# Treatment of uncomplicated and severe malaria during pregnancy

4917 words

Authors:

```
D'Alessandro U, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>
```

Hill J, PhD<sup>3</sup>

Tarning J, PhD<sup>4,5</sup>

Pell C, PhD<sup>6,7</sup>

Webster J, PhD<sup>2</sup>

Gutman J, MD<sup>8</sup>

Sevene E, PhD<sup>9,10</sup>

Affiliations:

- 1. Medical Research Council Unit, The Gambia;
- 2. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom
- 3. Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- 4. Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand;
- 5. Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom;
- 6. Centre for Social Science and Global Health, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands;
- 7. Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 8. Malaria Branch, US Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
- 9. Manhiça Health Research Center (CISM), Manhiça, Mozambique,
- 10. Eduardo Mondlane University, Faculty of Medicine, Maputo, Mozambique.

Corresponding Author: Prof Umberto D'Alessandro,

Medical Research council Unit The Gambia (MRCG)

P.O Box 273 Banjul, The Gambia

Phone: (+220) 4495442/6 ext 4001, Fax: (+220) 4494154

E-mail: udalessandro@mrc.gm

### Abstract (221 words)

Over the past 10 years, the available evidence on the treatment of malaria during pregnancy has increased substantially. Considering their relative ease of use, good sensitivity and specificity, histidine rich protein2 based rapid diagnostic tests are particularly appropriate for symptomatic pregnant women while they seem less appropriate for systematic screening as they will miss an important proportion of infections among asymptomatic women. The effect of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetics of antimalarial drugs varies greatly between studies and class of antimalarial drugs, emphasising the need for prospective studies in pregnant and non-pregnant women. For the treatment of malaria during the first trimester, international guidelines are currently being reviewed by the World Health Organization. For the second and third trimester of pregnancy, results from several trials have confirmed that artemisinin-based combination treatments are safe and efficacious, though tolerability and efficacy may vary by treatment. It is now essential to translate such evidence into policies and practice that benefit pregnant women in malaria-endemic countries. Access to parasitological diagnosis and/or appropriate antimalarial treatment in many countries and regions remains low. Therefore, there is a pressing need for research to identify quality improvement interventions targeting pregnant women and health providers. In addition, efficient and practical systems for pharmacovigilance are needed to further expand knowledge on the safety of antimalarials, particularly in the first trimester of pregnancy.

### Introduction

All malaria infections in pregnancy should be treated promptly with safe and efficacious antimalarial drugs to prevent their harmful effects on the mother and foetus (1,2).

Concerns about the potential for harm of new antimalarial treatments on pregnant women or their unborn baby has led to their systematic exclusion from clinical trials, resulting in limited information on their pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy during pregnancy (3–5), particularly for the first trimester (6,7). However, over the past 10 years (8), there has been substantial research on malaria in pregnancy, by the Malaria in Pregnancy Consortium (www.mip-consortium.org) and others, addressing knowledge gaps, and herein we present a summary of the results.

### Search strategy

Four separate systematic reviews were conducted, one for each of the major topic areas: diagnosis, efficacy, pharmacokinetics, safety, and access to treatment. Their respective search strategies are outlined in the supplemental appendix and results are provided below.

# Diagnosis

Case management of malaria consists of identifying a suspect case, based on the presence of signs/ symptoms, and performing diagnostic testing followed by treatment if needed. The accuracy of diagnostic tests depends on parasite density. Microscopy with an experienced and well equipped microscopist has a detection threshold of 15 parasites per  $\mu$ L of blood (1) while for rapid diagnostic tests (RDT), which detect circulating parasite antigens, this may be as low as 200 parasites per  $\mu$ L(9). Such diagnostic tests (microscopy and RDTs) may be adequate for pregnant women with malaria symptoms as they usually have parasite densities above their detection thresholds (10). Nevertheless, the large majority of infections during pregnancy are asymptomatic, with low parasite densities, often not detected by microscopy. The public health importance of such infections is controversial as they have been associated with anaemia, lower mean Hb, low birth weight, and premature births in some studies (11) but not in others (12). Intermittent screening and treatment, a potential alternative to intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) in places of high SP resistance or low malaria transmission, is based on the assumption that currently available tests, more specifically RDTs, should be able to identify most infections. This is probably not the case though, thanks to their ability to detect circulating parasite antigens, RDTs may be useful in diagnosing placenta malaria, particularly for *P. falciparum* (13). In a systematic review of 49 studies, with microscopy of placental blood as the gold standard, RDTs sensitivity was 81% [95% CI 55-93] and specificity 94% [95% CI 76-99], while polymerase chain reaction (PCR) had higher sensitivity (94%, 95% CI 86-98) but lower specificity (77%, 95% CI 71-82) (14). However, in Papua New Guinea, more than half of active placenta infections were not diagnosed by RDT, microscopy, or PCR on peripheral blood (15). Similar results were reported from Mozambique (16), possibly because of occult placental sequestration (15). Nevertheless, in Malawi, latent class analysis, which does not assume a gold standard, showed that RDT sensitivity and specificity on peripheral blood for diagnosing placenta malaria was 92.7% and 91.8%, respectively (17). As for peripheral infections, RDTs had similar (18,19) or lower (10) sensitivity than microscopy, with histidine rich protein2 (HRP2)-based RDT performing better that plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH)-based RDTs (10,20).

Considering their relative ease of use, good sensitivity and specificity, HRP2-based RDTs are particularly appropriate for symptomatic pregnant women while they are less appropriate for systematic screening as they will miss an important proportion of infections among asymptomatic pregnant women. The newly available ultra-sensitive RDT (Alere<sup>™</sup> Malaria Ag P.f) should be evaluated for detecting low-density infections in pregnant women.

### Treatment of uncomplicated malaria

First trimester

For *P. falciparum* malaria during the first trimester, the WHO recommends quinine with clindamycin for seven days (or quinine alone if clindamycin is not available) and, in case of failure or unavailability, an artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) or oral artesunate with clindamycin for seven days (2). This is based on data from 700 pregnant women exposed to artemisinin derivatives during the first trimester, and excludes a  $\geq$ 4.2-fold increase in risk of major congenital defects (2). However, the Malaria Policy Advisory Committee recommended recently revising these guidelines on the basis of a recent meta-analysis (21,22).

Non-falciparum malaria should be treated with chloroquine; in case of chloroquine-resistant infections, quinine is recommended (2).

### Second and third trimester

Guidelines for the treatment of *P. falciparum* malaria in the second and third trimester are the same as for non-pregnant adults, i.e. any ACT recommended as national first-line treatment, namely artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, artesunate-mefloquine, dihydroartemisininpiperaquine, or artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (2). A systematic review including 16 randomized control trials done between 1998 and 2009 (23) presented ten trials testing ACTs (three artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, two artemether-lumefantrine, three artesunatemefloquine, one dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and one artesunate with atovaquone-proguanil) versus either combinations without artemisinins or monotherapies. In most trials, ACTs had a PCRadjusted efficacy >90%, with the exception of artemether-lumefantrine at the Thai-Burmese border with an efficacy of 87% at day 42 (23), attributed to low drug concentrations and low antimalarial immunity (24). A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the efficacy, safety, and tolerance of ACTs with that of quinine and other non-ACT antimalarials (azithromycin plus SP; SP plus amodiaquine) included 6 trials done between 1995 and 2009, three from sub-Saharan Africa (Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda) and three from Asia (Thailand), all of them included in the previous review (23), except the one in Uganda (25). ACTs were significantly more efficacious than oral quinine, had similar efficacy to non-ACTs in Africa and significantly higher efficacy in Thailand. Birth outcomes were similar between treatment arms, with the exception of mean birth weight that was significantly higher in ACT versus non-ACT recipients, indicating ACTs may clear parasites, including those in the placenta, more efficiently than other treatments (26). Furthermore, artemether-lumefantrine was associated with decreased rates of moderate to high-grade haemozoin deposition in the placenta (13.3% versus 25.8%) compared to oral quinine in Uganda, indicating a protective effect against placental malaria (27).

A large multicentre randomized open-label trial testing four ACTs (artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, artesunate-mefloquine, and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine) in pregnant women with *P. falciparum* malaria was carried out between 2010 and 2013 in four sub-Saharan African countries (Burkina Faso, Ghana, Malawi, and Zambia). A total of 3,428 pregnant women were recruited and followed up until day 63 post-treatment and then at delivery. The PCR-adjusted cure rates for all ACTs ranged from 94.8% to 99.2%, within the pre-specified equivalence margin. Nevertheless, the cure rates in the artemether-lumefantrine group were significantly lower than for the other treatments, which had similar high efficacy (28). The significantly lower unadjusted cure rates in the artemether-lumefantrine group (52.5%) than in the other treatment groups (artesunate-amodiaquine: 82.3%; artesunate-mefloquine: 73.8%; dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine: 86.9%) indicate that in areas of intense transmission dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine may be preferable to artemether-lumefantrine because of its longer post-treatment prophylactic period.

Recently, a few smaller trials carried out in sub-Saharan Africa (Nigeria (29) and Uganda(30)) confirmed the high efficacy of ACTs.

In southern Papua New Guinea, Indonesia, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine became the first line treatment for second and third trimester pregnant women in 2006, and this resulted in a decline of congenital malaria cases, from 3.2% to 0.2%, with no case detected since 2008 (31). The implementation of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine also resulted in a lower risk of malaria at delivery, early neonatal deaths (32), maternal severe anaemia, and low birth weight (33).

Though chloroquine can be used for treating non-falciparum malaria (1), *P. vivax* resistance emerged in the 1980s in New Guinea and has spread to the Indonesian archipelago and Mekong region (34). Almost all antimalarial drugs with activity against *P. falciparum* demonstrate intrinsic activity against *P. vivax* asexual stages, with the exception of antifolate drugs (34). Therefore, *vivax* malaria can be treated with any ACT effective against *P. falciparum*, with the exception of artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (2). ACTs rapidly clear *P. vivax* asexual stages though there is high variability in the occurrence of recurrent infection between 28 and 63 days post-treatment (34). Unfortunately, primaquine, the only available drug effective against the parasite's liver stages, is contraindicated in pregnancy and breast feeding, due to the risk of haemolysis if the offspring is glucose-6-phospate dehydrogenase deficient (34); it can be administered when the woman has stopped breastfeeding.

# Treatment of complicated malaria

Pregnant women have a higher risk of developing severe malaria. This is particularly true in low transmission settings, where it is often complicated by pulmonary oedema and hypoglycaemia (2). Intensive care and prompt parenteral antimalarial treatment are critical to the mother's survival (1). A recent review on the treatment of severe malaria in all trimesters of pregnancy identified ten studies that reported clinical outcomes (35). The review supports WHO recommendation for intravenous artesunate as the drug of choice, or if unavailable, intramuscular artemether (2), though for the latter the absorption is less predictable, especially in patients with cardiovascular collapse

(1). Parenteral quinine, though associated with recurrent hypoglycaemia, can be used when artesunate or artemether are not available (2).

