

## Cancer incidence in the AGRICAN cohort study (2005-2011)

**Authors:** Clémentine Lemarchand<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Séverine Tual<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Noémie Levêque-Morlais<sup>a,b,c</sup>,  
Stéphanie Perrier<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Aurélien Belot<sup>d</sup>, Michel Velten<sup>e</sup>, Anne-Valérie Guizard<sup>a,f</sup>, Elisabeth  
Marcotullio<sup>g</sup>, Alain Monnereau<sup>h,i,l</sup>, the French Network of Cancer Registries (FRANCIM)<sup>l</sup>,  
Bénédicte Clin<sup>a,b,j</sup>, Isabelle Baldi<sup>i,k,l</sup>, Pierre Lebailly<sup>a,b,c</sup>.

### Author affiliations

<sup>a</sup> INSERM, UMR 1086 Cancers et Préventions, F-14076, Caen, France.

<sup>b</sup> Université de Caen Normandie, F-14032, Caen, France.

<sup>c</sup> Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer François Baclesse, F-14076, Caen, France.

<sup>d</sup> Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Biostatistique, F-69003, Lyon, France

<sup>e</sup> Registre des Cancers du Bas-Rhin, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Strasbourg, F-67085,  
Strasbourg, France.

<sup>f</sup> Registre Général des Tumeurs du Calvados, Centre François Baclesse, F-14076, Caen, France.

<sup>g</sup> Caisse Centrale de la Mutualité Sociale Agricole, Direction de la santé sécurité au travail, F-  
93547, Bagnolet, France.

<sup>h</sup> Registre des hémopathies malignes de la Gironde, F-33076, Bordeaux, France

<sup>i</sup> INSERM, ISPED, Centre INSERM U1219 – Bordeaux Population Health Center, EPICENE  
team, F-33076, Bordeaux, France

<sup>j</sup> CHU de Caen, Service de Pathologie Professionnelle, F-14033, Caen, France.

<sup>k</sup> Service de Médecine du Travail et Pathologies professionnelles, CHU de Bordeaux, F-33000,  
Bordeaux, France.

<sup>l</sup> Université de Bordeaux, ISPED, Centre INSERM U1219 – Bordeaux Population Health  
Center, EPICENE Team, F-33000, Bordeaux, France.

26 <sup>1</sup> French Network of Cancer Registries (FRANCIM): Patrick Arveux (Côte d’Or gynecological  
27 tumours CR) ; Valérie Jooste, Anne-Marie Bouvier (Côte d’Or digestive tumours CR) ; Marc  
28 Maynadié, Morgane Mounier (Côte d’Or malignant hemopathies CR) ; Anne-Sophie Woronoff  
29 (Doubs CR); Gaelle Coureau, Sylvain Maurisset (Gironde general CR); Marc Colonna (Isère  
30 CR); Florence Molinié (Loire-Atlantique CR); Simona Bara (Manche CR); Emilie Marrer  
31 (Haut Rhin CR); Bénédicte Lapôtre-Ledoux (Somme CR) ; Pascale Grosclaude, Laetitia  
32 Daubisse-Marliac (Tarn CR) ; Anne Cowppli-Bony (Vendée CR) ; Olivier Dejardin, Véronique  
33 Bouvier, Guy Launoy, Xavier Troussard (*Fédération des Registres de Cancers Bas-Normand*).  
34 Note: CR= Cancer Registry.

35

36 \* corresponding author: C Lemarchand, UMR 1086 Cancers et Préventions, Centre François  
37 Baclesse, Avenue du Général Harris, 14076 Caen Cedex 5 France;  
38 e-mail: [c.lemarchand@mail.baclesse.fr](mailto:c.lemarchand@mail.baclesse.fr)

39

40 **Word count:** 3,690

41 **Tables:** 6

42 **Figures:** None

43 **Keywords:** neoplasms, incidence, cohort studies, agriculture, farmers

44

45

46

47 **Abstract: 249 words**

48

49 **Background:** Numerous studies have been conducted among farmers but very few of them  
50 were large prospective cohorts and included a significant proportion of women and  
51 farmworkers. Our aim was to compare cancer incidence in the cohort (overall, by sex, by work  
52 on farm, occupational status and pesticide use) with the general population.

53 **Methods:** More than 180,000 participants in the AGRICAN cohort were matched to cancer  
54 registries to identify cancer cases diagnosed from enrolment (2005-2007) to 31<sup>st</sup> December  
55 2011. We calculated standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

56 **Results:** Over the period, 11,067 incident cancer cases were identified (7,304 men and 3,763  
57 women). Overall cancer incidence in the cohort and the general population was not different.  
58 Moreover, SIRs were significantly higher for prostate cancer (SIR= 1.07, 95%CI 1.03-1.11)  
59 and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (SIR= 1.09, 95%CI 1.01-1.18) among men, skin melanoma  
60 among women (SIR= 1.23, 95%CI 1.05-1.43) and multiple myeloma (men: SIR= 1.38, 95%CI  
61 1.18-1.62; women: SIR= 1.26, 95%CI 1.02-1.54). In contrast SIRs were lower for upper aero-  
62 digestive tract and respiratory cancers. Increase in risk was greater in male farmworkers for  
63 prostate and lip cancer, in female farmworkers for skin melanoma and in male farmowners for  
64 multiple myeloma. Moreover, incidence of multiple myeloma and skin melanoma was higher  
65 among male and female pesticide users respectively.

66 **Conclusion:** We found a decreased incidence for tobacco-related cancers and an increased  
67 incidence of prostate cancers, skin melanoma and multiple myeloma. Specific sub-groups had  
68 a higher cancer incidence related to occupational status and pesticide use.

## 69        **1. Introduction**

70

71    The relationship between farming and cancer has received considerable attention [1,2] and  
72    given rise to general meta-analyses [3,4] and assessment of specific cancers [5-8]. Most studies  
73    found a reduced risk in farmers for cancer overall, especially those related to tobacco smoking,  
74    and an excess risk for some others (lymphohematopoietic, prostate, brain, lip cancers and skin  
75    melanoma), but they were mainly restricted to the male population. Farming entails a large  
76    range of occupational hazards such as ultraviolet radiation, diesel exhaust, viruses, dust and  
77    pesticide use, the latter being the most widely studied regarding cancer risk in the agricultural  
78    context [9,10]. These exposures may differ according to gender, occupational status  
79    (farmowner, farmworker), and farm activities, leading potentially to different health effects. In  
80    some studies, various associations have been reported according to whether farmowners and  
81    farmworkers [11,12] or self-employed, employees and family workers [13] were studied.

82    Apart from small retrospective studies largely based on mortality data, more recent cohorts have  
83    provided results on cancer incidence among farmers, especially from Nordic countries [14],  
84    with data on type of farm production from farm registries [15] or from a large prospective cohort  
85    study, the Agricultural Health Study (AHS), including pesticide applicators (mainly white male  
86    farmowners) and their spouses [16].

87    In Europe, the AGRiculture and CANcer (AGRICAN) cohort study assess cancer risk in  
88    agricultural populations in France with detailed individual information on farming activities  
89    and life habits [17]. The analysis of mortality by cancers found overall lower cancer mortality  
90    especially for colon and rectal cancers among males, which was more pronounced for  
91    farmowners than for farmworkers [17]. Almost half of the AGRICAN subjects were women,  
92    so cancer risk could be investigated in this understudied population. Even if pesticide use on  
93    crops was infrequent among AGRICAN women, they used pesticides on animals or on

94 embankments and in farmyards. We assessed cancer risk in relation to various profiles (gender,  
95 occupational status, work on farm, pesticide use) in a large cohort of subjects insured by the  
96 farmers' health insurance scheme and enrolled in AGRICAN. This analysis is an initial part of  
97 the overall project which was and will be completed by further analyses on specific cancer sites,  
98 adjusting on potential confounders, with more detailed information on agricultural activities  
99 and additional duration of follow-up.

100

## 101 **2. Methods**

102

### 103 *2.1. Population, Data collection and Enrolment*

104 People involved in agriculture in France have a specific health insurance scheme, the *Mutualité*  
105 *Sociale Agricole* (MSA) that includes active and retired people, farmowners and farmworkers  
106 involved in agriculture-related jobs. This insurance agency concerns both people working on a  
107 farm but also beekeepers, foresters, oyster farmers, people working in agricultural cooperatives,  
108 and even tertiary workers serving the agricultural population, defined here as individuals who  
109 never worked on a farm. The AGRICAN cohort is described in detail elsewhere [17]. Briefly,  
110 181,842 subjects were enrolled between 2005 and 2007 with the following criteria: living in  
111 one of the 11 French geographical areas covered by one of the 16 general or specialised  
112 population-based cancer registries at the time of enrolment, being older than 18 years old and  
113 having been insured by the MSA for 3 years or more. A self-administered enrolment  
114 questionnaire was sent to collect individual data on demographic characteristics, lifestyle  
115 habits, health, occupational history and lifetime history (years of beginning and end of activities  
116 and tasks including pesticide use in each crop and animal) of 18 agricultural activities (5 types  
117 of animals and 13 types of crops). Information was also collected on herbicide use on  
118 embankments and/or farmyard.

