

**Agenda setting and framing of gender-based violence in  
Nepal: how it became a health issue**

Journal:	<i>Health Policy and Planning</i>
Manuscript ID:	HEAPOL-2015-Apr-0185.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Country of Expertise:	Nepal
Keywords:	violence against women, policy analysis, agenda setting

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Review

## Agenda setting and framing of gender-based violence in Nepal: how it became a health issue

### Abstract (300)

Gender-based violence (GBV) has been addressed as a policy issue in Nepal since the mid 1990's, yet it was only in 2010 that Nepal developed a legal and policy framework to combat GBV. This paper draws on the concepts of agenda setting and framing to analyse the historical processes by which GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue in Nepal and explored factors that facilitated and constrained the opening and closing of windows of opportunity. The results presented are based on a document analysis of the policy and regulatory framework around GBV in Nepal. A content analysis was undertaken. Agenda setting for GBV policies in Nepal evolved over many years and was characterised by the interplay of political context factors, actors and multiple frames. The way the issue was depicted at different times and by different actors played a key role in the delay in bringing health onto the policy agenda. Women's groups and less powerful Ministries developed gender equity and development frames, but it was only when the more powerful human rights frame was promoted by the country's new Constitution and the Office of the Prime Minister that legislation on GBV was achieved and a Domestic Violence Bill was adopted, followed by a National Plan of Action. This eventually enabled the health frame to converge around the development of implementation policies that incorporated health service-responses. Our explicit incorporation of framing within the Kindgon model has illustrated how important it is for understanding the emergence of policy issues, and the subsequent debates about their resolution. The framing of a policy problem by certain policy actors, affects the development of each of the three policy streams, and may facilitate or constrain their convergence. The concept of framing therefore lends an additional depth of understanding to the Kindgon agenda setting model.

## Introduction

Gender-based violence (GBV) is a serious human rights abuse and a global public health concern. Intimate partner violence (often referred to as domestic violence) is among the most common forms of GBV (World Health Organisation et al., 2013). Despite substantial evidence on the health consequences of GBV globally, the health sector has been slow to recognise GBV as a legitimate public health problem and identify its role in addressing the issue (García-Moreno et al., 2014, World Health Organization, 2013). Several challenges exist: GBV is excluded from many national health policies or budgets; many national GBV plans do not engage with health care issues (García-Moreno et al., 2014). In some countries, GBV is seen as a low priority issue given limited health budgets (Colombini et al., 2012, Jayasundere, August 2009). Although global guidelines on GBV (World Health Organization, 2013) state the importance of the health sector within a multi-sectoral approach to GBV, little guidance is given on policy, leadership and governance issues for integration of GBV into the health sector.

Similarly, much research on GBV has focused on the effectiveness of health interventions and their implementation within health care settings (García-Moreno et al., 2014), with little attention given to understanding health policy formulation, agenda-setting, or how GBV issues are identified and legitimised in policy (Colombini et al., 2011). Yet, both the nature and extent of service delivery is significantly affected by the legal and policy framework for GBV in which they occur. For example, in Malaysia, a high-level policy to create hospital-based *One Stop Crisis Centres* (promoted by influential women's groups and senior medical staff) legitimized ongoing pilot initiatives and facilitated their scale-up nationwide (Colombini et al., 2011, Colombini et al., 2012). This study is a contribution to filling the gap in understanding how GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue and provides a case-study of the policy responses in Nepal. More broadly, given the paucity of published resources around GBV health policy responses, this case study can become an example for other LMICs countries that are (or intend to) embarking on prioritising GBV as a public health issue, and could offer lessons learned around the process.

1  
2  
3 We also explore elements that facilitated and constrained the opening and closing of windows of  
4 opportunities in Nepal, and what eventually led to a policy window allowing the ascendance of GBV  
5 to become a national priority for health policy development. Our article makes not just an empirical  
6 contribution to the case in question, but a theoretical contribution to the study of policy-making  
7 and agenda setting in low and middle income settings. In particular, the study shows the additional  
8 insights which can be derived by incorporating the concept of policy framing within the Kingdon  
9 agenda setting model. The approach we develop enhances our understanding of emerging policy  
10 issues, and their solutions, and may be more widely applied to policy analysis in LMICs and beyond.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **The theoretical framework**

