultis unspecified1N2342Panincultis of neck1N033Palindromic rheum.astrum.shoulder1N034Panincultis of neck1N2316Panincultis of neck1N033Palindromic rheum.shoulder1N033Palindromic rheum.shoulder <td< td=""><td>N082z</td><td>Pathological dislocation NOS</td><td>1</td></td<>	N082z	Pathological dislocation NOS	1
N0820Patholog dislocsite unspecif1N0821Patholog dislocshoulder1N0828Patholog dislocsher specif.1N082APath disloc-shoulder joint1N082RPath disloc-nother specif.1N082BPath disloc-nother joint1N0820Path disloc-nother joints1N0820Path disloc-nother joints1N0820Path disloc-nother joints1N0820Path disloc-nother joints1N0820Patholforemoral osteoarthritis1N0766Patellofemoral altracking1N076Patellofemoral disorder1N0766Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendon notraum.rupt.1N3329Patrial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tendon notat grupt1N214Part tendon notat grupt1N214Part tear, knee, alt collat Igmt1N214Part tear, knee, alt collat Igmt1N122Pars interarticular strss frot1N0704Para beak tear-post/net menisc1N243Paniculitis unspecified1N136Paniculitis unspecified1N033Palindromic rheum.atism NOS1N033Palindromic rheum.steu unspec1N033Palindromic rheum.entup.site1N033Palindromic rheum.entup.site1N033Palindromic rheum.entup.site </td <td>N331C</td> <td>Pathological # cervical vert</td> <td>1</td>	N331C	Pathological # cervical vert	1
N0821Patholog disloc-shoulder1N0828Patholog disloc-other specif.1N0828Path disloc-shoulder point1N0828Path disloc-shoulder point1N0829Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-multiple joints1N0836Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N076Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N076Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2144Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2144Patt lickn rotator cuff tear1S5421Part tr,knee, ant cruciate Igmt1N214Part tear,knee, md collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Part beak tear-post/med menisc1N216Paralvic calcific/ossif muscl1N233Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N033Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N033Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N033Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N033Palindromic rheum.multip.site1N033Palindromic rheum.nultip.site1N033Palindromic rheum.nultip.site1N033Palindromic rheum.shoulder1 <t< td=""><td>N0820</td><td>Patholog.dislocsite unspecif</td><td>1</td></t<>	N0820	Patholog.dislocsite unspecif	1
N0828Patholog disloc -other specif.1N082APath disloc-shoulder joint1N082RPath disloc-oth joint-shoulder1N082BPath disloc-oth joint-shoulder1N082BPath disloc-oth joint-shoulder1N082QPath disloc-multiple joints1N082GPath disloc-knee joint1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0796Patellofemoral disorder1N0796Patellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N2114Part thickn rotator cuff tear1N2141Part tear,knee, alt cruciate Igmt1N2141Part tear,knee, alt collat Igmt1N1y2Par beak tear-post/ned menisc1N0704Parr beak tear-post/ned menisc1N216Parniculitis of neck1N243Panniculitis of neck1N093Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheum.stie unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.stie unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.stie unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.multip.site1N093Palindromic rheum.multip.site1N0939Palindromic rheum.multip.site1N0939	N0821	Patholog.dislocshoulder	1
N082APath disloc-shoulder joint1N082RPath disloc-patellofem joint1N082BPath disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-knee joint1N0836Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendon curciaus.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendon curciaus.rupt.1S421Patellar tendon curciaus.rupt.1S5421Patt r, knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, and collat Igmt1N0704Part beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Par beak tear-post/med menisc1N0736Paniculitis unspecified1N0737Paniculitis of neck1N0738Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumatism1N0938Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0939Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0931Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0932Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0933Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0934Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0935Palindr	N0828	Patholog.dislocother specif.	1
N082R     Path disloc-patellofem joint     1       N082B     Path disloc-oth joint-shoulder     1       N082Q     Path disloc-multiple joints     1       N082Q     Path disloc-knee joint     1       N0536-1     Patellofemoral osteoarthritis     1       N0536     Patellofemoral osteoarthritis     1       N07y6     Patellofemoral mattracking     1       N0226     Patellar tendo nontraum.rupt.     1       N2266     Patellar tendo nontraum.rupt.     1       N2266     Patellar tendo nontraum.rupt.     1       N2164     Patellar tendo nontraum.rupt.     1       N2164     Patellar tendo nontraum.rupt.     1       N2164     Patellar tendo intitis     1       N2164     Patellar tendo intitis     1       N2141     Part triknee, endi collat Igmt     1       N2141     Part tear, knee, mcl collat Igmt     1       N1y2     Pars interarticular strss frct     1       N0704     Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl     1       N243     Panniculitis on peck     1	N082A	Path disloc-shoulder joint	1
N082BPath disloc-oth joint-shoulder1N0829Path disloc-multiple joints1N0820Path disloc-mee joint1N0536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0766Patellofemoral maltracking1N09APatellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendinitis1N329Pattial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tirk, nee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5421Part tear, knee, mil collat Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, mil collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Para beak tear-post/net menisc1N0715Para beak tear-post/lat menisc1N0716Paraniculitis of neck1N033Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0931Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0933Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0934Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0935Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0938Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0939Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0931Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0933Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0934Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0935Palindromic rheumatism NOS	N082R	Path disloc-patellofem joint	1
N0829Path disloc-multiple joints1N082QPath disloc-knee joint1N0836-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral disorder1N09APatellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendinitis1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part trake, ant cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, and cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, and collat Igmt1S5401Part tear, knee, and collat Igmt1N704Part beak tear-post/med menisc1N0704Para beak tear-post/med menisc1N243Panniculitis of neck1N243Panniculitis of neck1N093Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0930Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0930Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0930Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0930Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0933Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0933Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0933Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0933Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0933Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0934Palindromic rheum.ste unspec1N0935Palin	N082B	Path disloc-oth joint-shoulder	1
N082QPath disloc-knee joint1N0536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral disorder1N09APatellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendinitis1N33z9Patilar tendinitis1S5421Part tr,knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5421Part tr,knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, mdl collat Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, ital collat Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, ital collat Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, ital collat Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, ital collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Para beak tear-post/lat menisc1N216Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N2316Panniculitis of neck1N093Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N093Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0938Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0939Palindromic rheum.site unspec1N0939Palindromic rheum.multip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome	N0829	Path disloc-multiple joints	1
NU536-1Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N0536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral maltracking1N09APatellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2266Patellar tendinitis1N33z9Partial epiphyseal arrest1S4211Part tr, knee, ant cruciate Igmt1N2164Part thickn rotator cuff tear1S5421Part tear, knee, mdl collat Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, mdl collat Igmt1S5401Part tear, knee, mdl collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parnoiculitis on specified1N236Panniculitis of neck1N0932Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1<	N082Q	Path disloc-knee joint	1
NU536Patellofemoral osteoarthritis1N07y6Patellofemoral maltracking1N09APatellofemoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendinitis1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tr,knee, ant cruciate Igmt1N2114Part tr,knee, ant cruciate Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee, md collat Igmt1S5401Part tear,knee, itar collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Par beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parn beak tear-post/med menisc1N243Panniculitis of neck1N136Panniculitis of neck1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N093Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N	N0536-1	Patellofemoral osteoarthritis	1
NOyoPatellofernoral disorder1N09APatellofernoral disorder1N2266Patellar tendon nontraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendonitis1N33z9Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tr, knee, ant cruciate Igmt1N2114Part tear, knee, mol collat Igmt1S5411Part tear, knee, mol collat Igmt1S5401Part tear, knee, lat collat Igmt1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paniculitis of neck1N136Paniculitis NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0932Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0933Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0934Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0935Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1 <td>NU536</td> <td>Patellofemoral osteoartnritis</td> <td>1</td>	NU536	Patellofemoral osteoartnritis	1
N09APatello endo a disorderN2266Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N2164Patellar tendon nortraum.rupt.1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tr,knee, ant cruciate lgmt1N2114Part thickn rotator cuff tear1S5411Part tear,knee, ndl collat lgmt1S5401Part tear,knee, lat collat lgmt1N704Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Pars beak tear-post/med menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N0932Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful Shoulder1N211z-1Painful Shoulder1		Patellofemoral maillacking	1
N2200Patellar tendinitign1N2164Patellar tendinitis1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tr,knee,ant cruciate Igmt1N2114Part tickn rotator cuff tear1S5411Part tear,knee,mdl collat Igmt1S5401Part tear,knee,lat collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N136Panniculitis of neck1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1 <td>NU9A N2266</td> <td>Patellor tondon nontroum runt</td> <td>1</td>	NU9A N2266	Patellor tondon nontroum runt	1
NationPatial cirkling1N3329Partial epiphyseal arrest1S5421Part tr,knee,ant cruciate Igmt1N2114Part tear,knee,mdl collat Igmt1S5411Part tear,knee,mdl collat Igmt1S5401Part tear,knee,lat collat Igmt1N0704Pars interarticular strss frct1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N2432Panniculitis unspecified1N2432Panniculitis NOS1N0932Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0931Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0938Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0939Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0939Palindromic rheumstre1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful Arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful Arc syndrome1Patind Shoulder1	N2164	Patellar tendinitis	1
NoticePart thick opprysed attractionS5421Part trick reversite lgmtN2114Part thickn rotator cuff tearS5411Part tear, knee, mdl collat lgmtS5401Part tear, knee, lat collat lgmtN1y2Pars interarticular strss frctN0704Parr beak tear-post/med meniscN0715Parr beak tear-post/lat meniscN2316Paralytic calcific/ossif musclN136Panniculitis unspecifiedN136Panniculitis of neckN0932Palindromic rheumatismN0933Palindromic rheumatismN0930Palindromic rheumsite unspecN0938Palindromic rheumshoulderN0939Palindromic rheumshoulderN121zPainful arc syndromeN211zPainful arc syndromeN211zPainful ShoulderN211zPainful ShoulderN211zPainful arc syndromeN211zPainful ShoulderN211zPainful Shoulder	N3379	Partial eninhyseal arrest	1
N2114Part thickn rotator cuff tear1S5411Part tear, knee, mdl collat Igmt1S5401Part tear, knee, lat collat Igmt1N1/2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2116Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis of neck1N0930Palindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0931Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0932Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0934Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0935Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0936Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0937Palindromic rheumsoulder1N0938Palindromic rheumsoulder1N2112Painful arc syndrome1N2112-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	\$5421	Part tr knee ant cruciate lomt	1
S5411Part tear, knee, mdl collat Igmt1S5401Part tear, knee, lat collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumuntlip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211zPainful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N2114	Part thickn rotator cuff tear	1
S5401Part tear,knee,lat collat Igmt1N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N093zPalindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211zPainful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	S5411	Part tear.knee.mdl collat lgmt	1
N1y2Pars interarticular strss frct1N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N211zPalindromic rheummultip.site1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	S5401	Part tear, knee, lat collat Igmt	1
N0704Parr beak tear-post/med menisc1N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N121zPalindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N1y2	Pars interarticular strss frct	1
N0715Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc1N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis of neck1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0932Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0934Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0935Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0936Palindromic rheumsite1N0937Palindromic rheumsite1N0938Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N0704	Parr beak tear-post/med menisc	1
N2316Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl1N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0939Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N1212Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N0715	Parr beak tear-post/lat menisc	1
N243Panniculitis unspecified1N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumatism1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec.1N0939Palindromic rheumother spec.1N211zPalindromic rheummultip.site1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N2316	Paralytic calcific/ossif muscl	1
N136Panniculitis of neck1N243zPanniculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumsite unspec.1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N243	Panniculitis unspecified	1
N243zPannculitis NOS1N093zPalindromic rheumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rheumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumshoulder1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N136	Panniculitis of neck	1
NU93ZPaindromic rneumatism NOS1N093Palindromic rneumatism1N0930Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumother spec.1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N243Z	Panniculitis NOS	1
N0930Paindromic rneumatism1N0930Palindromic rneumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rheumsite unspec1N0938Palindromic rheumother spec.1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1		Paindromic meumatism NUS	1
N0930Paindromic rneumsite unspec1N0931Palindromic rneumshoulder1N0938Palindromic rheumother spec.1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	NU93	Paindromic meumatism	1
N0931Paindromic rheumsn0ulder1N0938Palindromic rheumother spec.1N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N0031	Paindromic meumsite unspec	1
N0939Palindromic rheummultip.site1N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N0038	r annuroffiic meumshoulder Dalindromic rheumother spoc	1
N211zPainful arc syndrome1N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	NI030	r amaronno meanoner spec. Dalindromic rheum -multin site	1
N211z-1Painful arc syndrome1EGTON224Painful Shoulder1	N2117	Painful arc syndrome	1
EGTON224 Painful Shoulder 1	N211z-1	Painful arc syndrome	1
	EGTON224	Painful Shoulder	1

EGTONZ70     Pairlu Right Knee     1       N315-1     Pairn In Duttock     1       N245-9     Pairn in buttock     1       N245-9     Pairn in buttock     1       0.7230AP     Pairn Nexk fox     1       0.7230AP     Pairn Nexk fox     1       0.7230AP     Pairn Nexk fox     1       0.7230AP     Pairn In Right Leng     1       N245-96     Pairn In Right Arm     1       N245-97     Paird In Edges-scapula     1       N3106     Pager's disease-scapula     1       N3107     Pager's disease-carvicla spine     1       N3108     Pager's disease-carvicla spine     1       N3109     Pager's disease-carvicla spine     1       N3100     Pager's disease-carvicla spine     1       N1221     PID - prologeed turbardis     1 <th>Read code</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Number of studies</th>	Read code	Description	Number of studies
N131-1   Pain in cervical spine   1     N245-9   Pain in kitholok   1     N2453   Pain in kitholok   1     N2453   Pain Knee for   1     N24595   Pain Knee for   1     N24596   Pain Knee for   1     N24597   Pain In Egitt Lang   1     N24596   Pain In Egitt Lang   1     N24597   Pain Stages-charalo spine   1     N3106   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3107   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3108   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3100   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3101   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3102   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3103   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3104   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3105   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3101   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3102   Pager's disease-charalo spine   1     N3103   Othe sprecharal spine   1	EGTON279	Painful Right Knee	1
N2453     Pain in buttock     1       N2453     Pain in sm     1       N2453     Pain in Sm     1       N2453     Pain in Right Am     1       N24545     Pain In Right Am     1       N24546     Pain In Right Am     1       N24546     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24547     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24546     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24547     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24547     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24547     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24548     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24549     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24549     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24549     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N24510     Pain In Lingth Am     1       N3107     Paget's disease-Lindta's grine     1       N3107     Paget's disease-Lindta's grine     1       N3107     Paget's disease-Lindta's grine     1       N3108     Paget's disease-Lindta's grine <td< td=""><td>N131-1</td><td>Pain in cervical spine</td><td>1</td></td<>	N131-1	Pain in cervical spine	1
N2453     Pain Nack iss     Pain Nack iss       N225-01     Pain Nack iss     Pain Nack iss       N225-05     Pain In Right Leg     In       N245-97     Pain In Right Leg     In       N245-96     Pain In Right Leg     In       N245-97     Pain In Right Leg     In       N245-96     Pain In Right Leg     In       N245-97     Pain Stight Arm     In       N2166     Paget's diseas-clavid     In       N3107     Paget's diseas-clavid print     In       N3108     Paget's diseas-clavid print     In       N3109     Paget's diseas-clavid print     In       N3100     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3101     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3102     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3104     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3107     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3104     Paget's diseas-Clavid print     In       N3122     Other synchroma time     In       N1224     PID - prolise dis thrints	N245-9	Pain in buttock	1
OVERTICL     Pain Know for     1       N245-36     Pain in Right Arm     1       N245-36     Pain in Right Arm     1       N245-36     Pain in Right Arm     1       N3106     Paget's disease-horacic spine     1       N3107     Paget's disease-patella     1       N3108     Paget's disease-patella     1       N3107     Paget's disease-formation spine     1       N3108     Paget's disease-formation spine     1       N3109     Paget's disease-formation spine     1       N3109     Paget's disease-OS     1       N3109     Paget's disease-OS     1       N3109     Paget's disease OS     1       N3109     Paget's disease OS     1       N3109     Othr spec dist thrito disc shoulder     1       S1029     Othr spec dist thrito disc shoulder     1       S4119     Othr spec dist thrito disc shoulder     1       N05.0     Others worduninedorbords     1       N222     Other worduninedorbords     1       N058B     Others worduninedorbords	N2453	Pain In arm Dain Neak /ox	1
0245-65     Pain in Right Leg     1       N245-97     Pain in Laft Leg     1       N245-96     Pain in Laft Leg     1       N3101     Pagets disesse-capula     1       N3105     Pagets disesse-capula     1       N3106     Pagets disesse-capula     1       N3107     Pagets disesse-capula     1       N3108     Pagets disesse-cavula spine     1       N3109     Pagets disesse-cavula spine     1       N3100     Pagets disesse covula spine     1       N3102     Pagets disesse Covula spine     1       N3104     Other spinotine disc mailer     1       N3105     Pagets disesse Covula spine     1       N3104     Other spinotine spinotine     1       N3105     Pagets disesse Covula spine     1       N3104     Other spinotine spinotine	OX7873E	Pain Knee /ox	1
N245-96     Pain In Right Arm     1       N245-96     Pain In Left Leg     1       N3101     Paget's disease-choracic spine     1       N3106     Paget's disease-choracic spine     1       N3107     Paget's disease-choracic spine     1       N3108     Paget's disease-churble sites     1       N3107     Paget's disease-churble sites     1       N3108     Paget's disease-churble sites     1       N3109     Paget's disease-COS     1       N3100     Paget's disease-COS     1       N3100     Paget's disease COS     1       N122-1     PIO - prolepsed lumbar disc     1       N122     Ohr spec clist # thrcac vert     1       St109     Ohr cos time discos shoulder     1       N122     Ohr spin time discos discos discos     1       N122     Ohr systemationneck     1       N122     Ohr dos time discos discos discos     1       N22     Ohr dos time discos discos discos     1       N22     Ohr symptoms - shoulder     1       N22     Ohr symptoms	N245-95	Pain In Right Leg	1
N245-96     Pain In Left Leg     1       N3101     Paget's disease-scapula     1       N3106     Paget's disease-scapula     1       N3107     Paget's disease-nultiple sites     1       N3108     Paget's disease-nultiple sites     1       N3102     Paget's disease-lowate spine     1       N3107     Paget's disease Old spine     1       N3108     Oth'n op ntrmc disloch shuder     1       N3109     Other dott ms disloch shuder     1       N3109     Other symptoms - sterocally it     1       N3109     Other symptoms - sterocally it     1       N3109     Other	N245-97	Pain In Right Arm	1
N3101   Pagefs disease-scapula   1     N3106   Pagefs disease-scapula   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-scapula   1     N3108   Pagefs disease-charles spine   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3108   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3109   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3100   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3100   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3100   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3109   Pagefs disease-clavuba spine   1     N3109   Pagefs disease-clavuba   1     N3109   PD - prolapsed lumbar disc   1     N3129   Other symoloms-scance   1     N3122   Other symoloms-scance   1     N3122   Other symoloms-scance<	N245-96	Pain In Left Leg	1
N3106   Pagefs disease-scapula   1     N310F   Pagefs disease-multiple sites   1     N3102   Pagefs disease-lawlide spine   1     N3102   Pagefs disease-clawlide spine   1     N3102   Pagefs disease-clawlide spine   1     N3102   Pagefs disease-Clawlide spine   1     N3107   Pagefs disease AVG   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-clawlide disease AVG   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-clawlide disease AVG   1     N3107   Other synotymer disease transition disoch shider   1     S108   Other synotymer disorder disorder   1     N3107   Other synotymer disorder disorder   1     N329   Other synotymer disorder disorder   1     N329   Other syno	N3101	Paget's disease-thoracic spine	1
N310F   Pagefs disease-patella   1     N310L   Pagefs disease-lumbar spine   1     N3102   Pagefs disease-cavical spine   1     N3105   Pagefs disease-cavical spine   1     N3106   Pagefs disease-cavical spine   1     N3107   Pagefs disease-Cavical spine   1     N3108   PID - prolapsed lumbar disc   1     N3109   Other spino spine   1     S1119   Other spino spine   1     N3102   Other spino spine   1     N3105   Other spino function disc discreters   1     N3105   Other spino function discreters   1     N3105   Other spino function discreters   1     N3205   Other spino function discreters   1     N3206 <t< td=""><td>N3106</td><td>Paget's disease-scapula</td><td>1</td></t<>	N3106	Paget's disease-scapula	1
N310z   Pagets disease-mutiple sites   1     N3102   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3105   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3100   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3100   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3100   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3101   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3102   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3102   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3102   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3102   Pagets disease-clavice   1     N3122   Othersconvices   1     S112y   Othersconvices   1     S112z   Othersconvisionneck   1     N06.00   Othersconvisionneck   1     N12z2   Other symoutm/endor/bursa   1     N22y   Other symoutmine diochobursa   1     N068B   Other sympioms - stemoclav jt   1     N069C   Other sympioms - stemoclav jt   1     N069C   Other sympioms - stemoclav jt   1     N069C   Other sympioms - stemoclav jt   1 <td< td=""><td>N310F</td><td>Paget's disease-patella</td><td>1</td></td<>	N310F	Paget's disease-patella	1
N3102     Pagets Usesse-Unitud Spine     1       N3105     Pagets disease-convical spine     1       N3102     Pagets disease-Convical spine     1       N3105     PiD - prol kydisc + myelop     1       N122-1     PiD - prol kydisc + myelop     1       S102y     Other spine disd # thorace vert     1       S411y     Othron trunk diskon shulder     1       S4122     Other shuldupperaminijn NOS     1       S102y     Other synoutm/Rendon/bursa     1       N222     Other synoutm/Rendon/bursa     1       N224     Other synoutm/Rendon/bursa     1       N225     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996C     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996C     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996C     Other specified misde disc     1       Sty	N310X	Paget's disease-multiple sites	1
13100     Pagets disease cervical spine     1       13101     Pagets disease OS     1       13102     Pagets disease NOS     1       13102     Pagets disease NOS     1       13102     Pagets disease NOS     1       13102     PID - prol iv disc + myelop     1       13112     PID - prol iv disc + myelop     1       54119     Other special # thorac vert     1       54119     Other special # thorac vert     1       SK122     Other should/upperaminj.NOS     1       N06.00     Other should/upperaminj.NOS     1       N1222     Other synourim/tendoribursa     1       N224     Other synourim/tendoribursa     1       N086B     Other synourim/tendoribursa     1       N086C     Other synourim sectoriburdia     1       N086C     Other specified	N3102 N3105	Paget's disease-clavicle	1
N310v     Pagete disease OS     1       N310z     Pagete disease OS     1       N310z     Pagete disease OS     1       N122-1     PID - prolayed lumbar disc     1       N129     PID - prolayed lumbar disc     1       S102y     Othr spec disd # thorac vert     1       S411y     Othr op Intrid disloc shoulder     1       S410y     Othr cls trind disloc shoulder     1       SK12z     Other should/upgramminj.NOS     1       N06.00     Other/unspecif. arthropathies     1       N12z2     Other synoutum/tendom/bursa     1       N22y     Other synoutum/tendom/bursa     1       N096B     Other synoutum.encom/tendom/bursa     1       N096B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N096B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N096B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       Syzy     Other symptoms artimes     1       N096C     Other symptoms artimes     1       Syzy     Other specified artimes     1       N096V     Other specified artimes </td <td>N3100</td> <td>Paget's disease-cervical spine</td> <td>1</td>	N3100	Paget's disease-cervical spine	1
N3102   Pagets disease NOS   1     N122-1   PID - prolayed lumbar disc   1     N123   PID - prolayed lumbar disc   1     N124   PID - prolayed lumbar disc   1     St11y   Othr opn timt disloch shidler   1     St11y   Othr opn timt disloch shidler   1     St12z   Othershould/upperarminj.NOS   1     St030   Otheronusionneck   1     N06.00   Other synoutum/endombursa   1     N12z2   Other synoutum/endombursa   1     N086.00   Other synoutum/endombursa   1     N0968   Other synoutum/endombursa   1     N09680   Other synoutum.   1     N119   Other specified nat	N310v	Paget's disease OS	1
N122-1   PID. prol kydise; hymplop   1     S102y   Othr spec idsd # thorac vert   1     S101y   Othr spec idsd # thorac vert   1     S411y   Othr op Intrid idsloc shoulder   1     S410y   Othr cls truth clsico shoulder   1     SK12z   Othershould/upperanninj.NOS   1     SE08   Othercontusionneck   1     N06.00   Other/unspecif.arthropathies   1     N122z   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     N22y   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     N096B   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     N096C   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     S5y   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     N096C   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     S5y   Other synou/un/endon/bursa   1     N096C   Other synou/un/endon/un/un/endon/bursa   1     S5y   Ot	N310z	Paget's disease NOS	1
N129   PID - prol iv disc + myelop   1     S102y   Othr spec isd # throara vert   1     S411y   Othr opn trmic dislocts shulder   1     S411y   Othr opn trmic dislocts shulder   1     SK12z   Othershuld/upperarminj.NOS   1     SE08   Othercontusionneck   1     N05.00   Other synotymmenock   1     N12z2   Other synotymmenoch/bursa   1     N22y   Other synotymmenoch/bursa   1     N0966   Other synotymes - accomicclay j   1     N0966   Other synotymes - accomicclay j   1     S5y   Other spanis NOS   1     N11y   Other spanis NOS   1     S5y   Other spanis NOS   1     S5y   Other space disc discorder   1     N11y   Other space disc discorder   1     N11y   Other spacedisc discorder   1 <	N122-1	PID - prolapsed lumbar disc	1
S102y     Oth rspec ids # thorac vert     1       S411y     Oth rack timbe discer shilder     1       S410y     Oth rack timbe discer shilder     1       S410y     Oth rack timbe discer shilder     1       S508     Othercontusionneck     1       N06.00     Other/unspecif. arthropathies     1       N12z2     Other synovium/fendon/bursa     1       N22y     Other synovium/fendon/bursa     1       N096B     Other synovium/fendon/bursa     1       N096A     Other symptoms - stemoclay if     1       N096B     Other symptoms - stemoclay if     1       N096B     Other symptoms - acromicclay i     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclay i     1       Sysy     Other space/fed tendon rupture     1       Sysy     Other space/fed tendon rupture     1       Sysy     Other specified indupy     1       No4y     Other specified indupy     1       No4y     Other specified indupy     1       No4y     Other specified indupy     1       No4y <td< td=""><td>N129</td><td>PID - prol i/v disc + myelop</td><td>1</td></td<>	N129	PID - prol i/v disc + myelop	1
S411y     Oth rop time: disloct shulder     1       SK12z     Othershuld/upperarmin/NGS     1       SK12z     Othershuld/upperarmin/NGS     1       N06.00     Other/unspecif. arthropathies     1       N12z2     Other synovium/Redon/bursa     1       N22y     Other synovium/Redon/bursa     1       N098B     Other synovium/Redon/bursa     1       N096C     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N096C     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclav ji     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclav ji     1       N096C     Other specified injury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       S5y     Other specified injury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       N11y     Other specified injury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       S5y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specified injury	S102y	Othr spec clsd # thorac vert	1
S410y     Other ds trifte dision shoulder     1       SK122     Othershould/upperarmini,NOS     1       SE08     Othershould/upperarmini,NOS     1       N06.00     Other/unspecif, attrhopathies     1       N1222     Other synovium/Redom/bursa     1       N22y     Other synovium/Redom/bursa     1       N096B     Other synovium/Redom/bursa     1       N096A     Other symptoms - stemoclay jt     1       N096B     Other symptoms - secondicay jt     1       N096C     Other symptoms - eloow     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclay jt     1       S5y     Other specified linjury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       S5y     Other specified linjury     1       S5y     Other specified linjury     1       S6w     Other specified linjury     1       S4w     Other specified injury     1       N64y	S411y	Othr opn trmtc disloctn shlder	1
Sh122     Othershould/upperaint, NOS     1       N06.00     Othershould/upperaint, NOS     1       N06.00     Other/unspecif, arthropathies     1       N1222     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N224     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N986B     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N996B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996B     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996C     Other symptoms - shoulder     1       N996C     Other symptoms - acromicclav ji     1       N996C     Other symptoms - acromicclav j     1       N996C     Other symptoms - acromicclav j     1       S5y     Other specified tendon rupture     1       S5y     Other specified tendon rupture     1       S5y     Other specified injury     1       N64y     Other specified athropathy     1       N64y     Other specified injury     1       N64y     Other specified athropathy     1       N64y     Other specified athropathy     1       N64y <td< td=""><td>S410y</td><td>Othersheuld/unergramini NOS</td><td>1</td></td<>	S410y	Othersheuld/unergramini NOS	1
3L20     Other Juspecif. arthropathies     1       N1222     Other Juspecif. arthropathies     1       N1222     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N224     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N224     Other synovium/tendom/bursa     1       N966B     Other symptoms - stemoclav jt     1       N966A     Other symptoms - stemoclav jt     1       N966D     Other symptoms - seconicclav jt     1       N996D     Other symptoms - acronicclav jt     1       Sysy     Other sprains NOS     1       Sysy     Other specified and strains     1       Sysy     Other specified dis.     1       Sysy     Other specified injury     1       Nosy     Other specified indrop     1       Nosy     Other specified injury     1       Nosy     Other specified indrop.     1       Nosy     Other spece.anthr.other specified insc. </td <td></td> <td>Othershould/upperanninj.NOS</td> <td>1</td>		Othershould/upperanninj.NOS	1
NumberOther thoracic disc disorders1N222Other thoracic disc disorders1N224Other synovium/tendom/bursa1N966Other symptoms - stenclav jt1N966AOther symptoms - stenclav jt1N966AOther symptoms - stenclav jt1N966COther symptoms - elbow1N966COther symptoms - encomoclav jt1SD8yOther superficial injury, without mention of infection, NOS1S5yOther sprains and strains1S5yOther sprains NOS1N11yOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified anne specified rung sprain1S6WOther specified anne specified injury1N06yOther specified annupture1N233Other specified annupture1N24yOther specified injury1N05yOther specified inpolyanth.ONS1N06yOther specinfied inpolyanth.ONS <td></td> <td>Other/unspecif arthronathies</td> <td>1</td>		Other/unspecif arthronathies	1
N22Other synovium/tendon/bursa1N23yOther synovium/tendon/bursa1N96BOther synovium/tendon/bursa1N96BOther symptoms - stemoclav jt1N96BOther symptoms - stemoclav jt1N96BOther symptoms - stemoclav jt1N96BOther symptoms - stemoclav jt1N96BOOther symptoms - stemoclav j1N96COther symptoms - acromicclav j1SDSyOther sprains NOS1StyOther sprains NOS1StyOther specified tendon rupture1StyOther specified tendon rupture1StyOther specified tendon rupture1StiOther specified anthropathy1N06yOther specified anthropathy1N03yOther specified anthropathy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N05yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N05yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N05yOther specified nolocy1N04yOther specified nolocy1N05yOther specified nolocy1N05yOther specint nolocities1 <t< td=""><td>N1272</td><td>Other thoracic disc disorders</td><td>1</td></t<>	N1272	Other thoracic disc disorders	1
N22y     Other symptoms - stemoclay it     1       N096B     Other symptoms - stemoclay it     1       N096A     Other symptoms - stemoclay it     1       N096B     Other symptoms - stemoclay it     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclay i     1       N096C     Other symptoms - acromicclay i     1       SDBy     Other symptoms - acromicclay i     1       ST     Other specified lanjury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       ST     Other specified tendon rupure     1       St     Other specified injury     1       N08y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specified and strains     1       N04y     Other specified anthropathrup.     1	N22	Other synovium/tendon/bursa	1
N966B     Other symptoms - stemoclav it     1       N966A     Other symptoms - knee     1       N960D     Other symptoms - elbow     1       N960C     Other symptoms - acomicclav j     1       SDSy     Other symptoms - acomicclav j     1       SDy     Other symptoms - acomicclav j     1       Sty     Other syncholyoess/alled dis.     1       Sty     Other specified dis.     1       Stw     Other specified hore or profiled knee sprain     1       N66y     Other specified injury     1       N66y     Other specified muscle disorder     1       N44y     Other specified infolyarthrop.     1       N64y     Other specified colsorder     1       N66y     Other specific localagen dis.     1       N06y     Other specific collagen dis.     1       N06y1     Other speci and strains     1       N66y3	N22y	Other synovium/tendon/bursa	1
N996AOther symptoms - shoulder1N096DOther symptoms - elbow1N096COther symptoms - elbow1SD9yOther symptoms - elbow1S5yOther synaths and strains1S5yOther sprains and strains1S5yOther sprains NOS1N11yOther sprains NOS1S5WOther sprains NOS1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified injury1N05yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N04yOther specified injury1N05yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N06yOther specified injury1N06y <td>N096B</td> <td>Other symptoms - sternoclav jt</td> <td>1</td>	N096B	Other symptoms - sternoclav jt	1
N996M     Other symptoms - knee     1       N996D     Other symptoms - acromicclav j     1       N996C     Other symptoms - acromicclav j     1       SD9y     Other synptoms - acromicclav j     1       Sty     Other synptifical injury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       Sty     Other sprains NOS     1       N06y     Other sprains other sprain     1       N04y     Other sprain and strains     1       N04y     Other sprain dis.     1       N04y     Other sprain and strains     1       N06y1     Other sprain and strains     1       N06y1     Other sprain     1       N06y1     Other shoulder affections NEC     1       N122	N096A	Other symptoms - shoulder	1
N096D     Other symptoms - acronicclav j     1       SD9y     Other superficial injury, without mention of infection, NOS     1       S5y     Other sprains and strains     1       S5yz     Other sprains and strains     1       Styz     Other sprains NOS     1       N11y     Other specified tendon rupture     1       S5W     Other specified tendon rupture     1       S5W     Other specified ingry     1       N06y     Other specified ingry     1       N04y     Other specified athropath     1       N04y     Other specified athropath     1       N06y0     Other specinflopathrop.     1 </td <td>N096M</td> <td>Other symptoms - knee</td> <td>1</td>	N096M	Other symptoms - knee	1
NUBECOther symptifies arotholicital jury, without mention of infection, NOS1SD9yOther sprains and strains1S5yOther sprains NOS1N11yOther spondyloses/allied dis.1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1S6WOther specified tendon rupture1SK1Other specified injury1N08yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specific muscle disorder1N04yOther speci.nfl.polyarthrop.1N04yzOther spec.infl.polyarthrop.1N06y0Other spec.arthr.site unspec.1N06y1Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y3Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y3Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y4Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y3Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y4Other spec.arthr.shoulder1N06y5Other spec.arthr.shoulder affections NEC1N122Other scapular region injuries1N122Other scapular region injuries1N042Other scapular region injuries1N042Other scapular region injuries1N122Other open studicarian1N122Other open studicarian1N122 <td>N096D</td> <td>Other symptoms - elbow</td> <td>1</td>	N096D	Other symptoms - elbow	1
Sby     Other sprains and strains     1       Sfy     Other sprains and strains     1       Sfy     Other sprains NOS     1       Sfy     Other sprains NOS     1       Sfy     Other specified trains     1       Sfy     Other specified trains     1       Sfy     Other specified trains     1       Sfy     Other specified injury     1       NoBy     Other specified injury     1       NoBy     Other specified injury     1       N04yz     Other specific muscle disorder     1       N04yz     Other spec. afthr.fic.olaigen dis.     1       N06y0     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       N06y1     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       Sfyy     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       Sfyy     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       N06y1     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       Sfyy     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       Sfyy     Other spec. afthr.shoulder     1       N1222     Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS     1 <		Other symptoms - acromicciav j	1
CyOther sprains NOS1N11yOther sprains NOS1N11yOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified injury1N6yOther specified arthropathy1N233Other specified arthropathy1N24yzOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yOther specified arthropathy1N04yzOther spec. osteochondrop.NOS1N04yzOther spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N05y1Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y1Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y3Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y4Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y3Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y4Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N06y8Other spec. afthr.osteu nspec.1N1222Other shoulder affect NEC NOS1N1222Other shoulder affect NEC NOS1N1222Other scapatiar region injuries1N1222Other ospatial ordosis1N1222Other open studictin NEC1N1222Other open studictin NEC1N1242Other open studictin NEC1N1252Other open studictin NEC1N1242Other open studictin NEC1N1252Other open studictin NEC1N1372Other open studictin NEC1 <td>S5v</td> <td>Other sorains and strains</td> <td>1</td>	S5v	Other sorains and strains	1
N1yOther spondyloses/allied dis.1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1S5WOther specified tendon rupture1SK1Other specified injury1N06yOther specified arthropathy1N233Other specified arthropathy1N32yzOther specific muscle disorder1N04yOther specific muscle disorder1N04yOther specific functed disorder1N04yzOther specific functed disorder1N06y0Other spec. stecchondrop, NOS1N06y0Other spec. afthrsite unspec.1N06y1Other spec. afthrsite unspec.1N06y8Other spec. afthrsite unspec.1S5WOther spec. afthrsite unspec.1N06y8Other spec. afthrsite unspec.1N122Other shoulder right affections NEC1N212Other scapular region injuries1N212Other scapular region injuries1N3722Other open-strugical iordosis1N3722Other open strugical iordosis1N3722Other open subluxation1S466Other open funct dislocation1S411Other open #-subluxation1S412Other open #-subluxation1 <td>S5vz</td> <td>Other sprains NOS</td> <td>1</td>	S5vz	Other sprains NOS	1
SSM     Other specified tendon rupture     1       S54w     Other specified knee sprain     1       N64     Other specified knee sprain     1       N05y     Other specified arthropathy     1       N04y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specified injury     1       N04y     Other specific muscle disorder     1       N04yz     Other specific muscle disorder     1       N04yz     Other specific muscle disorder     1       N04yz     Other specific muscle disorder     1       N06y0     Other spec.arbnr.shoulder     1       N06y1     Other spec.arbnr.shoulder     1       N06y8     Other spec.arbnr.shoulder     1       S50w     Other shoulder sprain     1       SK122     Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS     1       N212z     Other supclar linjuries     1       N042     Other suplar region injuries     1       N3722     Other souldar affect.NEC NOS     1       N3722     Other osteochondr dissec-knee     1       N41     Othe	N11v	Other spondyloses/allied dis.	1
S54w   Other specified knee sprain   1     SK1   Other specified injury   1     N06y   Other specified arthropathy   1     N233   Other specified injury   1     N233   Other specified injury   1     N32yz   Other specified logard for   1     N04y   Other spec. osteochondrop.NOS   1     N04yz   Other spec. afthr. INOS   1     N06y0   Other spec. afthr. INOS   1     N06y0   Other spec. afthrshoulder   1     N06y0   Other spec. afthrshoulder   1     N06y8   Other spec. afthrother specif   1     S50w   Other shoulder sprain   1     SK122   Other shoulder sprain   1     N212   Other shoulder affections NEC   1     N212   Other scapular region injuries   1     N42   Other oper spect-spical lordosis   1     N3722   Other oper shoulder affections NEC   1     N3722   Other oper shoulder affections NEC   1     N3722   Other oper shoulder affections NEC   1     N41   Other o	S5Ŵ	Other specified tendon rupture	1
SK1   Other specified injury   1     N06y   Other specified arthropathy   1     N04y   Other specific muscle disorder   1     N04y   Other specific muscle disorder   1     N04y   Other specific muscle disorder   1     N04yz   Other spec.infl.polyarthr.NOS   1     N06y0   Other spec.infl.polyarthr.NOS   1     N06y1   Other spec.arthr.iste unspec.   1     N06y0   Other spec.arthrshoulder   1     N06y8   Other spec.arthrother specific   1     S50w   Other shoulder sprain   1     SK12   Other shoulder affections NEC   1     N212   Other shoulder affections NEC   1     N042   Other n.arthrvisc/syst.dis.   1     N3222   Other osteochondr dissec-knee   1     N3272   Other open trmt dislocation   1     N3272   Other open subuxation NOS   1     S497   Other open trmt dislocation   1     S497   Other open trmt dislocation   1     S497   Other open trmt dislocation   1   S497   Othe	S54w	Other specified knee sprain	1
N06y     Other specific arthropathy     1       N233     Other specif.infl.polyarthrop.     1       N04y     Other specif.infl.polyarthrop.     1       N32yz     Other spec.infl.polyarthr.NOS     1       N04yz     Other spec. osteochondrop.NOS     1       N06y1     Other spec. diff.collagen dis.     1       N06y1     Other spec. arthrsheulder     1       N06y8     Other spec. arthrsheulder     1       S50w     Other shoulder sprain     1       S50w     Other shoulder sprain     1       N042     Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS     1       N042     Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS     1       N042     Other scapular region injuries     1       N042     Other post-surgical lordosis     1       N3722     Other open trm.disc/syst dis.     1       N3272     Other open trm.dislocation     1       S497     Other open trm.dislocation     1       S497     Other open #-subluxation     1       S413     Other open #-subluxation     1       S414     <	SK1	Other specified injury	1
N233Other specific fulscle also def1N32yzOther spec, infl.polyarthrop.1N32yzOther spec, infl.polyarthrop.1N04yzOther spec, infl.polyarthrop.1N06y0Other spec, infl.polyarthrop.1N06y0Other spec, arthrsite unspec.1N06y0Other spec, arthrsite unspec.1N06y1Other spec, arthrother specif1S50wOther spec, arthrother specif1S50wOther spec, arthrother specif1N212Other shoulder injuries1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1N212Other scapular region injuries1N212Other neagonal region injuries1N42Other rhoulder affect.NEC NOS1N322Other opetryb.enthesopathies1N3272Other opetryb.enthesopathies1N3272Other open trmt distoration1S466Other open trmt distoration1S497Other open trmt distoration1S497Other open trmt distoration1S411Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther neck injuries1N323Other open #-subluxation1N436Other neck injuries1N445Other knee lig.old disruption1N455Other injuries1N45Other injuries1	N06y	Other specified arthropathy	1
NoryOther speciation, poyalitop.N32yzOther spec, infl. polyarthr, NOS1N04yzOther spec, infl. polyarthr, NOS1N06y0Other spec, arthr, -site unspec.1N06y1Other spec, arthr, -shoulder1N06y8Other spec, arthr, -shoulder1S50wOther spec, arthr, -shoulder affections NEC1S12zOther shoulder affect. NEC NOS1N12zOther shoulder affect. NEC NOS1N12zOther scapular region injuries1N042Other periph, enthesopathies1N042Other periph, enthesopathies1N3272Other open trm distation1S406Other open trm distation1S407Other open trm distation1S413Other open trm distation1N22zOther open trm distation1S411Other open trm distation1S413Other open trm distation1S414Other open trm distation1N22zOther open trm distation1S411Other open trm distation1S413Other open trm distation1S411Other open trm distation1N22zOther open trm distation1N32z2Other open trm distation1S433Other open trm distation1N445Other unbar disc disorders1N07yyOther new tipic liquires1N368Other unbar disc disorders1<	NO4v	Other specific industrie disorder	1
No4yzOther spec.infl.polyarthr.NOS1N04yzOther spec.infl.polyarthr.NOS1N06y0Other spec.arthr.other spec.1N06y1Other spec.arthr.other spec.1N06y8Other spec.arthr.other specif1S50wOther spec.arthr.other specif1S50wOther spec.arthr.other specif1X122Other shoulder sprain1X122Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1X122Other scapular region injuries1N042Other periph.entesopathies1N2122Other port-surgical lordosis1N3722Other open trm dslctn knee1S497Other open trm dslctn knee1S497Other open trm dslctn knee1S411Other open #-subluation1S412Other open #-subluation1S411Other open #-subluation1S412Other open subluxation1S413Other open #-subluation1S414Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther neck injuries1N07yyOther neck injuries1N07yyOther knee lig.ol disruption1N07yOther knee lig.ol disruption1N07yOther knee lig.ol disruption1N07y3Other knee lig.ol disruption1N045Other uven.isecondroses1	N32vz	Other spec osteochondron NOS	1
N00yOther spec.diff.collagen dis.1N06y0Other spec. arthrsite unspec.1N06y1Other spec. arthrshoulder1N06y8Other spec. arthrother specif1S5yyOther spec. arthrother specif1S5wOther spec. arthrother specif1St122Other shoulder sprain1N2122Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other scapular region injuries1N042Other n.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other opst-surgical lordosis1N21yOther open trmt discochord dissec-knee1S406Other open trmt dislocation1S497Other open trmt dislocation1S411Other open #-subluxation1S412Other next injuries1S413Other open #-subluxation1S411Other open #-subluxation1S412Other next injuries1S413Other next injuries1S414Other open #-subluxation1S415Other next injuries1S417Other open #-subluxation1S418Other meck injuries1S419Other next injuries1S411Other open #-subluxation1S412Other next injuries1S413Other next injuries1S414Other multiple injuries1S415Other multiple injuries </td <td>N04vz</td> <td>Other spec infl polyarthr NOS</td> <td>1</td>	N04vz	Other spec infl polyarthr NOS	1
N06y0Other spec.arthrsite unspec.1N06y0Other spec.arthrshoulder1N06y8Other spec.arthrshoulder1S5yyOther spec.arthrother specif1S50wOther spec.arthrother specif1S50wOther shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N042Other shoulder affections NEC1N2122Other shoulder affections NEC1N2122Other shoulder affections NEC1N422Other rh. arthr. +visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N214Other open trm. dislocation1N3272Other open trm dislcr.knee1S497Other open trm dislcr.knee1S497Other open #-subluxation1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J3Other open #-dislocation1N26b2Other nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK1xOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1N470Other knee dig.old disruption1N470Other knee dig.old disruption1N368Other knee deformity1N365Other juvenile arthrtis1N326Other juvenile arthrtis1	N00y	Other spec.diff.collagen dis.	1
N06y1Other spec.arthrshoulder1N06y8Other spec.arthrother specif1S5yyOther spec.arthrother specif1S5wOther spec sprains and strains1S50wOther shoulder sprain1N2122Other shoulder affections NEC1N2122Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1N412Other scapular region injuries1N042Other n.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other opter surgical lordosis1N3272Other opter opter opter subluxation1S466Other opter mtrd dislocation1S497Other open subluxation1S413Other open #subluxation1S414Other open trnd cislocation1S413Other open #subluxation1S414Other open #clislocation1S415Other open #clislocation1S417Other open #clislocation1S418Other open #clislocation1S419Other open #clislocation1S417Other open #clislocation1S418Other open #clislocation1S419Other nontraumatic tendon rupt1S417Other neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1SK1x0Other nuck injuries1SK1x1Other nuck injuries1SK170Other knee injury1S68Other knee injury1S68Other injuries1 <td>N06y0</td> <td>Other spec.arthrsite unspec.</td> <td>1</td>	N06y0	Other spec.arthrsite unspec.	1
N06y8Other spec.arthrother specif1S5yyOther spec sprains and strains1S50wOther shoulder sprain1SK122Other shoulder injuries1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1SK121Other scapular region injuries1N042Other n.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N3272Other open trm dslctn knee1S497Other open subluxation1S413Other open subluxation1S414Other open trmtc dislocation1S4J3Other open subluxation1S414Other neck injuries1S415Other open #-dislocation1S416Other open defersion1S417Other open for affection1S418Other open for affection1S419Other open for affection1S411Other open for affection1S413Other open for affection1S414Other open for affection1SK10yOther nultiple injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N1223Other multiple injuries1N1223Other knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee lig. old disruption1N045Other knee deformity1N045Other injure inder work1N326Other injure inon secondordose	N06y1	Other spec.arthrshoulder	1
SbyyOther spec sprains and strains1S50wOther shoulder sprain1S50wOther shoulder injuries1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1SK121Other scapular region injuries1N042Other n.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N21yOther open trm disctn knee1N3272Other open trm disctn knee1S497Other open trmc dislocation1S497Other open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-subluxation1S411Other nortraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther nortraumatic tendon rupt1SK11xOther nortraumatic disorders1N1223Other knee lig.old disruption1SK170Other knee lig.old disruption1N688Other knee lig.old disruption1N455Other juven.osteochondroses1	N06y8	Other spec.arthrother specif	1
SoowOther shoulder splain1Sk122Other shoulder injuries1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212zOther shoulder affect.NEC NOS1Sk121Other scapular region injuries1N042Other rh.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N3272Other opter periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other opter opter trm dislocation1S466Other open trmt dislocation1S497Other open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N1223Other nontraumatic discostores1N7yyOther knee lig. old disruption1N688Other knee injury1N645Other juven.osteochondroses1	S5yy	Other spec sprains and strains	1
Onter 22Other shoulder affections NEC1N212Other shoulder affections NEC1N212zOther shoulder affections NEC1N42Other scapular region injuries1N42Other rh. arthr. +visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N3272Other operiph. enthesopathies1N3272Other oper trut disce.knee1S466Other open trut disce.knee1S497Other open trut discation1S4972Other open subluxation NOS1S413Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK1xOther lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee lig. old disruption1N045Other knee deformity1N045Other knee injury1	SK122	Other shoulder injuries	1
N212Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS1N212zOther shoulder affect.NEC NOS1N042Other scapular region injuries1N042Other rh.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N3722Other periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other osteochondr dissec-knee1S466Other open trmt dislocation1S497Other open trmtc dislocation1S497Other open #-subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1N226zOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee lig. old disruption1N368Other knee lig. old disruption1N455Other injureile arthritis1N455Other injureile arthritis1	N212	Other shoulder injunes	1
Sk121Other scapular region injuries1N042Other n.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N21yOther periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other osteochondr dissec-knee1S466Other open trmd distoration1S497Other open trmtc dislocation1S49FzOther open trmtc dislocation1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee lig. old disruption1N475Other knee deformity1N455Other juven.osteochondroses1	N212z	Other shoulder affect.NEC NOS	1
N042Other rh.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.1N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N21yOther periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other osteochondr dissec-knee1S466Other opn trm dslotn knee1S497Other open trmtc dislocation1S4972Other open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N1223Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1N368Other knee lig. old disruption1N368Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	SK121	Other scapular region injuries	1
N3722Other post-surgical lordosis1N21yOther periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other osteochond dissec-knee1S466Other opn trm dslctn knee1S497Other open trmtc dislocation1S49FzOther open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther multiple injuries1N1223Other lumbar disc disorders1N1223Other lumbar disc disorders1N368Other knee injury1N368Other knee injury1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N042	Other rh.arthr.+visc/syst.dis.	1
N21yOther periph. enthesopathies1N3272Other osteochond dissec-knee1S466Other opn trm dslctn knee1S497Other open trmt dislocation1S49FzOther open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther multiple injuries1N1223Other nultiple injuries1N1223Other lumbar disc disorders1N68Other knee linjuriy1N368Other knee injury1N326Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N3722	Other post-surgical lordosis	1
N3272Other osteochondr dissec-knee1S466Other opn trm dslctn knee1S497Other open trmt dislocation1S4972Other open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N1223Other lumbar disc disorders1N7yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N21y	Other periph. enthesopathies	1
S406Other opin trindscin knee1S497Other open trints dislocation1S49FzOther open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N3272	Other osteochondr dissec-knee	1
S497Other open thic disordation1S497zOther open subluxation NOS1S4J3Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	5400 5407	Other open trm disicin knee	1
S4J3Other open #-subluxation1S4J1Other open #-subluxation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	5497 S49F7	Other open subluxation NOS	1
S4J1Other open #-dislocation1N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	S4J3	Other open #-subluxation	1
N226zOther nontraumatic tendon rupt1SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee enjury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	S4J1	Other open #-dislocation	1
SK10yOther neck injuries1SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N226z	Other nontraumatic tendon rupt	1
SK1xOther multiple injuries1N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	SK10y	Other neck injuries	1
N12z3Other lumbar disc disorders1N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	SK1x	Other multiple injuries	1
N07yyOther knee lig. old disruption1SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N12z3	Other lumbar disc disorders	1
SK170Other knee injury1N368Other knee deformity1N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	N07yy	Other knee lig. old disruption	1
N045Other juvenile arthritis1N326Other juven.osteochondroses1	SK170	Other knee injury	1
N326 Other juven.osteochondroses 1	N000 N045	Other invenile arthritis	1
	N326	Other juven.osteochondroses	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N0960	Other joint symptsite unspec	1
N0961	Other joint symptshoulder	1
N09y1	Other joint disshoulder	1
NU8yz	Other joint derange.NEC NOS	1
SK112 N07vz	Other Interscapular Injuries	1
NU7 yz SK17		1
N10v	Other inflamm spondylopathies	1
N10yz	Other inflamm spondylop NOS	1
N30v	Other infections+bone disease	1
N03x	Other general dis.+arthropathy	1
N237z	Other fibromatoses NOS	1
N237	Other fibromatoses	1
SK10z	Other face and neck injuries NOS	1
S49z	Other dislocation NOS	1
N12z0	Other disc disorders unspecif.	1
N08	Other derangement of joint	1
N36y	Other deformity of bone	1
N37y	Other curvatures of spine	1
NU2yz	Other crystal arthropathy NOS	1
NU2y	Other crystal arthropathles	1
N02y0 N02y1	Other crystal arth, shoulder	1
NO2yr	Other crystal arth other spec	1
NO2yy	Other crystal arth -mult sites	1
S465	Other cls trm dslctn knee	1
S49E	Other closed traumatic sublux	1
S4J2	Other closed #-sublux	1
S4J0	Other closed #-dislocation	1
N13yz	Other cervical syndromes NOS	1
N13y	Other cervical syndromes	1
N12z1	Other cervical disc disorders	1
N33	Other bone/cartilage disorders	1
N31y	Other bone involve in dis.EC	1
SK114	Other back injuries	1
N374X	Other and unspecified extraoration	1
NU6	Other and unspecified arthropathies	1
0402 N38vz	Other acquired deformity NOS	1
N38v	Other acquired deformity	1
N38	Other acquired deformity	1
S4J	Other #-dslc or subluxation	1
N08y0	Oth.joint deran.NEC-site unsp.	1
N08y1	Oth.joint deran.NEC-shoulder	1
N08y8	Oth.joint deran.NEC-other spec	1
N08y9	Oth.joint deran.NEC-mult.sites	1
N30y0	Oth.inf.+bone dis-site unspec.	1
N30y1	Oth.inf.+bone dis-shoulder	1
N30y8	Oth inf.+bone dis-other sites	1
N30y9	Oth inf there dis multipusite	1
NOUV2	Oth internal know derangement	1
S40		1
N0401	Oth rheumatoid arthritis-spine	1
S49F	Oth open traumatic subluxation	1
SRv	Oth ini inv mult body reg NEC	1
S496	Oth cls trmatic dislocation	1
S49Ez	Oth closed subluxation NOS	1
N33B	Osteoradionecrosis	1
N3746	Osteoporotic kyphosis	1
N3300	Osteoporosis unspecified	1
N330C	Osteoporosis localized spine	1
N330A	Osteoporosis in endocr disord	1
N330Z		1
N330D	Osteoporos due corticostercid	1
N3309	Osteopor multiple myelomatosis	1
N3319	Osteonor nath # thor vertebrae	1
N3318	Osteopor path # lumb vertebrae	1
N331A	Osteopor path # cerv vertebrae	1
N3313	Osteopor of disuse + path frct	1
N09B	Osteophyte	1
N307	Osteopathy from poliomyelitis	1
ASDFGOS1	Osteopaenia	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N334B	Osteonecrosis in caisson dis	1
N3349	Osteonecrosis due to drugs	1
N334A	Osteonecr due to prev trauma	1
N334C	Osteonecr due haemoglobinopath	1
N30 N302a	Osteomyelitis of vertebra	1
N302a		1
N33zH	Osteolytic lesion	1
N33zD	Osteolysis	1
N32z3	Osteochondrosis NOS	1
N32z	Osteochondropathy NOS	1
N32zz	Osteochondropathy NOS	1
N32	Osteochondropathies	1
N32Z2 N22Z0	Osteochondritis of knee	1
N3270	Osteochondr dissec-other site	1
N3274	Osteochondr dissec-canitellum	1
N327	Osteochond dissecans	1
OX7130E	Osteoarthrosis Shoulder /ox	1
OX7130B	Osteoarthrosis Knee(S) /ox	1
OX7131A	Osteoarthrosis Cervical Spine /ox	1
N11z	Osteoarthritis spine	1
N11D1	Osteoarthritis of thoracic spine	1
N11D3	Osteoarthritis of spine NOS	1
N11-2 N11D		1
N11D2	Osteoarthritis of lumbar spine	1
N11D0	Osteoarthritis of cervical spine	1
N110-2	Osteoarthritis cervical spine	1
N05z0	Osteoarthritis NOS-site unspec	1
N05z000	Osteoarthritis NOS-site unspec	1
N05z7	Osteoarthritis NOS-ankle/foot	1
N05zM	Osteoarthritis NOS, of tibio-fibular joint	1
	Osteoarthritis NOS, of taionavicular joint	1
N05zR	Osteoarthritis NOS, of other tarsal joint	1
N05zF	Osteoarthritis NOS, of metacarpophalangeal joint	1
N05zT	Osteoarthritis NOS, of lesser metatarsophalangeal joint	1
N05zU	Osteoarthritis NOS, of interphalangeal joint of toe	1
N05zD	Osteoarthritis NOS, of distal radio-ulnar joint	1
N05zE	Osteoarthritis NOS of wrist	1
N05z4	Osteoarthritis NOS of the hand	1
NU5Z9	Osteoarthritis NOS of shoulder	1
N05zL	Osteoarthritis NOS of kine	1
N05zC	Osteoarthritis NOS of elbow	1
N05zN	Osteoarthritis NOS of ankle	1
N05zz00	Osteoarthritis NOS	1
N05zz	Osteoarthritis NOS	1
N05z1	Osteoarthritis -shoulder joint	1
N05z800	Osteoarthritis - other joint	1
	Osteoarthritis - other joint	1
N0520-99	Osteoarthritis	1
N094K	Osteoarthritis	1
N05	Osteoarthritis	1
N310	Osteitis deformans-Paget's dis	1
N311	Osteitis deformans+disease EC	1
N3350	Osteitis condensans ilii	1
N335	Osteitis condensans	1
N31	Osteit.deform./osteop.+dis.EC	1
	Ostell delotimans, neoplast dis	1
N3v06	Oss/subly sten intervert foram	1
S900z	Opn wound shoulder+up limb.NOS	1
SA10	Opn wnd kneelg+ank-no cmplctn	1
S46A6	Opn trmtc sublux, head fibula	1
S413z	Opn trmtc sublux shoulder NOS	1
S468	Opn trmtc sublux pat-fem jt	1
S46A0	Opn trmtc sublux knee jt,unsp	1
S46A2	Opn trmtc sublux knee jt,post	1
340A4 S46A1	Opri unite sublux knee jt,itri Opri trmte sublux knee it ant	1
S46A	Opn trmtc sublux knee it	1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Read code	Description	Number of studies
----------------	---	----------------------
S4112	Opn trmtc dslctn shldr jt,post	1
S411Z S4110	Opn trmtc disloctn should NOS	1
S49F4	Opn trm sublux st-clav it post	1
S49F3	Opn trm sublux,st-clav jt,ant	1
S49F2	Opn trm sublux st-clav jt	1
S4681	Opn trm sublux pat-fem jt,med	1
S4680	Opn trm sublux pat-fem jt, ltrl	1
S49F5 S46AE	Opn trm sublux laryngi cartige	1
S46A3	Opn trm sublux knee it medial	1
S4131	Opn trm sublux acromio-clav jt	1
S4666	Opn trm dslctn, head fibula	1
S464	Opn trm dslctn patello-fem jt	1
S4641	Opn trm dslctn pat-fem jt,med	1
S4640	Opn trm dslotn pat-fem jt lat	1
S4664	Opn trm dsictn knee, unspec	1
S4662	Opn trm dslctn knee it post	1
S4663	Opn trm dslctn knee jt, medial	1
S4661	Opn trm dslctn knee jt, ant	1
S4665	Opn trm dslct knee jt,rotatory	1
S4973	Opn trm dslc,stern-clav jt,ant	1
S4972 S4074	Opn trm dol otorn olov it post	1
S4974 S4114	Opn trm dislc acromio-clav it	1
S413	Opn traumtc subluxatn shoulder	1
S4130	Opn traumtc sublux shouldr jnt	1
S411	Opn traumtc disloctn shoulder	1
S4115	Opn traumatic disloctn scapula	1
S49B	Opn sublux thrcic+Imbr vertbra	1
549B1 S400v	Oph sublux miti cerv vertebrae	1
S4997	Oph sublux cerv vertebra NOS	1
S4991	Opn sublux atlanto-occipitl jt	1
S493C	Opn spnl dslc+cauda equina lsn	1
S4934	Opn spnl dslc+ant thrc crd lsn	1
S4939	Opn spnl dslc+ant lmbr crd lsn	1
5491B 5403P	Opn sphi dsic+ant cerv crd ish	1
S491D	Opn sphi dsi+post cerv crd isn	1
S4933	Opn spnl dsl+comp thrc crd lsn	1
S4938	Opn spnl dsl+comp Imbr crd Isn	1
S4919	Opn spnl dsl+cerv crd lsn,unsp	1
S4932	Opn spn dslc+thrc crd lsn,unsp	1
S4936 S401C	Opn spn dslc+post thrc crd lsn	1
S4910 S491A	Opn spn dslc+comp cerv crd lsn	1
S4935	Opn spn dslc+cent thrc crd lsn	1
S493A	Opn spn dslc+cent Imbr crd Isn	1
S1150	Opn spn # + unsp lumb crd lesn	1
S1154	Opn spn # + post lumb crd lesn	1
S1151 S1153	Opn spn # + comp iumb crd iesn	1
S1155	Opn spn $\#$ + cauda equina lesn	1
S1152	Opn spn # + ant lumbr crd lesn	1
S5P1z	Opn dvsn,thyroid regn Igmt NOS	1
S5P1	Opn dvsn,thyroid region Igmt	1
S5P12	Opn dvsn,thyroid cartilge Igmt	1
S5P30 S5N	Opn dvsn,sternociavicular igmt	1
S5P11	Opn dysn cricothyroid ligament	1
S5P10	Opn dvsn,cricoarytenoid Igmt	1
S5K1	Opn dvsn mdl collat Igmt knee	1
S5K0	Opn dvsn lat collat Igmt knee	1
S5F1	Opn dvsn coracoclavicular lgmt	1
30FU 85K2	Opni uvsni acromiociavić igmti Opni dve post cruciate izm knog	1
S5K3	Opnitive post cruciate ignit knee	1
S4931	Opn dslc thoracic spine	1
S4975	Opn dslc laryngl cartilage	1
S1034	Opn # thorc vert-trnsvrse prcs	1
S1032	Opn # thorc vert-spondylolysis	1
\$1033	Opn # thorc vert-spinous prcs	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S1036	Opn # thor vert-tricolumnar	1
S1035	Opn # thor vert-posterior arch	1
S1055	Opn # lumb vert,posterior arch	1
S1054	Opn # lumb vert, trinsvrse prcs	1
S1050 S1052	Opri # lumb vert, incolumnal	1
S1052	Opn # lumb vert, spinous pres	1
S101L	Opn # cerv vert, trnsvrse pros	1
S101N	Opn # cerv vert, tricolumnar	1
S101J	Opn # cerv vert, spondylolysis	1
S101K	Opn # cerv vert, spinous prcs	1
S101M	Opn # cerv vert, post arch	1
S101D	Opn # axis, trnsvrse process	1
S1010 S897	Open wounds NOS	1
S90	Open wound shoulder/upper limb	1
S9020	Open wound shoulder+tendon inv	1
S9010	Open wound shoulder+complicat.	1
S9021	Open wound scapular+tendon inv	1
S900	Open wound of shoulder/upper limb without complication	1
S9000	Open wound of shoulder region	1
S87	Open wound of sacrolliac reg.	1
504 SA100	Open wound of knee	1
S86	Open wound of back	1
SA110	Open wound knee+complication	1
S8z	Open wound head/neck/trunk NOS	1
S8	Open wound head/neck/trunk	1
S113z	Open thoracic #+cord lesn.NOS	1
S113	Open thoracic #+cord lesion	1
S49Dz	Open subluxation of spine NOS	1
S49BU S4008	Open subluxation lumbar spine	1
S4990 S4997	Open subluxation C6/C7	1
S4996	Open subluxation C5/C6	1
S4995	Open subluxation C4/C5	1
S4994	Open subluxation C3/C4	1
S4993	Open subluxation C2/C3	1
S49D0	Open sublux spine, unspecified	1
S49D	Open sublux other vertebra	1
54990 5499	Open sublux cerv spine, unsp Open sublux cerv spine	1
S4992	Open sublux atlanto-axial it	1
S49y	Open multiple/ill-def.disloc.	1
S2921	Open mult fract clav scap hum	1
S115	Open lumbar # + cord lesion	1
S2115	Open fracture scapula, spine	1
S2116	Open fracture scapula, neck	1
52113 S2114	Open fracture scapula, glenoid	1
S321	Open fracture of the natella	1
S105	Open fracture lumbar vertebra	1
S1260	Öpen fracture larynx	1
S2012	Open fracture clavicle, shaft	1
S101	Open fracture cervical spine	1
S1012	Open fracture axis	1
S1011	Open fracture atlas	1
S5R7	Open dvsn, neck ligarient Open dvsn shoulder lamt NOS	1
S4911	Open dslc atlanto-occipital it	1
S4912	Open dslc atlanto-axial jt	1
S5Nz	Open divisn, back ligament NOS	1
S5N1	Open division, thoracic Igmt	1
S5P	Open division, other ligament	1
S5PZ SEN2	Open division, other lig NOS	1
5011Z S5E	Open division, lumbar ligament Open division shoulder lamt	1
S5Kv	Open division other knee lamt	1
S5M5	Open division lumbosacral lomt	1
S5K	Open division ligament knee	1
S5Kz	Open division knee Igmt NOS	1
S5M4	Open division iliolumbar Igmt	1
S4950	Open dislocation spine unspec.	1
5495z	Open dislocation spine NOS	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S491	Open dislocation of neck	1
S4930	Open dislocation lumbar spine	1
S466z	Open dislocation knee NOS	1
S497z	Open dislocation NOS	1
S4918	Open dislocation C7/11	1
S4917	Open dislocation C6/C7	1
S4916	Open dislocation C5/C6	1
S4915 S4014	Open dislocation C4/C5	1
54914 64012	Open dislocation C3/C4	1
S4915 S403	Open disloc thoracic/lumbar	1
S493	Open disloc thorac /lumbar NOS	1
S495	Open disloc other vertebra	1
S491x	Open disloc mult cerv vertebra	1
S491z	Open disloc.cervical vert.NOS	1
S4910	Open disloc.cerv.spine unspec.	1
S111z	Open cervical#+cord lesion NOS	1
S111	Open cervical #+cord lesion	1
S1263	Open #trachea	1
S1262	Open #thyroid cartilage	1
S11y	Open #spine+cord lesion unsp.	1
S2110	Open #scapula-unspecified	1
S211z	Open #scapula NOS	1
S126z	Open #larynx/trachea NOS	1
S1261	Open #hyold bone	1
S2010	Open #clavicle unspecified	1
S201Z	Open #clavicle NOS	1
5321 S4E7	Open # sublux patelle fom it	1
S4 133	Open #-sublux, pateno-rem ji Open #-sublux st-clav it post	1
S4.132	Open #-sublux st-clav it ant	1
S4A30	Open #-sublux shoulder joint	1
S4A3	Open #-sublux shoulder	1
S4F3	Open #-sublux knee joint	1
S4A31	Open #-sublux acrom-clav joint	1
S4F5	Open #-dslc,patello-fem jt	1
S4F1	Open #-dslc, knee joint	1
S4J13	Open #-dslc st-clav jt,post	1
S4J12	Open #-dslc st-clav jt,ant	1
S4A10	Open #-dslc shoulder joint	1
S4A1	Open #-dslc shoulder	1
S4A11	Open #-dsic acrom-clav joint	1
S1010	Open # unsp cerv vertebra	1
S103	Open # thoracic vertebra	1
S 103 1 S 103 0	Open # thorac vert, wedge	1
S1030 S1013	Open # third cerv vertebra	1
S10v	Open # snine unspecif	1
S1016	Open # sixth cerv vertebra	1
S1017	Open # seventh cerv vert	1
S2112	Open # scapula, coracoid	1
S2111	Open # scapula, acromion	1
S211	Open # scapula	1
S3213	Open # patella, vertical	1
S3210	Open # patella, transverse	1
S3214	Open # patella, stellate	1
S3211	Open # patella, proximal pole	1
S3212	Open # patella, distal pole	1
S1051	Open # lumbar vert, wedge	1
S 1050 S 126	Open # lumbar vert, burst	1
S120 S1014	Open # failying and fractilea	1
S1014 S1015	Open # fifth cerv vertebra	1
S2011	Open # clavicle medial end	1
S2013	Open # clavicle, lateral end	1
S201	Open # clavicle	1
S101H	Open # cerv vert, wedge	1
S101G	Open # cerv vert, burst	1
S101z	Open # cerv spine NOS	1
S101F	Open # axis, tricolumnar	1
S101B	Open # axis, spondylolysis	1
S101C	Open # axis, spinous procss	1
S101E	Open # axis, posterior arch	1
S101A	Open # axis, odontoid prcss	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S1019	Open # atlas, comminuted	1
S4113	Op tr ds shd jt,inf(infr-glen)	1
S4111	Op tr dis shld jt,ant(sub-cor)	1
S49Bz	Op sublx thrc+lmbr vertbra NOS	1
S49B2	Op spn sublx+thrc crd lsn,unsp	1
S49B6	Op spn sublx+post thrc crd lsn	1
S49BB	Op spn sublx+post lmbr crd lsn	1
S49B7	Op spn sublx+lmbr crd lsn,unsp	1
S49B3	Op spn sublx+comp thrc crd lsn	1
S49B8	Op spn sublx+comp lmbr crd lsn	1
S499A	Op spn sublx+comp cerv crd lsn	1
S499C	Op spn sublx+cntrl crv crd lsn	1
S4999	Op spn sublx+cerv crd lsn.unsp	1
S49B5	Op spn sublx+cent thrc crd lsn	1
S49BA	Op spn sublx+cent lmbr crd lsn	1
S49BC	Op spn sublx+cauda equina lsn	1
S49B4	Op spn sublx+ant thrc crd lsn	1
S499D	Op spn sublux+post crv crd lsn	1
S49B9	On son sublux+ant lmbr crd lsn	1
S499B	Op spn sublux+ant cerv crd lsn	1
S4937	Op spn dsl+lmbr crd lsn unsp	1
S1134	Op spn #+pst thor crd Isn T1-6	1
S1133	Op spn #+cnt thor crd Isn T1-6	1
S1132	Op spn #+ant thor crd Isn T1-6	1
S115z	On spn # incmn Imb crd Isn NOS	1
S1130	On sp $\#$ +unsp that crd lsn T1-6	1
S1136	On sp $\#$ +unsp that of d lsn T7-12	1
S113A	On sn $#$ +nst thor ord Isn T7-12	1
S1139	On sp $\#$ -cot that ord isn, $77-12$	1
S1131	On sp $\#$ -cmpl that ord isn, $77.72$	1
S1137	On sp $\#$ -cmp that or dist, $17 \circ$	1
S1138	On sp $#$ +ant thor ord Isn T7-12	1
S1501	On multi fractur of thor spine	1
SR161	On fract/th wth lw bck+ply+lmb	1
SR101	On fract invol head with neck	1
S113B	On $\# T_7-12$ incomp cord lsp NOS	1
S1135	$Op # T1_6 incomp cord lsn NOS$	1
S1116	Op # $\Gamma$ - 0 incomp cord is in NOS	1
S111A	On $\#$ C5-7 posterior cord lesp	1
S111B	On $\# C5-7$ incomp cord les NOS	1
S1117	On $\#$ C5-7 complexity lesion	1
S1110	Op $\#$ C5-7 control cord les	1
S1118	Op $\#$ C5-7 exterior cord les	1
S1110	Op # $C_1$ - $C_4$ unspec cord les	1
S111/	Op # C1-4 nost cord lesion	1
S1114 S1115	Op # C1-4 post cold lesion $P_{1}$	1
Q1111	Op # C1-4 condities. NOS	1
S1113	Op # C1-4 completion $C1-4$ control lesion	1
S1115 S1112	Op # C1-4 cell cold lesion	1
N1120	Op # CT-4 dift cold lesion	1
	One lev th spondyl + radicular	1
	One lev th spondyl + myolon	1
N1140	One lev lumbase anend na mysic	1
N1140 N1150	One lev lumbsac spond + myelop	1
N1100	One lev fulliosat spoliti + Iliyelop	1
N1100	One lev Cx spondyl-no myelop	1
N1110	One lev Cx spondyl + radiculop	1
	Oligoarticular estocarthritic unanosified of unner arm	1
N0542	Oligoarticular osteoarthritic, unspecified, of the polyio region and	1
N0345		1
N0546	Ulignarticular establishtitic unangeified of lower log	1
N0540	Oligoarticular osteoarthritis, unspecified, of hond	1
N0544	Oligoarticular osteoarthritis, unspecified, of hand	1
	Oligoarticular ostooarthritic, unaposified, of ankla and fast	1
		1
NU04 NO541		1
NU04 I N0540	Oligoartic OA, unspec-snoulder	1
		1
	Oligoartic OA, unsp-unsp sites	1
NU048	Oligoartic OA Unspec-oth site	1
N2133		1
NU/2U	Old torn meniscus of knee	1
	Old tear post norn med menis	1
	Old tean of lateral meniscus	1
NU/10	Ulg tear of lateral meniscus	1