119

120           2.2. *Cohort follow-up*

121 Subjects were followed from enrolment (1<sup>st</sup> November 2005–31<sup>st</sup> December 2007) to 31<sup>st</sup>  
122 December 2011. Incident and prevalent cancer cases were identified by cross-linkage with  
123 population-based cancer registries in the 11 geographical areas and were coded according to  
124 the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition (ICD–O–3). These  
125 registries meet high-quality criteria: the completeness and data quality are regularly assessed  
126 by the *Comité National des Registres* [French Institute of Health and Medical Research  
127 (INSERM), National Cancer Institute (Inca) and the French Institute for Public Health  
128 Surveillance (InVS)] and data are regularly published by IARC in *Cancer Incidence in Five*  
129 *Continents (CI5)*. Matching with cancer registries was based on married and maiden names,  
130 first names, gender, date and place of birth, place of residence, vital status and date of death (if  
131 applicable). Only malignant tumours (exclusion of in-situ) were used in this analysis, except  
132 non-melanoma skin cancers due to their non-exhaustive registration. Vital status and place of  
133 residence were checked annually using the MSA data, the French National Postal Service (La  
134 Poste) and the French National Death Index (*Répertoire National pour l'Identification des*  
135 *Personnes Physiques*). People moving outside the AGRICAN area (0.8%) were no longer  
136 followed for cancer diagnosis. Person-years accumulation was calculated from the date of  
137 reception of the enrolment questionnaire and ended at cancer diagnosis, date of death (11%),  
138 date of loss to follow-up (less than 2% of subjects) or 31<sup>st</sup> December 2011, whichever occurred  
139 first. For a given type of cancer, diagnosis between 1st January 2005 (date of implementation  
140 of the most recent registry in the areas concerned) and enrolment were considered as prevalent  
141 and excluded from analysis. Earlier information was not considered because of a major  
142 heterogeneity between registries (implementation of registries extended over 30 years), in order  
143 to avoid selection bias between areas.

144

145           2.3. *Analysis*

146 Standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) were computed to compare the cancer incidence in people  
147 enrolled in the cohort to the total population of the area covered by the study. The expected  
148 numbers of cancer cases were calculated by multiplying the number of person-years in each 5-  
149 year age group from 20-24 to  $\geq 85$  by the corresponding gender-, age- and geographical area-  
150 specific cancer incidence for the period of observation (2005-2011).

151 Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95% CI) for the SIRs were calculated as  
152 recommended by Breslow and Day [18]. Statistical significance was tested by the chi-square  
153 test on the assumption that the number of observed cases followed a Poisson distribution.

154 Stratified analyses were also conducted by sex, by farm work (ever/never worked on a farm  
155 during lifetime), and among subjects who ever worked on a farm, by occupational status  
156 (farmowner / farmworker) and pesticide use during lifetime (three exclusive categories: (1) at  
157 least pesticide use on crops, (2) use of insecticides on animals or herbicides on embankments  
158 or farmyard but no pesticides on crops and (3) no occupational pesticide use. To assess the  
159 robustness of associations, we performed complementary analyses by censoring cancer cases  
160 at the first date of diagnosis and i) excluding all prevalent cases between 2005 and enrolment  
161 and ii) excluding all prevalent cancers whatever the date of diagnosis. All statistical analyses  
162 were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

163

164           **3. Results**

165

166 General characteristics of the 181,842 subjects who returned the self-administered  
167 questionnaire are presented in Table 1. At enrolment, women (46% of the population) were  
168 older than men (mean age 66.2 and 62.0 respectively). Forty-three percent of men and 58% of

169 women had less than middle school education. Men were more often ever-smokers than women  
170 (55% *versus* 16%), with a greater intensity of cigarette-smoking, more often daily alcohol  
171 consumers (32% *versus* 8%) and more frequently overweight or obese (64% *versus* 48%). The  
172 mean duration of affiliation to the health insurance was nearly 24 years. Eighty-seven percent  
173 of men and 86% of women reported having ever worked on farm during lifetime (Table 2).  
174 About 10% of subjects never worked on a farm and a minority had worked in specific  
175 agriculture-related sectors (e.g. agricultural cooperative, fishing, forestry) but not on farm. We  
176 excluded the latter group from the stratified analysis owing to its size and heterogeneity. Among  
177 people who ever worked on farm, men were more often farmowners than women and less often  
178 retired (Supplementary materials 1). Farmworkers were older, more often smokers and had a  
179 lower level of education than farmowners (Supplementary materials 2). Men who ever worked  
180 on farm were more frequently users of pesticides on crops than women (84% *versus* 34%).  
181 More women than men were only involved in pesticide application in the courtyard or in  
182 animals (26% *versus* 9%) or used no pesticides (27% *versus* 7%). Men who ever applied  
183 pesticides more frequently had attained at least middle school, were less often smokers and  
184 were younger than those who never applied pesticides. On the other hand, women applying  
185 pesticides on crops were older than those applying pesticides on the courtyard or on animals  
186 and non-pesticide users (Supplementary materials 2).

187

### 188 *3.1. Overall cancer*

189 During the 5.1 years of follow-up (Supplementary materials 3), there were 7,304 incident cancer  
190 cases among men and 3,763 among women. No difference was observed for overall cancer  
191 incidence for both males (SIR= 0.99, 95%CI 0.97-1.01) and females (SIR= 0.98, 95%CI 0.95-  
192 1.02) even if the risk was decreased in farmowners in both genders and was increased in male  
193 farmworkers (SIR= 1.07, 95%CI 1.03-1.12) (Table 3). Cancer incidence was lower among male



194 pesticide users on crops (SIR= 0.94, 95%CI 0.91-0.97) and among other pesticide users for both  
195 males (SIR= 0.89, 95%CI 0.81-0.99) and females (SIR= 0.88, 95%CI 0.79-0.99)

196

### 197 *3.2. Upper aero-digestive tract and respiratory cancers*

198 A lower incidence was observed among both men and women for oral cavity and pharynx  
199 cancers (SIR= 0.61, 95%CI 0.53-0.70 and SIR= 0.48, 95%CI 0.33-0.69 respectively), for lung  
200 cancers (SIR= 0.58, 95%CI 0.54-0.63 and SIR= 0.66, 95%CI 0.56-0.77 respectively), and, for  
201 men only, for laryngeal cancers (SIR= 0.56, 95%CI 0.43-0.72) and mesothelioma (SIR= 0.36,  
202 95%CI 0.21-0.56). For most of these cancers, the decrease in risk was more pronounced among  
203 people who ever worked on farm, especially farmowners. No difference was observed  
204 according to pesticide use.

205

### 206 *3.3. Digestive cancers*

207 There was reduction on oesophageal, colon and pancreatic cancers in males, in rectal and anal  
208 cancer in females and in liver cancer in both. Men who ever worked on farm had a lower colon  
209 cancer incidence (SIR= 0.82, 95%CI 0.75-0.90) unlike males who did not work on a farm.  
210 Among women, pancreatic cancer was decreased among farmowners (SIR= 0.67, 95%CI 0.48-  
211 0.92) but was greater than one among farmworkers. No overall difference was observed for  
212 stomach cancer even though the risk was decreased in male farmowners (SIR=0.79, 95%CI  
213 0.65-0.96) and was increased in female farmworkers and women who never used pesticides.

214

### 215 *3.4. Reproductive and endocrine system cancers*

216 Men, especially those who ever worked on farm, had a significantly increased incidence of  
217 prostate cancer (SIR= 1.07, 95%CI 1.03-1.12), which was more pronounced among  
218 farmworkers and in subjects who ever (SIR= 1.09, 95%CI 1.03-1.15) or never used pesticides

219 (SIR= 1.27, 95%CI 1.06-1.50) (Table 4). The number of male breast cancer cases was higher  
220 than expected. Decreased risks were observed for breast and cervix uteri cancers among all  
221 women and those who ever worked on farm (SIR= 0.84, 95%CI 0.79-0.91 and SIR= 0.64,  
222 95%CI 0.42-0.95 respectively). Breast cancer risk was lower in women who used pesticides on  
223 crops (SIR= 0.70, 95%CI 0.57-0.84). No overall difference was observed for corpus uteri and  
224 ovarian cancers, but there were a higher number of ovarian cancers in pesticide users on crops.  
225 Thyroid cancer was significantly reduced in men who ever worked on farm (SIR= 0.62, 95%CI  
226 0.40-0.93) especially farmowners. No overall difference was observed in women whereas an  
227 increase was observed in female farmowners and those who never used pesticides.

228

### 229 *3.5. Lip and cutaneous cancers*

230 Unlike men, women experienced an increased risk of skin melanoma (SIR= 1.23 95%CI 1.05-  
231 1.43), mainly those using pesticides on crops (Table 5). An excess of lip cancer was observed  
232 in men, almost all cases occurring in men using pesticides on crops (SIR= 2.05, 95%CI 1.27-  
233 3.13). These excesses were more pronounced among farmworkers.

