- 1 Evaluation of a rapid diagnostic test for the detection of Burkholderia
- 2 pseudomallei in the Lao People's Democratic Republic
- 3
- 4 Running title
- 5 Melioidosis diagnosis by rapid antigen detection Laos
- 6
- 7 Kate L Woods^{a*}#, Latsaniphone Boutthasavong^a, Caoimhe NicFhogartaigh^{a,b},
- 8 Sue J Lee^{c,d}, Viengmon Davong^a, David P AuCoin^e, David AB Dance^{a,c,f}#
- 9
- 10 ^a Lao-Oxford-Mahosot Hospital-Wellcome Trust Research Unit, Microbiology
- 11 Laboratory, Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, Lao PDR
- 12 ^b Bart's Health Division of Infection, Pathology and Pharmacy Department,
- 13 Royal London Hospital, Newark St, London, UK
- ^c Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, University of Oxford, UK
- 15 ^d Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Mahidol University,
- 16 Bangkok, 10400, Thailand
- 17 ^e Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Nevada, Reno,
- 18 School of Medicine, Reno, Nevada, USA
- ¹⁹ ^f Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and
- 20 Tropical Medicine, London, UK
- 21 #kate.woods2@nhs.net; David.d@tropmedres.ac
- 22

^{*} Department of Microbiology, Homerton University Hospital NHS Trust, Homerton Row, London, E9 6SR, UK.

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

23 Abstract

24 Burkholderia pseudomallei causes significant global morbidity and mortality, 25 with the highest disease burden in parts of Asia where culture-based 26 diagnosis is often not available. We prospectively evaluated the Active 27 Melioidosis Detect (AMD, InBios International, USA) lateral flow immunoassay 28 (LFI) for rapid detection of *B. pseudomallei* in turbid blood cultures, pus, 29 sputum, sterile fluid, urine, and sera. Performance was compared to B. 30 pseudomallei detection using monoclonal antibody latex agglutination (LA) 31 and immunofluorescence assays (IFA), with culture as the gold standard. 32 AMD was 99% (99/100; 94.6 - 100%) sensitive and 100% (308/308; 98.8-33 100%) specific on turbid blood culture bottles, with no difference to LA or IFA. 34 AMD specificity was 100% on pus (122/122; 97.0-100%), sputum (20/20; 35 83.2-100%), and sterile fluid (44/44; 92 - 100%). Sensitivity on these samples 36 was: pus 47.1% (8/17; 23.0 – 72.2%), sputum 33.3% (1/3; 0.84 – 90.6%), and 37 sterile fluid 0% (0/2; 0 - 84.2%). Urine AMD had a positive predictive value of 38 94% (32/34; 79.7 - 98.5%) for diagnosing melioidosis in our cohort. AMD 39 sensitivity on stored sera, collected prospectively from melioidosis cases 40 during this study, was 13.9% (5/36; 4.7% - 29.5%) when compared to blood 41 culture samples taken on the same day. In conclusion, the AMD is an 42 excellent tool for rapid diagnosis of melioidosis from turbid blood cultures, and 43 maintains specificity across all sample types. It is a promising tool for urinary 44 antigen detection, which could revolutionise diagnosis of melioidosis in 45 resource-limited settings. Further work is required to improve sensitivity on 46 non-blood culture samples.

47 Introduction

48 Burkholderia pseudomallei is a saprophytic bacterium causing melioidosis, a 49 disease with diverse clinical manifestations including fulminant septicaemia, pneumonia, meningo-encephalitis, abscess formation, septic arthritis and 50 51 more indolent cutaneous presentations (1). The global burden of melioidosis has been estimated at 165,000 cases/year with 89,000 fatalities (2). The 52 53 highest known burden of disease is in south and southeast Asia where case 54 fatality rates reach around 40% (3), and even in countries with advanced 55 healthcare systems such as Australia, mortality reaches 14% (4). In the Lao People's Democratic Republic (Laos), increasing numbers of cases have 56 57 been recognized since the disease was first diagnosed in 1999 (5), and more 58 than 100 cases of culture-confirmed melioidosis are now being detected at 59 Mahosot Hospital Microbiology Laboratory each year with peak incidence 60 during the rainy season (6).

61

Culture is currently the gold standard diagnostic test for melioidosis with 100% 62 63 specificity but an estimated sensitivity of only 60% (7). However, culture 64 confirmation takes a minimum of 48-72hrs (7), requires specific media for 65 optimal sensitivity in non-sterile samples (8), and laboratory containment and expertise often not available in endemic areas. B. pseudomallei is intrinsically 66 67 resistant to many antibiotics and melioidosis therefore does not respond to 68 most agents used for empiric treatment of sepsis, pneumonia and abscesses 69 in developing countries (9). Therefore, life-saving treatment is often fatally 70 delayed if a specific diagnosis cannot be confirmed. Simple, rapid diagnostic 71 tests for melioidosis for use directly on clinical samples are needed, not only 72 for improving patient outcomes but also to improve epidemiological 73 surveillance of melioidosis and thereby strengthen public health interventions. 74 Immunofluorescence to detect B. pseudomallei directly in clinical samples 75 (10) and latex agglutination for rapid identification of positive cultures (11, 12) 76 are used in some melioidosis-endemic areas. However, these require 77 equipment, expertise and reagents that are not widely available. More 78 recently, an immunochromatographic lateral flow rapid diagnostic test (RDT) 79 to detect B. pseudomallei extracellular polysaccharide antigen directly in 80 clinical samples has been developed (Active Melioidosis Detect (AMD), 81 InBios, USA) (13). The method is simple, rapid (result within 15 minutes), 82 relatively cheap (estimated \$2/test), does not require additional equipment, 83 and the kit may be stored at room temperature, making it ideal for use in resource-limited settings. To date this kit has undergone only limited clinical 84 85 evaluations (13, 14). We evaluated the diagnostic performance of AMD on a 86 variety of clinical samples (including blood culture broths, pus, sterile fluid, 87 sputum, and urine) over two rainy seasons from patients with suspected and 88 culture-confirmed melioidosis presenting to Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, 89 Laos.