N07/9     Old posi/lat caps complex tear     1       N07/F     Old posi/catcale lig.disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old posi/catcale lig.disrupt.     1       N07/Y     Old posi/catcale lig.disrupt.     1       N07/Y     Old part tear met coller lig.     1       N07/Y     Old part tear met coller lig.     1       N07/Y     Old met coller lig.disruption     1       N07/Y     Old met coller lig.disruption     1       N07/YB     Old complex tear     1       N07/YB     Old complex lear lactolal lig.     1       N07/YB     Old complex lear lactolal lig.disrupt.     1       N07/YB     Old complex lear lactolal lig.disrupt.     1       N07/YC     Old datt.crucial lig.disrupt.     1       N07/Y2     Old att.crucial lig.disrupt.     1       N07/Y2     Old att.crucial lig.disrupt.     1       N07/Y2     Old att.crucial lig.disrupt.     1       N052A     OA NOS-ber loss of throgathy.     1       N054B     OA NOS-ber loss of throgathy.     1       N055B     OA NOS-ber loss of throgathy.     <	Read code	Description	Number of studies
N07/3     Old post-cruciate ig disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old part teap and cruciate ig     1       N07/F     Old part teap and cruciate ig     1       N07/F     Old part teap and cruciate ig     1       N07/F     Old part tear and cruciate ig     1       N07/F     Old med collar. Ig disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old med collar. Ig disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old med collar. Ig disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old comp lear past cruciate lig     1       N07/F     Old comp lear and cruciate lig disrupt.     1       N07/F     Old comp lear and cruciate lig	N07y9	Old post/lat caps complex tear	1
N07/+     Object feer post crucial lig     1       N07/-A     Object teer ned calai lig     1       N07/-B     Object teer ned calai lig     1       N07/-A     Ob	N07y3	Old post.cruciate lig.disrupt.	1
NDYA     Object feat med collail ig     1       NDYT     Object feat ic collail ig     1       NDYT     Object feat ic collail ig     1       NDYD     Object feat ic collail ig     1       NDYD     Object feat ic collail ig     1       NDYD     Object feat med collail ig     1       NDYB     Object feat med collail ig <td>N07yF</td> <td>Old part tear post cruciat lig</td> <td>1</td>	N07yF	Old part tear post cruciat lig	1
NV/Y     Ob part lear lat counsplich     1       NVY     Ob part lear lat counsplich     1       NVYC     Ob part lear and counsplich     1       NVYC     Ob part lear and counsplich     1       NVYC     Ob and call jug discription     1       NVYB     Ob a compi tear mad collait lig     1       NVYB     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYG     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYB     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYG     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a compi tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob a contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob and contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob and contain tear ind collait lig     1       NVYC     Ob and contain tear ind collait lig	N07yA	Old part tear med collat lig	1
NV/P     Object test aft Userging     1       NV7C     Ott test aft Userging     1       NV7C     Ott test aft Userging     1       NV7C     Ott test aft collating     1       NV7A     Ott compiter aft collating     1       NV74     Ott compiter aft collating     <		Old part tear lat collat lig	1
ND7-0     Oil mod capacity complexer     1       N07y0     Oil eit capacity complexer     1       N07y8     Oil complexer med collat lig     1       N07y8     Oil complexer med collat lig     1       N07y6     Oil complexer post crucial lig     1       N07y6     Oil complexer medial     1       N07y7     Oil backet handi texer-medial     1       N057     Ochonolic arthropathy     1       N0552     OA NOS-stemoclavicular joint     1       N0554     OA NOS-stemoclavicular joint     1       N0554     OA NOS-Dip point of finger     1       N0524     OA NOS-Dip point of finger     1       N0525     OA NOS-Dip point of finger     1       N0526     OA NOS-Dip point of finger     1       N0266     Nortaumatic tendon subuxath     1       N0276 <td></td> <td>Old part lear and cruciale lig</td> <td>1</td>		Old part lear and cruciale lig	1
http://o     Oci isit.cellatilg strugtion       http://sit.cellatilg     1       NOTyB     Oci compi tear is collatilg     1       NOTyG     Oci compi tear is collating     1       NOTYG     Oci and collar is c		Old med cansular complex tear	1
N07/9     Old compliane medical lig     1       N07/9     Old complication and collar lig     1       N07/4     Old capsular knee lig.disrupt.     1       N07/1     Old bucket handle tear-lat men     1       N07/2     Old and collar lig.disrupt.     1       N07/2     Old controlic artiropathy     1       N0542     OA.1 site + unspecified NOS     1       N0542     OA.1 site + unspecified NOS     1       N0543     OA NOS-subtaip port     1       N0545     OA NOS-fiel MTP joint     1       N0546     ON Nortaumalic macker uppure     1       N0547     Ond nortaur unspectandon subtaan     1       N0548     Nontraumalic macker uppure     1       N0541	N07yC	Old lat collat lig disruption	1
N07/9     Old complear lactivity     1       N07/9     Old complear pot crucial lig     1       N07/9     Old complear pot crucial lig     1       N07/4     Old complear host crucial lig     1       N07/4     Old complear host crucial lig     1       N07/1     Old bucket handle lear-medial     1       N07/9     Old ant.crucial lig disrupt.     1       N074     Old ant.crucial lig disrupt.     1       N0542     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0542     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0543     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0544     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0545     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0546     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0547     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0548     OA NOS-settencolavolar point     1       N0349     Nontaloantic tendon subbatan     1       N0349     Nontaloantic tendon subbatan     1       N0349     Nonalopathic lesion-turorac     1	NOTVB	Old complitear med collat lig	1
N07yE     Did comp tear post crucial lig     1       N07yF     Did comp tear and routiate lig     1       N07yF     Did capsular knee lig.disrupt.     1       N071     Did bucket handle tear-medial     1       N074     Did bucket handle tear-at men     1       N071     Did bucket handle tear-at men     1       N074     Och routiate lig disrupt.     1       N074     Och routiate lig disrupt.     1       N057     Och routiate lig disrupt.     1       N0542     OA NOS-subitar joint     1       N0524     OA NOS-subitar joint     1       N05256     OA NOS-Subitar joint     1       N05261     OA NOS-Subitar joint     1       N05263     OA NOS-Subitar joint     1       N05264     OA NOS-Subitar joint     1       N0527     OA NOS-Subitar joint     1       N05284     Nontaumatin muscine upbure     1       N2280     Nontaumatin muscine upbure     1       N2392     Noraliopathic lesion-fundar     1       N240     Noraliopathic lesion-fundar <td>N07v8</td> <td>Old complitear lat collat lig</td> <td>1</td>	N07v8	Old complitear lat collat lig	1
NV7y <sup>4</sup> Old comp tear and cruciate lig     1       NV7y4     Old capital race lig (disrupt.     1       NV701     Old bucket handle tear-medial     1       NV7y4     Old ant-cruciate lig (disrupt.     1       NV7y2     Old ant-cruciate lig (disrupt.     1       N0547     Ochronic anthropathy     1       N0542     OA NOS stemociad-vocular joint     1       N0542     OA NOS stemociad-vocular joint     1       N0543     OA NOS stemociad-vocular joint     1       N0544     OA NOS Stemociad-vocular joint     1       N0545     OA NOS DiP joint of finger     1       N0340     Nonumon of fracture     1       N0352     Nontaumalte tendon subluxatin     1       N2260     Nontaumustepectindon upbure     1       N332     Nonallopathic leison-toracic     1       N334     Noallopathic leison-toracic     1 <td< td=""><td>N07vG</td><td>Old comp tear post cruciat lig</td><td>1</td></td<>	N07vG	Old comp tear post cruciat lig	1
N07/4     Old capsular knee lig.disrupf.     1       N0701     Old bucket handle tear- medial     1       N0711     Old bucket handle tear- hard men     1       N0742     Old airculate lig.disrupf.     1       N074     Ochronolic attricopathy     1       N0542     O.A NOS-sublar joint     1       N0552     O.A NOS-sublar joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS-setmoclarvolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS-setmoclarvolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS-decomoclarvolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS-decomoclarvolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS-decomoclarvolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS Pir Joint of finger     1       N0554     O.A NOS Setter Oldravolular joint     1       N0554     O.A NOS Pir Joint of finger     1       N0554     O.A NOS Pir Joint of finger     1       N0526     O.A NOS acomoclarvoluration     1       N0527     Nortraumatic macket uphtre     1       N0528     Nortraumatic macket uphtre     1	N07yE	Old comp tear ant cruciate lig	1
N0701 Old bucket handle tear-nedial 1   N0711 Old ant.cruciale lig.disrupt. 1   N0742 Old ant.cruciale lig.disrupt. 1   N067 Ochronolic antrinopathy 1   N0542 OA N05-subblar joint 1   N0542 OA N05-subblar joint 1   N0543 OA N05-subblar joint 1   N0544 OA N05-subblar joint 1   N0554 OA N05-subblar joint of finger 1   N236 Nortinumurspectendon rupture 1   N236 Nordingarbic lesion-humbar 1   N237 Notar fracture 1   N040 Honglaphic lesion-humbar 1   N341 Nordingarbic lesion-humbar 1   N342 Nordingarbic lesion-humbar 1   N344 Nordingarbic lesion-humbar 1   N345 Nordingarbic lesion-humbar 1   N346 Nordi	N07y4	Old capsular knee lig.disrupt.	1
N0711 Old bucket handle tear-lat men 1   N0772 Old ant-cuciate lig/sixpt. 1   N067 Ochronotic arthropathy 1   N0542 OA NOS-statronodavillar joint 1   N0552 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0553 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0554 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0555 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0554 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0554 OA NOS-statronodavicular joint 1   N0554 Nontriaumatic muscle rupture 1   N0526 Nontriaum unspec.tendon rupture 1   N0320 Nontriaum unspec.tendon rupture 1   N0331 Nonallopathic lesion-lumbar 1   N044 Nodular fibrositis-chr.theum. 1   N2471	N0701	Old bucket handle tear-medial	1
N07y2     Old ant chuciale lig.disrupt.     1       N0667     Ochronolic arthropathy     1       N0542     OA.1 site + unspecified NOS     1       N0552B     OA NOS-subtair jont     1       N0552B     OA NOS-storonol-avivular join     1       N0552B     OA NOS-arromol-avivular join     1       N0552B     OA NOS-arromol-avivular join     1       N0521     OA NOS-arromol-avivular join     1       N0525     OA NOS-arromol-avivular join     1       N0524     OA NOS-arromol-avivularijon     1       N0525     OA NOS-arromol-avivularijon     1       N0526     OA NOS-arromol-avivularijon     1       N0527     Nontraumatic muscle rupture     1       N230     Nonraliopathic lesion-avival     1       N041     Nonaliopathic lesion-avival     1       N042     Nonraliopathic lesion-avival     1       N042     Noraliopathic lesion-avival     1       N042     Noraliopathic sension-avival     1       N044     Novidar fascritis     1       N044     N	N0711	Old bucket handle tear-lat men	1
N067     Ochronolic arthropathy     1       N0542     OA     N05.     1       N052P     OA     NOS-startocid/vicular joint     1       N052A     OA     NOS-startocid/vicular joint     1       N052B     OA     NOS-startocid/vicular joint     1       N052C     OA     NORTarumatic fendon subluxatin     1       N2260     Nontarumatic endon subluxatin     1       N331     Nonallopathic lesion-carvical     1       N332     Nonallopathic lesion-carvical     1       N44     Nodular fibrositis-chr. fneum.     1       N44     Nodular fibrositis-chr. fneum.     1       N171     No shiff neck     1       1220     No FH?     Ostepoprosis     1       N171     Neuropathic sopindylopathy     1<	N07y2	Old ant.cruciate lig.disrupt.	1
N0542     OA,1 site + unspecified NOS     1       N0552     OA NOS-subtaligright     1       N0554     OA NOS-subtaligright     1       N0555     OA NOS-steronical/subular joint     1       N0555     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0557     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0555     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0526     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0527     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0526     OA NOS-SUP joint of finger     1       N0331     Nontaumatic tendon subluxatn     1       N2360     Nontaumatic muscle rupture     1       N0310 aptalic leison-lumbar     1     1       N044     Nodular facilitis     1       N044     Nodular facilitis     1       N044     Nodular facilitis     1       N0471     No backache     1       N2471     No backache     1       N2443     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N344     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       <	N067	Ochronotic arthropathy	1
Nb52P     OA NOS-statian joint     1       Nb52A     OA NOS-aternoicalvicular joint     1       Nb52B     OA NOS-aternoicalvicular joint     1       Nb52A     OA NOS-aternoicalvicular joint     1       Nb52B     OA NOS-aternoicalvicular joint     1       Nb52G     OA NOS-ist MTP joint     1       Nb52B     OA NOS-sit MTP joint     1       N3381     Nonuino of fracture     1       N2260     Nontaumatic endon subluxatin     1       N230     Nonaliopathic lesion-thoracic     1       N383     Nonaliopathic lesion-thoracic     1       N381     Nonaliopathic lesion-cervical     1       N444     Nodular faccillis-chr. fneum.     1       N447     Nodular faccillis-chr. fneum.     1       N447     Nodular faccillis-chr. fneum.     1       N2471     No lift fracts     1       N11/2     No lift fracts     1       N2421     No lift fracts     1       N2423     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N11/2     Neuropathic spondylopathy	N054z	OA,1 site +,unspecified NOS	1
N052A     OA NOS-sternocioacular joint       N052B     OA NOS-sternocioacular joint       N052G     OA NOS-PIP joint of finger       N052A     OA NOS-TH MTP joint       N052B     OA NOS-TH MTP joint       N052A     OA NOS-TH MTP joint       N052B     OA NOS-TH MTP joint       N052B     OA NOS-TH MTP joint       N052B     Nontraumatic tendon subluxatin       N226     Nontraumatic tendon subluxatin       N2302     Nontraum unspec.tendon rupture       N232     Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic       N333     Nonallopathic lesion-tervical       N044     Nodular fibrositis-cervical       N044     Nodular fibrositis-cervical       N044     Nodular fibrositis-cervical       N122     Non-trau subi acromical joint       N044     Nodular fibrositis-cervical       N044     Nodular fibrositis-cervical       N122     Northaumatic pandicervical       N2471     No backache       N2471     Nouromscular socilosis       N3745     Neuromuscular socilosis       N3745     Neuromuscular kryhosis	NU5ZP	OA NOS-subtalar joint	1
Notacia     On NOS-actionIndicaticular join     I       Notacia     OA NOS-DIP joint of finger     1       Notacia     OA NOS-DIP joint of finger     1       Notacia     OA NOS-DIP joint of finger     1       Nata     Nonunion of fracture     1       Nata     Nonalopathic lesion-toracic     1       Naga     Nonalopathic lesion-cervical     1       Nata     Nonalopathic lesion-cervical     1       No44     Nodular fascitis     1       No44     Nodular fascitis     1       No44     Nodular fascitis     1       No44     Notackache     1       No44     No Setsoporasis     1       Nata     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       Nata     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       Nata     Neuromuscular foldosis     1       Nata     Neuromuscular foldosis     1       Nata	NU5ZA	OA NOS-sternoclavicular joint	1
No225     OA NOS-In Fjohl of Ingel     1       N05251     OA NOS-IDF johl of Ingel     1       N05253     OA NOS-IDF johl of Ingel     1       N0526     OA NOS-IDF johl of Ingel     1       N0526     OA NOS-IDF johl of Ingel     1       N0526     Nontraumatic muscle rupture     1       N226     Nontalumatic tendon sublixatin     1       N2260     Nontalumatic tendon sublixatin     1       N232     Nonalopathic lesion-turbacic     1       N333     Nonalopathic lesion-turbaci     1       N044     Nodular fitorsits-turbaci     1       N044     Nodular fitorsits-turbaci     1       N044     Nodular fitorsits-turbaci     1       N044     Nodular fitorsits-turbacin     1       N044     Nodular fitorsits-turbacin     1       N112     No backache     1       N2471     No backache     1       N3749     Neuromscular scoliosis     1       N3749     Neuromscular scoliosis     1       N44     Neuromscular scoliosis     1 </td <td></td> <td>OA NOS-acromiociavicular join</td> <td>1</td>		OA NOS-acromiociavicular join	1
No.2.1     On NOS-1st MPB of Myss     1       N3251     OA NOS-1st MPB of Myss     1       N3381     Nonunion of fracture     1       N3262     Nontraumatic tendon subluxatn     1       N2260     Nontraumatic tendon subluxatn     1       N2260     Nontraumatic tendon rupture     1       N333     Nonaliopathic lesion-humbar     1       N333     Nonaliopathic lesion-humbar     1       N331     Nonaliopathic lesion-envical     1       N044     Nodular fascitis     1       N044     Notaliar fascitis     1       N044     Notaliar fascitis     1       N2372     Nodular fascitis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N374B     Neuromuscular fordosis     1       N374B     Neuromuscular fordosis     1       N3745     Neuromuscular fordosis     1       N422     Neuromuscular fordosis     1       N44     Neuromuscular fordosis     1       N242 <t< td=""><td>N052G N05zH</td><td>OA NOS-PIF joint of finger</td><td>1</td></t<>	N052G N05zH	OA NOS-PIF joint of finger	1
NameOverweitIN236Nontraumatic tendon1N236Nontraumatic tendon rupture1N2362Nontraum.unspec.tendon rupture1N2362Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N333Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N334Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N335Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N331Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N332Nonalopathic tesion-turotac1N044Nodular fitorstits-chr. theum.1N044Nodular fitorstits-chr. theum.1N044Nodular fitorstits-chr. theum.1N044Nodular fitorstits-chr. theum.1N2372No backache11611No backache1N2423Neuropathic spondylopathy1N2424Neuromuscular lorotasis1N3748Neuromuscular lorotasis1N3749Neuromuscular lorotasis1N2420Neuralgia unspecified1N2421Neuralgia unspecified1N2422Neuralgia unspecified1N2423Neuralgia unspecified1N2424Neuralginal cord injurise1StroNerve rot pain present1StroNerve rot pain present1N242Neuralginal cord injury NOS1StroNeck sprain NOS1N2421Musculoskeletal pain present1N2422Neucloskeletal pain present1N2432 <td< td=""><td>N05211 N057S</td><td>OA NOS-1st MTP joint</td><td>1</td></td<>	N05211 N057S	OA NOS-1st MTP joint	1
N226     Nontraumatic tendon subluxatn     1       N2392     Nontraumatic muscle rupture     1       N2260     Nontraum.inspectendon rupture     1       N392     Nonalopathic lesion-turbare     1       N393     Nonalopathic lesion-turbar     1       N391     Nonalopathic lesion-ervical     1       N044     Nodular faccitis     1       N044     Nodular faccitis     1       N044     Nodular faccitis     1       166.1     No backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoporosis     1       N3748     Neuropathic panotylopathy     1       N3748     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3749     Neuromuscular lordosis     1       N3749     Neuromuscular lordosis     1       N420     Neuralg/neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N421     Neuralg/neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N422     Neuralg/neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N422     Neuralg.neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N424     Neuralg.neuri/radicult.NOS     1	N3381	Nonunion of fracture	1
N2292Nontraum unspect rendom ruphure1N2260Nontraum unspect rendom ruphure1N382Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic1N393Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic1N393Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic1N394Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic1N395Non-trau subi acromoci joint1N082ZNon-trau subi acromoci joint1N0844Nodular fitoristic-shc. rheum.1N044Nodular fitoristic-shc. rheum.1N2372Nodular fitoristic-shc. rheum.1N2372No backache11229No FH: Osteoporosis1N2471Night cramps1N11/2Neuropathic pain1N3745Neuromuscular scoliosis1N3745Neuromuscular lordosis1N3745Neuromuscular lordosis1N2420Neurisi unspecified1N2422Neurisi gunapecified1N2423Neure dati present1S700Neck sprain NOS1S700Neck sprain NOS1S701Neck sprain NOS1N232Myofitorisis1N124Musculoskeletal pain persent1N232Myofitorisis1S700Neck sprain NOS1S700Neck sprain NOS1N232Myofitorisis1N232Myofitorisis1N232Musculoskeletal pain persent1MSMOMU15Mu	N226	Nontraumatic tendon subluxatn	1
N2260     Nontalinganthic lesion-nupture     1       N392     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N393     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N391     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N392     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N042     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N042     Nonallogathic lesion-numbar     1       N044     Nodular faccilis     1       N041     Nostlin-chr. rheum.     1       N2372     No Haricasubhar     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11/2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3748     Neuropathic pain     1       N3749     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N144     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N441     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3745     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N442     Neuropathic dation     1       N442     Neuropathic dation     1       N442	N23v2	Nontraumatic muscle rupture	1
N392 Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic 1   N393 Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic 1   N391 Nonallopathic lesion-cervical 1   N082Z Non-trau subl acromicci joint 1   N044 Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum. 1   N2372 Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum. 1   N2372 Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum. 1   N2372 No backache 1   16C1 No backache 1   1229 No FH: Osteoporosis 1   N3745 Neuropathic spondylopathy 1   N3748 Neuropathic pain 1   N3749 Neuromuscular scoliosis 1   N3745 Neuromuscular korbosis 1   N44A Neuropathic pain 1   N44A Neuropathic pain 1   N3745 Neuropathic pain 1   N44A Neuropathic spondylopathy 1   N44A Neuropathic pain 1   N44A Neuropathic pain 1   N4420 Neuropathic pain fresent 1   N2421 Neuropathic pain present 1   SJ Nerverapinal cord injury NOS 1   SJ Nerve sprain NOS 1   ST0<	N2260	Nontraum.unspec.tendon rupture	1
N393   Nonallopathic lesion-cirvical   1     N082Z   Non-trau subl acromicci joint   1     N044   Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.   1     N2372   Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.   1     16A1   No stiff neck   1     16C1   No backache   1     1229   No FH: Osteoporosis   1     N11/2   Neuropathic spondylopathy   1     N374B   Neuromuscular scoliosis   1     N3749   Neuromuscular scoliosis   1     N4421   Neuromuscular kophosis   1     N442   Neuromuscular kophosis   1     N444   Neurogenic claudication   1     N442   Neuralg/neurit/radicul.NOS   1     N4420   Neuralg/neurit/radicul.NOS   1     N442   Neuralg/neurit/radicul.NOS   1     N422   Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS   1     St70   Neck sprain nopsecified   1     N422   Neuralg/neurit/radicul.NoS   1     St70   Neck sprain nopsecified   1     St700   Neck sprain nopsecified   1	N392	Nonallopathic lesion-thoracic	1
N391 Non-trau sub acronical joint 1   N082Z Non-trau sub acronical joint 1   N044 Nodular fbrositis-chr. rheum. 1   N2372 Nodular fbrositis-chr. rheum. 1   1611 No stiff neck 1   1621 No backache 1   1621 No backache 1   16229 No FH: Osteoprosis 1   N2471 Night cramps 1   N3748 Neuropathic spondylopathy 1   N3749 Neuromuscular scoliosis 1   N3745 Neuromuscular scoliosis 1   N44A Neuroguic claudication 1   N2421 Neuralg.incuit./radiculit.NOS 1   N2422 Neuralg.incuit./radiculit.NOS 1   N2423 Neuralg.incuit./radiculit.NOS 1   N2424 Neuralg.incuit.radiculit.NOS 1   N2425 Neuralg.incuit.radiculit.NOS 1   S700 Neck sprain NOS 1   S5701 Neck sprain NOS 1   S5702 Neck sprain NOS 1   N2321 Myofibrosis 1   N2321 Myofibrosis 1   N2321 Myofibrosis 1   N2321 Myofibrosis<	N393	Nonallopathic lesion-lumbar	1
N082Z     Non-trau subl acroniccl joint     1       N044     Nodular fascittis- Nodular fascittis- No stiff neck     1       16A1     No stiff neck     1       16A1     No backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoprosis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11y2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3748     Neuropathic pain     1       N3749     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N444     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3749     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3749     Neuromuscular kyphosis     1       N44A     Neurogenic clausication     1       N2421     Neuralgia unspecified     1       N2422     Neuralg/neurit/radiculu nsp.     1       N2422     Neuralg/neurit/radiculu nsp.     1       SJ     Nerve root pain present     1       Syro     Neck sprain NOS     1       Syro     Neck sprain NOS     1       N232     Myofibrosis     1       N232 <td< td=""><td>N391</td><td>Nonallopathic lesion-cervical</td><td>1</td></td<>	N391	Nonallopathic lesion-cervical	1
N044     Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.     1       N2372     Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.     1       16A1     No staff neck     1       16C1     No backache     1       16C1     No backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoporosis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11y2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3748     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3749     Neuromuscular lordosis     1       N14A     Neuromuscular kopitosis     1       N2421     Neuromuscular kopitosis     1       N2422     Neuralg./neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N2422     Neuralg./neurit/radicult.NOS     1       N2422     Neuralg./neurit/radicult.NOS     1       SJ     Nerve eris spinal cord injury NOS     1       SJ     Nerve eris spinal cord injury NOS     1       ST00     Neck sprain     1       N120     Narowing disc space     1       N232     Myostisi n sarcoidosis     1       N232	N082Z	Non-trau subl acromiocl joint	1
N2372     Nodular fascitis     1       I6A1     No stiff neck     1       16C1     No backache     1       16C1     No backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoporosis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11y2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N3749     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3749     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3745     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N44C1     Neurogenic claudication     1       N2420     Neuralgia unspecified     1       N2422     Neuralg/neurit/radicul.unsp.     1       SJ     Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS     1       STO     Neck sprain unspecified     1       STO     Neck sprain     1       Sto     Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS     1       Sto     Neck sprain     1       Sto     Neck sprain     1       N120     Narowing disc space     1       N232     Neck sprain     1 <t< td=""><td>N044</td><td>Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.</td><td>1</td></t<>	N044	Nodular fibrositis-chr. rheum.	1
16A1     No backache     1       16C1     No backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoprosis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11y2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N2423     Neuropathic pain     1       N374B     Neuromuscular iordosis     1       N3745     Neuromuscular iordosis     1       N444     Neurogenic claudication     1       N2420     Neuralg.inspecified     1       N2421     Neuralg.inspecified     1       N2422     Neuralg.ineurit/radicult.NOS     1       N2422     Neuralg.ineurit/radiculu.nsp.     1       SJ     Nerve/spinal cord injuris     1       SJ     Nerve rod spinal cord injury NOS     1       S700     Neck sprain unspecified     1       N232     Myositis in sarcoidosis     1       N232     Myositisi	N2372	Nodular fasciitis	1
16C1     No Backache     1       1229     No FH: Osteoporosis     1       N2471     Night cramps     1       N11y2     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       N2423     Neuropathic pain     1       N374B     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3749     Neuromuscular lordosis     1       N14A     Neurogenic claudication     1       N2421     Neuraligia unspecified     1       N2422     Neuraligia unspecified     1       S2     Nerver optian present     1       SJ     Nerver optian in present     1       S5700     Neck sprain     1       N232     Nyositis in sarcoidosis     1       N232     Myositis in sarcoidosis     1       N232     Myositis in sarcoidosis     1       N232     Myositis in sarcoidosis </td <td>16A1</td> <td>No stiff neck</td> <td>1</td>	16A1	No stiff neck	1
1229No Fri: OsteoprosisN2471Night cramps1N11y2Neuropathic spondylopathy1N2423Neuropathic pain1N374BNeuromuscular scoliosis1N374BNeuromuscular lordosis1N3745Neuromuscular lordosis1N3745Neuromuscular kyphosis1N44ANeurogenic claudication1N2421Neuralgia unspecified1N2422Neuralgia unspecified1N2424Neuralgi/neurit/radicul.unsp.1N2425Nerve/spinal cord injuries1SJNerve/spinal cord injury NOS1SJNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain NOS1N2321Myofitosis1N2322Narveidig space1N2321Myofitosis1N2321Myofitosis1N2421Musculoskeletal pain severe1PMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N2321Myofitosis1N2322Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N3322Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N3242Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N3322Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N332Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N332Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N332Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N333Musculoskeletal pain noderate </td <td>1601</td> <td>No backache</td> <td>1</td>	1601	No backache	1
Nation     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       Nature     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       Nataba     Neuropathic spondylopathy     1       Nataba     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       Nataba     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       Nataba     Neuromuscular kyphosis     1       Nataba     Neurogenic claudication     1       Nataba     Neurogenic claudication     1       Nataba     Neuralg/neurit/radicult.NOS     1       Nataba     Neuralg/neurit/radicult.NOS     1       Nataba     Neurolspinal cord injury NOS     1       SJ     Nerve ond spinal cord injury NOS     1       S5700     Neck sprain     1       S5701     Neck sprain     1       N232     Mosofits - shoulder     1       N232     Mosofits - shoulder     1       N232     Neck sprain     1       S570     Neck sprain     1       N232     Mosofits - shoulder     1       N232     Mosofits - shoulder     1       N232	N2471	No FH: Osteoporosis	1
Int 192     Neuropathic pain     1       N3745     Neuromuscular scoliosis     1       N3745     Neuromuscular rodosis     1       N3745     Neuromuscular kyphosis     1       N14A     Neurogenic claudication     1       N2421     Neuralgia unspecified     1       N2422     Neuralgi nempecified     1       N2422     Neuralgi nempecified     1       N2422     Neuralgi nempecified     1       N2422     Neuralgi nempecified     1       SJ     Nerve/spinal cord injurise     1       SJ     Nerve root pain present     1       SJ2     Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS     1       S5700     Neck sprain     1       N232     Neworing disc space     1       N232     Myositis in sarcoidosis     1       N232     Myositis - shoulder     1       N232     Myositis - shoulde	N2471 N11v2	Neuronathic spondulonathu	1
NatureNatureNatureN374BNeuromuscular scoliosis1N3749Neuromuscular kyposis1N3745Neuromuscular kyposis1N14ANeurogenic claudication1N2421Neuralgi unspecified1N2422Neuralg/neurit/radiculit.NOS1N2422Neuralg/neurit/radiculit.NOS1N2422Neuralg/neurit/radiculit.NOS1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1SJNerve root pain present1SJNerve root pain present1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1N12DNerve sprain NOS1S5701Neck sprain1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2321Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2311Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2312Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1N33Musculoskeletal pain present1N34Musculoskeletal pain severe1N2322Musculoskeletal pain severe1N333Musculoskeletal pain severe1N34Musculoskeletal pain present1N35Musculoskeletal pain present1N34Musculoskeletal pain present1N35Musculoskeletal pain severe11	N2423	Neuropathic spondylopathy	1
N3749Neuromuscular lordosis1N3745Neuromuscular kyphosis1N14ANeurogenic claudication1N2421Neuritis unspecified1N2422Neuralgia unspecified1N2422Neuralgi neurit/radiculti.NOS1N2422Neuralg./neurit/radiculti.NOS1N242Neuralg./neurit/radiculti.NOS1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1SJNerve/spinal cord injury NOS1SyzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1N12DNeck sprain NOS1S570Neck sprain NOS1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N231Myofibrosis1N232Myositis in sarcoidosis1N231Myolibrosis1MSNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1MWBYMU1Musculoskeletal pain present1M3Musculoskeletal pain present1M33Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N34Musculoskeletal pain present1MWBYMU1Musculoskeletal pain present1M33Muscle skrain1N34Muscle skrain1N322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N232Muscle wasting and clause atrophy NEC1	N374B	Neuropuscular scoliosis	1
N3745Neuromuscular kyphosis1N14ANeurogenic claudication1N2421Neuralgia unspecified1N2420Neuralg.in unspecified1N2422Neuralg./neurit/radicult.NOS1N242Neuralg./neurit/radicult.nsp.1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1SJNerve/spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5700Neck sprain nOS1S5701Nerve not pinal cord injury NOS1S5702Neck sprain nospecified1N12DNarrowing disc space1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2321Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myositis - shoulder1EdINSNQMU15Musculoskeletal spin present1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain roterate1N33Musculoskeletal pain roterate1N33Musculoskeletal pain roterate1N33Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N2322Muscle strain1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2324Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2325Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2326Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2326Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC	N3749	Neuromuscular lordosis	1
N14ANeurogenic claudication1N2421Neuritis unspecified1N2420Neuralg./neurit/radicul.unsp.1N2422Neuralg./neurit/radicul.unsp.1N242Neuralg./neurit/radicul.unsp.1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1EMISNQNE5Nerve root pain present1SJzNerve root pain present1S5700Neck sprain nuspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain inspecified1N12DNarowing disc space1N2321Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myositis - shoulder1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU14Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N3Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N3Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N3222Musclue wasting and disuse atrophy NEC NOS1N3323Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC NOS1N334Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N2	N3745	Neuromuscular kyphosis	1
N2421Neurits unspecified1N2420Neuralgi unspecified1N2422Neuralg./neurit./radicult.NOS1N242Neuralg./neurit./radicult.unsp.1SJNervelspinal cord injuries1SJNerve sopt pain present1SJZNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain conspecified1N12DNerve sopt pain1N12DNeck sprain unspecified1N2332Nyositis in sarcoidosis1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1N3Musculoskeletal pain present1N33Musculoskeletal pain present1N3322Musculoskeletal pain present1N33Musculoskeletal pain present1N33Musculoskeletal pain present1N3322Musculoskeletal pain present1N3322Muscle sating NEC1N332Muscle sating NEC1N330Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N3410Muscle strain1N2341Muscle strain1N2341Muscle strain1N2341Muscle strain1 <td>N14A</td> <td>Neurogenic claudication</td> <td>1</td>	N14A	Neurogenic claudication	1
N2420Neuralgi unspecified1N242zNeuralg/neurit/radiculit.NOS1N242Neuralg/neurit/radiculit.nsp.1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1EMISNQNE5Nerve root pain present1SJzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain unspecified1N2332Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5702Neck sprain NOS1S5703Neck sprain NOS1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2311Myofibrosis1S5705Musculoskeletal symptom1N2312Myositis - shoulder1EdTON307Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EdTON307Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N33Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N3322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N3322Muscle strain1N3324Muscle strain1N330Muscle strain1N3410-2Muscle strain1N3411Muscle strain1N3411Muscle strain1N3411Muscle strain1N3411Muscle strain1N3411Muscle strain1 <td>N2421</td> <td>Neuritis unspecified</td> <td>1</td>	N2421	Neuritis unspecified	1
N242zNeuralg/neurit/radiculit.NOS1N242Neuralg/neurit./radicul.unsp.1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1EMISNQNE5Nerve oot pain present1SJzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain NOS1S3232Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EdTISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N333Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N32322Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N3322Musculoskeletal pain severe1N3322Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N3322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N33Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N330Musculoskeletal Symptom1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1N2341-0-2Muscle strain1N2341Muscle ossification1N2341Muscle ossification1N2341Muscle ossification1	N2420	Neuralgia unspecified	1
N242Neuralg/neurit/radicul.unsp.1SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1EMISNQNE5Nerve root pain present1SJzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2321Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain rootente1N3Musculoskeletal pain disuse atrophy NEC1N3222Musculoskeletal pain disuse atrophy NEC1N332Musculoskeletal pain PI1N332Musculoskeletal pain PI1N332Musculoskeletal pain PI1N332Musculoskeletal pain disuse atrophy NEC1N332Musculoskeletal pain PI1N332Musculoskeletal pain PI1N332Muscle strain1N332Muscle strain1N340Muscle strain1N2341Muscle pain1N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle pain1N231Muscle pain1N231Muscle pain1N231Muscle pain1 <t< td=""><td>N242z</td><td>Neuralg./neurit./radiculit.NOS</td><td>1</td></t<>	N242z	Neuralg./neurit./radiculit.NOS	1
SJNerve/spinal cord injuries1EMISNQNE5Nerve root pain present1SJzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain NOS1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N231Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain orderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3222Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3323Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3324Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3324Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3324Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2324Muscle wasting NEC1N2340Muscle strain1N2341Muscle ossification1N231Muscle ossification1	N242	Neuralg./neurit./radicul.unsp.	1
EMISNQNE5Nerve root pain present1SJzNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myofibrosis1REGTON307Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain orderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N2322Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N2322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2324Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2325Muscle skring/artophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting/artophy NEC NOS1N2324Muscle strain1N2340Muscle strain1N2311Muscle ossification1	SJ	Nerve/spinal cord injuries	1
SJZNerve and spinal cord injury NOS1S5700Neck sprain unspecified1S5701Neck sprain NOS1S5702Neck sprain1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU26Musculoskeletal pain severe1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain resent1N3Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N322Musculoskeletal symptoms1N322Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N322Musculoskeletal pain disuse atrophy NEC1N322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N322Muscle wasting number of the mation of the m	EMISNQNE5	Nerve root pain present	1
S5700Neck sprain UnspectivedS570zNeck sprain NOSS570Neck sprainN12DNarrowing disc spaceN2332Myositis in sarcoidosisN2321MyofibrosisN241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulderEGTON307MyalgiaEMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptomEMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain severeEMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderateN096-2Musculoskeletal pain - jointsN3Musculoskeletal pain - jointsN3Musculoskeletal gand disuse atrophy NECN2322Muscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOSN232Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NECN2322Muscle strainN2341Muscle ossificationN231Muscle ossification	SJZ	Nerve and spinal cord injury NOS	1
SJ702Neck Sprain1S570Neck Sprain1N12DNarrowing disc space1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N2322Musculoskeletal symptoms1N2322Musculoskeletal symptoms1N2322Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N2322Muscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2324Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2325Muscle strain1N2326Muscle strain1N2327Muscle strain1N2328Muscle strain1N231Muscle ossification1	55700 \$570 <del>7</del>		1
N12DNarrowing disc space1N2332Myositis in sarcoidosis1N2321Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain noderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N33Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2322Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2324Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2325Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC NOS1N2326Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2327Muscle strain1N2328Muscle strain1N2390Muscle strain1N2311Muscle ossification1	S5702 S570	Neck sprain	1
N232Number of a second opticeN2321Myofibrosis1N2321Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain roderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3222Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N2322Muscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N2341Muscle strain1N231Muscle ossification1	N12D	Narrowing disc space	1
N2321Myofibrosis1N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N232zMusculoskeletal Symptoms1N232zMuscle wasting and disues atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N2340Muscle strain1N231Muscle ossification1	N2332	Myositis in sarcoidosis	1
N241-97Myalgia/myositis - shoulder1EGTON307Myalgia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal symptoms1N232zMusculoskeletal symptoms1N232zMuscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N234DMuscle strain1N231Muscle ossification1N231Muscle ossification1	N2321	Myofibrosis	1
EGTON307Myagia1EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal symptoms1MAWBYMU1Musculoskeletal symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal symptoms1N232zMusculoskeletal pain - joints1N232zMusculoskeletal symptoms1N232zMuscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N232zMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle ossification1	N241-97	Myalgia/myositis - shoulder	1
EMISNQMU15Musculoskeletal symptom1EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1MAWBYMU1Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal symptoms1N232zMusculosk inflam/deform.+other1N232zMuscle wasting and disue atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1N231Muscle ossification1	EGTON307	Myalgia	1
EMISNQMU5Musculoskeletal pain severe1EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1MAWBYMU1Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N234DMuscle strain1N231Muscle ossification1	EMISNQMU15	Musculoskeletal symptom	1
EMISNQMU2Musculoskeletal pain present1EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1MAWBYMU1Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculosk.inflam/deform.+other1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1N231Muscle ossification1	EMISNQMU5	Musculoskeletal pain severe	1
EMISNQMU4Musculoskeletal pain moderate1N096-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1MAWBYMU1Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculosk.inflam/deform.+other1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting and disues atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1	EMISNQMU2	Musculoskeletal pain present	1
NU90-2Musculoskeletal pain - joints1MAW BYMU1Musculoskeletal Symptoms1N3Musculosk.inflam/deform.+other1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N2322Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1	EMISNQMU4	Musculoskeletal pain moderate	1
MAW B FINID 1Musculoskeletal symptoms1N3Musculosk.inflam/deform.+other1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N232Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1		Musculoskeletal pain - joints	1
N3Musclosk.Imandeform.Former1N232zMuscle wasting/atrophy NEC NOS1N232Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1		wusculoskeletal Symptoms	1
N232Muscle wasting aut oprij NEC NOS1N232Muscle wasting and disuse atrophy NEC1N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle ossification1N231Muscle ossification1	NJ NJ227	www.uosk.iiiiaiii/ueiuiii.tuliei Musele wasting/atrophy NEC NOS	1
N2322Muscle wasting NEC1UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle ossification1	N232	Muscle wasting and disuse strongly NEC	1
UNMAPM4ABMuscle strain1N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle ossification1	N2322	Muscle wasting NFC	1
N23yDMuscle strain1N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle ossification1	UNMAPM4AB	Muscle strain	1
N2410-2Muscle pain1N231Muscle ossification1	N23yD	Muscle strain	1
N231 Muscle ossification 1	N2410-2	Muscle pain	1
	N231	Muscle ossification	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S5yz1	Muscle injury / strain	1
N238	Muscle contracture	1
N231z	Muscle calcif./ossificat.NOS	1
N230D N230B	Muscle abscess-shoulder	1
N230C	Muscle abscess-hack	1
N230A	Muscle abscess	1
ASDFGMU2	Muscle Symptoms	1
EGTON110	Muscle Injury	1
M4A8	Muscle Injury	1
N2310	Musc.calcif./ossif.unspecified	1
N0719	Multiple tears-lat meniscus	1
NU708 S101y	Multiple open # cerv vert	1
SR1z	Multiple open # cerv vert	1
S100x	Multiple clsd # cerv vert	1
N099A	Multiple clicking joints	1
S3y	Multiple #legs/arms/ribs/stern	1
S10A2	Multip fracture/cervical spin	1
N11C2	Multi lev lumbsac spond+radicu	1
S150	Multi fractures/thoracic spine	1
Soyi Soyi	Mult closed #legs/arms/ribs	1
N1122	Multiclosed #legs/alms/hbs	1
N11B2	Mult lev th spondyl+radiculop	1
N1132	Mult lev th spondyl + myelop	1
N1142	Mult lev lumbsac spond-no myel	1
N1152	Mult lev lumbsac spond + myelo	1
N1102	Mult lev Cx spondyl-no myelop	1
N1192	Mult lev Cx spondyl+radiculop	1
N1112 S10P6	Mult featur/lumber aping-taply	1
S1000 S292	Mult fract/clay scanula+humrus	1
1D17	Morning stiffness - joint	1
N3323	Monostotic fibrous dysplasia	1
N0433	Monarticular juvenile R.A.	1
EMISNQMI188	Mixed connective tissue disease	1
OX6954MC	Mixed Connective Tissue Disease /ox	1
N2224 N2172	Miners' knee	1
N2172 N063 11	Menonausal arthritis	1
N063	Menopausal arthritis	1
N072	Meniscus derangement NEC	1
N070	Medial meniscus derangement	1
N070z	Medial meniscus derange.NOS	1
N0703	Medial menisc.post.horn derang	1
N0700	Medial menisc.derang.unspecif	1
N0702 N2131	Medial enicondulitis - elbow	1
16CA	Mechanical low back pain	1
N3380	Malunion of fracture	1
N338	Malunion and nonunion of fracture	1
N11C	Lumbosacral spondylosis with radiculopathy	1
N115	Lumbosacral spond + myelopathy	1
SJ35	Lumbosacral plexus injury	1
N1441 N1462	Lumbosacral neuritis unspecir.	1
N 1405 N 1460		1
N114-2	Lumbar spondylosis	1
N148C	Lumbar spine instability	1
N1486	Lumbar spine ankylosis	1
N1402	Lumbar spinal stenosis	1
N12A3	Lumbar postlaminectomy syndr.	1
SJ321	Lumbar nerve root injury - L2	1
N1220 N122	Lumbar disclis	1
N1293	Lumbar disc disord +mvelopathy	1
N127	Lumbar disc degeneration	1
S572	Lumbar back sprain	1
N1420	Lumbago with sciatica	1
N142-4	Lumbago	1
N140A	Lu spin stenos due to oth dis	1
N12C2	Lu disc prolapse+radiculopathy	1
N12B2	Lu disc prolapse + myelopathy	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N12C3	Lu disc prol+caud eq compress	1
N142-1	Low back pain	1
EGION264	Low Back Pain	1
N374W N3747	Lordosis unspecified Lordosis in skeletal dvenlasia	1
N3748	Lordosis in hip disease	1
N3742	Lordosis + other condition	1
N0818	Loose joint body-multip joints	1
N081z	Loose joint body (ex.knee)NOS	1
N081A	Loose body, oth joint-shoulder	1
N0811	Loose body joint-shoulder	1
N0819	Loose body in shoulder joint	1
NU73	Loose body in knee	1
N0817	Loose body in joint joint OS	1
N0810	Loose body in joint	1
N07yH	Locking knee	1
N07y5	Locked knee	1
N0522	Localised, secondary osteoarthritis of the upper arm	1
N0525	Localised, secondary osteoarthritis of the pelvic region and thigh	1
N0526	Localised, secondary osteoarthritis of the lower leg	1
N0524 N0523	Localised, secondary osteoarthritis of the forearm	1
N0527	Localised, secondary osteoarthritis of the ankle and foot	1
N051E	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of toe	1
N0512	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of the upper arm	1
N0515	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of the pelvic region and thigh	1
N0513	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of the forearm	1
N0517	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of the ankle and foot	1
N051F	Localised, primary osteoarthritis of elbow	1
	Localised secondary OA NOS	1
N0532	Localised primary OA NOS	1
N0537	Localised osteoarthritis, unspecified, of the appel and	1
N053z	Localised OA unspecified NOS	1
N053	Localised OA unspecified	1
N052	Local secondary osteoarthritis	1
N0520	Local.secondary OA-site unsp.	1
N0521	Local secondary OA-shoulder	1
N0526	Local primary osteoarthritis	1
N0510	Local primary OA-site unspec	1
N0511	Local primary OA-shoulder regn	1
N0518	Local.primary OA-other specif	1
N0516	Local.primary OA-lower leg	1
N0514	Local.primary OA-hand	1
N0530	Local.OA unspsite unspecif.	1
N0531	Local OA unspshoulder region	1
N0534	Local OA unspband	1
N0533	Local.OA unspforearm	1
N051D	Local prim osteoarth wrist	1
N3308	Local osteoporosis - Lequesne	1
S5z	Ligament sprain NOS	1
N0001	Libman-Sacks disease	1
N245-6	Leg pain	1
N234 S 143	Laxity of lighterin	1
N071	Lateral meniscus derangement	1
N0714	Lateral meniscus derangem.NOS	1
N0713	Lateral menisc.post.horn deran	1
N0710	Lateral menisc.derang.unspecif	1
N0712	Lateral menisc.ant.horn derang	1
N2132	Lateral epicondylitis of the elbow	1
NIT I BALAY	Lateral Matella Release	1
SC05	Late effect-mult /other #bones	1
SC06	Late effect-dislocation	1
SC01	Late effect-#spine-no cord les	1
SC011	Late effect # thoracic vert	1
SC012	Late effect # lumbar vertebra	1
SC010	Late effect # cervic vertebra	1
EGTON119	Laceration Nos	1
N3744	Kypnosis in skeletal dysplasia	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N3713	Kyphosis due to oth treatment	1
N3741	Kyphosis + other condition	1
N373z	Kyphoscoliosis or scoliosis NOS	1
N373	Kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis	1
N3241 N0056	Koniers dis.(prim.patell.ctr)	1
N0950 854v		1
S54	Knee sprain	1
N0106	Knee pyogenic arthritis	1
N0826	Knee pathological dislocation	1
1M10	Knee pain	1
N05z6	Knee osteoarthritis NOS	1
N05z6-1	Knee osteoarthritis NOS	1
N0946-1	Knee joint pain	1
N0946	Knee joint pain	1
N0846	Knee joint contracture	1
N0856	Knee joint ankylosis	1
NU966	Knee gives way	1
	Knee entresopathy NOS	1
N0620-1 N0626	Knee arthritis NOS	1
ASDEGKN2	Knee Pain?	1
ASDEGKN6	Knee Pain Does Not Affect Sleep	1
ASDFGKN4	Knee Pain Affects Sleep?	1
ASDFGKN5	Knee Pain Affects Sleep	1
ASDFGKN3	Knee Pain	1
MUNNUKN1	Knee Pain	1
MAWBYKN1	Knee Pain	1
N0836	Knee - recurrent dislocation	1
N13y1	Klippel's disease	1
N116	Kissing spine	1
N002	Keratoconjunctivitis sicca	1
N0601	Kaschin-Beck dis, shoulder	1
N0608	Kaschin-Beck dis -other specif	1
N0609	Kaschin-Beck dis -multipl site	1
N060z	Kaschin-Beck disNOS	1
N060	Kaschin - Beck disease	1
N320z	Juvenile spine osteochondr.NOS	1
N3200	Juvenile spine osteochond.unsp	1
N0455	Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis	1
N0430	Juvenile rheumatoid arthr.unsp	1
N043z	Juvenile rheumatoid arthr.NOS	1
N3263	Juvenile esteechandrosis NOS	1
N3202 N3262	Juvenile osteochondritic NOS	1
N3261	Juvenile eninhysitis NOS	1
N0030	Juvenile dermatomvositis	1
N3260	Juvenile apophysitis NOS	1
N043	Juvenile R.A Štill's disease	1
OX7120DA	Juvenile Arthritis /ox	1
N3243	Juv.osteoch.secondary.pat.ctre	1
N0451	Juv seronegative polyarthritis	1
N328	Juv osteochondrosis of spine	1
NU452	Juv arthritis in psoriasis	1
N0453 N0454	Juv arth in ulcerative colitis	1
N0900	Joint effusion-site unspecif	1
N0901	Joint effusion-shoulder region	1
N0908	Joint effusion-other specif.	1
N09z1	Joint disord NOS-shoulder	1
N08z	Joint derangement NOS	1
N08zz	Joint derangement NOS	1
N08z0	Joint derange.NOS-site unspec.	1
N08z1	Joint derange.NOS-shoulder	1
NU827	Joint derange.NOS-other spec.	1
	Joint derange.NOS-multipl.site	1
NUGZ. I I NO57		1
N032 N0840	Joint contracture-site unspec	1
N0841	Joint contracture-shoulder	1
N0848	Joint contracture-other specif	1
N0850	Joint ankylosis-site unspecif.	1
N0851	Joint ankylosis-shoulder	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N0858	Joint ankylosis-other specif.	1
ASDFGJO2	Joint Symptoms	1
ASDFGJ03	Joint Pain	1
S531	Ischiocansular sprain	1
N23yB	Ischaemic infarction of muscle	1
N123-1	Intervertebral disc prol. NOS	1
N12z	Intervertebral disc lesion NOS	1
OX7259AP	Intervertebral Disc Prolapsed /ox	1
N3y05	Intervert disc sten neur canal	1
N23yu	Interstitial myositis	1
N07	Internal derangement of knee	1
N1350	Intermittent torticollis	1
N090W	Intermittent hydrarthrosis	1
N084E	Int rotat contracture-shoulder	1
N08y	Instability of joint	1
SJ/Z	Injury to other herve NOS	1
0X9967C	Injury NOS	1
SJ9	Injur/nerv+spinl crd/thorx lev	1
SJB	Inj/nerves/should+upp arm levl	1
S46C	Inj/multipl structures of knee	1
S5Q6	Inj tendon rotator cuff should	1
N2166	Infrapatellar bursitis	1
	Inflammatory spondylopathles	1
N04*	Inflammatory arthropathy	1
N10y0	Inflamm.spondylop.in dis. EC	1
N04z	Inflamm.polyarthropathy NOS	1
S4103	Inferior dislocation shoulder	1
N2302	Infective myositis-shoulder	1
N2300	Infective myositis-neck	1
N01z	Infective arthritis NOS	1
N01zz	Infective arthritis NOS	1
N302B	Infection of thoracic spine	1
N302G	Infection of scapula	1
N302R	Infection of patella	1
N302Z	Infection of multiple bones	1
N302C	Infection of clavicle	1
N302A	Infection of cervical spine	1
N01z0	Infect.arthr.NOS-site unspecif	1
N01z1	Infect.arthr.NOS-shoulder reg	1
N01zy	Infect.arthr.NOS-other specifi	1
NU1ZX	Infect.arthr.NOS-multiple site	1
N01z8	Infect arthritis NOS-shoulder	1
N01zK	Infec arthritis NOS-knee	1
N01z9	Infec arthr NOS-sternoclav jt	1
N01zA	Infec arth NOS-acromioclav jt	1
N23y5	Inappropriate firing of muscle	1
N2124 N2231	Impingement synar of shoulder	1
N2159	lliotibial band syndrome	1
N1403	Idiopathic th spinal stenosis	1
N3730	Idiopathic scoliosis	1
N3303	Idiopathic osteoporosis	1
N1407	Idiopathic lu spinal stenosis	1
N3/31 N32 <del>7</del> 7	Idiopathic kypnoscollosis	1
N1300	Idiopathic Cx spinal stenosis	1
N3316	Idiopath osteopor + path fract	1
N3348	Idiopath asep necrosis of bone	1
N1405	latrogenic th spinal stenosis	1
N1409	latrogenic lu spinal stenosis	1
N13U2 C04*	Iatrogenic CX spinal stenosis	1
N2431	Hypertrophy of knee fat pad	1
N33z4	Hypertrophy of bone	1
N3382	Hypertrophic non-union of #	1
N312	Hypertroph.pulm.osteoarthrop.	1
N02y8	Hydroxyapatite deposition dis	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N2225	Housemaids knee	1
N0707	Horiz cleavage tear-med menisc	1
N0718	Horiz cleavage tear-lat menisc	1
N094K-2	Hip pain	1
	Hip osteoarthritis NOS	1
NU525-1 N0525	Hip osteoarthitis NOS	1
N0535	Hip ostooarthitis NOS	1
N0535-2 N0675	Hip arthritis NOS	1
N0809	Hill-Sachs lesion	1
N245-5	Heel pain	1
N0507	Heberden's nodes with arthropathy	1
N245-4	Hand pain	1
N2450	Hand pain	1
N0944-1	Hand joint pain	1
N06z4-1	Hand arthritis NOS	1
N068	Haemophilic arthropathy	1
N091B	Haemarthrosis-sternoclav joint	1
N0910 N0011	Haemarthrosis-site unspecified	1
	Haemarthrosis-other specified	1
N0919	Haemarthrosis-outer specified	1
N091C	Haemarthrosis-acromioclav it	1
N0916	Haemarthrosis of the knee	1
N091A	Haemarthrosis of shoulder	1
N091M	Haemarthrosis of knee	1
N091z	Haemarthrosis NOS	1
N091	Haemarthrosis	1
N083M	Habitual sublux shoulder	1
N083L	Habitual disloc shoulder	1
N083r	Habitual disloc - patella	1
14G8	H/O: vertebral fracture	1
1461	H/O: intermation driftings	1
1462	H/O: musculo-skeletal dis NOS	1
14G3	H/O: knee problem	1
14J	H/O: injury	1
14G4	H/O: back problem	1
14T5	H/O: artificial joint	1
14V5	H/O: arthrodesis	1
14G	H/O: arthritis	1
14N30	H/O Spinal surgery	1
16ZZ \$2700	Growing pains	1
S3200 N0230	Greenslick Tracture	1
N0230	Gouty arthritis-shoulder	1
N023v	Gouty arthritis-other specif.	1
N023x	Gouty arthritis-multiple sites	1
N023z	Gouty arthritis NOS	1
N023	Gouty arthritis	1
N2131-1	Golfer's elbow	1
N200	Gnt cell arter+polymyalg rheum	1
EG I UN444	Giuteal Muscle Injury	1
NU873	Glenoid labrum detechment	1
N0072 N365		1
N0507	Generalised osteoarthritis NOS	1
N050z00	Generalised osteoarthritis NOS	1
N050	Generalised osteoarthritis - OA	1
N050.0	Generalised osteoarthritis - OA	1
N065A	Generalised arthritis	1
N065A00	Generalised arthritis	1
N05000	Generalised OA-site unspecif.	1
	Generalised OA-site unspecif.	1
N050200 N0502	Generalised OA-multiple sites	1
N0502		1
N2247	Ganglion/synovial cyst NOS	1
N224	Ganglion/svnov.cvst - knee	1
N224-92	Ganglion/synov.cyst - knee	1
N2243	Ganglion unspecified	1
N2245	Ganglion of wrist	1
N2242	Ganglion of tendon sheath	1
N2246	Ganglion of knee	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N2241	Ganglion of joint	1
	Ganglion of foot Eusion Of Lumbar Spine	1
EMISR4QFU1	Fusion Of Cervical Spine	1
N2115	Full thickn rotator cuff tear	1
N210-2	Frozen shoulder	1
N331N	Fragility fracture	1
N331M	Fragility # unsp osteoporosis	1
S10B	Fracture/lumbar spine+pelvis	1
STOAT	Fracture/1st cervical vertebra	1
S15	Fracture of thoracic vertebra	1
S1z	Fracture of neck and trunk NOS	1
S1	Fracture of neck and trunk	1
S10A	Fracture of neck	1
S10B0	Fracture of lumbar vertebra	1
SR1	Fracture involv multi body reg	1
SR10	Fracture involv head with neck	1
S4	Fracture dislocation/subluxat	1
OX8056	Fracture Spine /ox	1
S3z	Fracture NOS	1
SR16	Fract/thory with lw bek+ply+lmb	1
N3317	Fract of bone in neoplast dis	1
N2451	Foot pain	1
N245-3	Foot pain	1
1M11	Foot pain	1
N220B	Flexor tenosynovitis of finger	1
N369	Flexion deformity of knee	1
N084A	Flexion contracture-shoulder	1
N084a	Flexion contracture-knee	1
N374E	Flatback syndrome	1
N040T	Flare of rheumatoid arthritis	1
	Fiall Joint	1
N2450-2	Finder pain	1
N05z4-1	Finger osteoarthritis NOS	1
N2163	Fibular collat.lig.bursitis	1
N3324	Fibrous cortical defect	1
N2405	Fibrosolorosis austomia	1
N087	Fibrocartilage lesion of joint	1
MHTBAFH1	Fh: Osteoporosis	1
N041	Felty's syndrome	1
N1y1	Fatigue fracture of vertebra	1
N244	Fascilitis unspecified	1
N024 N14v	Fact init syndrome	1
1211	FH: Rheumatoid arthritis	1
1268	FH: Osteoporosis	1
1212	FH: Osteoarthritis	1
12IZ	FH: Musculo-skeletal dis. NOS	1
	FTL. ALUITUS Extensor tenosynovitis of wrist	1
N220F	Extensor tenosynovitis of thumb	1
N220E	Extensor tenosynovitis of finger	1
N084B	Extension contracture-shoulder	1
N084F	Ext rotat contracture-shoulder	1
N2127 16C8	EXOSIOSIS Exacerbation of backache	1
N0506	Erosive osteoarthrosis	1
N32z1	Epiphysitis NOS	1
N33z1	Epiphyseal arrest	1
N00y0	Eosinophilic fasciitis	1
N210 N217	Enthesopathy NOS	1
N11v1	Entresopating NOS	1
1M00-1	Elbow pain	1
1M00	Elbow pain	1
N05z2	Elbow osteoarthritis NOS	1
	Elipow amnifitis NUS	1
		1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N090A	Effusion of shoulder	1
N0909	Effusion of multiple joints	1
N090M	Effusion of knee	1
NU9UZ	Effusion of joint NOS	1
	Effusion of point	1
NO90C	Effusion - knee joint	1
	Effusion - knee joint	1
N3840	Dvenlaetic snondvlolisthesis	1
N2361	Dupuvt dis-palm + pod po cont	1
N3305	Drug-induced osteonorosis	1
N0002	Drug-ind systemic lupus ervth	1
N3315	Drug-ind osteopor + path fract	1
N235	Double-jointed (hypermobility)	1
N1123	Dorsal spondylo w/o myelopath	1
N3370	Disuse atrophy of bone	1
N3304	Dissuse osteoporosis	1
N0000	Disseminated lupus erythemat.	1
N09AX	Disorder of patella unspecified	1
N33zG	Disorder of cartilage, unspec	1
N33zF	Disorder of bone unspecified	1
S46	Dislocation or subluxation of knee	1
S4Z	Dislocation of subjuxation NOS	1
541Z 5467	Dislocation of know NOS	1
S402 S41	Dislocation of knee NOS	1
S463-00	Dislocated shoulder	1
SR20	Dislocated patenta Disloc sprns+strns inv hd+neck	1
SR2	Dislc sprns+strns/mult bdy reg	1
N071B	Discoid lateral meniscus	1
N123	Disc unsp.displno mvelopathy	1
N12B	Disc prolapse with myelopathy	1
N12C	Disc prolapse + radiculopathy	1
N12zz	Disc disorders NOS	1
N129z	Disc disorder+myelopathy NOS	1
N00	Diffuse connective tissue dis.	1
N097z	Difficulty in walking NOS	1
N097	Difficulty in walking	1
N23yA	Diastasis of muscle	1
N3323	Diaphysitis	1
NU3UU N0301	Diabetic cheiroarthropathy	1
	Drabelic Charcol arthropathy	1
N003A N0031	Dermatopolymyositis, unspec	1
N003	Dermatomyositis	1
SC0z	Delayed union of fracture	1
N3386	Delayed union of fracture	1
S9030	Degloving injury shoulder area	1
SA130	Degloving injury knee	1
N3842	Degenerative spondylolisthesis	1
N128	Degenerative disc disease NOS	1
N1404	Degenerativ th spinal stenosis	1
N1408	Degenerativ lu spinal stenosis	1
N1301	Degenerativ Cx spinal stenosis	1
N114	Degeneration of lumbar spine	1
N114-1	Degeneration of lumbar spine	1
N0721	Degen lesion artic cart knee	1
	Deformity of scapula	1
N36y2	Deformity of plauiala	1
N36v2	Deformity of hone	1
N224D	Cyst of tendon sheath	1
N0722	Cyst of semilunar cartilage	1
N0709	Cyst of medial meniscus	1
N071A	Cyst of lateral meniscus	1
N2244	Cyst of bursa	1
N332z	Cyst of bone NOS	1
N332	Cyst of bone	1
N11A	Cx spondyl + vasc compression	1
N1303	Cx spin stenos due to oth dis	1
N12C0	Cx disc prolapse+radiculopathy	1
N12B0	Cx disc prolapse + myelopathy	1
N3/40	Curvature of spine unspecified	1
N37ZZ	Curvature of spine NOS	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N37z	Curvature of spine NOS	1
N37	Curvature of spine	1
N02zK	Crystal arthropathy NOS-knee	1
N02zz	Crystal arthropathy NOS	1
N02z	Crystal arthropathy NOS	1
N02z0	Crystal arthr.NOS-site unspec.	1
N02z1	Crystal arthr.NOS-shoulder	1
N02zy	Crystal arthr.NOS-other spec.	1
NU2ZX	Crystal arthr.NOS-multipl.site	1
	Cryst arthr NOS-sternoclav jt	1
	Crystaltin NOS-actomotiav ji Crystarthr NOS shouldor	1
SE207	Crushiniuryshlder+uparmNOS	1
SFZ		1
SF	Crushing injury	1
SF40	Crush injury multiple sites NEC	1
SF021	Crush injury larynx	1
SF311	Crush injury knee	1
SF110	Crush injury back	1
SF4z	Crush injury	1
N13y2	Crick in neck	1
N2472	Cramp	1
N051A	Coxarthr from dysplasia, bilat	1
N3216	Coxa plana	1
182B0	Costal margin chest pain	1
N2123		1
S502	Coraconumeral sprain	1
SE3UZ	Contusionsnider+uer arm NOS	1
SE44 SE00	Contusion forehead	1
SE00	Contusion, interead	1
SE30	Contusion with skill intact NOS	1
SE300	Contusion shoulder area	1
SE301	Contusion scapular area	1
SE231	Contusion of lower back	1
SE232	Contusion of lower back	1
SE4y	Contusion multiple sites NEC	1
SE41z	Contusion knee and lower leg NOS	1
SE411	Contusion knee	1
SE0z	Contusion face scalp+neck NOS	1
SE304	Contusion clavicular area	1
SE23z	Contusion back NOS	1
SE	Contusion (bruise) with intact skin	1
N22yU	Contracture of tendon sneath	1
	Contracture of multiple isinte	1
N0843	Contracture of joint NOS	1
N084	Contracture of joint	1
N	Connective tissue diseases	1
N3v04	Connect tiss sten neural canal	1
PE1	Congenital sternomastoid torticollis	1
N3y07	Con tis/disc sten intervrt for	1
N33C	Complex regionI pain syndrom I	1
S5C	Complete tear, knee ligament	1
S58z	Complete tear shoulder joint NOS	1
S58	Complete tear shoulder joint	1
N33z8	Complete epiphyseal arrest	1
N33z6	Compensatory hypertrophy-bone	1
SKUy	Compartments yndrome	1
S5AUD	Comp tr shift intr lig non-sp	1
N331D N231	Collapsed Vertebra NOS	1
N331E	Collapse of thoracic vertebra	1
N3310	Collapse of thoracic vertebra	1
N3311	Collapse of lumbar vertebra	1
N331G	Collapse of lumbar vertebra	1
N331E	Collapse of cervical vertebra	1
N331L	Collap vert due osteopor NOS	1
N331J	Collap lumb vert due to osteo	1
N331H	Collap cerv vert due to osteop	1
N00z	Collagen disease NOS	1
N331K	Coll thorac vert due osteopor	1
N147z	Coccyx disorder NOS	1
N1472	Coccygodynia	1