234

### 235 *3.6. Other solid tumors*

236 Brain cancers, mainly glioblastoma, were increased in men who ever worked on farm and  
237 female pesticide users. The incidence of bladder cancer was lower in men, particularly those  
238 who ever worked on farm (SIR= 0.65, 95%CI 0.57-0.75) similarly among farmowners and  
239 farmworkers. In contrast, the decrease in risk was seen only in female farmworkers (SIR= 0.58,  
240 95%CI 0.35-0.91).

241

### 242 *3.7. Haematological malignancies*

243 Men had an increased incidence of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) (SIR= 1.09, 95%CI 1.01-  
244 1.18) (Table 6). Higher risks were observed in men and women for multiple myeloma (SIR=  
245 1.38, 95%CI 1.18-1.62 and SIR= 1.26, 95%CI 1.02-1.54 respectively), more pronounced in  
246 male farmowners (SIR=1.59 95%CI 1.29-1.95) and pesticide users on crops (SIR= 1.49, 95%CI  
247 1.19-1.84). The incidence of follicular lymphoma was lower in men (SIR= 0.67, 95%CI 0.45-  
248 0.97). In contrast, myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) were more numerous among women  
249 (SIR= 1.34, 95%CI 1.08-1.63), particularly among farmowners (SIR=1.57 95%CI 1.12-2.13).

250

#### 251 **4. Discussion**

252

253 This work provides new results on cancer incidence in agricultural population in France. Since  
254 AGRICAN is a large prospective cohort study including farmowners and farmworkers and a  
255 significant proportion of women. Among the 567,157 subjects who met the inclusion criteria,  
256 181,842 returned the self-administered questionnaire and were included in the cohort. Even if  
257 women and younger people participated a little more, geographical distribution was similar  
258 between eligible and enrolled people as well as mean duration of affiliation, for both retired and  
259 active people (Levêque-Morlais, 2015). This large cohort enables the study of rare cancers or  
260 sub-types (for haematological, respiratory or brain cancers) specifically in understudied  
261 populations (i.e. women, farmworkers). Cancer diagnoses were collected exhaustively by  
262 linkage with population based cancer registries and with less than 2% of study subjects lost to  
263 follow-up in the cohort. Individual data on lifetime agricultural exposures were collected before  
264 cancer diagnosis, which limits differential information bias. Pesticide use on crops was less  
265 frequent in women but they also used pesticides on animals or embankments and in farmyard  
266 with a limited number of pesticides (some herbicides and insecticides) and under specific  
267 conditions of exposure (mainly manually). It was therefore possible to study cancer risk in a

268 group of non-pesticide users, thereby highlighting other possible occupational risk factors that  
269 seldom received attention.

270

271 There was no difference in the incidence of cancer between cohort members and the general  
272 population. However, the risk in farmowners was decreased for both genders. These findings  
273 are consistent with previous meta-analyses [3,4], a large Nordic cohort study [14] and the AHS  
274 study investigating private pesticide applicators (mainly farmowners) [19,20]. In a  
275 complementary analysis, after excluding all prevalent cancers and when cancer cases were  
276 censored at the first date of diagnosis, a significant lower incidence of overall cancer was  
277 observed. Even if the increase in risk did not persist among the understudied population of  
278 farmworkers, the lower cancer incidence remained more pronounced among farmowners, a  
279 finding that deserves further attention. Significant increased or decreased risks were more  
280 pronounced or similar for individual cancers (Supplementary materials 4).

281 An increased prostate cancer incidence was previously reported in farmers [3,4,7,14] and  
282 pesticide applicators [19-22]. The increased risk in pesticide users and in non-users suggests  
283 the role of various hazards such as direct or secondary exposure to pesticides applied on crops  
284 and animals and the exposure that grain and hay farmers undergo [23].

285 The decreased female breast cancer incidence we found is consistent with results from most  
286 cohort studies [14,24] although no significant difference was observed among private  
287 applicators and spouses in the AHS [20]. One explanation could be a difference in reproductive  
288 characteristics or hormone use, highly related to breast cancer risk. The elevated number of  
289 male breast cancers is in line with elevated mortality we observed previously [17]. This cancer  
290 has only rarely been studied in men and its aetiology remains largely unknown but Pukkala et  
291 al. observed a lower risk among farmers [14].

292 To date, thyroid cancer has received little attention among farmers but we observed an increased  
293 risk in women and a decreased risk or no difference in men. A suggestion of a higher incidence  
294 of thyroid cancer was found in the AHS only in commercial applicators [20]. A slight excess  
295 of ovarian cancer in pesticide users was also reported in the AHS in female users but not in  
296 spouses [19,20] or in women exposed to triazines in Italy [25].

297 Differences in incidence in farmers might be impacted by screening practices and farmers' level  
298 of participation. In France, a nationwide screening program was adopted for colorectal cancer  
299 in 2009 and for breast cancer in 2004, and individual screening exists for prostate, thyroid and  
300 cervical cancers. Few data are available and results are not consistent on participation rates of  
301 farmers in collective or individual screening although level of participation seems different  
302 according to health insurance scheme [26]. Preliminary results from a follow-up questionnaire  
303 demonstrated a lower participation rate in prostate cancer screening in AGRICAN than in the  
304 general population, invalidating the hypothesis that screening practices play a major role in our  
305 results.

306 For lip cancer, an elevated number of cases among farmers was previously observed in meta-  
307 analyses [3,4,27], in Nordic countries [14] and to a lesser extent among farmowners using  
308 pesticides in the AHS [19,20]. Solar radiation is the most suggested explanation even if other  
309 etiologic factors are related to lip cancer, such as viruses like herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-  
310 1), reduced immunity and tobacco-smoking [27,28], especially pipes [29].

311 Skin melanoma was also increased in AGRICAN especially among female farmworkers and  
312 pesticide users. Data on skin melanoma in farmers are not consistent. Blair et al. reported a  
313 significant excess of skin melanoma [3] as well as the review from Fortes and de Vries who  
314 observed an association with skin melanoma in both men and women in 9 of the 10 studies  
315 [30], but in contrast with the meta-analysis from Acquavella et al. [4] and the study in Nordic  
316 countries [14]. Finally, in the AHS, no difference was observed among applicators but skin

317 melanoma was more frequent in spouses [19,20]. A synergistic effect between occupational  
318 exposure to pesticides and sun exposure has been suggested [31] as well as the fact that chronic  
319 exposure could be a protective factor for skin melanoma [32].

320 An increase in risk was observed in men for all non-Hodgkin lymphomas. The strongest  
321 association with farming was observed for multiple myeloma in both sexes and it was stronger  
322 in male farmowners and pesticide applicators on crops. Several meta-analyses and a pooled  
323 analysis reported positive associations between multiple myeloma and farming [3,5,33,34] and  
324 among pesticide users in the AHS [19,20]. Furthermore, farmowners involved in open field  
325 activities [35] and pesticide users in the AHS [36] had a greater prevalence of a multiple  
326 myeloma precursor entity, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). In  
327 contrast, our results based on around 30 cases of follicular lymphoma suggested an unexpected  
328 decreased risk among men while no significant increased risk were observed in InterLymph  
329 [37] or either for private applicators nor spouses in the AHS, even if an increased risk was  
330 reported in North Carolina for private applicators [20].

331 The lower incidence of respiratory and bladder cancers can be partly explained by the lower  
332 prevalence of smoking in the present cohort [17] and in the AHS [19] and by exposure to  
333 putative protective factors, as suggested by observations in dairy farming in Italy [38], in  
334 poultry and large-scale livestock farming in the AHS study [39], and in AGRICAN especially  
335 after exposure during childhood and long-term exposure to cattle and horses [40].

336 While no overall excess of stomach cancer was observed among men in contrast to the findings  
337 of meta-analyses [3,4], a low increase was observed among women that was greater for  
338 farmworkers and for those who never used pesticides. Likewise, no excess risk was observed  
339 among private applicators in the AHS but a non-significant higher incidence was found for  
340 spouses in North Carolina [20]. The number of brain cancers was elevated in males, especially

341 glioblastomas. An excess of brain cancer in farmers was found in a meta-analysis [6] and only  
342 in commercial applicators in the AHS [19,20].

343

344 This analysis was performed after only 5 years of follow-up but the follow-up will continue to  
345 confirm the results especially for less frequent cancers. Our findings highlight the need to  
346 consider female and farmworkers specifically and they can be used to generate new hypotheses  
347 regarding the role of specific pesticides and other agricultural exposures in cancer aetiology.  
348 Finally, given the range of activities in farming in France and the need to take important  
349 confounding factors into account (e.g. tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, reproductive  
350 factors), internal analyses on individual cancer sites are underway to identify agricultural  
351 activities and tasks related to cancer risk.