90

91 Results

92 Analytical sensitivity and specificity

All *B. pseudomallei* seeded blood cultures were AMD-positive by 12hrs incubation (Table S1). The lower limit of detection of the AMD was found to be 1.4×10^5 CFU/ml, meaning that it was positive in 3 of 4 seeded blood cultures before they became visibly turbid and in 4/4 before organisms were

97 detectable by Gram staining of the broth. Centrifugation of blood culture broth98 prior to performing AMD did not reduce time to positivity.

99

All non-*B. pseudomallei* reference strains were negative by AMD (Table S2). Two soil isolates of *B. thailandensis* and one sputum isolate of *B. cepacia* were AMD-positive. All 3 of these isolates were known to give a positive *B. pseudomallei* latex agglutination test, probably due to production of a crossreacting extracellular polysaccharide (15). All four positive control *B.*

105 *pseudomallei* clinical isolates were positive by AMD.

106

107 Prospective evaluation

Between 26th June and 18th December 2014, 89 patients were diagnosed with 108 109 melioidosis by culture of B. pseudomallei from at least one sample type 110 (blood, pus, sputum, sterile fluid, urine, throat swab, wound swab (Figure 111 S1)). Median age was 45 years (IQR 27-54 years), 55% were male and 20/89 112 (22.5%) patients died during admission or were discharged moribund. Blood 113 cultures were received from 85 of these patients (85/89, 96%) of whom 54% 114 (46/85) were bacteraemic with B. pseudomallei. Of the 43 patients not found 115 to be bacteraemic, 34 had localized disease whilst 9 had evidence of 116 multifocal disease.

117

118 Turbid blood cultures

There were 412 turbid blood culture bottles during the study period, of which 4 did not have AMD performed and were therefore excluded. 408 turbid blood culture bottles from 247 patients were thus included in the analysis.

122 Organisms isolated from the 408 blood cultures are shown in Figure S2. B. 123 pseudomallei was isolated from 100 bottles (Figure 1a). Overall AMD sensitivity was 99% (99/100; 94.6 - 100%) and specificity 100% (308/308; 124 125 98.8-100%). 252 of these 408 turbid blood culture bottles had Gram-negative 126 bacilli (GNB) seen on Gram stain and therefore had additional rapid tests 127 performed: latex agglutination (n=237), immunofluorescence assay (IFA; 128 n=176) (Figure 1a). Sensitivities and specificities for these tests are given in 129 Table 1. 166 turbid blood culture samples with GNB seen on microscopy had 130 all 3 rapid tests performed, with agreement between all 3 rapid tests and 131 culture in 98.2% (163/166). 1 sample was AMD and latex agglutination 132 negative but IFA and *B. pseudomallei* culture positive. 2 samples were scanty 133 positive by IFA (1-3 bacilli/100 fields) but negative by all other tests.

134

135 Pus

136 139/150 pus samples received during the study period had AMD performed
137 and were included in the analysis. *B. pseudomallei* was cultured from 17/139
138 samples. 95/139 pus samples also underwent IFA (Figure 1b). AMD was
139 significantly more specific than IFA (p=0.0006; Table 1). However, all 8 false
140 positive IFA results were reported as scanty (1-5 bacilli/100 fields).

141

142 Sputum

143 26 sputa were received during the study period, 3 of which did not have AMD 144 performed and were excluded. The remaining 23 sputa underwent both AMD 145 and IFA testing and no significant difference in sensitivity or specificity was 146 found (Table 1). 3/23 samples were *B. pseudomallei* culture positive, all 3 of

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

147 which were IFA positive but only 1 of which was AMD positive (Figure 1c). 148 There were 3 positive IFA tests from culture negative samples, but all were 149 reported as scanty (1-7 bacilli/100 fields), and 2 of these samples were from 150 the same patient who, although culture negative for *B. pseudomallei*, had a clinical picture compatible with melioidosis and died shortly after transfer to 151 152 Thailand for further healthcare.

153

154 Sterile Fluid

Between 10th October and 18th December 2014 50 sterile fluid samples were 155 156 received, 46 underwent AMD and were included in the analysis (29 pleural 157 fluid; 6 joint fluid; 2 pericardial fluid; 9 ascitic fluid). 42/46 also underwent IFA. 158 B. pseudomallei was isolated from 2 samples (both joint fluid from the same 159 patient, samples taken 11 days apart); IFA was positive on both these 160 samples whilst AMD was negative on both (Figure 1d). The sensitivity of IFA 161 was therefore significantly better than AMD (Table 1) although numbers are 162 small, and confidence intervals wide.

163

164 Urine

Between 2nd July and 2nd Sept. 2014 249 urine samples were received, AMD 165 166 was not performed on 28 and 16 were duplicate specimens, thus 205 were 167 included in the analysis (Figure 1e.i). 3/205 urine samples were B. 168 pseudomallei culture positive, 2 of which were AMD positive (sensitivity 66.7% 169 (9.4 - 99.2%)). The B. pseudomallei culture positive urine sample which was 170 AMD negative had a low bacterial load with only 1 colony forming unit isolated 171 from a centrifuged deposit. AMD specificity was 100% (98.2 - 100%;

172 202/202). Organisms isolated in culture from all 205 urines are shown in173 Figure S3.

From 3rd September onwards only selected urines were included in the study 174 (3rd Sept. - 18th December 2014 n=102; 23rd June - 12th Nov. 2015 n=189). 175 176 AMD was not performed on 27 samples (2014: 5; 2015: 22 samples), and 23 177 samples were duplicates and therefore excluded. Thus 241 samples were 178 included in the analysis. 15/241 urine samples were B. pseudomallei culture 179 positive, 13/15 of which were AMD positive (Table 1). 21/226 urine culture 180 negative samples were AMD positive (Figure 1e.ii). Interestingly 19/21 of 181 these urines came from patients who had melioidosis confirmed by culture 182 from another site suggesting that these were not "false positive" AMD results 183 but that the AMD was detecting true *B. pseudomallei* antigenuria. The positive 184 predictive value of AMD on urine for correctly diagnosing melioidosis in this 185 cohort was therefore 94.1% (32/34; 79.7 - 98.5%) with a disease prevalence 186 of 35.7% (86/241).