Read code	Description	Number of
S5E1	Cmplt tr,thyroid region Igmt	1
S5E30	Cmplt tr,sternoclavicular Igmt	1
S5Ez	Cmplt tr,other Igmt NOS	1
S5Cy	Cmplt tr,other knee Igmt	1
S5C1	Cmplt tr,knee,mdl collat lgmt	1
S5C0	Cmpit tr,knee, lat collat Igmt	1
S50Z	Cmpit tracerese alow lamt	1
S580	Cmplt tr acromio-clav lgmt	1
S5A0A	Cmplt tr triang fibrocartilage	1
S5C3	Cmpl tr.knee, ant cruciate Igmt	1
S5y57	Cmpl tear,lumbosacral Igmt	1
S5y56	Cmpl tear,iliolumbar Igmt	1
S5C2	Cmp tr,knee,post cruciate Igmt	1
S2920	Clsd mult fract clav scap hum	1
S1000	Clsd # unsp cerv vertebra	1
S1024 S1026	Clad # there vert_triselumer	1
S1020 S1025	Cled # thore vert - nest pres	1
S1025	Clsd # thoracic vert burst	1
S1021	Clsd # thoracic vert wedge	1
S102z	Clsd # thorac vert NOS	1
S1023	Clsd # thor vert-spinous prcss	1
S1003	Clsd # third cerv vertebra	1
S2102	Clsd # scapula, coracoid	1
S1041	Clsd # lumbar vert wedge	1
S1040	Clsd # lumbar vert burst	1
S1046	Clsd # fourth corrupted bro	1
S1004 S1005	Clsd # fifth cerv vertebra	1
S2001	Clsd # clavicle medial end	1
S2003	Clsd # clavical lateral end	1
S100H	Clsd # cerv vert, wedge	1
S100G	Clsd # cerv vert, burst	1
S100z	Clsd # cerv spine NOS	1
S100F	Clsd # axis, tricolumnar	1
S100D	Clsd # axis, transvrse process	1
S100B S100C	Cled # axis, spinous process	1
\$100C	Clsd # axis, spinous process	1
S100A	Clsd # axis odontoid process	1
S1009	Clsd # atlas, comminuted	1
S1106	Clsd # C5-C7 unspec cord les	1
S110A	Clsd # C5-C7 post cord lesion	1
S110B	Clisd # C5-C7 incomp cord les	1
S1107 S1109	Clsd # C5-C7 continent cord lesion	1
S1108	Clsd # C5-C7 ant cord lesion	1
S1100	Clsd # C1-C4 unspec cord les	1
S1104	Clsd # C1-C4 post cord lesion	1
S1105	Clsd # C1-C4 incomp cord les	1
S1101	Clsd # C1-C4 complete cord les	1
S1103 S1102	Clisd # C1-C4 cent cord lesion	1
S1102 S4120	Cls trate subluyate shidr int	1
S4696	Cls trmtc sublux head fibula	1
S467	Cls trmtc sublux pat-fem jt	1
S4690	Cls trmtc sublux knee jt,unsp	1
S4692	Cls trmtc sublux knee jt,post	1
S4694	Cls trmtc sublux knee jt,Itrl	1
S4691	Clis trmte sublux knee jt,ant	1
S409 S4102	Cls timic sublux knee ji Cls trate deleta shidr itaast	1
S410z	Cls trmtc dislctn shoulder NOS	1
S49E4	Cls trm sublux,st-clav jt,post	1
S49E3	Cls trm sublux,st-clav jt,ant	1
S49E2	Cls trm sublux st-clav jt	1
S4671	Cls trm sublux pat-fem jt,med	1
S4670	Cls trm sublux pat-fem jt,ltrl	1
S49E5	Cis trm sublux laryngi cart	1
54695 54602	Cis trm subjux knee jt,rotatry	1
S4121	Cis trm sublux acromio-clav it	1
S4656	Cls trm dslotn, head fibula	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S4631	Cls trm dslctn pat-fem jt,med	1
S4630	Cls trm dslctn pat-fem jt,lat	1
S4650	Cls trm dslctn knee, unspec	1
S4654	Cls trm dslctn knee jt,lateral	1
S4652	Cls trm dslotn knee jt, post	1
54053 S4651	Cis trm delete knee jt, medial	1
54051 S4657	Cis trm delete knoe NOS	1
S4052 S4655	Cis trm delet knee it rotatory	1
S4055 S4063	Cls trm dslc tern-clav it ant	1
S4962	Cls trm dslc,stern-clav jt,ant	1
S4965	Cls trm dslc larvngl cartilage	1
S4964	Cls trm dsl stern-clav it post	1
S412	Cls traumtc subluxatn shoulder	1
S410	Cls traumtc disloctn shoulder	1
S4105	Cls traumatic disloctn scapula	1
S49A	Cls sublux thrcic+lumbar spine	1
S49A1	Cls sublux thrcic spine	1
S49Az	Cls sublux thrc+lmbr spine NOS	1
S498x	Cls sublux mlti cerv vertebrae	1
S4980	Cls sublux cervical spine, unsp	1
S498	Cls sublux cervical spine	1
S498Z	CIS SUDIUX CERV VERTEDIA NOS	1
5490 I 64092	Cis subiux atlanto-occipii ji	1
54902 S402C	Cis subiux allanto-axial ji Cis soni deletecudo equino len	1
S4920 S4024	Cls spril dsic+cauda equilia isii Cls spril dsic+ant thre ord lsp	1
S4924 S4020	Cls spril dslc+ant lmbr crd lsn	1
S490B	Cls spnl dslc+ant cerv crd lsn	1
S492B	Cls spnl dsl+post lmbr crd lsn	1
S4923	Cls spnl dsl+comp thrc crd lsn	1
S4928	Cls spnl dsl+comp Imbr crd lsn	1
S4922	Cls spn dslc+thrc crd lsn,unsp	1
S4926	Cls spn dslc+post thrc crd lsn	1
S490D	Cls spn dslc+post cerv crd lsn	1
S4925	Cls spn dslc+cent thrc crd lsn	1
S492A	Cls spn dslc+cent Imbr crd Isn	1
S1140	Cls spn # + unsp lumb crd lesn	1
S1144	Cis spn # + post iumb cra lesn	1
S1141 61142	Cls spit # + comp lumb and lean	1
S1145 S1145	Cls spn # + cauda equina lesn	1
S1142	Cls spn $\#$ + ant lumbr crd lesn	1
SR100	Cls fract invol head with neck	1
\$492z	Cls dslc thrcic+Imbr spine NOS	1
S492	Cls dslc thoracic+lumbar spine	1
S4921	Cls dslc thoracic vertebra	1
S4920	Cls dslc lumbar spine	1
S4901	Cls dslc atlanto-occipital jnt	1
S4902	Cls dslc atlanto-axial joint	1
S4F6	Cls #-sublux,patello-fem jt	1
S4J23	Cls #-sublux st-clav jt,post	1
S4J22	Cls #-sublux st-clav jt, ant	1
54F4 64 102	Cis #-dsic,patello-terri ji	1
S4J03 S4J03	Cis #-usic st-ciav jt.post	1
S402 S1022	Cls # thore vert-spondylolysis	1
S1022 S1044	Cls # lumbr vert-trasvrse pros	1
S1042	Cls # lumbr vert-spondylolysis	1
S1043	Cls # lumbr vert-spinous prcss	1
S1045	Cls # lumb vert-posterior arch	1
S100L	Cls # cerv vert, trnsvrse prcs	1
S100N	Cls # cerv vert, tricolumnar	1
S100J	Cls # cerv vert, spondylolysis	1
S100K	Cls # cerv vert, spinous prcss	1
S100M	Cls # cerv vert, post arch	1
S1008	Cls # atlas-isol arch/art prcs	1
5412Z	Closed traumatic subluxation shoulder NOS	1
5490Z	Closed traumatic disloctin NUS	1
04100 9463	Closed traumatic dislocation shoulder joint, unspecified	1
S403 S1127	Closed thoracio#+cord lesn NOS	1
S112	Closed thoracic #+cord lesion	1
S49Cz	Closed subluxation spine NOS	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
S49A0	Closed subluxation lumbar spine	1
S4988	Closed subluxation C7/T1	1
S4987	Closed subluxation C6/C7	1
S4986	Closed subluxation C5/C6	1
S4985	Closed subluxation C4/C5	1
S4984	Closed subluxation C3/C4	1
S4983	Closed sublux ation C2/C3	1
54900 S490	Closed sublux spine, unsp Closed sublux other vertebra	1
S13C	Closed orbital blow-out fracture	1
S114	Closed lumbar # + cord lesion	1
S102	Closed fracture thoracic vertebra	1
S2104	Closed fracture scapula, blade	1
S2105	Closed fracture scapula spine	1
S2106	Closed fracture scapula neck	1
S2103	Closed fracture scapula glenoid	1
S2101	Closed fracture scapula acromion	1
S3204	Closed fracture patella, comminuted (stellate)	1
532U \$1250	Closed fracture of the patella	1
S2002	Closed fracture clavicle shaft	1
S1002	Closed fracture axis	1
S1001	Closed fracture atlas	1
S4940	Closed dislocation spine unsp.	1
S494z	Closed dislocation spine NOS	1
S490	Closed dislocation cervical spine	1
S4908	Closed dislocation C7/T1	1
S4907	Closed dislocation C6/C7	1
S4906	Closed dislocation C5/C6	1
54905 S4904	Closed dislocation C3/C4	1
S4903	Closed dislocation C2/C3	1
S494	Closed disloc.other vertebra	1
S490x	Closed disloc.mult.cerv.vert.	1
S490z	Closed disloc.cervic.vert.NOS	1
S4900	Closed disloc.cerv.spine unsp.	1
S4960	Closed disloc sternoclavic. jt	1
S4104 S1107	Closed disloc acromioclavic.jt	1
S1102 S110	Closed cervical #+cord lesion	1
S1253	Closed #trachea	1
S1252	Closed #thyroid cartilage	1
S11x	Closed #spine+cord lesn.unsp.	1
S2100	Closed #scapula-unspecified	1
S210z	Closed #scapula NOS	1
S1252 S1251	Closed #haiyiiX/liachea NOS	1
S2000	Closed #clavicle unspecified	1
S200z	Closed #clavicle NOS	1
S3z0	Closed #bones unspecified	1
S4F2	Closed #-sublux, knee joint	1
S4A20	Closed #-sublux shoulder joint	1
S4A2 S4A21	Closed #-sublux shoulder	1
S4A00	Closed #-dslc shoulder joint	1
S4A0	Closed #-dslc shoulder	1
S4F0	Closed #-dslc knee joint	1
S4A01	Closed #-dslc acrom-clav joint	1
S10x	Closed # spine unspecif	1
S210	Closed # scapula	1
S3201 S3203	Closed # patella, proximal pole	1
S3200	Closed # patella, vertical	1
S3202	Closed # patella distal pole	1
S200	Closed # clavicle	1
S100	Closed # cervical spine	1
N063000	Climacteric arthrsite unsp.	1
N0630	Climacteric arthrsite unsp.	1
	Climacteric arthrshoulder	1
N063800	Climacteric attritother spec.	1
N0639	Climacteric arthr -multip site	1
N063900	Climacteric arthrmultip.site	1
N063z	Climacteric arthrNOS	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N063z00	Climacteric arthrNOS	1
N0991	Clicking sternoclavic joint	1
N0990	Clicking shoulder	1
N099C	Clicking knee	1
N099 N0992	Clicking John Clicking acromicelavicular joint	1
N33A1	Clavicle nain	1
S490A	Cl spnl dslc+comp cerv crd lsn	1
S4909	Cl spnl dslc+cerv crd lsn,unsp	1
S49A2	CI spn sublx+thrc crd lsn,unsp	1
S49A6	CI spn sublx+post thrc crd Isn	1
S49AB	CI spn sublx+post Imbr crd Isn	1
S49A7	Cl spn sublx+lmbr crd lsn,unsp	1
S49A3	Clisph subix+comp thrc crd isn	1
549A0 5408A	Clisph sublx+comp cerv ord len	1
5490A 5498C	Clisph subly+comp cerv crd lsh	1
S4989	Cl spn sublx+cerv crd lsn.unsp	1
S49A5	Cl spn sublx+cent thrc crd lsn	1
S49AA	Cl spn sublx+cent lmbr crd lsn	1
S49AC	Cl spn sublx+cauda equina lsn	1
S49A4	CI spn sublx+ant thrc crd lsn	1
S49A9	Cl spn sublx+ant Imbar crd Isn	1
S498D	Clisph sublux+post crv crd Ish	1
5498B 5400C	Clisph subjux+ant cerv erd isn	1
S490C S4027	Clean del+lmbr ord len unen	1
S1124	Cl spn $#$ +nst thar crd lsn T1-6	1
S1123	Cl spn #+cnt thor crd lsn,T1-6	1
S1122	Cl spn #+ant thor crd lsn,T1-6	1
S1120	CI sp #+unsp thor crd lsn,T1-6	1
S1126	CI sp #+unsp thor cd Isn,T7-12	1
S112A	CI sp #+pst thor crd lsn,T7-12	1
S1129	Cl sp #+cnt thor crd lsn,T7-12	1
S1121 S1197	Cl sp #+cmpi thor crd isn, i 1-6	1
S1127 S1128	Clisp #+ont thor ord len $T_7 12$	1
S1500	Cl multi fractur of thor spine	1
SR160	Cl fract/th wth lw bck+plv+lmb	1
S112B	CI # T7-12incomp cord Isn NOS	1
S1125	CI # T1-6 incmpl cord lesn NOS	1
N301B	Chronic osteomyelitis-th spine	1
N301C N301A	Chronic osteomyelitis fu spine	1
N301A	Chronic osteomyelitis	1
C052*	Chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis (Hashimotos's disease)	1
16C9	Chronic low back pain	1
N090X	Chronic joint effusion	1
N3682	Chronic instability of knee	1
OX7289CB	Chronic Backache /ox	1
N3010 N3011	Chron osteomyelitis-shoulder	1
N3018	Chron osteomyelitis-other spec	1
N3019	Chron.osteomyelitis-mult.site	1
N301z	Chron.osteomyelitis NOS	1
N301L	Chron multifocal osteomyelitis	1
N301M	Chr osteomyel + draining sinus	1
N074	Chondromalacia patellae	1
N33ZZ N227R	Chondromalacia NOS	1
N032B	Chondrocalcinosis unspecified	1
N022z	Chondrocalcinosis NOS	1
N02	Chondrocalcinosis	1
N0220	Chondrocalc.unspsite unspec.	1
N0221	Chondrocalc.unspshoulder reg	1
N022y	Chondrocalc.unspother spec.	1
NU22X	Chondrocalc.unspmultipl.site	1
	Chondrocalc.dlcalc.phos.NUS	1
N0217	Chondrocalc - pyrophosph.cryst. Chondrocalc - pyrophosph NOS	1
N020	Chondrocale -dicale phos cryst	1
N0210	Chondrocpyrophossite unsp.	1
N0211	Chondrocpyrophosshoulder	1
N021y	Chondrocpyrophosother spec	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N021x	Chondrocpyrophosmult.sites	1
N0216	Chondrocpyrophosknee	1
N0200	Chondrocdical.phsite unsp.	1
N0201	Chondrocdical.phshoulder	1
N020y	Chondrocdical.phother spec	1
NU2UX	Chondrocdical.phmult.sites	1
N33ZJ 1820	Choat well noin	1
1020 N035	Chercote arthropathy	1
N035 N132		1
N133	Cervicobrachial syndrome	1
N148A	Cervico-thoracic instability	1
N1483	Cervico-thoracic ankylosis	1
N131	Cervicalgia	1
N138	Cervicalgia	1
N13y0	Cervical syndrome NEC	1
N119	Cervical spondylosis with radiculopathy	1
N11E	Cervical spondylosis	1
N110-1		1
	Cervical spond - no myelopathy	1
	Cervical spino instability	1
N1482		1
N130	Cervical spinal stenosis	1
N13v3	Cervical root syndrome	1
N12A1	Cervical postlaminectomy syndr	1
N137	Cervical post.long.lig.ossific	1
SJ306	Cervical nerve root injury - C7	1
SJ305	Cervical nerve root injury - C6	1
SJ304	Cervical nerve root injury - C5	1
SJ303	Cervical nerve root injury - C4	1
SJ30	Cervical nerve root injury	1
NTTIS N13	Cervical disorder NOS	1
N13 N1274	Cervical discitis	1
N120	Cervical disc displ -no myelop	1
N1291	Cervical disc disord.+mvelop.	1
N125	Cervical disc degeneration	1
S5701	Cervical ant.longit.lig.sprain	1
N13z	Cervical and neck disorders NOS	1
N12zH	Cerv disc disord + radiculopth	1
N21z0	Capsulitis NOS	1
NU4yU N2202	Calue's vertebral estesshandr	1
N2454		1
N2234	Calcium deposit in hursa	1
N2111	Calcifying tendinitis shoulder	1
N12zB	Calcification of thoracic disc	1
N22y1	Calcification of tendon NOS	1
N12zF	Calcification of lumbar disc	1
N23y1	Calcification of ligament	1
N12z7	Calcification of cervical disc	1
N23y9		1
N2315	Calc/ossif musc ass with hums	1
N0011	CREST syndrome	1
182Z.00	CHEST PAIN NOS	1
18200	CHEST PAIN	1
S1007	C7 closed # - no cord lesion	1
S1006	C6 closed # - no cord lesion	1
1D12.00	C/O: stiffness	1
1D12	C/O: stiffness	1
	C/O - upper back acne	1
1605	C/O = 1000 back pain	1
N2118	Bursitis of shoulder	1
N223	Bursitis NOS	1
N2160-99	Bursitis - knee	1
N2160	Bursitis - knee	1
N221	Bunion	1
16BZ	Bruising symptom NOS	1
16B	Bruising symptom	1
16B2	Bruises easily	1
SE4Z	Bruise NOS	1

Read code	Description	Number of
SE41	Bruise - knee/lower leg	1
SE41-99	Bruise - knee/lower leg	1
SE23	Bruise - back	1
N11y0	Brucella spondylitis	1
N3325	Brown tumour-hyperparathyroid	1
N301G N301H	Brodie's abscess-lumbar spine	1
N301F	Brodie's abscess-cervic spine	1
N302b	Brodie's abscess	1
N0503	Bouchard's nodes with arthropathy	1
N33z	Bone/cartilage disorder NOS	1
N33z0	Bone/cartilage disunspecif.	1
N21z3	Bone spur NOS	1
N33A	Bone pain	1
N33ZZ	Bone or cartilage disorders NOS	1
N312 N3070	Bone infecto NOS-site unspecif	1
N3020	Bone infectn NOS-shoulder	1
N30z9	Bone infectn.NOS-multiple site	1
N30z	Bone infection NOS	1
N30zz	Bone infection NOS	1
N3320	Bone cyst (localised),unspecif	1
N3y0	Biomec lesn, not elsewh clas	1
N2112 N2124	Bicipital tenosynovitis	1
N2134 N0120	Biceps lengings Bebcet's syndrome arthronathy	1
N2222	Beat knee	1
N0870	Bankart lesion	1
N224A	Baker's cyst	1
N224A-1	Baker's cyst	1
16	Baker's cyst	1
16C3	Backache with radiation	1
16CZ	Backache symptom NOS	1
1602	Backache Symptom Backache	1
N149	Back stiffness	1
S57	Back sprain excl. lumbosacral	1
16	Back sprain NOS	1
S57z	Back sprain NOS	1
16C4	Back pain worse on sneezing	1
16C6	Back pain without radiat NOS	1
N145-2	Back pain unspecified	1
	Back jointy	1
N14	Back disorders - other	1
N14z	Back disorder/symptom NOS	1
OX8479	Back Strain/Sprain /ox	1
OX7289A	Back Pain With Sciatica /ox	1
N2455	Axillary pain	1
N3347	Avascular necrosis-other bone	1
N334	Avascular necrosis - bone	1
N3347	Avascular hecrosis - bone Avascular hone necrosis NOS	1
N3340	Avasc.bone necrosis site unsp.	1
N3383	Atrophic non-union of fracture	1
S5703	Atlanto-occipital joint sprain	1
N1487	Atlanto-occipital instability	1
N1480	Atlanto-occipital ankylosis	1
S5702	Atlanto-axial joint sprain	1
N1488 N1481	Atlanto-axial Instability	1
140D	Atrisk of osteoporatic fracture	1
1409	At risk of osteoporosis	1
N080z	Articular cartilage disord.NOS	1
N080	Articular cart.disor.excl.knee	1
N0800	Artic.cart.dissite unspecif.	1
N0801	Artic.cart.disshoulder	1
NU807	Artic.cart.disother specif.	1
	Artic.cart.dismultiple sites	1
N0539	Arthrosis of first carnometacarnal joint unspecified	1
OX7131C	Arthrosis Spine /ox	1
N0312	Arthropathy-Whipple's disease	1
N034	Arthropathy+respiratory disord	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N015	Arthropathy+other viral diseas	1
N01y	Arthropathy+other inf./parasit	1
N03y	Arthropathy+other condition EC	1
N015z	Arthropathy+oth.viral dis. NOS	1
N014	Arthropathy+oth.bacterial dis.	1
NU1/Z	Arthropathy+helminthiasis NOS	1
NU32	Arthropathy+naematological dis	1
NU31	Arthropathy+gastrointestin.dis	1
	Arthropathy+endoci./metab.dis	1
	Arthronathy with infections	1
	Arthropathy with infections	1
NICES	Arthropathy in neoplastic dis	1
N0302	Arthropathy in amyloidosis	1
N0148	Arthropathy in Whipple's disea	1
N0311	Arthropathy in Crohn's disease	1
N0320	Arthropathy due to haemophilia	1
N06z1	Arthropathy NOS-shoulder	1
N06z4	Arthropathy NOS-hand	1
N06z3	Arthropathy NOS-forearm	1
N06z7	Arthropathy NOS, of the ankle and foot	1
N017	Arthropathy + parasite infectn	1
N016z	Arthropathy + mycoses NOS	1
N016	Arthropathy + mycoses	1
N03z	Arthropathy + disorders EC NOS	1
N03	Arthropathy + disorders EC	1
N0y	Arthropathies OS	1
N0313	Arthropath follow intes bypass	1
N036	Arthrop-hypersensitivity reach	1
N012	Arthrop+Bencet's syndrome	1
N0121	Arthrop+Bencet's synd-shoulder	1
	Arthrop+Bencet's synd-oin spec	1
	Arthrop+Behaet's synd-multiple	1
N0122 N2330	Arthrogryposis	1
NO	Arthritis/arthrosis	1
N11	Arthritis of spine	1
N010A	Arthritis in Lyme disease	1
OX6960T	Arthritis Psoriatic /ox	1
N06z-1	Arthritis	1
N094F	Arthralgia of wrist	1
N094N	Arthralgia of tibio-fibular joint	1
N094A	Arthralgia of shoulder	1
N094M	Arthralgia of knee	1
N094D	Arthralgia of elbow	1
N094P	Arthralgia of ankle	1
N094H	Arthralgia of PIP joint of finger	1
N094G	Arthralgia of MCP joint	1
N0941	Arthralgia of 1st MIP joint	1
N0942	Arthraigia - upper arm	1
	Arthraigia - Sternociav joint	1
N0941	Arthralaia - SHUUluel Arthralaia - polyio/thigh	1
N0945 N0044	Arthralgia - pervic/ulign	1
N0944 N0943	Arthralgia - forearm	1
N0945	Arthralgia - inicann Arthralgia - ankle/foot	1
N094C	Arthralgia - acromioclav joint	1
FGTON1	Arthralgia	1
N0150	Arthr.+oth.viral dis-site unsp	1
N0151	Arthr.+oth.viral dis-shoulder	1
N0140	Arthr.+oth.bact.dis-site unsp.	1
N0141	Arthr.+oth.bact.dis-shoulder	1
N014y	Arthr.+oth.bact.dis-other spec	1
N014x	Arthr.+oth.bact.dis-mult.sites	1
N014z	Arthr.+oth bact. disease NOS	1
N0160	Arthr.+mycoses-site unspecif.	1
N0161	Arthr.+mycoses-shoulder region	1
N016y	Arthr.+mycoses-other specified	1
N016x	Arthr.+mycoses-multiple sites	1
N0170	Arthr.+helminthsite unspec.	1
N0171	Arthr.+helminthshoulder regn	1
NU17y	Arthr.+helminthother specif.	1
NU17X	Artnr.+helminthmultiple site	1
N015y	Arthr+oth.viral dis-other spec	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N015x	Arthr+oth.viral dis-mult.sites	1
N03x0	Arthr assoc oth dis-shoulder	1
N03xB	Arthr assoc oth dis-knee	1
N03x1	Arthr ass oth dis-sternoclav j	1
N03x2	Arthr ass oth dis-acromicoly j	1
NU1yz	Arth+other infect./parasit.NOS	1
NU1yU	Arth+oth.inf/para-site unspec.	1
NOTA	Arth+oth.inf/para-shoulder reg	1
NO1yy	Arth+oth.ini/para-other speci	1
NOTYX N245-2	Arm nain	1
N270	Anonhysitis NOS	1
NO06	Antinhosnholinid syndrome	1
1M12	Anterior knee pain	1
N094W	Anterior knee pain	1
S4101	Anterior dislocation of shoulder	1
N12z9	Annular tear of thoracic disc	1
N12zD	Annular tear of lumbar disc	1
N12z5	Annular tear of cervical disc	1
N148	Ankylosis/instab Cx,Th,Lu spin	1
N085B	Ankylosis other joint-shoulder	1
N085P	Ankylosis of the knee joint	1
NU85A	Ankylosis of shoulder joint	1
N0859	Ankylosis of multiple joints	1
N0052 N085	Ankylosis of joint	1
N005 N117	Ankylosis of joint	1
N100*	Ankylosing verteb.hyperosiosis	1
N100	Ankylosing spondylitis	1
N245-1	Ankle pain	1
1M13	Ankle pain	1
N05z7-1	Ankle osteoarthritis NOS	1
N3384	Angular mal-union of fracture	1
N3322	Aneurysmal bone cyst	1
N2320	Amyotrophia NOS	1
N0620	Allergic arthritis-site unsp.	1
N0621	Allergic arthritis-shoulder	1
N0628	Allergic arthritis-other spec.	1
N0629	Allergic arthritis-multip.site	1
NU62Z	Allergic anthritis	1
N002 N3377	Alengic annus Aleoneurodystronby NOS	1
N3373	Algodystrophy of knee	1
N04v2	Adult-onset Still's disease	1
N32v0	Adult osteochondrosis of spine	1
N005	Adult Still's Disease	1
N370	Adolescent postural kyphosis	1
N3737	Adolescent idiopath scoliosis	1
N210	Adhesive capsulitis - shoulde	1
N2156	Adductor tendinitis	1
N084D	Adduction contracture-shoulder	1
N0431	Acute polyartic.juvenile R.A.	1
N300B	Acute osteomyelitis-thor spine	1
N3000 N3001	Acute osteomyelitis shoulder	1
N300C	Acute osteomyelitis-scioulder	1
N300R	Acute osteomyelitis-patella	1
N3008	Acute osteomyelitis-other spec	1
N3009	Acute osteomvelitis-mult.site	1
N300C	Acute osteomyelitis-lumb spine	1
N300F	Acute osteomyelitis-clavicle	1
N300A	Acute osteomyelitis-cerv spine	1
N300z	Acute osteomyelitis NOS	1
N300	Acute osteomyelitis	1
S460	Acute meniscal tear medial	1
5401 N000X	Acute meniscal tear lateral	1
	Acute joint enusion	1
N300Z	Acute haemalogen osteomyellis	1
N140 N145-1	Acute back pain - unspecified	1
N141	Acute back pain - thoracic	1
N141-1	Acute back pain - thoracic	1
N142	Acute back pain - lumbar	1
N142-3	Acute back pain - lumbar	1
	•	

Read code	Description	Number of studies
N12	Acute back pain - disc	1
N143-1	Acute back pain + sciatica	1
NU6ZA	Acute arthritis	1
EGTONAC1	Acromio-Clavicular Dislocation	1
N384 N2720	Acquired spondyiolistnesis	1
N3720 N3710	Acquired postural kurbosis	1
N3727		1
N372	Acquired lordosis	1
N371z	Acquired kyphosis NOS	1
N371	Acquired kyphosis	1
N366	Acquired knee deformity NOS	1
N37z0	Acquired hunchback	1
N3641	Acquired genu varum	1
N364z	Acquired genu valgum/varum NOS	1
N364	Acquired genu valgum/varum	1
N3640	Acquired genu valgum	1
N385	Acquired deformity spine NOS	1
N382	Acquired deformity of neck	1
N38Z	Acquired deformity NOS	1
N38y0	Acquired clavicle deformity	1
1DCC.00	Aching muscles	1
N220H	Achilles tenosynovitis	1
N2174		1
N094-1	Ache in joint	1
S4604	Ac mnscl tr med periph dtchmt	1
S4614	Ac mnscl tr.lat.periph.dtchmt	1
S4605	Ac mnscl tear, med, horiz clvge	1
S4615	Ac mnscl tear, lat, horiz clvge	1
S4602	Ac menscl tear, med, bckt hndle	1
S4612	Ac menscl tear, lat, bckt hndle	1
S4603	Ac meniscal tear, med, radial	1
S4601	Ac meniscal tear, med, post horn	1
S4600	Ac meniscal tear, med, ant horn	1
54613	Ac meniscal tear, lat, radial	1
S4611 S4610	Ac meniscal tear lat ant hern	1
N22v2	Abscess of tendon	1
N22vF	Abscess of bursa-shoulder	1
N22vJ	Abscess of bursa-knee	1
N22y3	Abscess of bursa	1
SD	Abrasions	1
N084C	Abduction contracture-shoulder	1
1969.00	Abdominal pain	1
EGTON251	? Frozen Right Shoulder	1
N11C1	2 lev lumbsac spond+radiculop	1
	1 lev lumbsac spond+radiculop	1
HNG0160	(hn) Sports Injury	1
	(III) Spinal Injury	1
HNG0163	(III) Soli lissue ilijulies (hn) Rhematic problems	1
S11	#//ertebra + cord lesion	1
S10z	#Spine - no cord lesion - NOS	1
S10	#Spine - no cord lesion	1
S11z	#Spine + cord lesion NOS	1
S21z	#Scapula NOS	1
S21	#Scapula	1
S32z	#Patella NOS	1
S104	#Lumbar spine - no cord lesion	1
532	#Knee-cap	1
52UZ	#Clavicle NOS	1
52U 5277		1
SJZZ SAF	#dolos NUO #dolo/subluxation knop	1
S4A	#-dslc.or.subluxation.shoulder	1
<b>U</b> 11		1

**Supplementary appendix 4, table 10.** List of Read codes used in the studies of male sexual dysfunction.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
E227311	Erectile dysfunction	3
K27y100	Impotence of organic origin	2
E227300	Impotence	2
Eu52213	[X]Psychogenic impotence	1
Eu52212	[X]Male erectile disorder	1
E227000	Unspecified psychosexual dysfunction	1
7C25E00	Treatment of erectile dysfunction NEC	1
7A6G000	Revascularisation for impotence	1
8IE8.00	Referral to erectile dysfunction clinic declined	1
8HTj.00	Referral to erectile dysfunction clinic	1
E227z00	Psychosexual dysfunction NOS	1
E227.00	Psychosexual dysfunction	1
E227700	Psychogenic dyspareunia	1
Z9E9.00	Provision of device for impotence	1
E227600	Premature ejaculation	1
7C25F00	Operations on penis for erectile dysfunction NEC	1
7A6G500	Ligation of penile veins for impotence	1
E227.11	Lack of libido	1
E227100	Inhibited sexual desire	1
E227500	Inhibited male orgasm	1
E227z11	Fear of ejaculation	1
K27y700	Erectile dysfunction due to diabetes mellitus	1
66Au.00	Diabetic erectile dysfunction review	1
66Av.00	Diabetic assessment of erectile dysfunction	1
1D1B.00	C/O erectile dysfunction	1
ZG43600	Advice on technique for impotence	1
67IA.00	Advice about impotence	1

## **Supplementary appendix 4, table 11.** List of Read codes used in the studies of sleep disorder.

Read code	Description	Number of studies
1B1B.00	Cannot sleep - insomnia	1
1B1B.11	C/O - insomnia	1
1B1B100	Middle insomnia	1
1B1B200	Late insomnia	1
1B1Q.00	Poor sleep pattern	1
E274.00	Non-organic sleep disorders	1
E274.11	Hypersomnia of non-organic origin	1
E274.12	Insomnia due to nonorganic sleep disorder	1
E274000	Unspecified non-organic sleep disorder	1
E274100	Transient insomnia	1
E274111	Insomnia NOS	1
E274200	Persistent insomnia	1
E274300	Transient hypersomnia	1
E274311	Hypersomnia NOS	1
E274400	Persistent hypersomnia	1
E274500	Jet lag syndrome	1
E274600	Shifting sleep-work schedule	1
E274700	Somnambulism - sleep walking	1
E274800	Night terrors	1
E274900	Nightmares	1
E274A00	Sleep drunkenness	1
E274B00	Repeated rapid eve movement sleep interruptions	1
E274C00	Other sleep stage or arousal dysfunction	1
E274D00	Repetitive intrusions of sleep	1
E274D11	Restless sleep	1
E274E00	'Short-sleeper'	1
E274F00	Inversion of sleep rhythm	1
E274v00	Other non-organic sleep disorder	1
E274v11	Dreams	1
E274z00	Non-organic sleep disorder NOS	1
Eu51.00	[X]Nonorganic sleep disorders	1
Eu51000	[X]Nonorganic insomnia	1
Eu51100	[X]Nonorganic hypersomnia	1
Eu51200	[X]Nonorganic disorder of the sleep-wake schedule	1
Fv00.00	Disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep	1
Fv01.00	Disorders of excessive somnolence	1
Fv02.00	Disorders of the sleep-wake schedule	1
R005.00	[D]Sleep disturbances	1
R005.11	[D]Insomnia - symptom	1
R005.12	[D]Sleep rhythm problems	1
R005000	[D]Sleep disturbance, unspecified	1
R005100	[D]Insomnia with sleep apnoea	1
R005200	[D]Insomnia NOS	1
R005300	[D]Hypersomnia with sleep apnoea	1
R005311	[D]Sleep apnoea syndrome	1
R005312	[D]Syndrome sleep apnoea	1
R005400	[D]Hypersomnia NOS	1
R005500	[D]Sleep rhythm inversion	1
R005600	[D]Sleep rhythm irregular	1
R005700	[D]Sleep-wake rhythm non-24-hour cycle	1
R005800	[D]Sleep dysfunction with sleep stage disturbance	1
R005900	[D]Sleep dysfunction with arousal disturbance	1
R005z00	[D]Sleep dysfunction NOS	1

