1	Predictive modelling of Ross River virus notifications in south-eastern
2	Australia.
3	
4	Z. Cutcher ^{a,b} , E. Williamson ^{a,c} , S. E. Lynch ^d , S. Rowe ^b ,
5	H. J. Clothier ^a , S. M. Firestone ^{e *}
6	
7 8 9	^a Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia.
10 11 12 13	^b Victorian Department of Health and Human Services, Communicable Disease Epidemiology and Surveillance, Health Protection Branch, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia.
14	^c London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.
15 16 17 18 19	^d Victorian Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, Biosciences Research Division, AgriBio Centre, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia.
20 21 22 23 24	^e Asia-Pacific Centre for Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia.
25 26 27 28	*Corresponding author: Tel: +61 3 9035 7891; Fax: +61 3 8344 7374; E-mail: Simon.Firestone@unimelb.edu.au
29 30	Running head: Ross River virus modelling in south-east Australia

31	Summary
32	Ross River virus (RRV) is a mosquito-borne virus endemic to Australia. The
33	disease, marked by arthritis, myalgia and rash, has a complex epidemiology
34	involving several mosquito species and wildlife reservoirs. Outbreak years
35	coincide with climatic conditions conducive to mosquito population growth.
36	
37	We developed regression models for human RRV notifications in the Mildura
38	Local Government Area, Victoria, Australia with the objective of increasing
39	understanding of the relationships in this complex system, providing trigger points
40	for intervention and developing a forecast model. Surveillance, climatic,
41	environmental and entomological data for the period July 2000-June 2011 were
42	used for model training then forecasts were validated for July 2011–June 2015.
43	
44	Rainfall and vapour pressure were the key factors for forecasting RRV
45	notifications. Validation of models showed they predicted RRV counts with an
46	accuracy of 81%. Two major RRV mosquito vectors (Culex annulirostris and
47	Aedes camptorhynchus) were important in the final estimation model at proximal
48	lags.
49	
50	The findings of this analysis advance understanding of the drivers of RRV in
51	temperate climatic zones and the models will inform public health agencies of
52	periods of increased risk.

1. Introduction

53

75

54 Ross River virus (RRV), Family Togaviridae Genus Alphavirus, is the most 55 common mosquito-borne virus in Australia, with the largest burden occurring in 56 the tropical north [1]. Symptoms in humans include debilitating fatigue, muscle 57 and joint pain that persist between 3–6 months, and up to a year in some cases [2], 58 leading to significant morbidity and economic loss [3]. However, 55–75% of 59 cases are asymptomatic [4]. 60 In the southeast State of Victoria, RRV is endemic with seasonal incidence. Most 61 62 cases occur during the Southern hemisphere summer and early autumn, so reporting of arbovirus notifiable disease surveillance data typically refers to 63 64 Australian financial years (1 July to 30 June the following calendar year) [1]. In the period July 2005–June 2010, a mean of 214 human cases were notified per 65 year in Victoria (3.8 per 100,000 people per year), with the majority acquiring 66 67 infection in either northern regions of the State (the Murray Valley) or southeast 68 coastal regions [1]. Outbreaks have occurred in 1992/93, 1996/97, and more 69 recently in 2010/11 when 1312 cases were notified across the State (23.3 per 70 100,000 people) [5]. 71 72 The epidemiology of RRV is complex with the disease maintained in wildlife 73 reservoirs and transmitted to humans by mosquitoes, with human-mosquito-74 human transmission potentially occurring during epidemics [4]. The virus has

been isolated from over 40 different mosquito species however only a small

76	number are thought to be competent vectors [6]. The predominant mosquito
77	vector species vary by location and season. Macropods are thought to be the major
78	wildlife reservoir, which also vary by ecological niche. Other marsupials,
79	rodents and flying foxes may also be involved [6], particularly in urban areas [4].
80	Horses can also be clinically infected [7], however their role in amplifying the
81	virus is unclear.
82	
83	1.1. Arboviral surveillance and intervention in Victoria
84	Ross River virus is a notifiable human disease under the Public Health and
85	Wellbeing Regulations (2009). In Victoria, doctors and/or pathology laboratories
86	must notify all laboratory confirmed cases to the Department of Health and
87	Human Services (DHHS) within five days of diagnosis. According to the
88	nationally agreed case definition [1] laboratory definitive evidence confirming a
89	case requires either:
90	• isolation of RRV, or
91	• detection of RRV nucleic acid, or
92	• immunoglobulin G (IgG) seroconversion or a significant increase in
93	antibody level or a ≥fourfold rise in titre to RRV, or
94	• detection of RRV-specific IgM, in the absence of Barmah Forest virus

IgM, unless Ross River virus IgG is also detected, or

• detection of RRV-specific IgM in the presence of Ross River virus IgG.