187 Urine samples from melioidosis cases. To further describe B. pseudomallei 188 antigenuria in our cohort results of urine samples received from the 182 189 culture-confirmed (from any site) melioidosis cases during the 2014 and 2015 190 study periods were analyzed further. A urine sample was received from 114 191 (2014: 57; 2015: 57) of these 182 patients, 20/114 urines were culture positive 192 for B. pseudomallei (16/20 were AMD positive). 21 of the 94 culture-negative 193 urines were AMD positive (Table 2). Patients who were urine culture negative 194 for *B. pseudomallei* but had disseminated melioidosis were significantly more 195 likely to be urine AMD positive than those with localized melioidosis (18/61 vs 196 3/32; p = 0.036). Presence of *B. pseudomallei* bacteraemia did not increase

the likelihood of urine AMD positivity overall (15/49 vs 6/44, p = 0.08), or in patients with disseminated melioidosis (15/49 vs 3/12, p = 1.0).

199

200 Urine concentration. Due to lack of availability of urine concentrators this was 201 performed retrospectively on 20 stored urine isolates collected prospectively 202 between 2nd July and 18th December 2014. All urine samples were from 203 confirmed melioidosis cases but were AMD negative on neat urine and *B.* 204 *pseudomallei* urine culture negative. 6 of 20 urine samples were AMD positive 205 after urine concentration.

206

207 Sera

71 stored serum samples from the 89 melioidosis cases diagnosed from 26th 208 June – 18th December 2014 were available for AMD testing (Figure 1f). 5/71 209 210 (7%) samples were AMD positive. Each of these five patients were culture-211 positive for B. pseudomallei from blood taken on the same day as the serum 212 sample. Of the patients negative by AMD on sera, 31/66 had been 213 bacteraemic with *B. pseudomallei* on the same day as the serum sample was 214 taken, and a further 9 non-bacteraemic patients had evidence of disseminated 215 disease. Sera therefore had low sensitivity for diagnosis of melioidosis when 216 compared with blood culture as the gold standard, 13.9% (5/36; 4.7% -217 29.5%).

218

219 **Discussion**

We evaluated accuracy of the AMD lateral flow immunoassay (LFI) for the rapid diagnosis of melioidosis directly from clinical samples. The LFI detects 222 extracellular polysaccharide of *B. pseudomallei* with a limit of detection (LOD) 223 of 0.2ng/mL (13, 16). On turbid blood cultures the AMD was found to have 224 excellent analytical and diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, comparable to 225 both IFA and latex agglutination which were found to have similar 226 performance characteristics to previous studies (11, 17-19). One turbid blood 227 culture bottle was AMD and latex agglutination negative but culture positive 228 for *B. pseudomallei*. After 24 hours further incubation both tests were positive 229 on the same bottle suggesting that the initial false negative results may reflect 230 an initial bacterial load lower than the limit of detection for both tests. The high 231 specificity of the AMD on turbid blood cultures is extremely promising for 232 deployment to field settings in the tropics, where simple tests such as the 233 AMD may be performed after a broth incubation step in the absence of 234 laboratory facilities for Gram stain and culture. A positive AMD result, 235 potentially obtained as early as 12 hours post blood sampling, could prove 236 life-saving if antimicrobial treatment is adapted appropriately.

237 The AMD maintained excellent analytical and diagnostic specificity across all 238 sample types, even 'non-sterile' samples such as sputum and urine, which are 239 more likely to contain contaminating bacteria (including environmental 240 Burkholderia spp. in an endemic tropical setting). False positive AMD results 241 were only seen in our study with environmental Burkholderia strains known to 242 express a similar extracellular polysaccharide to that detected by the AMD. 243 Although this may pose a problem when the AMD is used for detection of B. 244 pseudomallei in environmental samples such as soil (20), in clinical samples it 245 is rarely likely to be relevant. The finding of AMD reactivity in a clinical strain 246 of B. cepacia in this study is novel, as previous testing of B. cepacia complex

ournal of Clinica Microbiology

ournal of Clinica

strains had not demonstrated this (unpublished observations, D. AuCoin).
Relatively few sputum samples were included in this study and further work is
needed to investigate how frequently respiratory samples containing non-*pseudomallei Burkholderia* (for example from patients with cystic fibrosis) may
cause AMD reactivity. However, in our experience such strains are rare and
the majority of *B. cepacia* isolates do not cross react in this way (data not
shown).

254 AMD analytical sensitivity was extremely promising, with AMD LOD on broth 255 cultures better than previous estimates of LOD for latex agglutination (1 - 2)256 $x10^{6}$ CFU/ml (18)), and similar to estimated bacterial loads of B. pseudomallei in urine (1.5 x 10^4 CFU/ml), sputum (1.1 x 10^5 CFU/ml), and pus 257 (1.1x10⁷ CFU/ml) samples (21). However, diagnostic sensitivity was 258 259 disappointing in non-blood culture samples. We observed that viscous 260 samples were more challenging to process for AMD and this, coupled with low 261 initial bacterial loads, may explain the moderate sensitivity observed in these 262 samples. Seven pus samples in our study were received in broth from distant 263 study sites (and therefore excluded from the main analysis) and AMD was 264 positive in all 3 samples subsequently B. pseudomallei culture positive. An 265 initial enrichment culture step has been previously suggested to improve the 266 sensitivity of IFA for *B. pseudomallei* from non-blood clinical samples (10). It is 267 likely that broth incubation of pus, sputum and sterile fluid found to be AMD 268 negative on direct testing will increase AMD sensitivity on these samples, 269 whilst only delaying diagnosis by a few hours, although appropriate laboratory 270 bio-safety equipment and practices would be needed.

271 IFA diagnostic sensitivity and specificity in this study were similar to previous reports (10). However, despite the reportedly lower LOD (2x10³ CFU/ml of 272 273 IFA (10), IFA was not found to be significantly more sensitive than AMD on 274 any sample type except sterile fluids, nor was IFA significantly more specific 275 than AMD except on pus samples. The inherent subjectivity of 276 immunofluorescent microscopy, the difficulty of misidentifying fluorescent 277 debris as bacteria, and the labour and resource-intensive methodology are 278 important disadvantages of IFA compared with AMD.