## **Supplementary appendix 4, table 12.** List of Read codes used in the studies of fatal and non-fatal self-harm.

TK11:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by other utility gas   8     TK21:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by other utility gas   8     TK01:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by other dather systhotropic   8     TK01:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dather systhotropic   8     TK02:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dather systhotropic   8     TK02:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dather systhotropic   8     TK02:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dather systhotropic   8     TK03:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by approximates   8     TK03:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by approximates   8     TK03:00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by approximates   7     TK7:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by approximates   7     TK7:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by approximates   7     TK7:00   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by approximates   7 <t< th=""><th>Read code</th><th>Description</th><th>Number of studies</th></t<>	Read code	Description	Number of studies
TK2100   Suicide and selfmitted poisoning by other carbon monoxide   8     TK01000   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning transulliser/psychotopic   8     TK02.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dupsimedicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dupsimedicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other dupsimedicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by other vehaulat gas   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning by analgesic/antipyretic   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning poisoning by analgesic/antipyretic   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfmitted poisoning poison	TK1y.00	Suicide and selfinflicted poisoning by other utility gas	8
TK01000     Suicide and self inflicted injury by Amytobarbitone     8       TK03.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by solidinguid subst NOS     8       TK02.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by solidinguid subst NOS     8       TK03.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by thor the displaymonitors     8       TK03.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by drug or meloine NOS     8       TK03.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by approximates     7       TK70.00     Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by approximates     7       TK70.00     Suicide + selfinificted injury by approximates     7       TK70.00     Suicide - selfinificted injury by approximates     7       TK70.00     Suicide and selfinificted injury by stabbing     7       TK70.00     Suicide and selfinificted injury by stabbing     7       TK70.00     Suicide and selfinificted injury by stabbing     7       TK61.00     Suicide and selfinificted inju	TK21.00	Suicide and selfinflicted poisoning by other carbon monoxide	8
TK02.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by other drugs/medicines   8     TK02.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by other drugs/medicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by other drugs/medicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by other drugs/medicines   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by corres/vectualis subst   8     TK03.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning by analgesic/antisyretic   7     TK72.00   Suicide + selfiniticate poisoning	TK01000	Suicide and self inflicted injury by Amylobarbitone	8
INICU     Subcide + seminicate poisoning by schedinguist usits (NS)     8       INICA     Subcide + seminicate poisoning by other drugsinedicines     8       INICA     Subcide + seminicate poisoning by other drugsinedicine NOS     8       INICA     Subcide + seminicate poisoning by drug or quedicine NOS     8       INICA     Subcide + seminicate poisoning by arbitruitation     7       INICA     Subcice + seminicate poisoning by arbitruitation	TK03.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning tranquilliser/psychotropic	8
Trock of a seminated potential by one or advectory productions of the seminated potential pote	TK02.00	Suicide + settinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid subst NUS	8
TK20.00 Suicide - settimilited poisoning by motor web exhaust gas 6   TK05.00 Suicide + settimilited poisoning by corrosive/caustic subst 8   TK07.00 Suicide + settimilited poisoning by corrosive/caustic subst 8   TK07.00 Suicide + settimilited poisoning by corrosive/caustic subst 8   TK07.00 Suicide + settimilited poisoning by analgesic/antipyretic 8   TK07.00 Suicide + settimilited poisoning by analgesic/antipyretic 8   U20.01 [X] Intent set poison/exposure to nonopioid analgesic 7   TK7.00 Suicide - settimilited injury-jump from ot manade structure 7   TK7.00 Suicide - settimilited injury-jump from ot manade structure 7   TK7.00 Suicide - settimilited injury by stabing 7   TK4.00 Suicide - settimilited injury by stabing 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by stabing 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by stabing 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by other specified means 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by conting 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by conting 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by conting and stabing NOS 7   TK4.00 Suicide and settimilited injury by	TK04.00 TK02.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by other drugs/medicines	0 8
TK65.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pissioning by drug or medicine NOS     8       TK07.00     Suicida - selfmilicat poissioning by analysical/antipyretic     8       TK03.00     Suicida - selfmilicat poissioning by analysical/antipyretic     8       TK71.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pinyryump from redicental premises     7       TK72.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pinyryump from rational stes     7       TK72.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pinyryump from rational stes     7       TK72.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pinyry by stabling     7       TK2.00     Suicida and selfmilicat pinyry by stabling     7       TK2.00     Suicida - selfmilicat pinyry by prespondent means     7       TK2.00     Suicida and selfmilicat pinyry by prespondent means     7       TK3.00     Suicida and selfmilicat pinyry by prespondent means     7       TK4.00     Suicida and selfmilicat pinyry by canting motor vehicle     7       TK4.00	TK02.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by motor veh exhaust das	0 8
TK07.00 Suicide + selfinitiet opisoning by corrosive/caustic subst 8   TK01.00 Suicide + selfinitiet opisoning by analgesic/antipyreic 8   TK03.00 Suicide + selfinitiet opisoning by analgesic/antipyreic 8   U20.01 [X]Deliberat drug overdoes / other pisoning 7   TK70.00 Suicide + selfinitiet of injury-jump from the manade structure 7   TK70.00 Suicide + selfinitiet of injury-jump from the manade structure 7   TK72.00 Suicide+selfinitiet of injury-jump from the manade structure 7   TK72.00 Suicide+selfinitiet of injury-jump from the manade structure 7   TK72.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury- by shoting 7   TK61.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury- by shoting 7   TK52.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by shoting 7   TK52.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   TK52.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   TK50.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   TK50.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   TK50.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   TK50.00 Suicide and selfinitiet injury by conting and stabbing NOS 7   <	TK05.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by drug or medicine NOS	8
TK01.00   Suicide + selfiniticed poisoning by anglesical/mityretic   8     TK05.00   Suicide + selfiniticed poisoning by anglesical/mityretic   8     TK05.00   Suicide + selfiniticed poisoning by anglesical/mityretic   8     TK05.00   Suicide + selfiniticed poisoning by anglesical/anglesic   7     U20.11   K)Delberate drug overdose / other poisoning   7     TK71.00   Suicide+selfiniticed injury-jump from the manade structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide+selfiniticed injury-jump from mitgh place NOS   7     TK72.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by stabugin   7     TK51.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by stabugin   7     TK62.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by other specified means   7     TK54.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by other specified means   7     TK50.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by noting and stabuling NOS   7     TK51.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by other specified means   7     TK51.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by manging   7     TK51.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by manging   7     TK51.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by manging   7	TK07.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by corrosive/caustic subst	8
TK00.00   Suicide + selfmiticed poisoning by anglesic/antipyretic   8     V00.00   Nintent self poison/exposure to nonopioid analgesic   7     TK00.00   Suicide+selfmiticed injury-jump from tresidential premises   7     TK71.00   Suicide+selfmiticed injury-jump from tresidential premises   7     TK72.00   Suicide+selfmiticed injury-jump from tranade structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide+selfmiticed injury-jump from tranade structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide-selfmiticed injury-jump from tranade structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury-jup by shotgun   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury-jup to promoting-analytic structure   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by they shotgun   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by they they freemem   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by cutting and stabiling NOS   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by cutting and stabiling NOS   7     TK40.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by cutting and stabiling NOS   7     TK40.00   Suicide and selfmiticed injury by cutting and stabiling NOS   7     TK40.00   Suicide and selfmititiced injury by cutt	TK01.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by barbiturates	8
TK06.00   Suicide + selfinitized poisoring by agricultural chemical   6     U20.01   [X]Ibetiberate drug overdose / other poisoning   7     TK71.00   Suicide-selfinitized injury-jump from oth selfinitial premises   7     TK71.00   Suicide-selfinitized injury-jump from oth manmade structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide-selfinitized injury-jump from high place NOS   7     TK72.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by stolgun   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by stolgun   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by other specified means   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinitized injury by othors or fre	TK00.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by analgesic/antipyretic	8
L20.010   [X]Inert set poison/exposure to noncpoind analgesic   7     TK70.00   Suicide-selfiniticed injury-jump from mersidential premises   7     TK71.00   Suicide-selfiniticed injury-jump from namande structure   7     TK72.00   Suicide-selfiniticed injury-jump from natural sites   7     TK72.00   Suicide-selfiniticed injury by stabling   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by stabling   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by scald   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by charting and stabbing NOS   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by charting and stabbing NOS   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by charting and stabbing NOS   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by charting and stabbing NOS   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by charting and stabbing NOS   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by solidifoluid substances   7     TK0.00   Suicide and selfiniticed injury by solidifoluid substances   7     TK1.00   Suicide a selfiniticed injury by solidifoluid substances   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinitited poisoning by solidifoluid substances<	TK06.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by agricultural chemical	8
L22.11     C/Denote alle drug volationser publishing     1       KT70.00     Suicide -selfiniticted injury-jump from at mamade structure     7       KT71.00     Suicide -selfiniticted injury-jump from at mamade structure     7       KT70.00     Suicide -selfiniticted injury-jump from at mana sites     7       KT81.00     Suicide - and selfiniticted injury-jump from at mana sites     7       KT81.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury-jump from at mana sites     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury-jump from at mana sites     7       KT84.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury-proves pacified means     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other firearm     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other firearm     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other firearm     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other firearm     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other firearm     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by other for and stabbing NOS     7       KT82.00     Suicide and selfiniticted injury by barting motor vehicle     7       KT8.00 <td>U200.00</td> <td>[X]Intent self poison/exposure to nonopioid analgesic</td> <td>/ 7</td>	U200.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to nonopioid analgesic	/ 7
TKT100 Suicida-selfiniticat injury jump from oith mammade structure 7   TKT2 200 Suicida-selfiniticat injury jump from high place NOS 7   TKT2 200 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by stabigun 7   TK51 100 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by stabigun 7   TK52 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by stabigun 7   TK52 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by stadi 7   TK52 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by other specified means 7   TK52 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by thoring riferam 7   TK52 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by thoring riferam 7   TK50 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by trans or fire 7   TK51 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by trans or fire 7   TK51 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by Barbitone 7   TK51 00 Suicida eselfiniticat injury by solid/liquid substances 7   TK0100 Suicida eselfiniticat poisoning by solid/liquid substances 7   TK12.00 Suicida eselfiniticat opisoning by solid/liquid substances 7   TK2.00 Suicida eselfiniticat opisoning by solid/liquid substances 7   TK2.00 Suicida eselfiniticat opisoning by solid/liquid substances 7   TK2.00 Suicida eselfiniticat opisoning by solid/liquid substances <td< td=""><td>U2011 TK70.00</td><td>[X]Deliberate drug overdose / other poisoning Suicide+selfinflicted injurv-jump from residential premises</td><td>7</td></td<>	U2011 TK70.00	[X]Deliberate drug overdose / other poisoning Suicide+selfinflicted injurv-jump from residential premises	7
TK72.00   Suicide+selfiniticati injury-jump from natural sites   7     TK72.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by stabbing   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by stabbing   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by scald   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other specified means   7     TK84.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other firearm   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other firearm   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other firearm   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by crashing motor vehicle   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by crashing motor vehicle   7     TK61.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK.100   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK01.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK01.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK01.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK01.00   Suicide and selfiniticati injury by promis or fire   7     TK01.00	TK71.00	Suicide+selfinflicted injury-jump from oth manmade structure	7
TK72.00Suicide-selfiniticati injury-jump from high place NOS7TK81.00Suicide and selfiniticati injury by shotgun7TK81.00Suicide and selfiniticati injury by shotgun7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other specified means7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other specified means7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by other specified means7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by thenging7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by turing and stabbing NOS7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by cutting and stabbing NOS7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by cutting and stabbing NOS7TK9.200Suicide and selfiniticati injury by prashing motor vehicle7TK4.00Suicide and selfiniticati injury by prashing motor vehicle7TK4.100Suicide and selfiniticati injury by prashing motor vehicle7TK4.100Suicide and selfiniticati injury by prashing motor vehicle7TK4.100Suicide and selfiniticati injury by solidiiquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati opisoning by solidiiquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati opisoning by an appeline7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati injury by solidiiquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati injury by solidiiquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati injury by solidiiquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfiniticati injury by solidiiqu	TK72.00	Suicide+selfinflicted injury-jump from natural sites	7
TK61.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by stabbing7TK52.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by scald7TK52.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by other specified means7TK54.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by other frearm7TK52.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by other frearm7TK52.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by other frearm7TK50.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by vutting7TK50.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by vutting and stabbing NOS7TK51.00Suicide and selfmiticted injury by vutting7TK4.100Suicide and selfmiticted injury by vutting7TK4.100Suicide and selfmiticted injury by preshing motor vehicle7TK4.100Suicide and selfmiticted injury by Phenobarbtone7TK1.100Suicide and self miticted injury by Phenobarbtone7TK2.00Suicide and self miticted polisoning by solidificuid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted polisoning by solidificuid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted polisoning by solidificuid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted polisoning by solidicate motor7TK11.00Suicide + selfmiticted polisoning by solidificuid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted injor hy and strangles uffocate7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted injor hy and strangles uffocate7TK2.00Suicide + selfmiticted injor hy and strangles uffocate7TK3.00Suicide + selfmiticte	TK7z.00	Suicide+selfinflicted injury-jump from high place NOS	7
TK51.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by sold   7     TKx2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by other specified means   7     TKs4.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by other frearm   7     TKS2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by other frearm   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TK82.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TK85.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TK81.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by Brothone   7     TK4.10   Suicide and selfinificated injury by Brothone   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by other gases and vapours   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by alter gases and vapours   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by alter gases NOS   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by alter gases NOS   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning port pases and vap	TK61.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by stabbing	7
TKx2.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by other specified means   7     TKs4.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by other firearm   7     TKS4.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by hanging   7     TKS0.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by butting and stabbing NOS   7     TK80.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TKs0.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TKs1.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TKs1.00   Suicide and selfinificted injury by butns or fire   7     TKs1.00   Suicide and self inflicted injury by butns or fire   7     TK01400   Suicide and self inflicted injury by butns or fire   7     TK01400   Suicide + selfinificted injury by butns or fire   7     TK01400   Suicide + selfinificted injury by butns or fire   7     TK1.10   Suicide + selfinificted injury by butns or fire   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificted injury by stab appeinte   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificted injury by stab appeinte   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinificted injury by stab appeinte   7 <td< td=""><td>TK51.00</td><td>Suicide and selfinflicted injury by shotgun</td><td>7</td></td<>	TK51.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by shotgun	7
IKxy.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by other specified means   7     IKs2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by punting rifle   7     IKs2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by punting rifle   7     IKs2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by punting rifle   7     IKs2.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     IKs5.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by punts of three   7     IKx1.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by punts of three   7     IKx1.00   Suicide and selfinificated injury by Phenobarbitone   7     IKx1.01   Suicide and selfinificated injury by Phenobarbitone   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by solidifiguid substances   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline   7     IKx1.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline   7     IKx2.00   Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gas via pipeline	TKx2.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by scald	7
IASA-00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by hunting rifle   7     TKS2.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by hunting rifle   7     TKS0.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TKS0.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS   7     TKS0.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle   7     TKX1.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle   7     TKX1.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle   7     TKX1.00   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle   7     TK01400   Sulcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle   7     TK1.10   Sulcide + selfinificated poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK2.00   Sulcide + selfinificated poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK1.00   Sulcide + selfinificated poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK42.00   Sulcide + selfinificated poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK42.00   Sulcide + selfinificated injury by sufficaterol selfiniticated injury by sufficaterol selfiniticated injury by sufficaterol selfiniticated injury by sufficaterol selfiniticated injury by sufficaterol selfinitinted injury by sufficaterol selfiniticated injury by su	TKXY.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by other specified means	7
TA2.00Suicide and settinificated injury by hanging7TK82.00Suicide and settinificated injury by cutting and stabbing NOS7TK82.00Suicide and settinificated injury by crashing motor vehicle7TK85.00Suicide and settinificated injury by crashing motor vehicle7TK8.00Suicide and settinificated injury by Phenobarbitone7TK.1.00Suicide and settinificated injury by Phenobarbitone7TK0.1400Suicide and settinificated injury by Barbitone7TK0.1400Suicide and settinificated poisoning by solid/iquid substances7TK0.200Suicide + settinificate poisoning by solid/iquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + settinificate poisoning by gases and vapours7TK1.00Suicide + settinificate poisoning by domestic gases NOS7TK2.00Suicide + settinificate poisoning by domestic gases NOS7TK3.00Suicide + settinificated injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK3.00Suicide + setifinificated in play by suffocate NOS7TK3.00Suicide + setifinificated7TK3.00Suicide + setifinificated7TK3.00Suicide + setifinificated7TK3.11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK12Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK13Poisonin	TK54.00 TK52.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by other firearm	7
These-bodySubcide and selfinificated injury by cuttingTTK62:00Subcide and selfinificated injury by cutting7TK45:00Subcide and selfinificated injury by parsing motor vehicle7TK47:00Subcide and selfiniticated injury by purso af fre7TK47:00Subcide and selfiniticated injury by purso af fre7TK47:00Subcide and selfiniticated injury by Phenobarbitone7TK01100Subcide and self inflicated injury by Brancharbitone7TK4.00Subcide and self inflicated injury by Brancharbitone7TK4.11Subcide and self inflicated poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK2.00Subcide + selfinflicated poisoning by gases and vapours7TK1100Subcide + selfinflicated poisoning by gas as and vapours7TK12.00Subcide + selfinflicated poisoning by gas as and papers NOS7TK10.00Subcide + selfinflicated injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate7TK32.00Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7TK32.00Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7TK5.11Para-subcide7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK5.10Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7TK3.00Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7TK3.10Subcide + selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7TK3.10Subcide = selfinflicated injury by sufflocate NOS7 <tr< td=""><td>TK32.00</td><td>Suicide and selfinflicted injury by handing</td><td>7</td></tr<>	TK32.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by handing	7
TK80.00Suicide and selfinificited injury by cuttingTK85.00Suicide and selfinificited injury by crashing motor vehicle7TKx5.00Suicide and selfinificited injury by crashing motor vehicle7TKx.00Suicide and selfinificited injury by burns or fire7TK01400Suicide and selfinificited injury by Phenobarbitone7TK0.100Suicide and self inflicted injury by Barbitone7TK0.100Suicide and self inflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK0.100Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by other gases and vapours7TK1.00Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by gase and vapours NOS7TK1.00Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by gase and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by gase via pipeline7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificted poisoning by gase via pipeline7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificted injury-jumping before moving object7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificted injury hyng/strangle/suffocate NOS7TK11Paras-suicide7TK12Attempted suicide7TK13Attempted suicide7TK14Paras-suicide7TK15Attempted suicide6U200.11X[Self poisoning with paraquat6U200.12X[Self poisoning with paraquat6U200.13X[Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U200.14X[Intentional self poison organ s	TK6z.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by ruting and stabbing NOS	7
TKs5.00Suicide and selfinflicted injury by burns or fire7TKx1.00Suicide and selfinflicted injury by Phenobarbitone7TK01400Suicide and self inflicted injury by Phenobarbitone7TK01400Suicide and self inflicted injury by Phenobarbitone7TK1.100Suicide and self inflicted injury by Brabitone7TK1.100Suicide and self inflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours7TK11.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gase gases NOS7TK30.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury -jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury hy suffication by plasic bag7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted7TK31.01Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK31.02Suicide7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK12XISeif poisoning with weedkiller6U200.11XISeif poisoning with weedkiller6 <td< td=""><td>TK60.00</td><td>Suicide and selfinflicted injury by cutting</td><td>7</td></td<>	TK60.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by cutting	7
TKx1.00Suicide and selfinificated injury by burns of fre7TK.00Suicide and selfinificated injury by Phenobarbitone7TK01400Suicide and self inflicated injury by Barbitone7TK01100Suicide and self and7TK0.100Suicide and self harm7TK0.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK1.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by other gases and vapours7TK1.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gases and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gase via pipeline7TK2.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by gase via pipeline7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificated poisoning by domestic gases NOS7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificated injury-yimping before moving object7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificated injury by suffocaten by plastic bag7TK3.00Suicide + selfinificated injury by suffocaten NOS7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicated7TK14Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK15Attempted suicide6U20C.11XJSelf poisoning with weedkiller6U20A.11XJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20A.11XJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20A.00XJIntent self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20A.00XJIntent self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20A.00XJIntent self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6 <td< td=""><td>TKx5.00</td><td>Suicide and selfinflicted injury by crashing motor vehicle</td><td>7</td></td<>	TKx5.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by crashing motor vehicle	7
TK00Suicide and self inflicted injuryTTK01400Suicide and self inflicted injury by Phenobarbitone7TK0.100Suicide and self inflicted injury by Barbitone7TK14Suicide and self inflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline7TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline7TK11.00Suicide + selfinflicted piosoning by gas via pipeline7TK3.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK3.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury suffocation by plasic bag7TK3.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury hyng/strangle/suffocate NOS7TK3.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury hyng/strangle/suffocate NOS7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK14Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK15Attempted suicide7U20.11NJSelf poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.11NJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20A.11NJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20A.11NJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20A.00NJIntentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U20A.00NJIntent self poison expasure to noxious substances6U20A.00NJIntent self poison/exposure to anxious substances	TKx1.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by burns or fire	7
TK01100   Suicide and self inflicted injury by Barbitone   7     TK0.1100   Suicide and self nam   7     TK0.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS   7     TK1.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by domestic gases NOS   7     TK12.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by domestic gases NOS   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plasito bag   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plasito bag   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocate NOS   7     TK11   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK12   Para-suicide   7     TK13   Alternet d suicine   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning with paraquat   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6 <	TK00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury	7
IKU:100   Suicide and self inflicted injury by Barbiohe   7     TK000   Suicide and self harm   7     TK000   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by olid/liquid substances   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS   7     TK10.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury hang/strangle/suffocate NOS   7     TK3.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury plastic bag   7     TK13   Poisoning - self-inflicted   7     TK14   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK15   Attempted suicide   6     U200.11   IXJSelf poisoning from glue solvent   6     U200.11   IXJSelf poisoning from glue solvent   6     U200.11   IXJSelf poisoning rom glue solvent   6     <	TK01400	Suicide and self inflicted injury by Phenobarbitone	7
TK000   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances   7     TK200   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by dher gases and vapours   7     TK200   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gase and vapours NOS   7     TK2.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gase and vapours NOS   7     TK10.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gase is a pipeline   7     TK10.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by daws ic gases NOS   7     TK30.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object   7     TK31.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by uffocation by plastic bag   7     TK32.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by uffocation by plastic bag   7     TK13   Poisoning - self-inflicted   7     TK14   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK15   Attempted suicide   7     U200.11   X[Self poisoning with weedkiller   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning with paraquat   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6     U200.11   X[Self poisoning/exposure to unspecif chemica	TK01100	Suicide and self inflicted injury by Barbitone	/ 7
TK2.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by other gases and vapours7TK11.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK.17Para-suicide7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK12Attempted suicide7U213IXJSuicide6U20C.11IXJSelf poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12IXJSelf poisoning ing ng ue solvent6U20A.11IXJSelf poisoning ing solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U20A.00XIIntentional self poison/exposure to noxious subtances6U20A.00XIIntent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U20A.00XIIntent self poison/exposure to inspecif chemical6U20A.00XIIntent self poison/exposure to inspecif chemical6U20A.00XIIntent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U204.00XIIntent self poison/expos	TK0 00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by solid/liquid substances	7
TK11.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by liquified petrol gas   7     TK22.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS   7     TK10.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gase i pipeline   7     TK12.00   Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by domestic gases NOS   7     TK30.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object   7     TK31.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag   7     TK32.00   Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag   7     TK11   Poisoning - self-inflicted   7     TK12   Para-suicide   7     TK11   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK11   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK11   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     U200.11   XJSelf poisoning with weedkiller   6     U200.12   XJSelf poisoning ing us olvent   6     U200.11   XJSelf poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6     U200.11   XJSelf poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6     U200.00   XJIntentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances   6     U200	TK200	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by sonariquid substances	7
TK2z.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS7TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline7TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by denestic gases NOS7TK3000Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK3.10Suicide + selfinflicted inj by hang/strangle/suffocate7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK12XJSuicide6U20.11XJSelf poisoning with weedkiller6U20.12XJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20.12XJSelf poisoning from glue solvent6U20.14XJSelf poisoning with paraquat6U20.00XJIntentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00XJIntentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to oth gas/vapour6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to oth gas/vapour6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to peschotropic drug6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to peschotropic drug6U20.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U204.00XJIntent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6 </td <td>TK11.00</td> <td>Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by liquified petrol gas</td> <td>7</td>	TK11.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by liquified petrol gas	7
TK10.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline7TK1z.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted inj oth mean hang/strangle/suffocate7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted inj by hang/strangle/suffocate7TK11Paisoning - self-inflicted7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK15Attempted suicide6U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20A.11[X]Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20A.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U202.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intenti self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to that autonomic drug6U202.00[X	TK2z.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases and vapours NOS	7
TK12.00Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by domestic gases NOS7TKx0000Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK3.10Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocate NOS7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK17Para-suicide7TK17Para-suicide7TK18Attempted suicide7U20.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U200.12[X]Self poisoning with paraquat6U200.12[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20.11[X]Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20.11[X]Intentional self poison/rgan solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to anatomic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to a	TK10.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gas via pipeline	7
Trkx0000Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object7TK31.00Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag7TK32.00Suicide + selfinflicted inj by hang/strangle/suffocate7TK3.13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK17Para-suicide7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7U213IXJSuicide6U2011IXJSelf poisoning with weedkiller6U2012IXJSelf poisoning from glue solvent6U2011IXJSelf poisoning from glue solvent6U2012IXJSelf poisoning from glue solvent6U2000IXIntentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U2000IXIntentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00IXIntentional self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U200.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U200.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to opsticide6U200.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U201.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to thalucinogen6U202.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to antiepleptic6U202.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to thalucinogen6U202.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to thalucinogen6U202.00IXIntent self poison/exposure to antiepleptic6U202.00IXIntent self poison exposure to antiepleptic	TK1z.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by domestic gases NOS	7
IK31.00   Sulcide + selfinflicted injury by suffication by plastic bag   7     IK32.00   Suicide + selfinflicted inj by hang/strangle/sufficate NOS   7     TK32.00   Suicide + selfinflicted inj by hang/strangle/sufficate NOS   7     TK13   Poisoning - self-inflicted   7     TK11   Cause of overdose - deliberate   7     TK15   Attempted suicide   7     U2011   [X]Self poisoning with weedkiller   6     U20C.12   [X]Self poisoning from glue solvent   6     U2011   [X]Self poisoning from glue solvent   6     U2012   [X]Self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances   6     U2015   [X]Para-suicide   6     U2000   [X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb   6     U2000   [X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical   6     U202.00   [X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic   6     U202.00   [X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour   6     U202.00   [X]Intent self poison/exposure to othaluciongen   6     U204.00   [X]Intent self poison/exposure to othaluciongen   6     U204.00   <	TKx0000	Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jumping before moving object	7
TK32.00Suicide + selfinificated inj by harg/strangle/suffocate NOS7TK32.00Suicide + selfinificated inj by harg/strangle/suffocate NOS7TK13Poisoning - self-inflicted7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK15Attempted suicide7U20.11X[Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12X[Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12X[Self poisoning with paraquat6U20L.11X[Self poisoning moxide poisoning6U20L.12X[Self poisoning with paraquat6U20L.13X[Self poison monoxide poisoning6U20L.14X[Self poison organ solvent6U20L.15X[Para-suicide6U20.00X[Intentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U20L.00X[Intent self poison/exp	TK31.00	Suicide + selfinflicted injury by suffocation by plastic bag	/ 7
TK13Poisoning - self-influence integration of the poison of poison of the poison of th	TK37.00	Suicide + selfinflicted inj but mean nang/strangle/sufficiate NOS	7
TK17Para-suicide7TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK12Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK13Attempted suicide7U213[X]Suicide6U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U2000[X]Intentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U2000[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison nuspecif chemical unspecif place6U207	TK13	Poisoning - self-inflicted	7
TK11Cause of overdose - deliberate7TK15Attempted suicide7U213[X]Suicide6U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning with paraquat6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U2015[X]Para-suicide6U2000[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U2000[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U2000[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison propocil charg unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison propocil charg unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic	TK17	Para-suicide	7
TK15Attempted suicide7U213[X]Suicide6U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12[S]Self poisoning with paraquat6U20A.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20A.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U2015[X]Para-suicide6U2000[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychot	TK11	Cause of overdose - deliberate	7
U213[X]Suicide6U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weedkiller6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning with paraquat6U20A.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U2015[X]Para-suicide6U2000[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U2000[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison othrups drug unspecif place6	TK15	Attempted suicide	7
U20C.11[X]Self poisoning with weekliller6U20C.12[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20A.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U20A.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison seychotropic drug at res institut6U202.00[X]Intent self poison othropic drug unspecif place6U202.00[X]Intent self poison othropic drug at res institut6 <td>U213</td> <td></td> <td>6</td>	U213		6
U202.12[X]Self poisoning with paraquat0U20A.11[X]Self poisoning from glue solvent6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U215[X]Para-suicide6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20y.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U208.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202200[X]Intent self poison nuspecif chemical unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204200[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U200.11	[X]Self poisoning with paraguat	6
U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U20B.11[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning6U20.10[X]Intentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to uspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202200[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204200[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U200.12	[X]Self poisoning from due solvent	0
U215[X]Para-suicide6U2000[X]Intentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20.00[X]Intentional self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U20.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U208.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to othalucinogen6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vap	U20B.11	[X]Self carbon monoxide poisoning	6
U2000[X]Intentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances6U20A.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20y.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U208.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.200[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200 </td <td>U215</td> <td>[X]Para-suicide</td> <td>6</td>	U215	[X]Para-suicide	6
U20A.00[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent,halogen hydrocarb6U20y.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20C.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20B.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to opericide6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to othalucinogen6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to hallucinogen6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202.00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202.200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204100[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U2000	[X]Intentional self poisoning/exposure to noxious substances	6
U20y.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical6U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20C.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20B.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to otha autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to othalucinogen6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204100[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U20A.00	[X]Intentional self poison organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb	6
U202.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic6U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20C.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20B.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204100[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U20y.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to unspecif chemical	6
U204.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug6U20C.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to pesticide6U20B.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U204100[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208200[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U202.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic	6
U208.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other gas/vapour6U208.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to hallucinogen6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202z00[X]Intent self poison uspecif chemical unspecif place6U202z00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	0204.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug	6
U207.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug6U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U202v200[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202v200[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U20B 00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to other das/vanour	0 6
U206.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to hallucinogen6U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U20yz00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U20zz00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U207.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug	6
U201.00[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic6U20yz00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202z00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6	U206.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to hallucinogen	6
U20yz00[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place6U202z00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U201.00	[X]Intent self poison/exposure to antiepileptic	6
U202z00[X]Intent self poison sedative hypotic unspecif place6U204z00[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U20yz00	[X]Intent self poison unspecif chemical unspecif place	6
U2U4ZUU[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place6U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at res institut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U202z00	[X]Intent self poison sedative hypnotic unspecif place	6
U204100[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug at resinstitut6U20Bz00[X]Intent self poison other gas/vapour unspecif place6U208z00[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	U204z00	[X]Intent self poison psychotropic drug unspecif place	6
U208z00[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place6	0204100 1120B-200	[A]mem sen poison psychotropic drug at res institut	6
	U208700	[X]Intent self poison oth/unsp.drug/medic unspecif place	0 6
U207z00 [X]Intent self poison oth autonomic drug unspecif place 6	U207z00	[X]Intent self poison oth autonomic drug unspecif place	6

Read code	Description	Number of studies
U200z00	[X]Intent self poison nonopioid analgesic unspecif place	6
U200100	[X]Intent self poison nonopioid analgesic at res institut	6
U205z00	XIIntent self poison narcotic drug unspecif place	6
U201z00	XIIntent self poison antienileptic unspecif place	6
11206400	[X]Intent self pois hallucingen in street/lindway	e e
U200400	[X]Intent set pois nandelinogen in streetingfinag	0
0209000		0
0202000	[A]Int self poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic at nome	6
0204000	[X]Int self poison/exposure to psychotropic drug at home	6
U20C000	[X]Int self poison/exposure to pesticide at home	6
U208.00	[X]Int self poison/exposure to other/unspec drug/medicament	6
U20B000	XIInt self poison/exposure to other gas/yapour at home	6
U207000	[X]Int self poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug at home	6
1200000	[V] Int self poison/exposure to nonpoind analgesic at home	6
1205000	[X]Int self poision/exposure to nonoptio drangeste at home	0
0203000		0
0201000	[A]Int sell poison/exposule to antieping it of the form	0
U20y200	[X]Int self poison unspecif chemical school/pub admin area	6
U202y00	[X]Int self poison sedative hypnotic other spec place	6
U204y00	[X]Int self poison psychotropic drug other spec place	6
U20Cy00	[X]Int self poison pesticide other spec place	6
U20B200	XIInt self poison other gas/vapour school/pub admin area	6
U20Bv00	[X]Int self poison other gas/yapour other spec place	6
LI208v00	[X] int self poison oth/unsp. drug/medic other spec place	6
U200y00	[X]]nt self poison of a calvest balance butch spec place	0
U20A400	[A]Int seil poison org solvent, nalogen hydrocano, migriway	0
0200900	[A]Int self poison nonopiolo analgesic other spec place	6
U205y00	[X]Int self poison narcotic drug other spec place	6
U214	[X]Attempted suicide	6
TKx7.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury caustic subst, excl poison	6
TKxz.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by other means NOS	6
TKx 00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by other means	6
TK7 00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by iumping from high place	6
TKv2 00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by jumping nominging nace	0
TKx3.00	Suicide and selfimilited injury by externes of cold	0
TKX4.00	Succe and seminicited injury by electrocution	0
TK400	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by drowning	6
TK600	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by cutting and stabbing	6
TKz00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury NOS	6
TK100	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by gases in domestic use	6
TKx0.00	Suicide + selfinflicted injury-jump/lie before moving object	6
TK3 00	Suicide + selfinflicted injury by hang/strangulate/suffocate	6
11210 00	Xilintantioni self harm by oth specif means occurring thome	5
U2y0.00	[X]Internoli sell ham by our specific means occurre at home	5
0220.00	[A] interitional self harm by dispect means occurring thome	5
0290.00	[X]Intentional self narm by sharp object occurrence at nome	5
U29z.00	[X]Intentional self harm by sharp object occ unspecif place	5
U2A0.00	[X]Intentional self harm by blunt object occurrence at home	5
U270.00	[X]Intention self harm by smoke fire/flames occurrn at home	5
U294.00	XIIntention self harm by sharp object occ street/highway	5
U29v 00	XIIntention self harm by sharp object occ oth specif place	5
1205.00	[X]Intent solf poison/ovnosure to paraotic drug	5
1200.00		5
0209.00		ວ ເ
U209200	[A]intent self poison alconol unspecif place	5
0202400	[X]Intent self pois sedative hypnotic in street/highway	5
U208400	[X]Intent self pois oth/unsp drug/medic in street/highway	5
U20A000	[X]Intent self pois organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb, home	5
U200500	[X]Intent self pois nonopioid analgesic trade/service area	5
U250.00	[X]Intent self harm oth/unspecif firearm disch occ at home	5
U2D6.00	[X]Intent self harm crash motor vehic occ indust/constrarea	5
1127V 00	[X]Intent self harm by unspecif means occ oth specif place	5
11277 00	[X]Intent cell harm by unspecif means one at unspecif place	J
0222.00	[A]mem sen harm by unspecif means occ ach/inc/sub adm and	5
0222.00	[X]intent self narm by unspec mean occ sch/ins/pub adm area	5
U27z.00	[X]Intent self harm by smoke fire/flames occ unspecif place	5
U274.00	[X]Intent self harm by smoke fire/flame occ street/highway	5
U291.00	[X]Intent self harm by sharp object occ resident instit'n	5
U2yz.00	[X]Intent self harm by oth specif means occ unspecif place	5
U2v1.00	[X]Intent self harm by oth specif means occ resid institin	5
U2B0.00	[X]Intent self harm by jumping from high place occ at home	5
112B4 00	[X]Intent self harm by jump from bigh place occ street/h/way	5
	[X] intent cell harm by jump nom night place out suffect read include	5
0211.00	A set of the set of th	5
UZ1Z.00	[A]Intent seir narm by nanging strangul/suffoct unspecif pice	5
U21y.00	[X]Intent self narm by hangng strangul/suffoct oth spec pice	5
U210.00	[X]Intent self harm by hanging strangulat/suffocat occ home	5
U221.00	[X]Intent self harm by drowning/submersn occ resid instit'n	5
U22z.00	[X]Intent self harm by drown/submersn occ unspecified place	5
U22v.00	[X]Intent self harm by drown/submersn occ oth specif place	5
U2D0 00	[X]Intent self harm by crash of motor vehicl occurrn at home	5
	[X]Intent self harm by crash motor vehicl occ street/highway	5
11243 00	[7] intent set fram by blast motor venicious street/mynway [7] Intent self harm by blast object oce aparts/athlat area	5
02A3.00		5

Read code	Description	Number of studies
U2A1.00	[X]Intent self harm by blunt object occ resident instit'n	5
U242.00	[X]Int slf hrm rifl s'gun/lrg frarm dis sch/ins/pub adm area	5
U208000	[X]Int self poison/exposure to oth/unsp drug/medicam home	5
U209y00	[X]Int self poison alcohol other spec place	5
U20Az00	[X]Int self pois org solv,halogen hydrocarb, unspec place	5
U241.00	[X]Int self harm rifl s'gun/Irg frarm disch occ resid instit	5
U2C4.00	[X]Int self harm jump/lying befr mov obje occ street/highway	5
U2C1.00	[X]Int self harm jump/lying befr mov obje occ resid instit'n	5
U2Cy.00	[X]Int self harm jump/lying bef mov obje occ oth specif plce	5
U2Bz.00	[X]Int self harm by jump from high place occ unspecif place	5
U2By.00	[X]Int self harm by jump from high place occ oth specif plce	5
U2B6.00	[X]Int self harm by jump from high place indust/constr area	5
TK5z.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by firearms/explosives NOS	5
TK500	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by firearms and explosives	5
TKx6.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by crashing of aircraft	5
TK60111	Slashed wrists self inflicted	5
TK60100	Self inflicted lacerations to wrist	5
U202.13	[X]Overdose - temazepam	4
U202.11	[X]Overdose - sleeping tabs	4
U202.15	[X]Overdose - nitrazepam	4
U202.12	[X]Overdose - diazepam	4
U202.16	[X]Overdose - benzodiazepine	4
U202.17	[X]Overdose - barbiturate	4
U204.11	[X]Overdose - antidepressant	4
U202.18	[X]Overdose - amobarbital	4
U204.12	[X]Overdose - amitriptyline	4
U204.13	[X]Overdose - SSRI	4
U2z00	[X]Intentional self harm by unspecified means	4
U2700	[X]Intentional self harm by smoke, fire and flames	4
U2900	[X]Intentional self harm by sharp object	4
U2y00	[X]Intentional self harm by other specified means	4
U2B00	[X]Intentional self harm by jumping from a high place	4
U2600	[X]Intentional self harm by explosive material	4
U2200	[X]Intentional self harm by drowning and submersion	4
U2D00	[X]Intentional self harm by crashing of motor vehicle	4
U2A00	[X]Intentional self harm by blunt object	4
U200400	[X]Intent self pois nonopioid analgesic in street/highway	4
U28z.00	[X]Intent self harm by steam hot vapour/obj occ unspec place	4
U280.00	[X]Intent self harm by steam hot vapour/hot obj occ at home	4
U2400	[X]Intent self harm by rifle shotgun/larger firearm disch	4
U2500	[X]Intent self harm by other/unspecified firearm discharge	4
U2C00	[X]Intent self harm by jumping / lying before moving object	4
U2100	[X]Intent self harm by hanging strangulation / suffocation	4
U212.00	[X]Inten slf harm hang strang/suffc sch oth ins/pub adm area	4
U209000	[X]Int self poison/exposure to alcohol at home	4
U4100	[X]Hanging strangulation + suffocation undetermined intent	4
U4Bz.00	[X]Fall jump/push frm high plce undt intnt occ unspecif plce	4
U720.00	[X]Sequelae of intentional self-harm	3
U211	[X]Self inflicted injury	3
U4400	[X]Rifle shotgun+larger firearm discharge undetermin intent	3
U40y.00	[X]Poisoning/exposure, ? intent, to unspecif chemical	3
0402.00	[X]Poisoning/exposure, ? intent, to sedative hypnotic	3
0404.00	[X]Poisoning/exposure, / intent, to psychotropic drug	3
	[A]Foisoning/exposure, / intent, to pesticide	3
	[A]Poisoning/exposure, 2 intent, to other gas/vapour	3
	[A]F usuning/exposure, / intent, to nonopiolo analgesic	3
0405.00	[X]Poisoning/exposure, ? Intent, to narcotic drug	3
0409.00	[X]Poisoning/exposure, ? Intent, to alconol	3
04000	[X]Poisoning/exposito noxious substance, undetermined intern	3
0408.00	[X]Poison/exposure, /intent, to other/unspec drug/medicament	3
0408000	[X]Poison/exposure /intent, to oth/unsp drug/medicam nome	3
0409000	[X]Poison/exposure finitent, to alconor at nome	ა ე
U200 11	[A]F 0ison/exposi (mitent unspecienemic indust/construct area	3
11200.11	[X]Overdose - jaracetanion [X]Overdose - ihunrofen	ა ა
11200.12	[X]Overdose - Isupioien [X]Overdose - aspirin	ა ი
	[/]Overuose - aspiriri [X]Other+unspecified firearm discharge undetermined intent	პ ი
	[X]Intentional self-harm	ა ი
1128 00	[X]Intentional self harm hy steam hot vanours / hot objects	ວ ວ
11216.00	[X]Intentional soli harm by steam not vapours / not objects	ວ ວ
11220.00	[X]Intent self harm by drowning/submersion occurrn at home	ວ 2
11206000	[X]Int self noison/exposure to ballucinogen at home	ວ 2
112 12	[X]Injury - self-inflicted	2 2
U410 00	[X]Hanging strangulat+suffocat undet intent occurrn at home	3
U4B00	[X]Falling jumping/pushed from high place undeterm intent	3
		0

Read code	Description	Number of studies
U3011	[X]Deliberate drug poisoning	3
ZX1R.00	Throwing self in front of vehicle	3
ZX1Q.00	Throwing self in front of train	3
TK53.00	Suicide and selfinflicted injury by military firearms	3
TK01200	Suicide and self inflicted injury by barbiturates	3
TK29.00	Suicide + selfinflicted poisoning by other gases and vapours	3
7X1N 00	Stabbing self	3
ZX1N.00	Shooting self	3
7X1K 12	Setting self alight	3
7X1K 11	Setting fire to self	3
ZX1H200	Self-suffocation	3
ZX1H100	Self-strangulation	3
ZX1K.00	Self-incineration	3
ZX1J.00	Self-electrocution	3
ZX1H.00	Self-asphyxiation	3
SL15	Overdose of drug	3
SL14	Overdose of biological substance	3
TKy00	Late effects of selfinflicted injury	3
ZX1Q.11	Jumping under train	3
ZX1B.00	Jumping from height	3
ZX1B300	Jumping from cliff	3
ZX1B100	Jumping from building	3
ZX1B200	Jumping from bridge	3
1K12 7X19.00	Injury - self-inflicted	3
ZX18.00	Hanging self	3
3LHZ.00	Drowning solf	3
	Drowning Sein [Y]Sequel intento self harm assault+event of undeterm intent	J 2
7X11 D00	[X]Self mutilation	2
U40v000	[X]Poison/exposure ?intent to unspecif chemical at home	2
U40C000	[X]Poison/exposure ?intent, to pesticide at home	2
U40A.00	[X]Pois/exposure.?intent.to organ solvent.halogen hydrocarb	2
U40v400	[X]Pois/expos ?intent unspecif chemical in street/highway	2
U40yz00	[X]Pois/expos ?intent to unspecif chemical unspecif place	2
U402z00	[X]Pois/expos ?intent to sedative hypnotic unspecif place	2
U408z00	[X]Pois/expos ?intent to oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place	2
U409z00	[X]Pois/expos ?intent to alcohol unspecif place	2
U40B400	[X]Pois/expos ?intent other gas/vapour in street/highway	2
U409400	[X]Pois/expos ?intent alcohol in street/highway	2
U406y00	[X]Pois/exp ?intent to hallucinogen other spec place	2
U404300	[X]Pois/exp ?intent psychotropic drug in sport/athletic area	2
U40A300	[X]Pois/exp ?intent org solvent, halogen hydrocarb, sport area	2
0409200	[X]Pois/exp /intent alconol school/pub admin area	2
	[X]Overdose - heroin	2
U2A2.00	[X]Intentional Self harm by blurit object occ unspecif place	2
0200200	[X]Intent self pois alcohol in street/bigbway	2
U292 00	[X]Intent self harm sharp obi occ sch oth ins/pub adm area	2
U2vv 00	[X]Intent self harm oth specif means occ oth specif place	2
U2v6.00	[X]Intent self harm oth specif means occ indust/constr area	2
U2z1.00	[X]Intent self harm by unspecif means occurrn resid instit'n	2
U27y.00	[X]Intent self harm by smoke fire/flame occ oth specif plce	2
U295.00	[X]Intent self harm by sharp object occ trade/service area	2
U296.00	[X]Intent self harm by sharp object occ indust/constr area	2
U2B1.00	[X]Intent self harm by jump from high place occ resid instit	2
U213.00	[X]Intent self harm by hang strangl/suffc sport/athlet area	2
U2Dz.00	[X]Intent self harm by crash motor vehic occ unspecif place	2
U2A2.00	[X]Intent self harm blunt obj occ sch oth ins/pub adm area	2
U20Ay00	[X]Int self pois org solv, halogen hydrocarb, oth spec place	2
U200600	[X]Int self pois nonopioid analgesic indust/construct area	2
0282.00	[X]Int self harm by steam not vapor/ob] sch/ins/pub aom area	2
	[X]Falling fying furning belof/monoring obj under ment	2
	[X]F an jump/push miningh pice and flames, undetermined intent	2
U470 00	IXIExposure to smoke fire+flame undeterm intent occ at home	2
U4200	IXIDrowning and submersion, undetermined intent	2
U4D7.00	IXICrashng of motor vehicle undetermined intent occ on farm	2
U4D0.00	[X]Crashng of motor vehicle undetermined intent occ at home	2
U4D00	[X]Crashing of motor vehicle, undetermined intent	2
U4D3.00	[X]Crash of motor vehicle undeterm intent sport/athlet area	2
U4A5.00	[X]Contct wth blunt obj undet intent occ trade/service area	2
U4A3.00	[X]Contct wth blunt obj undet intent occ sport/athletic area	2
U4A0.00	[X]Contact wth blunt object undetermined intent occ at home	2
U4800	[X]Contact with steam hot vapours+objects undetermn intent	2

Read code	Description	Number of studies
U4900	[X]Contact with sharp object, undetermined intent	2
U49z.00	[X]Contact with sharp obj undeterm intent occ unspecif place	2
U4A00	[X]Contact with blunt object, undetermined intent	2
04AZ.00	[X]Contact with blunt obj undeterm intent occ unspecir place	2
2X13.00 1BDA 00	Throwing Self Onto nool Thoughts of deliberate self harm	2
1BDA.00 1BD3.00	Suicidal plans	2
1BD3.00 1BD1.00	Suicidal ideation	2
1BD1.00 1B19.11	Suicidal - symptom	2
1B19.00	Suicidal	2
ZX19200	Slapping self	2
ZX11.00	Self-scalding	2
ZX1L300	Self-mutilation of penis	2
ZX1L100	Self-mutilation of hands	2
ZX1L200	Self-mutilation of genitalia	2
ZX1L600	Self-mutilation of ears	2
ZX1L.00	Self-mutilation	2
ZX1G.00	Scratches self	2
ZX19100	Punching self	2
TN11	Poisoning undetermined - accidentally or purposely inflicted	2
ZX1E.00	Pinching self	2
ZX1C.00	Nipping self	2
14K1.00	Intentional overdose of prescription only medication	2
1 NU00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by solid/liquid substances	2
	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by solid or liquid subst NOS	2
TN29.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by other spec gas or vapour	2
TN04.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by other sodative/bypnatic	2
TN02.00	Injury 2accidental, poisoning by other secalive/hypholic	2
TN21.00	Injury Paccidental, poisoning by other carbon monovide	2
TN20.00	Injury Paccidental, poisoning by other valider and the exhaust das	2
TN11 00	Injury Paccidental, poisoning by Inder Vende exhaust gus	2
TN1 00	Injury Paccidental, poisoning by gases in domestic use	2
TN1z.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by gas in domestic use NOS	2
TN10.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by gas distributed by pipeline	2
TN05.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by drug or medicament NOS	2
TN06.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by corrosive/caustic substance	2
TN08.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by arsenic or its compounds	2
TN00.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by analgesic or anti-pyretic	2
TN07.00	Injury ?accidental, poisoning by agricultural chemicals	2
TN300	Injury ?accidental, hanging, strangulation and suffocation	2
TN30.00	Injury ?accidental, hanging	2
TN01300	Injury ?accidental poisoning by Pentobarbitone	2
ZRLfC12	HoNOS item 2 - non-accidental self injury	2
ZX19.00	Hitting self	2
ZX13.00		2
ZX13.11		2
ZX12.00 ZX11.00	Burning self	2
ZXT1.00 ZX11.11	Diting Self	2
	Antidepressant poisoning	2
8667.00	Antidepressant poisoning	2
8G6 00	Anti-suicide psychotherapy	2
SL90z00	Anti-depressant poisoning NOS	2
U4z00	[X]Unspecified event, undetermined intent	-
U4zz.00	[X]Unspecif event undeterm intent occurrn unspecif place	1
U4z3.00	[X]Unspecif event undeterm intent occurrn sport/athlet area	1
U4zy.00	[X]Unspecif event undeterm intent occurrn oth specif place	1
U4z6.00	[X]Unspecif event undeterm intent occurrn indust/constr area	1
SyuG.00	[X]Toxic effects of substances chiefly nonmedicinal source	1
SyuG700	[X]Toxic effects of other specified gases, fumes & vapours	1
SyuGH00	[X]Toxic effect of paints and dyes, NEC	1
SyuGJ00	[X]Toxic effect of other specified substances	1
SyuG900	[X] I oxic effect of other pesticides	1
SyuG800	[X] I oxic effect of other insecticides	1
SyuGCOO	[X] I oxic effect of other ingested (parts of) plant(s)	1
	[X] I OXIC Effect OF OTHER ALCOHOIS	1
	[A]JUTI Mulliduum [VIDeisening/expective 2 intent to bellusinggen	1
SMUEMOO	[A]F OISONNING/EXPOSULE, INTENI, TO NationNOGEN	1
SyuF 1000 SvuFR00	[X]Poisoning by other psycholopic drugs, NEC [X]Poisoning by other onioids	1
SvuEW/00	[X]Poisoning by other laxatives inclintestin atonia drugs	1
SvuFT00	IXIPoisoning by other antihypertensive drugs NFC.	1
SvuFD00	IXIPoisoning by other and unspecified narcotics	1
SyuFA00	[X]Poisoning by other analgesics. not elsewhere classified	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
SyuF900	[X]Poisoning by oth nonsteroidal anti-inflamm drugs [NSAID]	1
SyuFc00	[X]Poisoning by oth & unspecif drugs & biologic substances	1
SyuF.00	[X]Poisoning by drugs and biological substances	1
U40Bz00	XIPois/expos ?intent to other gas/yapour unspecif place	1
U409100	[X]Pois/expos ?intent to alcohol at res institut	1
1408400	[X]Pois/expos 2intent of/unsp.du/o/medic in street/highway	1
	[X] Dis Ave 2 intent to unshape if chamical other shape place	1
	[X] Disterve sintent to dispect chemical other spec place	1
	[X]Pois/exp /intent to other gas/vapour other spec place	1
0202.14	[X]Overdose - flurazepam	1
U4y00	[X]Other specified events, undetermined intent	1
U4y0.00	[X]Other specified event undetermind intent occurrn at home	1
U4y3.00	[X]Oth specif event undetermin intent occ sport/athlet area	1
Eu15000	[X]Mnt/beh dis due oth stim inc caffein: acute intoxication	1
Fu18000	XIMental & behav dis due vol solvents: acute intoxication	1
Eu17000	XIMental & behavidis due to use tobacco: acute intoxication	1
Eu11000	[X]Mental & behav dis due to use opioids: acute intoxication	1
Eu14000	[V]Montal & behav dis due to use operation: acute interviced and	1
Eu14000	[A] we have us due to use cocame, acute intoxication	1
Eu10000	[A]mental & behaviors due to use alconol. acute intoxication	1
Eu13000	[X]Mental & benav dis due seds/nyprites: acute intoxication	1
Eu16000	[X]Mental & behav dis due hallucinogens: acute intoxicatn	1
Eu12000	[X]Mental & behav dis due cannabinoids: acute intoxication	1
Eu1A000	[X]Ment behav dis due use crack cocaine: acute intoxication	1
U3911	[X]Intentionally shot with shotgun	1
U3811	XIIntentionally shot with handoun	1
U417 00	X]Hangng strangult-suffact undet intent occ unspecif place	1
U// B0 00	[X]Falling iumpng/oush frm high place undet intent occ home	1
	[X] and glupping but in high place under men occ none	1
	[X] Evid of alcohol involv determined by level of initialization	1
U4D1.00	[X]Crashing of motor vehicle undeterm intent resident instit	1
U466.00	[X]Contct wth explosiv materl undet intht indust/constr area	1
U4A6.00	[X]Contct wth blunt obj undet intent occ industr/constr area	1
U4600	[X]Contact with explosive material, undetermined intent	1
U4Ay.00	[X]Contact with blunt obj undeter intent occ oth specif plce	1
U1AC.11	[X]Accidental poisoning with weedkiller	1
U1A2 13	XIAccidental poisoning with temazenam	1
	[X]Accidental poisoning with eleming tablets	1
	[X]Accidental polyoning with percent	1
	[X]Accidental poisoning with paraquat	1
U1A0.11	[X]Accidental poisoning with paracetamol	1
U1A2.15	[X]Accidental poisoning with nitrazepam	1
U1A0.12	[X]Accidental poisoning with ibuprofen	1
U1A5.11	[X]Accidental poisoning with heroin	1
U1A2.12	[X]Accidental poisoning with diazepam	1
U1A2.16	XIAccidental poisoning with benzodiazepine	1
U1A2.17	[X]Accidental poisoning with barbiturate	1
	[X]Accidental poisoning with aspirin	1
	[X]Accidental poisoning with antidepressant	1
	[X]Accidental poisoning with anticepressant	1
U1A4.12	[X]Accidental poisoning with amitriptyline	1
U1A4.13	[X]Accidental poisoning with SSRI	1
U1AA.11	[X]Accidental poisoning from glue solvent	1
U1AD.00	[X]Accidental poisoning by and exposure to amfetamine	1
U1A00	[X]Accidental poisoning by + exposure to noxious substances	1
U1A12	[X]Accidental drug overdose / other poisoning	1
U1A11	[X]Accidental drug / other poisoning	1
U1AB 11	IXIAccidental carbon monoxide poisoning	1
	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to unspecif chemical	1
	IXIAccident poisoning/exposure to sedative hypnotic	1
	[Y] Accident poisoning/exposure to security hypholic	1
	Minorial poisoning/exposure to posticide	1
U1AC.00	[x]Accident poisoning/exposure to pesticide	1
U1AB.00	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to other gas/vapour	1
U1A7.00	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to oth autonomic drug	1
U1A0.00	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to nonopioid analgesic	1
U1A5.00	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to narcotic drug	1
U1A6.00	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to hallucinogen	1
U1A3.00	TXTAccident poisoning/exposure to antiparkinson drug	1
U1A1.00	IXIAccident poisoning/exposure to antiepileptic	1
	[X]Accident poisoning/exposure to alcohol	1
	[V] concern personing by and expecting to amphatemine theme.	1
	[A]Accident poisoning by and exposule to amphetical an form	1
	[A]Accident poison/exposure to unspecific chemical on farm	1
U1Ay000	[x]Accident poison/exposure to unspecif chemical at home	1
U1A2000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to sedative hypnotic at home	1
U1A4000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to psychotropic drug at home	1
U1AC700	[X]Accident poison/exposure to pesticide on farm	1
U1AC000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to pesticide at home	1
U1A8.00	IXIAccident poison/exposure to other/unspec drug/medicament	1
U1AB700	IXIAccident poison/exposure to other gas/vapour on farm	1
	[Y]Accident poison/exposure to other gas/yapour at homo	1
U IABUUU	Ivincendent holoonieshoone to other gaarvahour at hollie	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
U1A8000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to oth/unsp drug/medicam nome	1
U1A7000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to oth autonomic drug at home	1
	[X]Accident poison/exposure to nonopiold analgesic at nome	1
U1A3000	[X]Accident poison/exposure to antienilentic at home	1
	[X]Accident poison/exposure to alcohol at home	1
U1AA.00	[X]Accid poison/exposure to organ solvent halogen hydrocarb	1
U1Av500	[X]Accid poison/expos unspecif chemical trade/service area	1
U1Avz00	[X]Accid poison/expos to unspecif chemical unspecif place	1
U1Ay100	[X]Accid poison/expos to unspecif chemical at res institut	1
U1A2z00	[X]Accid poison/expos to sedative hypnotic unspecif place	1
U1A4100	[X]Accid poison/expos to psychotropic drug at res institut	1
U1ABz00	[X]Accid poison/expos to other gas/vapour unspecif place	1
U1A8100	[X]Accid poison/expos to oth/unsp drug/medicam res institut	1
U1A8z00	[X]Accid poison/expos to oth/unsp drug/medic unspecif place	1
U1A7z00	[X]Accid poison/expos to oth autonomic drug unspecif place	1
U1A0Z00	[X]Accid poison/exposito nonopioid analgesic unspecif place	1
U1A5ZUU	[X]Accid poison/exposito narcotic drug unspecifiplace	1
U 1A9200	[X]Accid poison/exposite alconor unspecifiplace	1
U1A3500	[X]Accid poison/expos organ solvent, halogen hydrocarb, home	1
U1A9500	[X]Accid poison/expos alcohol trade/service area	1
U1A9400	[X]Accid poison/expos alcohol in street/highway	1
U1Ay200	[X]Acc poison/expos unspecif chemical school/pub admin area	1
U1A4200	[X]Acc poison/expos psychotropic drug school/pub admin area	1
U1AA100	[X]Acc poison/expos org solvent,halogen hydrocarb,res instit	1
U1A9200	[X]Acc poison/expos alcohol school/pub admin area	1
U1ADz00	[X]Acc poison by and exposure to amphetamine - unspec places	1
Sy00	[X] Injury and poisoning classification terms	1
U60F412	[X] Adverse reaction to acetylcysteine	1
ZV15600	[V]Personal history of poisoning	1
ZV4C400	[V]Occupational exposure to toxic agents in agriculture	1
ZV/1A00	[V]Obs for suspected toxic effect from ingested substance	1
SM01100	Wood alcohol causing toxic affect	1
SI Ez 00	Water mineral or uric acid metabolism poisoning NOS	1
SLE 00	Water, mineral and urate metabolism poisoning	1
SL42300	Warfarin sodium poisoning	1
SL42400	Warfarin poisoning	1
SL35z00	Vitamin poisoning NOS	1
SL35.00	Vitamin poisoning NEC	1
SL35100	Vitamin D poisoning	1
SL35000	Vitamin A poisoning	1
SL44300	Urokinase poisoning	1
SLE7.00	Uric acid drug poisoning	1
SLE7.11	Urate metabolism drug poisoning	1
SM92.00		1
SL30000		1
SL 60100		1
SI 92200	Trifluperidol poisoning	1
SM23100	Trichloroethylene causing toxic effect	1
SL90300	Trazodone poisoning	1
SL95z00	Tranquilliser poisoning NOS	1
SL911	Tranquilliser poisoning	1
TE57.00	Toxic reactions caused by other plants	1
F036200	Toxic encephalitis due to thallium	1
F036100	Toxic encephalitis due to mercury	1
F036000	I oxic encephalitis due to lead	1
SMC00	Toxic effect of topacco and nicotine	1
SM94 00	Toxic effect of un and its compounds	1
SMX 00	Toxic effect of paints and dves. NFC	1
SM9X.00	Toxic effect of nitroglycerin & oth nitric acids & ester	1
SM79.00	Toxic effect of hydrogen sulfide	1
SM15.00	Toxic effect of homologues of benzene	1
SM98.00	Toxic effect of herbicides and fungicides	1
SMB00	Toxic effect of formaldehyde	1
SM78.00	Toxic effect of fluorine gas and hydrogen fluoride	1
SM23200	Toxic effect of chloroform	1
SM7A.00	I oxic effect of carbon dioxide	1
SLG7.00	I opical dental drug poisoning	1
3L03.00 SI 16200	ropical and initiation anaestnetic agent poisoning Tiabendazole poisoning	1
SL 10200	Thuroid hormone and thuroid derivatives noisoning	1
5627.00	ingiona normone and ingrota derivatives poisoning	I