95

96

Control of arboviruses relies on early detection of increased levels of mosquitoes and/or virus activity, prompting public health interventions including vector control and public education for bite prevention [8]. Under the Victorian Arbovirus Disease Control Program (VADCP) local governments across Victoria implement surveillance and control strategies on vector mosquito populations during the peak season between November and April each year when most human arbovirus notifications are received [9]. This program has been providing standardized adult mosquito monitoring and sentinel chicken surveillance targeted at Murray Valley encephalitis (MVE) and other endemic arboviruses since 1991 in a One Health model of collaboration. The Victorian Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources (DEDJTR) provides virological and entomological support to the VADCP, funded equally by the DHHS and the local governments involved, overseen by a multidisciplinary Task Force. Surveillance involves weekly mosquito trapping using carbon dioxide and light-baited traps in eight local government areas across Victoria. Mosquitoes are counted and identified by species and viral isolation is attempted in an effort to detect the presence of RRV.

115

116

117

118

119

120

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

Before and during each peak season for arboviral activity, the VADCP analyses three broad environmental indicators [9-11] of conditions suitable for increased MVE virus activity in southeast Australia. Meteorological data (rainfall in the catchment basins of the four main river systems in Eastern Australia and proxy measures for the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) and La Niña events) are

considered by DHHS and councils to inform of likely disease occurrence and when to instigate interventions. No models are currently available to combine these data for RRV prediction, with public health interventions being informed by routine notifiable disease surveillance and mosquito monitoring through the VADCP.

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

121

122

123

124

125

1.2. Modelling and prediction

Due to the climatic dependence of wildlife and mosquito populations, models using climate and/or entomological variables to predict RRV incidence may be helpful for informing disease control activities and forecasting the impact of climate change. A detailed review [3] describes previous models for RRV. Most predictive models for RRV have used logistic regression to estimate the odds or probability of an outbreak within a season, using seasonal variables at fixed points in time [12-16]. Others have explored prediction of disease using time-series analysis techniques [12], such as seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average and polynomial distributed lag (PDL) time-series models [17], and also negative binomial regression [18], to predict rates of disease, rather than simply whether or not an outbreak might occur in a season. Models tailored to conditions at the local level have tended to have better predictive capacity than broader geographic models [13]. All previous models based on RRV surveillance data for Southern Australia have estimated associations with annual case counts, with only two incorporating both entomological and climatic variables (for the southwest region of Western Australia [13] and southern South Australia [15]). None of the

models for RRV in southern Australia have attempted to model monthly counts and none have explicitly undertaken out-of-sample validation (forecasting), however their outputs have informed surveillance and control activities.

Models combining mosquito count and climate data have produced better results than models considering climatic variables alone [13, 17]. For example, Woodruff et al. (2006) developed early and late warning models for RRV outbreak years in 14 statistical local areas of Western Australia and found climate data alone had 64% sensitivity for an early warning model, and the addition of mosquito surveillance data increased the sensitivity to 85%. Previous models for predicting RRV in Victoria [16] have used only climatic data at one time point per season (total rainfall in July, maximum temperature in November) to estimate the probability of an outbreak during peak transmission season for two adjacent areas in the Murray Valley, achieving in-sample sensitivity (internal 'rotational' validation) of between 64–96% for predicting an outbreak season.

The aim of this analysis was to develop predictive models for monthly counts of human RRV notifications in a highly affected inland location. Specific objectives included estimating the association between notified case counts and explanatory climatic, environmental and entomological variables, evaluating the usefulness of mosquito count data for informing public health interventions by estimating trigger points for action and, lastly, developing a forecasting tool.