279 The number of *B. pseudomallei* culture-positive urine samples in this study 280 was limited, however the ability of the AMD to detect B. pseudomallei 281 antigenuria in melioidosis patients, particularly those with disseminated 282 melioidosis whose urine is culture-negative for B. pseudomallei, is 283 encouraging. Our findings replicate previous work in a non-human primate 284 model, in which antigen was detectable in urine by AMD as early as 2-3 days 285 after experimental infection with B. pseudomallei (D. AuCoin personal 286 communication). The extracellular polysaccharide detected by the AMD 287 maintains its molecular weight in urine without degradation over time which 288 might otherwise affect the sensitivity of the AMD on urine (22). Urine is an 289 easily available, non-invasive sample and a simple matrix for the AMD. 290 Reliable urine antigen detection for melioidosis by a rapid test such as the 291 AMD could revolutionize diagnostics in resource-limited parts of the world 292 where this disease is most prevalent. However, the overall sensitivity of urine 293 AMD for detection of melioidosis in our cohort was only 33% (37/114; 24 -294 41.9%). Use of simple table-top urine concentrators increased AMD sensitivity 295 in this study, however numbers tested were limited and further work is needed

Journal of Clinica

JCM

to establish the extent to which urine concentration improves AMD sensitivity
in urine. At approximately \$6/ sample, cost may prove a barrier to the use of
this concentration technique for urine samples in endemic settings.

299 The AMD is an extremely promising tool for diagnosis of melioidosis 300 worldwide and meets all ASSURED criteria for RDTs (23), although further 301 work is required to optimize sensitivity on non-blood samples. However, it is 302 not yet a true 'Point of Care' test, with AMD sensitivity on stored whole blood 303 samples from bacteraemic melioidosis patients having previously been shown 304 to be 40% (16/40; compared with 20% sensitivity for molecular detection on 305 the same samples) (14), and on stored serum samples in this study only 7% 306 (5/71). The low bacterial load in blood samples (1 CFU/ml prior to broth 307 incubation (13)) may limit the utility of the AMD on these sample types and 308 further large-scale prospective evaluations are required. However, the simple 309 nature of the AMD technology means that it is more likely than molecular 310 diagnostics to be widely applicable in a developing country context for the 311 foreseeable future.

312 The main limitation of this study was that not all samples underwent testing by 313 all the relevant rapid tests. This was partly related to the nature of the study 314 with the assays being performed during routine processing in a busy 315 diagnostic laboratory. However, this study design gave a realistic indication of 316 assay performance in a routine setting. In addition, the immunofluorescence 317 assay, which had not previously been routinely used in our laboratory, took 318 longer than expected to optimize and therefore turbid blood cultures and pus 319 received in the initial stages of the study did not undergo IFA. Sputum and 320 sterile fluid analyses were also limited due to low sample numbers.

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on May 8, 2018 by LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE & TROPICAL MEDICINE

Journal of Clinica

321 In some patients in our study a positive urine AMD result was the first 322 indication of melioidosis, preceding culture confirmation by at least 24hrs and 323 resulting in early switch to appropriate antibiotics in critically unwell patients. 324 This study was not designed to evaluate the clinical impact of obtaining a 325 rapid diagnosis of melioidosis by AMD, however now that we have shown 326 diagnostic specificity to be excellent, we are further investigating this. Throat 327 swabs are another easily obtained sample type that is routinely used for 328 diagnosis of melioidosis by culture in our setting. Incubation of a throat swab 329 in liquid selective enrichment broth such as SBCT (8) would enable throat 330 swabs to be used for rapid diagnosis of melioidosis by AMD, and this also 331 warrants further study.

332 In conclusion, the AMD has excellent sensitivity and specificity for early 333 detection of *B. pseudomallei* in blood culture broth. It also has the advantage 334 over the latex agglutination test that it can be used directly on other sample 335 types for the diagnosis of melioidosis. Specificity is retained when used 336 directly on these other samples, but sensitivity is only moderate and requires 337 optimization. Diagnosis of melioidosis from urine using AMD may significantly 338 enhance diagnosis of this neglected disease. Studies are needed in a variety 339 of different prevalence settings in order to truly understand the utility of this 340 assay, however deployment of the AMD globally could improve our 341 understanding of the epidemiology of melioidosis. Ultimately, we hope to see 342 LFI technology used to multiplex antigen detection for a number of important 343 causes of febrile illness in resource-limited settings.

344 Materials and Methods

345 Analytical Sensitivity and Specificity

346 The limit of detection of the AMD was assessed using seeded blood cultures 347 and quantitative culture techniques. A single colony of B. pseudomallei 348 (clinical isolate) was taken from a 24-48 hour culture and a 0.5 McFarland 349 suspension made using 5ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS). This was 350 diluted to 1:1,000,000 and then 1 ml inoculated into each of 4 negative blood 351 culture bottles (Pharmaceutical factory No. 2, Vientiane, Laos; (24)), shaken 352 gently to mix and incubated aerobically at 35-37°C. From time of inoculation 353 (Time 0) and 12 hourly thereafter blood culture broths were observed for 354 turbidity, Gram stain was performed using standard methods, and AMD and 355 quantitative culture were performed. AMD was performed in duplicate on 356 paired uncentrifuged and centrifuged samples from each blood culture broth 357 as follows: 0.5ml of blood culture broth mixture was dispensed into a 1.5ml 358 Eppendorf tube, 1 drop of lysis buffer was added and the suspension gently 359 mixed using a micropipette. 20µl of this suspension was then applied to the 360 AMD test strip, followed by 3 drops of chase buffer. The result was read after 361 15 minutes according to manufacturers instructions (Appendix 1). For 362 centrifuged samples 1ml of blood culture broth was centrifuged at 845g 363 (3000rpm on Microcentrifuge 5424 Eppendorf) for 10 minutes, the pellet then 364 re-suspended in 1 drop of lysis buffer and 20µl of this suspension applied to 365 the AMD test strip and read as above. All centrifugation was carried out in 366 Biosafety Containment Level 3.