22  
23 Agenda setting is the process (or processes) by which an issue is brought to the attention of policy-  
24 makers (Rogers et al., 1993), and it is the first stage of the policy development process (Sabatier,  
25 2007, Buse et al., 2005). Several theoretical approaches of agenda setting exist (Hall, 1975, Shiffman,  
26 2007, Kingdon, 1984). This article draws on Kingdon's model of agenda setting and the concepts of  
27 "framing" derived from the field of interpretive policy studies. Kingdon's approach (Kingdon, 1984)  
28 is the most appropriate for our analysis because it helps understand what factors affect the opening of  
29 opportunities for political prioritisation of a health concern. The framework describes policy  
30 development as the result of three distinct and continuous 'streams' of activities or processes. The  
31 *problem stream* refers to the characteristics of problems and their perception as public matters  
32 necessitating government action. Officials and policy-makers learn about these issues through  
33 statistical indicators, policy reports, feedback from current programmes and pressure from advocacy  
34 groups and stakeholders. The *policy stream* comprises a set of possible analyses of problems and  
35 associated policy solutions proposed, for example, by researchers, politicians, experts, NGOs, along  
36 with debates about these problems and potential responses. The *politics stream* consists of political  
37 events such as changes in government, elections, and public campaigns by NGOs.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 Only when these three largely independent (but simultaneous) streams combine or intersect forming  
56 *policy windows*, can new issues enter the agenda and policy change occur. Actors who promote  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 specific policy solutions – *policy entrepreneurs*– may be located inside or outside Government. They  
4 may be visible, publicly advocating for a problem, or acting behind the scenes (e.g. experts in their  
5 field developing potential solutions to policy problems); they impact greatly on the likelihood that a  
6 problem would ascend to national prominence (Kingdon, 1984, Kingdon, 2010).  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Framing theory has been applied to a number of fields in the social sciences as diverse as psychology  
13 (Druckman, 2001), behavioral economics (Tversky and Kahneman, 1987), media and  
14 communications studies (Semetko and Valkenburg, 2000) and public opinion research (Sniderman  
15 and Theriault, 2004). In the field of policy studies, Rein and Schoen (1994) set out a constructivist  
16 account of policy framing and its significance for the resolution of protracted policy controversies.  
17 Frames, they contend, are ‘underlying structures of belief, perception and appreciation’ on which  
18 distinct policy positions depend (Rein and Schön, 1994). Policy frames construct a particular view of  
19 social reality, defining both the political issues (and problems) at stake and the policy responses which  
20 follow from this framing of the problem. Each policy frame is underpinned by a set of institutional  
21 and meta-cultural frames. Meta-cultural frames can be thought of as a shared set of values, which a  
22 particular society holds. They are context specific and are thus shaped by the prevailing hierarchies  
23 and power relations in a given policy setting. Political actors can attempt to frame issues in ways  
24 amenable to their interests and policy objectives, promoting a particular understanding of the issue at  
25 hand (Rein and Schön, 1994, Snow and Benford, 1992, Schön and Rein, 1996). Crucially the framing  
26 of an issue and its solution by a given actor may shift over time.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Political controversies emerge where mutually incompatible policy frames compete to define a given  
46 issue and to dictate the policy responses to which it gives rise. In other words, political contestation  
47 becomes a contest between different systems of meaning (Rein and Schön, 1994, Edelman, 1988).  
48 From this perspective, policy analysis requires an appreciation of the key terms in which policy  
49 debates are couched and the assumptions on which they are based. The specific framing of an issue is  
50 a key factor in determining whether it enters the policy agenda, and under what circumstances it does  
51 so. We thus understand attempts by actors to frame policy debates as political acts designed to shape  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the terrain on which these debates are conducted and the terms in which they are couched an integral  
4 part of the political process.  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 The concept of framing adds additional insights to the Kingdon model of policy analysis. Although  
10 the framing of ideas around a specific problem is not explicitly discussed by Kingdon, ideas and the  
11 presentation of issues are considered important elements by other agenda setting models applied to  
12 global health (Shiffman, 2007, Geneau et al., 2010). We incorporate the concept of framing theory  
13 into our analysis to understand the way the key actors (e.g. Government Ministries and NGOs in field  
14 of health policy) understand, describe and present (i.e. how they “frame”) the problem of GBV and  
15 the potential solutions to this (Jerit, 2008), in other words into Kingdon’s *problem and policy streams*.  
16  
17 In addition, we argue that framing is of key importance in understanding the dynamics of Kingdon’s  
18 *politics stream*, which he sees as functioning quite separately from the other two streams.  
19  
20 Incorporating concepts of framing within this model allows a more nuanced approach to  
21 understanding the dynamics of the policy process. Framing theory helps to identify how issue framing  
22 can shape event in the politics stream, for example the way in which particular frames may facilitate  
23 or constrain the opening of windows of opportunity for policy change.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **The Nepalese Context**