2. Methods

167

168

2.1. **Data**

169 Mildura Local Government Area (LGA), located inland in northwest Victoria 170 (Figure 1) was selected for this analysis as it has the highest RRV disease burden 171 in the State. RRV notifiable disease surveillance data for the period July 2000– 172 June 2015 were provided by the DHHS including the following variables: 173 estimated date of onset, 5 year age-group, sex and residential address (or exposure 174 address where ascertained at interview by health officials). These data were 175 geocoded utilising the Google Maps® application programming interface, 176 aggregated by month of onset and divided by annual Australian Bureau of 177 Statistics estimates of the resident LGA population. 178 179 Weekly mosquito trapping count data were provided by the Victorian Department 180 of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources (DEDJTR) for the 181 same time period, for four traps in the Mildura LGA. Six species of interest were 182 investigated for predictive value, including two thought to play a major role in 183 Victoria in RRV transmission [4] (Aedes camptorhynchus and Culex 184 annulirostris), two mosquito species with possible roles in transmission (Ae. 185 notoscriptus, Coquillettidia linealis) and two further species with unknown 186 importance for RRV transmission (Cx. australicus, the principal vector for MVE, 187 and Cx. globicoxitus). Mosquitoes are only counted for the months November to 188 April of each year. The median, mean and maximum counts across the four traps 189 located in the Mildura LGA were calculated each month and categorized as

follows for each species: "no mosquitoes trapped" (the reference category), "1–9 mosquitoes", "10–99 mosquitoes", "21000 mosquitoes". "≥1000 mosquitoes".

Climatic and environmental variables were selected following a review of previous models, and are summarized by source in Table 1. Weather station data were obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology weather station with the most complete data in Mildura LGA (Mildura airport; Bureau of Meteorology Station Number: 076031; geo-coordinates 142.0867°E, -34.2358°S, see Figure 1).

2.2. Descriptive and univariable statistical analyses

The distribution of each variable was examined and described, using contingency tables for categorical variables, collapsing categories where appropriate. Summary statistics and histograms were inspected for continuous variables and these transformed as required.

Data for the period July 2000–June 2011 were used to train the model. Owing to over-dispersion, negative binomial regression models were constructed to predict the monthly count of notified RRV cases each month for Mildura LGA (*y*), of the form:

$$Y \sim Poisson(mu^*)$$

$$ln(mu^*) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + v$$

$$exp(v) \sim Gamma(\frac{1}{alpha}, alpha)$$

where the p predictor variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ are given, and the population regression coefficients $\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_p$ are estimated, applying a dispersion parameter (α) to represent the ratio of the variance of the expected counts to their mean. The dispersion parameter affects the variance of the expected counts, not the expected counts themselves. Exponentiation allows expression of the coefficients as incidence rate ratios (IRR).

Climatic and entomologic variables were lagged by 1–12 months and screened for entry into multivariable modelling. For each putative predictor variable, the lag with the strongest statistical association was selected using Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) [19] – as this criterion may be applied to non-nested models – and entered into multivariable models if they were crudely statistically associated with RRV case count based on a liberal *P*-value threshold (*P*<0.25). The linearity of the univariable relationship with the outcome variable was assessed graphically for each continuous variable and by comparing the AIC of univariable models including a linear term versus those with the variable categorized into quintiles. Where appropriate categorized variables were retained for further analyses and category levels collapsed.

All continuous covariates were tested for collinearity in pairs by calculating Spearman's correlation coefficient (ρ_s). Among pairs of highly correlated predictors ($\rho_s \ge |0.70|$), only the variable with the strongest statistical association with the outcome was retained for further analysis [20].

234

2.3. Multivariable analyses

235 Multivariable models were constructed including all retained variables and 236 trimmed for parsimony using manual backwards-stepwise regression to P<0.20. 237 Each removed variable was re-entered individually into the preliminary main 238 effects model and retained if P < 0.15. At this point, pairwise interactions were 239 tested among all retained terms, categorising continuous variables as required, and 240 the model was reconstructed as a generalized linear model to implement 241 regression diagnostics (deviance-based goodness-of-fit to the training data, assessment of residuals, influence and leverage). Maximum likelihood R² was 242 used as a robust measure of fit (no universally accepted adjusted-R² measure is 243 244 available for negative binomial models [21]). The final 'estimation' model was 245 checked for serial auto-correlation (AC) by including case counts in immediately 246 preceding months [22] after testing for non-stationarity and trend in the time 247 series following the Dickey-Fuller (DF) approach [23].