367 Quantitative culture was performed using the Miles and Misra method (25):
368 1ml was removed from each of the seeded blood culture broths after 0, 12

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on May 8, 2018 by LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE & TROPICAL MEDICINE

Journal of Clinica

369 and 24hrs of incubation. Each 1ml was diluted 1:10 in PBS 8 times to make dilutions of 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁸ concentration. 20µl of each of these dilutions was 370 371 pipetted onto 1/6th of a blood agar plate, allowed to dry for 20-30 minutes, 372 and then incubated aerobically at 35-37°C for 24hours. Each dilution was 373 tested in triplicate. Colony-forming units/ml (CFU/ml) were calculated for each 374 seeded blood culture as: average number of colonies for the dilution where 375 the highest numbers of discrete colonies (between 10-100) were clearly seen 376 x 50 x dilution factor. The result of quantitative culture was recorded and 377 compared to AMD positivity across the panel of 4 seeded blood cultures to

378 estimate AMD limit of detection.

379

380 To investigate analytical specificity, negative blood culture broths were 381 seeded (as above) with a range of NCTC/ATCC reference organisms 382 (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 700603, Klebsiella 383 oxytoca NCTC 8167, Enterobacter aerogenes NCTC 10006, Enterobacter cloacae 384 NCTC 11580, Citrobacter freundii NCTC 9750, Edwardsiella tarda NCTC 10396, 385 Salmonella Typhi NCTC 786, Salmonella Enteritidis ATCC 13076, Pseudomonas 386 aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Acinetobacter baumannii NCTC 12156, Ochrobactrum 387 anthropic NCTC 12168, Aeromonas hydrophila NCTC 8049, Yersinia enterocolitica 388 NCTC 11175, Vibrio cholera NCTC 8021, Burkholderia thailandensis NR-9908, 389 Burkholderia cepacia NCTC 10743, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, 390 Staphylococcus epidermidis NCTC 11047), soil isolates of Burkholderia 391 thailandensis, and Lao clinical isolates of B. pseudomallei and B. cepacia 392 (Table S2). Broths were incubated aerobically at 35-37°C and observed daily 393 for turbidity. AMD was performed on uncentrifuged samples from turbid blood 394 culture broths (as previously described).

395

396 Prospective Evaluation

397 Study population

Between 26th June and 18th December 2014 all turbid blood cultures, pus and 398 399 sputum samples received at Mahosot Hospital Microbiology Laboratory, Vientiane, Laos were included. Sterile fluid samples received between 10th 400 October and 18th December 2014 were also included. All routine urine 401 samples received between 2nd July and 2nd September 2014 were included in 402 403 order to provide baseline specificity data. After an interim analysis, selected urine samples only were included (3rd Sept – 18th December 2014 and 23rd 404 June – 12 November 2015). Selection criteria were presence of ≥1 of the 405 406 following clinical details: suspected or confirmed melioidosis; diabetes mellitus 407 and sepsis or fever; prostatitis; lung, liver, or spleen abscess. Only the first 408 urine sample received from a patient was included.

409 Stored admission sera from patients diagnosed with melioidosis by culture
410 from any sample between 26th June and 18th December 2014 were
411 retrospectively tested by AMD in June 2015.

412

413 Sample processing

All samples were processed for microscopy and culture according to standard laboratory procedures (CLSI). *B. pseudomallei* was isolated from clinical samples using standard (goat blood, chocolate and MacConkey agars), and specific selective media (Ashdown's agar and modified Ashdown's selective broth (8)). Each set of blood cultures sent from a patient at any one time contained two blood-broth culture bottles (Pharmaceutical factory No. 2, Journal of Clinical Microhiology

MOL

lournal of Clinical Microbioloay Vientiane, Laos; (24)), which were incubated aerobically at 35-37°C and
observed daily for turbidity for up to 7 days.

422

423 Blood cultures: AMD was performed on all turbid blood culture broths. Turbid 424 blood cultures with Gram-negative bacilli seen on microscopy also underwent 425 B. pseudomallei latex agglutination and immunofluorescence assay (IFA) 426 testing. AMD method was: 0.5ml of turbid blood culture broth dispensed into a 427 1.5ml Eppendorf tube, 1 drop of lysis buffer added and the suspension gently 428 mixed using a micropipette. 20µl of suspension was added to 3 drops of 429 chase buffer in a 0.6ml Eppendorf tube, and mixed by gentle pipetting. The 430 AMD test strip was then inserted and the result read after 15 minutes 431 according to manufacturer's instructions (Appendix 1). Latex agglutination 432 was performed as previously described (17): 5µl of latex reagent was mixed 433 with one drop of uncentrifuged blood culture broth on a glass slide, gently 434 rocked and observed for agglutination within 2 minutes. Positive (heat killed B. 435 pseudomallei) and negative (heat killed B. thailandensis) controls were 436 performed each day by mixing 5µl control with 5µl of latex reagent.

437 IFA, based on the previously described method (19), was performed as 438 follows: 10µl of turbid blood culture broth was spread on a glass slide to 439 create a thin smear and allowed to air dry. The slide was then fixed by 440 flooding with absolute methanol for 10 minutes at room temperature, and 441 again allowed to air dry. 10µl of IFA reagent (containing 5µg/ml monoclonal 442 antibody 4B11 and 20µg/ml Alexa Fluor® 488 conjugated-Goat Anti-Mouse 443 IgG) was then applied to the smear, covered with a coverslip and incubated at 444 room temperature for 5 minutes before observing under a fluorescent

lournal of Clinical Microbiology Accepted

Σ

ournal of Clinical Microbiology 445 microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope with the U-FL Epifluorescence 446 attachment) at a magnification of x1000 using oil immersion. Periphery of 447 bacilli showing strong apple-green fluorescence was recorded as a positive 448 result (10). Positive results were semi-guantified using a scheme adapted 449 from the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 450 (IUATLD) guidelines for quantification of Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) (26) (Table 451 S3). A minimum of 100 fields were examined before slides were recorded as 452 IFA negative (i.e. no apple-green fluorescent bacilli seen). IFA was performed 453 on the same day that the smear was made whenever possible, and the next day if not. Slides of heat-killed (1 \times 10⁶ CFU/ml in PBS at 80°C for 1 hour) B. 454 455 pseudomallei and B. thailandensis were used as positive and negative 456 controls, respectively, for each batch of immunofluorescence tests. Routine 457 laboratory staff performed the latex agglutination and AMD tests, whilst all IFA 458 tests were performed by LB blinded to the latex and AMD test results. All 459 blood culture work was performed in a Biosafety Cabinet at Laboratory 460 containment level 2 according to normal local practice.