SL2200     Thyodi domine and thyodi derivative polsoning NOS     1       SL2400     Thyodipolum polsoning     1       SL9400     Theophyline polsoning NOS     1       SL9400     Tetracyline group polsoning NOS     1       SL0400     Tetracyline group polsoning NOS     1       SL0400     Tetracyline group polsoning     1       SL0400     Tetracyline group polsoning     1       SL0400     Tetracyline group polsoning     1       SL2100     Tetracyline group polsoning     1       SL2100     Tetracyline group polsoning     1       SL2100     Sympathorimetic polsoning     1       SL2100     Suphotic acids (axising toxic effect     1       SL4200     Suphotic polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphotic polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphotic polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphotic polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphote polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphote polsoning     1       SL4200     Suphote polsoning     1       SL4200     Sup	Read code	Description	Number of studies
SL27300     Thronglouin poisoning     1       SLE 1100     Theophyline poisoning     1       SLE 1100     Theophyline poisoning     1       SL0400     Tetracycline group poisoning NOS     1       SL0400     Tetracycline group poisoning     1       SL0400     Tetrachiconethylene causing toxic effect     1       SL21300     Sulphur dioxic equipa causing toxic effect     1       SL1400     Sulphur dioxic equipa causing toxic effect     1       SL1400     Sulphur exit coxic subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SL1000     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL1000     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL4200	SL27z00	Thyroid hormone and thyroid derivative poisoning NOS	1
3L9440     Indicate posicing     1       SL9400     Transphille posicing     1       SL0400     Tetracycling group polsoning NOS     1       SL0400     Tetracycling group polsoning     1       SL0400     Tetracycling group polsoning     1       SL0400     Tetracele polsoning     1       SL2100     Tetracele polsoning     1       SL2100     Tetracele polsoning     1       SL2100     Sympathorm polsoning     1       SL2100     Sympathorm polsoning     1       SL2100     Sympathorm polsoning     1       SL4400     Sympathorm polsoning     1       SL4400     Sulphone polsoning     1       SL400     S	SL27300	Thyroglobulin poisoning	1
attainod     instrume probability     instrume probability       bttainod     instrume probability     instrume       SL04200     Tetracycline group poisoning     instrume       SL04200     Tetrachicense probability     instrume       SL04200     Tetrachicense probability     instrume       SL21300     Tetrachicense probability     instrume       SL22300     Terpe probability     instrume       SL22300     Support instrume probability     instrume       SL22100     Support instrume probability     instrume       SL20100     Subradiate probability     instrume       SL20100     Subradiate probability     instrume       SL20100     Subradiate probability     instrume       SL20100     Subradiate probability     instrume       SL20100     Soluty trankity     instrume	SL91400	I hioridazine poisoning	1
SLA400     Terracycline group polsoning     1       SM2400     Tetracycline group polsoning     1       SM2300     Tetracycline group polsoning     1       SL21300     Tetracine polsoning     1       SL21300     Tetracine polsoning NOS     1       SL21300     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL21300     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL21300     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL14300     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL14200     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL14200     Sketterine polsoning NOS     1       SL4200     Skette	SLE 1100 SL 04000	Tetracycline polsoning	1
SL04.00     Tetrachicrospino     1       SL0300     Tetrachicrospino     1       SL0301     Tetrachicrospino     1       SL0302     Tetrachicrospino     1       SL0303     Tetrachicrospino     1       SL0304     Syntemic agint posoning VOS     1       SL0304     Syntemic agint posoning VOS     1       SL0404     Sulphur dinokic causing look affect     1       SL10400     Solum hy dyrink de ausing lo	SL04z00	Tetracycline group poisoning NOS	1
SM2300     Tetracale poisoning     1       SL21300     Tetracale poisoning     1       SL21300     Tetracale poisoning     1       SL21300     Tetrach hydra poisoning     1       SL21300     Sympathamatic poisoning     1       SL21300     Sympathamatic poisoning     1       SL21300     Sulphur cloxide causing toxic effect     1       SM3300     Sulphur cloxide causing toxic effect     1       SL1000     Sulphure poisoning     1       SL4200     Streptoxina by strangulation     1       SL4200     Streptoxinate poisoning     1       SM2200     Solum hydroide causing toxic effect     1       SM2200     Solum hydroide causing toxic effect     1       SM2200     Solum unitate poisoning     1       SL7-10     Stepling drug poisoning     1       SL7-10     Stepling drug pois	SL04.00	Tetracycline group poisoning	1
SLB300     Tetacalne polsoning     1       SLP300     Terjon hydrate polsoning     1       SLP300     Terjon hydrate polsoning     1       SLP300     Suphum cante polsoning     1       SM1200     Suphum cante polsoning     1       SM1200     Suphum cante causing toxic effect     1       SL1400     Suphum cante causing toxic effect     1       SL1000     Suphum cante causing toxic effect     1       SL1000     Suphum cante causing toxic effect     1       SL1000     Sulfade arise     1       SL1000     Sulfade arise polsoning     1       SL1000     Sulfade arise polsoning     1       SL1000     Sulfade arise polsoning     1       SL4200     Sulfade arise polsoning     1       SL4211     Startification by strangulation     1       SL4200     Solum hydrixide causing toxic effect     1       SL4200     Solum hydrixide causing toxic effect     1       SM810     Solum hydrixide causing toxic effect     1       SM812     Solup     Solup hydrixide effect     1	SM23000	Tetrachloroethylene causing toxic effect	1
SL21400     lestStationing boldsming     1       SL25200     Systemic agent poisoning NOS     1       SL2500     Systemic agent poisoning NOS     1       SL2500     Sulphuic adord causing towic effect     1       SL1400     Sulphuic adord causing towic effect     1       SL1400     Sulphur dioxide causing towic effect     1       SL1000     Sulphure poisoning     1       SL2000     Sulphure poisoning     1       SL2000     Sulphure poisoning     1       SL2000     Sulphure agent poisoning     1       SL2000     Sulphure poisoning     1       SL2000     Solum mydroide causing toxic effect     1       SM5120     Solum mydroide causing toxic effect     1       SL21.00     Self-hydroide sc	SL85300	Tetracaine poisoning	1
SLF.200     reprint input are postoning     1       SLF.200     Support de postoning     1       SMF1200     Support de causing toxic effect     1       SMF1200     Support de causing toxic effect     1       SL10400     Support de causing toxic effect     1       SL10200     Suffarmethoxazole poisoning     1       SL10400     Suffarmethoxazole poisoning     1       SL10400     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SMF2100     Suinumunpantide causing toxic ef	SL21300	Lestosterone poisoning	1
SLB2.00     Sympathonimetic poisoning     1       SM31200     Sulphur dioxide causing toxic effect     1       SU17.00     Sulphur dioxide causing toxic effect     1       SL1.00     Sulphonamide poisoning     1       SL1.000     Sulphonamide poisoning     1       SL1.000     Sulfadezine poisoning     1       SL1.000     Sulfadezine poisoning     1       SL1.000     Sulfadezine poisoning     1       SL2.00     Sulfocial on tyst snagulation     1       SL2.01     Sulfocial on tyst snagulation     1       SL2.02     Sulfocial on tyst snagulation     1       SL2.02     Solulim hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM2.00     Solulim hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM61.00     Soag and detergent causing toxic effect     1       SL7.10     Smoth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7.11     Slef-Hamage     1       SL7.10     Sadulation poisoning     1       SL7.11     Slef-Hamage     1       SL7.12     Sedative and hynonici drug poisoning     1	SL37 00	Systemic agent poisoning NOS	1
SM31200     Supphur diokide causing toxic effect     1       SM31200     Sulphur diokide causing toxic effect     1       SL11400     Sulphur diokide causing toxic effect     1       SL12000     Sulfamethoxazole poisoning     1       SL12000     Sulfamethoxazole poisoning     1       SL12000     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL12000     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL47100     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL47100     Streptoxychyn obisoning     1       SL47100     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL47100     Sulfadiazine poisoning     1       SL47100     Soldum mortulate poisoning     1       SL47100     Soldum mortulate poisoning     1       SL72010     Sodum mortulate poisoning     1       SL72010     Somoth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL72.11     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       SL7.12     Sedative and hyponici drug poisoning     1       SL7.13     Sedative and hyponici drug poisoning     1       SL7.14     Sedative and hyponici drug poisoning	SLB2.00	Sympathomimetic poisoning	1
SM73.00     Sulphore poisoning     1       SL1400     Sulphonamide poisoning     1       SL1020     Sulphonamide poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarethoxazole poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarethoxazole poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarethoxazole poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarethoxazole poisoning     1       SL0300     Streptomych poisoning     1       SL4200     Sulfarethoxazing toxacing     1       SL4200     Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM0300     Streptomycin de auxing toxic effect     1       SM6400     Soag and detergent causing toxic effect     1       SL7.10     Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7.11     Steptomycin belaxont poisoning     1       SL7.12     Sedative poisoning     1       SL7.13     Steptomycin belaxont poisoning     1       SL7.14     Steptomycin belaxont poisoning     1       SL7.10     Steptomycin belaxont poisoning     1       SL7.10     Steptomycin belaxont     1 <t< td=""><td>SM31200</td><td>Sulphuric acid causing toxic effect</td><td>1</td></t<>	SM31200	Sulphuric acid causing toxic effect	1
SL14400     Sulphone poisoning     1       SL14000     Sulphone poisoning     1       SL12000     Sulphone poisoning     1       SL42000     Solution interview poisoning     1       SL42000     Solution morthuate poisoning     1       SL42000     Sodum morthuate poisoning     1       SL72000     Sodum morthuate poisoning     1       SL7211     Steeping drug poisoning     1       SL7200     Sektelat muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7200     Sektelat muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7200     Sektelat muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7200     Sektelat muscl	SM73.00	Sulphur dioxide causing toxic effect	1
SL10100     Sulfarefinitie poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarefinitie poisoning     1       SL10200     Sulfarefinite score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       ZRn7100     Subte intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SL42000     Streptokinase poisoning     1       SL42000     Streptokinase poisoning     1       SL27101     Souther scale subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SL27000     Solvents causing toxic effect     1       SM22000     Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM60100     Soag - not detergent causing toxic effect     1       SM61200     Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM61200     Sodium bydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SL72.10     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL72.10     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL72.10     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL72.10     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL72.00     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL72.00     Streptokinase boisoning     1       SL7	SL1x400	Sulphone poisoning	1
St. 1000     Skilde inter Sackey polosining     1       TBN 300     Skilde inter is sore subscale - attempt circumstances     1       St. 300     Skilde inter is sore subscale - attempt circumstances     1       St. 300     Skilde inter is sore subscale - attempt circumstances     1       St. 300     Streptomycin poisoning     1       St. 300     Streptomycin poisoning     1       St. 300     Streptomycin poisoning     1       St. 300     Streptomycin circumstances     1       St. 300     Soldium mydrakie causing toxic effect     1       St. 300     Soldium mydrakie causing toxic effect     1       St. 200     Sodium mydrakie causing toxic effect     1       St. 200     Soldium mydrakie causing toxic effect     1       St. 200     St. 200     St. 200     St. 200       St. 200     St	SL10.00	Sulfamethexazele poisoning	1
100     Solicide risk     1       2Rn3.00     Solicide risk     1       SIN47100     Solicide risk     1       SIN47100     Solicide risk     1       SIN47100     Solicide risk     1       SIN47100     Solicide risk     1       SIL4200     Streptoving poisoning     1       SIL4200     Solicide risk     1       SIL4200     Solicide risk     1       SIL2700     Solicide risk     1       SIL2700     Solicide risk     1       SIM2200     Solium hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SIM610     Soap and delengent causing toxic effect     1       SIL7210     Skreletal muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL72.00     Seletal muscle relaxant poisoning     1       ZX.11     Sleative and hymotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL72.01     Sedative and hymotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative and hymotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative and hymotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative and h	SI 10200	Sulfadiazine poisoning	1
ZR3.00     Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances     1       SN47100     Suifecation by strangulation     1       SL0300     Streptomycin poisoning     1       SL44200     Streptomycin poisoning     1       SL97.11     Stimulant poisoning     1       SL7000     Sodum morthuate poisoning toxic effect NOS     1       SM0200     Sodum morthuate poisoning toxic effect     1       SM06.00     Sodum cynnide causing toxic effect     1       SM06.12     Soap - toxic effect     1       SVF.1     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       SL7.1     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       SL7.1     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       ZX00     Self-Injurious behaviour     1       ZX00     Self-Injurious behaviour     1       ZX00     Sedative and hypotic drug poisoning     1       SL7.20     Sedative and hypotic drug poisoning     1       SL7.20     Sedative and hypotic drug poisoning     1       SL7.20     Sedative and hypotic drug poisoning     1       SL7.30     Sedative and hypotic dr	1BD4.00	Suicide risk	1
SN47100     Suffocation by strangulation     1       SL44200     Streptokinase poisoning     1       SL4200     Streptokinase poisoning     1       SM22.00     Solvents causing toxic effect NOS     1       SM22.00     Soduum morthuate poisoning     1       SM32200     Soduum northuate poisoning toxic effect     1       SM60.00     Soap and detergent causing toxic effect     1       SM61.10     Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7.11     Steptoning toxic effect     1       SL7.10     Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SL7.10     Self-injurious behaviour     1       ZX00     Self-harm     1       SL7.12     Sedative poisoning NOS     1       SL7.200     Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sadicylate poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sadicylate poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Salicylate poisoning NOS     1 <tr< td=""><td>ZRn3.00</td><td>Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances</td><td>1</td></tr<>	ZRn3.00	Suicide intent score subscale - attempt circumstances	1
SL08300     Streptomycin poisoning     1       SL44200     Streptotiknase poisoning     1       SL97.11     Stimulant poisoning     1       SL07.00     Sodum morthuate poisoning     1       SL7000     Sodum morthuate poisoning     1       SM3200     Sodum hydroxide causing toxic effect     1       SM96.00     Sodum cyanide causing toxic effect     1       SM66.12     Soap - toxic effect     1       SL7.11     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       SL7.21     Sleeping drug poisoning     1       ZX1.00     Self-injurious behaviour     1       ZX.00     Seld-ingurious behaviour     1       ZX.00     Sedative and hypontic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.20     Sedative and hypontic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative and hypontic drug poisoning     1       SL7.01     Salcylate poisoning     1       SL7.02     Sedative and hypontic drug poisoning     1       SL7.03     Salcylate poisoning     1       SL7.04     Salcylate poisoning     1	SN47100	Suffocation by strangulation	1
SL+200     Slepton labe polsoning     1       SM2:10     Solvenis causing toxic effect NOS     1       SM2:200     Sodum indrubate polsoning     1       SM2:200     Sodum indrubate polsoning toxic effect     1       SM3:200     Sodum indrubate polsoning toxic effect     1       SM8:010     Soap and detergent causing toxic effect     1       SM8:01     Soap - nok effect     1       SLF:100     Smooth muscle relaxant polsoning     1       SLF:200     Skeletal muscle relaxant polsoning     1       SL7:11     Slef-harm     1       SL7:20     Skeletal muscle relaxant polsoning NOS     1       SL7:20     Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning NOS     1       SL7:20     Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning NOS     1       SL7:100     Sedative polsoning NOS     1       SL7:200     Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning NOS     1       SL7:200     Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning NOS     1       SL7:200     Salatylate polsoning NOS     1       SL7:100     Salatylate polsoning NOS     1       SL6:100	SL06300	Streptomycin poisoning	1
SM22:00Solvents causing toxic effect NOSSUC7000Sodium morthuats poisoningSM32200Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effectSM80100Sodium cyanide causing toxic effectSM86100Soap and detergent causing toxic effectSM8612Soap - toxic effectSLF1.00Smooth muscle relaxant poisoningSL7.11Sleeping drug poisoningSL7.21Sleeping drug poisoningZX1.00Self-injurious behaviourZX00Self-ingurious behaviourZX00Self-ingurious behaviourZX00Self-ingurious behaviourZX01Self-damageSL7.20Sedative poisoningSL7.20Sedative poisoningSL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOSSL7.00Self-largSl5.100Salcylate poisoningSL7.01Salcylate poisoning NOSSL7.02Salcylate poisoning NOSSL7.03Salcylate poisoning NOSSl5.100Salcylate poisoning NOSSL5.100Salcylate poisoning NOSSL5.100Salcylate poisoning NOSSL5.100Salcylate poisoning NOSSL5.100Salcylate poisoningSL5.100Salcylate poisoningSL6.120Salcylate poisoningSL7.12Respiratory system drug poisoningSL7.12Respiratory system drug poisoningSL14500Quinicine poisoningSL14500Quinicine poisoningSL14500Pyrazole derivative poisoningSL14500Pyrazole derivative poisoning <td< td=""><td>SL44200 SI 97 11</td><td>Stimulant poisoning</td><td>1</td></td<>	SL44200 SI 97 11	Stimulant poisoning	1
SILC7000   Sodium morthule poisoning   1     SM32200   Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect   1     SM96101   Sodium cyanide causing toxic effect   1     SM96102   Soap and delergent causing toxic effect   1     SM96103   Soap and delergent causing toxic effect   1     SILF.100   Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning   1     SL7.211   Stelefall muscle relaxant poisoning   1     ZX.00   Self-harm   1     ZX.11   Self-damage   1     SL7.21   Sedative poisoning   1     SL7.200   Sedative poisoning NOS   1     SL7.00   Sedative poisoning NOS   1     SL7.10   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL7.100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL7.100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL7.101   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL7.102   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL7.103	SM2z.00	Solvents causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM32200Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90100Sodgm oryanide causing toxic effect1SM96 10Soap and detrepent causing toxic effect1SLF2.01Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning1SLF2.11Sileeping drug poisoning1SLF2.00Skeletal muscle relaxant poisoning1ZX1.00Self-Injurious behaviour1ZX00Self-Inam1ZX1.00Self-Inam1ZX1.00Self-Inam1SL7.11Self-damage1SL7.20Sedative poisoning NOS1SL7.30Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.30Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.30Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.30Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL7.30Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL51.00Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL51.00Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL51.00Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL41.12SIB - Self-Injurious behaviour1SL41.20Salcylate poisoning NOS1SL7.12SIB - Self-Injurious behaviour1SL61.20Rubing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL62.00Rubing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL63.00Pyixpotropic agent poisoning1SL43.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL43.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL43.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1 </td <td>SLC7000</td> <td>Sodium morrhuate poisoning</td> <td>1</td>	SLC7000	Sodium morrhuate poisoning	1
SM90100Social model causing toxic effect1SM96.00Social and detergent causing toxic effect1SM96.12Social detergent causing toxic effect1SLF1.00Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning1SL72.11Sileeping drup poisoning1ZX00Self-injurious behaviour1ZX01Self-injurious behaviour1ZX00Self-injurious behaviour1ZX01Self-injurious behaviour1ZX02Self-injurious behaviour1ZX03Self-injurious behaviour1ZX04Sedative poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative poisoning NOS1SL51100Salicylite acid salt poisoning1SL51200Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51200Salicylate poisoning Ioxic effect1SL6200Rubing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL6200Rubing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL6400Quintine poisoning1SL7400Quintine poisoning1SL7400Quintine poisoning1SL7400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL7400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL7400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL7400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL7400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL7500Progeating poisoning<	SM32200	Sodium hydroxide causing toxic effect	1
SM96.00     Soap and detergent causing toxic effect     1       SLF.100     Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SLF.2.10     Skeletal muscle relaxant poisoning     1       SLF.2.00     Skeletal muscle relaxant poisoning     1       ZX1.00     Self-injurious behaviour     1       ZX00     Self-injurious behaviour     1       ZX11     Self-damage     1       SL7.21     Sedative poisoning     1       SL7.20     Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS     1       SL7.00     Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning     1       SL7.00     Saloxitate poisoning     1       SL7.00     Saloxitate poisoning     1       SL7.00     Saloxitate poisoning     1       SL7.01     Salicylate poisoning     1       SL7.02     Salicylate poisoning     1       SL7.00     Salicylate poisoning     1       SL7.10     Salicylate poisoning     1       SL7.12     SL8-Self-injurious behaviour     1       SL06200     Riampicin poisoning     1       SL-7.12	SM90100	Sodium cyanide causing toxic effect	1
Strep:12Stopp (DAL effectSIT-2.01StreptionSL7.2.11Stepping drug poisoningSL7.2.11Stepping drug poisoningZX100Self-injurious behaviourZX100Self-injurious behaviourZX100Self-injurious behaviourZX100Self-injurious behaviourZX111Self-damageSL712Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOSSL700Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoningSL700Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoningSL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoningSL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoningSL7.00Sedative poisoningSL5100Salicylia acid salt poisoningSL51100Salicylia e poisoningSL51100Salicyliate poisoningZX112SIB - Self-injurious behaviourSL06200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effectSL06200Quinidine poisoningSL14300Quinidine poisoningSL14300Quinidine poisoningSL3300Pyrazole derivative poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Psychotrpic agent poisoningSL3400Promazine poisoningSL4400Promazine poisoningSL4400Promazine poisoningSL4400Promazine poisoningSL4400Promazine poisoning <td>SM96.00</td> <td>Soap and detergent causing toxic effect</td> <td>1</td>	SM96.00	Soap and detergent causing toxic effect	1
SL72:11   Sleeping drug polsoning   1     SL72:10   Skeletal muscle relaxant polsoning   1     ZX1.00   Self-harm   1     ZX01   Self-harm   1     ZX11   Self-damage   1     SL7.12   Sedative polsoning   1     SL7.13   Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning NOS   1     SL7.00   Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning   1     SL700   Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning   1     SL700   Sedative and hypnotic drug polsoning   1     SL7100   Salicylite polsoning   1     SL5100   Salicylite polsoning   1     SL51100   Salicylite polsoning   1     SL71.12   SB Self-injuricus behaviour   1     SM2200   Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect   1     SL6200   Rifamicin polsoning   1     SL7.12   Respiratory system drug polsoning   1     SL44500   Quinine polsoning   1     SL4400   Pyrimethamine polsoning   1     SL5.00   Psychotropic agent polsoning   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic ag	SI F1 00	Smooth muscle relaxant poisoning	1
SLF2.00Skelfeaf musčle relaxant poisoning1ZX100Self-harm1ZX00Self-harm1ZX11Self-damage1SL712Sedative poisoning1SL720Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.01Salicylate acid salt poisoning1SL5100Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51100Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1ZX112SIB - Self-injurious behaviour1SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL05200Rifampicin poisoning1SL7.12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL14500Quinine poisoning1SL2400Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL3300Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL4400Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Progestogen poisoning1SL45100Progestogen poisoning1 <td< td=""><td>SL7z.11</td><td>Sleepina drug poisoning</td><td>1</td></td<>	SL7z.11	Sleepina drug poisoning	1
ZX100Self-injurious behaviour1ZX01Self-harm1ZX02Self-damage1SL7.12Sedative poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sectorbrillal poisoning1SL7.01Salicylic acid salt poisoning1SL5100Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL5100Salicylate poisoning1SL711SB Self-injurious behaviour1SL711SB Self-injurious behaviour1SM0200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL6200Rifampicin poisoning1SL4400Quinine poisoning1SL4400Quinine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimetharnine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimetharnine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimetharnine poisoning1SL4700Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL4700Protazine poisoning1SL4700Protazine poisoning1SL4700Protazine poisoning1SL97.00Prostaglandin poisoning1SL97.00Protazine poisoning1SL4700Protazine poisoning1SL45100Protazine poisoning1SL45100Protazine	SLF2.00	Skeletal muscle relaxant poisoning	1
ZX00Self-harm1SL710Sedative poisoning1SL712Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.00Selecobarbital poisoning1SL5100Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1SL51100Salicylate poisoning1SL6200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL06200Rifampicin poisoning1SL4400Quinine poisoning1SL4300Quinine poisoning1SL3300Pyrazole derivative poisoning NOS1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Prostaglandin poisoning1SL4400Primethamine poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protasing alphate poisoning1SL45100Protasigandin poisoning1SL62200Prostaglandin poisoning1SL72300Progestogen poisoning1SL72300Progestogen poisoning1SL62000Protasium cyaning causi	ZX100	Self-injurious behaviour	1
ZA11Self-damageSL712Seldative poisoning1SL712Seldative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS1SL7.00Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.01Seldative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.020Secobarbital poisoning1SL7.030Seldative and hypnotic drug poisoning1SL7.04Salicylic acid salt poisoning1SL51.00Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51.00Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL7.12SIB - Self-injurious behaviour1SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL06200Rifamicin poisoning1SL-12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL4500Quinidine poisoning1SL4500Quinidine poisoning1SL4500Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL53.00Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL45100Protarine sulphate poisoning1SL4500Protarine sulphate poisoning1SL4500Protarine sulphate poisoning1SL45100Protazian poisoning1SL45000Protaziandin poisoning1SL45000Protaziandin poisoning1SL45000Protaziandin poisoning1SL45000	ZX00	Self-harm	1
SL7.10   Sedative poisoning NOS   1     SL7.00   Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS   1     SL7.00   Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning   1     SL7050   Secobarbital poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylic acid salt poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL712.00   Salabutamol poisoning NOS   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SLF.12   Respiratory system drug poisoning   1     SLF.12   Respiratory system drug poisoning   1     SL4000   Quinine poisoning   1     SL4000   Quinine poisoning NOS   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1 <tr< td=""><td>ZX11 SI 7 12</td><td>Self-aamage</td><td>1</td></tr<>	ZX11 SI 7 12	Self-aamage	1
SL7.00   Sedative and hypotic drug poisoning   1     SL70500   Secobarbital poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning   1     SL51100   Salicylate poisoning   1     SL7.12   SIB   Self-injurious behaviour   1     SL6200   Rubing alcoho causing toxic effect   1     SL02200   Rubing poisoning   1     SL4500   Quinidue poisoning   1     SL4400   Quinidue poisoning   1     SL4200   Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS   1     SL9.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL97.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL45100   Protamine sulphate poisoning   1     SL7200   Prostaglandin poisoning   1     SL7200   Prostaglandin poisoning   1     SL70200   P	SI 7z 00	Sedative poisoning Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning NOS	1
SL70500Secobarbital poisoning1SL51100Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51200Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51200Salicylate poisoning1ZX1.12SIB - Self-injurious behaviour1SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL6200Rifampicin poisoning1SL4200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL06200Rifampicin poisoning1SL4500Quinine poisoning1SL44500Quinine poisoning1SL44500Quinine poisoning1SL4300Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL4300Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL53.00Pyracole derivative poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Propranolol poisoning1SL4700Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL4700Progranolol poisoning1SL4700Progranolol poisoning1SL4700Progranolol poisoning1SL4700Progranolol poisoning1SL4700Progranoli poisoning1SL4700Prograning bisoning1SL4700Prograning bisoning1SL4700Prograning bisoning1SL4700Prograning bisoning1SL4700Prograning bisonin	SL700	Sedative and hypnotic drug poisoning	1
SL51100Salicylic acid salt poisoning1SL51200Salicylate poisoning NOS1SL51200Salicylate poisoning1SL51200Salicylate poisoning1SL7100Salbutamol poisoning1SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL6200Rifampicin poisoning1SL7.12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL4300Quinine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL4300Quinine poisoning1SL4300Quinine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL53.00Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL4900Psilocybin poisoning1SL49100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL4000Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranolol poisoning1SL4000Progranil poisoning1SL4000Progranil poisoning1SL4000Progranid poisoning1SL4000Prochorperazine poisoning<	SL70500	Secobarbital poisoning	1
SL51200   Salicylate poisoning NOS   1     SL51.00   Salicylate poisoning   1     ZX1.12   SIB - Self-injurious behaviour   1     SM02200   Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect   1     SL6200   Rufampicin poisoning   1     SL6200   Rufampicin poisoning toxic effect   1     SL4500   Quinidine poisoning   1     SL4500   Quinidine poisoning   1     SL4400   Pyrazole derivative poisoning   1     SL53.00   Pyrazole derivative poisoning   1     SL97.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL97.00   Psychotsimulant poisoning   1     SL4500   Protamine sulphate poisoning   1     SL46100   Protamine sulphate poisoning   1     SL4700   Psychotsimulant poisoning   1     SL4900   Psychotsimulant poisoning   1     SL4000   Protopic agent poisoning   1     SL4000   Protopic agent poisoning   1     SL4000   Protopic agent poisoning   1     SL4000   Protamine sulphate poisoning   1     SL4000 <td>SL51100</td> <td>Salicylic acid salt poisoning</td> <td>1</td>	SL51100	Salicylic acid salt poisoning	1
SLE 7100   Salebrate poisoning   1     ZX1.12   SIB - Self-injurious behaviour   1     SM02200   Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect   1     SL06200   Rifampicin poisoning   1     SL14500   Quinine poisoning   1     SL04200   Quinine poisoning   1     SL04200   Quinine poisoning   1     SL04200   Quinine poisoning   1     SL04200   Quinidine poisoning   1     SL0300   Quinidine poisoning   1     SL0300   Pyrimethamine poisoning   1     SL97.00   Psychotropic agent poisoning   1     SL0200   Prostaglandin poisoning   1     SL0200   Prostaglandin poisoning   1     SL0200   Propanolol poisoning   1     SL0200   Propanolol poisoning   1     SL0200   Progestogen poisoning   1     SL14300   Progestogen poisoning   1	SL51Z00	Salicylate poisoning NOS	1
ZX1.12SIB - Self-injurious behaviour1SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL06200Rifampicin poisoning1SL14500Quinine poisoning1SL14500Quinidine poisoning1SL14500Quinidine poisoning1SL14400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL14400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Progentinue poisoning1SL97.00Progentinue poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL7200Propanolol poisoning1SL7200Propane causing toxic effect1SL91200Procainamide poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL6000Primidone poisoning1SL6000Primidone poisoning1SL6000Protassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL94000Poisoning by temazepam1SL94000	SI F7100	Salbutamol poisoning	1
SM02200Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect1SL06200Rifampicin poisoning1SLF.12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL14500Quinine poisoning1SL14500Quinidne poisoning1SL14400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL53.00Pyrazole derivative poisoning NOS1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL92.00Prostaglandin poisoning1SL45100Protaglandin poisoning1SL70200Propranolol poisoning1SL70200Proparanel poisoning1SL22300Progeanil poisoning1SL23200Progeanil poisoning1SL23200Progeatige poisoning1SL23200Proceinamide poisoning1SL23200Proceinamide poisoning1SL23200Proceinamide poisoning1SL23200Protestigen poisoning1SL23200Protestigen poisoning1SL23200Protestigen poisoning1SL23200Protestigen poisoning1SL24200Potastium thydroxide care1 <td>ZX112</td> <td>SIB - Self-injurious behaviour</td> <td>1</td>	ZX112	SIB - Self-injurious behaviour	1
SL06200Rifampicin poisoning1SLF12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL14500Quinine poisoning1SL0300Quinidine poisoning1SL0300Quinidine poisoning1SL3.00Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL94.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL94.00Psilocybin poisoning1SL94.00Psilocybin poisoning1SL95.00Prostaglandin poisoning1SL92.00Prostaglandin poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL0200Propranolol poisoning1SL0200Propranolol poisoning1SL0200Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Proderperazine poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Potastium typorxide causing toxic effect1SM32100Potastium typorxide causing toxic effect1SL3300Potastium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL4500Potstring typormene poisoning1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL4500Potstring typormane poisoning1SL2500 <td< td=""><td>SM02200</td><td>Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect</td><td>1</td></td<>	SM02200	Rubbing alcohol causing toxic effect	1
SLF.12Respiratory system drug poisoning1SL14500Quinine poisoning1SL0300Quinidine poisoning1SL0300Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL4400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL9200Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL4500Prostaglandin poisoning1SL45100Propranolol poisoning1SL70200Proprance ausing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Prochorperazine poisoning1SL20100Procanamide poisoning1SL20100Procanamide poisoning1SL20100Protential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL3100Poisoning by temazepam1SL3100Poisoning by temazepam1SL300<	SL06200	Rifampicin poisoning	1
SL 14300Culming poisoning1SL 000Quinidine poisoning1SL 14400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL 14400Pyrazole derivative poisoning NOS1SL 92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL 97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL 97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL 97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL 97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL 45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL 70200Prostaglandin poisoning1SL 70200Propranolol poisoning1SL 70200Propane causing toxic effect1SL 14300Proguanil poisoning1SL 2300Progestogen poisoning1SL 2300Progestogen poisoning1SL 2300Procainamide poisoning1SL 2300Procainamide poisoning1SL 2300Procainamide poisoning1SL 2300Protamine depoisoning1SL 2300Protamine depoisoning1SL 6000Primidone poisoning1SL 6000Primidone poisoning1SL 6000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL 25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL 25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL 25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL 2600Poisoning by saline and osmotic lax	SLF12 SL 14500	Respiratory system drug poisoning	1
L14400Pyrimethamine poisoning1SL53.00Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL96400Psilocybin poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Protatiliant poisoning1SL70200Protatiliant poisoning1SL70200Propane causing toxic effect1SL70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL14300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by yestemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antipexphotics & neurolentics1	SI C0300	Quinidine poisoning	1
SL53.00Pyrazole derivative poisoning1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotstimulant poisoning1SL96400Psilocybin poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL7000Prostaglandin poisoning1SL7000Propranolol poisoning1SL7000Propranolol poisoning1SL7000Propranolol poisoning1SL70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL2300Progestogen poisoning1SL2300Progestogen poisoning1SL20100Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Potential suicide care1SM90000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1	SL14400	Pyrimethamine poisoning	1
SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS1SL92.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL96400Psilocybin poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SL7000Prostaglandin poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SL70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL14300Proguanil poisoning1SL2300Progestogen poisoning1SL2300Progestogen poisoning1SL20100Proceinamide poisoning1SL6000Primidone poisoning1SL6000Primidone poisoning1SL6000Potential suicide care1SM90000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posioning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1	SL53.00	Pyrazole derivative poisoning	1
SL9.00Psychotropic agent poisoning1SL97.00Psychostimulant poisoning1SL97.00Psychostimulant poisoning1SL96400Psilocybin poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SLF0200Propranolol poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SL70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL22300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Proguanil poisoning1SL20100Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL0100Procainamide poisoning1SL20100Proceainamide poisoning1SL20100Protential suicide care1SM32100Potential suicide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by catines catinsycholics & neuroleptics1	SL9z.00	Psychotropic agent poisoning NOS	1
SL97.00Psychostimular poisoning1SL96400Psilocybin poisoning1SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SLF0200Prostaglandin poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SM70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL22300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL2200Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL2100Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL20100Procainamide poisoning1SL20100Protasinum de poisoning1SL20100Potential suicide care1SM32100Potential suicide care1SM90000Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1	SL900	Psychotropic agent poisoning	1
SL45100Protamine sulphate poisoning1SLF0200Prostaglandin poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SM70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Proceinamide poisoning1SL22300Proceinamide poisoning1SL22300Proceinamide poisoning1SL2000Proceinamide poisoning1SL2000Proceinamide poisoning1SL2000Proceinamide poisoning1SL2000Proceinamide poisoning1SL20100Proceainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by schemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL96400		1
SLF0200Prostaglandin poisoning1SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SM70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL14300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL2100Prochlorperazine poisoning1SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SG61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antinsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL45100	Protamine sulphate poisoning	1
SLC0200Propranolol poisoning1SM70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL14300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL91200Prochlorperazine poisoning1SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SM32100Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SL93100Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antinsychotics & neuroleptics1	SLF0200	Prostaglandin poisoning	1
SM70100Propane causing toxic effect1SL91300Promazine poisoning1SL14300Proguanil poisoning1SL22300Progestogen poisoning1SL91200Prochlorperazine poisoning1SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning1SG61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antinsychotics & neuroleptics1	SLC0200	Propranolol poisoning	1
SL 91300Profuzilie poisoning1SL 14300Proguanil poisoning1SL 22300Progestogen poisoning1SL 91200Prochlorperazine poisoning1SL 0100Procainamide poisoning1SL 6x000Primidone poisoning1SG 61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL 25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL 25.00Poisoning by temazepam1SL 25.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL 3.00Poisoning by systemic agents1SL 3.00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antinsychotics & neuroleotics1	SM70100	Propane causing toxic effect	1
SL2230Progestogen poisoning1SL2100Procellargen poisoning1SL2100Procainamide poisoning1SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning18G61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL2500Poisoning by temazepam1SL3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antinsychotics & neuroleptics1	SI 14300	Proquanil poisoning	1
SL91200Prochlorperazine poisoning1SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning18G61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SL94600Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX.00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL22300	Progestogen poisoning	1
SLC0100Procainamide poisoning1SL6x000Primidone poisoning18G61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL94600Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SL3.00Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec, antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL91200	Prochlorperazine poisoning	1
SLoxUUUPrimidone poisoning18G61.00Potential suicide care1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SLD3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SLC0100	Procainamide poisoning	1
SG01.00Potential solution call1SM32100Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect1SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SLD3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SL X 00Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL6X000	Primidone poisoning	1
SM90000Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect1SL25.00Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SLD3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX 00Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SM32100	r otential sultitue tale Potassium hydroxide causing toxic effect	1
SL25.00Posterior pituitary homone poisoning1SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SLD3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX.00Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SM90000	Potassium cyanide causing toxic effect	1
SL94600Poisoning by temazepam1SLD3100Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives1SL3.00Poisoning by primarily systemic agents1SLX.00Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics1	SL25.00	Posterior pituitary hormone poisoning	1
SLD3100   Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives   1     SL3.00   Poisoning by primarily systemic agents   1     SLX.00   Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics   1	SL94600	Poisoning by temazepam	1
SLS.00 Poisoning by primarily systemic agents 1 SLX.00 Poisoning by oth & unspec antipsychotics & neuroleptics 1	SLD3100	Poisoning by saline and osmotic laxatives	1
	SL3.00 SLX 00	Poisoning by primarily systemic agents Poisoning by oth & unspec antinsychotics & neurolectics	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
SL29.00	Poisoning by mineralocorticoids and their antagonists	1
SLD0200	Poisoning by histamine H2-receptor antagonists	1
SL20300	Poisoning by glucocorticoids and synthetic analogues	1
SL10	Poisoning by drug and biological substances	1
SLOX100	Poisoning by carbamazepine	1
SLC9.00	Poisoning by calcium-channel blockers	1
SLC0400	Poisoning by angiotensin-conventing-enzyme inhibitors	1
SM82 12	Plants - toxic effect	1
18DB 00	Plans for deliberate self harm without intent	1
SI 16100		1
SI B0200	Pilocarpine poisoning	1
SM7v000	Phosgene causing toxic effect	1
SM93500	Phosdrin causing toxic effect	1
SL61000	Phenytoin poisoning	1
SL53100	Phenylbutazone poisoning	1
SLB3000	Phenoxybenzamine poisoning	1
SL91z00	Phenothiazine poisoning NOS	1
SL91.00	Phenothiazine poisoning	1
SLD1200	Phenolphthalein poisoning	1
SM30000	Phenol causing toxic effect	1
SL70400	Phenobarbital poisoning	1
SL42200	Phenindione poisoning	1
SL52200	Phenacetin poisoning	1
SLH4.00	Pharmaceutical excipient poisoning	1
SM1z.00	Petroleum product causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM100	Petroleum product causing toxic effect	1
SM14.00	Petroleum ether causing toxic effect	1
SM10.00	Petrol unspecified causing toxic effect	1
SL86.00	Peripheral nerve and plexus-blocking anaesthetic poisoning	1
SL70300	Pentobarbitone poisoning	1
SL34000	Penicillinase poisoning	1
SL00z00	Penicillin poisoning NOS	1
SL00.00	Penicillin poisoning	1
SL00300	Penicillin G poisoning	1
E022.00	Pathological drug intoxication	1
EU14.00	Pathological alconol intoxication	1
SIN193300	Paracympathomimetic poisoning	1
SLB0.00	Paramethadione poisoning	1
SM13.00	Paraffin wax causing toxic effect	1
SI 52100	Paracetamol poisoning	1
SI 1x300	Para-aminosalicylic acid poisoning	1
SI C5200	Panaverine poisoning	1
SLD4100	Papain poisoning	1
SLD4000	Pancreatin poisoning	1
SL04300	Oxvtetracvcline poisoning	1
SL21200	Oxymetholone poisoning	1
SL22z00	Ovarian hormone poisoning NOS	1
SL22.00	Ovarian hormone and synthetic substitute poisoning	1
SLC5.00	Other vasodilator poisoning	1
SL95.00	Other tranquilliser poisoning	1
F377.00	Other toxic agent polyneuropathy	1
SL3y.00	Other systemic agent poisoning	1
SM9y.00	Other substance causing toxic effect	1
SL0y.00	Other specific antibiotic poisoning	1
SM2yz00	Other solvents causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM200	Other solvents causing toxic effect	1
SM2y.00	Other solvents causing toxic effect	1
SLGX.00	Other skin and mucous membrane drug poisoning	1
SL7y.00	Other sedative and hypnotic poisoning	1
SLFY.00	Other respiratory system drug poisoning	1
SL99.00	Other psychotropic agent poisoning	1
SM8v 00	Other novinues causing toxic effect	1
SMO 00	Other nonmedicinal substances causing toxic effect	1
SI 5x 00	Other non-narcotic analoesic noisoning	1
SL 53.00	Other muscle drug poisoning	1
SI F6 00	Other mineral salt noisoning NEC	1
SM5v 00	Other metals causing toxic effect OS	1
SM5yz00	Other metals causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM5 00	Other metals causing toxic effect	1
SLC6.00	Other hypertensive agent poisoning	1
SM71.00	Other hydrocarbon gas causing toxic effect	1
SL2y.00	Other hormone or synthetic derivative poisoning	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
SLDy.00	Other gastrointestinal agent poisoning	1
SM700	Other gases, fumes or vapours causing toxic effect	1
SM7y.00	Other gas, fume or vapour causing toxic effect	1
SM7yz00	Other gas, fume and vapour causing toxic effect NOS	1
SLHy.00	Other drug and medicament poisoning OS	1
SLHyz00	Other drug and medicament poisoning NOS	1
SLE4z00	Other diuretic poisoning NOS	1
SLC1100	Other digitalis glycoside poisoning	1
SLAy.00	Other central nervous system stimulant poisoning	1
SLD3.00	Other cathartic poisoning	1
SL93.00	Other antipsychotics/neuroleptics/tranquilliser poisoning	1
SL15.00	Other antiprotozoal drug poisoning	1
SL30x00	Other antihistamine poisoning	1
SL6x.00	Other anticonvulsant poisoning	1
SL100	Other anti-infective poisoning	1
SL1y.00	Other anti-infective poisoning	1
SLH00	Other and unspecified drug and medicament poisoning	1
SL5yz00	Other analgesic or antipyretic poisoning NOS	1
SL5y.00	Other analgesic and antipyretic poisoning	1
SM0y.00	Other alcohol causing toxic effect	1
SM93Z00	Organophosphate and carbamate causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM93.00	Organophosphate and carbamate causing toxic effect	1
SLF1100	Orciprenaline poisoning	1
SL22000	Orial contraceptive poisoning	1
SL30.12	Opiate poisoning	1
SL30200	Opiate of halcolic poisoning NOS	1
SLA 1200	Opiate antagonist poisoning NOS	1
SLA 1.00	Opiate antagonist poisoning	1
SL50.00		1
SL03100	Oreandomychi polsoning	1
SL22100	Nestetia poisoning	1
SLU1200	Nysialin poisoning Nevieus substance estan as feed equaing toxic effect NOS	1
SIVIOZ.00	Noxious substance eaten as food causing toxic effect	1
SIVIO00	Notious substance eaten as food causing toxic enect	1
SLDZIUU SM 00	Noradicinal agent causing toxic offects	1
SIVI00 SI 5x700	Non-narcatic analgosic naisoning NOS	1
SL3X200	Non-maticinal agent causing toxic offect NOS	1
SIVIZ00	Non-medicinal agent causing toxic enect NOS	1
SM72 00	Nitrogen oxides causing toxic effect	1
SM72000	Nitrogen dioxide causing toxic effect	1
SI 1v100	Nitrofuran derivative poisoning	1
SM31100	Nitric acid causing toxic effect	1
SI 94500	Nitrazenam poisoning	1
SI C4100	Nitrate noisoning	1
SM5v300	Nickel compounds causing toxic effect	1
SI 50 11	Narcotic poisoning	1
SI 54300	Narcote poisoning	1
SI 21100	Nandrolone noisoning	1
SM81.00	Mushrooms causing toxic effect	1
SLF 11	Muscle drug poisoning	1
SI 50500	Morphine poisoning	1
1BD2.00	Morbid thoughts	1
SL90200	Monoamine oxidase inhibitor poisoning	1
1BD6.00	Moderate suicide risk	1
SL76.00	Mixed sedative poisoning NEC	1
SL07400	Mitomycin poisoning	1
SL04200	Minocycline poisoning	1
SM01.00	Methyl alcohol causing toxic effect	1
SL80100	Methocarbamol poisoning	1
SL74.00	Methaqualone compound poisoning	1
SM01000	Methanol causing toxic effect	1
SL50200	Methadone poisoning	1
SM5z.00	Metals causing toxic effect NOS	1
SL12300	Mercury compound poisoning	1
SM50.00	Mercury causing toxic effect	1
SL31600	Mercaptopurine poisoning	1
SL95100	Meprobamate poisoning	1
SL50400	Meperidine (pethidine) poisoning	1
SL54400	Mefenamic acid poisoning	1
SL13	Medicinal poisoning	1
SL94400	Medazepam poisoning	1
SL96200	Marihuana poisoning	1
SM93200	Malathion causing toxic effect	1
SLD0100	magnesium trisilicate poisoning	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
SLD3000	Magnesium sulphate poisoning	1
T180.00	MVTA - accid poisoning - exhaust gas of moving motor vehicle	1
SL90211	MAOI - monoamine oxidase inhibitor poisoning	1
SL96100	Lysergide (LSD) poisoning	1
1BD7.00	Low suicide risk	1
SL94300	Lorazepam poisoning	1
SLG2.12	Local detergent poisoning	1
SLG2.11	Local astringent poisoning	1
SLG2.00	Local astringent and detergent poisoning	1
SLG0.00	Local anti-infective and anti-inflammatory poisoning	1
SL8z.00	Local anaesthetic poisoning NOS	1
SLA0000	Lobeline poisoning	1
44W8100	Lithium level high - toxic	1
SM70.00	Liquefied petrol gas causing toxic effect	1
SL85100	Lidocaine poisoning	1
SL27100	Levothyroxine sodium poisoning	1
SL6y200	Levodopa (L-dopa) poisoning	1
SL12200	Lead compound poisoning	1
SM4z.00	Lead compound causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM400	Lead and lead compounds causing toxic effect	1
SM41000	Lead acetate causing toxic effect	1
TH02.00	Late effects of accidental poisoning	1
SC00	Late effects injury/poisoning/toxic effects/external causes	1
SC41.00	Late effect of poison due to nonmedical substance	1
SC40.00	Late effect of poison drug/medicament/biological substance	1
SC41.11	Late effect of poison	1
SCZ00	Late effect injury/poison/toxin effect/external cause NOS	1
SL83000	Ketamine poisoning	1
SM12.00	Kerosene causing toxic effect	1
SLG4.12	Keratoplastic poisoning	1
SL1x200	Isoniazid poisoning	1
SLD1.00	Irritant cathartic poisoning	1
SM5y200	Iron compounds causing toxic effect	1
SL40z00	Iron and Iron compound poisoning NOS	1
SL40.00	Iron and iron compound poisoning	1
SL28000	lodide poisoning	1
SP35000	Intoxication by serum	1
E250.14	Intoxication - alcohol	1
1BDC.00	Intent of deliberate self harm with detailed plans	1
SL23.00	Insulins and antidiadetic poisoning	1
SL23400		1
IN00	Injury undetermined whether accidentally/purposely inflicted	1
TNZ00	Injury undetermined accidental or purposely inflicted NOS	1
TM21.00	Injury due to legal intervention by poisoning by gas	1
Sz00	Injury and poisoning NOS	1
S00	Injury and poisoning	1
TN39.00	Injury ?accidental, other means of hang/strangle/suffocate	1
TN900	Injury ?accidental, late effects	1
TN32.00	Injury ?accidental, hanging/strangulation/surrocation NOS	1
TN70.00	Injury Passidental, fall from residential premises	1
TN71.00	Injury Passidental, fall from notural site	1
TN72.00	Injury Saccidental fall from high place NOS	1
TN7 00	Injury Saccidental, rail from high place	1
TN4 00	Injury Paccidental, rai nomingriplace	1
TN61.00	Injury Paccidental, drowning	1
TN51.00	Injury Parcidental, by stabbing instrument	1
TN82.00	Injury Paccidental, by sholgan	1
TN8v 00	Injury Paccidental, by stead	1
TN8 00	Injury Paccidental, by other means	1
TN54 00	Injury Paccidental, by other firearm	1
TN87 00	Injury Paccidental, by means NOS	1
TN80100	Injury Paccidental, by Iving before moving object	1
TN80 00	Injury ?accidental, by iumping or lving before moving object	1
TN80000	Injury ?accidental, by jumping of hing before moving object	1
TN52 00	Injury Paccidental, by juniping boloid moving object	1
TN50 00	Injury ?accidental, by handoun	1
TN500	Injury ?accidental, by firearms and explosives	1
TN57 00	Injury ?accidental, by firearm or explosive NOS	1
TN83.00	Injury ?accidental, by extremes of cold	1
TN55 00	Injury ?accidental, by explosive	1
TN84 00	Injury ?accidental, by electrocution	1
TN67 00	Injury Paccidental, by cutting or stabbing instrument NOS	1
TN60.00	Injury ?accidental, by cutting instrument	1
TN6.00	Injury ?accidental, by cutting and stabbing instruments	1
		1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
TN85.00	Injury ?accidental, by crashing of motor vehicle	1
TN86.00	Injury ?accidental, by crashing of aircraft	1
TN87.00	Injury ?accidental, by caustic substances, except poisoning	1
IN81.00	Injury ?accidental, by burns or fire	1
SL54100	Indometacin poisoning	1
SL31.12 SL00100		1
SL90100 SL 54200		1
SL 7 11	Hyphotic poisoning	1
SI C6z00	Hypertensive agent poisoning NOS	1
SLB1200	Hvoscine poisoning	1
SL95000	Hydroxyzine poisoning	1
SL13.11	Hydroxyquinoline poisoning	1
SM31000	Hydrochloric acid causing toxic effect	1
SL61.00	Hydantoin derivative poisoning	1
SL47100	Human fibrinogen poisoning	1
SL2z.00	Hormone or synthetic substitute poisoning NOS	1
SL200	Hormone and synthetic substitute poisoning	1
1BD5.00	High suicide risk	1
SL50100	Heroin poisoning	1
SL42100	Heparin poisoning	1
SL12200	Heavy metal anti-infective poisoning NOS	1
SL 12.00	Heavy metal and infective poisoning	1
1BD 00	Harmful thoughts	1
SI 81 00	Halothane poisoning	1
SL92000	Haloperidol poisoning	1
SL96z00	Hallucinogen poisoning NOS	1
SL96.00	Hallucinogen poisoning	1
SLG4.00	Hair treatment poisoning	1
14K0.00	H/O: repeated overdose	1
14K00	H/O: poisoning	1
SL24211	Growth hormone poisoning	1
SL01100	Griseofulvin poisoning	1
SL24100	Gonadotrophin poisoning	1
SL54000	Gold salt poisoning	1
SLD2.00	Gastrointestinal agent poisoning NOS	1
SLD00 SM7z 00		1
SI C3700	Ganglion-blocker poisoning NOS	1
SLC3.00	Ganglion-blocker poisoning	1
SM03z00	Fusel oil causing toxic effect NOS	1
SLE4100	Furosemide poisoning	1
SM74.00	Freon causing toxic effect	1
SL41000	Folic acid poisoning	1
SL94200	Flurazepam poisoning	1
SL91100	Fluphenazine poisoning	1
SL31500	Fluorouracil poisoning	1
SL1y000	Flucytosine poisoning	1
SL40100	Ferrous sulphate poisoning	1
SL40000	Fence Sale poisoning	1
SLG5z00	Eve drug poisoning NOS	1
SI G5 00	Eve drug poisoning NEC	1
SLG12	Eve drug poisoning	1
SLF5.00	Expectorant poisoning	1
SM00z00	Ethyl alcohol causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM00.00	Ethyl alcohol causing toxic effect	1
SL6y100	Ethopropazine poisoning	1
SL82000	Ether poisoning	1
SM00000	Ethanol causing toxic effect	1
SL1x000	Ethambutol poisoning	1
SLE4000	Ethacrynic acid poisoning	1
SL03000		1
SL E0000	Frant alkaloid noisoning	1
E230200	Episodic acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism	1
SL34.00	Enzyme poisoning NEC	1
SLG3.00	Emollients, demulcents and protectant poisoning	1
SLD2.00	Emollient cathartic poisoning	1
SLD6.00	Emetic drug poisoning	1
SLE5.00	Electrolyte agent poisoning	1
SL97200	Ecstasy poisoning	1
SLG6.00	Ear, nose and throat drug poisoning NEC	1
SLz00	Drug, medicament or biological substance poisoning NOS	1
Read code	Description	Number of studies
-----------	--	-------------------
SL12	Drug poisoning	1
SL04100	Doxycycline poisoning	1
SLE11	Diuretic poisoning	1
SLC4000	Dipyridamole poisoning	1
SL30100	Diphenhydramine poisoning	1
SLD2000	Dioctyl sulphosuccinate poisoning	1
SM02000	Dimethyl carbinol causing toxic effect	1
SL50700	Dihydrocodeine poisoning	1
SLC1000	Digoxin poisoning	1
SLD4.00	Digestant poisoning	1
SL94100	Diazepam poisoning	1
SLHy100	Diagnostic agent NEC, poisoning	1
SL50600	Dextropropoxyphene poisoning	1
SLF4000	Dextromethorphan poisoning	1
SM96.11	Detergent toxic effect	1
SM00100	Denatured alcohol causing toxic effect	1
ZX113	Deliberate self-harm	1
SL07300	Daunorubicin poisoning	1
SL07100	Dactinomycin poisoning	1
SM92200	DDT causing toxic effect	1
SL31400	Cytarabine poisoning	1
SL31300	Cyclophosphamide poisoning	1
SLC5000	Cyclandelate poisoning	1
SM90z00	Cyanides causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM90.00	Cyanides and hydrocyanic acid causing toxic effect	1
ZX13100	Cutting own wrists	1
SL42000	Coumarin poisoning	1
SL20000	Cortisone derivative poisoning	1
SL24000	Corticotropin poisoning	1
SM300	Corrosives/acids/caustic alkalis causing toxic effect	1
SM3z.00	Corrosive/acid/caustic alkali causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM30z00	Corrosive aromatics causing toxic effect NOS	1
SLC4.00	Coronary vasodilator poisoning	1
SM5y100	Copper salts causing toxic effect	1
E230100	Continuous acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism	1
SL22200	Combined oestrogen and progesterone poisoning	1
SLE7100	Colchicine poisoning	1
SL50300	Codeine (methylmorphine) poisoning	1
SL85000	Cocaine poisoning	1
SLC6000	Clonidine poisoning	1
SM56.00	Chromium causing toxic effect	1
SLB0z00	Cholineraic poisoning NOS	1
SI B0 11	Cholineraic poisoning	1
SI 91000	Chlorpromazine poisoning	1
SI 30000	Chlorphenamine poisoning	1
SI 14000	Chloroquine poisoning	1
SM75100	Chloroacetophenone causing toxic effect	1
SM76.00	Chlorine gas causing toxic effect	1
SM92.00	Chlorinated hydrocarbon causing toxic effect	1
SI 94000		1
SL02000	Chloramphenicol poisoning	1
SI 31200	Chlorambucil poisoning	1
SL71.00	Chloral hydrate poisoning	1
SL13000	Chiniofon poisoning	1
SLH2.11	Chelating agent poisoning	1
SLAz.00	Central nervous system stimulant poisoning NOS	1
SLA 00	Central nervous system stimulant poisoning	1
SI 80700	Central nervous system muscle-tone depressant poisoning NOS	1
SI 80 00	Central nervous system muscle-tone depressant poisoning	1
SI 8 00	Central nervous system depressants and anaesthetic poisoning	1
SI H0000	Central appetite depressant poisoning	1
SI 05000	Cefalexin poisoning	1
SM32z00	Caustic alkalis causing toxic effect NOS	1
SM32.00	Caustic alkalis causing toxic effect	1
Tz00	Causes of iniury and poisoning NOS	1
T00	Causes of injury and poisoning	1
T8 11	Cause of overdose - accidental	1
SLC 00	Cardiovascular drug poisoning	1
SI Cz 00	Cardiovascular agent noisoning NOS	1
SI C0 00	Cardiac rhythm drug poisoning	1
SI C1 00	Cardiac divcoside poisoning	1
SM21 00	Carbon tetrachloride causing toxic effect	1
SM6 00	Carbon monoxide causing toxic effect	1
SM93000	Carbaryl causing toxic effect	1
SL80000	Carbamate poisoning	1
		•