Until controlled clinical trials are conducted, severe non-falciparum malaria should be managed as severe falciparum malaria in intensive care settings with intravenous artesunate or quinine (34).

# Pharmacokinetics (Table 1)

Pregnancy is associated with a number of physiological changes that can alter drug absorption, disposition, metabolism and excretion (36). It is therefore imperative to recognize pregnancy-related changes in pharmacokinetic properties since these may result in over- or under-exposure to antimalarials. Over-exposure might lead to maternal and foetal toxicity and under-exposure to therapeutic failures, resulting in poor pregnancy outcomes, maternal death, and increased risk of drug resistance (36,37). The current literature on the pharmacokinetic of antimalarials in pregnancy is often contradictory and based on small studies without non-pregnant control patients. Controlled prospective pharmacokinetic studies are needed to evaluate the pregnancy effect. Ideally, non-pregnant controls should be matched by sex, malaria infection status, and age to control for confounding covariates, and evaluated with pharmacokinetic modelling approaches to quantify potential pregnancy-specific effects.

### Artemisinins

Systemic drug exposure to artesunate and its active metabolite dihydroartemisinin, following oral administration of artesunate, was substantially lower in pregnant women with falciparum malaria on the Thai-Myanmar boarder than in historical (38) and post-partum controls (39,40). In one of these studies, malaria and pregnancy were shown to have opposite effects on the absorption of orally administered artesunate; malaria increased the oral bioavailability of artesunate by 87%, whereas

pregnancy decreased the oral bioavailability by 23% (39). However, there was no evidence of pregnancy-related alteration on the pharmacokinetic properties of artesunate or dihydroartemisinin after intravenous administration, suggesting that standard treatment recommendations for severe malaria apply to pregnant women. A study carried out in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic Congo, comparing women during pregnancy and post-partum with non-pregnant controls confirmed an altered drug exposure in pregnant women (42% decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin) after oral administration of artesunate (41,42). However, no difference was seen in the exposure to dihydroartemisinin in pregnant and non-pregnant women in Burkina Faso after oral artesunate treatment (43).

The pharmacokinetic properties of artemether and its active metabolite dihydroartemisinin, after oral administration of artemether, are reported to be unaltered in two clinical studies in pregnant women and matched non-pregnant controls in Uganda and Tanzania (30,44). However, studies recruiting only pregnant women have reported lower drug exposures in pregnant women compared to historical controls (45–48).

Contradictory results have also been presented regarding the systemic drug exposure to dihydroartemisinin, following oral administration in pregnant women and matched non-pregnant controls (49–52). In Thailand and Uganda, drug exposure was substantially lower (38% and 47%, respectively) in pregnant women (50) while in Papua New Guinea pharmacokinetic properties in pregnant women were unaltered (49).

Thus, ACTs may need a dose adjustment (higher dose) but more information is needed. A recentlypublished systematic review reached similar conclusions (53).

#### 4-amino-quinolines

Drug exposure to chloroquine and its main metabolite desethylchloroquine was significantly reduced (25% and 45%, respectively) in pregnant women compared to age-matched non-pregnant women in Papua New Guinea when receiving three daily doses (450 mg/day) of chloroquine as intermittent preventive treatment (54). This was due to increased elimination of both chloroquine and desethylchloroquine during pregnancy. However, pharmacokinetic parameters of chloroquine or desethylchloroquine were not different between pregnant and non-pregnant Karen women with vivax malaria (55).

No differences in the pharmacokinetic properties of amodiaquine or desethylamodiaquine were found between pregnant women in the second and third trimesters with vivax malaria and the same women 3 months post-partum (56). Population pharmacokinetic modelling confirmed that pregnancy did not have a clinically relevant impact on the pharmacokinetics of amodiaquine or desethylamodiaquine, with no need of dose adjustment (57).

Contradictory results have been presented regarding the pharmacokinetic properties of piperaquine in pregnancy. There was no significant difference in total drug exposure to piperaquine between pregnant and non-pregnant women with falciparum malaria in Thailand (51). Population pharmacokinetic modelling showed similar effects of piperaquine on the relative bioavailability and elimination, resulting in a net effect of unaltered drug exposure, but a shorter elimination half-life in pregnant women (50). Similar results were obtained in pregnant and age- and weight-matched nonpregnant Sudanese women with falciparum malaria (58,59). However, an approximately 40% lower exposure to piperaquine in pregnant than non-pregnant women has been reported in Papua New Guinea and Uganda (49,52).

Quinoline methanols and related drugs

No relevant differences were found in the exposure to mefloquine between pregnant women in their second and third trimester and matched non-pregnant women with falciparum malaria in Burkina Faso when treated with artesunate-mefloquine (43). However, peak mefloquine concentrations were significantly lower in pregnant than non-pregnant women with falciparum malaria compared with treated with a single oral dose of mefloquine (60). Similarly, a dose finding study on the Thai-Myanmar border suggests that drug exposure to mefloquine may be decreased in late pregnancy (61).

Mean pharmacokinetic parameters of quinine and its metabolites were not significantly different between Sudanese pregnant and non-pregnant women with *falciparum* malaria who received a single dose of quinine hydrochloride (as intravenous infusion over 2 hours), suggesting that no dose adjustment is required in pregnancy (62). However, in these women exposure to quinine during clinical malaria was higher than during the convalescence phase (63). A higher exposure to quinine during clinical malaria as compared to the convalescence phase was similarly reported in Ugandan pregnant women with falciparum malaria and treated with oral quinine. However, drug exposure was about half of those previously reported in non-pregnant patients (36).

The systemic drug exposure to lumefantrine is generally reported to be lower in pregnant than nonpregnant women treated with artemether-lumefantrine for falciparum malaria (44,64,65). These studies demonstrate about 30% decrease in day seven concentrations of lumefantrine in pregnant versus non-pregnant patients. However, one study in rural Uganda reported no differences in the exposure to lumefantrine between pregnant women and non-pregnant women with falciparum malaria (30).

# Antifolates

In Papua New Guinea, the exposures to sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine were significantly lower in pregnant than in non-pregnant women (66). A study in Kenya evaluated the pharmacokinetic properties of sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine in 33 pregnant women and 11 post-partum women and demonstrated similar results for sulfadoxine, while pyrimethamine was unaffected by pregnancy (67). A multicentre study (Mali, Mozambique, Sudan, and Zambia) confirmed that sulfadoxine exposure was lower during pregnancy than postpartum while reporting higher pyrimethamine exposure during pregnancy (68). Pharmacokinetic data of both drugs were highly variable among the study sites and did not recommend dose adjustment (68).

### Drug safety (Table 2)

Firm conclusions on the safety of antimalarial drugs in pregnancy are limited by methodological issues. Studies are often underpowered to detect rare safety outcomes and small differences. The trial design often covers a short/sporadic follow-up period (69) and lack statistical power to adjust for uncontrolled confounders like severity of disease and/or presence of sexually transmitted infections, emphasising the need for continuous safety monitoring.

#### Artemisinin derivatives and partner drugs

In pregnant rats on gestational day 10, artemisinin derivatives have embryotoxic effects (death, cardiac malformations, and long bone malformations) due to the death of circulating embryonic erythroblasts (70). In humans, dihydroartemisinin is responsible for the erythro-toxicity (71,72). In rats, gestational days 10 to 14 were the most sensitive to the embryolethal effects of artesunate; the corresponding gestational age in humans is approximately week three to week nine post-conception (73). Artemisinins concentrate in infected red blood cells while malaria causes hypoferremia (74). Therefore, malaria may protect against artemisinin-induced decreases in reticulocyte count by reducing the target tissue levels of active drug and/or ferrous iron which activates the drug to toxic-

free radicals; malaria protection against artesunate toxicity has been observed in rats. This could be true also for embryotoxicity so that pregnant women without malaria would be at greater risk of artemisinin-induced embryotoxicity (73).

A meta-analysis on 1,664 well-documented pregnancies followed after artemisinin or quinine treatment during the first trimester reported no differences in the risk of miscarriage, stillbirth, or major congenital malformations between artemisinins and quinine (22). Risk of miscarriage was similar between women treated with artemisinins during the first trimester and those not treated with an antimalarial; the risk was significantly higher for women treated with quinine than in those not treated with an antimalarial (22,75). In Thailand, the risk of miscarriage among women attending antenatal clinics during the years 1986-2010 was not significantly different in those treated between six and 12 weeks of gestation with artesunate (31%), quinine (27%) or chloroquine (26%) (p=0.71) (76). The risk of miscarriage associated with malaria outweighed any adverse effects from treatment with antimalarials, including artemisinins (76).

In Thailand, first trimester pregnant women exposed to either artemisinins (n=183) or quinine (n=971) had a similar risk of miscarriage. Considering only exposure during the embryo-sensitive window (6-13 weeks gestation), the occurrence of congenital malformations for artemisinins or quinine was similar although the sample size was small (77). In Kenya (78), Tanzania (79), Zambia (80), and Rwanda (81), first trimester exposure was reported in 299, 319, 294, and 96 pregnant women, respectively. In Kenya, the risk of miscarriage tended to be higher among women treated with artemisinins compared to women with no exposure to antimalarial drugs, though this did not apply when considering only exposure during the embryo-sensitive period or when comparing to women treated with quinine. In Tanzania, adverse pregnancy outcomes (miscarriage/stillbirth, prematurity) were more common in women treated with quinine than with any other antimalarial, including artemether-lumefantrine. In Zambia, first trimester exposure to antimalarials was not associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (80).

Artemether-lumefantrine in the first trimester of pregnancy did not increase the risk of perinatal or neonatal death or stillbirth. Infant neurodevelopment, birth weight, and the overall incidence of birth defects were also similar, irrespective of treatment with artemether-lumefantrine or other antimalarials during the first trimester. All cases of miscarriage in the artemether-lumefantrine exposure group occurred in patients who had received treatment during the first trimester, although in most cases there were confounding factors (82). Preclinical data on lumefantrine alone did not show any embryotoxicity (36). Nevertheless, artemether-lumefantrine is still not recommended for the treatment of malaria during the first trimester of pregnancy unless quinine, with or without clindamycin, has failed or is unavailable.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of second and third trimester exposure to ACTs in studies in Africa and Asia indicates that the risk of miscarriage and congenital anomalies is similar among women in second or third trimester of pregnancy treated with artemisinins and women treated with quinine or other non-artemisinin antimalarials. This meta-analysis also reported that the risk of stillbirth was lower in ACT compared to quinine recipients, possibly reflecting a higher efficacy of artemisinins treatment (83).