461

462 Pus, sputum, sterile fluid: AMD and IFA were performed on all pus, sputum, 463 and sterile fluid samples on receipt in the laboratory. Latex applutination is not 464 validated for these sample types and was therefore not performed on these 465 samples. IFA was performed as above using 1µl of sputum, pus or sterile 466 fluid sample to make the original smear. AMD was performed as follows: 20µl 467 of pus, sterile fluid or thick/viscous sputum samples was mixed with 3-4 drops 468 of lysis buffer by vortexing for 15 seconds. 20µl of this mixture was then 469 added to 3 drops of chase buffer in a 0.6ml Eppendorf tube and mixed by

gentle pipetting. An AMD test strip was inserted and the result read after 15
minutes. Thin/watery sputum samples were processed in the same way
except that 50µl of sample was mixed with 2-3 drops of lysis buffer initially.
Routine laboratory staff performed AMD tests, while IFA was performed by LB
blinded to the results of AMD.

475

476 Urine: AMD was performed using 50µl of neat urine added to 3 drops of 477 chase buffer in a 0.6ml Eppendorf tube and mixed by gentle pipetting. AMD 478 test strip was then inserted and the result read after 15 minutes. AMD tests 479 were performed by routine laboratory staff. If AMD on neat urine was negative 480 then urine concentration was performed when concentrators (Minicon B15, 481 Merck Millipore Ltd) were available. 5ml of urine was concentrated x100 using 482 these simple table-top concentrators according to manufacturer's instructions. 483 AMD was then performed as above using 20µl of concentrated urine. Neither 484 latex agglutination nor IFA have been validated for use directly with urine 485 samples and were therefore not performed on these samples.

486

487 Sera: Admission sera (stored at -80°C) from culture-confirmed melioidosis
488 cases were retrieved and AMD performed as follows: 35µl serum added to the
489 AMD test strip followed by 3 drops of Chase buffer. Results were read after 15
490 minutes as previously.

491

Each new box of AMD test strips underwent quality assurance by testing one
strip from the box as follows: a single colony of *B. pseudomallei* positive
control (Lao clinical isolate UI 8976) was emulsified, using a sterile loop, in 2

ournal of Clinica

499 Data Analysis

inserted and read as previously described.

495

496

497

498

500 Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the AMD, latex agglutination and IFA 501 on the different sample types were calculated using culture as the reference 502 standard. The sensitivity and specificity of AMD was compared with latex 503 agglutination and IFA on turbid blood cultures containing Gram-negative 504 bacilli, and with IFA alone for pus, sputum, and sterile fluid samples using a 505 two-sample test of proportions. In patients confirmed with melioidosis (by 506 culture from any sample) whose urine was culture negative for B. 507 pseudomallei a two-sided Fisher's exact test was used to analyze the 508 association between urine AMD positivity and 1) disseminated vs. localized 509 melioidosis, and 2) presence or absence of *B. pseudomallei* bacteraemia. 510 Melioidosis cases were defined as 'disseminated' when B. pseudomallei 511 bacteraemia was present and/or there was clinical/ radiological or 512 microbiological evidence of multiple sites of disease. Cases were defined as 513 'localized' if only one site of disease was present e.g. pneumonia or parotitis. 514 Analysis was done using STATA, v14.2 (College Station, TX, USA).

drops of lysis buffer. 3 drops of chase buffer were then added to this bacterial

suspension and mixed gently by pipetting before the AMD test strip was

515 Acknowledgements

516 We are grateful to all the patients who participated in this study; Dr 517 Rattanaphone Phetsouvanh and all the laboratory and clinical staff of the 518 Mahosot Hospital Microbiology laboratory, especially to Koukeo Phommasone 519 for his help with collation of the clinical data for the melioidosis cases. We 520 would like to thank Ray Houghton and InBios International for their support and providing the Active Melioidosis $Detect^{TM}$ rapid tests. We would like to 521 522 thank Narisara Chantratita for her assistance and guidance in optimizing the 523 immunofluorescence assay in our setting, as well as providing the reagents. 524 We are also very grateful to the Directors of Mahosot Hospital, the Minister of 525 Health, and the Director of the Curative Department, Ministry of Health, for 526 their support in this study. This study was funded by the Wellcome Trust of 527 Great Britain. The secondment of authors KW and CNF to Laos was funded 528 by Public Health England. The funders had no role in study design, data 529 collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. 530 Author contributions: DD and DA conceived of and designed the study; CNF 531 performed the analytical sensitivity and specificity work; KW, LB, CNF and VD 532 developed the methodology, processed and tested samples; KW and CNF 533 collated and analyzed the data; SL performed the statistical analysis; KW 534 prepared the manuscript; all authors reviewed and revised the final 535 manuscript.

536 <u>Conflict of interests:</u> DA declares that InBios has licensed the mAb 4C4,

537 produced by the AuCoin laboratory, from the University of Nevada, Reno.

538 There are no other conflicts of interest to declare.

539

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

540 Appendix 1. Interpretation of AMD reactivity according to

541 manufacturer's (InBios) instructions:



543 C = control line; T = test line. Note a faint test line is considered positive as

544 the red colour in this region varies depending on the concentration of antigen

545 present. Examples of positive AMD results are given below.