Read code	Description	Number of studies	;
SLB1400	Caramiphen poisoning	1	
SL96000	Cannabis poisoning	1	
SL97100	Catteine poisoning	1	
SM70000	Butane causing toxic effect	1	
SI 70200	Butaharbitone poisoning	1	
SL31100	Busulfan poisoning	. 1	
SL07200	Bleomycin poisoning	1	
SL11	Biological substance poisoning	1	
SL23100	Biguanide poisoning	1	
SLC0400	Beta blocker poisoning	1	
SM53.00	Beryllium causing toxic effect	1	
SM82.00	Berries and other plants causing toxic effect	1	
SIVIOZ. 1 1 SI E 3000	Benzothiazide poisoning	1	
SI 94700	Benzodiazenine poisoning NOS	1	
SL94.00	Benzodiazepine poisoning	1	
SM20.00	Benzene causing toxic effect	1	
SL70z00	Barbiturate poisoning NOS	1	
SL70.00	Barbiturate poisoning	1	
SL70100	Barbitone poisoning	1	
SL31000	Azathioprine poisoning	1	
SLB00	Autonomic nervous system drug poisoning	1	
3LB 1000 1BD8 00	Atrophic poisoning Atrisk of DSH - deliberate self harm	1	
TI 22 00	Assault by poisoning by other gases or vapours	1	
TI 20.00	Assault by poisoning by drugs or medicines	1	
TL2z.00	Assault by poisoning NOS	1	
TL200	Assault by poisoning	1	
SL51000	Aspirin poisoning	1	
SL11.00	Arsenical anti-infective poisoning	1	
SM51.00	Arsenic causing toxic effect	1	
SL52z00	Aromatic analgesic poisoning NOS	1	
SL52.00	Aromatic analgesic polsoning NEC	1	
SLF4200 SL 28 00	Antitussive poisoning NOS Antithyroid agent poisoning	1	
SI 54700	Antirheumatic poisoning NOS	1	
SL54.00	Antirheumatic poisoning	1	
SL5y200	Antipyretic poisoning, NEC	1	
SL512	Antipyretic poisoning	1	
SL6y.00	Antiparkinsonism drug poisoning	1	
SL6yz00	Antiparkinsonian drug poisoning NOS	1	
SL31Z00	Antineoplastic or immunosuppressive poisoning NOS	1	
SL07.00 SL 31.00	Antineoplastic antipolic poisoning	1	
SI 14700	Antimalarial drug poisoning NOS	1	
SL14.00	Antimalarial drug poisoning	1	
SLC2.00	Antilipaemic and antiarteriosclerotic poisoning	1	
SL30.13	Antihistamine poisoning	1	
SL01.00	Antifungal antibiotic poisoning	1	
SL30.12	Antiemetic poisoning	1	
SLD5z00	Antidiarrhoeal poisoning NOS	1	
SLD5.00		1	
SL6 11	Anticonvulsant poisoning NOS	1	
SL6z.00	Anticonvulsant or antiparkinsonian drug poisoning NOS	1	
SL600	Anticonvulsant and antiParkinsonian drug poisoning	1	
SL42z00	Anticoagulant poisoning NOS	1	
SL42.00	Anticoagulant poisoning	1	
SL45z00	Anticoagulant agonist poisoning NOS	1	
SL45.00	Anticoagulant agonist poisoning	1	
SLDU100	Anticholinesterase poisoning Antibiotic poisoning NOS	1	
SL02.00	Antibiotic poisoning NOO	1	
SLF7z00	Antiasthmatic poisoning NOS	1	
SL30.00	Antiallergic and antiemetic drug poisoning	1	
SL1z.00	Anti-infective poisoning NOS	1	
SLD0.00	Anti-gastric acid drug poisoning	1	
SLF6.00	Anti-common cold drug poisoning	1	
SL16.00	Anthelmintic drug poisoning	1	
SL24.00	Anterior pituitary hormone poisoning	1	
SLDUZUU	Antacia arug poisoning NOS	1	
SL21.12	Androgen poisoning	1	
SL21z00	Androgen or anabolic poisoning NOS	1	

Read code	Description	Number of studies	
SL21.00	Androgen and anabolic poisoning	1	
SL5z.00	Analgesic, antipyretic or antirheumatic poisoning NOS	1	
SL500	Analgesic, antipyretic and antirheumatic drug poisoning	1	
SL5y100	Analgesic poisoning, NEC	1	
SL511	Analgesic poisoning	1	
SLA0.00	Analeptic poisoning	1	
SL811	Anaesthetic poisoning	1	
SL21.11	Anabolic steroid poisoning	1	
SM03000	Amyl alcohol causing toxic effect	1	
SL00000	Ampicillin poisoning	1	
SL01000	Amphotericin B poisoning	1	
SL70000	Amobarbital poisoning	1	
SL90000	Amitriptyline poisoning	1	
SLF7000	Aminophylline poisoning	1	
SL97000	Amfetamine poisoning	1	
SL6y000	Amantadine poisoning	1	
SLD0000	Aluminium hydroxide poisoning	1	
SLE7000	Allopurinol poisoning	1	
SLH3.00	Alcohol deterrent poisoning	1	
E230.11	Alcohol dependence with acute alcoholic intoxication	1	
SM0z.00	Alcohol causing toxic effect NOS	1	
SM000	Alcohol causing toxic effect	1	
SI 4 00	Agents affecting blood constituents causing poisoning	1	
TJF5100	Adverse reaction to ipecacuanha	1	
TJF5000	Adverse reaction to acetylcysteine	1	
SI C8000	Adrenochrome noisoning	1	
SI B2 11	Adreneraic poisoning	1	
SI 20700	Adrenal cortico-steroid poisoning NOS	1	
SI 20.00	Adrenal cortico-steroid poisoning	1	
761H300	Administration of activated charcoal	1	
F230000	Automitistration of activated charcoal	1	
E230000	Acute alcoholic intoxication, unspecified, in alcoholism	1	
E230200	Acute alcoholic intevication in alcoholism NOS	1	
EZ30.00	Acute alcoholic intoxication in alcoholism	1	
SIVI31200	Acids causing toxic effect	1	
SIVI31.00	Acids causing toxic effect	1	
SL32.00	Acidirying agent poisoning	1	
SLB0000		1	
SM2y000	Acetone causing toxic effect	1	
SL23000	Acetonexamide poisoning	1	
SLE2000	Acetazolamide poisoning	1	
180yz00	Accidental poisoning-oth analgesic, antipyretic, antirheum NOS	1	
1830z00	Accidental poisoning- phenothiazine-based tranquillisers NOS	1	
T832z00	Accidental poisoning- benzodiazepine-based tranquilliser NOS	1	
T953100	Accidental poisoning from seeds	1	
T954.00	Accidental poisoning from other plants	1	
T955y00	Accidental poisoning from other fungi	1	
T955.00	Accidental poisoning from mushrooms and other fungi	1	
T955z00	Accidental poisoning from mushrooms and fungi NOS	1	
T955000	Accidental poisoning from mushrooms	1	
T9500	Accidental poisoning from foodstuffs and poisonous plants	1	
T953z00	Accidental poisoning from berries or seeds NOS	1	
T953.00	Accidental poisoning from berries and seeds	1	
T953000	Accidental poisoning from berries	1	
T937400	Accidental poisoning by zinc phosphide	1	
T916300	Accidental poisoning by white washes	1	
T885.00	Accidental poisoning by water, mineral, uric acid metab drugs	1	
T937300	Accidental poisoning by warfarin	1	
T981z00	Accidental poisoning by utility gas NOS	1	
T88z.00	Accidental poisoning by unspecified drugs	1	
T83z.00	Accidental poisoning by tranquillisers NOS	1	
T8300	Accidental poisoning by tranquillisers	1	
T930500	Accidental poisoning by toxaphene	1	
T964700	Accidental poisoning by thallium compounds	1	
T937200	Accidental poisoning by thallium	1	
T993.00	Accidental poisoning by tear gas	1	
T910.00	Accidental poisoning by synthetic detergents and shampoos	1	
T941200	Accidental poisoning by sulphuric acid	1	
T991.00	Accidental poisoning by sulphur dioxide	1	
T937100	Accidental poisoning by squill and derivatives	1	
T92z.00	Accidental poisoning by solvent NOS	1	
T96z.00	Accidental poisoning by solid and liquid substances NOS	1	
T942000	Accidental poisoning by sodium hydroxide	1	
T911.00	Accidental poisoning by soap products	1	
T887z00	Accidental poisoning by skin, eye, ENT and dental drug NOS	1	
T887000	Accidental poisoning by skin drugs	1	

Read code	Description	Number of studies
T82z.00	Accidental poisoning by sedatives and hypnotics NOS	1
T903300	Accidental poisoning by secondary propyl alcohol	1
T913000	Accidental poisoning by scouring agents	1
T803z00	Accidental poisoning by salicylates NOS	1
T803.00	Accidental poisoning by salicylates	1
T937z00	Accidental poisoning by rodenticides NOS	1
T937.00	Accidental poisoning by rodenticides	1
T815.00	Accidental poisoning by quinalbarbitone	1
T805.00	Accidental poisoning by pyrazole derivatives	1
T84z.00	Accidental poisoning by psychotropic agents NOS	1
T841400	Accidental poisoning by psilocin	1
T932200	Accidental poisoning by propoxur	1
T980200	Accidental poisoning by propane	1
T830300	Accidental poisoning by promazine	1
T830200	Accidental poisoning by prochlorperazine	1
T881.00	Accidental poisoning by primarily systemic agents	1
T912.00	Accidental poisoning by polishes	1
T965z00	Accidental poisoning by plant foods and fertilisers NOS	1
T965.00	Accidental poisoning by plant foods and fertilisers	1
T965000	Accidental poisoning by plant food	1
1973.00	Accidental poisoning by piped natural gas	1
1938200	Accidental poisoning by phosphine	1
1805100	Accidental poisoning by phenylbutazone	1
1830.00	Accidental poisoning by phenothiazine-based tranquillisers	1
1940011	Accidental poisoning by phenol	1
1814.00	Accidental poisoning by phenobarbitone	1
1804200	Accidental poisoning by phenacetin	1
1920.00	Accidental poisoning by petroleum solvents	1
1923.00	Accidental poisoning by petroleum solids	1
1920200	Accidental poisoning by petroleum naphtha	1
1921.12	Accidental poisoning by petroleum fuels	1
T920Z00	Accidental poisoning by petrol solvents NOS	1
1923z00	Accidental poisoning by petrol solids NOS	1
192.00	Accidental poisoning by petrol products	1
1921.00	Accidental poisoning by petrol fuels and cleaners	1
T921200	Accidental poisoning by petrol fuel or cleaner NOS	1
1921200	Accidental poisoning by petrol	1
T802100	Accidental poisoning by pethidine	1
1813.00	Accidental poisoning by pentobarbitone	1
T009000		1
1933300	Accidental poisoning by paraqual	1
T923000	Accidental poisoning by paranin wax	1
T016-00	Accidental poisoning by paracelaritor	1
T910200	Accidental poisoning by paint of varifish NOS	1
T00/300	Accidental poisoning by otornitolal yngological drugs	1
T 9000	Accidental poisoning by other utility gas + carbon monoxide	1
T901.00	Accidental poisoning by other tranquillieers NOS	1
T83y 00	Accidental poisoning by other tranquillisers NOS	1
T039.00	Accidental poisoning by other solvents NOS	1
T924 00	Accidental poisoning by other solvents	1
T06y 00	Accidental poisoning by other solid and liquid substances OS	1
T96 00	Accidental poisoning by other solid and liquid substances 00	1
T82v 00	Accidental poisoning by other sedatives and hypototics OS	1
T82 00	Accidental poisoning by other sedatives and hypnotics	1
T84 00	Accidental poisoning by other psychotronic agents	1
T916 00	Accidental poisoning by other paints and varnishes	1
T802700	Accidental poisoning by other opiates NOS	1
T802.00	Accidental poisoning by other opiates	1
T807 00	Accidental poisoning by other non-narcotic analgesics	1
T9 00	Accidental poisoning by other non-drug substances	1
T964.00	Accidental poisoning by other metals + compounds and fumes	1
T934 00	Accidental poisoning by other insecticides	1
T99v.00	Accidental poisoning by other gases and vapours OS	1
T99vz00	Accidental poisoning by other gases and vapours NOS	1
T9900	Accidental poisoning by other gases and vapours	1
T95y.00	Accidental poisoning by other foods	1
T901.00	Accidental poisoning by other ethyl alcohol and its products	1
T8500	Accidental poisoning by other drugs acting on nervous system	1
T88y.00	Accidental poisoning by other drugs OS	1
T88yz00	Accidental poisoning by other drugs NOS	1
T8800	Accidental poisoning by other drugs	1
T94y.00	Accidental poisoning by other corrosives and caustics	1
T913z00	Accidental poisoning by other cleaning agents NOS	1
T913.00	Accidental poisoning by other cleaning agents	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
T90y.00	Accidental poisoning by other alcohols	1
T966y00	Accidental poisoning by other adhesives	1
1851.00	Accidental poisoning by oth central nervous syst depressants	1
180y.00	Accidental poisoning by oth analgesics, antipyretic, antirheum	1
1931Z00	Accidental poisoning by organophosphorus insecticides NUS	1
T931.00	Accidental poisoning by organophosphorus insecticides	1
1930.00	Accidental poisoning by organic more unices	1
T930000	Accidental poisoning by organic mercunals	1
T843100	Accidental poisoning by opidin Accidental poisoning by opidie antagonists	1
T887200	Accidental poisoning by optice anagonists	1
T855100	Accidental poisoning by opradrenalin	1
T807z00	Accidental poisoning by non-narcotic analgesics NOS	1
T916200	Accidental poisoning by non-lead paints	1
T990.00	Accidental poisoning by nitrogen oxides	1
T941100	Accidental poisoning by nitric acid	1
T832500	Accidental poisoning by nitrazepam	1
T964600	Accidental poisoning by nickel compounds	1
T806200	Accidental poisoning by naproxen	1
T886.00	Accidental poisoning by muscle + respiratory system drugs	1
T887100	Accidental poisoning by mucous membrane drugs	1
T982.00	Accidental poisoning by motor vehicle exhaust gas	1
T802200	Accidental poisoning by morphine	1
T840200	Accidental poisoning by monoamine oxidase inhibitors	1
T933.00	Accidental poisoning by mixtures of insecticides	1
T935400	Accidental poisoning by mixtures herbicides+plant food etc	1
T825.00	Accidental poisoning by mixed sedatives NEC	1
1901100	Accidental poisoning by methylated spirit	1
1938100	Accidental poisoning by methyl bromide	1
1902.00	Accidental poisoning by methyl alconol	1
1023.00	Accidental poisoning by methanol	1
T902000	Accidental poisoning by methodono	1
T001.00 T064700	Accidental poisoning by metals $\pm$ compounds and fumes NOS	1
T961000	Accidental poisoning by mercury unspecified	1
T961700	Accidental poisoning by mercury, NOS	1
T961200	Accidental poisoning by mercury fumes	1
T961.00	Accidental poisoning by mercury and its compounds and fumes	1
T832400	Accidental poisoning by medazepam	1
T964500	Accidental poisoning by manganese and its compounds	1
T931300	Accidental poisoning by malathion	1
T841100	Accidental poisoning by lysergide, LSD	1
T922.00	Accidental poisoning by lubricating oils	1
T832300	Accidental poisoning by lorazepam	1
T852.00	Accidental poisoning by local anaesthetic	1
T852100	Accidental poisoning by lignocaine	1
T960000	Accidental poisoning by lead, unspecified	1
T960z00	Accidental poisoning by lead, NOS	1
T915.00	Accidental poisoning by lead paints	1
T960.00	Accidental poisoning by lead and its compounds and fumes	1
1916000	Accidental poisoning by lacquers	1
1806400	Accidental poisoning by ketoproten	1
1921300 T002-00		1
1 903200 T003100	Accidental poisoning by isopropanal	1
T903100	Accidental poisoning by isopropanol	1
T034-00	Accidental poisoning by incontrollog NOS	1
T806100	Accidental poisoning by indemethacin	1
T840100	Accidental poisoning by intomethacin	1
T806300	Accidental poisoning by inuprofen	1
T854200	Accidental poisoning by hyperion	1
T941000	Accidental poisoning by hydrochloric acid	1
T850100	Accidental poisoning by hydratoin derivatives	1
T91z.00	Accidental poisoning by household agents NOS	1
T9100	Accidental poisoning by household agents	1
T880.00	Accidental poisoning by hormones and synthetic substitutes	1
T800.00	Accidental poisoning by heroin	1
T935z00	Accidental poisoning by herbicides NOS	1
T935.00	Accidental poisoning by herbicides	1
T981300	Accidental poisoning by heating gas NOS	1
T831000	Accidental poisoning by haloperidol	1
T851200	Accidental poisoning by halogenated hydrocarbon derivatives	1
T841.00	Accidental poisoning by hallucinogens	1
1841z00	Accidental poisoning by hallucinogen NOS	1
1806000	Accidental poisoning by gold salts	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
T966z00	Accidental poisoning by glues and adhesives NOS	1
T966.00	Accidental poisoning by glues and adhesives	1
T966000	Accidental poisoning by glues	1
T884 00	Accidental poisoning by gastrointestinal system drugs	1
T470.00	Accidental poisoning by gases or fumes on shin	1
T007 00	Accidental poisoning by gases of diversion NOS	1
T992.00	Accidental polsoning by gases and vapours NOS	1
1921100	Accidental poisoning by gas ons	1
19700	Accidental poisoning by gas distributed by pipeline	1
T936z00	Accidental poisoning by fungicides NOS	1
T936.00	Accidental poisoning by fungicides	1
T938.00	Accidental poisoning by fumigants	1
T957 00	Accidental poisoning by foodstuffs and poisonous plants NOS	1
T965100	Accidental poisoning by fertilisers	1
T082000	Accidental poisoning by orbust and stationary farm tractor	1
T902000	Accidental poisoning by exhaust gas-stationally raini factor	1
1962200	Accidental poisoning by exhaust gas from motor venicle NOS	1
1982100	Accidental poisoning by exhaust gas from gas engine	1
T901z00	Accidental poisoning by ethyl alcohol NOS	1
T901300	Accidental poisoning by ethanol, NOS	1
T800	Accidental poisoning by drugs, medicines and biologicals	1
T882.00	Accidental poisoning by drugs affecting blood constituents	1
T85z 00	Accidental poisoning by drugs acting on nervous system NOS	1
T87 00	Accidental poisoning by drugs NOS	1
T0200	Accidental poisoning by diags NOS	1
1914.00	Accidental posoning by disinectants	1
1935300	Accidental poisoning by diquat	1
1930300	Accidental poisoning by dieldrin	1
T832100	Accidental poisoning by diazepam	1
T800.11	Accidental poisoning by diamorphine	1
T887400	Accidental poisoning by dental drugs	1
T901000	Accidental poisoning by denatured alcohol	1
T938000	Accidental poisoning by cvanides	1
T067 00	Accidental poisoning by cosmolics	1
T907.00	Accidental polsoning by cosmellos	1
1942.00	Accidental poisoning by corrosives and caustics NOS	1
19400	Accidental poisoning by corrosives and caustics NEC	1
T940.00	Accidental poisoning by corrosive aromatics	1
T964300	Accidental poisoning by copper salts	1
T981400	Accidental poisoning by cooking gas NOS	1
T802000	Accidental poisoning by codeine	1
T852000	Accidental poisoning by cocaine	1
T071 00	Accidental poisoning by coal as NOS	1
T971.00	Accidental poisoning by coaligoration	1
1000.00	Accidental posoning by choinergics	1
1830000	Accidental poisoning by chlorpromazine	1
Т99у000	Accidental poisoning by chlorine	1
T832000	Accidental poisoning by chlordiazepoxide	1
T930100	Accidental poisoning by chlordane	1
T935200	Accidental poisoning by chlorates	1
T820.00	Accidental poisoning by chloral hydrate	1
T88v000	Accidental poisoning by central annatile depressants	1
T00y000	Accidental poisoning by central appende depressants	1
1942200	Accidental posoning by causic alkais NOS	1
1942.00	Accidental poisoning by caustic alkalis	1
1883.00	Accidental poisoning by cardiovascular system drugs	1
T983.00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide-other domestic fuel	1
T970.00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide from piped gas	1
T98y.00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide from other sources	1
T98yz00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide from oth source NOS	1
T98z.00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide NOS	1
T983z00	Accidental poisoning by carbon monoxide - domestic fuel NOS	1
T040000	Accidental poisoning by carbolic acid	1
T022100	Accidental poisoning by carbonic acid	4
1932100	Accidental poisoning by carbaryi	1
1932.00	Accidental poisoning by carbamates	1
1841000	Accidental poisoning by cannabis derivatives	1
T842100	Accidental poisoning by caffeine	1
T964200	Accidental poisoning by cadmium and its compounds	1
T980100	Accidental poisoning by butane	1
T993000	Accidental poisoning by bromobenzyl cvanide	1
T822.00	Accidental poisoning by bromine compounds	1
T022.00	Accidental poisoning by bromine compounds	I ∡
1022000	Accidental poisoning by bronnues	1
1904100	Accidental poisoning by prass tumes	1
1964000	Accidental poisoning by beryllium and its compounds	1
T832.00	Accidental poisoning by benzodiazepine-based tranquillisers	1
T930000	Accidental poisoning by benzene hexachlorine	1
T924000	Accidental poisoning by benzene	1
T81z.00	Accidental poisoning by barbiturates NOS	1
T81_00	Accidental poisoning by barbiturates	1
T811 00	Accidental poisoning by barbitone	1
T011.00		 ∡
1004000	Accidental holsoning by allopine	1

Read code	Description	Number of studies
T803000	Accidental poisoning by aspirin	1
T963000	Accidental poisoning by arsenic, unspecified	1
T963100	Accidental poisoning by arsenic compounds	1
T963.00	Accidental poisoning by arsenic and its compounds and fumes	1
T804.00	Accidental poisoning by aromatic analgesics NEC	1
T806z00	Accidental poisoning by antirheumatics NOS	1
T806.00	Accidental poisoning by antirheumatics	1
T840z00	Accidental poisoning by antidepressants NOS	1
T840.00	Accidental poisoning by antidepressants	1
T850z00	Accidental poisoning by anticonvulsant/anti-parkin drug NOS	1
T850.00	Accidental poisoning by anticonvulsant + anti-parkinson drug	1
T850.11	Accidental poisoning by anticonvulsant	1
T854.00	Accidental poisoning by anticholinergics	1
T8600	Accidental poisoning by antibiotics	1
T850.12	Accidental poisoning by anti-parkinsonism drug	1
T8700	Accidental poisoning by anti-infectives	1
T8000	Accidental poisoning by analgesics, antipyretic, antirheumatic	1
T80z.00	Accidental poisoning by analgesics, antipyretic, antirheum NOS	1
T842000	Accidental poisoning by amphetamine	1
T840000	Accidental poisoning by amitriptyline	1
T900.00	Accidental poisoning by alcoholic beverages	1
T9000	Accidental poisoning by alcohol, NEC	1
T90z.00	Accidental poisoning by alcohol NOS	1
T9300	Accidental poisoning by agricultural chemical preparations	1
T855.00	Accidental poisoning by adrenergics	1
T855000	Accidental poisoning by adrenalin	1
T941z00	Accidental poisoning by acids NOS	1
T941.00	Accidental poisoning by acids	1
T981000	Accidental poisoning by acetylene	1
T930200	Accidental poisoning by DDT	1
T983300	Accidental poisoning by CO- kerosene in domestic stove/fire	1
T983100	Accidental poisoning by CO- coke in domestic stove/fireplace	1
T983000	Accidental poisoning by CO- coal in domestic stove/fireplace	1
T98y100	Accidental poisoning by CO - kiln vapour	1
T98y200	Accidental poisoning by CO - fuels in industrial use	1
T98y000	Accidental poisoning by CO - blast furnace gas	1
T93z.00	Accidental poisoning agricultural chemical preparations NOS	1
T9z00	Accidental poisoning NOS	1
T77z.00	Accident/poisoning occurred in residential institution NOS	1
T85y.00	Accid. poisoning by other drugs acting on nervous system OS	1
T470500	Accid poison gas/fume on ship - swimmer injured	1
SL24011	ACTH - adrenocorticotropic hormone poisoning	1

### Supplementary appendix 4, table 13. ICD codes used in the studies of fatal self-harm.

Study	ICD version	List of codes
Carr, 2017	ICD-10	V01-Y98
Coupland, 2015	ICD-10	not provided
Doyle, 2016	ICD-10	X60-X84, Y10-34 (excluding Y33.9), Y87.0 and Y87.2
Lalmohamed, 2012	ICD-10	V01-Y99
Meier, 2004	ICD-10	not provided
Schuerch, 2016	ICD-10	X60-X84, Y10-Y34
Thomas, 2013	ICD-10	X60-X84, Y10-34 (excluding Y33.9)
Webb, 2012	ICD-10	X60-X84, Y10-34 (excluding Y33.9)
Windfuhr, 2016	ICD-10	X60-X84, Y10-34 (excluding Y33.9), Y87.0 and Y87.2
ICD International Cla	esification of Discase	

ICD - International Classification of Diseases

This page was intentionally left blank.

### 11.3 Appendix 3 Supplementary materials to the paper in Chapter 6

Carreira H, Williams R, Funston G, Stanway S, Krishnan Bhaskaran Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with history of breast cancer: a matched population-based cohort study in the United Kingdom (1988-2018)

(submitted)

### Contents

- **Protocol** Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer in the United Kingdom: a matched population-based cohort study.
- Ethics1 ISAC evaluation of protocols for research involving CPRD data: Approval.
- **Ethics2** Favourable ethical opinion: LSHTM Observational / Interventions Researchs Ethics Committee.
- Methods1 Definition of outcomes.
- Methods2 Definition of covariates.
- Table 1(A)Characteristics of the patients excluded from analysis, and follow up<br/>time for anxiety, depression and cognitive dysfunction.
- Table 1(B)Characteristics of the patients excluded from analysis, and follow up<br/>time for fatigue, sexual dysfunction and sleep disorders.
- **Table 1(C)**Characteristics of the patients excluded from analysis, and follow uptime for pain, opioid analgesics, and fatal/non-fatal self-harm.
- Table 2Incidence of adverse mental health-related outcomes in breast cancer<br/>survivors and women who did not have cancer.



**Protocol** Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer in the United Kingdom: a matched population-based cohort study

#### Applicants must complete all sections listed below Sections which do not apply should be completed as '*Not Applicable*' and justification provided A. Study Title (Max. 255 characters)

Risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer in the United Kingdom: a matched population-based cohort study

#### B. Lay Summary (Max. 250 words)

Women with a history of breast cancer are the largest group of cancer survivors in the general population. A breast cancer diagnosis may impact on mental health, and breast cancer treatments, which are necessary to control the disease, can result in side effects that may negatively affect the women's quality of life. This study aims to understand whether women who have had breast cancer have different mental health several years post-treatment, compared to women who did not have cancer. For this, we will compare the risk of being diagnosed with anxiety and depression, the primary outcomes of this study, in women who have had breast cancer and in women who never had cancer, attending general practitioner (GP) practices in the UK. We will also compare GP recorded declines in the patients' memory and thinking capacities, feelings of tiredness and weakness (fatigue), pain, insomnia, sexual problems, or self-harm and suicide (secondary outcomes), between the two groups, and explore factors that may be associated with increased risk of these outcomes. The results of this study can be used to better understand the needs of the women who carry on lives beyond breast cancer.

#### C. Technical Summary (Max. 300 words)

The aim of this study is to estimate the relative risk of anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), and fatigue, pain, sleep disorders, neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm (secondary outcomes), in breast cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls. This study will be a matched cohort study, utilising data from the CPRD GOLD primary care database. Outcome-specific algorithms will be developed and validated to identify outcomes in the data. Algorithm development will consider Read codes for diagnoses, prescriptions, referrals and symptoms; prevalence and incidence estimates by age-group and sex will be computed for a random sample of patients selected from CPRD GOLD primary care database. Validation will be against external sources of data, namely published data from population-based surveys in the UK. To estimate the associations between breast cancer survivorship and the primary and secondary outcomes, we will identify all women exposed to breast cancer in the CPRD GOLD primary care database, and randomly select an age- and primary-care-practice-matched cohort of women without prior cancer in a ratio of 1:4. Cox regression models will be used to estimate hazard ratios adjusted for important confounders, and to explore the role of effect modifiers; the proportionality of hazards will be tested graphically and inferentially.





#### D. Outcomes to be measured

- Anxiety
- Depression
- Fatigue
- Cognitive impairment
- Pain
- Sexual dysfunction
- Sleep disorders
- Completed suicide
- Self-harm

#### E. Objectives, Specific Aims and Rationale

#### **General objective**

To quantify the relative risk of common adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer in the United Kingdom.

#### Specific aims

- 1. To develop and validate algorithms to identify patients with anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), and fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm (secondary outcomes) in the CPRD GOLD primary care database.
- To compare the risk of developing anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), and of recorded fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm (secondary outcomes), between women with a history of breast cancer and women who did not have cancer.
- 3. To estimate association between breast cancer history and anxiety/depression by presence of common complications of the breast cancer treatments (i.e. lymphoedema, pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorders) and exposure to endocrine therapy.

#### Rationale

It is currently unclear if the long-term mental health of breast cancer survivors differs from that of comparable women who never had cancer. This study will directly address this evidence gap, and help inform prevention and treatment needs relating to the mental health of breast cancer survivors.



### F. Study Background

Women with a history of breast cancer are the largest group of cancer survivors in the United Kingdom (UK). Approximately 570,000 women were estimated to be living beyond a breast cancer diagnosis in 2010; this figure was projected to rise to 1.5 million women by 2040 [1].

Evidence on the mental health of breast cancer survivors in the UK suggests high levels of distress in this group. Capelan et al [2] reported that 60% of women post treatment for early breast cancer had ≥1 unmet needs; the most common were hot flushes, fatigue, pain, worry, fear or anxiety, and sleep problems. A third of the women who participated in the Standardisation of Radiotherapy Trial (START) [3] had relevant symptoms of anxiety at baseline; five years later, this proportion was 29%. Similar results were observed for depression, albeit the absolute frequency was lower: 12% scored above normal level at baseline, and 11% at the five-year evaluation [3]. The worse recollections reported by a sample of women in the UK seven years after diagnosis included the anxiety related to the future's uncertainty (38%), the chemotherapy and related side effects (25%), and the shock of the cancer diagnosis (18%) [4]. Other reported concerns were the breast removal and body image implications in sexuality (8%), the suffering induced by their disease in their loved ones (7%), the co-morbidities (6%), and the side effects of radiotherapy and hormone therapy (6%) [4]. All of these may negatively affect the women's mental status. Indeed, a study on the quality of life of breast cancer survivors one to five years post-diagnosis in England described lower scores than what had been described in other studies of the general population [5]. In the UK, women post-treatment for breast cancer are often followed in hospital outpatient clinics, where they may receive psychological support, and in primary care [6]. In the latter setting, evidence on the relative risk of adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors, compared to women who did not have cancer, is scant. A systematic review of quantitative studies that evaluated adverse mental health outcomes in breast cancer survivors and in the background female population identified one single study from the UK. In this study, Khan et al [7] used routinely collected primary care data to study the pattern of consultations and prescriptions for anxiety and depression in women with a history of breast cancer for ≥5 years. The results showed significantly increased odds of being prescribed with antidepressants or anxiolytics, even though there was no strong statistical evidence of increased odds of consulting for these conditions. The frequency of anxiety and depression among women diagnosed at <5 years is unknown. Population-based studies conducted elsewhere [8-12] reported highest risks of anxiety and depression shortly after the breast diagnosis, which declined over time. It is currently unclear if this same pattern is observed in the UK. In addition, the studies identified in the systematic review suggest that breast cancer survivors may be at increased risk of other outcomes, such as sleep disturbance, neurocognitive and sexual dysfunctions; no study addressed these in population-based samples of breast cancer survivors in the UK. Furthermore, to our knowledge, the frequency of fatigue, pain, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm, in breast cancer survivors in the UK is unknown, even though these relate to unmet needs often reported by breast cancer survivors. The Clinical Practice Research Datalink primary care database includes data prospectively collected on symptoms, diagnoses, prescriptions, and referrals, for over 5.8 million women being followed in primary care since the late 1980s [13], and therefore it represents a unique opportunity to assess the risk of these outcomes at populationlevel.



The aim of this study is to quantify the relative risk of adverse mental health outcomes in women with a history of breast cancer the UK, compared to women with no cancer background. The primary outcomes will be anxiety and depression, two common mental disorders that are commonly managed in primary care settings. Secondary outcomes will be fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disorder, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm; part of the contribution of this study will be to establish the feasibility or otherwise of using electronic health records to assess some of these less-studied outcomes.

#### G. Study Type

#### Hypothesis testing

Study null hypothesis: There are no differences in the risks of anxiety and depression (primary outcomes), fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm (secondary outcomes), between women with a history of breast cancer and women who never had cancer receiving primary care in the UK.

#### H. Study Design

The research aims will be addressed with a matched cohort study design.

Two cohorts will be assembled from the CPRD GOLD primary care database:

(1) The exposed cohort will include women diagnosed with a breast cancer (list of Read codes available in appendix 2) after at least 12 months of uninterrupted up-to-standard follow-up in CPRD (to ensure that the breast cancer is an incident event).

(2) A comparison cohort will be assembled by randomly selecting, for each woman with a breast cancer diagnosis, up to 4 women of similar age (3-year range), attending the same GP practice and with at least 12 months of uninterrupted up-to-standard data quality for research, but with no history of cancer at the date of the breast cancer diagnosis of the matched breast cancer patient.

The index date will be the date of breast cancer diagnosis for the exposed group; comparison patients will take the same index date as their exposed match. Please see section L for more details.

Inclusion criteria for both cohorts are: female sex, aged  $\geq$ 18 years, and having a clinical record with at least 12 months of uninterrupted up-to-standard data quality for research (as measured by CPRD) before the breast cancer diagnosis date (to ensure the cancer record represents incident disease). Exclusions will be the diagnosis of severe mental illness (i.e. organic mental disorder, mental disorders due to substances, schizophrenia, delusional disorders, or manic or bipolar episodes), having a history of the specified mental health outcome in the year before index date; and having had a diagnosis of any other cancer prior to the index date.

All women will be followed from the index date until the earliest date of: outcome observed, a cancer diagnosis, death recorded, transference out of the practice; last data collection for the practice.

Matching will allow close control of key covariates, include GP practice that is difficult to adjust for in a statistical model (too many levels), and has the practical advantage of reducing the size of the comparison group (which might otherwise include several million women) by restricting to the most relevant comparison patients.



#### I. Feasibility counts

Feasibility counts presented below are based on the January 2018 version of the CPRD GOLD primary care database.

We identified 65,136 women who had a diagnosis of breast cancer (Read codes provided in appendix 2) while aged between 18 and 80 years, registered with a primary care practice contributing with data to CPRD, and whose individual records were acceptable for research. Non-interrupted one year of follow up before the cancer diagnosis (index date) was not available for 6,757 women, and 6,044 women were further excluded because they had a lifetime diagnosis of severe mental illness or another cancer before their breast cancer diagnosis (see section J for the inclusion and exclusion criteria).

Hence, 52,335 women with a history of breast cancer were identified as eligible for this study; the table below provides details of the distribution by age and calendar period of diagnosis.

**Table 1** Distribution of women with breast cancer history who are eligible for this study, by age and calendar period of diagnosis.

	N	%
All study participants	52,335	(100.0)
Calendar period of diagnosis		
1989-1994	3,184	(6.1)
1995-1999	4,768	(9.1)
2000-2004	10,934	(20.9)
2005-2009	14,705	(28.1)
2010-2014	13,805	(26.4)
≥2015	4,939	(9.4)
Age at diagnosis (years)		
18-24	24	(0.1)
25-34	771	(1.5)
35-44	4,916	(9.4)
45-54	12,235	(25.3)
55-64	14,910	(28.5)
65-74	12,811	(24.5)
75-80	5,668	(10.8)

A comparison group of women without cancer (4 controls per breast cancer case) will be randomly selected from the same data source, same primary care practice and within a 3-year age range.

Appendix 2 to this protocol (available from the authors) provides the list of Read codes used to identify women with a history of breast cancer in the CPRD GOLD primary care database. The list of Read codes used to identify cancer diagnoses other than the breast one (exclusion criterion) has been published elsewhere [14]. A provisional list of Read codes to identify women with several mental illnesses (exclusion criterion) was defined for the purpose of this calculation; the final list will be refined in due course.





#### J. Sample size considerations

Table 2 shows the minimum relative risk that could be detected with the 52,335 women identified in the CPRD GOLD primary care database, for different probabilities of type I ( $\alpha$ ) and type II error ( $\beta$ ). These estimates were obtained with the command 'power' in Stata v15 [15].

**Table 2** Minimum RR that can be detected with the 52,355 women with a history of breast cancer and 209,420 women who did not have cancer, for different probabilities of type I and type II errors, and baseline risk of the outcomes.

Outcome	α	β	% of outcome in unexposed group [ref]	Min. HR possible to be estimated	RR/HR estimated in other studies [ref]
Primary outcomes					
Anxiety, diagnoses	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	5 [7] 5 [7] 5 [7] 5 [7] 20 [11] 20 [11] 20 [11] 20 [11]	1.05 1.06 1.07 1.07 1.02 1.03 1.03 1.03	1.06 [7] 1.08 [7] 1.22 [11] 1.25 [8]
Anxiety, prescription of anxiolytics	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	3 [10] 3 [10] 3 [10] 3 [10] 8 [7] 8 [7] 8 [7] 8 [7] 8 [7]	1.07 1.08 1.08 1.09 1.04 1.05 1.05 1.05	1.08 [7] 2.52 [10]
Depression, diagnoses	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 <b>0.05</b> 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10 <b>0.20</b> 0.20 0.10 0.10	3 [11] 3 [11] 3 [11] 3 [11] 9 [7] 9 [7] 9 [7] 9 [7] 9 [7]	1.07 1.08 1.08 1.09 <b>1.04</b> <b>1.05</b> <b>1.04</b> <b>1.05</b>	1.06 [7] 1.39 [9] 1.49 [8] 1.94 [11]
Depression, prescription of antidepressants	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	2 [10] 2 [10] 2 [10] 2 [10] 20 [7] 20 [7] 20 [7] 20 [7]	1.08 1.10 1.09 1.11 1.02 1.03 1.03 1.03	1.16 [7] 1.95 [10]

(continues)

HR – hazard ratio. RR – risk ratio. Bold is used to denote where the minimum risk ratio that could be detected with the available sample size is lower than the lowest estimate reported in the literature.



**Table 2 (continued)** Minimum RR that can be detected with the 52,355 women with a history of breast cancer and 209,420 women who did not have cancer, for different probabilities of type I and type II errors, and baseline risk of the outcomes.

Outcome	α	β	% of outcome in unexposed group [ref]	Min. HR possible to be estimated	RR/HR estimated in other studies [ref]
Secondary outcomes *					
Sexual dysfunction	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	4.1 [16] 4.1 [16] 4.1 [16] 4.1 [16] 9.1 [17] 9.1 [17] 9.1 [17] 9.1 [17]	1.06 1.07 1.07 1.08 1.04 1.05 1.04 1.05	1.03 [16] 2.27 [17]
Suicide	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	0.0008** [18] 0.0008** [18] 0.0008** [18] 0.0008** [18]	1.47 1.60 1.57 1.70	1.37 [18] 1.6 [19]
Fatal and non-fatal self-harm	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	0.005 [18, 20] 0.005 [18, 20] 0.005 [18, 20] 0.005 [18, 20]	1.17 1.21 1.20 1.24	1.03 [21] 1.37 [18]
Sleep disturbances	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	50 [22] 50 [22] 50 [22] 50 [22]	1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02	0.8 [22]
Prescription of hypnotics	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	4 [23] 4 [23] 4 [23] 4 [23]	1.06 1.07 1.07 1.08	3.75 [23]
Mild cognitive impairment	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	5 [24] 5 [24] 5 [24] 5 [24]	1.05 1.06 1.06 1.07	1.58 [25] 1.60 [26] 2.43 [24] 3.67 [27]
	0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	0.20 0.20 0.10 0.10	19 [26] 19 [26] 19 [26] 19 [26]	1.02 1.03 1.03 1.04	

HR – hazard ratio. RR – risk ratio. Bold is used to denote where the minimum risk ratio that could be detected with the available sample size is lower than the lowest estimate reported in the literature.

\* No population-based studies have been identified reporting the relative risk of sexual dysfunctions, pain, fatigue, sleep disorders or cognitive dysfunction in breast cancer survivors compared to the non-cancer female population. Thus, the relative risk reported in the column for the other studies comes from studies involving convenience samples of cancer survivors. Pain and fatigue are often evaluated using psychometric instruments whose mean scores are summarised as means for between-group comparisons; no studies were identified providing data for the prevalence of pain and fatigue in breast cancer survivors and in women who did not have cancer, and thus these two outcomes were not included in the table.

\*\* Calculated as the proportion of suicides in the exposed group divided by the inverse of the standardised mortality ratio reported in the original study.

The available sample size is expected to be sufficient for detecting clinically significant increases of anxiety and depression (primary outcomes) in breast cancer survivors compared to the women who did not have cancer. For the secondary outcomes, sample size is likely to be enough to assess differences in sleep disturbance

measured by hypnotics' prescription, and mild cognitive impairment. However, the available sample size will have



relatively small power to detect small differences in suicide between women with history of breast cancer and those who did not have cancer, because this is a rare outcome, but we will still have enough power to detect associations of a magnitude seen in some previous studies; data from our analysis can also contribute to future meta-analyses.

#### K. Planned use of linked data (if applicable):

The following linkages will be requested:

Death registration data from the Office for National Statistics (ONS)

HES Admitted Patient Care (HES-APC)

Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (IMD), practice and patient level

Data coming from these data sets will supplement information available in the CPRD GOLD primary care database, but linked data will be used in sensitivity analysis only.

Data from the ONS-mortality and HES-APC databases will be important to increase the completeness and validity of some outcomes. For example, for suicide, only 26% of the suicides registered in the ONS mortality data (gold standard) were captured in CPRD, indicating low sensitivity of this source [28]. Similarly for non-fatal self-harm, only 68% of the cases registered in HES-APC could be identified in CPRD [28]. Of note, patients who had the outcome recorded in the year prior to the index date will be excluded, and thus this finer definition of the outcomes will impact patients' selection and patients' who are identified as having had the outcome. Information on the exposure will not be supplemented by data from HES-APC.

Patient-level quintiles of IMD will be used to control for socioeconomic status, which is a major confounder of the association between breast cancer history and adverse mental health outcomes. Even though women in the comparison group are selected from the same primary care practice of the index-case, and thus IMD at practice level will not vary by matched set, the patient-level IMD will allow for a finer adjustment of socioeconomic status. Practice level of IMD is requested to allow us to study effect modification in the full dataset.

We acknowledge that analyses including linked data will be restricted to the subset of practices and patients who consent to the linkage scheme (~75% of the practices in England); the coverage periods for the dataset will be taken into account (see table below). This will result in reductions in sample size and potentially impact the power of the study to reject the null-hypothesis. Thus, these data will be used in sensitivity analysis only.

**Table 3** Coverage period of the data included in the databases that will be linked to the CPRD GOLD primary care database.

Database	Coverage period
HES Admitted Patient Care (APC)	April 1997 – December 2017
ONS death registration	January 1998 – February 2018





#### L. Definition of the Study population

#### Breast cancer cohort

The study population will consist of all adult women recorded in the CPRD GOLD primary care database as having had an incident breast cancer (Read codes provided in appendix 2) diagnosed during up-to-standard follow-up and prior to the most recent version of the CPRD GOLD primary care database available after all approvals have been obtained.

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Female sex, aged ≥18 years;
- 2. Recorded with a breast cancer diagnosis during CPRD follow-up;
- Clinical record with at least 12 months of uninterrupted up-to-standard data quality for research (as measured by CPRD) before the breast cancer diagnosis date (to ensure the cancer record represents incident disease).

Exclusion criteria:

- Diagnosis of severe mental illness before the breast cancer diagnosis (i.e. organic mental disorder, mental disorders due to substances, schizophrenia, delusional disorders, or manic or bipolar episodes) (a provisional list of Read codes was defined for feasibility counts);
- 2. History of the specified mental health outcome in analysis in the year before the breast cancer diagnosis (list of Read codes to be defined in objective 1 of this study);
- 3. Diagnosis of any other cancer prior to breast cancer (Read codes available from Ranopa et al. [14]).

#### M. Selection of comparison group(s) or controls

#### Non-cancer comparison cohort

A comparison cohort will be assembled by randomly selecting, for each index case, up to 4 women of similar age (3-year range), attending the same GP practice, but with no history of cancer at the index date. Matching will also consider the eligibility of the patients' data for linkage, to ensure that matched-sets have the same probability of having had the information recorded when conducting sub-set analysis using linked data. Controls will be selected using nearest neighbour matching methods without replacement [29]. Women in the non-cancer comparison cohort who meet one or more exclusion criteria will be excluded, as well as their index case.

Women diagnosed with breast cancer during the follow up period will be censored from the unexposed group at the date of the cancer diagnosis, but will be eligible to separately contribute in the exposed group from this date (with corresponding unexposed matches).



#### N. Exposures, Outcomes and Covariates

#### Exposure: breast cancer

Women will be considered exposed at the day of the breast cancer diagnosis (index date), denoted by the first entry of one or more of the Read codes provided in appendix 2.

#### Primary outcomes: anxiety and depression

To our knowledge, there is no validated list of Read codes to identify anxiety and depression in the CPRD GOLD primary care database. Algorithms will be developed and tested to identify anxiety and depression cases in CPRD. The algorithms will be chiefly determined by clinical diagnoses of anxiety and depression registered in the EHR with Read codes, and supplemented with information from drug prescriptions, referrals, and symptoms (if deemed suitable). Please see section N, plan of analysis for specific aim 1, for more details on the construction and validation of algorithms.

# Secondary outcomes: fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Similarly, we will develop and test outcome-specific algorithms that identify events using a hierarchy of data on clinical diagnoses, prescriptions, referrals and symptoms.

In sensitivity analysis, we will consider linked data (see section J for data linkage requested) to develop more precise definitions of the outcomes, when possible. For example, two definitions of suicide will be considered: (1) considering Read codes only to identify suicides in CPRD; (2) considering Read codes for suicide as well as death registration data where suicide was recorded as primary cause of death (ICD-10 codes provided in appendix 3)

#### Covariates

Variables considered as potential confounders or effect modifiers of the association between breast cancer history and anxiety and depression (the primary outcomes of this study) are described below. The directed, acyclic graph (DAG) in appendix 4 (available from the authors) explicitly describes the assumptions of the causal relations between the variables that underpin the choice. Please refer to the data analysis (section N) for details on which variables will be considered for sensitivity analyses only.



#### Potential confounders

- <u>Age at diagnosis</u> (categorical variable, in 10-year age bands) Defined as the absolute difference between the year of breast cancer diagnosis and year of birth. Age is a strong risk factor for breast cancer and for mental disorders.
- <u>Alcohol drinking habits at diagnosis</u> (categorical variable: current drinker, former drinker, never drinker) Excess alcohol drinking is a well-established risk factor for breast cancer [30], besides being positively associated with anxiety and depression [31-33]. The most recent data on alcohol drinking habits prior to index date will be used in analysis. Current drinking will be further sub-divided into high, moderate, low or unknown intake of alcohol.
- Body mass index at diagnosis (categorical variable: underweight, normal weight, overweight, obesity class
   I, obesity class II and above)

Calculated as BMI=(weight/height<sup>2</sup>). Higher body mass index is protective against breast cancer in premenopausal women, but a risk factor for breast cancer post-menopause [34]. Obesity increases the risk of anxiety and depression [35, 36]. The most recent recording of BMI prior to index date will be used in analysis. The BMI values will be categorised into 5 categories: <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (underweight), 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (normal weight), 25.0-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (overweight), 30.0-34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obesity class I), ≥35.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obesity class II and above). Read codes for body weight categories recorded in the year prior to the breast cancer diagnosed will be considered to supplement missing information for this variable.

• <u>Calendar period of diagnosis</u> (categorical variable: ≤1994; 1995-1999; 2000-2004; 2005-2009; 2010-2014; >2014)

Even though calendar time *per se* does not change the risk of breast cancer, the risk of being diagnosed with breast cancer changed over time, probably due to increase awareness of the disease along with widespread use of mammography to screen for breast cancer. Time has also contributed for mental disorders being more likely to be diagnosed, due to raises awareness and increased recognition of the importance of mental disorders among health care professionals.

<u>Diabetes mellitus (</u>dichotomous variable: yes/no)
 Diabetes mellitus has been associated with an increased risk of breast cancer [37] and depression [38].
 Algorithms previously defined elsewhere will be applied to identify patients with diabetes mellitus in the CPRD GOLD primary care database [39, 40].

 <u>Level of deprivation</u> (categorical variable: quintiles of patient-level IMD). The IMD is an ecological measure of deprivation for small areas in England that combines information from seven domains (income, employment, education, health deprivation, crime, barriers to housing, and living environment), and ranks the small area from 1 (most deprived) to 32,844 (least deprived). Patients will be categorised in quintiles of IMD, with quintile 1 representing those least deprived and quintile 5 those most deprived.



- <u>Menopausal status</u> (dichotomous variable: premenopausal/postmenopausal)
   Menopausal status is a potential confounder of the association between breast cancer history and depression, as the risk of breast cancer increases with menopause [30], and so does the risk of depression [42]. However, information on menopausal status is not easily available in the CPRD database. We will therefore produce results stratified by an age cut-off, as a proxy of the menopausal status of the women. The cut-off will be the mean/median age at natural menopause in the UK.
- <u>Smoking history at diagnosis</u> (categorical variable: current smoker, former smoker, never smoker)
   Information on Read codes available on the data will be used to classify patients by smoking history. The most recent data on smoking prior to index date will be used in analysis.

#### Potential effect modifiers

- Living alone (dichotomous variable: yes/no)
   Ascertained from the CPRD GOLD primary care data using Read codes (list provided in [41]) and the patient's family number (variable 'famnum' from the patient file). Women living in household of <2 people will be classified as living alone.</li>
- <u>Residing in a care home</u> (dichotomous variable: yes/no). This variable will be defined from the CPRD GOLD primary care database, using Read codes (list provided in [41]), and information gathered in the family number variable ('famnum'). For the latter, 'care home' will be defined as a household with >3 individuals aged ≥65 years and if their total count was more than of individuals <65 years.</li>
- <u>Ethnicity</u> (categorical variable: White, South Asian, Black, Others and mixed)
   Ethnicity data recorded in the CPRD GOLD primary care database will be categorised in five groups, following the categories defined in the UK 2011 Census: White, South Asian, Black, Others and mixed. This variable will be derived from Read codes available in the CPRD GOLD primary care database and from HES, since the combined data sources increase completeness from 55% to 79% [41]. For analysis, four groups will be considered: White, South Asian, Black, Others and mixed.
- <u>Previous mental health history</u> (categorical variable: yes/no)
   Mental health history will be defined as having had an episode of anxiety- or depression-related disorders (primary outcomes) or any the secondary outcomes, ever recorded at more than 1 year before the breast cancer diagnosis (patients who had the outcome in the year before the breast cancer diagnosis will be excluded from the cohort). These will be identified based on the algorithms defined in aim 1 of this study.
- <u>History of stroke or coronary heart disease at diagnosis</u> (two dichotomous variables: yes/no)
   These will include ischaemic heart disease (angina and myocardial infarction) and stroke, which are amongst the leading causes of disability-adjusted life years in females in the UK. These will be identified through Read codes recorded in the CPRD GOLD primary care database.





#### <u>Socioeconomic status</u> (IMD quintiles of deprivation)

The IMD is an ecological measure of deprivation for small areas in England (Lower Super Output Areas). It combines information from seven domain indices (income, employment, education, health deprivation, crime, barriers to housing, and living environment). The index ranks the areas from 1 to 32,844; usually the quintiles are used for research purposes: from 1 (most deprived) up to 5 (least deprived). The IMD is linked to the primary care data using the postcode of the patient or practice.

#### Potential mediators of the association between breast cancer history and anxiety/depression

- <u>Sequelae from cancer treatments</u> (six separate binary variables (yes/no): lymphoedema, pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorder)
   Lymphoedema will be defined using Read codes for the condition, in the CPRD GOLD primary care database. Pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, and sleep disorder are secondary outcomes of this study, and will be identified based on the algorithms defined in aim 1 of this study. Patients will be classified as having had one of these conditions if there was more than one record for these conditions within a 6-month interval.
- <u>Exposed to endocrine treatment for breast cancer</u>: binary variable (yes/no).
   This will be defined from the CPRD GOLD primary care database using Read codes for at least two prescriptions of anastrozole, tamoxifen, exemestane, or letrozole [43] within a 6-month period.



#### O. Data/ Statistical Analysis

#### **Primary analyses**

#### Specific aim 1. To develop and validate algorithms to identify patients with anxiety and depression

#### Algorithm development

A systematic review is currently under way to identify the lists of Read codes previously used to define anxiety and depression of primary care databases in the UK. The systematic review search expressions are provided in appendix 5.

#### (A) Raw data tabulations

We will estimate the number and proportion of patients recorded during the observation period with:

- 1) Diagnostic Read code for anxiety/depression;
- 2) Prescription of anxiolytics/antidepressants;
- 3) Referred to mental health services;
- 4) Symptoms of anxiety/depression.

Proportions will be estimated by calendar year.