In the second and third trimester, artemether-lumefantrine (n=903) was not associated with increased adverse pregnancy outcomes as compared with quinine (n=152) or sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (n=378), showed improved tolerability relative to quinine, and its efficacy was non-inferior to quinine (84).

Between 1948 and 1990, only six published studies reported amodiaquine use in pregnancy, and only one publication mentioned adverse events but with limited information (85). More recently, amodiaquine alone or in combination with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine during the second or third trimester was not associated with liver toxicity or bone marrow depression (86). In Ghana, women treated with amodiaquine alone or combined with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine reported a higher frequency of mild adverse events but no difference in miscarriages, stillbirths, neonatal jaundice, and neonatal deaths (87). At standard dosages, amodiaquine is not teratogenic and the adverse events observed during pregnancy are no greater than those associated with falciparum malaria in pregnancy (88). More recent but smaller studies reported amodiaquine to be safe and reasonably well tolerated (56). Amodiaquine-artesunate was not associated with adverse birth outcomes (89). Similarly, the proportion of women who reported adverse events during the seven days following treatment did not differ significantly between treatment groups (IPTp-SP, and treatment with SP or amodiaquine-artesunate) with the exception of general weakness, which was reported slightly more frequently in women treated with amodiaquine-artesunate (90).

Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine was well tolerated and had an acceptable safety profile in one arm of a recent trial with more than 800 African pregnant women treated in the second and third trimester (28). These results confirm other smaller studies carried out in Asia (51,91) and Africa (58,59). Although dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine can cause prolongation of the QT interval (92), no clinically significant prolongation of the QT interval was seen on 42 pregnant women receiving dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (93,94).

Initial concerns on the association between mefloquine and stillbirth arose as a result of a retrospective analysis in Thailand (95). This finding was not supported by earlier studies evaluating mefloquine for treatment of malaria in pregnancy, nor by later studies on mefloquine-artesunate (96–98). Birth defect prevalence and foetal loss were comparable with background rates in 2,506 pregnant women exposed to mefloquine (99). Mefloquine-artesunate was less well tolerated than artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, and drug-related adverse events were more frequent in 850 African women in the second and third trimester of pregnancy with

falciparum malaria; pregnancy outcomes were similar to other antimalarial treatments (28). When mefloquine alone was used as intermittent preventive treatment, incidence of spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, and congenital anomalies did not differ significantly with the sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine groups, though adverse events were more frequent (100). Adverse events were common, though mostly minor, in 103 HIV-infected and 421 HIV-negative Beninese pregnant women to whom mefloquine was administered as intermittent preventive treatment. Interestingly, mefloquine tolerability was better in HIV-infected women, a finding possibly explained by these women being more familiar with experiencing adverse events and thus less prone to report them systematically (101). In two recent studies for prevention of malaria in African HIV positive and negative women, mefloquine was less well-tolerated than sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (102,103). In the HIV positive women study, the viral load and the frequency of mother to child transmission of HIV was higher in the mefloquine group but this result needs to be confirmed (104).

Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine has been used extensively in pregnancy for treatment and intermittent preventive treatment, but formal safety studies are limited (36). Pyrimethamine causes dose-dependent embryotoxicity in rats, but not at human-equivalent doses (105). In a case-control study, mothers whose babies had cleft palate had had a higher exposure to sulfonamide than controls (105). Nevertheless, although folate antagonist use in the first trimester is associated with neural tube defects, large case-control studies have demonstrated that sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine administered as IPTp does not increase the risk of teratogenesis (106). In Malawi (107) and Sudan (108), sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine associated with artesunate administered to pregnant women with falciparum malaria seemed safe and well tolerated, though the sample size in both countries was small. Similarly, in The Gambia, exposure to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and a single dose of artesunate administered to pregnant women in the context of a mass drug administration exercise did not report any teratogenic or other harmful effect (109). Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine should not be administered concurrently with cotrimoxazole given their redundant mechanisms of action and

synergistic worsening of adverse drug reactions (110). No clinical association between sulfadoxinepyrimethamine and kernicterus has been reported (106).

### Quinine

The use of quinine in pregnancy is generally thought to be safe, and it is not associated with poor birth outcomes (36). Quinine has been shown to cause prolongation of the QT interval, but no significant cardiotoxicity has been reported in large prospective studies (111). Quinine can sometimes cause hypoglycaemia, particularly in the second and third trimester, even in uncomplicated malaria (5). In Uganda, the percentage of patients treated for uncomplicated malaria during pregnancy with at least one adverse event (most commonly tinnitus) was significantly higher in the quinine than in the artemether-lumefantrine arm (25).

### Chloroquine

Chloroquine has been described as safe throughout pregnancy (112). However, chloroquine has been shown to cause prolongation of the QT interval but with no significant cardiotoxicity reported in large prospective studies (111). A study in Thailand reported that the risk of miscarriage was similar for women treated with chloroquine, quinine, or artesunate (76).

### Access to treatment

Despite wide-scale adoption of the 2006 WHO recommendations (113) to use ACTs to treat uncomplicated malaria in the second and third trimester of pregnancy, access to parasitological diagnosis and/or appropriate antimalarial treatment in many countries and regions remains low. In a systematic review of women's access and provider practices, case management practices among healthcare providers in the public, private, and retail sectors were generally poor (114). Reliance on clinical diagnosis and poor adherence to treatment policy was consistently reported across different cadres and settings (114). Adherence to treatment policy in the first trimester of pregnancy was significantly lower (28%) than in other trimesters (72%) (114). ACTs, which are currently contraindicated in the first trimester (22,113), were prescribed extensively either alongside quinine (recommended policy) (114–117) or exclusively (118). In western Kenya, correct prescription was observed in only 24% of first trimester women exiting health facilities and 0% of simulated clients attending drug outlets, compared to 65% and 40% in other trimesters, respectively. Notably, 49% of first trimester women presenting to drug outlets were prescribed artemether-lumefantrine (116). Drugs no longer recommended for treatment of falciparum malaria in Africa were widely prescribed for all trimesters, including sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (restricted for use as IPTp/prevention only) in Nigeria (115,117–119) and Kenya (116), and chloroquine in Nigeria (115,117–119) and in Uganda (120).

Correct treatment practices among health providers were associated with knowledge (119,120), training (114,116), availability of guidelines (120), and facility type (public versus private/drug shops) (114,116). Prescribing practices were driven by concerns over drug side effects and safety, drug availability, patient preference, and cost (114). This research highlights the need for countries to provide quality training, guidelines, and job aids to all health professionals and other providers, particularly drug shops in the community, and ensure both the diagnostic tools and the recommended treatments are available at all levels of the health system. Evidence for quality improvement initiatives targeting public and private providers are also needed, alongside legislation to regulate which antimalarials are licenced for sale.

Although pregnant women often report bouts of malaria, anthropological research has highlighted how their understandings of malaria symptoms overlap only partially with biomedical definitions and can be difficult to distinguish from pregnancy-related symptoms (121–124). Such confusion, for example, contributed to delayed treatment seeking in Mali and Kenya (125). Women's choice of healthcare provider was influenced by severity and duration of malaria episode (121,126), knowledge and perceptions of drug safety, drug availability, and cost and perceptions of healthcare services (114,125), with the use of non-biomedical remedies– homemade or from a local healer – reported in Mali (125), Nigeria (127), South Sudan (126), India (128), and Papua New Guinea (129). Social relationships influenced treatment seeking and some, particularly younger women, sought advice/assistance from relatives (121,124). Observations of self-treatment – prompted by drug or diagnostic costs, irregular drug supplies at health facilities, and/or previous poor quality care – highlight the need for comprehensible advice on antimalarials and dosages that are safe during pregnancy to be made widely available (121).

### **Perspectives and conclusions**

Over the last 10 years, the Malaria in Pregnancy Consortium and other research groups have carried out extensive research to improve the control of malaria in pregnancy, focussing on previously identified priorities (130). Evidence on the treatment of malaria during pregnancy has increased substantially. Malaria in pregnancy can be adequately diagnosed by HRP2-based RDTs; it has been confirmed that available ACTs can be used for the treatment of malaria during the second and third trimester of pregnancy; and the WHO may revise the guidelines on the use of artemisinins in the first trimester of pregnancy. It is now essential to translate this evidence into policies. However, poor quality service provision across public, private, and retail sectors in most endemic regions indicates a pressing need for research to identify quality improvement interventions targeting users and providers. The priorities for policy implementation include health provider training on national policy guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of malaria in pregnancy. The continued use of monotherapies in pregnancy and more generally requires national legislation to prohibit their availability and use. Pregnant women need access to information about which antimalarials are safe.

In addition, there is a need to establish efficient systems for pharmacovigilance able to identify and report possible drug-related safety signals. This is particularly problematic in low income countries because of specific challenges such as geographical remoteness of many of the health facilities, poor telecommunication systems, and inadequate education of health professionals and patients (36,131). Safety of medications during pregnancy could be monitored by different prospective designs, including pregnancy registers, but these require substantial resources, not readily available in malaria-endemic countries. Probabilistic record linkage to assess the risk of major congenital malformations and stillbirth could be a possible approach but needs well-kept medical registers (132). Adequately addressing these programmatic challenges will require improved dialogue and collaboration between researchers, policy makers and funders. Additional research priorities are outlined in Table 3.