248

249

2.4. Prediction, validation and adjustment for over-fitting

The final estimation model was used to predict monthly notified human RRV case counts notified in each month in the 4 year validation dataset (July 2011–June 2015) for Mildura LGA, and 95% prediction intervals (PI) were estimated adapting the method of Farrington et al [24] to the negative binomial distribution. External ('out-of-sample') forecasts and their 95% PIs were then compared to observed data (not used in model development) using Pearson's correlation

coefficient (ρ_n) [25], and models were tested for their proportional agreement with subjectively defined 'outbreak alerts' (months with >2 notified cases and where the count of cases exceeded the 5-year mean plus 1 SD for that month estimated excluding known outbreak years, i.e. 2010/11, assuming a negative binomial distribution) [26]. The final estimation model was pruned to account for overfitting by removing variables sequentially, and the comparisons repeated, to arrive at the final 'prediction' model, selected based on its forecasting ability. Analyses were undertaken using Stata (StataCorp Texas, version 14.0) and the R statistical package version 3.1.1 [27] using the libraries 'MASS' [28] and 'epiR' [29]. 3. Results There were 479 notified cases of RRV in Mildura LGA during the study period. The outbreak during the 2010/11 financial year accounted for 251 notifications (52.4%) (Figure 2). The mean notification rate (excluding 2010/11) was 63.9 per 100,000 person years (32.6 per 100,000). Cases were notified year-round however 87% had estimated dates of onset between November and April. There were 31 outbreak alerts in the study period, six of these in 2010/11 and sixteen in the model validation period. Amongst those species investigated, the predominant mosquito species trapped in Mildura LGA during the study period were *Culex annulirostris* (n=142,638),

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

Aedes camptorhynchus (n=24,349), Cx. australicus (n=6,768) and Coquillettidia linealis (n=5,249). Univariable associations between RRV incidence in Mildura LGA and lagged counts of the mosquito species and climatic and environmental variables are provided in supplementary material (Tables S1-2).

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

279

280

281

282

The final estimation model for Mildura LGA is presented in Table 2. A doubling of maximum vapour pressure was associated with a 3.5-fold rise in the rate of notifications in the following month (IRR=3.47; 95% CI: 1.57, 7.66). Mean trap counts of Cx. annulirostris ≥1000 were associated with a seven-fold increase in the rate of RRV notifications in the following month. When the mean Ae. camptorhynchus was ≥10, RRV notifications 2 months later were increased 55%. A doubling of precipitation and more rain days, were associated with 25% and 8% rises in RRV notifications, 4 and 6 months later, respectively. Two interaction terms were retained in the final model. The main effect of Murray River flows in the highest quintile (maximum daily flow in a month ≥16,268 ML) was an 85% reduction in RRV notifications 3 months later (IRR=0.15; 95% CI: 0.03, 0.81), whereas when the Southern Oscillation Index (measured 6 months prior) was greater than its median across the study period (>1.7 units) Murray River flows in the highest quintile were associated with a 5.7-fold increase in the rate of RRV notifications 3 months later. The main effect of Pacific Ocean sea surface temperatures ≥26.8 °C was a 68% reduction in notifications 2 months later, whereas when minimum monthly sea levels (measured 7 months prior) were

≥13.2 cm and sea surface temperatures ≥26.8 °C were associated with a 4-fold rise in RRV notifications 2 months later.

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

301

302

There was no long term trend in the time-series (P=0.14) and the null hypothesis of non-stationary was rejected (DF test statistic=-5.856, degrees of freedom=132, P<0.001). Moderate serial auto-correlation was detected (Lag 1, AC=0.61) with each case one month prior being associated with a 12% increase in RRV incidence the following month (IRR=1.12, 95% CI: 1.05, 1.19). An autocorrelation term was included then eliminated (owing to P>0.20) from the final estimation model.

311

312

313

314

315

317

318

319

321

322

Forecast ability of the model was improved by pruning to the final forecasting model (presented in Table 3 with a comparison of observed data and forecasts). Total observed annual counts were within forecast prediction intervals in all four validation years (Figure 2), and at a monthly resolution observed counts were 316 within the forecast prediction intervals in 39 of 48 months in the validation period (81%), in comparison to 129 of 132 months in the model training period (98%). In two of the validation years (2011/2012 and 2013/2014) there was excellent agreement between forecast and observed case counts and outbreak alerts, 320 proportional agreement of 0.92 and 0.83, respectively. The model under-predicted case counts in 2012/2013 and 2014/2015, all 9 months with observed counts above the forecast prediction interval occurred in these two years, resulting in

poorer proportional agreement (0.50 in both cases) with observed outbreak alerts in these two years.