#### (B) Simpler algorithm

We will estimate the additional contribution of prescriptions, referrals and symptoms to identify cases of anxiety/depression in CPRD. Referrals and prescriptions of anxiolytics/antidepressants will be considered as sufficient to identify cases of anxiety/depression if a Read code for symptoms of anxiety/depression, respectively, were recorded during the previous year. The reasons for this are threefold: (1) there is good evidence that GPs switched from anxiety/depression diagnostic codes to symptomatic ones [44, 45], following claims of over diagnosis of these conditions; (2) antidepressants and anxiolytics have also other indications, including anxiety disorders for antidepressants [46], which raise questions of the use of these data their own to identify these outcomes; (3) pharmacological treatment of mild depression has been discouraged since 2004 [47], and thus referrals to psychotherapy may help to capture milder cases.

#### (C) Complex algorithm

A more detailed algorithm will be developed considering that some drugs are prescribed for both depression and anxiety, in addition to manage vasomotor symptoms, which may be more frequent in breast cancer survivors than in women who did not have cancer. An example for depression is given in appendix 6. We will estimate the number of patients with anxiety/depression at each step, to evaluate how much each category adds to what has been previously recorded.



### Algorithm validation

A random sample of 1 million patients of both sexes will be selected from the CPRD GOLD primary care database. We will apply each algorithm and produce descriptive statistics stratified by likelihood of having the outcome, including:

- Number and proportion of patients with the anxiety, depression or both, by 3-year calendar period;
- Number and proportion of patients with the anxiety, depression or both, by 3-year calendar period, age and sex;
- Number and proportion of patients with the anxiety and depression by 3-year calendar period and country in the UK.

For each outcome, we will compare the estimates obtained with others obtained from the literature, prioritising national surveys of population-based data such as the following:

- Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2007 [48] and 2014 [49];
- Measuring National Well-being programme, made available by the Office for National Statistics (includes prevalence of those in the UK with some evidence indicating depression or anxiety, since 2013, by country in the UK and English regions, and by 10-year age groups up to 75 years) [50].

The final algorithm will be chosen by considering information in the numeric value closer to the estimates obtained from the literature.

#### Sensitivity analysis

Proportion estimates considering the presence of symptomatic codes within the previous year will be re-calculated to consider shorter periods of time (i.e. 3 and 6 months).

# Specific aim 2. Risk of mental health outcomes in women who had breast cancer compared to women who did not have cancer

#### Primary outcomes analysis – depression and anxiety

#### Main analysis

Descriptive statistics including number of events observed and person-years at risk will be computed, overall and stratified by the covariates listed in section M (see above). Medical procedures for the diagnosis of breast cancer are likely to cause anxiety. To deal with this we planned to exclude patients who had a record of anxiety diagnosed within 1 year before the breast cancer; this could result in patients with higher levels of trait anxiety being excluded from the analysis. We will calculate the number and proportion of patients who were excluded because they had the outcome in the year prior to the breast cancer, stratified by month. We will also describe how many of those who were excluded from analysis had an outcome after the breast cancer diagnosis by age-group. The quintiles of the



distribution of the number of consultations (defined using the 'consid' variable) will be described, as this can indicate the patterns of seeking care between women with a history of cancer, compared to those who did not.

The association between breast cancer history and anxiety and breast cancer history and depression, will be quantified using Cox regression models with time since index as the underlying time scale, and stratifying on matched set to account for matching by age and primary care practice. Follow up will begin at the index date (vide section M for definition of the exposure) and will terminate when an outcome is observed. Women will be censored at the earliest date of any of these: cancer recurrence, other cancer diagnosis, death, transference out of the practice; if these events don't occur, censoring will be observed at the date of last data collection for the practice. Crude measures of the association between breast cancer history and anxiety and depression will be reported stratifying by the covariates described in section M.

Cox multivariate regression analysis will be use to estimate hazard ratios adjusted for calendar period of breast cancer diagnosis, menopausal status, and diabetes mellitus at baseline (see list of confounders in section L, covariates, for the definition of these variables).

Interaction terms between the exposure and the following variables will be added, to explore effect modification by ethnicity (White, South Asian, Black, Others and mixed), place of residence (care home vs. household), co-habitation status (living alone vs. cohabiting), SES (quintiles of IMD), having mental health disorders history (yes vs. no), having history of stroke (yes vs. no) and coronary heart disease (yes vs. no).

Confidence intervals will be calculated using robust estimates of the standard errors, to account for the fact that patients may also contribute with time at risk in the unexposed cohort prior to their cancer diagnosis.

The proportional hazards assumption will be tested in two ways: 1) graphically, by plotting the cumulative rates on a log scale; 2) inferentially, by applying a likelihood ratio test to the estimates obtained for the entire period of observation and for time split into intervals.

#### Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses planned for this study will include a subset of patients only; this is because they use variables from linked data, which is available for a fraction of the patients only, and include variables amenable to have missing data (i.e. BMI, alcohol intake, smoking and patient-level IMD). Regarding the latter, we will quantify the completeness of each variable to decide on their inclusion in the final models. Analyses including variables with missing data will be restricted to patients with complete data for covariates (complete case analysis), if missing data is likely to be missing not a random. The following sensitivity analyses are planned:

 The main analysis will be repeated further adjusting for age at diagnosis (continuous variable, since matching allowed for 3-year gap), patient quintile level of IMD, alcohol drinking patterns prior to index date, smoking history and body mass index categories prior to index date.





2) We will exclude women diagnosed with the outcome of interest in the year prior to the cancer diagnosis. To account for the fact that treatment for these conditions may often last for more than one year, and that mild anxiety and depression may be treated in psychological services and not result in visits to the GP, we will repeated the main analysis including only patients who had 5 years of complete follow up prior to the index date and did not have the outcome recorded at any point during this period.

#### Multiple comparisons

We acknowledge that this study includes multiple comparisons for each outcome, and several outcomes. Thus, P-values in the range ~0.01-0.05 be considered as some statistical evidence of an effect and interpreted cautiously. Sample size considerations considering a 0.01 probability of type I error ( $\alpha$ ) are provided in see section I.

Specific aim 3. To estimate association between breast cancer history and anxiety/depression by presence of lymphoedema, pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorders, and having done endocrine therapy, during the follow up period.

We will estimate the cumulative incidence and period prevalence of lymphoedema, pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, and sleep disorders, in breast cancer survivors during the overall follow up period and by 5-year of follow up period.

We will estimate the hazards of developing anxiety and depression (the main outcomes) for three groups of patients: 1) breast cancer survivors who did not develop the common complication (i.e. lymphoedema, pain, mild cognitive impairment, fatigue, sexual dysfunction, sleep disorders) up to time t; 2) breast cancer survivors who have had the common complication at time t; 3) women who never had cancer. A Cox regression model will be used to estimate the association between time-updated exposure and the main outcomes (anxiety and depression), having as reference the hazard observed for the women who did not have cancer. The exposure variable will be time-updated; this means that women who develop a common complication will contribute with information to group 1 until the date at which they develop the complication of interest; after this point they will contribute with information to group 2. All models will be adjusted for calendar period of breast cancer diagnosis.

Confidence intervals will be calculated using robust estimates of the standard errors. Sensitivity analyses planned in objective 2 will be applied to this objective as well.

# Secondary outcomes analyses – fatigue, mild cognitive impairment, pain, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, fatal and non-fatal self-harm

The steps outlined above will be repeated for the secondary outcomes. Sensitivity analysis will follow the same rationale as described for the primary analysis.





#### P. Plan for addressing confounding

The confounding effect of age and socio-economic status (SES) will be limited at the study design phase, as women who had breast cancer will be individually matched to women who never had cancer by age and primary care practice. Variables considered as important confounders will be included in the multivariate Cox regression models (vide section M, covariates).

#### Q. Plans for addressing missing data

There are no plans for using multiple imputation methods in this study. Three variables in the main analysis are likely to have missing data: body mass index, smoking and alcohol intake. The probability of these values being recorded in the patients' medical records is likely to depend on the actual value (e.g. obese patients may have their weight more often assessed and smoking may be more often recorded in patients who visit the GP for complications of smoking (e.g. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). This is a direct violation of the missing at random assumption needed for multiple imputation. We will therefore conduct a complete case analysis, which is a valid method when missingness is conditionally independent of the outcome [52].

#### R. Patient or user group involvement (if applicable)

Patients with history of breast cancer involved with the Independent Cancer Patients' Voice, a breast cancer support charity, commented on the study protocol.

### S. Plans for disseminating and communicating study results, including the presence or absence of any restrictions on the extent and timing of publication

The results of this study will be presented at scientific conferences in the area, and submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals.

**Conflict of interest statement:** Ms Williams reports that CPRD has financial relationships with its clients, including the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, in relation to providing access to research data and services outside the submitted work. Dr. Stanway reports personal fees from Roche, Clinigen, Eli Lilly, and Novartis, not related to this work. Dr. Bhaskaran reports grants from Wellcome Trust, the Royal Society, Medical Research Council, and British Heart Foundation, outside the submitted work.





#### T. Limitations of the study design, data sources, and analytic methods

#### Validity of the mental health diagnosis in the CPRD GOLD primary care database

The validity and the completeness of the recording of the mental disorders in CPRD have not been evaluated, and this will limit our results. The diagnosis and treatment of depression has also changed over time, as a result of the 2004 NICE guidelines (discouraging the treatment of mild depression with antidepressants) and the Quality and Outcomes Framework scheme in 2006, which recommended validated questionnaires to evaluate its severity [8, 9]. As for completeness, mental disorders such as depression and anxiety are managed at the primary care level, and therefore the potential for recording is high. Nevertheless, some of these conditions, especially in the sub-threshold or milder severities, may not result in GP visits and go therefore undiagnosed [10].

#### Unmeasured and residual confounding

This study is also limited by the lack of historical data on potential confounders, such as physical activity. Residual confounding will not be possible to rule out for variables such as smoking [53].

#### Multiple indications of the psychotropic medicines (complex algorithm definition)

In clinical practice, several classes of pharmacological agents are currently used to manage anxiety and depressive disorders, and many of these pharmacological agents are used to treat other physical and mental disorders (appendix 7). An example of this is illustrated by the guidelines. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued guidelines for recognition and management of depression in people who have a physical chronic condition such as cancer [54], and for management of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) and panic disorder [46]. Low- or high-intensity psychological interventions (e.g. low intensity: individual non-facilitated or guided self-help; high intensity: cognitive behavioural therapy) are recommended as the first line for GAD, mild depression and long-term insomnia (>4 weeks) [46]. Pharmacological treatment is recommended for persisting GAD, moderate to severe depression and insomnia that causes severe daytime dysfunction. SSRI are currently recommended for GAD; benzodiazepines are most often restricted to crisis and not recommended for long-term use [46]. This will raise issues on the indication under which the patient has been prescribed the medicine. We will select all pharmacological agents used to treat each of the outcomes, and seek experts' advice on which drugs are often prescribed. In any case, this offers a potential for misclassification (thought to be non-differential).





#### U. References

- 1. Maddams J, M Utley, and H Moller. Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer 2012:107:1195-202.
- 2. Capelan M, NML Battisti, A McLoughlin, V Maidens, et al. The prevalence of unmet needs in 625 women living beyond a diagnosis of early breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2017:117:1113-1120.
- 3. Hopwood P, G Sumo, J Mills, J Haviland, et al. The course of anxiety and depression over 5 years of follow-up and risk factors in women with early breast cancer: results from the UK Standardisation of Radiotherapy Trials (START). Breast 2010:19:84-91.
- 4. Lindberg P, M Koller, B Steinger, W Lorenz, et al. Breast cancer survivors' recollection of their illness and therapy seven years after enrolment into a randomised controlled clinical trial. BMC Cancer 2015:15:554.
- 5. Glaser AW, LK Fraser, J Corner, R Feltbower, et al. Patient-reported outcomes of cancer survivors in England 1-5 years after diagnosis: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open 2013:3.
- 6. Lewis RA, RD Neal, NH Williams, B France, et al. Follow-up of cancer in primary care versus secondary care: systematic review. Br J Gen Pract 2009:59:e234-47.
- 7. Khan NF, AM Ward, E Watson, and PW Rose. Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK. Eur J Cancer 2010:46:3339-44.
- 8. Hjerl K, EW Andersen, N Keiding, PB Mortensen, et al. Increased incidence of affective disorders, anxiety disorders, and non-natural mortality in women after breast cancer diagnosis: a nation-wide cohort study in Denmark. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2002:105:258-64.
- 9. Suppli NP, C Johansen, J Christensen, LV Kessing, et al. Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide population-based cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011. J Clin Oncol 2014:32:3831-9.
- 10. Yang H, JS Brand, F Fang, F Chiesa, et al. Time-dependent risk of depression, anxiety, and stressrelated disorders in patients with invasive and in situ breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2017:140:841-852.
- 11. Hung YP, CJ Liu, CF Tsai, MH Hung, et al. Incidence and risk of mood disorders in patients with breast cancers in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based study. Psychooncology 2013:22:2227-34.
- 12. Kim MS, SY Kim, JH Kim, B Park, et al. Depression in breast cancer patients who have undergone mastectomy: A national cohort study. PLoS One 2017:12:e0175395.
- 13. Herrett E, AM Gallagher, K Bhaskaran, H Forbes, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol 2015:44:827-36.
- 14. Ranopa M, I Douglas, T van Staa, L Smeeth, et al. The identification of incident cancers in UK primary care databases: a systematic review. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2015:24:11-8.
- 15. StataCorp, STATA statistical software, 2015, Stata Corporation: College Station TX.
- 16. Earle CC, BA Neville, and R Fletcher. Mental health service utilization among long-term cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv 2007:1:156-60.
- 17. Rubino C, A Figus, L Lorettu, and G Sechi. Post-mastectomy reconstruction: a comparative analysis on psychosocial and psychopathological outcomes. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2007:60:509-18.
- 18. Schairer C, LM Brown, BE Chen, R Howard, et al. Suicide after breast cancer: an international populationbased study of 723,810 women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006:98:1416-9.
- 19. Fang F, K Fall, MA Mittleman, P Sparen, et al. Suicide and cardiovascular death after a cancer diagnosis. N Engl J Med 2012:366:1310-8.
- 20. Geulayov G, N Kapur, P Turnbull, C Clements, et al. Epidemiology and trends in non-fatal self-harm in three centres in England, 2000-2012: findings from the Multicentre Study of Self-harm in England. BMJ Open 2016:6:e010538.
- 21. Webb RT, E Kontopantelis, T Doran, P Qin, et al. Risk of self-harm in physically ill patients in UK primary care. J Psychosom Res 2012:73:92-7.
- 22. El Rafihi-Ferreira R, Nogueira Pires ML, and Zoega Soares MR. Sleep, quality of life and depression in women in breast cancer post-treatment. Psicologia: Reflexao e Critica 2011:25:506-513.
- 23. Dahl AA, IL Nesvold, KV Reinertsen, and SD Fossa. Arm/shoulder problems and insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors: cross-sectional, controlled and longitudinal observations. Sleep Med 2011:12:584-90.
- 24. Hermelink K, M Buhner, P Sckopke, F Neufeld, et al. Chemotherapy and Post-traumatic Stress in the Causation of Cognitive Dysfunction in Breast Cancer Patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017:109.
- 25. Jenkins V, V Shilling, G Deutsch, D Bloomfield, et al. A 3-year prospective study of the effects of adjuvant treatments on cognition in women with early stage breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2006:94:828-34.



- 26. Fan HG, N Houede-Tchen, QL Yi, I Chemerynsky, et al. Fatigue, menopausal symptoms, and cognitive function in women after adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: 1- and 2-year follow-up of a prospective controlled study. J Clin Oncol 2005:23:8025-32.
- 27. Collins B, J Mackenzie, GA Tasca, C Scherling, et al. Persistent cognitive changes in breast cancer patients 1 year following completion of chemotherapy. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2014:20:370-9.
- 28. Thomas KH, N Davies, C Metcalfe, F Windmeijer, et al. Validation of suicide and self-harm records in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013:76:145-57.
- 29. Stuart EA. Matching methods for causal inference: A review and a look forward. Stat Sci 2010:25:1-21.
- 30. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer, *Continuous Update Project Report. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Breast Cancer*, 2010.
- 31. Liang W and T Chikritzhs. Affective disorders, anxiety disorders and the risk of alcohol dependence and misuse. Br J Psychiatry 2011:199:219-24.
- 32. Bulloch A, D Lavorato, J Williams, and S Patten. Alcohol consumption and major depression in the general population: the critical importance of dependence. Depress Anxiety 2012:29:1058-64.
- 33. Berglund M and A Ojehagen. The influence of alcohol drinking and alcohol use disorders on psychiatric disorders and suicidal behavior. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998:22:333S-345S.
- 34. Bhaskaran K, I Douglas, H Forbes, I dos-Santos-Silva, et al. Body-mass index and risk of 22 specific cancers: a population-based cohort study of 5.24 million UK adults. Lancet 2014:384:755-65.
- 35. Kivimaki M, DA Lawlor, A Singh-Manoux, GD Batty, et al. Common mental disorder and obesity: insight from four repeat measures over 19 years: prospective Whitehall II cohort study. BMJ 2009:339:b3765.
- 36. Simon GE, M Von Korff, K Saunders, DL Miglioretti, et al. Association between obesity and psychiatric disorders in the US adult population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006:63:824-30.
- 37. Tsilidis KK, JC Kasimis, DS Lopez, EE Ntzani, et al. Type 2 diabetes and cancer: umbrella review of meta-analyses of observational studies. BMJ 2015:350:g7607.
- 38. Anderson RJ, KE Freedland, RE Clouse, and PJ Lustman. The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 2001:24:1069-78.
- 39. Eastwood SV, R Mathur, M Atkinson, S Brophy, et al. Algorithms for the Capture and Adjudication of Prevalent and Incident Diabetes in UK Biobank. PLoS One 2016:11:e0162388.
- 40. Tate AR, S Dungey, S Glew, N Beloff, et al. Quality of recording of diabetes in the UK: how does the GP's method of coding clinical data affect incidence estimates? Cross-sectional study using the CPRD database. BMJ Open 2017:7:e012905.
- 41. Jain A, AJ van Hoek, JL Walker, R Mathur, et al. Identifying social factors amongst older individuals in linked electronic health records: An assessment in a population based study. PLoS One 2017:12:e0189038.
- 42. Sandilyan MB and T Dening. Mental health around and after the menopause. Menopause Int 2011:17:142-7.
- 43. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), *Early and locally advanced breast cancer: diagnosis and treatment. Clinical Guideline [CG80] (updated 2017)*, 2009.
- 44. Kendrick T, B Stuart, C Newell, AW Geraghty, et al. Changes in rates of recorded depression in English primary care 2003-2013: Time trend analyses of effects of the economic recession, and the GP contract quality outcomes framework (QOF). J Affect Disord 2015:180:68-78.
- 45. Walters K, G Rait, M Griffin, M Buszewicz, et al. Recent trends in the incidence of anxiety diagnoses and symptoms in primary care. PLoS One 2012:7:e41670.
- 46. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults: management (CG113), 2011.
- 47. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Depression Management of depression in primary and secondary care. Clinical Guideline 23, 2004.
- 48. NHS Digital. Adult Psychiatric Morbidity in England 2007, Results of a household survey. 2009; Available from: <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/adult-psychiatric-morbidity-survey/adult-psychiatric-morbidity-in-england-2007-results-of-a-household-survey#section-related-links.</u>
- 49. McManus S, P Bebbington, R Jenkins, T Brugha, et al., *Mental health and wellbeing in England: Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2014*, 2016: Leeds: NHS Digital.
- 50. Office for National Statistics, Measuring National Well-being: Domains and Measures, and Personal wellbeing estimates (2012-2017), 2018.





- 51. Bhaskaran K, HJ Forbes, I Douglas, DA Leon, et al. Representativeness and optimal use of body mass index (BMI) in the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). BMJ Open 2013:3:e003389.
- 52. White IR and JB Carlin. Bias and efficiency of multiple imputation compared with complete-case analysis for missing covariate values. Stat Med 2010:29:2920-31.
- 53. Lewis JD and C Brensinger. Agreement between GPRD smoking data: a survey of general practitioners and a population-based survey. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2004:13:437-41.
- 54. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management (CG91), 2009.
- 55. British Medical Association and Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, *British national formulary*, 2018: London, United Kingdom.

### **Methods1 Definition of outcomes**

#### Search and selection of Read codes and pharmacological drugs

We searched the dictionary of codes using keywords defined by a general practitioner experienced in using the codes in clinical practice (GF). We then identified the relevant parent code for the outcome, and included all Read codes within that group. Finally, we added all codes identified in a comprehensive systematic review of the lists of Read codes used to identify mental health and quality of life outcomes in primary care databases of electronic health records in the UK (Carreira et al, BMJ Open, 2019). Two researchers (HC and GF) independently assigned each Read code to a certainty group, compared and agreed the final list of codes (available online).

Drugs for anxiety, depression, sleep disorders and pain were identified in product dictionary by searching formulations listed in the British National Formulary (BNF) as indicated to treat these conditions. The final list of products was checked for suitability by a GP (GF) and irrelevant products were excluded (e.g. doxepin topical).

### **Primary outcomes**

#### <u>Anxiety</u>

Anxiety was defined with Read codes, if the Read code was considered sufficiently specific. When the Read code referred to typical symptoms of anxiety, which are not necessarily pathological, we considered the patient to have anxiety only if they had been prescribed with a drug with anxiety within 90 days of the Read code.

Read codes for the following conditions were included/excluded from our definition:

Included	Excluded
Generalised anxiety disorder	Specific phobias (e.g. heights)
Panic disorder	Somatic symptoms disorder
Agoraphobia	
Social anxiety disorder	
Mixed anxiety and depression	
Obsessive compulsive disorders *	
Trauma- and stress-related disorders* with anxiety,	
including PTSD, acute stress disorder, and adjustment	
disorder with anxiety	
NID float de de la col (El al de de la color de la	Charles and Recent Parts of

N.B. 'Included' and 'Excluded' refer to symptoms and diagnoses of the conditions listed.

<sup>\*</sup> In DSM-5, published in 2013, obsessive-compulsive and stress-related disorders are classified separately from anxiety disorders. This was a major change from previous editions of the DSM, in which these two categories were considered as anxiety disorders. The data for this study refer to patients under observation during 1988 and 2018 (or part of this period); it is unclear how, or if, the changes in nosology affected the use of Read codes by GPs at the point of patient care. In addition, the accuracy of the Read codes to identify each of the sub conditions is likely to be sub-optimal at any given point in time. For these reasons, we decided to include OCDs and stress-related disorders in our definition of anxiety. See below, in the depression section, a note about adjustment disorders with anxiety.

Drugs indicated to treat anxiety according to the British National Formulary:

Substance name Alprazolam Amitriptyline hydrochloride/ Chlordiazepoxide Buspirone hydrochloride Chlordiazepoxide hydrochloride Diazepam Duloxetine hydrochloride Escitalopram oxalate Lorazepam Meprobamate Moclobemide Oxazepam Oxprenolol hydrochloride Paroxetine hydrochloride Pericyazine Perphenazine Pregabalin Trazodone hydrochloride Venlafaxine hydrochloride

International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision, codes for anxiety:

ICD-10 codes	De	scription
F40	1.	Phobic anxiety disorders
F41	2.	Other anxiety disorders
F42	3.	Obsessive-compulsive disorder
F43	4.	Reaction to severe stress, and adjustment disorders
F44	5.	Dissociative [conversion] disorders
F48	6.	Other neurotic disorders

Definition used in sensitivity analysis including specific diagnoses:

Included (Read codes for diagnoses only)	Excluded
Panic disorder	All symptom codes (e.g. 'anxious')
Generalized anxiety disorder	
Mixed anxiety and depression	
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	
Acute stress disorder	
Post-traumatic stress disorder	
Anxiety disorder, NOS	

### **Depression**

We used Read codes alone to classify patients with depression, if the Read code was considered sufficiently specific. When the Read code referred to typical symptoms of depression that could not be sufficient to classify as a depressive episode, we checked whether there as a prescription of a drug commonly used to depression within 90 days, and considered patients to be depressed if yes.

Read codes for the following conditions were included/excluded from our definition:

Included	Excluded
Major depressive disorder	Bipolar and related disorders (incl. bipolar I, II
	and cyclothymic disorder)
Dysthymia	Premenstrual dysphoric disorder
Recurrent depressive disorder	Suicide <sup>†</sup>
Mixed anxiety and depression	Self-harm <sup>†</sup>
Disruptive mood dysregulation disorder	Maternal depression
Depression in dementia (or other condition)	
Trauma- and stress-related disorders* with	
depressed mood, including adjustment	
disorders with depressed mood*	

N.B. 'Included' and 'Excluded' refer to symptoms and diagnoses of the conditions listed.

\* See note on anxiety table. Adjustment disorders are considered to be a short-term reaction to a stressor (i.e. diagnosed usually within 3 months of the onset of the stressor). The core symptoms of adjustment disorders overlap with those of the anxiety and depressive disorders, which would be diagnosed if the symptoms persist for longer than a 6-month period after the terminus of the stressor. The potential for misclassification between adjustment and depressive disorders is high, as they share the same symptomatology and treatment. The data for this study will include patients recently diagnosed with breast cancer (included in the cohort in the day of the cancer recording in the CPRD GOLD primary care database). To avoid misclassification of the outcome, we included adjustment disorders in our definitions of anxiety and depression.

† Self-harm and suicide most often occur in patients with a depressive disorder. We will examine these two outcomes separately.

Drugs indicated to treat depression according to the British National Formulary:

Substance name Agomelatine Amitriptyline Hydrochloride Amitriptyline Hydrochloride/ Perphenazine Citalopram hydrobromide Citalopram hydrochloride Clomipramine hydrochloride Dosulepin hydrochloride Dosulepin Hydrochloride Duloxetine hydrochloride Escitalopram oxalate Fluoxetine hydrochloride Fluvoxamine maleate Imipramine hydrochloride Isocarboxazid Lofepramine hydrochloride Mianserin hydrochloride

Substance name
Mirtazapine
Moclobemide
Nortriptyline hydrochloride
Nortriptyline Hydrochloride
Paroxetine hydrochloride
Phenelzine sulfate
Reboxetine mesilate
Sertraline
Sertraline hydrochloride
Tranylcypromine sulfate
Trazodone Hydrochloride
Trimipramine maleate
Venlafaxine hydrochloride
Venlafaxine Hydrochloride
Vortioxetine hydrobromide

International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision codes for depression:

ICD-10 codes	Description
F32	7. Depressive episode
F33	8. Recurrent depressive disorder
F34	9. Persistent mood [affective] disorders
F41.2	10. Mixed anxiety and depressive disorder
F92.0	11. Depressive conduct disorder

Definition used in sensitivity analysis including specific diagnoses:

Excluded
All symptom codes (e.g. 'depressed')

### Secondary outcomes

#### **Cognitive dysfunction**

Cognitive dysfunction was defined by Read codes for impairments in domain of cognitive function (e.g. 'amnesia symptom', 'orientation confused'), or Read codes related to cognitive assessments (e.g. 'mini-mental state examination', 'unable to remember own date of birth'), Read codes for dementia and drugs commonly used to treat dementia.

We used a broad definition of cognitive dysfunction because we were interested in mild cognitive dysfunction, which is often reported by women with history of breast cancer after diagnosis and treatment. However, changes to cognitive dysfunction, especially those in older adults, may not lead to primary care until it becomes troublesome for the patient or their family. At this point, the patient may be diagnosed with more severe levels of cognitive dysfunction, and we would not be able to identify an outcome of 'mild cognitive dysfunction' in the CPRD primary care database. As loss of cognitive function is a gradual process, we defined cognitive dysfunction using codes that ranged from mild cognitive dysfunction to dementia. We also included codes for scales/tests because we assumed that patients who have had a cognitive assessment registered by their GP might have relevant cognitive complains. Drugs were considered sufficient to ascertain the outcome because these are very specific to dementia.

Read codes for the following conditions were included/excluded from our definition:

Included	Excluded
Mild cognitive impairment	Delirium
Alzheimer's disease	Dementia in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease †
Vascular dementia	Dementia in Huntington's disease †
Frontotemporal dementia	Dementia in Parkinson's disease †
Dementia in Pick's disease	Dementia in human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] disease †
Unspecified dementia	Normal pressure hydrocephalus †
+ We such ded demonstration with well dependent entry which is well-shifts be seen sisted with a segment history	

† We excluded dementia with well-described cause, which is unlikely to be associated with a cancer history.

Drugs indicated to treat dementia according to the British National Formulary:

Substance name Donepezil hydrochloride Galantamine hydrobromide Memantine hydrochloride

International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision codes for dementia:

ICD-10 codes	Description
F00	Dementia in Alzheimer disease
F01	Vascular dementia
F02.0	Dementia in Pick disease
F03	Unspecified dementia
F06.7	Mild cognitive disorder
#### Fatigue

Patients were classified as having had fatigue using Read codes (list of Read codes available online). We included/excluded the following conditions in our definition of fatigue:

Included	Excluded
Chronic fatigue syndrome/ myalgic encephalitis	Combat fatigue
Neurasthenia	Fatigue in pregnancy
Post viral fatigue syndrome *	Fibromyalgia †

N.B. 'Included' and 'Excluded' refer to *symptoms* and diagnoses of the conditions listed. We included symptoms such as 'tired all the time' because GPs may be less likely to diagnose chronic fatigue syndrome if symptoms can be attributed to the breast cancer treatments.

\* Post-viral fatigue syndrome our definition of fatigue because there is a high potential for misclassification of these outcomes at primary care level, as viral infections are common.

† Studies have shown a considerable overlap between fatigue and fibromyalgia, with at least 75% of the patients diagnosed with fibromyalgia report fatigue (Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2015 Oct;49(2):100-51). We expect these patients to be captured by the terms for fatigue defined in the conditions of interest for this study.

#### <u>Pain</u>

Pain was defined using Read codes for pain of specific regions of the body (e.g. chest pain), and of known conditions that may be caused by treatments (e.g. arthralgia in patients who are treated with hormone therapy, or post-surgical pain), unspecified pain (e.g. pain symptom). Pain syndromes (e.g. fibromyalgia) were included. Read codes for pain scales were also considered as evidence of pain, as we assumed that this would not be offered to the patient if s/he did not complain of pain. Codes for rheumatoid arthritis and arthroses were excluded, as well as codes for fractures. We also excluded codes for pain of known aetiology that is unlikely to be related to breast cancer treatments (e.g. post-herpetic pain, diabetic neuropathic pain, menstrual pain, fractures, accidents, etc.).

#### **Opioid analgesics**

All opioid analgesics listed in the British National Formulary were considered eligible. We did not include codes for treatment of opioid dependency.

#### Sleep disorder

Sleep disorder was defined with Read codes, if the Read code was considered sufficiently specific. When the Read code referred to symptoms or possible treatment of sleep disorder (e.g. 'poor sleep pattern', 'sleep hygiene behaviour education'), we considered the patient to have a sleep disorder only if they had been prescribed with an anxiolytic/hypnotic (table below) within 90 days of the code.

Read codes for the following conditions were included/excluded from our definition:

Included	Excluded
Insomnia	Narcolepsy
Hypersomnia	Breathing-related disorders (including sleep apnoea)
Circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorders	Cataplexy
Parasomnias	

N.B. 'Included' and 'Excluded' refer to symptoms and diagnoses of the conditions listed.

Drugs indicated to treat sleep disorders according to the British National Formulary:

Substance name
Temazepam
Nitrazepam
Diazepam
Zopiclone
Clomethiazole
Promethazine hydrochloride
Promethazine teoclate
Zolpidem tartrate
Codeine phosphate/promethazine hydrochloride
Clomethiazole edisilate
Flunitrazepam
Flurazepam hydrochloride
Lormetazepam
Oxazepam
Promethazine hydrochloride/paracetamol
Loprazolam mesilate
Melatonin
Promethazine hydrochloride/pholcodine
Pethidine hydrochloride/promethazine hydrochloride
Paracetamol/promethazine hydrochloride/ dextromethorphan hydrobromide
Dextromethorphan hydrobromide/promethazine hydrochloride/ paracetamol

#### Female sexual dysfunction

We included only Read codes in our definition of sexual dysfunction. We included Read codes for scales of sexual function (e.g. Derogatis Sexual Dysfunction Inventory) because the patient is likely to have had subjective complains of sexual function in order for the GP to apply the test.

We considered the follow clinical disorders as relevant outcomes:

Included	Excluded
Female orgasmic disorder	Paraphilia
Female arousal disorder	Excess sex drive
Dyspareunia	Sexual orientation related codes

We acknowledge that codes for improved sexual function are likely to indicate that a disorder has been present; these were nevertheless excluded as the date of the disorder cannot be ascertained. In addition, prescriptions for sexual dysfunction are not likely to capture accurately the disorder, as it may include lubricants that are often sold over the counter, or creams containing oestrogen that may be under prescribed to breast cancer survivors due to concerns related with oestrogen positive receptor tumours.

#### Fatal and non-fatal self-harm

Fatal and non-fatal self-harm included codes for intentional self-harm and suicidal ideation, using an updated version of a previously validated list of Read codes (Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013; 76(1): 145-57), and International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision, (ICD-10) codes for completed suicide. Completed suicide was defined using the ICD-10 codes X60-X84 and Y10-34, excluding Y33.9 where the verdict is pending.

International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> revision codes for self-harm: ICD-10 codes Description

Intentional self-harm
Event of undetermined intent
Sequelae of intentional self-harm
Sequelae of events of undetermined intent

### Methods2 Definition of covariates

#### Alcohol and smoking status

Information on alcohol drinking and smoking status was obtained from primary care records, and patients were assigned into the following categories for each variable: non-users, current users, and former users. For these three variables, we prioritised information registered in the year prior to the index date, or up to 30 days after the index date; where this was unavailable we used information recorded at any point prior to the index date, and if still missing, we used information recorded at any point prior to the index date, and if still missing, we used information recorded at any point in the clinical record.

#### Body mass index

Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was computed as weight divided by the square of height, using a previously defined algorithm to ascertain this information from the clinical records (BMJ Open 2013; 3(9): e003389). Patients were classified in BMI categories, according to the World Health Organization proposed cut-offs for Caucasian populations.

#### Cardiovascular comorbidity

Cardiovascular comorbidity was defined as having a record of stroke or ischaemic heart disease (angina and coronary heart disease) before the study index date, or up to 30 days after the index date.

#### **Deprivation**

Deprivation was defined by quintiles of the practice-postcode linked Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD), which is an ecological measure of deprivation for small areas in England (Lower Super Output Areas). For a subset of patients, patient-postcode linked quintile of IMD was available.

#### **Diabetes mellitus**

Diabetes mellitus was defined as having had a Read code for type I or type II diabetes mellitus recorded up to 30 days after the index date (assuming that any event recorded within the first month would be prevalent).

#### **Ethnicity**

Ethnicity (White, South Asian, Black, Others and mixed) was defined in accordance with previously defined algorithms (J Public Health (Oxf) 2014; 36(4): 684-92).

## Table 1(A) Characteristics of the patients excluded from analysis, and follow up time for anxiety, depression and cognitive dysfunction.

	Anxiety				Depression				Cognitive dysfunction			
	Unex	posed	Exp	osed	Unex	posed	Exp	osed	Unex	posed	Exp	osed
Patients eligible for analysis, N (%)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)
Exclusions from outcome-specific analysis, N (%)	5,929	(2.58)	1,955	(3.40)	13,712	(5.96)	3,498	(6.08)	5,623	(2.44)	1,519	(2.64)
Patients excluded who had the outcome recorded after the index date, N (%)	2,707	(45.7)	896	(45.8)	8,511	(62.1)	2,175	(62.2)	-	-	-	-
Mean time (SD) between the mental health outcome diagnosis and the index date, days Age at index date (years)	214	(109)	218	(110)	212	(109)	214	(110)	-	-	-	-
Mean (SD)	60	(14)	60	(13)	61	(14)	61	(14)	74	(13.12)	74	(13.30)
Minimum-maximum	21-	101	24-	-102	19-	101	22	-101	28-	103	29-	-101
Total number of patients included in analysis (%)	224,138	(97.42)	55,616	(96.60)	216,355	(94.04)	54,073	(93.92)	224,444	(97.56)	56,052	(97.36)
Total number of person-years at risk	1,30	6,784	288,115		1,202,647		26 <sup>-</sup>	,081	1,38	5,179	315,453	
Duration of follow up (years)												
Mean (SD)	5.83	(4.79)	5.18	(4.57)	5.56	(4.74)	4.83	(4.48)	6.17	(4.94)	5.63	(4.71)
Median	4.61		3.89		4.26		3.44		5.00		4.38	
Outcomes during follow-up, N	20,224 5,888		34,558 10,175		19,845		4,368					

SD: standard deviation.

Table 1(B) Characteristics of the patients excluded from analyst	sis, and follow up time for fatigue	e, sexual dysfunction and sleep disorders.
--	-------------------------------------	--

	Fatigue				Sexual dysfunction				Sleep disorder			
	Unexposed		Exp	Exposed		Unexposed		osed	Unexposed		Exp	osed
Patients eligible for analysis, N (%)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)
Exclusions from outcome-specific analysis, N (%)	6,561	(2.85)	1,660	(2.88)	490	(0.21)	127	(0.22)	4,484	(1.95)	1,361	(2.36)
Patients excluded who had the outcome recorded after the index date, N (%)	2,409	(36.7)	609	(36.7)	87	(17.8)	12	(9.5)	1,822	(40.6)	622	(45.7)
Mean time (SD) between the mental health outcome diagnosis and the index date, days Age at index date (years)	208	(110)	211	(107)	203	(111)	202	(106)	206	(106)	215	(106)
Mean (SD)	62	(14)	63	(14)	53	(9)	51	(10)	67	(15)	65	(15)
Minimum-maximum	19-	101	26	-103	21-92		21-77		21-99		19-101	
Total number of patients included in analysis (%)	223,506	(97.10)	55,911	(97.10)	229,577	(99.8)	57,444	(99.8)	225,583	(98.10)	56,210	(97.60)
Total number of person-years at risk	1,26	6,975	280	,982	1,435,837		32	5,393	1,33	8,065	290	<b>)</b> ,786
Duration of follow up (years)												
Mean (SD)	5.67	(4.73)	5.03	(4.48)	6.25	(4.98)	5.66	(4.75)	5.93	(4.85)	5.17	(4.60)
Median	4.44		3.69		5.09		4.40		4.71		3.86	
Outcomes during follow-up, N	28,886 8,359		359	2,153		683		16,798		6,002		

SD: standard deviation.

### Table 1(C) Characteristics of the patients excluded from analysis, and follow up time for pain, opioid analgesics, and fatal/non-fatal self-harm.

	Pain				Opioids analgesics				Fatal and non-fatal self-harm			
	Unexposed		Exposed		Unexposed		Exposed		Unexposed		Exposed	
Patients eligible for analysis, N (%)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	230,067	(100.00)	57,571	(100.00)	132,647	(100.00)	33,168	(100.00)
Exclusions from outcome-specific analysis, N (%)	68,030	(29.57)	18,800	(32.66)	16,877	(7.34)	4,899	(8.51)	315	(0.24)	63	(0.19)
Patients excluded who had the outcome recorded after the index date, N (%)	54,493	(80.10)	14867	(79.08)	12,547	(74.3)	3,855	(78.69)	80	(25.4)	14	(22.2)
Mean time (SD) between the mental health outcome diagnosis and the index date, days Age at index date (years)	215	(107.80)	214	(109.78)	217	(108)	215	(111)	229	(110)	218	(110)
Mean (SD)	63	(14)	63	(14)	66	(14)	67	(13)	54	(13)	52	(17)
Minimum-maximum	19-	102	19	-103	27	-91	25	5-91	30-	102	25-	
Total number of patients included in analysis (%)	162,037	(70.43)	38,771	(67.34)	213,190	(92.7)	52,672	(91.5)	132,332	(99.8)	33,105	(99.8)
Total number of person-years at risk	505	,451	100,312		1,18	1,155	248	8,654	831	,516	190	),182
Duration of follow up (years)												
Mean (SD)	3.12	(3.30)	2.59	(2.88)	5.54	(4.70)	4.72	(4.49)	6.28	(4.98)	5.74	(4.76)
Median	1.99		1.59		4.27		3.36		5.13		4.50	
Outcomes during follow-up, N	94,171 24,522		44,850		17	17,315		794		182		

SD: standard deviation.

			Women with no history of car	ncer	Women with history of breast cancer						
		1 year	5 years	10 years	1 year	5 years	10 years				
	%	95%CI	% 95%Cl	% 95%CI	% 95%Cl	% 95%CI	% 95%Cl				
Anxiety	2.0	1.9 - 2.1	8.2 8.1 - 8.4	13.8 13.6 - 14.0	3.9 3.8 - 4.1	10.6 10.3 - 10.9	16.4 15.9 - 16.8				
Depression	4.0	3.9 - 4.0	14.8 14.6 - 15.0	24.0 23.7 - 24.3	7.1 6.9 - 7.3	19.4 19.0 - 19.8	28.5 28.0 - 29.1				
Cognitive dysfunction	1.1	1.1 - 1.2	5.8 5.7 - 5.9	13.2 12.9 - 13.4	1.0 0.9 - 1.1	5.7 5.4 - 5.9	13.1 12.7 - 13.6				
Fatigue	2.7	2.6 - 2.8	11.4 11.3 - 11.6	19.9 19.7 - 20.2	4.0 3.8 - 4.1	15.2 14.8 - 15.5	23.9 23.4 - 24.5				
Pain	22.1	21.9 - 22.4	61.0 60.7 - 61.3	79.1 78.8 - 79.4	27.0 26.6 - 27.5	69.7 69.2 - 70.3	85.6 85.1 - 86.2				
Sexual dysfunction	0.2	0.2 - 0.2	0.8 0.8 - 0.9	1.5 1.4 - 1.5	0.2 0.2 - 0.3	1.2 1.1 - 1.4	2.0 1.8 - 2.1				
Sleep disorder	1.6	1.5 - 1.6	6.6 6.5 - 6.8	11.6 11.4 - 11.7	4.7 4.6 - 4.9	10.8 10.5 - 11.1	16.0 15.5 - 16.4				
Opioid analgesics	4.2	4.1 - 4.3	17.7 17.5 - 17.9	31.1 30.8 - 31.3	12.2 12.0 - 12.5	30.8 30.3 - 31.2	45.5 44.9 - 46.1				
Fatal and non- fatal self-harm	0.1	0.1 - 0.1	0.5 0.5 - 0.6	0.9 0.8 - 1.0	0.1 0.1 - 0.2	0.5 0.5 - 0.6	0.9 0.8 - 1.0				

Table 2 Incidence of adverse mental health-related outcomes in breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

95%CI: 95% confidence interval.

# 12.4 Appendix 4 Supplementary materials to the paper presented in Chapter 7

Carreira H, Williams R, Dempsey H, Stanway S, Krishnan Bhaskaran Mental health and quality of life of female breast cancer

survivors compared to women who did not have cancer

(in preparation)

#### Contents

Protocol	Mental health and quality of life of female breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer
Ethics1	Favourable ethical opinion: East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee
Ethics2	Favourable ethical opinion: LSHTM Observational / Interventions Researchs Ethics Committee.
Ethics3	HRA and Health and Care Research Wales Approval letter
Supp. Table 1	Comparison between participants and non-participants, by group, age, country, and deprivation.
Supp. Table 2	Correlation coefficients between the different domains of HRQoL (N=605).



# Mental health and quality of life of female breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer

## **Study protocol**

Version 4

Authors:

Helena Carreira, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Rachael Williams, Clinical Practice Research Datalink Susannah Stanway, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust Liam Smeeth, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Krishnan Bhaskaran, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

London, May 2018

#### Summary

We aim to assess the quality of life (QoL), and presence and severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms, in women who have had breast cancer diagnosed at  $\geq$ 1 year, compared to women who did not have cancer.

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) primary care database will be used to select a random sample of breast cancer survivors ( $\geq$ 1 year), whose general practitioner (GP) agrees to participate in the study (see below), and who were registered with the practice for  $\geq$ 1 year before and after the breast cancer diagnosis. Age-matched women who never had cancer will be randomly selected from the same practice. Staff at each practice will mail the study materials to the eligible women, who will complete the questionnaires and send those to the CPRD Intervention Studies Team for processing.

In addition, a secondary objective of this study is to assess whether PROs can be reasonably studied by using electronic health records (EHR), as these would involve fewer resources. For this, the EHR of the participating women will be collated from the CPRD primary care database and the results will be compared to those reported by the patients.

#### **Table of Contents**

Su	mmary	2
1	Background	4
2	Aims and objectives	6
3	Plan of investigation.         3.1       Study type	7 7 7 7 7 7
4	Data to be collected4.1Health-related quality of life4.2Anxiety and depression4.3Clinical and socio-demographic data	9 9 9 9
5	Data/statistical analysis	. 10
6	Plan for addressing missing data	. 16
7	Sample size	. 17
8	Feasibility counts	. 20
9	Pilot study	. 21
10	Limitations of the study design, data sources and analytical methods	. 22
11	Patient or user group involvement	. 23
12	Plans for disseminating and communicating study results	. 24
13	Timetable	.25
14	References	.26
15	Appendices	. 30
/ / k	Appendix 1. List of read codes to identify breast cancer patients [50] Appendix 2. Items of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) group by domain Appendix 3. Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) [36]	. 31 bed . 32 . 34
	Appendix 4. Generic domains of HRQoL [36]	.36
	Appendix 5. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [37]	. 37
,	Appendix 7. Demographic information	.39

#### 1 Background

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy diagnosed in women in the United Kingdom (UK), excluding non-melanoma skin cancer [1]. The five-year age-standardised net survival for patients diagnosed with breast cancer in 2005-09 was 81% [2]. Breast cancer survivors are the largest group of cancer survivors in the UK [3, 4]: approximately 570,000 women were estimated to be living with or beyond breast cancer in 2010; this corresponds to 1,803 per 100,000 women [4]. The increasing trends in incidence and survival [1, 2] suggest that the number of breast cancer survivors will continue to increase in the next decades [4].

Even though women now live longer after the breast cancer diagnosis, the disease is perceived as life threatening and a major cause of emotional distress [5]. Common reactions to the diagnosis include anxiety, feelings of loneliness, fear of death, hopelessness, anger, suicidal thoughts and existential issues [6, 7]. In addition to the sorrow of the diagnosis, most women undergo a long and complex journey of aggressive treatments [8] with iatrogenic effects that are likely to have a long-term negative impact on their mental health and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [9, 10]. For example, surgery for tumour removal and lymph node status assessment may cause lymphoedema [11] and/or persistent pain [12], in addition to a life-long scar, which may change women's body image [13]. Chemotherapy may result in cognitive impairments [14, 15] and/or cause amenorrhea in pre-menopausal women, bringing fertility concerns (for women who want children) and vasomotor symptoms such as hot flushes, night sweats, breast sensitivity and/or pain [16, 17]. In the long-term, women also have to re-adapt to social and intimate relationships (including with their spouse [18] and offspring [19-21]), and deal with the fear of cancer recurrence and death [22].

Patients often report the social, mental and cognitive functioning as important outcomes of their disease [23-26]. However, few studies [27, 28] focused on the mental health and HRQoL of large samples of cancer survivors in the UK. The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) primary care database gathers data for consultations occurring in a large number of general practices in the UK. This database currently includes data for more than 11.3 million patients, from over 600 general practices [29]. The cohort of cancer survivors in this database is one of the largest in the world with data prospectively and routinely collected at primary care level. As most mental disorders are also managed at primary care level [30, 31], the CPRD primary care database offers a unique opportunity to study long-term mental disorders in women who have had breast cancer. The information available for some domains of HRQoL may also represent an opportunity to study what are normally patient reported outcomes at a much lower cost but there has been no study evaluating the extent to which EHR data can be reasonably used to study HRQoL.

Khan et al used the CPRD primary care data to evaluate the pattern of consultations for anxiety and depression in 2003-2005, as well as the prescription of antidepressants and anxiolytics, among 16,938 breast cancer survivors (>5 years) and 67,649 women without breast cancer [27]. This study showed that breast cancer survivors had significantly increased odds of being prescribed antidepressants and anxiolytics but not of consulting for anxiety or depression, compared to women who did not have breast cancer [27]. The interpretation of these results is not straightforward because: 1) patients consulting for anxiety or depression are likely to represent the most severe cases, as these disorders, especially in the sub-threshold or milder severities, are often undiagnosed [31] and their burden underestimated; 2) cancer survivors may have more contact with the health services and be therefore more likely to be diagnosed and/or treated for anxiety or depressive symptoms, compared to women who did not have breast cancer; 3) antidepressants may also be prescribed to breast cancer survivors as treatment for hot flushes [32], one of the commonest side effects of endocrine treatments [33], and it is unclear if the frequency of prescription of antidepressants for hot flushes differs between women who have had breast cancer and women who never had cancer. Considering this, it is unclear how well the data registered in the EHR represent the burden of anxiety and depressive conditions in the population. In addition, a population-based cohort study conducted in Denmark described a significantly increased risk of depression in the first years after diagnosis, whose magnitude and significance reduced over time [34]. Corresponding estimates for the five years after the diagnosis are not available in the UK.

The aim of this study is to investigate the HRQoL, and the presence and severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms, in breast cancer survivors (>1 year) and in women who did not have cancer. A secondary objective of this study is to compare the outcomes reported by the patients to the data available in the EHR. In doing so, we will assess the feasibility of using EHR to study outcomes that are usually reported directly by patients.

#### 2 Aims and objectives

#### <u>Aims</u>

The primary aim of this study is to investigate the health-related quality of life (HRQoL), and the presence and severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms, in female breast cancer survivors (>1 year) compared to women who did not have cancer.

The secondary aim is to assess the feasibility of studying outcomes that are usually reported directly be patients by relying on the EHR data.

#### Specific objectives

- 1. To describe cancer-specific measures of HRQoL in breast cancer survivors, and to explore the impact of demographic and clinical factors;
- 2. To compare measures of HRQoL between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer and to evaluate the impact of clinical and demographic variables;
- 3. To compare the severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors and in women who did not have cancer, and to assess the impact of demographic and clinical variables;
- 4. To compare patient reported HRQoL, and anxiety and depressive symptoms, with the information registered in the EHR for similar constructs.

#### 3 Plan of investigation

#### 3.1 Study type

Descriptive.

#### 3.2 Study site

England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

#### 3.3 Study design

Cross-sectional.

#### 3.4 Study population

Women aged 18 to 80 years old, diagnosed with a first primary cancer of the breast at one year or more ago at the recruitment date, and who had been registered for at least two years with a general practice contributing with 'up to standard' data to CPRD at the moment of the recruitment.

#### 3.5 Comparison group

Adult women (18-80 years) without a previous cancer diagnosis, selected from the same primary care practices of the cancer patients.

#### 3.6 Recruitment of the participants

Participants will be recruited from primary care practices contributing with data to the CPRD primary care database, via their GP. GPs working in practices considered 'active' (i.e. contributing with data to CPRD at the time of recruitment), and whose data quality at practice level has been judged as 'up to standard' by the CPRD internal quality procedures, will be invited by the CPRD Intervention Studies Team to participate in the study. Refusal to participate in the study will be recorded.

#### Breast cancer survivors

The EHR of the women registered with the GPs who accept to participate in the study will be collated. We will create a list of women who had a breast cancer recorded in the EHR using the list of Read codes provided in Appendix 1. We will then restrict the list to women aged

18-80 years, who were registered with the same primary care practice for at least one year before the breast cancer diagnosis, and who are currently alive, registered with the same practice, and have passed the first anniversary of their cancer diagnosis. A list of Read codes for other cancers [35] will be used to further exclude women who have had any other malignancy diagnosed before or after the breast cancer.

A random list of potentially eligible breast cancer survivors from each general practice will be selected. The number of women to be randomly selected from each practice will be calculated as the total number of women necessary for the study multiplied by the number of breast cancer survivors in the practice divided by the total number of potentially eligible breast cancer survivors in all practices.

The list of potentially eligible breast cancer survivors will be provided to the GP, and s/he will apply the following exclusion criteria:

- a) The woman had a another cancer (not detected in the EHR), or has been treated for a non-invasive breast tumour;
- b) The woman is considered unable to complete a self-administered questionnaire written in English for any reason.

The number of women excluded by the GP under each criterion will be recorded. Breast cancer survivors not excluded will be eligible for the study and invited to participate.

#### Women who did not have cancer

A list of Read codes [35] will be used to exclude patients who have had cancer from the list of patients attending the same practices as the cancer survivors. In addition, patients who have not been registered continuously for the last two years with the practice and outside the age range 18-80 years will be excluded. Women still in the list are potentially eligible.

The number of women to be selected from each practice will be calculated as: total number of women without cancer necessary for the study times the number of women without cancer in the practice divided by the total number of women without cancer in all practices.

For each practice, we will then calculate the proportion of breast cancer survivors in the following age groups: 18-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75-80. The final list of potentially eligible controls will be created by randomly selecting women with the same age distribution as of the breast cancer survivors of that same practice.

This list of potentially eligible controls will be sent to the GPs, and s/he will confirm that the women did not have a cancer and apply exclusion criteria a) and b).

Women not excluded will be considered eligible controls and invited to participate in the study.

#### 4 Data to be collected

#### 4.1 Health-related quality of life

Information on HRQoL will be collected using the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) [36]. The QLACS was developed to take into account the specific needs of long-term cancer survivors, including issues that continue after treatment, new issues that arise during the period post-cancer, late physical effects of the cancer treatments and positive aspects of surviving to cancer [36]. It includes 47 items, divided in 7 generic and 5 cancer-specific domains (Appendix 2).

Breast cancer survivors will be asked to reply to all 47 items of the QLCAS (Appendix 3). Women who never had cancer will reply to the 28 items of the generic domains (Appendix 4).

#### 4.2 Anxiety and depression

Data on anxiety and depressive symptoms will be collected with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS, 5) [37]. This is a 14-item self-reported screening tool for anxiety and depressive symptoms in the past week. It contains two sub-scales, one for anxiety (HADS-A) and another for depression (HADS-D), with 7 items each [37]. This scale has been validated for use in primary care [38] and was used in primary care studies in the UK [39-41].

#### 4.3 Clinical and socio-demographic data

Breast cancer survivors will be asked to provide information about the type of treatments received, the stage of their disease at diagnosis, the time since the last treatment (excluding long-term hormonal therapy), their menopausal status before and after the treatment, and how the cancer responded to the treatment (Appendix 6).

For all women, we will also collect data on potential confounders of the association between cancer history and mental health outcomes: education, ethnicity and social support (Appendix 7). Information on other potential confounders, such as co-morbidities or age at diagnosis will be obtained from the EHR.

#### 5 Data/statistical analysis

#### **Proportion of participation and exclusions**

The proportion of GPs who accept to participate in the study will be calculated for the whole of the UK, by country within the UK, and by region.

The proportion of patients considered by the GP as ineligible will be reported separately for breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

The proportion of breast cancer survivors who accept to participate in the study will be calculated, as well as the proportion of women who did not have cancer. The denominator will include all women in each group to whom questionnaires were sent, even though we expect a minor proportion of envelopes returned because the patient may have moved or died, or the address may not be correct.

# Objective 1: To describe cancer-specific measures of HRQoL in breast cancer survivors, and to explore the impact of demographic and clinical factors.

The QLACS includes 19 items for 5 cancer-specific domains of HRQoL (Appendix 2). Answers are provided on an ordinal Likert-type of scale, with values for individual items ranging from 1 to 7 [36]. For each breast cancer survivor, we will group the items by domain and calculate the sum of the individual scores under each domain [36]. All but one domain include 4 items; the "family distress" domain includes 3 items, and the sum of the individual scores will be rescaled to make the metric comparable with other domains. Values for each domain will range between 4 and 28. The range (minimum and maximum) scores will be reported for each domain, as well as the proportion of patients who score at the minimum and maximum values (floor and ceiling effects, respectively).

A mean or median score (depending on distribution) for each domain will be calculated from the individual-level sums of scores of the breast cancer survivors. Standard deviation will be calculated to quantify the dispersion of the data. The correlation coefficient among the mean scores of the domains will be reported.

A summary score for the cancer specific domains will be calculated by adding the mean/median scores of four domains ('financial problems', 'distress-family', 'appearance', and 'distress-recurrence'); the mean/median score for 'benefits from cancer' is not included.

We will use linear regression models to estimate the association between the cancerspecific HRQoL domain scores and patients factors, such as stage at diagnosis or type of surgery. The dependent variable will be the sum of the individual items reported by each patient for that particular domain. The linear regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) from the regression models and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals will be reported.

# Objective 2: To compare generic measures of HRQoL between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer, and to evaluate the impact of demographic and clinical variables.

The QLACS includes 28 items for 7 generic domains of HRQoL, with values for individual items ranging from 1 to 7 [36] (Appendix 4).

The items will be grouped by domain (Appendix 2), and we will calculate, for each woman, the sum of the individual scores under each domain [36]. For each group of participants (i.e. breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer), the range (minimum and maximum) of the scores will be reported for each domain, as well as the proportion of women who score at the minimum and maximum values of the domain.

A mean or median score, depending on the distribution of the data, will be obtained for each group of women, by calculating the mean/median of the sum of the scores for each woman in that group. The respective standard deviation will be reported.

A summary score for the generic domains will be calculated as the sum of the individual domain scores.

The student's two-sample t-test, or a non-parametric alternative if needed (i.e. Mann-Whitney distribution free test), will be used to assess the evidence for a difference in the summary scores for each domain between the two groups.

