
New filovirus disease classification and nomenclature

Jens H. Kuhn^{1*}, Takuya Adachi², Neill K. J. Adhikari³, Jose R. Arribas⁴, Ibrahima Elhadj Bah⁵, Daniel G. Bausch⁶, Nahid Bhadelia⁷, Matthias Borchert⁸, Arne Broch Brantsæter⁹, David M. Brett-Major¹⁰, Timothy H. Burgess¹⁰, Lado Marta Castro-Rial¹¹, Daniel S. Chertow¹², Christopher G. Chute¹³, Theodore J. Cieslak¹⁴, Robert Colebunders¹⁵, Ian Crozier¹⁶, Richard T. Davey¹⁷, Hilde de Clerck¹⁸, Rafael Delgado¹⁹, Laura Evans²⁰, Mosoka Fallah²¹, William A. Fischer II²², Tom E. Fletcher²³, Robert A. Fowler²⁴, Thomas Grünewald²⁵, Andy Hall²⁶, Angela Hewlett²⁷, Andy I. M. Hoepelman²⁸, Catherine F. Houlihan²⁹, Giuseppe Ippolito³⁰, Shevin T. Jacob³¹, Michael Jacobs³², Robert Jakob³³, Frederique A. Jacqueroiz³⁴, Laurent Kaiser³⁵, Andre C. Kalil²⁷, Rashidatu F. Kamara³⁶, Jimmy Kapetshi³⁷, Hans-Dieter Klenk³⁸, Gary Kobinger³⁹, Mark G. Kortepeter¹⁴, Colleen S. Kraft⁴⁰, Thomas Kratz⁴¹, Henry S. Kyobe Bosa⁴², François Lamontagne⁴³; H. Cliff Lane⁴⁴, Leslie Lobel^{45,†}, Julius Lutwama⁴⁶, G. Marshall Lyon III⁴⁰, Moses B. F. Massaquoi⁴⁷, Thomas A. Massaquoi⁴⁸, Aneesh K. Mehta⁴⁰, Vital Mondonge Makuma⁴⁹, Srinivas Murthy⁵⁰, Tonny Seikikongo Musoke⁵¹, Jean-Jacques Muyembe Tamfum⁵², Phiona Nakyeyune²⁹, Carolina Nanclares⁵³, Miriam Nanyunja⁵⁴, Justus Nsio-Mbeta⁵⁵, Tim O'Dempsey³¹, Janusz T. Pawęska⁵⁶, Clarence J. Peters⁵⁷, Peter Piot²⁹, Christophe Rapp⁵⁸, Bertrand Renaud⁵⁹, Bruce Ribner⁴⁰, Pardis C. Sabeti⁶⁰, John S. Schieffelin³⁴, Werner Slenczka³⁸, Moses J. Soka⁶¹, Armand Sprecher⁶², James Strong⁶³, Robert Swanepoel⁶⁴, Timothy M. Uyeki⁶⁵, Michel van Herp⁶², Pauline Vetter⁶⁶, David A. Wohl⁶⁷, Timo Wolf⁶⁸, Anja Wolz⁶², Alie H. Wurie⁶⁹ and Zabulon Yoti⁷⁰

The recent large outbreak of Ebola virus disease (EVD) in Western Africa resulted in greatly increased accumulation of human genotypic, phenotypic and clinical data, and improved our understanding of the spectrum of clinical manifestations. As a result, the WHO disease classification of EVD underwent major revision.

[H1] Former filovirus disease names

Filoviruses, the members of the family *Filoviridae*, are currently classified into one proposed and five established genera (Supplementary Table 1). Of the twelve described filoviruses, six have been identified as etiological agents of naturally occurring human disease outbreaks.