### **Author Contributions**

UDA, JH and ES conceived the concept. UDA coordinated the manuscript scope and structure. UDA, JH, JT and ES drafted individual sections of the manuscript, with critical review of content from all authors. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

# **Conflict of Interest**

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

# Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions presented in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

# **Funding statement**

This publication is supported and endorsed by the Malaria in Pregnancy Consortium, which is funded through a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professors Andy Stergachis from the Department of Global Health, University of Washington, and Michel Cot, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), for their critical review of the manuscript.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Nosten F, McGready R, Mutabingwa T. Case management of malaria in pregnancy. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007 Feb;7(2):118–25.
- 2. World Health Organization. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. 2015; third edition.
- 3. D'Alessandro U. Existing antimalarial agents and malaria-treatment strategies. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2009;10(8):1291–306.
- 4. Lutje V, Gerritsen A, Siegfried N. Randomized controlled trials of malaria intervention trials in Africa, 1948 to 2007: a descriptive analysis. Malar J. 2011;10(1):61.
- Orton LC, Omari AAA. Drugs for treating uncomplicated malaria in pregnant women. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2008, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD004912. DOI: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD004912.
- 6. Gil VS, Ferreira MCR, d'Alva FSM, d'Abreu JA, Will IM, Gomes ML, et al. Efficacy of artesunate plus chloroquine for uncomplicated malaria in children in Sao Tome and Principe: A doubleblind, randomized, controlled trial. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2003;97(6).
- 7. Gomes C, Boareto AC, Dalsenter PR. Clinical and non-clinical safety of artemisinin derivatives in pregnancy. Reprod Toxicol. 2016;65:194–203.
- 8. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. Putting malaria in pregnancy firmly on the agenda. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007 Feb; 7(2):89.
- 9. WHO. Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test Performance. Results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs: round 6 (2014-2015). World Health Organization 2015.
- 10. Kyabayinze DJ, Zongo I, Cunningham J, Gatton M, Angutoko P, Ategeka J, et al. HRP2 and pLDH-based rapid diagnostic tests, expert microscopy, and PCR for detection of malaria infection during pregnancy and at delivery in areas of varied transmission: A prospective cohort study in Burkina Faso and Uganda. PLoS One. 2016;11(7):1–15.
- 11. Cottrell G, Moussiliou A, Luty AJF, Cot M, Fievet N, Massougbodji A, et al. Submicroscopic *Plasmodium falciparum* infections are associated with maternal anemia, premature births, and low birth weight. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;60(10):1481–8.
- 12. Williams JE, Cairns M, Njie F, Laryea Quaye S, Awine T, Oduro A, et al. The performance of a rapid diagnostic test in detecting malaria infection in pregnant women and the impact of missed infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;62(7):837–44.
- 13. Takem EN, D'Alessandro U. Malaria in pregnancy. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis. 2013;5(1).
- 14. Kattenberg JH, Ochodo E a, Boer KR, Schallig HD, Mens PF, Leeflang MM. Systematic review and meta-analysis: rapid diagnostic tests versus placental histology, microscopy and PCR for malaria in pregnant women. Malar J. 2011;10(1):321.
- 15. Umbers AJ, Unger HW, Rosanas-Urgell A, Wangnapi RA, Kattenberg JH, Jally S, et al. Accuracy of an HRP-2/panLDH rapid diagnostic test to detect peripheral and placental *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in Papua New Guinean women with anaemia or suspected malaria. Malar J. 2015;14(1):412.
- 16. Mayor A, Moro L, Aguilar R, Bardají A, Cisteró P, Serra-Casas E, et al. How hidden can malaria be in pregnant women? Diagnosis by microscopy, placental histology, polymerase chain

reaction and detection of histidine-rich protein 2 in plasma. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(11):1561–8.

- 17. Meshnick SR, Mwapasa V, Thwai KL, Khairallah C, ter Kuile FO, Taylor SM, et al. Rapid Diagnostic Test Performance Assessed Using Latent Class Analysis for the Diagnosis of *Plasmodium falciparum* Placental Malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2016;95(4):835–9.
- Dhorda M, Piola P, Nyehangane D, Tumwebaze B, Nalusaji A, Nabasumba C, et al. Short report: Performance of a histidine-rich protein 2 rapid diagnostic test, Paracheck Pf<sup>®</sup>, for detection of malaria infections in Ugandan pregnant women. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;86(1):93–5.
- 19. Ahmed R, Levy EI, Maratina SS, de Jong JJ, Asih PBS, Rozi IE, et al. Performance of four HRP-2/pLDH combination rapid diagnostic tests and field microscopy as screening tests for malaria in pregnancy in Indonesia: a cross-sectional study. Malar J. 2015;14(1):420.
- Kattenberg JH, Tahita CM, Versteeg IAJ, Tinto H, Traoré-Coulibaly M, D'Alessandro U, et al. Evaluation of antigen detection tests, microscopy, and polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis of malaria in peripheral blood in asymptomatic pregnant women in Nanoro, Burkina Faso. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87(2):251–6.
- 21. World Health Organization Malaria Policy Advisory Committee and Secretariat. Malaria Policy Advisory Committee to the WHO: conclusions and recommendations of September 2013 meeting. Malar J. 2013;12:456.
- 22. Dellicour S, Sevene E, McGready R, Tinto H, Mosha D, Manyando C, et al. First-trimester artemisinin derivatives and quinine treatments and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Africa and Asia: A meta-analysis of observational studies. PLOS Med. 2017;14(5):e1002290.
- 23. McGready R, White NJ, Nosten F. Parasitological efficacy of antimalarials in the treatment and prevention of falciparum malaria in pregnancy 1998 to 2009: A systematic review. BJOG. 2011;118(2):123–35.
- 24. McGready R, Tan SO, Ashley E a, Pimanpanarak M, Viladpai-Nguen J, Phaiphun L, et al. A randomised controlled trial of artemether-lumefantrine versus artesunate for uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* treatment in pregnancy. PLoS Med. 2008;5(12):e253.
- 25. Piola P, Nabasumba C, Turyakira E, Dhorda M, Lindegardh N, Nyehangane D, et al. Efficacy and safety of artemether-lumefantrine compared with quinine in pregnant women with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria: an open-label, randomised, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(11):762–9.
- 26. Burger RJ, van Eijk AM, Bussink M, Hill J, Ter Kuile FO. Artemisinin-Based Combination Therapy Versus Quinine or Other Combinations for Treatment of Uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* Malaria in the Second and Third Trimester of Pregnancy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Open forum Infect Dis. 2016;3(1):ofv170.
- 27. Muehlenbachs A, Nabasumba C, McGready R, Turyakira E, Tumwebaze B, Dhorda M, et al. Artemether-lumefantrine to treat malaria in pregnancy is associated with reduced placental haemozoin deposition compared to quinine in a randomized controlled trial. Malar J. 2012;11(150):150.
- 28. The PREGACT study group. Four Artemisinin-Based Treatments in African Pregnant Women with Malaria. N Engl J Med. 2016;37410(10):913–27.

- 29. Ukah M, Badejoko O, Ogunniyi S, Loto O, Aboderin O, Fatusi A. A randomized trial of artesunate-amodiaquine versus artemether-lumefantrine for the treatment of acute uncomplicated malaria in pregnancy. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2015;131(1):41–4.
- 30. Nyunt MM, Nguyen VK, Kajubi R, Huang L, Ssebuliba J, Kiconco S, et al. Artemether-Lumefantrine Pharmacokinetics and Clinical Response Are Minimally Altered in Pregnant Ugandan Women Treated for Uncomplicated Malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother . 2016;60(3):1274–82.
- 31. Poespoprodjo JR, Fobia W, Kenangalem E, Hasanuddin A, Sugiarto P, Tjitra E, et al. Highly effective therapy for maternal malaria associated with a lower risk of vertical transmission. J Infect Dis. 2011;204(10):1613–9.
- 32. Poespoprodjo JR, Fobia W, Kenangalem E, Lampah DA, Sugiarto P, Tjitra E, et al. Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment of multidrug resistant falciparum and vivax malaria in pregnancy. PLoS One. 2014;9(1).
- 33. Poespoprodjo JR, Fobia W, Kenangalem E, Lampah DA, Sugiarto P, Tjitra E, et al. Treatment policy change to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine contributes to the reduction of adverse maternal and pregnancy outcomes. Malar J. 2015;14(1):272.
- 34. Baird KJ, Maguire JD, Price RN. Diagnosis and Treatment of *Plasmodium vivax* Malaria. Vol. 80, Advances in Parasitology. Elsevier; 2012. 203-270 p. A
- 35. Kovacs SD, Rijken MJ, Stergachis A. Treating Severe Malaria in Pregnancy: A Review of the Evidence. Drug Saf. 2015;38(2):165–81.
- 36. Ward S a, Sevene EJP, Hastings IM, Nosten F, McGready R. Antimalarial drugs and pregnancy: safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacovigilance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007 Feb;7(2):136–44.
- 37. Wilby KJ, Ensom MHH. Pharmacokinetics of antimalarials in pregnancy: A systematic review. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2011;50(11):705–23.
- McGready R, Stepniewska K, Ward SA, Cho T, Gilveray G, Looareesuwan S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of dihydroartemisinin following oral artesunate treatment of pregnant women with acute uncomplicated falciparum malaria. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2006;62(5):367– 71.
- 39. Kloprogge F, McGready R, Phyo AP, Rijken MJ, Hanpithakpon W, Than HH, et al. Opposite malaria and pregnancy effect on oral bioavailability of artesunate A population pharmacokinetic evaluation. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;80(4):642–53.
- Mcgready R, Phyo AP, Rijken MJ, Tarning J, Lindegardh N, Hanpithakpon W, et al. Artesunate/dihydroartemisinin pharmacokinetics in acute falciparum malaria in pregnancy: Absorption, bioavailability, disposition and disease effects. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2012;73(3):467–77.
- 41. Onyamboko M a, Meshnick SR, Fleckenstein L, Koch M a, Atibu J, Lokomba V, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of artesunate and dihydroartemisinin following oral treatment in pregnant women with asymptomatic *Plasmodium falciparum* infections in Kinshasa DRC. Malar J. 2011;10(1):49.
- 42. Morris CA, Onyamboko MA, Capparelli E, Koch MA, Atibu J, Lokomba V, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of artesunate and dihydroartemisinin in pregnant and non-pregnant women with malaria. Malar J. 2011;10(1):114.

- 43. Valea I, Tinto H, Coulibaly MT, Toe LC, Lindegardh N, Tarning J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of coformulated mefloquine and artesunate in pregnant and non-pregnant women with uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum infection in Burkina Faso. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(9).
- 44. Mosha D, Guidi M, Mwingira F, Abdulla S, Mercier T, Decosterd LA, et al. Population pharmacokinetics and clinical response for artemether-lumefantrine in pregnant and nonpregnant women with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Tanzania. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(8):4583–92.
- 45. Tarning J, Kloprogge F, Dhorda M, Jullien V, Nosten F, White NJ, et al. Pharmacokinetic properties of artemether, dihydroartemisinin, lumefantrine, and quinine in pregnant women with uncomplicated plasmodium falciparum malaria in uganda. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(10):5096–103.
- 46. Kloprogge F, Jullien V, Piola P, Dhorda M, Muwanga S, Nosten F, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of quinine in pregnant women with uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Uganda. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(11):3033–40.
- 47. McGready R, Stepniewska K, Lindegardh N, Ashley E a, La Y, Singhasivanon P, et al. The pharmacokinetics of artemether and lumefantrine in pregnant women with uncomplicated falciparum malaria. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2006;62(12):1021–31.
- 48. Tarning J, Kloprogge F, Piola P, Dhorda M, Muwanga S, Turyakira E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of artemether and dihydroartemisinin in pregnant women with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Uganda. Malar J. 2012;11(1):293.
- 49. Benjamin JM, Moore BR, Salman S, Page-Sharp M, Tawat S, Yadi G, et al. Population pharmacokinetics, tolerability, and safety of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and sulfadoxinepyrimethamine-piperaquine in pregnant and nonpregnant Papua New Guinean women. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(7):4260–71.
- 50. Tarning J, Rijken MJ, McGready R, Phyo AP, Hanpithakpong W, Day NPJ, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine in pregnant and nonpregnant women with uncomplicated malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(4):1997–2007.
- 51. Rijken MJ, McGready R, Phyo AP, Lindegardh N, Tarning J, Laochan N, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Dihydroartemisinin and Piperaquine in Pregnant and Nonpregnant Women with Uncomplicated Falciparum Malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(12):5500–6.
- 52. Kajubi R, Huang L, Jagannathan P, Chamankhah N, Were M, Ruel T, et al. Antiretroviral Therapy With Efavirenz Accentuates Pregnancy-Associated Reduction of Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine Exposure During Malaria Chemoprevention. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017;0(0):1–9.
- 53. Burger RJ, Visser BJ, Grobusch MP, van Vugt M. The influence of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetic properties of artemisinin combination therapy (ACT): a systematic review. Malar J. 2016;15(1):99.
- Karunajeewa HA, Salman S, Mueller I, Baiwog F, Gomorrai S, Law I, et al. Pharmacokinetics of chloroquine and monodesethylchloroquine in pregnancy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(3):1186–92.
- 55. Lee SJ, McGready R, Fernandez C, Stepniewska K, Paw MK, Viladpai-nguen SJ, et al. Chloroquine pharmacokinetics in pregnant and nonpregnant women with vivax malaria. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;64(10):987–92.