352

353

354 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

355

356 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

357 We are indebted to S Deant, M Niez and V Tribouillard for processing data from the enrolment  
358 questionnaire and C Gaultier, AS Lacauve, C Meyer and E Niez for technical assistance, AC  
359 Cremieux (Caisse Centrale MSA), E Wavelet (MSA Pays de Loire), M Delanoë (MSA Midi  
360 Pyrénées Nord), P Herbrecht (MSA Alsace), T Busquet (MSA Gironde), JJ Laplante (MSA  
361 Franche Comté), Dominique Lenoir (MSA Bourgogne), P Pouzet (MSA Côtes Normandes), A  
362 Paumier (MSA Picardie), JM Thibaudier (MSA Alpes du Nord), who are members of the  
363 Steering Committee of the AGRICAN cohort, Zoé Uhry from the *Hospices Civils de Lyon* who  
364 provided specific incidence rates.

365 **FUNDING:**

366 The authors thank the *Ligue Contre le Cancer* for funding the PhD thesis of C. Lemarchand.  
367 This work was supported by the *Ligue Contre le Cancer (Nationale and Comités du Calvados,*  
368 *de l'Orne, de la Manche, du Maine et Loire et de Paris)*, the *Mutualité Sociale Agricole*  
369 *(caisse centrale et caisses des Alpes du Nord, de l'Alsace, de Bourgogne, des Côtes*  
370 *Normandes, de Franche Comté, de Gironde, de Loire Atlantique-Vendée, de Midi Pyrénées*  
371 *Nord, de la Picardie)*, the *Fondation de France* (Mr Edouard Serres), the *Agence Nationale*  
372 *de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail* (within the call for  
373 projects 2005, 2006 and 2010 of the program «*Environnement Santé Travail* » of ANSES,  
374 with funding from l'ONEMA in support of the Ecophyto 2018 plan), the *Institut National du*  
375 *Cancer* [grant number InCA 8422], the *Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer* [grant  
376 number ARC 02-010], the *Institut National de Médecine Agricole*, the *Conseil Régional de*  
377 *Basse Normandie*, and the *Centre François Baclesse*.  
378



## REFERENCE LIST

- 379
- 380
- 381 [1] Blair A. Cancer risks associated with agriculture: epidemiologic evidence. *Basic Life Sci.*  
382 1982;21:93-111:93-111.
- 383 [2] Blair A. Occupation and cancer in the Nordic countries. *Acta Oncol.* 2009;48(5):644-645.
- 384 [3] Blair A, Zahm SH, Pearce NE, Heinemen EF, Fraumeni JF. Clues to cancer etiology from  
385 studies of farmers. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1992;18(4):209-215.
- 386 [4] Acquavella J, Olsen G, Cole P et al. Cancer among farmers: a meta-analysis. *Ann Epidemiol.*  
387 1998;8(1):64-74.
- 388 [5] Khuder SA, Mutgi AB. Meta-analyses of multiple myeloma and farming. *Am J Ind Med.*  
389 1997;32(5):510-516.
- 390 [6] Khuder SA, Mutgi AB, Schaub EA. Meta-analyses of brain cancer and farming. *Am J Ind*  
391 *Med.* 1998;34(3):252-260.
- 392 [7] Keller-Byrne JE, Khuder SA, Schaub EA. Meta-analyses of prostate cancer and farming.  
393 *Am J Ind Med.* 1997;31(5):580-586.
- 394 [8] Keller-Byrne JE, Khuder SA, Schaub EA, McAfee O. A meta-analysis of non-Hodgkin's  
395 lymphoma among farmers in the central United States. *Am J Ind Med.* 1997;31(4):442-444.
- 396 [9] Alavanja MC, Bonner MR. Occupational pesticide exposures and cancer risk: a review. *J*  
397 *Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev.* 2012;15(4):238-263.
- 398 [10] Alavanja MC, Ross MK, Bonner MR. Increased cancer burden among pesticide applicators  
399 and others due to pesticide exposure. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2013;63(2):120-142.
- 400 [11] Bucchi L, Nanni O, Ravaioli A et al. Cancer mortality in a cohort of male agricultural  
401 workers from northern Italy. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2004;46(3):249-256.
- 402 [12] Nanni O, Ravaioli A, Bucchi L et al. Relative and absolute cancer mortality of women in  
403 agriculture in northern Italy. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2005;14(4):337-344.

- 404 [13] Ronco G, Costa G, Lynge E. Cancer risk among Danish and Italian farmers. *Br J Ind Med*.  
405 1992;49:220-225.
- 406 [14] Pukkala E, Martinsen JI, Lynge E, Gunnarsdottir HK, Sparén P, Tryggvadottir L, et al.  
407 Occupation and cancer - follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic countries. *Acta*  
408 *Oncol*. 2009;48(5):646-790.
- 409 [15] Laakkonen A, Pukkala E. Cancer incidence among Finnish farmers, 1995-2005. *Scand J*  
410 *Work Environ Health*. 2008 Feb;34(1):73-9.
- 411 [16] Alavanja MCR, Sandler DP, McMaster SB et al. The Agricultural Health Study. *Environ*  
412 *Health Perspect*. 1996;104(4):362-369.
- 413 [17] Levêque-Morlais N, Tual S, Clin B, Adjemian A, Baldi I, Lebailly P. The AGRiculture  
414 and CANcer (AGRICAN) cohort study: enrollment and causes of death for the 2005-2009  
415 period. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2015;88(1):61-73.
- 416 [18] Breslow NE, Day NE. Statistical methods in cancer research. Volume II--The design and  
417 analysis of cohort studies. *IARC Sci Publ*. 1987;(82):1-406.
- 418 [19] Alavanja MC, Sandler DP, Lynch CF et al. Cancer incidence in the agricultural health  
419 study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2005;31 Suppl 1:39-45.
- 420 [20] Koutros S, Alavanja MC, Lubin JH et al. An update of cancer incidence in the Agricultural  
421 Health Study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2010;52(11):1098-1105.
- 422 [21] Van Maele-Fabry G, Willems JL. Occupation related pesticide exposure and cancer of the  
423 prostate: a meta-analysis. *Occup Environ Med*. 2003;60(9):634-642.
- 424 [22] Van Maele-Fabry G, Willems JL. Prostate cancer among pesticide applicators: a meta-  
425 analysis. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2004;77(8):559-70.
- 426 [23] Lemarchand C, Tual S, Boulanger M, Levêque-Morlais N, Perrier S, Clin B, Guizard AV,  
427 Velten M, Rigaud E, Baldi I, Lebailly P. Prostate cancer risk among French farmers in  
428 the AGRICAN cohort. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2016 Mar 1;42(2):144-52.

- 429 [24] Mills PK, Shah P. Cancer incidence in California farm workers, 1988-2010. *Am J Ind*  
430 *Med.* 2014 Jul;57(7):737-47.
- 431 [25] Donna A, Crosignani P, Robutti F, Betta PG, Bocca R, Mariani N, et al. Triazine herbicides  
432 and ovarian epithelial neoplasms. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1989 Feb;15(1):47-53.
- 433 [26] Ouédraogo S, Dabakuyo-Yonli TS, Roussot A, Pornet C, Sarlin N, Lunaud P, et al.  
434 European transnational ecological deprivation index and participation in population-based  
435 breast cancer screening programmes in France. *Prev Med.* 2014 Jun;63:103-8.
- 436 [27] Khuder SA. Etiologic clues to lip cancer from epidemiologic studies on farmers. *Scand J*  
437 *Work Environ Health.* 1999;25(2):125-130.
- 438 [28] Moore S, Johnson N, Pierce A, Wilson D. The epidemiology of lip cancer: a review of  
439 global incidence and aetiology. *Oral Dis.* 1999;5(3):185-195.
- 440 [29] Doll R. Uncovering the effects of smoking: historical perspective. *Stat Methods Med Res.*  
441 1998;7(2):87-117.
- 442 [30] Fortes C, de Vries E. Nonsolar occupational risk factors for cutaneous melanoma. *Int J*  
443 *Dermatol.* 2008 Apr;47(4):319-28.
- 444 [31] Gordon CJ, Leon LR. Thermal stress and the physiological response to environmental  
445 toxicants. *Rev Environ Health.* 2005; 20:235–263.
- 446 [32] Parkin DM, Mesher D, Sasieni P. 13. Cancers attributable to solar (ultraviolet) radiation  
447 exposure in the UK in 2010. *Br J Cancer.* 2011;105 Suppl 2:S66-S69.
- 448 [33] Merhi M, Raynal H, Cahuzac E, Vinson F, Cravedi JP, Gamet-Payraastre L.. Occupational  
449 exposure to pesticides and risk of hematopoietic cancers: meta-analysis of case-control studies.  
450 *Cancer Causes Control.* 2007 Dec;18(10):1209-26.
- 451 [34] 't Mannetje A, De Roos AJ, Boffetta P, Vermeulen R, Benke G, Fritschi L, et al.  
452 Occupation and Risk of Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma and Its Subtypes: A Pooled Analysis from  
453 the InterLymph Consortium. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2016 Apr;124(4):396-405.