The International Classification of Diseases (ICD; Supplementary Box 1) is primarily a statistical tabulation. Consequently, frequently observed diseases with large patient cohorts are more likely to have their own disease names, codes and subcategories of disease manifestations than uncommonly occurring diseases because larger cohorts ensure statistical reliability of disease descriptions. Given the past low number of filovirus disease outbreaks and overall case-numbers (34 disease outbreaks until 2013, involving 2,872 infections and 1,968 deaths), it is not surprising that the diseases caused by filoviruses were not captured by early ICD iterations. In ICD-9, the only code defining filovirus diseases was “078.89 Other specified diseases due to viruses.” Consequently, various unofficial filovirus disease names have been used in the scientific literature (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3).

The currently used ICD-10 recognizes filovirus diseases specifically via entries “A98.4 Ebola virus disease (EVD)” and “A98.3 Marburg virus disease (MVD)” (<https://icd.who.int/browse10/2016/en>) since 1994. However, the ICD-10 does not specify which filoviruses are considered to cause which of the two diseases, offer disease definitions, or account for unusual disease manifestations (for example, subclinical or persistent infections).

[H1] A need for new filovirus disease names

In 2014, Ebola virus (EBOV) was identified as the etiological agent of an EVD outbreak in Western Africa in 2013–2016 that would ultimately cause at least 28,652 human infections and 11,325 deaths. This single outbreak involved almost ten times the combined number of patients from all previous filovirus disease outbreaks. Consequently, the clinical presentation of EVD could be refined using statistical measures, and subclinical EBOV infections leading to sexual transmission or disease relapse were substantiated through clinical observations. In addition, often-debilitating sequelae in EVD survivors were observed longitudinally for the first time. As clinical research data on EVD accumulated, the coverage of filovirus disease in ICD-10 was inadequate

to cover complex clinical presentations of filovirus disease.

[H1] Discussion framework

[H2] *Expert panel and method.* Responding to the WHO's public call for input in the development of the ICD-11, we assembled a large group of experts (the authors) who have treated filovirus-infected patients or were heavily involved in organizing the treatment of patients to develop ICD-11's entries on filovirus disease. Consensus was obtained by step-wise, simple-majority, semi-blind voting. The participants represented a wide spectrum of scientists and health workers of both sexes and from numerous countries, including African nations most affected by human filovirus infections.

[H2] *Main issues.* The ICD-10 recognizes two filovirus diseases: EVD and MVD; however, four ebolaviruses (members of the genus *Ebolavirus*) cause disease, with EBOV only being one of them, and two marburgviruses (members of the genus *Marburgvirus*) cause disease, with Marburg virus (MARV) being one of them (Table 1). The terms 'Ebola virus disease' and 'Marburg virus disease' are therefore ambiguous: either the ICD-10 does not capture diseases caused by ebolaviruses and marburgviruses other than EBOV and MARV or EVD and MVD are cover terms for diseases caused by all ebolaviruses and marburgviruses (MARV and Ravn virus (RAVV)), requiring authors to specify which ebolavirus or marburgvirus caused a particular EVD or MVD outbreak. These ambiguities cause major confusion in communication among researchers and copy editors who are not necessarily familiar with the differences between 'Ebola virus' and 'ebolavirus' or 'Ebola virus disease due to Ebola virus infection' versus 'Ebola virus disease due to Bundibugyo virus infection'¹. Consequently, the expert panel debated whether the EVD and MVD entries in ICD-10 should be merged into a single entry, whether the two terms should be split into several entries based on etiologic agents, or whether a hierarchical scheme should be adopted to cover both possibilities.

Although official virus taxonomy may change annually through decisions made by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), ICD updates and revisions are released at much longer intervals. Hence, the ICD cannot keep pace with taxonomic developments. Independence of ICD-11 filovirus disease names from virus taxonomy considerations was therefore thought to be imperative.