- 56. Rijken MJ, McGready R, Jullien V, Tarning J, Lindegardh N, Phyo AP, et al. Pharmacokinetics of amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in pregnant and postpartum women with Plasmodium vivax malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(9):4338–42.
- 57. Tarning J, Chotsiri P, Jullien V, Rijken MJ, Bergstrand M, Cammas M, et al. Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling of amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in women with Plasmodium vivax Malaria during and after pregnancy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(11):5764–73.
- 58. Adam I, Tarning J, Lindegardh N, Mahgoub H, McGready R, Nosten F. Pharmacokinetics of piperaquine in pregnant women in Sudan with uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87(1):35–40.
- 59. Hoglund RM, Adam I, Hanpithakpong W, Ashton M, Lindegardh N, Day NP, et al. A population pharmacokinetic model of piperaquine in pregnant and non-pregnant women with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Sudan. Malar J. 2012;11(1):1.
- 60. Na Bangchang, Davis TMA, Looareesuwan S, White NJ, Bunnag D, Karbwang J. Mefloquine pharmacokinetics in pregnant women with acute falciparum malaria. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1994; 88: 321-3.
- 61. Nosten F, Karbwang J, White NJ, Honeymoon, Na Bangchang K, Bunnag D, Harinasuta T. Mefloquine antimalarial prophylaxis in pregnancy: dose finding and pharmacokinetic study. Br J Clin Pharmac 1990; 30: 79-85.
- 62. Abdelrahim II, Adam I, Elghazali G, Gustafsson LL, Elbashir MI, Mirghani R a. Pharmacokinetics of quinine and its metabolites in pregnant Sudanese women with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2007;32(1):15–9.
- 63. Mirghani R a, Elagib I, Elghazali G, Hellgren U, Gustafsson LL. Effects of *Plasmodium falciparum* infection on the pharmacokinetics of quinine and its metabolites in pregnant and non-pregnant Sudanese women. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2010;66(12):1229–34.
- 64. Kloprogge F, Piola P, Dhorda M, Muwanga S, Turyakira E, Apinan S, et al. Population Pharmacokinetics of Lumefantrine in Pregnant and Non-pregnant Women With Uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* Malaria in Uganda. CPT: Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol. 2013;2(August):e83.
- 65. Tarning J, McGready R, Lindegardh N, Ashley EA, Pimanpanarak M, Kamanikom B, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of lumefantrine in pregnant women treated with artemetherlumefantrine for uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(9):3837–46.
- 66. Karunajeewa H a, Salman S, Mueller I, Baiwog F, Gomorrai S, Law I, et al. Pharmacokinetic properties of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in pregnant women. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(10):4368–76.
- 67. Green MD, van Eijk AM, van Ter Kuile FO, Ayisi JG, Parise ME, Kager P a, et al. Pharmacokinetics of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in HIV-infected and uninfected pregnant women in Western Kenya. J Infect Dis. 2007;196(9):1403–8.
- 68. Nyunt MM, Adam I, Kayentao K, van Dijk J, Thuma P, Mauff K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine in intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2010;87(2):226–34.

- 69. Mutabingwa TK, Adam I. Use of artemether-lumefantrine to treat malaria during pregnancy: What do we know and need to know? Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2013;11(2):125–35.
- 70. Clark RL, Lerman S a, Cox EM, Gristwood WE, White TEK. Developmental toxicity of artesunate in the rat: comparison to other artemisinins, comparison of embryotoxicity and kinetics by oral and intravenous routes, and relationship to maternal reticulocyte count. Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol. 2008;83(4):397–406.
- Finaurini S, Basilico N, Corbett Y, D'Alessandro S, Parapini S, Olliaro P, et al.
   Dihydroartemisinin inhibits the human erythroid cell differentiation by altering the cell cycle.
   Toxicology. 2012;300(1–2):57–66.
- 72. Finaurini S, Ronzoni L, Colancecco A, Cattaneo A, Cappellini MD, Ward S a, et al. Selective toxicity of dihydroartemisinin on human CD34+ erythroid cell differentiation. Toxicology. 2010;276(2):128–34.
- 73. Clark RL. Effects of artemisinins on reticulocyte count and relationship to possible embryotoxicity in confirmed and unconfirmed malarial patients. Birth Defects Res Part A Clin Mol Teratol. 2012;94(2):61–75.
- 74. de Mast Q, Nadjm B, Reyburn H, Kemna EHJM, Amos B, Laarakkers CMM, et al. Assessment of Urinary Concentrations of Hepcidin Provides Novel Insight into Disturbances in Iron Homeostasis during Malarial Infection. J Infect Dis. 2009;199(2):253–62.
- 75. Tinto H, Sevene E, Dellicour S, Calip GS, D'Alessandro U, Macete E, et al. Assessment of the safety of antimalarial drug use during early pregnancy (ASAP): protocol for a multicenter prospective cohort study in Burkina Faso, Kenya and Mozambique. Reprod Health. 2015;1–9.
- 76. McGready R, Lee SJ, Wiladphaingern J, Ashley EA, Rijken MJ, Boel M, et al. Adverse effects of falciparum and vivax malaria and the safety of antimalarial treatment in early pregnancy: A population-based study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012;12(5):388–96.
- 77. Moore KA, Simpson JA, Paw MK, Pimanpanarak MPJ, Wiladphaingern J, Rijken MJ, et al. Safety of artemisinins in first trimester of prospectively followed pregnancies: An observational study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(5):576–83.
- 78. McGready R, Lee SJ, Wiladphaingern J, Ashley EA, Rijken MJ, Boel M, et al. Adverse effects of falciparum and vivax malaria and the safety of antimalarial treatment in early pregnancy: A population-based study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012;12(5):388–96.
- 77. Moore KA, Simpson JA, Paw MK, Pimanpanarak MPJ, Wiladphaingern J, Rijken MJ, et al. Safety of artemisinins in first trimester of prospectively followed pregnancies: An observational study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(5):576–83.
- 78. Dellicour S, Desai M, Aol G, Oneko M, Ouma P, Bigogo G, et al. Risks of miscarriage and inadvertent exposure to artemisinin derivatives in the first trimester of pregnancy: a prospective cohort study in western Kenya. Malar J. 2015;14(1):461.
- 79. Mosha D, Mazuguni F, Mrema S, Sevene E, Abdulla S, Genton B. Safety of artemetherlumefantrine exposure in first trimester of pregnancy: an observational cohort. Malar J. 2014;13(1):197.
- 80. Manyando C, Njunju EM, Virtanen M, Hamed K, Gomes M, Van Geertruyden J-P. Exposure to artemether-lumefantrine (Coartem) in first trimester pregnancy in an observational study in Zambia. Malar J. 2015;14(1):77.

- Rulisa S, Kaligirwa N, Agaba S, Karema C, Mens PF, de Vries PJ. Pharmacovigilance of artemether-lumefantrine in pregnant women followed until delivery in Rwanda. Malar J. 2012;11(1):225.
- 82. Ogutu B. Artemether and lumefantrine for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in sub-Saharan Africa. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2013;14(5):643–54.
- 83. Kovacs SD, Eijk AM Van, Sevene E, Dellicour S, Weiss NS, Emerson S, et al. The Safety of Artemisinin Derivatives for the Treatment of Malaria in the 2nd or 3rd Trimester of Pregnancy : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 2016; 11(11): e0164963.
- 84. Manyando C, Kayentao K, D'Alessandro U, Okafor HU, Juma E, Hamed K. A systematic review of the safety and efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine against uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria during pregnancy. Malar J. 2012;11(1):141.
- 85. Thomas F, Erhart A, D'Alessandro U. Can amodiaquine be used safely during pregnancy ? Lancet Inf Dis. 2004;4:235–9.
- 86. Tagbor H, Bruce J, Browne E, Randal A, Greenwood B, Chandramohan D. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of amodiaquine plus sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine used alone or in combination for malaria treatment in pregnancy: a randomised trial. Lancet. 2006;368:1349–56.
- Clerk CA, Bruce J, Affipunguh PK, Mensah N, Hodgson A, Greenwood B, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, amodiaquine, or the combination in pregnant women in Ghana. J Infect Dis. 2008; 198 (8): 1202–11.
- 88. T Tagbor H, Bruce J, Agbo M, Greenwood B, Chandramohan D. Intermittent screening and treatment versus intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy: a randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. PLoS One. 2010;5(12):e14425
- 89. Mutabingwa TK, Muze K, Ord R, Briceño M, Greenwood BM, Drakeley C, et al. Randomized trial of Artesunate+Amodiaquine, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine+amodiaquine, chlorproguanil-dapsone and SP for malaria in pregnancy in Tanzania. PLoS One. 2009;4(4):1–10.
- 90. Tagbor H, Bruce J, Agbo M, Greenwood B, Chandramohan D. Intermittent screening and treatment versus intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy: a randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. PLoS One. 2010;5(12):e14425.
- P1. Rijken MJ, Mcgready R, Boel ME, Barends M, Proux S, Pimanpanarak M, et al. Short Report : Dihydroartemisinin — Piperaquine Rescue Treatment of Multidrug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* Malaria in Pregnancy : A Preliminary Report. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2008;78(4):543– 5.
- 92. Baiden R, Oduro A, Halidou T, Gyapong M, Sie A, Macete E, et al. Prospective observational study to evaluate the clinical safety of the fixed-dose artemisinin-based combination Eurartesim<sup>®</sup> (dihydroartemisinin/piperaquine), in public health facilities in Burkina Faso, Mozambique, Ghana, and Tanzania. Malar J. 2015;14:160.
- Kakuru A, Jagannathan P, Muhindo MK, Natureeba P, Awori P, Nakalembe M, et al. Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine for the Prevention of Malaria in Pregnancy. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(10):928–39.
- 94. Gutman J, Kovacs S, Dorsey G, Stergachis A, Kuile FO. Safety , tolerability , and effi cacy of repeated doses of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for prevention and treatment of malaria :

a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;3099(16):1-10.