546

547

References

548	1.	Currie BJ. 2015. Melioidosis: evolving concepts in epidemiology,
549		pathogenesis, and treatment. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 36:111-125.
550	2.	Limmathurotsakul D, Golding N, Dance DAB, Messina JP, Pigott DM, Moyes
551		CL, Rolim DB, Bertherat E, Day NPJ, Peacock SJ, Hay SI. 2016. Predicted
552		global distribution of Burkholderia pseudomallei and burden of
553		melioidosis. Nat Microbiol 1:15008. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.8.
554	3.	Wiersinga WJ, Currie BJ, Peacock SJ. 2012. Medical progress: Melioidosis.
555		New England Journal of Medicine 367:1035-1044.
556	4.	Stewart JD, Smith S, Binotto E, McBride WJ, Currie BJ, Hanson J. 2017. The
557		epidemiology and clinical features of melioidosis in Far North
558		Queensland: Implications for patient management. PLoS Neglected
559		Tropical Diseases [electronic resource] 11:e0005411.
560	5.	Phetsouvanh R, Phongmany S, Newton P, Mayxay M, Ramsay A,
561		Wuthiekanun V, White NJ. 2001. Melioidosis and Pandora's box in the Lao
562		People's Democratic Republic. Clin Infect Dis 32:653-654.
563	6.	Rachlin A, Dittrich S, Phommasone K, Douangnouvong A, Phetsouvanh R,
564		Newton PN, Dance DAB. 2016. Investigation of Recurrent Melioidosis in
565		Lao People's Democratic Republic by Multilocus Sequence Typing. Am J
566		Trop Med Hyg 94:1208-1211.
567	7.	Hoffmaster AR, AuCoin D, Baccam P, Baggett HC, Baird R, Bhengsri S,
568		Blaney DD, Brett PJ, Brooks TJ, Brown KA, Chantratita N, Cheng AC, Dance
569		DAB, Decuypere S, Defenbaugh D, Gee JE, Houghton R, Jorakate P,
570		Lertmemongkolchai G, Limmathurotsakul D, Merlin TL, Mukhopadhyay C,
571		Norton R, Peacock SJ, Rolim DB, Simpson AJ, Steinmetz I, Stoddard RA,

572 Stokes MM, Sue D, Tuanyok A, Whistler T, Wuthiekanun V, Walke HT. 573 February 2015. Melioidosis diagnostic workshop, 2013. Emerg Infect Dis 574 21. doi:10.3201/eid2102.141045

575 8. Wuthiekanun V, Dance DA, Wattanagoon Y, Supputtamongkol Y, 576 Chaowagul W, White NJ. 1990. The use of selective media for the isolation 577 of Pseudomonas pseudomallei in clinical practice. J Med Microbiol 33:121-578 126.

- 579 9. Dance D. 2014. Treatment and prophylaxis of melioidosis. Int J 580 Antimicrob Agents 43:310-318.
- 581 10. Tandhavanant S, Wongsuvan G, Wuthiekanun V, Teerawattanasook N, 582 Day NP, Limmathurotsakul D, Peacock SJ, Chantratita N. 2013. Monoclonal 583 antibody-based immunofluorescence microscopy for the rapid 584 identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei in clinical specimens. Am J 585 Trop Med Hyg 89:165-168.
- 586 11. Amornchai P, Chierakul W, Wuthiekanun V, Mahakhunkijcharoen Y, 587 Phetsouvanh R, Currie BJ, Newton PN, van Vinh Chau N, 588 Wongratanacheewin S, Day NP, Peacock SJ. 2007. Accuracy of 589 Burkholderia pseudomallei identification using the API 20NE system and a 590 latex agglutination test. J Clin Microbiol 45:3774-3776.
- 591 12. Duval BD, Elrod MG, Gee JE, Chantratita N, Tandhavanant S, 592 Limmathurotsakul D, Hoffmaster AR. 2014. Evaluation of a latex 593 agglutination assay for the identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei and 594 Burkholderia mallei. Am J Trop Med Hyg 90:1043-1046.
- 595 13. Houghton RL, Reed DE, Hubbard MA, Dillon MJ, Chen H, Currie BJ, Mayo 596 M, Sarovich DS, Theobald V, Limmathurotsakul D, Wongsuvan G,

Chantratita N, Peacock SJ, Hoffmaster AR, Duval B, Brett PJ, Burtnick MN,
Aucoin DP. 2014. Development of a prototype lateral flow immunoassay
(LFI) for the rapid diagnosis of melioidosis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8:e2727.
Robertson G, Sorenson A, Govan B, Ketheesan N, Houghton R, Chen H,

AuCoin D, Dillon M, Norton R. 2015. Rapid diagnostics for melioidosis: a
comparative study of a novel lateral flow antigen detection assay. Journal
of Medical Microbiology 64:845-848.

Sim BM, Chantratita N, Ooi WF, Nandi T, Tewhey R, Wuthiekanun V,
Thaipadungpanit J, Tumapa S, Ariyaratne P, Sung WK, Sem XH, Chua HH,
Ramnarayanan K, Lin CH, Liu Y, Feil EJ, Glass MB, Tan G, Peacock SJ, Tan P.
2010. Genomic acquisition of a capsular polysaccharide virulence cluster
by non-pathogenic *Burkholderia* isolates. Genome Biol 11:R89.

Nualnoi T, Kirosingh A, Pandit SG, Thorkildson P, Brett PJ, Burtnick MN,
AuCoin DP. 2016. In vivo Distribution and Clearance of Purified Capsular
Polysaccharide from *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in a Murine Model. PLoS
Negl Trop Dis 10:e0005217.

Anuntagool N, Naigowit P, Petkanchanapong V, Aramsri P, Panichakul T,
Sirisinha S. 2000. Monoclonal antibody based rapid identification of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in blood culture fluid from patients with
community-acquired septicaemia. J Med Microbiol 49:1075 - 1078.

617 18. Samosornsuk N, Lulitanond A, Saenla N, Anuntagool N, 618 Wongratanacheewin S, Sirisinha S. 1999. Short report: Evaluation of a 619 monoclonal antibody-based latex agglutination test for rapid diagnosis of 620 septicemic melioidosis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 61:735-737.

621

19.