[H1] Results of expert panel discussions

A single umbrella term for the disease(s) caused by filoviruses is urgently needed as differentiation between ICD-10's EVD and MVD on clinical grounds alone is impossible. Following the publication of the 'WHO Best Practices for the Naming of New Human Infectious Diseases' this parent disease name should not contain any geographic locations; people's names; species or class of animal or food; cultural,

population, industry or occupational references; or components that incite undue fear^{2,3}. Furthermore, the panel almost unanimously discouraged the use of 'hemorrhagic fever' for any filovirus-associated disease name because 'hemorrhagic fever' is not unambiguously defined, and the majority of filovirus-infected individuals do not develop overt hemorrhage. Consequently, healthcare workers could misdiagnose filovirus diseases or potentially infected individuals may not seek admittance to a treatment unit based on the absence of hemorrhage. After thorough consideration, 'Filovirus disease (FVD)' was chosen as the ICD-11 parent disease term. Because filoviruses comprise a distinct and monophyletic group of viruses, the expert panel felt that the prefix 'filo-' was unlikely to disappear in the near future if taxonomic changes to the virus family would be required. Additional subcategories should be established to codify diseases caused by filovirus that have not yet been associated with filovirus disease or yet-to-be-discovered novel filoviruses; diseases very likely caused by filoviruses without final agent confirmation; and filovirus diseases with 'unusual' clinical presentation(s).

The panel advocated for two subcategories to the filovirus parent entry for ebolavirus and marburgvirus diseases and recommended, if necessary, further subcategorization. The classical distinction of ICD-10's EVD and MVD was felt to be important for traditional and familiarity reasons. Furthermore, molecular evidence is accumulating that ebolaviruses and marburgviruses behave differently *in vitro* and *in vivo*, suggesting that differences in clinical presentation of infections with ebolaviruses or marburgviruses will become evident in the future. 'Ebola disease (EBOD)' and 'Marburg disease (MARD)' were chosen for the major FVD subcategories (Table 1); FVD due to ebolavirus and marburgvirus infections, respectively. The WHO naming guidelines were not applied in coining these terms because both 'Ebola' and 'Marburg' have been components of filovirus disease names since the 1970s and 1960s, respectively. The absence of the word 'virus' in the two disease names makes them taxonomically independent and therefore stable.

The panel then reintroduced the ICD-10 names 'Ebola virus disease (EVD)' and 'Marburg virus disease (MVD)' as EBOD and MARD subcategories because of their familiarity to the filovirus research community but restricted the use of EVD and MVD to diseases caused by agents belonging to only one species: EBOV (species *Zaire ebolavirus*), and MARV and RAVV (both species *Marburg marburgvirus*), respectively. Two additional EBOD subcategory disease terms were added to cover the remaining pathogenic filoviruses that have caused more than one registered human infection: Bundibugyo virus disease (BVD) and Sudan virus disease (SVD). Three additional subcategories for both EBOD and MARD were proposed: 'Atypical Ebola/Marburg disease' for EBOD or MARD patients with unusual clinical presentation(s); 'Other specified Ebola/Marburg

disease' for EBOD or MARD patients infected with ebolaviruses/marburgviruses not covered by BDV, EVD and SVD or MVD (for example, disease due to Tai Forest virus infection); and 'Ebola/Marburg disease, virus unspecified' for patients who are suspected to be infected with an ebolavirus or marburgvirus in absence of virus identification.

The expert panel did not establish a separate category for filovirus-induced sequelae in filovirus disease survivors (for example, 'post-Ebola syndrome') as the ICD-11 allows combinatorial coding (for example, 'Atypical Ebola disease' plus 'Arthritis').

[H1] New official filovirus disease names

The panel submitted a proposal containing the proposed filovirus disease classification and nomenclature to the WHO's ICD-11 Proposal Platform in April of 2018. After peer review and appropriate revisions, the new filovirus disease classification and nomenclature (Table 1, Supplementary Table 4) were accepted in May of 2018 and subsequently incorporated into the ICD-11 framework (<https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en>). The panel recommends that the new filovirus disease names and abbreviations be used immediately in forthcoming filovirus publications to ensure a seamless transition once the ICD-11 is adopted by United Nations member states.