- 95. Nosten F, Vincenti M, Simpson J, Yei P, Kyaw Lay Thwai, De Vries A, et al. The effects of mefloquine treatment in pregnancy. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;28(4):808–15.
- 96. McGready R, Brockman A, Cho T, Cho D, van Vugt M, Luxemburger C, et al. Randomized comparison of mefloquine-artesunate versus quinine in the treatment of multi-drug resistant falciparum malaria in pregnancy. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2000; 94: 689-93.
- 97. Bounyasong S. Randomized trial of artesunate and mefloquine in comparison with quinine sulfate to treat P. falciparum malaria pregnant women. J Med Assoc Thai 2001; 84:1289-99.
- 98. Adam I, Ali DA, Alwaseila A, Kheir M, Elbashir M. Mefloquine in the treatment of falciparum malaria during pregnancy in Eastern Sudan. Saudi Med J 2004; 25: 1400-2.
- 99. Schlagenhauf P, Blumentals WA, Suter P, Regep L, Vital-Durand G, Schaerer MT, et al. Pregnancy and fetal outcomes after exposure to mefloquine in the pre-and periconception period and during pregnancy. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(11).
- 100. Briand V, Bottero J, Noël H, Masse V, Cordel H, Guerra J, et al. Intermittent treatment for the prevention of malaria during pregnancy in Benin: a randomized, open-label equivalence trial comparing sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine with mefloquine. J Infect Dis. 2009;200(6):991–1001.
- 101. Denoeud-ndam L, Clément M, Briand V, Akakpo J, Agossou VK, Atadokpédé F, et al. Tolerability of Mefloquine Intermittent Preventive Treatment for Malaria in HIV-Infected Pregnant Women in Benin. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndrom. 2012;61(1):64–72.
- 102. González R, Hellgren U, Greenwood B, Menéndez C. Mefloquine safety and tolerability in pregnancy: a systematic literature review. Malar J . 2014;13:75.
- 103. González R, Desai M, Macete E, Ouma P, Kakolwa MA, Abdulla S, et al. Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Malaria in Pregnancy with Mefloquine in HIV-Infected Women Receiving Cotrimoxazole Prophylaxis: A Multicenter Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9): e1001735.
- 104. González R, Mombo-Ngoma G, Ouedraogo S, Kakolwa MA, Abdulla S, Accrombessi M, et al. Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Malaria in Pregnancy with Mefloquine in HIV-Negative Women: A Multicentre Randomized Controlled Trial. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9): e1001733.
- 105. Nosten F, McGready R, D'Alessandro U, Bonell A, Verhoeff F, Menendez C, et al. Antimalarial drugs in pregnancy: a review. Curr Drug Saf. 2006;1(1):1–15.
- 106. Peters PJ, Thigpen MC, Parise ME, Newman RD. Safety and Toxicity of Sulfadoxine / Pyrimethamine Intermittent Preventive Treatment. 2007;30(6):481–501.
- 107. Kalilani L, Mofolo I, Chaponda M, Rogerson SJ, Alker AP, Kwiek JJ, et al. A randomized controlled pilot trial of azithromycin or artesunate added to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine as treatment for malaria in pregnant women. PLoS One. 2007;2(11):e1166.
- 108. Adam I, Ali DM, Abdalla MA.Artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria during pregnancy in eastern Sudan. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2006;100(7):632–5.
- 109. Deen JL, von Seidlein L, Pinder M. Walraven GEL, Greenwood BM. The safety of the combination during pregnancy artesunate and pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine given during

pregnancy. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2001; 95: 424-8.

- 110. Gimnig JE, MacArthur JR, M'bang'ombe M, Kramer MH, Chizani N, Stern RS, et al. Severe cutaneous reactions to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in Blantyre District, Malawi. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;74(5):738–43.
- 111. White NJ. Cardiotoxicity of antimalarial drugs. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7(8):549–58.
- 112. Steketee RW, Wirima JJ, Slutsker L, Khoromana CO, Heyman DL, Breman JG. Malaria treatment and prevention in pregnancy: indications for use and adverse events associated with use of chloroquine or mefloquine. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1996; 55: 50-6.
- 113. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. World Health Organization. 2006.
- 114. Hill J, D'Mello-Guyett L, Hoyt J, van Eijk AM, Ter Kuile FO, Webster J. Women's Access and Provider Practices for the Case Management of Malaria during Pregnancy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS Med. 2014;11(8):e1001688.
- 115. Rabiu KA, Davies NO, Nzeribe-Abangwu UO, Adewunmi AA, Akinlusi FM, Akinola OI, et al. Malaria prevention and treatment in pregnancy: survey of current practice among private medical practitioners in Lagos, Nigeria. Trop Doct. 2015;45(1):6-11.
- 116. Riley C, Dellicour S, Ouma P, Kioko U, Ter Kuile FO, Omar A, et al. Knowledge and adherence to the national guidelines for malaria case management in pregnancy among healthcare providers and drug outlet dispensers in rural, western Kenya. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):1–18.
- 117. Ugwu EO, Iferikigwe ES, Obi SN, Ugwu AO, Agu PU, Okezie OA. Anti-malaria prescription in pregnancy among general practitioners in Enugu state, south east Nigeria. Niger Med J. 2013;54(2):96–9.
- 118. Abubakar K, Adbulkadir R, Abubakar SB, Jomih AO, Ugwah-Oguejiofor JC, Danzaki AM. Drug utilization pattern in pregnancy in a tertiary hospital in Sokoto, North West. J Heal Sci. 2014;4(4):99–104.
- 119. Nneka IU, Maxwell OA, Nze CA. Knowledge and practice pattern of malaria prevention and control in pregnancy by healthcare providers within the context of focused antental care in Enugu State, Nigeria. Int J Trop Dis Heal. 2014;4(8):905–16.
- 120. Mbonye AK, Buregyeya E, Rutebemberwa E, Clarke SE, Lal S, Hansen KS, et al. Treatment and prevention of malaria in pregnancy in the private health sector in Uganda: implications for patient safety. Malar J. 2016;15(1):212.
- 121. Meñaca A, Pell C, Manda-Taylor L, et al. Local illness concepts and their relevance for the prevention and control of malaria during pregnancy in Ghana, Kenya and Malawi: findings from a comparative qualitative study. Malaria Journal 2013; 12: 257-.
- 122. Pell C, Straus L, Andrew EVW, Meñaca A, Pool R. Social and cultural factors affecting uptake of interventions for malaria in pregnancy in Africa: A systematic review of the qualitative research. PLoS One. 2011;6(7).
- 123. Launiala A, Honkasalo M-L. Malaria, Danger, and Risk Perceptions among the Yao in Rural Malawi. Med Anthropol Q. 2010;24(3):399–420.
- 124. Jaiteh F, Dierickx S, Gryseels C, O'Neill S, D'Alessandro U, Scott S, et al. "Some anti-malarials are too strong for your body, they will harm you." Socio-cultural factors influencing pregnant

women's adherence to anti-malarial treatment in rural Gambia. Malar J. 2016;15(1):195.

- 125. Hill J, Kayentao K, Achieng F, Diarra S, Dellicour S, Diawara SI, et al. Access and use of interventions to prevent and treat malaria among pregnant women in Kenya and Mali: A qualitative study. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):1–23.
- 126. Dræbel T, Gueth Kueil B. Lay perceptions of malaria and therapeutic itinerary of resettled pregnant women in South Sudan. Int Health. 2014;6(4):317–21.
- 127. Diala CC, Pennas T, Marin C, Belay KA. Perceptions of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy (IPTp) and barriers to adherence in Nasarawa and Cross River States in Nigeria. Malar J. 2013;12:342.
- 128. Sabin LL, Rizal A, Brooks MI, Singh MP, Tuchman J, Wylie BJ, et al. Attitudes, knowledge, and practices regarding malaria prevention and treatment among pregnant women in Eastern India. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2010;82(6):1010–6.
- 129. Andrew EVW, Pell C, Angwin A, Auwun A, Daniels J, Mueller I, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices concerning malaria in pregnancy: Results from a qualitative study in Madang, Papua New Guinea. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):1–20.
- 130. Greenwood B, Alonso P, ter Kuile FO, Hill J, Steketee RW. Malaria in pregnancy: priorities for research. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7(2):169–74.
- 131. Sevene E, Mariano A, Mehta U, Machai M, Dodoo A, Vilardell D, et al. Spontaneous adverse drug reaction reporting in rural districts of Mozambique. Drug safety 2008; 31: 867-76.
- 132. Dellicour S, Brasseur P, Thorn P, Gaye O, Olliaro P, Badiane M, et al. Probabilistic record linkage for monitoring the safety of artemisinin-based combination therapy in the first trimester of pregnancy in Senegal. Drug Saf. 2013;36(7):505–13.
- 133. Massele AY, Kilewo C, Aden Abdi Y, Tomson G, Diwan VK, Ericsson O, et al. Chloroquine blood concentrations and malaria prophylaxis in Tanzanian women during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1997;52(4):299-305.
- 134. Odongo CO, Bisaso KR, Ntale M, Odia G, Ojara FW, Byamugisha J, Mukonzo JK, Obua C. Trimester-Specific Population Pharmacokinetics and Other Correlates of Variability in Sulphadoxine-Pyrimethamine Disposition Among Ugandan Pregnant Women. Drugs R D. 2015;15(4):351-62.