4. Discussion

Climate, environmental and entomologic variables were used to develop prediction models for monthly RRV incidence rates for the Victorian inland Local Government Area with the highest notification rates. To our knowledge, this study was the first to integrate mosquito count data into Victorian RRV predictive modelling and the first to attempt out-of-sample forecasting of monthly counts of RRV for a location in Southern Australia.

The most robust way to assess predictive model accuracy is to review a graphical representation of observed versus predicted events using external data [30], as adopted for assessing the current models. The final forecasting model performed extremely well at tracking the observed counts in the validation period, and clearly fit the data well (differentiating between the outbreak year 2010/11 and other years with relatively low counts). Forecast prediction intervals encompassed the observed monthly counts in 39 of 48 months in the validation period. Of the nine months with observed counts falling above the predicted interval, five in 2012/13 and two in 2014/15 had very low notified case counts (\leq 4) and raised outbreak alerts merely on the basis that these low counts were well outside the typical RRV activity season (when typically \leq 1 case was observed in most other years). The subjectively defined outbreak alert threshold is likely to be

oversensitive, so direct comparisons can only be interpreted cautiously. Raising the alert threshold to 2 SD greater than the long-term mean did not resolve the issue, as such a threshold was largely insensitive at detecting months that appeared to be clearly in excess of normal.

Statistical epidemiological modelling is often applied to address questions of causality (estimation and hypothesis testing) with fewer examples where the explicitly-stated aim is modelling for prediction of future observations [22]. When forecasting (predicting into the 'out-of-sample' future), a modified approach may be required, as was the case in this study, reducing the focus on the relationships between individual variables. While model fit remains important there is a trade-off, external validity is paramount (models constructed based on historical data must hold into the near future) and over-fitting to training data may well come at the expense of robust future prediction [22]. For this reason the final 'estimating' model, used for assessing the relationships between variables, was pruned to produce a more parsimonious 'forecasting' model.

Other models of RRV in Southern Australia have been restricted to providing early warning of outbreak years, rather than attempting to forecast monthly counts. As presented, the forecasting model will be utilized each year to provide forecasts to the DHHS. Further modelling will be required to refine the variable selection and improve the robustness of forecasts. Other more complex

approaches may be required [25], perhaps following the PDL modelling approach that Hu et al. (2006) implemented for Brisbane, Queensland.

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

368

369

Rainfall and vapour pressure were key factors for forecasting RRV notifications in Mildura LGA. Rainfall has been included as an important predictor in all previous Ross River virus models for Southern Australia [12, 13, 15, 16], and underlies one of the broad early warning indicators [10] considered by DHHS for years of increased MVE activity. Vapour pressure is a measure of air humidity that depends on temperature and air pressure, similar variables have been included in all previous prediction models [12, 15, 16] developed for regions along the Murray River (that forms a natural border between the States of Victoria and New South Wales). It is biologically plausible that these variables are related to arbovirus transmission, as mosquitoes require a minimum temperature and moisture for breeding. The lags of these variables likely reflect effects of water, temperature and climatic conditions on local ecology, for example through their effects on vegetation and wildlife reservoir host populations along with their direct effect on mosquito populations. Whilst it is difficult to identify causal links between distally-lagged precipitation variables and the timescales of vector development and transmission of RRV, the main purpose of the models developed here was as predictive tools rather than to draw explicit conclusions regarding causation. Including rainfall parameters with lags between 4 and 6 months provided the model with the best predictive performance at a monthly resolution. When we evaluated rainfall variables over lags of 1 to 3 months (in univariable

analysis), very similar estimates were obtained as those included in the final model (for total monthly precipitation lagged 4 months, and number of days with greater than 1 mm rainfall lagged 6 months). There were only low levels of temporal auto-correlation observed between these variables, so these were included in multivariable estimation and prediction models at shorter lags (as secondary effects of rainfall over different time-scales). However, these variables representing shorter lags of rainfall were subsequently eliminated. Owing to weak correlations between climatic variables (rainfall, vapour pressure, humidity and temperature) in our data, it is also likely that some of the proximal effect of rainfall is represented by other variables in the final models.