Journal of Clinica

622 Teerawattanasook N, Day NP, Limmathurotsakul D, Peacock SJ. 2013. 623 Rapid detection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in blood cultures using a 624 monoclonal antibody-based immunofluorescent assay. Am J Trop Med 625 Hyg 89:971-972. 626 20. Rongkard P, Hantrakun V, Dittrich S, Srilohasin P, Amornchai P, Langla S, 627 Lim C, Day NP, AuCoin D, Wuthiekanun V, Limmathurotsakul D. 2016. Utility of a Lateral Flow Immunoassay (LFI) to Detect Burkholderia 628 629 pseudomallei in Soil Samples. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10:e0005204. 630 21. Wuthiekanun V, Limmathurotsakul D, Wongsuvan G, Chierakul W, 631 Teerawattanasook N, Teparrukkul P, Day NP, Peacock SJ. 2007. Short 632 Report: Quantitation of B. Pseudomallei in Clinical Samples. Am J Trop 633 Med Hyg 77:812-813.

Chantratita N, Tandhavanant S, Wongsuvan G, Wuthiekanun V,

634 22. Nuti DE, Crump RB, Dwi Handayani F, Chantratita N, Peacock SJ, Bowen R, 635 Felgner PL, Davies DH, Wu T, Lyons CR, Brett PJ, Burtnick MN, Kozel TR, 636 AuCoin DP. 2011. Identification of circulating bacterial antigens by in vivo 637 microbial antigen discovery. MBio 2:e00136-11.

638 23. Peeling RW, Mabey D. 2010. Point-of-care tests for diagnosing infections 639 in the developing world. Clin Microbiol Infect 16:1062-1069.

640 24. Phetsouvanh R, Phongmany S, Soukaloun D, Rasachak B, Soukhaseum V, 641 Soukhaseum S, Frichithavong K, Khounnorath S, Pengdee B, Phiasakha K, 642 Chu V, Lunagxay K, Rattanavong S, Sisouk K, Keolouangkhot V, Mayxay M, 643 Ramsay A, Blacksell SD, Campbell J, Martinez-Aussel B, Heuanvongsy M, 644 Bounxouei B, Thammavong C, Syhavong B, Strobel M, Peacock SJ, White 645 NJ, Newton PN. 2006. Causes of community-acquired bacteremia and

Microbiology

646	patterns of antimicrobial resistance in Vientiane, Laos. Am J Trop Med
647	Hyg 75:978-985.

- 648 25. Miles AA, Misra SS, Irwin JO. 1938. The estimation of the bactericidal
 649 power of the blood. J Hyg (Lon) 38:732-749.
- 650 26. Lumb R, Van Deun A, Bastian I, Fitz-Gerald M. 2013. The Handbook:
 651 Laboratory Diagnosis of Tuberculosis by Sputum Microscopy. Global
 652 edition. SA Pathology, Adelaide, Australia.
- 653

655 Figure Legends

656	Figure 1. Sample Flow Diagrams ("not documented" = inadequate		
657	documentation to include result in analysis; "missed" = test not performed)		
658	Figure 1a. Sample Flow diagram: Turbid blood cultures with Gram-negative		
659	bacilli seen on microscopy		
660	Figure 1b. Sample Flow diagram: Pus samples		
661	Figure 1c. Sample Flow diagram: Sputa samples		
662	Figure 1d. Sample Flow diagram: Sterile Fluid samples		
663	Figure 1e. Sample Flow diagram: Urine samples		
664	i. Urine samples - Unselected (2 nd july – 2 nd September 2014)		
665	ii. Urine samples – Selected (3 rd Sept – 18 th Dec 2014; 23 rd June – 12		
666	Nov 2015)		
667	Figure 1f. Sample Flow diagram: Serum samples from culture-confirmed		
668	melioidosis cases diagnosed 26 th June – 18 th December 2014		

669

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM

670 Tables

- Table 1. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for all tests and sample types
- 672 compared with culture as the reference standard.

Specimen type	Test	Sensitivity %	Specificity %
(n)	(n)	(95% Confidence Interval)	(95% Confidence Interval)
	AMD	99.0 (94.6-100%)	100 (97.6-100%)
Turbid Blood	(252)		
culture with	Latex	99.0 (94.5 – 100)	100 (97.4 – 100)
GNB	(237)		
(252)	IFA	100 (94.8 – 100)	98.1 (93.4 – 99.8)
	(176)		
	p-value	NS*	NS**
Pus	AMD	47.1 (23.0 - 72.2)	100 (97.0-100)
(139)	(139)		
	IFA	66.7 (29.9 – 92.5)	90.7 (82.5-95.9)
	(95)		
	p-value	0.338	0.0006
Sputum	AMD	33.3 (0.84 – 90.6)	100 (83.2-100)
(23)	(23)		
	IFA	100 (29.2 – 100)	85.0 (62.1-96.8)
	(23)		
	p-value	0.083	0.072
Sterile Fluid	AMD	0 (0 – 84.2%)	100 (92.0 – 100)
(46)	(46)		
	IFA	100 (15.8 – 100)	100 (91.4-100)
	(43)		

p-value	0.046	1.0
AMD	86.7 (59.5 – 98.3%)	90.7 (86.2-94.2%)
(241)		
	p-value AMD (241)	p-value 0.046 AMD 86.7 (59.5 – 98.3%) (241)

NS = not significant; * p = 0.994 for AMD v latex, 0.402 for Latex v IFA, 0.405 for IFA 673

674 v AMD; ** p = 1.0 for AMD v latex, 0.107 for Latex v IFA, 0.169 for IFA v AMD

675

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

677 Table 2. Urine AMD results according to site of disease in melioidosis cases

Site of	disease	Urine AMD result		
(n)	Positive	Negative	
Disseminated	Bacteraemic (49)	15	34	
(61)	Not bacteraemic	3	9	
	(12)			
Localized (32)		3	29	

678 who were urine culture-negative for *B. pseudomallei* (n = 93*).

679	*1 patient did not have sufficient data availa	able to categories site	e of disease

680

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

MO









MOL

Journal of Clinical Microbiology