# Table 1. Pharmacokinetic summary

Antimalarial	Study patients	Country	Pregnancy effects	Ref
	Pregnant women (n	Thailand	Decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin in	38
	= 24)		pregnant women compared to historical	
			controls.	
	Pregnant and post-	Thailand	23% decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin	39,
	partum women (n =		in pregnant women compared to post-partum	40
	20/15)		women.	
Artesunate	Pregnant, post-	DRC	42% decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin	41,
	partum and non-		in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	42
	= 26/26/25)		women.	
	Pregnant and non-	Burkina	No difference in exposure to dihydroartemisinin	43
	pregnant women (n	Faso	in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	
	= 24/24)		women.	
	Contradictory	results; gene	erally lower exposure reported in pregnant women.	
	Prognant and non-	Uganda	No difference in exposure to dihydroartemisinin	11
	nregnant women (n	Oganua	in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	44
	= 30/30)		women.	
	Pregnant and non-	Tanzania	No difference in exposure to dihydroartemisinin	45
Artemether	pregnant women (n		in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	
	= 33/22)		women.	
	Pregnant women (n	Uganda	Decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin in	45,
	= 21)		pregnant women compared to historical	48
			controls.	
	Pregnant women (n	Thailand	Decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin in	47
	= 13)		pregnant women compared to historical	
	Contradictory rocu	ter generally	controls.	
Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.				
	Pregnant and non-	Panua	No difference in exposure to dibydroartemisinin	/19
	pregnant women (n	New	in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	
	= 32/33)	Guinea	women.	
	Pregnant and non-	Thailand	38% decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin	50,
Dibudua automaisinin	pregnant women (n		in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	51
Dihydroartemisinin	= 24/24)		women.	
	Pregnant and non-	Uganda	47% decreased exposure to dihydroartemisinin	52
	pregnant women (n		in pregnant women compared non-pregnant	
	= 31/30)		women.	
	Contradictory results	s; no differen	nce and decreased exposure reported in pregnant wo	men.
	Pregnant and non-	Papua	34% decreased exposure to chloroquine in	54
Chloroquine	pregnant women (n	New	pregnant women compared non-pregnant	
	Pregnant and non-	Thailand	No difference in exposure to chloroquine in	55
	i regnant and non-	mananu		55

Pregnant women (n = 49)         Tanzania women compared to historical controls.         133           Amodiaquine         Pregnant and post- partum women (n = 32/3)         Thailand No difference in exposure to amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in pregnant women.         57           Amodiaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/3)         Papua No difference in exposure to amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in pregnant women.         57           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/3)         Papua Pregnant wome (n = 32/3)         Papua Pregnant wome (n = 32/3)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 32/3)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 32/3)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 32/3)         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Sudan No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)         52           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         43           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         60           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)		pregnant women (n = 12/15)		pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.		
Image: contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.         Pregnant and post- partum women (n = 24/18)         Thailand No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/18)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/33)         Paua Quinea         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Paua Quinea         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         S8, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         S8, pregnant women (n = 21/12)         S1, pregnant women (n = 21/12)         S1, pregnant women (n = 21/12)         S1, pregnant women (n = 21/12)         S2, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         S3, pregnant		Pregnant women (n = 49)	Tanzania	Decreased exposure to chloroquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls	133	
Amodiaquine         Pregnant and post- partium women (n = 24/18)         Thailand modifierence in exposure to program women.         Pregnant women (n = 24/18)         Thailand modifierence in exposure to pregnant women.         557           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/33)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/33)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         49           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Usdan No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 12/12)         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 13/30)         Uganda Vd% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         52           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         52           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         60           Mefloquine         Pregnant momen (n = 24/24)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         61           Quinine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)		Contradictory results	: no differen	ce and decreased exposure reported in pregnant wo	men.	
Amodiaquine         Pregnant and post- partum women (n = 24/18)         Thailand partum women (n = 24/18)         No difference in exposure to amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in pregnant women.         56, 57           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/33)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 22/24)         Papua New Quinea         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         49           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         51           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 12/12)         Sudan Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Uganda a decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         40% decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         40% pregnant women (n = 24/24)         60           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         60           Quinine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Decreased exposure to quinin		Contradictory result	, no unicien			
Amodiaquine         partum women (n = 24/18)         desethylamodiaquine in pregnant women.         57           No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.         No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.         49           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Papua a 23/33)         Adv difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n pregnant women compared non-pregnant a 24/24)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         50.           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n a 24/24)         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         58.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n a 12/12)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         59.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n a 13/130)         Uganda         40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         52.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n a 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n 24/24)         60.           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60.           Outination         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n 29/8)         Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.		Pregnant and post-	Thailand	No difference in exposure to amodiaquine and	56,	
Amodiaquine         24/18)         compared to post-partum women.           No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/33)         Papua Guinea         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         49           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 12/12)         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant pregnant women (n = 12/12)         58, pregnant women compared non-pregnant pregnant women (n = 13/30)         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 13/30)         Uganda         40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Uganda         40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women.         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         43           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         43           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         60           Pregnant women (n = 20)         Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to his		partum women (n =		desethylamodiaquine in pregnant women	57	
No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/33)         Papua Guinea         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         49           Piperaquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant         51           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 12/12)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Uganda         40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         60           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         60           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 29/8)         Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         61           Quinine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Uganda         D	Amodiaquine	24/18)		compared to post-partum women.		
Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 32/33)         Papua (unine)         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         49           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         50, pregnant women compared non-pregnant pregnant women (n = 12/12)           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Sudan pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         52           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Uganda 31/30         40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         52           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         43           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60           Pregnant women (n = 2/8)         Faso         pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         61           Quinine         Pregnant women (n = 2/8)         Sudan Pregnant women (n = 2/2)         Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.         46           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 2/8)         Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to ni-pregnant women.         61           Quini		No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.				
Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         Papua         42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n)         49           = 32/33)         Guinea         women.         50.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 24/24)         women.         50.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 24/24)         women.         51.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 24/24)         women.         58.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 31/30.         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n)         52.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 31/30.         Women.         52.           Outradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n)         53.         52.           Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)         = 31/30.         Women.         54.           Mefloquine         Pregnant and non-pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60.           Pregnant women (n)         = 24/24.         Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         64.           Mefloquine         Pregnant women (n)         Faso         pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         61.           = 24/24.         Pregnant women (n)         = 24/24.         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pr						
pregnant women (n = 32/33)New Guineapregnant women compared non-pregnant women.PiperaquinePregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)ThailandNo difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant50PiperaquinePregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)SudanNo difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)Source (n) pregnant women (n) pregnant women (n) = 24/24)Source (n) pregnant women (n) pregnant women (n) pregnant women (n) = 31/30)Uganda pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.Source (n) pregnant women (n) pregnan		Pregnant and non-	Papua	42% decreased exposure to piperaquine in	49	
# 32/33)       Guinea       women.         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Thailand pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 12/12)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)       58, pregnant women compared non-pregnant       59         Pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Uganda       40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women.       52         Pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Burkina Pregnant women.       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       52         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       62 <td></td> <td>pregnant women (n</td> <td>New</td> <td>pregnant women compared non-pregnant</td> <td></td>		pregnant women (n	New	pregnant women compared non-pregnant		
Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Thailand pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women (n = 24/24)         50, pregnant women (n pregnant women (n = 31/30)         51, vomen.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Uganda         No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)         52, vomen.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)         Uganda         40% decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.         52, vomen.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         43, vomen.           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Burkina Faso         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60, pregnant women (n = 20)           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Thailand         Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         61           20,         Thailand         Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.         45, 46           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.         46           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pre		= 32/33)	Guinea	women.		
Piperaquine       pregnant women (n = 24/24)       pregnant women.       51         Piperaquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 12/12)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant       59         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Uganda       40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant       52         Vergnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Vergenant women compared non-pregnant       52         Vergnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)       Burkina Pregnant women (n Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 3/8)       60         Vergnant women (n = 9/8)       Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Vergnant women (n = 9/8)       Vergnant women (n = 3/8)       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.		Pregnant and non-	Thailand	No difference in exposure to piperaquine in	50,	
Piperaquine     = 24/24)     women.		pregnant women (n		pregnant women compared non-pregnant	51	
Piperaquine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 12/12)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women (n = 31/30)       59         Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Uganda       40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women.       52         Contradictory results; no difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 24/24)       54         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 24/24)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 24/24)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Pregnant women (n = 54/8)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Quinine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 38/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant 45, e22)       62         Pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Guanda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant 45, e22)       63       62         Pregnant and non-pregnant women		= 24/24)		women.		
Pregnant women (n = 12/12)     pregnant women.     59       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)     Uganda     40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women.     52       Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.     Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.     43       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)     Burkina Faso     No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.     43       Mefloquine     Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)     Burkina Faso     No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 20)     60       Pregnant women (n = 20)     Faso     Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.     61       20)     Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.     61       21)     Pregnant women (n = 9/8)     Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.     45       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)     Sudan     No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.     63       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)     Sudan     No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.     63       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)     Sudan     No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.     63       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)	Piperaquine	Pregnant and non-	Sudan	No difference in exposure to piperaquine in	58,	
Image: space spac		pregnant women (n		pregnant women compared non-pregnant	59	
Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 31/30)       Uganda       40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in pregnant women. compared non-pregnant women.       52         Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.       Vomen.       43         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina Pregnant women (n = 20)       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 20)       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 28/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Umefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared n		= 12/12)		women.		
pregnant women (n = 31/30)         pregnant women. compared non-pregnant women.           Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.         43           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Burkina Pregnant women (n pregnant women (n = 9/8)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 20)         43           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)         Burkina Pregnant women (n = 20)         No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         60           Pregnant women (n = 20)         Faso pregnant women compared to historical controls.         61           Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant e20)         0         61           Pregnant women (n = 20)         Uganda         Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.         45, 46           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         62           Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)         Sudan         No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         63           Umefantrine         Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)         No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.         39		Pregnant and non-	Uganda	40% decreased exposure to piperaquine in	52	
= 31/30)       women.       Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.         Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women (n = 24/24)         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       61         20)       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         20)       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       45, a = 22)         Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       62         Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63 <td></td> <td>pregnant women (n</td> <td></td> <td>pregnant women compared non-pregnant</td> <td></td>		pregnant women (n		pregnant women compared non-pregnant		
Contradictory results; no difference and decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.         Vertail of the pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Parso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       43         Pregnant women (n)       Pregnant women (n)       Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n)       9/8)       Pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       61         20)       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women.       61         20)       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       45,         22)       Women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       45,         22)       Women compared non-pregnant women.       62       62       62         Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         9/8)       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         9		= 31/30)		women.		
Amount of the second		Contradictory results	s; no differen	ce and decreased exposure reported in pregnant wo	omen.	
Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to metioquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       43         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Pregnant women (n Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Vertication       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45,         Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 28/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Ummefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women (n = 9/8)		· - ·	[			
Mefloquine       pregnant women (n = 24/24)       Faso       pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Faso       pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39		Pregnant and non-	Burkina	No difference in exposure to mefloquine in	43	
Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.         Vertice       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n       Sudan       No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n       Sudan       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39		pregnant women (n	Faso	pregnant women compared non-pregnant		
Mefloquine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Burkina Faso       No difference in exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       60         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       45, 46         Pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39		= 24/24)		women.	60	
Mefloquine       pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Paso       pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       61         Pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39		Pregnant and non-	Burkina	No difference in exposure to metloquine in	60	
a = 9/8)       women.         Pregnant women (n = 20)       Thailand       Decreased exposure to mefloquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       45, 46         Pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Uumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39	Mefloquine	pregnant women (n	Faso	pregnant women compared non-pregnant		
Pregnant women (n)       Inailand       Decreased exposure to merioquine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       61         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       0       0         Pregnant women (n)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant and non- pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n)       Uganda       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.       39		= 9/8)	The state set	women.	64	
Quinine       Pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.         Quinine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women.         Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63		Pregnant women (n	Thailand	Decreased exposure to metioquine in pregnant	61	
Quinine       Pregnant women (n = 22)       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared to historical controls.       45, 46         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n       Uganda       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women (n       39		= 20)		women compared to historical controls.		
Pregnant women (n       Uganda       Decreased exposure to quinine in pregnant       45,         a 22)       Pregnant and non-       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant       62         Pregnant and non-       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant       62         Pregnant women (n       = 8/8)       women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Pregnant and non-       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Pregnant women (n       = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non-       pregnant and non-       pregnant women (n       9       9         Pregnant women (n       = 9/8)       No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Umefantrine       Pregnant and non-       pregnant women (n       9       9       9         Pregnant and non-       pregnant women (n       Pregnant women (n       9       9       9       9       9         Pregnant and non-       pregnant women (n       Pregnant women (n       9       9       9       9       9         Pregnant and non-       pregnant women (n       Pregnant women (n       9       9       9 </td <td></td> <td>Contradictory resul</td> <td>its; generally</td> <td>no difference in exposure reported in pregnant won</td> <td>nen.</td>		Contradictory resul	its; generally	no difference in exposure reported in pregnant won	nen.	
QuininePregnant women (n = 22)SudanDecreased exposure to quinine in pregnant45, 46Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)SudanNo difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.62Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)SudanNo difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.63UmmefantrinePregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)SudanNo difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.63LumefantrinePregnant and non- pregnant women (nUgandaNo difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women (n39		Brognant woman /n	Haanda	Decreased experience to quiping in program	1E	
Quinine- 22)women compared to historical controls.46Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)SudanNo difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.62Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)SudanNo difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.63Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.63LumefantrinePregnant and non- pregnant women (nUgandaNo difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women (n39			Uganua	we men compared to historical controls	45, 46	
Quinine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 8/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       62         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant women.       63         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n       Uganda       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women (n       39		- 22) Drognant and non	Sudan	No difference in expective to quipine in program	40 60	
Quinine       = 8/8)       women compared non-pregnant women.         Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant       63         Pregnant women (n)       = 9/8)       Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n)       Uganda       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant       39		pregnant women (n	Suuan	women compared non progrant women	02	
Comme       - 6/8)       Sudan       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant of pregnant women (n = 9/8)       63         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.       63         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 9/8)       No difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.         Pregnant and non-pregnant and non-pregnant women (n = 9/8)       Uganda       No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women.	Quinine	- 8/8)		women compared non-pregnant women.		
Image: Strain and non-pregnant women (n = 9/8)       No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant women.         Contradictory results; generally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.         Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non-pregnant women (n pregnant women (n pregnant women (n pregnant women (n pregnant women compared non-pregnant women (n pregnant women compared non-pregnant women compared non-pregnant women (n pregnant women (n pregnat women (n pregnant women (n pregnant women		Pregnant and non-	Sudan	No difference in exposure to quinine in pregnant	63	
Image: spreament women (in greginant women (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant women)         Image: spreament (in greginant women)       Image: spreament (in greginant		nregnant women (n	Judin	women compared non-pregnant women	05	
Lumefantrine       Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n       Uganda pregnant women compared non-pregnant		= 9/8		women compared non pregnant women.		
Lumefantrine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n         Uganda pregnant women compared non-pregnant         39		Contradictory resul	ts: generally	no difference in exposure reported in pregnant won	nen.	
Lumefantrine         Pregnant and non- pregnant women (n         Uganda         No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant women compared non-pregnant         39						
Lumefantrine pregnant women (n pregnant women compared non-pregnant	Pregnant and non- Uganda No difference in exposure to lumefantrine				39	
	Lumefantrine	pregnant women (n		pregnant women compared non-pregnant		