Culex annulirostris and Ae. camptorhynchus are the two major mosquito vectors for Ross River virus in Victoria [4]. Their inclusion in the final estimation model at proximal lags is consistent with their role in transmitting virus to humans from wildlife reservoirs and the time taken for mosquitoes to develop, the ~2 week extrinsic and 1-2 week intrinsic incubation periods of RRV [17]. The univariable associations presented in supplementary Table S1 represent useful trigger points for action by the local council (such as mosquito larvicidal treatments and public announcements about the risk and appropriate preventative actions). Risk of RRV is likely to be greatly increased in months subsequent to those when mean weekly trap counts of Cx. annulirostris and Ae. camptorhynchus exceed 100 and 10 mosquitoes, respectively. Contrary to the findings of previous modelling studies of RRV notifications in other Australian States [13, 17], we found that inclusion

of variables representing mosquito numbers provided no improvement in model forecasting ability (although strongly statistically significant associations were observed between lagged mosquito count variables and RRV notifications in the final estimation model). Hu et al. (2006) noted the limitations of including mosquito count data in early warning forecasting models (cost of collection and proximal lags limiting the extent of early warning).

Two interesting interactions were present in the final estimation model, both of which appear indicative of periods of extreme climatic conditions. Elevated SOI (i.e. a La Niña event) 6 months earlier and maximum Murray River flow 3 months prior were associated with increased rates of notification for RRV. A severe flooding event affecting the Murray River valley occurred in the 2010/11 outbreak year. Interestingly, on its own, high maximum Murray River flows (indicative of low amounts of irrigation) were associated with substantially decreased rates of RRV notification.

Weather patterns in the study region are heavily influenced by the development and intensity of El Niño/La Niña events in the Pacific Ocean [31]. Across eastern Australia, El Niño events are often associated with drier than normal conditions while La Niña events are associated with wetter than normal conditions. Lower sea surface temperatures in the Niño 3.4 region (SST) are an indicator of La Niña events and in this analyses were associated with increased rates of RRV notification, which is biologically plausible as wetter conditions favour mosquito

larval development. Sea surface temperature was considered as a potential model covariate, even for this inland study area, as it was identified by Woodruff et al [16] as a predictor in their model of RRV for the Murray region in Victoria, and for its role in the El Niño Southern Oscillation phenomenon that influence weather patterns across Australia.

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

437

438

439

440

441

Of interest, another biologically plausible and statistically significant interaction was detected, between SST and sea levels (when both were increased, rates of notification of RRV cases were also likely to be increased). Sea level changes are driven by complex processes including thermal expansion of water, input of water into the ocean from glaciers and ice sheets, and changed water storage on land [32]. Variables representing sea level were considered for inclusion in these models because sea levels are correlated with SST and the SOI [33]. Again, this interaction term may indicate periods of extreme climatic conditions, with extremes in sea levels and sea surface temperature being a feature of cyclones (as experienced in the 2010/11 outbreak year when cyclones in Queensland caused major flooding in the Murray-Darling river basin immediately preceding extremely high arbovirus activity). The DHHS utilizes another sea surface temperature measure, the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOP), which is based on the difference between sea surface temperature in the Western and Eastern tropical Indian Ocean, as a predictor for MVEV activity in south-eastern Australia [9]. Negative IOP events generally coincide with La Niña events.

461 undoubtedly understated and biased toward cases with typical clinical symptoms -462 those with less severe illness may not seek medical help or may be misdiagnosed. 463 For this reason model outputs are interpreted as notification rates (rather than 464 incidence rates). Residential location was accepted as a proxy for place of 465 infection as this information was not available for a majority of cases. 466 Misclassification of place of infection for some cases may have altered the 467 measured associations between model covariates and disease, thus reducing 468 predictive accuracy. The model did not account for mosquito control activities, as 469 a reliable, consistent measure of these activities was unavailable. It is likely this 470 omission has reduced the predictive accuracy of the models and ideally these 471 should be accounted for in future research. Despite these limitations, the model 472 presented appears a useful forecasting tool for RRV in region investigated with 473 81% of observed monthly counts in the validation period falling within forecast 474 prediction intervals. 475 476 Changing climatic conditions over the coming decades are likely to alter the 477 current patterns of arboviral disease in Australia [3, 34], although the nature of 478 this change is controversial [35]. The effect on arbovirus transmission is likely to

The study was subject to a number of limitations: notification data may be

460

479

480

481

482

this change is controversial [35]. The effect on arbovirus transmission is likely to vary regionally. For example, the impact will differ in arid compared to temperate, and coastal versus inland regions, reflecting variation in the effect of climate change on local ecological conditions [34]. Advanced tools, such as the models presented here, will be required to monitoring the changing relationship