Linear regression will be used to evaluate the impact of cancer diagnosis on the mean scores of HRQoL, adjusting for potential confounders. The role of socio-economic and clinical variables will be explored. The model fit and the linear regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) will be reported as well as the 95% confidence intervals.

Objective 3: To compare the severity of depressive and anxiety symptoms in breast cancer survivors and in women who did not have cancer, and to assess the impact of clinical and demographic variables.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale contains two sub-scales, one for anxiety (HADS-A) and another for depression (HADS-D), with 7 items each [37]. Each item is rated from 0 to 3 and the total score for each sub-scale ranges between 0 and 21; higher scores represent higher symptoms of depression or anxiety [37].

To evaluate the severity of the depressive and anxiety symptoms in each group, the mean or median score, as appropriate, will be calculated for each sub-scale. The student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney test will be used to compare the mean/median score of depressive and of anxiety symptoms between cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

To identify patients with clinically relevant symptoms of depression or anxiety, the authors of the scale propose the cut-off of 0-7 for non-cases, 8-10 for borderline cases and 11-21 for probable cases, in both subscales.

The proportion of patients falling into the three categories (non-case, borderline, probable case) will be estimated for breast cancer survivors and for controls.

A chi-squared test will be used to assess whether there is evidence of differences in the proportion of patients in these categories between the two groups. A test for trend will be used to evaluate if there are increasing changes over the categories in each group.

The participants will then be categorised as having or not having clinically relevant levels of depressive or anxiety symptoms (cut off >10). Logistic regression models will be used to estimate the association between breast cancer history and clinically relevant levels of anxiety, and breast cancer history and clinically relevant symptoms of depression. The impact of clinical and demographic variables will be explored in the regression models. Crude and adjusted odds ratios, and respective 95% confidence intervals, will be reported.

Alexander et al. [42] evaluated the performance of the HADS as a screening test for major depressive disorder and anxiety in breast cancer survivors who were between 3 months and 2 years after main treatment conclusion (gold standard: non-patient Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of mental disorders (SCID)). Using the proposed cut-off of >10, the HADS-D had a sensitivity of 50% (95% confidence interval (95%CI): 27 to 73) and a specificity of 97% (95%CI: 93 to 99) [42]. However, the HADS-A had a sensitivity of 71% (95%CI: 30 to 95) and specificity of 87% (95%CI: 81 to 91) [42]. Even though the optimal cut-off for this population has not been established, a sensitivity of 50% may be too low to be acceptable in clinical practice, and therefore we will conduct a

sensitivity analysis considering the cut-off of  $\geq 8$  to classify women as having clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety or depression.

# Objective 4: To compare the information reported by the patients for HRQoL, and for depressive and anxiety symptoms, with the information registered in the EHR for similar constructs

#### HRQoL

The QLACS includes seven generic domains of HRQoL (Appendix 4). Of these, five are particularly suitable for comparison with the data recorded in the EHR because women with distressing levels for these domains may have visited their GP to seek help: 'negative feelings', 'cognitive problems', 'physical pain', 'sexual problems' and 'fatigue'. Read codes for the 'social avoidance' domain are also available, and therefore we included also this domain.

For each woman, we will calculate the mean score for each domain (mean values will range between 1 and 7). Then, we will consider as reporting important levels of distress all women with a mean score of  $\geq$ 5 (corresponding to replies of frequently, very often or always to most questions) in the domains of negative feelings, cognitive problems, physical pain, sexual problems and fatigue. Two sensitivity analyses will be conducted: 1) using a lower cut-off of  $\geq$ 3 (corresponding to replies of sometimes and as often as not, in addition to replies of frequently, very often or always to most questions); 2) considering as exposed to important levels of distress all women who replied  $\geq$ 5 to at least one item in the domain.

To identify evidence of the corresponding outcomes in the EHR, we will produce a list of Read codes closely related to the QLACS items for each domain (table 1). This list of Read codes will be used to identify women (who have had and who did not have breast cancer) with these outcomes registered in their EHR in the previous year (or since the first anniversary of diagnosis, if a cancer was diagnosed at less than 2 years).

Domain	Items in the QLACS	Read codes* related to:						
Negative feelings	<ul><li>19 Bothered by mood swings;</li><li>7 Felt blue or depressed;</li><li>9 Worried about little things;</li><li>24 Felt anxious</li></ul>	Depression, anxiety						
Cognitive problems	3 Bothered by having a short attention span 4 Had trouble remembering things	Mild cognitive impairment Cognitive dysfunction						

Table 1 Domains and respective items of the QLACS scale, and conditions related to each dom	nain.
---	-------

Study protocol: Mental health and quality of life in women who had breast cancer, v4

Domain	Items in the QLACS	Read codes* related to:						
Physical pain	<ul> <li>2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration</li> <li>23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do</li> <li>13 Bothered by pain preventing activities</li> <li>17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment</li> <li>27 Pain interfered w/social activities</li> <li>21 Had aches or pains</li> </ul>	Pain reported as a symptom Prescriptions of analgesics						
Sexual problems	Sexual interest: 16 Lacked interest in sex 26 Avoided sexual activity Sexual function	Sexual dysfunction Hypoactive sexual disorder Prescription of topical oestrogens						
	12 Dissatisfied w/sex life 10 Bothered by inability to function sexually							
Fatigue	<ul><li>11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to</li><li>14 Felt tired a lot</li><li>1 Had energy to do things wanted to do</li><li>5 Felt fatigued</li></ul>	Fatigue Low energy						
Social avoidance	<ul><li>18 Avoided social gatherings</li><li>20 Avoided friends</li><li>25 Reluctant to meet new people</li><li>15 Reluctant to start new relationships</li></ul>	Social isolation Social difficulties Non aggressive unsocial conduct disorder						

\* This will also be based on the systematic review of the Read codes used to identify mental health outcomes in primary care databases.

We will estimate the proportion of women who reported distressing levels for these domains, and the proportion of women who have a recording of a similar construct in the EHR, separately for breast cancer survivors and for women who did not have cancer.

To estimate how much inquiring the patient adds to the information registered in the EHR, we will calculate the probability of:

- 1) having information for a particular domain registered in the EHR, among women who reported distressing levels for that domain (sensitivity);
- 2) not having any information registered in the EHR for a particular domain among women who did not report distressing levels for that domain (specificity);
- 3) reporting distressing levels for a particular domain among women who had information for that domain registered in the EHR (positive predictive value);
- 4) not reporting distressing levels for a particular domain among women who did not have data for that domain registered in the EHR (negative predictive value).

All probabilities will be calculated separately for breast cancer survivors and for women who did not have cancer.

#### Anxiety and depression

The scores of the HADS-A and HADS-D will be used to classify women as having clinically relevant levels of anxiety and of depressive symptoms, respectively, using >10 as cut-off. The proportion of women scoring above this threshold will be calculated.

Women with a diagnosis of an anxiety and/or depressive disorder will be identified in the EHR through a list of Read codes. This list will be based on a systematic review of the literature to identify mental disorders in primary care databases. Women with a Read code for a depressive or anxiety disorder diagnosed in the last year will be considered depressed or anxious. A sensitivity analysis will include Read codes for symptoms of depression and/or anxiety, to account for the difficulties in the diagnosis of these conditions.

We will calculate, for each group of women and for each disorder, the probability of:

- having a diagnosis of anxiety/depression registered in the EHR among women who scored above the threshold in the HADS scale (sensitivity);
- not having a diagnosis of anxiety/depression registered in the EHR among women who did not score above the threshold in the HADS scale (specificity);
- scoring above the threshold in the HADS scale among women who had a diagnosis of anxiety/depression recorded in the EHR (positive predictive value);
- 4) not scoring above the threshold in the HADS scale among women who did not have a diagnosis of anxiety/depression recorded in the EHR (negative predictive value).

#### 6 Plan for addressing missing data

We estimate that 5% of the women will have missing data for at least one item of the QLACS. This is a conservative estimate based on literature (the highest proportion of missing items was 3.2% [43]). The HADS has been shown to have excellent acceptability [37] and the proportion of missing items is usually small.

We will explore the pattern of missingness of the items by demographic and clinical variables. For that purpose, a variable will be created to denote records with incomplete information and we will explore the association between this variable and clinical and demographic variables. If the missingness can be explained by the other variables in the dataset, we will consider that it is missing at random, and specify a multiple imputation model to better represent the distribution from which the missing data came.

#### 7 Sample size

We estimate that a sample of 260 breast cancer survivors and 260 women who did not have cancer are required to detect differences of the size reported in the literature. As participation rate in this type of studies has been low (approximately 20%), we believe that 1,400 women in each group need to be invited.

#### HRQoL

Table 2 provides details of the sample size calculation for the comparison of the summary scores of HRQoL, and of the mean scores of the generic domains of HRQoL, between breast cancer survivors and women who did not have cancer.

**Table 2**Estimated sample size to compare the mean values of HRQoL in breast cancersurvivors and women who did not have cancer.

	HRQoL mean score breast cancer survivors (SD)	HRQoL mean score normative data (SD)	Sample size per group <sup>†</sup>	Adjusted* sample size per group
Summary score	68.5 (22.7) <sup>1</sup>	60.9 (21.5)	133	800
Summary score	70.5 (26.6) <sup>2</sup>	60.9 (21.5)	100	600
Summary score	75.5 (26.3) <sup>2</sup>	60.9 (21.5)	43	350
Generic domains				
Negative feelings	9.7 (3.8)	7.1 (3.5)	31	300
Positive feelings	22.1 (4.7)	20.3 (6.3)	85	550
Cognitive problems	9.8 (5.0)	8.3 (2.7)	113	700
Sexual problems	11.8 (6.8)	9.0 (3.4)	58	400
Physical pain	9.7 (6.1)	7.8 (4.8)	131	800
Fatigue	11.8 (5.4)	10.3 (4.6)	176	1,000
Social avoidance	8.2 (4.3)	6.9 (2.8)	123	750

† Assuming an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80%.

\* Calculated as the estimated sample size rounded upwards to the next 10 subjects (to take into account the uncertainty of the estimation process) divided by 0.2 (the estimated proportion of participation), and added a 100 patients to account for other variables to be studied.

<sup>1</sup> Women diagnosed with breast cancer at 18-24 months [44].

<sup>2</sup> Women diagnosed with breast cancer at 5 years of more [36, 43].

The summary mean scores for the generic domains of the QLACS among breast cancer survivors were obtained from the literature [36, 43, 44]. The mean/median scores of the generic domains among women who did not have cancer have not been reported. However, in a study involving long-term survivors of breast, bladder, head and neck, gynaecologic, prostate and colorectal cancer [36], patients with colorectal cancer ranked the lowest summary score (indicating better HRQoL) for the generic domains of HRQoL (mean 60.9,

SD=21.5). We used this score as a conservative estimate of the summary score of HRQoL in the general population, assuming that women who never have had cancer will not have worse HRQoL than the cancer patients who experience the best HRQoL. The same assumption was applied to estimate the sample size for the specific domains of HRQoL.

#### Anxiety and depression

Table 3 provides sample size estimates for the comparison of the mean scores of the two subscales of the HADS. As shown in the table, one study found a difference in mean HADS-Depression scores of just 0.6; to detect such a small difference would require 447 women per group, which would be beyond available resources. However, another study has calculated that differences of less than 1.4 in mean HADS-depression scores are not clinically important [45], and only around 75 patients per group would be required to detect differences above this level. For anxiety we would require 253 women per group to detect the minimum previously observed differences on the HADS scale.

Table 3	Estimated sample	size to compare	e the mean	scores of	anxiety and	depression	between
breast ca	ncer survivors and	women from th	e general p	opulation.			

	Mean score breast cancer survivors (SD)	Mean score normative data (SD)	Sample size per group <sup>†</sup>	Adjusted* sample size per group
HADS-Anxiety	6.3 (2.8) [46]	4.8 (3.7) [46]	76	500
HADS-Anxiety	7.8 (3.0) [47]	7.1 (2.6) [47]	<b>253</b>	<b>1,400</b>
HADS-Depression	3.1 (3.3) [46]	3.7 (3.1) [46]	(447)	(2,350)
HADS-Depression	4.6 (3.3) [47]	3.2 (2.7) [47]	73	500

\* Calculated as the estimated sample size rounded upwards to the next 10 subjects (to take into account the uncertainty of the estimation process) divided by 0.2 (the estimated proportion of participation), and added a 100 patients to account for other variables to be studied.

Table 4 provides estimates of the number of women necessary to compare the prevalences of anxiety and depression, as determined by the cut-offs of the HADS [48].

**Table 4** Estimated sample size to compare the prevalences of anxiety and depression betweenbreast cancer survivors and women from the general population.

Outcome	α	β	% of outcome in unexposed group [ref]	Estimated risk ratio [ref]	Sample size per group <sup>†</sup>	Adjusted* sample size per group
Anxiety	0.05	0.20	36.5 [48]	1.44 [48]	150	850
Depression	0.05	0.20	12.9 [48]	1.21 [48]	2,614	13,170

\* Calculated as the estimate sample size rounded upwards to the next 10 subjects (to take into account the uncertainty of the estimation process) divided by 0.2 (the estimated proportion of participation), and added a 100 patients to account for other variables to be studied.

According to the calculations, over 13,000 breast cancer survivors and 13,000 women who did not have cancer would be needed to compare the prevalence of depression between the

two groups of women. Recruiting more than 1,500 women for this study is not feasible, and therefore we chose the sample size necessary to compare the mean scores of anxiety and depression between the two groups (n=1,400 in each group, as outlined above and in Table 3).

#### 8 Feasibility counts

A total of 43,704 women with breast cancer, and who were at least one year post-diagnosis, were identified in the July 2015 cut of the CPRD primary care database. Of these, 21,564 women had acceptable records from practices contributing with 'up to standard' data. A total of 8,763 women were still registered in practices that contributed with data to CPRD during the year of 2016, of which 7,498 (86%) were aged between 18 and 80 years old. Table 5 describes the distribution of the patients by region within England.

Region code	Region label		No. of practices	No. of patients
1	North East		3	91
2	North West		28	1,139
3	Yorkshire & The Humber		3	160
4	East Midlands		0	0
5	West Midlands		18	763
6	East of England		10	557
7	South West		17	658
8	South Central		27	1,304
9	London		35	1,007
10	South East Coast		47	1,819
		Total	188	7,437

**Table 5** Number of women and general practices with active records (as per June 2016) in theCPRD primary care database, by region.

The estimated sample size (1,400) corresponds to 19% of the women potentially eligible for the study.

#### 9 Pilot study

We will invite all GPs working in practices contributing with 'up to standard' data to CPRD at the time of recruitment to participate in the study.

Packages containing paper questionnaires will be sent to 140 breast cancer survivors and 140 women who did not have cancer (10% of those to be invited), randomly selected from the list of patients attending the first practices to sign up for the study. The pilot phase will run for 1 month. After that time, we will estimate:

- 1) the proportion of participation in each group;
- 2) the age distribution of the participants in each group;
- 3) the number of questionnaires with missing items.

Sample size calculations will be revised, if necessary. Afterwards, paper questionnaires will be sent out to the remainder of women to be invited, up to the estimated sample size.

#### 10 Limitations of the study design, data sources and analytical methods

We will use the CPRD primary care database to classify women as exposed or not to breast cancer. CPRD has been shown to capture more than 90% of the cancer diagnoses registered in the cancer registries [49]. This is considered acceptable for this project, even though a small proportion of the women may be incorrectly classified as unexposed. We will request that the GP revises the list of patients to exclude potentially misclassified cases.

We expect a substantial proportion of patients to decline to participate in the study, as shown by the proportion of participation in previous studies. Selection bias may occur if the patients who accept to participate in the study differ systematically from those who do not. We will compare the demographic characteristics of the women who participate in the study with the broad characteristics of the women who had breast cancer in the CPRD primary care database. Also, we assumed a similar participation rate by age-group between women with breast with and without cancer. We will compare the age-distribution of the final samples and take age into account in multivariate analyses if necessary.

Women who are unable to complete a self-administered questionnaire due to advanced disease (e.g. terminally ill, patients with dementia or severe mental illnesses) will be excluded from the study. Therefore, the generalizability of our results will be limited women with a relatively good cognitive function.

The QLACS was validated in the United States but not in the UK population of cancer survivors. However, no translation is required and the entire scale will be applied, which makes unlikely the occurrence of substantial bias.

This study will have limited power to detect a strong association between having had a breast cancer and depression as defined by the cut-offs of the HADS scale. Our primary outcome will be the difference of the mean scores of each sub-scale, for which this study will have enough power.

#### 11 Patient or user group involvement

Two women who never had cancer revised the invitation letter, participant information sheets and questionnaires for women in the non-cancer comparison group.

Breast cancer survivors identified through the Independent Cancer Patients' Voice (a patient advocate group and charity) revised the materials for breast cancer survivors. Comments from each group were incorporated into the study materials.

We will also ask selected members of the public and breast cancer survivors to comment on the report produced to share the study results prior to making these available.

# 12 Plans for disseminating and communicating study results, including the presence or absence of any restrictions on the extent and timing of publication

We plan to disseminate the results with the publication of an article in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. We will also present preliminary finding at scientific meetings.

To share the results with the general public, we will make the study results publicly available online. We will create a study's webpage on the website of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. The website address for this webpage will be included in the participant information packs. A summary of findings from the study will be posted on the study webpage in due course. Anyone visiting this webpage (whether a participant, invitee, general practitioner or any interested member of the public) will be able to provide a contact email address through the webpage to subscribe for updates. The study researchers will use these contact email addresses for the sole purpose of letting interested parties know about updates to the study webpage.

### 13 Timetable

,																				
	PLAN START	PLAN	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	PERCENT COMPLETE			_								_				
		DURATION	START	DURATION		MONT	H, 2018	8						MON	H, 201	9				
						5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4 5	5	6	7
Ethical approvals	5	2			0%															
Recruitment of general practitioners	7	1			0%															
Identification of women potentially eligible for the study	7	2			0%															
Pilot phase for paper questionnaires (140 women)	8	1			0%															
Revison of sample size estimates and protocol	9	1			0%															
Data collection with paper questionnaires (1,400 women)	10	4			0%															
Data entry and cleaning	12	3			0%															
Data analysis	2	3			0%															
Drafting of newsletter for study participants	4	1			0%												///			
Drafting of scientific article for peer-reviewed journal	2	4			0%															

Plan Duration

End of data collection

#### 14 References

- 1. Forman D, et al., eds. *Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, Vol. X.* IARC Scientific Publication No. 164. 2014, International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon.
- 2. Allemani, C., et al., *Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995-2009: analysis of individual data for 25,676,887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD-2).* Lancet, 2015. **385**(9972): p. 977-1010.
- 3. Ferlay, J., et al., *GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet].* 2013: Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- 4. Maddams, J., M. Utley, and H. Moller, *Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040.* Br J Cancer, 2012. **107**(7): p. 1195-202.
- 5. Weisman, A.D. and J.W. Worden, *The existential plight in cancer: significance of the first 100 days.* Int J Psychiatry Med, 1976. **7**(1): p. 1-15.
- 6. Schubart, J.R., et al., *Screening for psychological distress in surgical breast cancer patients.* Ann Surg Oncol, 2014. **21**(10): p. 3348-53.
- 7. Al-Azri, M., H. Al-Awisi, and M. Al-Moundhri, *Coping with a diagnosis of breast cancer-literature review and implications for developing countries.* Breast J, 2009. **15**(6): p. 615-22.
- 8. Senkus, E., et al., *Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up.* Ann Oncol, 2015. **26 Suppl 5**: p. v8-30.
- 9. Caffo, O., et al., *Pain and quality of life after surgery for breast cancer.* Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2003. **80**(1): p. 39-48.
- 10. Bower, J.E., et al., *Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life.* J Clin Oncol, 2000. **18**(4): p. 743-53.
- 11. Tsai, R.J., et al., *The risk of developing arm lymphedema among breast cancer survivors: a meta-analysis of treatment factors.* Ann Surg Oncol, 2009. **16**(7): p. 1959-72.
- 12. Andersen, K.G. and H. Kehlet, *Persistent pain after breast cancer treatment: a critical review of risk factors and strategies for prevention.* J Pain, 2011. **12**(7): p. 725-46.
- 13. Helms, R.L., E.L. O'Hea, and M. Corso, *Body image issues in women with breast cancer.* Psychol Health Med, 2008. **13**(3): p. 313-25.
- 14. Ahles, T.A., et al., *Neuropsychologic impact of standard-dose systemic chemotherapy in long-term survivors of breast cancer and lymphoma.* J Clin Oncol, 2002. **20**(2): p. 485-93.
- 15. Jim, H.S., et al., *Meta-analysis of cognitive functioning in breast cancer survivors previously treated with standard-dose chemotherapy*. J Clin Oncol, 2012. **30**(29): p. 3578-87.

- 16. Howard-Anderson, J., et al., *Quality of life, fertility concerns, and behavioral health outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors: a systematic review.* J Natl Cancer Inst, 2012. **104**(5): p. 386-405.
- 17. Thewes, B., et al., *Fertility- and menopause-related information needs of younger women with a diagnosis of early breast cancer.* J Clin Oncol, 2005. **23**(22): p. 5155-65.
- 18. Kim, Y., et al., Quality of life of couples dealing with cancer: dyadic and individual adjustment among breast and prostate cancer survivors and their spousal caregivers. Ann Behav Med, 2008. **35**(2): p. 230-8.
- 19. Cohen, M. and S. Pollack, *Mothers with breast cancer and their adult daughters: the relationship between mothers' reaction to breast cancer and their daughters' emotional and neuroimmune status.* Psychosom Med, 2005. **67**(1): p. 64-71.
- 20. Raveis, V.H. and S. Pretter, *Existential plight of adult daughters following their mother's breast cancer diagnosis.* Psychooncology, 2005. **14**(1): p. 49-60.
- 21. Hoving, J.L., M.L. Broekhuizen, and M.H. Frings-Dresen, *Return to work of breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of intervention studies.* BMC Cancer, 2009. **9**: p. 117.
- 22. Koch-Gallenkamp, L., et al., *Fear of Recurrence in Long-Term Cancer Survivors-Do Cancer Type, Sex, Time Since Diagnosis, and Social Support Matter?* Health Psychol, 2016.
- 23. Tiedtke, C., et al., Survived but feeling vulnerable and insecure: a qualitative study of the mental preparation for RTW after breast cancer treatment. BMC Public Health, 2012. **12**: p. 538.
- 24. Boykoff, N., M. Moieni, and S.K. Subramanian, *Confronting chemobrain: an in-depth look at survivors' reports of impact on work, social networks, and health care response.* J Cancer Surviv, 2009. **3**(4): p. 223-32.
- 25. Hunter, M.S., et al., *Menopausal symptoms following breast cancer treatment: a qualitative investigation of cognitive and behavioural responses.* Maturitas, 2009. **63**(4): p. 336-40.
- 26. Patrick D, Guyatt GH, and Acquadro C, Chapter 17: Patient-reported outcomes. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration. Available from http://www.cochrane-handbook.org. 2011.
- 27. Khan, N.F., et al., *Consulting and prescribing behaviour for anxiety and depression in long-term survivors of cancer in the UK.* Eur J Cancer, 2010. **46**(18): p. 3339-44.
- 28. Department of Health Quality Health, Quality of life of cancer survivors in England: Report on a pilot survey using Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS). 2012.
- 29. Herrett, E., et al., *Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink* (*CPRD*). Int J Epidemiol, 2015. **44**(3): p. 827-36.
- 30. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), *Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management (CG91).* 2009.
- 31. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults: management (CG113). 2011.
- 32. Johns, C., et al., Informing hot flash treatment decisions for breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of randomized trials comparing active interventions. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2016. **156**(3): p. 415-426.
- 33. Cella, D. and L.J. Fallowfield, *Recognition and management of treatment-related side effects for breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy.* Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2008. **107**(2): p. 167-80.
- 34. Suppli, N.P., et al., *Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: a nationwide population-based cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011.* J Clin Oncol, 2014. **32**(34): p. 3831-9.
- 35. Bhaskaran, K., et al., *Body-mass index and risk of 22 specific cancers: a populationbased cohort study of 5.24 million UK adults.* Lancet, 2014. **384**(9945): p. 755-65.
- 36. Avis, N.E., et al., Assessing quality of life in adult cancer survivors (QLACS). Qual Life Res, 2005. **14**(4): p. 1007-23.
- 37. Zigmond, A.S. and R.P. Snaith, *The hospital anxiety and depression scale.* Acta Psychiatr Scand, 1983. **67**(6): p. 361-70.
- 38. Wilkinson, M.J. and P. Barczak, *Psychiatric screening in general practice:* comparison of the general health questionnaire and the hospital anxiety depression scale. J R Coll Gen Pract, 1988. **38**(312): p. 311-3.
- 39. Thompson, C., et al., *Dimensional perspective on the recognition of depressive symptoms in primary care: The Hampshire Depression Project 3.* Br J Psychiatry, 2001. **179**: p. 317-23.
- 40. Ostler, K., et al., Influence of socio-economic deprivation on the prevalence and outcome of depression in primary care: the Hampshire Depression Project. Br J Psychiatry, 2001. **178**(1): p. 12-7.
- 41. Mallen, C.D. and G. Peat, *Screening older people with musculoskeletal pain for depressive symptoms in primary care.* Br J Gen Pract, 2008. **58**(555): p. 688-93.
- Alexander, S., C. Palmer, and P.C. Stone, *Evaluation of screening instruments for depression and anxiety in breast cancer survivors.* Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2010. 122(2): p. 573-8.
- 43. Avis, N.E., E. Ip, and K.L. Foley, *Evaluation of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) scale for long-term cancer survivors in a sample of breast cancer survivors*. Health Qual Life Outcomes, 2006. **4**: p. 92.
- 44. Sohl, S.J., B. Levine, and N.E. Avis, *Evaluation of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) scale for early post-treatment breast cancer survivors.* Qual Life Res, 2015. **24**(1): p. 205-12.
- 45. Puhan, M.A., et al., *The minimal important difference of the hospital anxiety and depression scale in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.* Health Qual Life Outcomes, 2008. **6**: p. 46.

- 46. Dahl, A.A., et al., *Arm/shoulder problems and insomnia symptoms in breast cancer survivors: cross-sectional, controlled and longitudinal observations.* Sleep Med, 2011. **12**(6): p. 584-90.
- 47. Calvio, L., et al., *Measures of cognitive function and work in occupationally active breast cancer survivors.* J Occup Environ Med, 2010. **52**(2): p. 219-27.
- 48. Boehmer, U., A. Ozonoff, and J. Potter, *Sexual Minority Women's Health Behaviors* and Outcomes After Breast Cancer. LGBT Health, 2015. **2**(3): p. 221-7.
- 49. Boggon, R., et al., *Cancer recording and mortality in the General Practice Research Database and linked cancer registries.* Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf, 2013. **22**(2): p. 168-75.
- 50. Ranopa, M., et al., *The identification of incident cancers in UK primary care databases: a systematic review.* Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf, 2015. **24**(1): p. 11-8.

15 Appendices

Read Code	Description
B3411	CA FEMALE BREAST
B3600	LOCAL RECURRENCE OF MALIGNANT TUMOUR OF BREAST
B340100	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF AREOLA OF FEMALE BREAST
B346.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF AXILLARY TAIL OF FEMALE BREAST
B341.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF CENTRAL PART OF FEMALE BREAST
B34y000	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF ECTOPIC SITE OF FEMALE BREAST
B3400	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF FEMALE BREAST
B34z.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF FEMALE BREAST NOS
B343.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF LOWER-INNER QUADRANT OF FEMALE BREAST
B345.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF LOWER-OUTER QUADRANT OF FEMALE BREAST
B340.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF NIPPLE AND AREOLA OF FEMALE BREAST
B340000	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF NIPPLE OF FEMALE BREAST
B340z00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF NIPPLE OR AREOLA OF FEMALE BREAST NOS
B34y.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF OTHER SITE OF FEMALE BREAST
B34yz00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF OTHER SITE OF FEMALE BREAST NOS
B342.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF UPPER-INNER QUADRANT OF FEMALE BREAST
B344.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF UPPER-OUTER QUADRANT OF FEMALE BREAST
B347.00	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM, OVERLAPPING LESION OF BREAST
BB93.00	[M]COMEDOCARCINOMA NOS
BBM9.00	[M]CYSTOSARCOMA PHYLLODES, MALIGNANT
BB91100	[M]INFILTRATING DUCT AND LOBULAR CARCINOMA
BB91.00	[M]INFILTRATING DUCT CARCINOMA
BB9G.00	[M]INFILTRATING DUCTULAR CARCINOMA
BB9H.00	[M]INFLAMMATORY CARCINOMA
BB91000	[M]INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY ADENOCARCINOMA WITH INVASION
BB94.00	[M]JUVENILE BREAST CARCINOMA
BB9F.00	[M]LOBULAR CARCINOMA NOS
BB9D.00	[M]MEDULLARY CARCINOMA WITH LYMPHOID STROMA
BB9K.00	[M]PAGET'S DISEASE AND INFILTRATING BREAST DUCT CARCINOMA
BB9K000	[M]PAGET'S DISEASE AND INTRADUCTAL CARCINOMA OF BREAST
BB9J.11	[M]PAGET'S DISEASE, BREAST
BB9J.00	[M]PAGET'S DISEASE, MAMMARY
BB94.11	[M]SECRETORY BREAST CARCINOMA
Byu6.00	[X]MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF BREAST

Appendix 1. List of read codes to identify breast cancer patients [50].

# Appendix 2. Items of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) grouped by domain

Domain Item of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors scale [36]

#### Generic

#### Negative feelings

- 19 Bothered by mood swings
- 7 Felt blue or depressed
- 9 Worried about little things
- 24 Felt anxious

#### Positive feelings

- 8 Enjoyed life
- 28 Content with life
- 6 Felt happy
- 22 Had a positive outlook on life

#### Cognitive problems

- 3 Bothered by having a short attention span
- 4 Had trouble remembering things
- 2 Difficulty doing things requiring concentration
- 23 Bothered by forgetting what started to do

#### Pain

- 13 Bothered by pain preventing activities
- 17 Mood disrupted by pain or its treatment
- 27 Pain interfered w/social activities
- 21 Had aches or pains

#### Sexual interest

- 16 Lacked interest in sex
- 26 Avoided sexual activity

### Energy/fatigue

- 11 Lacked energy to do things wanted to
- 14 Felt tired a lot
- 1 Had energy to do things wanted to do
- 5 Felt fatigued

#### Sexual function

- 12 Dissatisfied w/sex life
- 10 Bothered by inability to function sexually

#### Social avoidance

- 18 Avoided social gatherings
- 20 Avoided friends
- 25 Reluctant to meet new people
- 15 Reluctant to start new relationships

### **Cancer-specific**

#### Financial problems

- 43 Had money problems from cancer
- 45 Financial problems from loss of income due to cancer
- 30 Financial problems from cost of cancer surgery or tx
- 37 Problems with insurance because of cancer

#### **Benefits**

- 40 Cancer helped recognize what important in life
- 41 Better able to deal w/stress because of cancer
- 32 Cancer helped cope better w/problems
- 29 Appreciated life more because of cancer

### Distress-family

- 34 Worried whether family had cancer causing genes
- 31 Worried family members were at risk for cancer
- 42 Worried family should have genetic tests cancer

#### Appearance

- 35 Felt unattractive b/c of cancer or its treatment
- 33 Self-conscious about appearance because of cancer
- 44 Felt treated differently b/c of changes in appearance
- 38 Bothered by hair loss from cancer treatments

#### Distress-recurrence

- 39 Worried about cancer coming back
- 46 When felt pain, worried it was cancer again
- 36 Worried about dying from cancer
- 47 Preoccupied with concerns about cancer

# Appendix 3. Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale (QLACS) [36]

We would like to ask you about some things that can affect the quality of people's lives. Some of these questions may sound similar, but please be sure to answer each one. Below is a scale ranging from never to always. Please indicate how often each of these statements has been true for you in the past four weeks. [Select one answer for each question]

		ever	eldom	ometimes	bout as often s not	requently	ery often	lways	
In t	aa naat 4 waaka	Z	Ś	S	ä A	Ľ.	>	۷	
1	Vey had the energy to do the things you wanted to do	1	0	2	1	F	c	7	
1	You had difficulty doing activities that require	1	2	ა ი	4	5	0	7	
Ζ	concentrating.	1	Ζ	3	4	Э	0	1	
3	You were bothered by having a short attention span.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
4	You had trouble remembering things.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
5	You felt fatigued.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
6	You felt happy.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
7	You felt blue or depressed.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
8	You enjoyed life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
9	You worried about little things.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
10	You were bothered by being unable to function sexually.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
11	You didn't have energy to do the things you wanted to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
12	You were dissatisfied with your sex life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
13	You were bothered by pain that kept you from doing the things you wanted to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
14	You felt tired a lot.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
15	You were reluctant to start new relationships.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
16	You lacked interest in sex.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
17	Your mood was disrupted by pain or its treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
18	You avoided social gatherings.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
19	You were bothered by mood swings.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
20	You avoided your friends.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
21	You had aches or pains.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
22	You had a positive outlook on life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
23	You were bothered by forgetting what you started to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
24	You felt anxious.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
25	You were reluctant to meet new people.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
26	You avoided sexual activity.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
27	Pain or its treatment interfered with your social activities.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28	You were content with your life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Study protocol: Mental health and quality of life in women who had breast cancer, v4

		Never	Seldom	Sometimes	About as often as not	Frequently	Very often	Always
The for e four	next set of questions asks specifically about the effect each statement, indicate how often each of these state weeks.	ts of y ments	our ca s has l	ancer been 1	or its t true foi	reatm r you	ent. A <u>i</u> in the	gain, past
29	You appreciated life more because of having had cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
30	You had financial problems because of the cost of cancer surgery or treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
31	You worried that your family members were at risk of getting cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
32	You realized that having had cancer helps you cope better with problems now.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
33	You were self-conscious about the way you look because of your cancer or its treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
34	You worried about whether your family members might have cancer-causing genes.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
35	You felt unattractive because of your cancer or its treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
36	You worried about dying from cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
37	You had problems with insurance because of cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
38	You were bothered by hair loss from cancer treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
39	You worried about cancer coming back.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
40	You felt that cancer helped you to recognize what is important in life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
41	You felt better able to deal with stress because of having had cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
42	You worried about whether your family members should have genetic tests for cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
43	You had money problems that arose because you had cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
44	You felt people treated you differently because of changes to your appearance due to your cancer or its treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
45	You had financial problems due to a loss of income as a result of cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
46	Whenever you felt a pain, you worried that it might be cancer again.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
47	You were preoccupied with concerns about cancer.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

# Appendix 4. Generic domains of HRQoL [36]

We would like to ask you about some things that can affect the quality of people's lives. Some of these questions may sound similar, but please be sure to answer each one. Below is a scale ranging from never to always. Please indicate how often each of these statements has been true for you in the past four weeks. [Select one answer for each question]

		Ŀ	lom	ietimes	ut as n as not	luently	/ often	ays
		Nev	Selc	Son	Abo ofte	Frec	Ver)	Alwa
In t	he past 4 weeks							
1	You had the energy to do the things you wanted to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	You had difficulty doing activities that require concentrating.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	You were bothered by having a short attention span.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	You had trouble remembering things.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5	You felt fatigued.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6	You felt happy.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7	You felt blue or depressed.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	You enjoyed life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	You worried about little things.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10	You were bothered by being unable to function sexually.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11	You didn't have energy to do the things you wanted to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12	You were dissatisfied with your sex life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13	You were bothered by pain that kept you from doing the things you wanted to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
14	You felt tired a lot.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15	You were reluctant to start new relationships.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
16	You lacked interest in sex.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17	Your mood was disrupted by pain or its treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18	You avoided social gatherings.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19	You were bothered by mood swings.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
20	You avoided your friends.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
21	You had aches or pains.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
22	You had a positive outlook on life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23	You were bothered by forgetting what you started to do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
24	You felt anxious.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
25	You were reluctant to meet new people.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
26	You avoided sexual activity.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
27	Pain or its treatment interfered with your social activities.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
28	You were content with your life.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

# Appendix 5. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [37]

# Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)



This questionnaire is designed to help clinicians to know how you feel. Read each item below and underline the reply which comes closest to how you have been feeling in the past week. Don't take too long over your replies, your immediate reaction to each item will probably be more accurate than a long, thought-out response.

I feel tense or 'wound up'	I feel as if I am slowed down
Most of the time	Nearly all the time
A lot of the time	Very often
From time to time, occasionally	Sometimes
Not at all	Not at all
I still enjoy the things I used to enjoy Definitely as much Not quite so much Only a little Hardly at all	I get a sort of frightened feeling like 'butterflies' in the stomach Not at all Occasionally Quite often Very often
I get a sort of frightened feeling as if something awful is about to happen Very definitely and quite badly Yes, but not too badly A little, but it doesn't worry me Not at all	I have lost interest in my appearance Definitely I don't take as much care as I should I may not take quite as much care I take just as much care as ever
I can laugh and see the funny side of things	I feel restless as if I have to be on the move
As much as I always could	Very much indeed
Not quite so much now	Quite a lot
Definitely not so much now	Not very much
Not at all	Not at all
Worrying thoughts go through my mind	I look forward with enjoyment to things
A great deal of the time	As much as I ever did
A lot of the time	Rather less than I used to
Not too often	Definitely less than I used to
Very little	Hardly at all
I feel cheerful	I get sudden feelings of panic
Never	Very often indeed
Not often	Quite often
Sometimes	Not very often
Most of the time	Not at all
I can sit at ease and feel relaxed Definitely Usually Not often Not at all	I can enjoy a good book or radio or television programme Often Sometimes Not often Very seldom

## Now check that you have answered all the questions

HADS copyright © R.P. Snaith and A.S. Zigmond, 1983, 1992, 1994.

Record form items originally published in *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67, 361–70, copyright © Munksgaard International Publishers Ltd, Copenhagen, 1983. This edition first published in 1994 by nferNelson Publishing Company Ltd, now GL Assessment Limited, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor Vantage London, Great West Road, Brentford TW8 9AG United Kingdom; GL Assessment is part of GL Education <u>www.gl-</u> <u>assessment.co.uk</u>. This form may not be reproduced by any means without first obtaining permission from the publisher. Email: <u>permissions@gl-assessment.co.uk</u>. All rights reserved including translations.

# Appendix 6. Clinical information

## 1. What treatments have you received for your breast cancer? (Tick all that apply)

- $\Box$  Surgery
- □ Radiotherapy
- □ Chemotherapy (excluding hormone treatment)
- □ Hormone treatment
- □ Monoclonal antibodies / immunotherapy
- Don't know / can't remember

## 1.1 If you have had breast surgery, do any of the following apply to you? (Tick all that apply)

- $\hfill\square$  I have had a lumpectomy (partial removal of the breast)
- $\square$  I have had a mastectomy (complete removal of the breast)
- $\Box$  I have had a bilateral mastectomy (complete removal of the two breasts)
- $\Box$  I have had a breast reconstruction
- $\hfill\square$  I am awaiting or considering breast reconstruction
- $\hfill\square$  None of these apply to me
- Don't know / can't remember

## 2. At the time of the diagnosis, your cancer was:

- □ Localised to the breast only (without involving lymph nodes)
- □ Spread to the lymph nodes in the axilla
- □ Spread beyond the breast and the lymph nodes (metastatic)
- Don't know / can't remember

## 2.1 Please select your stage at diagnosis:

- Stage I
- □ Stage II
- □ Stage III
- □ Stage IV
- Don't know / can't remember

## 3. How long is it since you completed your initial cancer treatment?

(Treatment includes any chemotherapy, radiotherapy or surgery for your breast cancer. When answering this question please do not include hormone treatments such as Tamoxifen.)

- $\Box$  I am still having my initial treatment
- $\hfill\square$  It is less than 3 months since my initial treatment
- $\Box$  It is between 3 and 12 months since my initial treatment
- $\Box$  It is between 1 and 5 years since my initial treatment
- $\Box$  It is more than 5 years since my initial treatment
- Don't know / can't remember

## 4. Regarding your menopausal status before and after the breast cancer diagnosis:

- $\square$  My menstrual periods had finished when my cancer was diagnosed
- $\hfill\square$  My menstrual periods finished during my treatments for breast cancer
- $\square$  I had periods before the cancer diagnosis and continued to have them during/after the treatments
- $\Box$  Don't know / can't remember

**5.** Is your cancer currently in remission? (Complete remission means that there is no sign of cancer in your body)

- □ Yes
- □ No
- □ Don't know

# Appendix 7. Demographic information

## 1. Which of these qualifications do you have?

- $\hfill\square$  Up to GCSEs, O levels, or equivalent
- $\Box$  A levels or equivalent
- □ Undergraduate degree (for example BA, BSc)
- □ Post-graduate degree
- $\Box$  Trade, technical or vocational training
- $\Box$  Do not wish to disclose

## 2. What is your ethnic group?

- □ White
- $\square$  Mixed / Multiple ethnic groups
- □ Asian / Asian British
- □ Black / African / Caribbean / Black British
- $\Box$  Other ethnic group
- $\Box$  Do not wish to disclose

## 3. Which statement best describes your living arrangements?

- $\hfill\square$  I live with partner / spouse
- □ I live with family / friends
- $\Box$  I live alone
- $\Box$  I live in a nursing home or other long term care home
- □ Other
- $\hfill\square$  Do not wish to disclose



# East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee

The Old Chapel Royal Standard Place Nottingham NG1 6FS

15 April 2019

Ms Helena I M Carreira Keppel Street EPH - NCDE WC1E 7HT

Dear Ms Carreira,

Study title:	Mental health and quality of life of female breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer, and feasibility of using electronic health records' data to study patient reported outcomes
REC reference:	17/EE/0403
Amendment number:	
Amendment date:	04 April 2019
IRAS project ID:	224561

Thank you for submitting the above amendment, which was received on 04 April 2019. It is noted that this is a modification of an amendment previously rejected by the Committee (our letter of 26 March 2019 refers).

The modified amendment has been considered on behalf of the Committee by the Chair.

## **Ethical opinion**

I am pleased to confirm that the Committee has given a favourable ethical opinion of the modified amendment on the basis described in the notice of amendment form and supporting documentation.

## Approved documents

The documents reviewed and approved are:

Document	Version	Date
Notice of Modified Amendment		04 April 2019
Participant information sheet (PIS)	5	29 March 2019

## R&D approval

All investigators and research collaborators in the NHS should notify the R&D office for the relevant NHS care organisation of this amendment and check whether it affects R&D approval of the research.

## Statement of compliance

The Committee is constituted in accordance with the Governance Arrangements for Research Ethics Committees and complies fully with the Standard Operating Procedures for Research Ethics Committees in the UK.

## HRA Learning

We are pleased to welcome researchers and research staff to our HRA Learning Events and online learning opportunities- see details at: <u>https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/learning/</u>

## 17/EE/0403:

Please quote this number on all correspondence

Yours sincerely,

Dr Leslie Gelling Chair

E-mail: nrescommittee.eastofengland-cambridgesouth@nhs.net

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT United Kingdom Switchboard: +44 (0)20 7636 8636

#### www.lshtm.ac.uk



**Observational / Interventions Research Ethics Committee** 

Ms Helena Carreira LSHTM

10 August 2018

Dear Helena,

Study Title: Mental health and quality of life of breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer, and feasibility of using electronic health records' data to study patient reported outcomes

#### LSHTM ethics ref: 14417

Thank you for your application for the above research, which has now been considered by the Observational Committee.

#### Confirmation of ethical opinion

On behalf of the Committee, I am pleased to confirm a favourable ethical opinion for the above research on the basis described in the application form, protocol and supporting documentation, subject to the conditions specified below.

#### Conditions of the favourable opinion

Approval is dependent on local ethical approval having been received, where relevant.

#### Approved documents

The final list of documents reviewed and approved by the Committee is as follows:

Document Type	File Name	Date	Version
Investigator CV	CV_HelenaCarreira	01/09/2017	1
Investigator CV	CV_KrishnanBhaskaran	01/09/2017	1
Investigator CV	CV_RachaelWilliams	01/09/2017	1
Protocol / Proposal	PRO_study_protocol_v1	18/09/2017	1
Protocol / Proposal	PRO_study_protocol_v3_tracked_changes	11/07/2018	3
Information Sheet	PIS_v3	11/07/2018	3
Advertisements	Invitation letter_BCS_v3	11/07/2018	3
Advertisements	Invitation_WWC_v3	11/07/2018	3
Local Approval	224561 17-0403 FIFO 24.11.2017	11/07/2018	1
Covering Letter	14417 REC_further clarifications_03082018	03/08/2018	2

#### After ethical review

The Chief Investigator (CI) or delegate is responsible for informing the ethics committee of any subsequent changes to the application. These must be submitted to the Committee for review using an Amendment form. Amendments must not be initiated before receipt of written favourable opinion from the committee.

The CI or delegate is also required to notify the ethics committee of any protocol violations and/or Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions (SUSARs) which occur during the project by submitting a Serious Adverse Event form.

An annual report should be submitted to the committee using an Annual Report form on the anniversary of the approval of the study during the lifetime of the study.

At the end of the study, the CI or delegate must notify the committee using an End of Study form.

All aforementioned forms are available on the ethics online applications website and can only be submitted to the committee via the website at: http://leo.lshtm.ac.uk

Additional information is available at: www.lshtm.ac.uk/ethics

Yours sincerely,

Professor John DH Porter Chair

<u>ethics@lshtm.ac.uk</u> <u>http://www.lshtm.ac.uk/ethics/</u>

Improving health worldwide





Ms Helena I M Carreira PhD student London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel street EPH - NCDE WC1E 7HT

Email: hra.approval@nhs.net Research-permissions@wales.nhs.uk

13 September 2018

Dear Ms Carreira

HRA and Health and Care Research Wales (HCRW) Approval Letter

Study title :	Mental health and quality of life of female breast cancer survivors compared to women who did not have cancer, and feasibility of using electronic health records' data to study
	patient reported outcomes
IRAS project ID:	224561
REC reference:	17/EE/0403
Sponsor	London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

I am pleased to confirm that <u>HRA and Health and Care Research Wales (HCRW) Approval</u> has been given for the above referenced study, on the basis described in the application form, protocol, supporting documentation and any clarifications received. You should not expect to receive anything further relating to this application.

**How should I continue to work with participating NHS organisations in England and Wales?** You should now provide a copy of this letter to all participating NHS organisations in England and Wales, as well as any documentation that has been updated as a result of the assessment.

Following the arranging of capacity and capability, participating NHS organisations should **formally confirm** their capacity and capability to undertake the study. How this will be confirmed is detailed in the "*summary of assessment*" section towards the end of this letter.

You should provide, if you have not already done so, detailed instructions to each organisation as to how you will notify them that research activities may commence at site following their confirmation of capacity and capability (e.g. provision by you of a 'green light' email, formal notification following a site initiation visit, activities may commence immediately following confirmation by participating organisation, etc.). It is important that you involve both the research management function (e.g. R&D office) supporting each organisation and the local research team (where there is one) in setting up your study. Contact details of the research management function for each organisation can be accessed <u>here</u>.

# How should I work with participating NHS/HSC organisations in Northern Ireland and Scotland?

HRA and HCRW Approval does not apply to NHS/HSC organisations within the devolved administrations of Northern Ireland and Scotland.

If you indicated in your IRAS form that you do have participating organisations in either of these devolved administrations, the final document set and the study wide governance report (including this letter) has been sent to the coordinating centre of each participating nation. You should work with the relevant national coordinating functions to ensure any nation specific checks are complete, and with each site so that they are able to give management permission for the study to begin.

Please see <u>IRAS Help</u> for information on working with NHS/HSC organisations in Northern Ireland and Scotland.

# How should I work with participating non-NHS organisations?

HRA and HCRW Approval does not apply to non-NHS organisations. You should work with your non-NHS organisations to <u>obtain local agreement</u> in accordance with their procedures.

# What are my notification responsibilities during the study?

The document "*After Ethical Review – guidance for sponsors and investigators*", issued with your REC favourable opinion, gives detailed guidance on reporting expectations for studies, including:

- Registration of research
- Notifying amendments
- Notifying the end of the study

The <u>HRA website</u> also provides guidance on these topics, and is updated in the light of changes in reporting expectations or procedures.

# I am a participating NHS organisation in England or Wales. What should I do once I receive this letter?

You should work with the applicant and sponsor to complete any outstanding arrangements so you are able to confirm capacity and capability in line with the information provided in this letter.

The sponsor contact for this application is as follows:

Name: Ms Patricia Henley Tel: 44 (0) 20 7636 2268 Email: <u>RGIO@lshtm.ac.uk</u>

# Who should I contact for further information?

Please do not hesitate to contact me for assistance with this application. My contact details are below.

Your IRAS project ID is 224561. Please quote this on all correspondence.

Yours sincerely

# Miss Lauren Allen Senior Assessor

Email: hra.approval@nhs.net

Copy to: Ms Patricia Henley

# **List of Documents**

The final document set assessed and approved by HRA and HCRW Approval is listed below.

Document	Version	Date
Contract/Study Agreement template [GP Site Agreement]		
Covering letter on headed paper [Cover letter]	1	11 September 2017
Covering letter on headed paper [Cover letter and further clarifications]	1	10 November 2017
Evidence of Sponsor insurance or indemnity (non NHS Sponsors only) [Sponsor insurance details]	1	26 July 2017
IRAS Application Form [IRAS_Form_14092017]		14 September 2017
Letter from funder [Grant offer]	1	14 January 2015
Letter from sponsor [Sponsorship confirmation]	1	26 July 2017
Letter from statistician [Comments statistician]	1	25 August 2017
Letters of invitation to participant [Invitation to participate in research (GPs)]	2	10 November 2017
Letters of invitation to participant [Invitation letter_BCS - Clean]	3	13 July 2018
Letters of invitation to participant [Invitation letter_BCS - Tracked Changes]	3	13 July 2018
Letters of invitation to participant [Invitation_WWC - Clean]	3	13 July 2018
Letters of invitation to participant [Invitation_WWC - Tracked Changes]	3	13 July 2018
Non-validated questionnaire [Breast cancer clinical information]	1	11 September 2017
Non-validated questionnaire [Demographic information]	1	11 September 2017
Non-validated questionnaire [Contact details]	1	11 September 2017
Notice of Substantial Amendment (non-CTIMP)	Substantial Amendment 1	13 July 2018
Participant information sheet (PIS) [Clean]	3	13 July 2018
Participant information sheet (PIS) [Tracked Changes]	3	13 July 2018
Research protocol or project proposal [Clean]	3	01 May 2018
Research protocol or project proposal [Tracked Changes]	3	01 May 2018
Summary CV for Chief Investigator (CI) [Curriculum vitae]	1	07 September 2017
Summary CV for student [Curriculum vitae - Helena Carreira]	1	07 September 2017
Summary CV for supervisor (student research) [Curriculum vitae Rachael Williams]	1	07 September 2017
Summary CV for supervisor (student research) [Curriculum vitae Krishnan Bhaskaran]	1	07 September 2017
Validated questionnaire [Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale]	1	11 September 2017
Validated questionnaire [Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale]	1	11 September 2017
Validated questionnaire [Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors Scale - Generic Domains]	1	11 September 2017

# Summary of assessment

The following information provides assurance to you, the sponsor and the NHS in England and Wales that the study, as assessed for HRA and HCRW Approval, is compliant with relevant standards. It also provides information and clarification, where appropriate, to participating NHS organisations in England and Wales to assist in assessing, arranging and confirming capacity and capability.

# Assessment criteria

Section	HRA Assessment Criteria	Compliant with Standards?	Comments
1.1	IRAS application completed correctly	Yes	Participating GP surgeries have not yet been identified.
2.1	Participant information/consent documents and consent process	Yes	No comments
3.1	Protocol assessment	Yes	No comments
4.1	Allocation of responsibilities and rights are agreed and documented	Yes	The sponsor intends to use the GP practice agreement provided as the agreement with participating GP practices.
4.2	Insurance/indemnity arrangements assessed	Yes	Where applicable, independent contractors (e.g. General Practitioners) should ensure that the professional indemnity provided by their medical defence organisation covers the activities expected of them for this research study
4.3	Financial arrangements assessed	Yes	A grant funding letter from the MRC has been provided. GPs will be reimbursed for checking the pre-screened list of patients codes and posting the survey to patients.
5.1	Compliance with the Data Protection Act and data	Yes	No comments

Section	HRA Assessment Criteria	Compliant with Standards?	Comments
	security issues assessed		
5.2	CTIMPS – Arrangements for compliance with the Clinical Trials Regulations assessed	Not Applicable	No comments
5.3	Compliance with any applicable laws or regulations	Yes	No comments
6.1	NHS Research Ethics Committee favourable opinion received for applicable studies	Yes	No comments
6.2	CTIMPS – Clinical Trials Authorisation (CTA) letter received	Not Applicable	No comments
6.3	Devices – MHRA notice of no objection received	Not Applicable	No comments
6.4	Other regulatory approvals and authorisations received	Not Applicable	No comments

# Participating NHS Organisations in England and Wales

This provides detail on the types of participating NHS organisations in the study and a statement as to whether the activities at all organisations are the same or different.

There is one site type. GP practices will be responsible for sending questionnaires to potential participants who have been identified using data held by the CPRD primary care database.

The Chief Investigator or sponsor should share relevant study documents with participating NHS organisations in England and Wales in order to put arrangements in place to deliver the study. The documents should be sent to both the local study team, where applicable, and the office providing the research management function at the participating organisation. Where applicable, the local LCRN contact should also be copied into this correspondence.

If chief investigators, sponsors or principal investigators are asked to complete site level forms for participating NHS organisations in England and Wales which are not provided in IRAS, the HRA or HCRW websites, the chief investigator, sponsor or principal investigator should notify the HRA immediately at <u>hra.approval@nhs.net</u> or HCRW at <u>Research-permissions@wales.nhs.uk</u>. We will work with these organisations to achieve a consistent approach to information provision.

# **Principal Investigator Suitability**

This confirms whether the sponsor position on whether a PI, LC or neither should be in place is correct for each type of participating NHS organisation in England and Wales, and the minimum expectations for education, training and experience that PIs should meet (where applicable).

A key contact should be identified at the GP practices. The key contact will be responsible for sending study information to potential participants.

GCP training is <u>not</u> a generic training expectation, in line with the <u>HRA/HCRW/MHRA</u> statement on <u>training expectations</u>.

# **HR Good Practice Resource Pack Expectations**

This confirms the HR Good Practice Resource Pack expectations for the study and the pre-engagement checks that should and should not be undertaken

All activity at the GP practices will be conducted by practice staff therefore access arrangements will not be applicable.

# Other Information to Aid Study Set-up

This details any other information that may be helpful to sponsors and participating NHS organisations in England and Wales to aid study set-up.

The applicant has indicated that they do not intend to apply for inclusion on the NIHR CRN Portfolio.

**Supplementary table 1** Comparison between participants and non-participants, by group, age, country, and deprivation.

	No cancer group (No. 1,460)				Breast cancer survivors (No. 1,018)					
	Refused		Participated		Refu	sed	Participated			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Total	1,208	82.7	252	17.3	665	65.3	353	34.7		
Age										
18-39	17	94.4	1	5.6	5	71.4	2	28.6		
40-49	107	87.0	16	13.0	45	70.3	19	29.7		
50-59	263	83.0	54	17.0	168	68.0	79	32.0		
60-69	355	81.6	80	18.4	200	60.6	130	39.4		
70-81	466	82.2	101	17.8	247	66.8	123	33.2		
Country										
England	233	78.5	64	21.6	95	65.5	50	34.5		
Northern Ireland	239	93.7	16	6.3	83	71.6	33	28.5		
Scotland	356	82.8	74	17.2	241	67.9	114	32.1		
Wales	380	79.5	98	20.5	246	61.2	156	38.8		
Practice IMD										
1 (most deprived)	209	79.8	53	20.2	97	57.7	71	42.3		
2	239	86.9	36	13.1	97	64.2	54	35.8		
3	138	84.2	26	15.9	123	69.9	53	30.1		
4	440	81.8	98	18.2	277	66.4	140	33.6		
5 (least deprived)	182	82.4	39	17.7	71	67.0	35	33.0		

IMD – Index of Multiple Deprivation.

**Supplementary table 2** Correlation coefficients between the different domains of HRQoL (N=605).

	Generic domains of QLACS							Cancer-specific domains of QLACS					
	Negative feelings	Positive feelings	Cognitive problems	Pain	Sexual function	Energy/ fatigue	Avoidance	Financial problems	Benefits of cancer	Distress- family	Appearance	Distress- recurrence	
Generic domains			•										
Negative feelings	1												
Positive feelings	-0.61	1											
Cognitive problems	0.62	-0.40	1										
Pain	0.49	-0.43	0.44	1									
Sexual interest	0.48	-0.41	0.44	0.40	1								
Energy/fatigue	0.68	-0.57	0.59	0.64	0.47	1							
Avoidance	0.70	-0.58	0.54	0.47	0.53	0.63	1						
Cancer-specific domair	IS												
Financial problems	0.28	-0.26	0.37	0.36	0.26	0.29	0.31	1					
Benefits of cancer	-0.21	0.46	-0.13	-0.15	-0.17	-0.17	-0.19	0.03	1				
Distress-family	0.29	-0.19	0.32	0.25	0.13	0.28	0.25	0.36	0.11	1			
Appearance	0.49	-0.36	0.45	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.43	0.52	-0.04	0.41	1		
Distress-recurrence	0.53	-0.37	0.46	0.36	0.34	0.44	0.37	0.42	0.01	0.49	0.56	1	

HRQoL = Health-Related Quality of Life; QLACS = Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors scale.