454 [35] Lecluse Y, Comby E, Mariotte D et al. Prevalence of monoclonal gammopathy of  
455 undetermined significance (MGUS) among farmers involved in open field farming and/or cattle  
456 breeding in France. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2015; 57(7):1727-30.

457 [36] Landgren O, Kyle RA, Hoppin JA et al. Pesticide exposure and risk of monoclonal  
458 gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) in the Agricultural Health Study. *Blood*.  
459 2009;18(113):6386-91.

460 [37] Linet MS, Vajdic CM, Morton LM, de Roos AJ, Skibola CF, Boffetta P, et al. Medical  
461 history, lifestyle, family history, and occupational risk factors for follicular lymphoma: the  
462 InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. *J Natl Cancer Inst*  
463 *Monogr*. 2014 Aug;2014(48):26-40.

464 [38] Mastrangelo G, Grange JM, Fadda E, Fedeli U, Buja A, Lange JH. Lung Cancer Risk:  
465 Effect of Dairy Farming and the Consequence of Removing that Occupational Exposure. *Am J*  
466 *Epidemiol*. 2005;161(11):1037-1046.

467 [39] Beane Freeman LE, Deroos AJ, Koutros S, Blair A, Ward MH, Alavanja M, Hoppin JA.  
468 Poultry and livestock exposure and cancer risk among farmers in the agricultural health study.  
469 *Cancer Causes Control*. 2012 May;23(5):663-70.

470 [40] Tual S, Lemarchand C, Boulanger M, Dalphin JC, Rachet B, Marcotullio E, Velten M,  
471 Guizard AV, Clin B, Baldi I, Lebailly P. Exposure to farm animals and lung cancer risk in the  
472 AGRICAN cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2017. In press.

473

Table 1: General characteristics of cohort members, AGRICAN, 2005-2007.

	Men (n= 98794)		Women (n= 83048)	
	n	%	n	%
<b>Age at enrolment (in years)</b>				
20-49	23922	24.2	13942	16.8
50-64	25560	25.9	18800	22.6
65-74	23579	23.9	21259	25.6
75-105	25733	26.0	29047	35.0
<b>Mean (Std)</b>	62.0 (15.8)		66.2 (15.2)	
<b>Highest grade completed</b>				
Less than middle school	40040	43.3	44226	58.1
Middle school or high school	42165	45.6	25578	33.6
More than high school	10220	11.1	6346	8.3
Missing	6369		6898	
<b>Smoking status</b>				
Never	41857	44.9	63130	84.5
Former	38086	40.8	7344	9.8
Current	13288	14.3	4255	5.7
Missing	5563		8319	
<b>Pack-years of cigarette-smoking</b>				
<20	28100	69.8	8228	86.9
20-39	9129	22.7	1060	11.2
40-59	2154	5.3	141	1.5
≥60	881	2.2	41	0.4
Missing	1635		472	
<b>Mean (Std)</b>	15.8 (15.5)		9.0 (10.4)	
<b>Alcohol consumption</b>				
Never	9067	9.8	24259	33.0
Monthly	8813	9.5	17630	24.0
Weekly	45123	48.8	25492	34.6
Daily	29466	31.9	6191	8.4
Missing	6325		9476	
<b>Body Mass Index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>				
Underweight <18.5	503	0.6	1798	2.7
Normal weight 18.5-24.9	31138	35.8	33487	49.7
Overweight 25.0-29.9	41896	48.2	22711	33.7
Obesity ≥30.0	13364	15.4	9336	13.9
Missing	11893		15716	
<b>Retired</b>				
No	54235	54.9	37129	44.7
Yes	44559	45.1	45919	55.3
<b>Duration of affiliation (in years)</b>				
3-9	23205	23.5	18444	22.2
10-19	18955	19.2	15940	19.2
20-29	17124	17.3	14440	17.4
30-39	27540	27.9	21898	26.4
≥40	11970	12.1	12326	18.8
<b>Mean (Std)</b>	23.7 (13.7)		24.2 (14.0)	

Abbreviations: Std = Standard deviation.

476 Table 2: Occupational characteristics of cohort members, AGRICAN, 2005-2007.

	Men (n= 98794)		Women (n= 83048)	
	n	%	n	%
<b>Work on farm</b>				
Ever	76933	87.1	59512	85.9
Other agricultural activities	2016	2.3	220	0.3
Never (mainly services sector)	9378	10.6	9520	13.8
Incomplete job history	10467		13796	
<b>Among people who ever worked on farm</b>				
<b>Occupational status</b>				
Farmowners	51351	66.7	31412	52.8
Farmworkers	25582	33.3	28100	47.2
<b>Pesticide use</b>				
Pesticides on crops	53435	84.2	10314	34.3
Herbicides in farmyard	44048	69.4	11038	36.7
Insecticides on animals	28642	45.1	6913	23.0
Only herbicides in farmyard or insecticides on animals	5912	9.3	7881	26.2
No pesticide use	4129	6.5	11851	27.0
Missing	13454		29466	

477

478

479 Table 3: Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for all sites, upper aero-digestive tract, respiratory and digestive cancers, 2005-2011