= 30/30)	women.			
Pregnant and non- Tanzar	ia 34% decreased exposure to lumefantrine in 44			
pregnant women (n	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 33/22)	women.			
Pregnant and non- Ugand	a No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in 45			
pregnant women (n	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 26/17)	women.			
Pregnant women (n Thailar	nd Decreased exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant 47			
= 13)	women compared to historical controls.			
Pregnant and non- Ugand	a No difference in exposure to lumefantrine in 64			
pregnant women (n	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 116/17)	women.			
Pregnant women (n Thailar	nd Decreased exposure to lumefantrine in pregnant 65			
= 103)	women compared to historical controls.			
Contradictory results; gener	rally no difference in exposure reported in pregnant women.			
Pregnant and non- Papua	33% decreased exposure to sulfadoxine in 66			
pregnant women (n New	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 30/30) Guinea	women.			
Pregnant and post- Kenya	43% decreased exposure to sulfadoxine in 67			
partum women (n =	pregnant women compared to post-partum			
33/11)	women.			
Sulfadoxine Pregnant and post- Mali,	No difference in exposure to sulfadoxine in 68			
partum women (n = Zambia	pregnant women compared to post-partum			
43/40)	women.			
Pregnant and non- Ugand	a 82% decreased exposure to sulfadoxine in 134			
pregnant women (n	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 87/34)	women.			
Contradictory results; generally decreased exposure reported in pregnant women.				
Pregnant and non- Papua	32% decreased exposure to pyrimethamine in 66			
pregnant women (n New	pregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 30/30) Guillea	women.			
Pregnant and post- Kenya	No difference in exposure to pyrimethamine in 67			
partum women (n = 22/11)	pregnant women compared to post-partum			
Drognant and post Mali	21% increased expective to pyrimethamine in 69			
Pyrimethamine Pregnant and post- Mail,	51% increased exposure to pyrimethalinine in 68			
	women			
Prognant and non-	a 31% decreased exposure to pyrimethamine in 124			
nregnant women (n	nregnant women compared non-pregnant			
= 87/34)	women			
Contradictory results: no diffe	Contradictory results: no difference, increased and decreased expective reported in program			
	women			

Relative difference in exposure calculated as (AUC<sub>comparison</sub> – AUC<sub>pregnancy</sub>) / AUC<sub>comparison</sub>.

Drugs	Safety profile	References
Artemisinin derivatives	• Artemisinin derivatives in general are well tolerated.	22, 71, 72, 73, 77,
and partner drugs	Concerns regarding safety on pregnancy have limited its	83, 85, 89, 91, 93,
	use in first trimester. Recent studies reported no	94, 107, 109.
	differences in the risk of miscarriage, stillbirth, or major	
	congenital malformations between artemisinins and	
	quinine used during first trimester.	
	<ul> <li>Amodiaquine-artesunate has been associated with</li> </ul>	
	general weakness, vomiting, dizziness, and nausea but	
	without increased risk of miscarriage, stillbirth, or major	
	congenital malformations.	
	• Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is well tolerated.	
	Concerns regarding prolongation of the QI interval	
	were raised. More studies are needed to understand	
	women	
	Mofloquine_artesupate was less well tolerated when	
	compare with other combinations (artemether-	
	lumefantrine dihydroartemisinin-nineraquine)	
	Sulfadoxine-nvrimethamine - artesunate seemed safe	
	and well tolerated.	
Mefloquine	Mefloquine is reported to be less well-tolerated	95, 96, 97, 98, 99,
	(increased risk of dizziness and vomiting) than	101, 103, 104,
	sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine when used for prevention	108, 112.
	of malaria.	
	• When mefloquine alone was used as intermittent	
	preventive treatment, incidence of spontaneous	

	abortions, stillbirths, and congenital anomalies did not differ significantly compared to sulfadoxine- pyrimethamine.	
Sulfadoxine- pyrimethamine	<ul> <li>Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine should not be administered concurrently with cotrimoxazole given their redundant mechanisms of action and synergistic worsening of adverse drug reactions particularly cutaneous reactions.</li> <li>Was reported to be associated with neural tube defects when used in first trimester, but when administered as IPTp in the second and third trimesters does not result in an increased risk of teratogenesis.</li> </ul>	86, 87, 106, 110.
Quinine	• Quinine is less well tolerated when comparing with other antimalarials and can cause hypoglycaemia and tinnitus, particularly in the second and third trimester. Prolongation of the QT interval with no significant cardiotoxicity has been reported.	105, 111.
Chloroquine	<ul> <li>Chloroquine has been described as safe throughout pregnancy.</li> <li>The risk of miscarriage was similar for women treated with chloroquine, quinine, or artesunate.</li> </ul>	105, 112.

Table 3. Recommendations for policy and future research

Topic/programme area Policy implementation		Future research	
Diagnosis	<ul> <li>Assess extent to which diagnosis of malaria in pregnancy is practiced across public and private providers</li> <li>Stratify pregnant women in numerator/denominator for parasite confirmed malaria in HMIS</li> <li>Ensure availability to sensitive diagnostic tests e.g. HRP2- based RDTs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate ultra-sensitive RDT (Alere™ Malaria Ag P.f) for detection of infections in pregnancy</li> <li>Develop other more sensitive diagnostic tests for all Plasmodium species</li> </ul>	
Treatment of uncomplicated malaria in pregnancy	<ul> <li>Systematic assessment of the quality of case management of MiP practices across public and private service providers</li> <li>Review pre-service and inservice training curriculae</li> <li>Provide quality training, guidelines, and job aids for health providers</li> <li>Education of pregnant women on drug safety and side effects in pregnancy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Treatment of uncomplicated non-falciparum malaria, including treatment of liver stages</li> </ul>	
Treatment of severe malaria in pregnancy		<ul> <li>Treatment of severe non- falciparum malaria in pregnancy</li> </ul>	
Pharmacovigilance	<ul> <li>National post marketing surveillance of ACTs in all trimesters of pregnancy</li> <li>Global pregnancy registry for drug safety including antimalarials (WHO)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop cost-efficient pharmacovigilance systems suitable for low income countries e.g. probabilistic record linkage</li> <li>Continued pharmacovigilance for first trimester exposure to antimalarials to better estimate the risk of major congenital malformations</li> </ul>	
Pharmacokinetics		<ul> <li>Optimization of ACT dosages in pregnancy</li> </ul>	
Drug resistance	<ul> <li>Drug resistance surveillance monitoring</li> <li>Legislation to prevent availability and use of monotherapies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New treatment alternatives to ACTs given the recent emergence of multidrug resistance</li> </ul>	