483	between notified cases and local conditions, and to provide early warning of
484	periods of high arbovirus activity.
485	
486	5. Acknowledgements
487	The authors gratefully acknowledge the following for preparing and providing
488	data necessary for these analyses: the Victorian Government Department of
489	Health and Human Services (particularly Nicola Stephens, Rebecca Feldman and
490	Katherine Gibney), and Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport
491	and Resources (particularly Karen Brown, Joe Azuolas, Kim Andrews and Elwyn
492	Wishart), The Mildura Rural City Council (Dale Hutchinson and Stuart Maher),
493	the Victorian Arbovirus Taskforce and the Australian Bureau of Meteorology's
494	National Climate Data Service. Human notifiable surveillance data was provided
495	by the Department of Health and Human Services in accordance with its privacy
496	act after ethical approval for all procedures used in this study was obtained from
497	the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Melbourne
498	(1339794).
499	
500	6. Conflict of interest statement
501	The authors have no competing interests to declare.
502	
503	7. Financial support
504	This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or
505	not-for-profit sectors. Dr Simon Firestone is supported by an Australian Research

- 506 Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (project number
- 507 DE160100477).
- 508

- 509 **8. References**
- 510 1. Knope, K., et al., Arboviral diseases and malaria in Australia, 2010-11:
- annual report of the National Arbovirus and Malaria Advisory Committee.
- Communicable diseases intelligence quarterly report, 2013. **37**(1): p. E1.
- 513 2. Westley-Wise, V.J., et al., Ross River virus infection on the north coast of New
- 514 South Wales. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 1996.
- **20**(1): p. 87-92.
- 3. Jacups, S.P., P.I. Whelan, and B.J. Currie, Ross River virus and Barmah Forest
- virus infections: a review of history, ecology, and predictive models, with
- 518 implications for tropical northern Australia. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic
- 519 Diseases, 2008. **8**(2): p. 283-298.
- 4. Harley, D., A. Sleigh, and S. Ritchie, Ross River virus transmission, infection,
- and disease: a cross-disciplinary review. Clinical Microbiology Reviews,
- 522 2001. **14**(4): p. 909-932.
- 523 5. Australian Government Department of Health, *National notifiable diseases*
- surveillance system (NNDSS). http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/cda-
- 525 <u>index.cfm</u> (accessed 11/2/2015). 2015.
- 526 6. Russell, R.C., Ross River virus: ecology and distribution. Annual Review of
- 527 Entomology, 2002. **47**(1): p. 1-31.
- 528 7. El-Hage, C.M., M.J. McCluskey, and J.K. Azuolas, Disease suspected to be
- 529 caused by Ross River virus infection of horses. Australian Veterinary Journal,
- 530 2008. **86**(9): p. 367-370.

- 8. van den Hurk, A.F., et al., Evolution of Mosquito-Based Arbovirus Surveillance
- 532 Systems in Australia. Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, 2012. **2012**.
- 9. Lynch, S., et al., Victorian Arbovirus Disease Control Program Annual Report
- 534 2014-2015. 2015, The State of Victoria Department of Economic
- Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources: Melbourne.
- 536 10. Forbes, J.A., Murray Valley Encephalitis 1974, Also, The Epidemic
- 537 Variance Since 1914 and Predisposing Rainfall Patterns. 1978: Australasian
- Medical Publishing Company.
- 539 11. Nicholls, N., A Method For Predicting Murray Valley Encephalitis In
- 540 Southeast Australia Using The Southern Oscillation. Australian Journal of
- Experimental Biology and Medical Science, 1986. **64**(6).
- 542 12. Bi, P., et al., Defining the local ecology of Ross River virus infections as a
- *basis for risk assessment and better prevention strategies in South Australia :*
- final report prepared for the S.A. Department of Health. 2006, The University
- of Adelaide: Adelaide.
- 546 13. Woodruff, R.E., et al., Early warning of Ross River virus epidemics:
- 547 *combining surveillance data on climate and mosquitoes.* Epidemiology, 2006.
- 548 **17**(5): p. 569-575.
- 549 14. Gatton, M.L., B.H. Kay, and P.A. Ryan, Environmental predictors of Ross
- River virus disease outbreaks in Queensland, Australia. The American journal
- of tropical medicine and hygiene, 2005. **72**(6): p. 792-799.
- 552 15. Williams, C.R., S.R. Fricker, and M.J. Kokkinn, *Environmental and*
- 553 entomological factors determining Ross River virus activity in the River