	All (n= 98794)		No work on farm (n= 9378)		Work on farm (n= 76933)		Occupational status				Pesticides on crops (n= 53435)		Pesticide use Other pesticides (n= 4598)		No pesticides (n= 3312)	
	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]
<b>Men</b>																
All sites	7304	0.99 [0.97-1.01]	645	1.02 [0.95-1.10]	5748	0.98 [0.95-1.00]	3619	<b>0.93 [0.90-0.96]</b>	2129	<b>1.07 [1.03-1.12]</b>	3611	<b>0.94 [0.91-0.97]</b>	400	<b>0.89 [0.81-0.99]</b>	362	1.09 [0.98-1.21]
<u>Upper aero-digestive tract and respiratory cancers:</u>																
Oral cavity and pharynx	192	<b>0.61 [0.53-0.70]</b>	22	0.69 [0.43-1.04]	140	<b>0.57 [0.48-0.67]</b>	82	<b>0.48 [0.38-0.60]</b>	58	<b>0.76 [0.58-0.99]</b>	83	<b>0.48 [0.38-0.60]</b>	8	<b>0.42 [0.18-0.83]</b>	7	0.54 [0.22-1.11]
Larynx	62	<b>0.56 [0.43-0.72]</b>	6	0.57 [0.21-1.24]	40	<b>0.46 [0.33-0.62]</b>	22	<b>0.37 [0.23-0.57]</b>	18	0.63 [0.37-1.00]	21	<b>0.35 [0.22-0.54]</b>	3	0.46 [0.09-1.33]	3	0.63 [0.13-1.85]
Trachea, bronchus and lung	625	<b>0.58 [0.54-0.63]</b>	74	<b>0.78 [0.61-0.97]</b>	466	<b>0.55 [0.50-0.60]</b>	282	<b>0.50 [0.44-0.56]</b>	184	<b>0.63 [0.55-0.73]</b>	278	<b>0.49 [0.44-0.55]</b>	33	<b>0.51 [0.35-0.72]</b>	26	<b>0.54 [0.35-0.78]</b>
Squamous cell	208	<b>0.63 [0.54-0.72]</b>	27	0.94 [0.62-1.37]	155	<b>0.59 [0.50-0.69]</b>	97	<b>0.56 [0.45-0.68]</b>	58	<b>0.64 [0.49-0.83]</b>	95	<b>0.55 [0.45-0.67]</b>	11	<b>0.54 [0.27-0.97]</b>	6	<b>0.40 [0.14-0.86]</b>
Adenocarcinoma	192	<b>0.54 [0.47-0.62]</b>	26	0.77 [0.51-1.13]	142	<b>0.51 [0.43-0.60]</b>	84	<b>0.45 [0.36-0.55]</b>	58	<b>0.62 [0.47-0.80]</b>	85	<b>0.45 [0.36-0.55]</b>	11	<b>0.53 [0.26-0.95]</b>	9	0.57 [0.26-1.09]
Mesothelioma	17	<b>0.36 [0.21-0.58]</b>	1	0.29 [0.00-1.60]	14	<b>0.37 [0.20-0.62]</b>	9	<b>0.36 [0.16-0.69]</b>	5	<b>0.38 [0.12-0.89]</b>	8	<b>0.33 [0.14-0.65]</b>	2	0.72 [0.08-2.61]	1	0.49 [0.01-2.72]
<u>Digestive organs:</u>																
Oesophagus	150	<b>0.78 [0.66-0.92]</b>	12	0.73 [0.38-1.27]	113	<b>0.74 [0.61-0.89]</b>	72	<b>0.70 [0.55-0.88]</b>	41	0.82 [0.59-1.11]	58	<b>0.57 [0.44-0.74]</b>	8	0.69 [0.30-1.35]	10	1.23 [0.59-2.26]
Stomach	217	0.90 [0.78-1.02]	10	0.56 [0.27-1.04]	169	0.87 [0.74-1.01]	102	<b>0.79 [0.65-0.96]</b>	67	1.00 [0.78-1.27]	114	0.93 [0.77-1.12]	8	0.53 [0.23-1.05]	9	0.81 [0.37-1.54]
Colon	609	<b>0.87 [0.80-0.94]</b>	66	1.25 [0.96-1.59]	465	<b>0.82 [0.75-0.90]</b>	275	<b>0.75 [0.67-0.85]</b>	190	0.95 [0.82-1.09]	299	<b>0.82 [0.73-0.92]</b>	31	0.76 [0.52-1.08]	26	0.82 [0.53-1.20]
Rectum	396	0.99 [0.89-1.09]	27	0.84 [0.55-1.22]	317	0.98 [0.88-1.10]	212	1.00 [0.87-1.14]	105	0.95 [0.78-1.15]	188	0.90 [0.78-1.04]	26	1.07 [0.70-1.57]	15	0.83 [0.47-1.37]
Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	257	<b>0.80 [0.70-0.90]</b>	21	0.76 [0.47-1.16]	197	<b>0.77 [0.66-0.88]</b>	138	<b>0.82 [0.69-0.97]</b>	59	<b>0.67 [0.51-0.86]</b>	120	<b>0.70 [0.58-0.84]</b>	17	0.92 [0.53-1.47]	8	0.58 [0.25-1.15]
Pancreas	179	<b>0.81 [0.70-0.94]</b>	14	0.77 [0.42-1.30]	145	<b>0.82 [0.69-0.97]</b>	97	0.85 [0.69-1.03]	48	0.78 [0.57-1.03]	89	<b>0.77 [0.62-0.95]</b>	11	0.85 [0.42-1.52]	10	1.01 [0.49-1.87]
Anus	6	0.47 [0.17-1.03]	1	0.92 [0.01-5.11]	4	0.40 [0.11-1.01]	2	0.31 [0.03-1.11]	2	0.56 [0.06-2.03]	3	0.45 [0.09-1.31]	1	1.37 [0.02-7.62]	0	-
<b>Women</b>																
All sites	3763	0.98 [0.95-1.02]	342	1.08 [0.97-1.20]	2708	<b>0.95 [0.91-0.98]</b>	1346	<b>0.93 [0.88-0.98]</b>	1362	0.97 [0.92-1.02]	474	0.97 [0.88-1.06]	303	<b>0.88 [0.79-0.99]</b>	533	1.01 [0.92-1.09]
<u>Upper aero-digestive tract and respiratory cancers:</u>																
Oral cavity and pharynx	30	<b>0.48 [0.33-0.69]</b>	4	0.65 [0.17-1.66]	20	<b>0.44 [0.27-0.68]</b>	9	<b>0.37 [0.17-0.70]</b>	11	<b>0.53 [0.26-0.94]</b>	1	0.13 [0.00-0.71]	1	0.17 [0.00-0.96]	5	0.57 [0.18-1.32]
Larynx	7	0.78 [0.31-1.61]	0	-	7	1.06 [0.43-2.19]	3	0.82 [0.16-2.40]	4	1.37 [0.37-3.51]	0	-	0	-	1	0.78 [0.01-4.36]
Trachea, bronchus and lung	150	<b>0.66 [0.56-0.77]</b>	15	0.73 [0.41-1.20]	100	<b>0.59 [0.48-0.72]</b>	47	<b>0.54 [0.40-0.72]</b>	53	<b>0.64 [0.48-0.83]</b>	16	<b>0.54 [0.31-0.88]</b>	14	0.69 [0.38-1.15]	18	<b>0.56 [0.33-0.88]</b>
Squamous cell	17	<b>0.54 [0.31-0.86]</b>	2	0.75 [0.08-2.70]	9	<b>0.38 [0.17-0.72]</b>	6	0.50 [0.18-1.09]	3	<b>0.26 [0.05-0.75]</b>	1	0.25 [0.00-1.36]	3	1.06 [0.21-3.10]	1	0.23 [0.00-1.27]
Adenocarcinoma	96	0.82 [0.66-1.00]	10	0.91 [0.43-1.67]	65	<b>0.75 [0.58-0.96]</b>	32	0.72 [0.49-1.01]	33	0.79 [0.54-1.11]	13	0.87 [0.46-1.49]	7	0.67 [0.27-1.38]	12	0.73 [0.37-1.27]
Mesothelioma	7	0.74 [0.30-1.52]	1	1.65 [0.02-9.20]	6	0.83 [0.30-1.81]	3	0.83 [0.17-2.42]	3	0.84 [0.14-2.45]	3	2.60 [0.52-7.59]	1	1.24 [0.02-6.91]	0	-
<u>Digestive organs:</u>																
Oesophagus	24	0.73 [0.47-1.08]	2	0.85 [0.10-3.06]	17	0.68 [0.40-1.10]	6	0.50 [0.18-1.10]	11	0.85 [0.42-1.52]	3	0.73 [0.15-2.15]	1	0.34 [0.00-1.89]	5	1.11 [0.36-2.60]
Stomach	100	1.05 [0.85-1.28]	6	1.13 [0.41-2.46]	82	1.12 [0.89-1.39]	35	1.02 [0.71-1.42]	47	1.21 [0.89-1.61]	11	0.91 [0.45-1.62]	7	0.83 [0.33-1.72]	17	1.32 [0.77-2.11]
Colon	424	0.95 [0.86-1.05]	23	0.87 [0.55-1.31]	319	0.94 [0.84-1.05]	153	1.01 [0.85-1.18]	166	0.89 [0.76-1.03]	60	1.04 [0.80-1.34]	31	0.83 [0.56-1.17]	55	0.91 [0.69-1.19]
Rectum	162	<b>0.84 [0.72-0.98]</b>	14	1.08 [0.59-1.81]	122	0.84 [0.69-1.00]	55	0.79 [0.60-1.03]	67	0.88 [0.68-1.11]	19	0.78 [0.47-1.22]	21	1.24 [0.77-1.90]	15	<b>0.58 [0.32-0.95]</b>
Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	43	<b>0.71 [0.51-0.95]</b>	4	1.04 [0.28-2.66]	32	<b>0.70 [0.48-0.98]</b>	14	0.69 [0.38-1.16]	18	0.70 [0.41-1.11]	9	1.16 [0.53-2.20]	4	0.79 [0.21-2.02]	6	0.73 [0.27-1.59]
Pancreas	157	0.93 [0.79-1.09]	13	1.27 [0.67-2.16]	118	0.92 [0.76-1.10]	38	<b>0.67 [0.48-0.92]</b>	80	1.12 [0.89-1.39]	22	1.01 [0.63-1.53]	9	0.63 [0.29-1.19]	21	0.91 [0.57-1.40]
Anus	14	<b>0.55 [0.30-0.93]</b>	2	0.90 [0.10-3.26]	8	<b>0.42 [0.18-0.84]</b>	6	0.61 [0.22-1.34]	2	0.22 [0.02-0.80]	2	0.63 [0.07-2.28]	1	0.43 [0.01-2.42]	1	0.28 [0.00-1.57]

480

481

482 Table 4: Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for reproductive and endocrine system cancers, 2005-2011

	All (n= 98794)		No work on farm (n= 9378)		Work on farm (n= 76933)		Occupational status				Pesticides on crops (n= 53435)		Pesticide use Other pesticides (n= 4598)		No pesticides (n= 3312)	
	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	Farmowners (n= 51351)		Farmworkers (n= 25582)		N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]
<b>Men</b>																
Breast	20	1.02 [0.62-1.58]	1	0.60 [0.01-3.33]	19	1.22 [0.73-1.90]	12	1.18 [0.61-2.05]	7	1.30 [0.52-2.68]	11	1.07 [0.53-1.92]	2	1.75 [0.20-6.31]	0	-
Prostate	2538	<b>1.07 [1.03-1.11]</b>	207	0.97 [0.84-1.11]	2032	<b>1.07 [1.03-1.12]</b>	1286	1.02 [0.97-1.08]	746	<b>1.17 [1.09-1.26]</b>	1345	<b>1.09 [1.03-1.15]</b>	125	0.85 [0.71-1.02]	136	<b>1.27 [1.06-1.50]</b>
Testis	16	0.78 [0.44-1.26]	5	2.25 [0.72-5.24]	10	0.65 [0.31-1.20]	6	0.59 [0.22-1.28]	4	0.77 [0.21-1.98]	8	0.69 [0.30-1.35]	0	-	0	-
Thyroid	39	0.79 [0.56-1.07]	6	1.14 [0.41-2.47]	24	<b>0.62 [0.40-0.93]</b>	15	<b>0.58 [0.32-0.96]</b>	9	0.71 [0.32-1.35]	19	0.69 [0.41-1.07]	1	0.36 [0.00-2.00]	2	0.96 [0.11-3.45]
<b>Women</b>																
Breast	1086	<b>0.89 [0.84-0.95]</b>	139	1.08 [0.91-1.28]	749	<b>0.84 [0.79-0.91]</b>	426	<b>0.88 [0.80-0.97]</b>	323	<b>0.80 [0.72-0.89]</b>	105	<b>0.70 [0.57-0.84]</b>	94	0.82 [0.66-1.00]	181	1.05 [0.91-1.22]
Cervix uteri	38	<b>0.70 [0.50-0.96]</b>	3	0.49 [0.10-1.42]	25	<b>0.64 [0.42-0.95]</b>	11	<b>0.53 [0.27-0.96]</b>	14	0.77 [0.42-1.29]	5	0.72 [0.23-1.69]	2	0.39 [0.04-1.40]	6	0.78 [0.29-1.70]
Corpus uteri	213	1.03 [0.90-1.18]	18	1.05 [0.62-1.66]	159	1.03 [0.87-1.20]	78	0.97 [0.77-1.21]	81	1.08 [0.86-1.34]	27	1.02 [0.67-1.49]	16	0.87 [0.50-1.41]	32	1.12 [0.77-1.59]
Ovary	145	1.06 [0.89-1.24]	15	1.33 [0.74-2.19]	103	1.00 [0.81-1.21]	52	0.94 [0.70-1.23]	51	1.06 [0.79-1.40]	22	1.28 [0.80-1.93]	10	0.79 [0.38-1.45]	19	1.01 [0.61-1.57]
Thyroid	101	1.05 [0.86-1.28]	16	1.11 [0.63-1.79]	69	1.04 [0.81-1.32]	47	1.21 [0.89-1.61]	22	0.80 [0.50-1.21]	12	1.04 [0.54-1.82]	11	1.22 [0.61-2.18]	21	1.44 [0.89-2.20]