1. Kuhn, J. H. in *Marburg- and ebolaviruses—From ecosystems to molecules* (eds Elke Mühlberger, Lisa E. Hensley, & Jonathan S. Towner) 447-460 (Springer, 2017).
2. Fukuda, K., Wang, R. & Vallat, B. Naming diseases: first do no harm. *Science* **348**, 643, doi:10.1126/science.348.6235.643 (2015).
3. Kupferschmidt, K. Rules of the name. *Science* **348**, 745, doi:10.1126/science.348.6236.745 (2015).

Supplementary information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXXX-X>

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Laura Bollinger (NIH/NIAID Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, USA) for critically reviewing the manuscript. This work was supported in part through Battelle Memorial Institute's prime contract with the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) under Contract No. HHSN2722007000161 (J.H.K.). This project was funded in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health (NIH), under Contract No. HHSN261200800001E (I.C.). G.I. is grateful for support from the Italian Ministry of Health, grant Ricerca Corrente, Research programme n.1. The UK Public Health Rapid Support Team (D.G.B.) is funded by the UK Department of Health and Social Care.

Disclaimer

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official views, assertions, opinions or policies, either expressed or implied, of the US Department of Defense, US Department of Health and Human Services (National Institutes of Health; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), US Uniformed Services University, UK National Health System, the UK National Institute for Health Research, the UK Department of Health and Social Care or of the institutions and companies affiliated with the authors. In no event shall any of these entities have any responsibility or liability for any use, misuse, inability to use, or reliance upon the information contained herein. Some authors are employees of the U.S. Government and as such under the provisions of 17 U.S.C. 105, copyright protection is not available for this work. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the US Government.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

¹Integrated Research Facility, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, MD, USA; ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Toshima Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ³Critical Care Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre and University of Toronto, Toronto,

Canada; ⁴Internal Medicine Department, Infectious Diseases Unit Madrid, Hospital La Paz—Carlos III IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain; ⁵Donka Hospital, Conakry, Guinea; ⁶UK Public Health Rapid Support Team, United Kingdom; ⁷Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; ⁸Global Health and Biosecurity, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany; ⁹Division of Medicine, Department of Infectious Diseases and Norwegian National Unit for CBRNE Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ¹⁰Department of Preventive Medicine and Biostatistics, F. Edward Hébert School of Medicine, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD, USA; ¹¹King's Sierra Leone Partnership, Connaught Hospital, Freetown, Sierra Leone; ¹²Critical Care Medicine Department, Emerging Pathogens Section, National Institutes of Health Clinical Center, Bethesda, MD, USA; ¹³Schools of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, College of Public Health, Omaha, NE, USA; ¹⁵University of Antwerp, Global Health Institute, Antwerp, Belgium; ¹⁶Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, Clinical Monitoring Research Program Directorate, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research supported by the National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland, USA; ¹⁷Clinical Research Section, Laboratory of Immunoregulation, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; ¹⁸Médecins Sans Frontières, Geneva, Switzerland; ¹⁹Molecular Microbiology, Instituto de Investigación Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ²⁰Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ²¹National Public Health Institute, Monrovia, Liberia; ²²Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ²³Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, University of Liverpool Institute of Translational Medicine and National Institute for Health Research, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ²⁴Departments of Medicine and Critical Care Medicine, Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ²⁵Klinikum St. Georg, Leipzig, Germany; ²⁶King's Sierra Leone Partnership, King's Centre for Global Health, King's College London & King's Health Partners, London, UK; ²⁷University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA; ²⁸Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands; ²⁹London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ³⁰Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive "Lazzaro Spallanzani" (National Institute for Infectious Diseases "Lazzaro Spallanzani" —IRCCS), Rome, Italy; ³¹Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK; ³²Department of Infection, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ³³World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; ³⁴Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA; ³⁵Department of Infectious Diseases and Laboratory of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland; ³⁶Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Freetown, Sierra Leone; ³⁷Institut National de Recherche Biomédicale, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo; ³⁸Institute of Virology, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg an der Lahn, Hesse, Germany; ³⁹Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada; ⁴⁰Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA; ⁴¹Federal Information Centre for Biological Threats and Special Pathogens, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany; ⁴²College of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; ⁴³Université de Sherbrooke Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada; ⁴⁴Division of Clinical Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁴⁵Shraga Segal Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Genetics, School of Pharmacy, Center for Emerging Diseases, Tropical Diseases and AIDS, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel; ⁴⁶Uganda Virus Research Institute, Arbovirology Emerging and Re-emerging Diseases, Entebbe, Uganda; ⁴⁷Sub-Regional Consortium on Ebola Vaccine and Therapeutic Trials, Clinton Health Access Initiative - Liberia, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴⁸34 Military Hospital, Wilberforce Barracks, Freetown, Sierra Leone; ⁴⁹International Health Regulations, World Health Organization, Kigali, Rwanda; ⁵⁰Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ⁵¹Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda; ⁵²Department of Microbiology, University of Kinshasa Medical School, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo; ⁵³Médecins Sans Frontières, Barcelona, Spain; ⁵⁴Department of Communicable Diseases, World Health Organization, Kampala, Kampala District, Uganda; ⁵⁵Direction Générale de Lutte contre la Maladie, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo; ⁵⁶Center for Emerging, Zoonotic and Parasitic Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Service, 2131 Sandringham-Johannesburg, Gauteng, South Africa; ⁵⁷University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, TX, USA; ⁵⁸Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Bégin Military Teaching