- 554 Murray Valley of South Australia. Australian and New Zealand Journal of
- 555 Public Health, 2009. **33**(3): p. 284-288.
- 556 16. Woodruff, R.E., et al., Predicting Ross River virus epidemics from
- *regional weather data.* Epidemiology, 2002. **13**(4): p. 384-393.
- Hu, W., et al., Rainfall, mosquito density and the transmission of Ross
- *River virus: A time-series forecasting model.* Ecological Modelling, 2006.
- 560 **196**(3): p. 505-514.
- 561 18. Werner, A., et al., Environmental drivers of Ross River virus in
- southeastern Tasmania, Australia: towards strengthening public health
- *interventions.* Epidemiology and Infection, 2012. **140**(02): p. 359-371.
- 564 19. Akaike, H., Information theory and an extension of the maximum
- 565 likelihood principle, in Selected Papers of Hirotugu Akaike. 1998, Springer. p.
- 566 199-213.
- 567 20. Armitage, P., G. Berry, and J.N.S. Matthews, *Statistical Methods in*
- *Medical Research*, 4th Edition. 2001: Wiley-Blackwell. pp 356-360.
- 569 21. Grimshaw, J.M., et al., Applying psychological theories to evidence-based
- clinical practice: identifying factors predictive of lumbar spine x-ray for low
- back pain in UK primary care practice. Implementation Science, 2011. **6**(1): p.
- 572 55.
- 573 22. Dohoo, I., W. Martin, and H. Stryhn, Veterinary Epidemiologic Research,
- *2nd ed.* 2009: VER Inc., Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada.

- 575 23. Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller, *Distribution of the estimators for*
- 576 autoregressive time series with a unit root. Journal of the American statistical
- 577 association, 1979. **74**(366a): p. 427-431.
- 578 24. Farrington, C., et al., A statistical algorithm for the early detection of
- outbreaks of infectious disease. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series
- 580 A (Statistics in Society), 1996: p. 547-563.
- 581 25. Axelsen, J.B., et al., Multiannual forecasting of seasonal influenza
- dynamics reveals climatic and evolutionary drivers. Proceedings of the
- National Academy of Sciences, 2014. **111**(26): p. 9538-9542.
- 584 26. Yohannes, K., et al., Australia's notifiable diseases status, 2004, annual
- report of the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. Commun Dis
- 586 Intell, 2006. **30**(1): p. 1-79.
- 587 27. R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at:
- 589 <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u> (accessed 15/9/2014). 2016.
- 590 28. Venables, W.N. and B.D. Ripley, Modern Applied Statistics with S, fourth
- *edition.* 2002, New York: Springer.
- 592 29. Stevenson, M., et al., epiR: An R package for the analysis of
- *epidemiological data. R package version 0.9-69.* 2015.
- 594 30. Moons, K.G., et al., Risk prediction models: I. Development, internal
- validation, and assessing the incremental value of a new (bio) marker. Heart,
- 596 2012. **98**(9): p. 683-690.

- 597 31. Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology. El Niño Detailed
- 598 Australian Analysis. 2015; Available from:
- 599 <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/enlist/</u> (accessed 20/11/15).
- 600 32. Rahmstorf, S., A semi-empirical approach to projecting future sea-level
- 601 rise. Science, 2007. **315**(5810): p. 368-370.

- 602 33. Lombard, A., et al., Contribution of thermal expansion to present-day sea-
- level change revisited. Global and Planetary Change, 2005. **47**(1): p. 1-16.
- Woodruff, R.E. and H. Bambrisk, Climate change impacts on the burden
- of Ross River virus disease, in Garnaut Climate Change Review, R. Garnaut,
- Editor. 2008, Cambridge University Press: Port Melbourne.
- 607 35. Russell, R.C., Mosquito-borne disease and climate change in Australia:
- time for a reality check. Australian Journal of Entomology, 2009. **48**(1): p. 1-7.

610 9. Figure captions 611 612 Figure 1: Study extent of predictive modelling of Ross River virus cases in the 613 Mildura Local Government Area (shaded grey), Victoria, Australia, for the period 614 1 July 2000 to 30 Jun 2015. Black circle represents the location of the Mildura 615 airport weather station. The Murray River forms the northern border of Mildura 616 local government area. 617 618 619 Figure 2: Monthly time-series, predictions and forecasts of notified Ross River 620 virus cases in the Mildura Local Government Area, Victoria, Australia, for the 621 period 1 July 2000 to 30 Jun 2015. Data for the Australian financial year 2010/11 have been rescaled by a factor of 3. Dotted lines represent upper 95% prediction 622 623 intervals.