483

484

485 Table 5: Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for lip, cutaneous cancers and other solid tumors, 2005-2011

	All (n= 98794)		No work on farm (n= 9378)		Work on farm (n= 76933)		Occupational status				Pesticides on crops (n= 53435)		Pesticide use Other pesticides (n= 4598)		No pesticides (n= 3312)	
	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	Farmowners (n= 51351)		Farmworkers (n= 25582)		N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]
<b>Men</b>																
<u>Lip and cutaneous cancers:</u>																
Lip	27	1.38 [0.91-2.01]	0	-	27	<b>1.69 [1.11-2.45]</b>	12	1.11 [0.57-1.94]	15	<b>2.87 [1.61-4.74]</b>	21	<b>2.05 [1.27-3.13]</b>	1	0.83 [0.01-4.60]	0	-
Skin melanoma	178	0.96 [0.83-1.12]	17	1.05 [0.61-1.69]	137	0.94 [0.79-1.11]	75	<b>0.78 [0.61-0.98]</b>	62	1.24 [0.95-1.59]	96	0.98 [0.80-1.20]	12	1.14 [0.59-2.00]	6	0.74 [0.27-1.62]
<u>Other solid tumours:</u>																
Central nervous system	87	0.96 [0.77-1.18]	3	0.37 [0.08-1.09]	76	1.06 [0.83-1.32]	56	1.17 [0.88-1.52]	20	0.83 [0.51-1.28]	52	1.09 [0.81-1.43]	5	0.90 [0.29-2.11]	2	0.50 [0.06-1.79]
Gliomas	81	1.00 [0.79-1.24]	3	0.40 [0.08-1.18]	70	1.09 [0.85-1.38]	50	1.16 [0.86-1.53]	20	0.94 [0.57-1.45]	48	1.12 [0.83-1.48]	5	1.00 [0.32-2.33]	2	0.55 [0.06-1.98]
Glioblastomas	56	1.04 [0.78-1.35]	3	0.60 [0.12-1.76]	48	1.12 [0.83-1.49]	36	1.26 [0.88-1.74]	12	0.85 [0.44-1.48]	32	1.12 [0.77-1.59]	3	0.91 [0.18-2.66]	2	0.81 [0.09-2.93]
Bladder	278	<b>0.67 [0.60-0.76]</b>	32	1.04 [0.71-1.47]	217	<b>0.65 [0.57-0.75]</b>	133	<b>0.62 [0.52-0.74]</b>	84	<b>0.70 [0.56-0.87]</b>	124	<b>0.59 [0.49-0.70]</b>	14	<b>0.58 [0.31-0.97]</b>	18	0.93 [0.55-1.47]
Kidney	301	0.99 [0.88-1.11]	27	1.05 [0.69-1.52]	236	0.97 [0.85-1.10]	157	0.99 [0.84-1.15]	79	0.94 [0.75-1.18]	151	0.94 [0.80-1.11]	16	0.91 [0.52-1.47]	17	1.23 [0.71-1.97]
<b>Women</b>																
<u>Lip and cutaneous cancers:</u>																
Lip	4	0.75 [0.20-1.92]	0	-	1	0.24 [0.00-1.35]	1	0.58 [0.01-3.20]	0	-	0	-	1	2.24 [0.03-12.45]	0	-
Skin melanoma	169	<b>1.23 [1.05-1.43]</b>	18	1.36 [0.80-2.14]	123	<b>1.21 [1.01-1.45]</b>	61	1.14 [0.87-1.46]	62	<b>1.30 [1.00-1.66]</b>	26	1.50 [0.98-2.19]	10	0.80 [0.38-1.48]	18	0.93 [0.55-1.46]
<u>Other solid tumours:</u>																
Central nervous system	52	0.96 [0.72-1.26]	3	0.66 [0.13-1.92]	40	0.99 [0.71-1.35]	16	0.80 [0.46-1.30]	24	1.18 [0.76-1.76]	10	1.45 [0.69-2.66]	8	1.68 [0.72-3.31]	5	0.67 [0.22-1.56]
Gliomas	43	0.94 [0.68-1.26]	3	0.72 [0.15-2.12]	31	0.91 [0.62-1.29]	14	0.78 [0.43-1.32]	17	1.04 [0.61-1.67]	8	1.37 [0.59-2.71]	5	1.22 [0.39-2.85]	4	0.63 [0.17-1.62]
Glioblastomas	26	0.88 [0.58-1.29]	2	0.78 [0.09-2.80]	20	0.91 [0.55-1.40]	9	0.76 [0.35-1.45]	11	1.07 [0.54-1.92]	5	1.32 [0.42-3.07]	4	1.56 [0.42-3.99]	2	0.49 [0.05-1.77]
Bladder	60	0.79 [0.61-1.02]	2	0.51 [0.06-1.82]	43	0.74 [0.54-1.00]	24	0.95 [0.61-1.42]	19	<b>0.58 [0.35-0.91]</b>	10	1.00 [0.48-1.83]	5	0.79 [0.26-1.85]	5	0.49 [0.16-1.13]
Kidney	118	0.99 [0.82-1.18]	8	0.92 [0.39-1.81]	86	0.95 [0.76-1.18]	46	1.02 [0.74-1.36]	40	0.89 [0.64-1.21]	18	1.16 [0.68-1.83]	7	0.68 [0.27-1.39]	13	0.80 [0.42-1.37]