Hospital, Saint-Mande, France;⁵⁹Faculté de Médecine, Université de Paris Descartes, Paris, France;⁶⁰Broad Institute of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA;⁶¹Partnership for Ebola Virus Disease Research in Liberia, Monrovia Medical Units ELWA-2 Hospital, Monrovia, Liberia;⁶²Médecins Sans Frontières, Brussels, Belgium;⁶³Public Health Agency of Canada, Special Pathogens Program, Ottawa, ON, Canada;⁶⁴Vectors and Vector-Borne Diseases Research Programme, Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa;⁶⁵Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA;⁶⁶Division of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland;⁶⁷Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC USA;⁶⁸University Hospital, Frankfurt am Main, Germany;⁶⁹Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Freetown, Sierra Leone;⁷⁰World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa, Brazzaville, Republic of the Congo

[†]Deceased

^{*}e-mail: kuhnjens@mail.nih.gov

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXXX-X>

	disease, virus unspecified	
--	-------------------------------	--

^aCaused by Bundibugyo virus (BDBV); ^bcaused by Ebola virus (EBOV); ^ccaused by Sudan virus (SUDV); ^dcaused by, for instance, Tai Forest virus (TAFV); ^ecaused by Marburg virus (MARV) or Ravn virus (RAVV). ICD-11; The International [Statistical] Classification of Diseases [and Related Health Problems] Revision 11.

Table 1. Recommended new human filovirus disease classification and nomenclature as accepted by the WHO.

Main disease category.	First disease subcategory.	Second disease subcategory.
ICD-11 code and disease name		
1D60 Filovirus disease (FVD)		
	1D60.0 Ebola disease (EBOD)	
		1D60.00 Bundibugyo virus disease (BVD) ^a
		1D60.01 Ebola virus disease (EVD) ^b
		1D60.02 Sudan virus disease (SVD) ^c
		1D60.03 Atypical Ebola disease
		1D60.0Y Other specified Ebola disease ^d
		1D60.0Z Ebola disease, virus unspecified
	1D60.1 Marburg disease (MARD)	
		1D60.10 Marburg virus disease (MVD) ^e
		1D60.11 Atypical Marburg disease
		1D60.1Y Other specified Marburg disease
		1D60.1Z Marburg disease, virus unspecified
	1D60.Y Other specified filovirus disease	
	1D60.Z Filovirus	