486

487



488 Table 6: Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for haematological malignancies, 2005-2011

	All (n= 98794)		No work on farm (n= 9378)		Work on farm (n= 76933)		Occupational status				Pesticide use		No pesticides			
	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	Farmowners (n= 51351)		Farmworkers (n= 25582)		Pesticides on crops (n= 53435)		Other pesticides (n= 4598)		No pesticides (n= 3312)	
	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]	N obs	SIR [95%CI]
<b>Men</b>																
Hodgkin Lymphoma	24	1.28 [0.82-1.90]	2	1.18 [0.13-4.27]	19	1.29 [0.78-2.02]	10	1.04 [0.50-1.92]	9	1.75 [0.80-3.33]	10	0.97 [0.46-1.78]	2	1.88 [0.21-6.79]	3	3.81 [0.77-11.14]
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma	644	<b>1.09 [1.01-1.18]</b>	57	1.23 [0.93-1.59]	516	1.09 [1.00-1.19]	336	1.10 [0.98-1.22]	180	1.08 [0.92-1.25]	310	1.01 [0.90-1.12]	40	1.16 [0.83-1.58]	32	1.23 [0.84-1.73]
Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/lymphocytic lymphoma	151	1.09 [0.93-1.28]	13	1.21 [0.64-2.07]	124	1.12 [0.93-1.33]	80	1.11 [0.88-1.38]	44	1.12 [0.82-1.51]	69	0.97 [0.75-1.22]	12	1.45 [0.75-2.53]	7	1.12 [0.45-2.30]
Follicular lymphoma	29	<b>0.67 [0.45-0.97]</b>	4	1.00 [0.27-2.56]	22	<b>0.65 [0.40-0.98]</b>	17	0.75 [0.44-1.20]	5	0.44 [0.14-1.03]	13	<b>0.56 [0.30-0.95]</b>	2	0.82 [0.09-2.97]	2	1.11 [0.12-3.99]
Malignant lymphoma, large B-cell, diffuse	116	1.12 [0.93-1.34]	8	1.02 [0.44-2.01]	92	1.10 [0.89-1.35]	57	1.06 [0.80-1.37]	35	1.19 [0.83-1.65]	50	0.93 [0.69-1.23]	6	0.98 [0.36-2.14]	8	1.74 [0.75-3.42]
Mantle cell lymphoma	21	0.96 [0.59-1.47]	2	1.12 [0.13-4.05]	13	0.74 [0.39-1.27]	9	0.80 [0.36-1.52]	4	0.64 [0.17-1.63]	7	0.62 [0.25-1.27]	3	2.31 [0.46-6.75]	1	1.00 [0.01-5.54]
Marginal zone lymphoma	35	1.02 [0.71-1.42]	1	0.36 [0.00-2.00]	27	0.98 [0.65-1.43]	15	0.86 [0.48-1.41]	12	1.21 [0.62-2.11]	16	0.90 [0.51-1.46]	2	0.97 [0.11-3.51]	2	1.29 [0.14-4.65]
Multiple myeloma and plasmocytoma	157	<b>1.38 [1.18-1.62]</b>	13	1.49 [0.79-2.54]	129	<b>1.42 [1.18-1.68]</b>	93	<b>1.59 [1.29-1.95]</b>	36	1.10 [0.77-1.52]	88	<b>1.49 [1.19-1.84]</b>	8	1.23 [0.53-2.42]	5	0.98 [0.32-2.29]
Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma/Waldenström	49	1.03 [0.77-1.37]	4	1.15 [0.31-2.93]	44	1.15 [0.83-1.54]	29	1.16 [0.78-1.66]	15	1.12 [0.63-1.86]	28	1.12 [0.75-1.62]	3	1.10 [0.22-3.22]	2	1.00 [0.11-3.61]
NK/T-cell lymphoma	40	1.16 [0.83-1.58]	5	1.71 [0.55-3.99]	28	1.02 [0.68-1.48]	14	0.79 [0.43-1.33]	14	1.45 [0.79-2.43]	17	0.94 [0.55-1.50]	2	1.02 [0.11-3.68]	2	1.32 [0.15-4.77]
Mycosis fungoides	11	0.99 [0.49-1.77]	2	2.03 [0.23-7.34]	8	0.91 [0.39-1.80]	3	-	5	1.56 [0.50-3.65]	5	0.85 [0.28-1.99]	0	-	1	1.95 [0.03-10.84]
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma NOS	29	0.87 [0.58-1.25]	4	1.78 [0.48-4.55]	24	0.89 [0.57-1.32]	14	0.81 [0.44-1.36]	10	1.02 [0.49-1.87]	13	0.73 [0.39-1.26]	1	0.57 [0.01-3.15]	2	1.43 [0.16-5.17]
Acute myeloid leukaemia	64	1.00 [0.77-1.28]	8	1.68 [0.72-3.31]	50	0.97 [0.72-1.28]	29	0.88 [0.59-1.27]	21	1.12 [0.69-1.72]	25	0.76 [0.49-1.12]	4	1.09 [0.29-2.79]	4	1.38 [0.37-3.53]
Chronic myeloproliferative disorders	72	0.86 [0.67-1.08]	5	0.74 [0.24-1.73]	62	0.93 [0.71-1.19]	31	0.71 [0.48-1.01]	31	1.32 [0.89-1.87]	29	<b>0.66 [0.44-0.95]</b>	10	2.01 [0.96-3.69]	6	1.61 [0.59-3.50]
Myelodysplastic syndrome	122	0.93 [0.77-1.11]	6	0.73 [0.27-1.59]	96	0.90 [0.73-1.10]	67	0.99 [0.77-1.26]	29	0.74 [0.50-1.07]	59	0.91 [0.69-1.18]	5	0.63 [0.20-1.48]	7	1.10 [0.44-2.27]
Cutaneous lymphoma	25	1.02 [0.66-1.50]	2	0.97 [0.11-3.49]	19	0.97 [0.59-1.52]	11	0.87 [0.43-1.56]	8	1.16 [0.50-2.29]	11	0.84 [0.42-1.51]	4	2.95 [0.79-7.56]	1	0.94 [0.01-5.23]
<b>Women</b>																
Hodgkin Lymphoma	10	1.10 [0.52-2.01]	2	1.84 [0.21-6.65]	6	0.93 [0.34-2.02]	4	1.20 [0.32-3.07]	2	0.64 [0.07-2.32]	2	1.75 [0.20-6.32]	1	1.18 [0.02-6.55]	0	-
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma	367	1.05 [0.94-1.16]	29	1.21 [0.81-1.74]	262	0.99 [0.87-1.11]	110	0.89 [0.73-1.08]	152	1.07 [0.91-1.25]	48	1.10 [0.81-1.45]	32	1.05 [0.72-1.49]	56	1.18 [0.89-1.54]
Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/lymphocytic lymphoma	67	0.94 [0.73-1.19]	5	1.06 [0.34-2.48]	51	0.94 [0.70-1.23]	22	0.86 [0.54-1.30]	29	1.01 [0.67-1.45]	13	1.48 [0.79-2.53]	5	0.80 [0.26-1.86]	9	0.93 [0.43-1.77]
Follicular lymphoma	33	1.02 [0.70-1.43]	2	0.68 [0.08-2.44]	25	1.04 [0.67-1.53]	12	0.92 [0.47-1.60]	13	1.18 [0.63-2.01]	7	1.76 [0.70-3.62]	4	1.36 [0.36-3.47]	4	0.89 [0.24-2.27]
Malignant lymphoma, large B-cell, diffuse	70	0.97 [0.75-1.22]	6	1.32 [0.48-2.88]	43	0.78 [0.57-1.05]	17	0.69 [0.40-1.10]	26	0.86 [0.56-1.26]	7	0.76 [0.31-1.58]	4	0.65 [0.17-1.65]	12	1.23 [0.64-2.15]
Mantle cell lymphoma	7	1.10 [0.44-2.27]	0	-	6	1.24 [0.45-2.71]	2	0.81 [0.09-2.93]	4	1.69 [0.46-4.34]	1	1.28 [0.02-7.12]	1	1.70 [0.02-9.47]	1	1.18 [0.02-6.57]
Marginal zone lymphoma	27	1.10 [0.73-1.60]	1	0.56 [0.01-3.09]	22	1.19 [0.75-1.80]	7	0.80 [0.32-1.64]	15	1.55 [0.87-2.55]	5	1.65 [0.53-3.86]	4	1.87 [0.50-4.79]	5	1.49 [0.48-3.48]
Multiple myeloma and plasmocytoma	97	<b>1.26 [1.02-1.54]</b>	9	1.79 [0.82-3.41]	75	<b>1.29 [1.01-1.61]</b>	35	1.33 [0.92-1.85]	40	1.25 [0.89-1.70]	11	1.12 [0.56-2.01]	8	1.21 [0.52-2.39]	15	1.46 [0.81-2.40]
Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma/Waldenström	24	1.18 [0.76-1.76]	0	-	16	1.03 [0.59-1.67]	6	0.89 [0.32-1.93]	10	1.14 [0.54-2.09]	2	0.79 [0.09-2.87]	2	1.18 [0.13-4.24]	3	1.12 [0.22-3.27]
NK/T-cell lymphoma	24	1.40 [0.90-2.08]	6	<b>4.45 [1.63-9.69]</b>	13	1.01 [0.54-1.72]	7	1.11 [0.44-2.28]	6	0.91 [0.33-1.99]	0	-	2	1.33 [0.15-4.79]	4	1.72 [0.46-4.40]
Mycosis fungoides	2	0.51 [0.06-1.84]	1	2.45 [0.03-13.61]	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma NOS	14	0.66 [0.36-1.11]	0	-	8	<b>0.49 [0.21-0.97]</b>	1	<b>0.16 [0.00-0.87]</b>	7	0.71 [0.28-1.47]	1	0.37 [0.00-2.06]	0	-	3	1.04 [0.21-3.04]
Acute myeloid leukaemia	40	1.05 [0.75-1.43]	3	1.10 [0.22-3.23]	26	0.91 [0.59-1.33]	8	0.60 [0.26-1.17]	18	1.18 [0.70-1.86]	7	1.43 [0.57-2.95]	3	0.91 [0.18-2.66]	6	1.15 [0.42-2.49]
Chronic myeloproliferative disorders	58	1.08 [0.82-1.40]	8	2.07 [0.89-4.09]	40	0.99 [0.71-1.35]	27	1.38 [0.91-2.00]	13	0.63 [0.33-1.07]	5	0.74 [0.24-1.72]	4	0.83 [0.22-2.14]	11	1.51 [0.75-2.71]
Myelodysplastic syndrome	97	<b>1.34 [1.08-1.63]</b>	5	1.47 [0.47-3.43]	78	<b>1.40 [1.10-1.74]</b>	40	<b>1.57 [1.12-2.13]</b>	38	1.25 [0.89-1.72]	14	1.45 [0.79-2.44]	9	1.48 [0.67-2.80]	12	1.22 [0.63-2.13]
Cutaneous lymphoma	14	1.04 [0.57-1.75]	4	<b>3.79 [1.02-9.70]</b>	7	0.69 [0.28-1.43]	2	0.43 [0.05-1.54]	5	0.92 [0.30-2.16]	1	0.59 [0.01-3.28]	2	1.76 [0.20-6.36]	0	-