39  
40 Socio-cultural, economic and religious factors allied to traditionally defined roles and responsibilities  
41 between Nepali men and women have led to an institutional system that treats women inequitably  
42 (UNFPA, 2008). These factors together with gender norms have driven the context of GBV in the  
43 country. Moreover, the years of political conflict increased the risk of violence in the country,  
44 particularly through rape, trafficking, sexual slavery, and displacement (Dhakal, 2008). GBV is highly  
45 prevalent in Nepal (Family Health Division of the Department of Health Services and South Asian  
46 Institute for Policy Analysis and Leadership, 2012, SAATHI and the Asia Foundation, 1997 ,  
47 Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012, UNFPA et al., 2008), with  
48 intimate partner violence (IPV) – often also referred to as domestic violence (DV) – among the most  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 common form of GBV. In Nepal, DV is defined by law “as any form of physical, mental, sexual, or  
4 economic harm perpetrated by one person on another with whom he or she has a family relationship,  
5 including acts of reprimand or emotional harm” (Ministry of Law and Justice (MOLJ) [Nepal], 2009).  
6  
7 The Nepalese DHS found that 22% of women of reproductive age have experienced physical violence  
8 at least once since age 15, and 12% of women reported having experienced sexual violence at least  
9 once in their lifetime (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012). A  
10 more recent study on Women's Empowerment and Spousal Violence in Relation to Health Outcomes  
11 in Nepal estimated that 28% of ever-married women have experienced physical and/or sexual  
12 violence from their husband (Tuladhar et al., 2013). Other studies also indicate the high prevalence of  
13 partner violence within the Nepali society (Informal Sector Service Center (INSEC), 2012, SAATHI  
14 and UNFPA, 2008, Lamichhane et al., 2011, UNFPA et al., 2008). Moreover, the Maternal Mortality  
15 and Morbidity Studies of 1998 (Pathak et al., 1998) and 2008/09 (Suvedi et al., 2009) have reported  
16 that Nepali women of reproductive age have high suicide rates, many of which are attributed to  
17 domestic violence by spouse or family-members (Joshi, 2009, Pradhan et al., July 2011). The  
18 Nepalese DHS data shows domestic violence during pregnancy is also widespread, ranging from 2%  
19 (among women with higher levels of education) to 10% (women who are divorced, separated or  
20 widowed) (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012). Other small-scale  
21 studies also indicate the prevalence of domestic violence during pregnancy among Nepali women  
22 (Chaudhary et al., 2010, Deuba and Rana, 2005).

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

GBV has been addressed as a policy issue in Nepal since the mid 1990's, yet it was only in 2010 that  
Nepal developed an overarching legal and policy framework to combat GBV and discrimination  
towards women, and adopted a National Plan of Action against GBV that incorporated a health sector  
response (Government of Nepal, 2009). This article will explore what events influenced these delayed  
actions and, in particular, how GBV has been ‘framed’ over time.

## Methods

1  
2  
3 The results presented in this article are based on a document analysis of the policy and regulatory  
4 framework around GBV in Nepal. The document review was conducted of documents published  
5 and/or adopted between 1998 to present. National and regional public health documents containing  
6 any relevant information on GBV were reviewed, specifically: domestic violence laws, national and  
7 regional policies, national health plans, health protocols, health reports, NGO and donors reports,  
8 national guidelines, and training manuals.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Electronic searches were conducted in Popline, Google and specific websites of Nepalese government  
18 agencies, NGOs and other international donors. When not electronically available, identified policies  
19 and documents were collected and translated (when needed) by the local partners. No interviews were  
20 conducted with key informants, though local partners asked key informants from women' NGOs and  
21 from Department of Health (Family Health Division) for key policy documents on GBV.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Once all relevant documents were collected, a qualitative content analysis (Graneheim and Lundman,  
30 2004) was undertaken. Over 40 documents were analysed, including legal ones (8), national policies  
31 and plans (19), training manuals and protocols (5), policy statements (3), national and donor reports  
32 (9). Moreover, over 40 published articles were used to help understand the context of GBV. Textual  
33 data was systematically coded by the first author and key themes identified in order to understand  
34 meanings of specific concepts and patterns. The informational content of the data was categorised  
35 deductively, using pre-conceived themes elaborated at the beginning of the analysis. These included,  
36 among others, the: conceptualisation of GBV as a health issue; enabling policy and regulatory  
37 environment around GBV and health; rationale for integration of GBV into health sector; and the  
38 rationale for adoption of key health policies around GBV. **Direct explanations of some contextual  
39 factors and political events were not always possible with the available documentation data. However,  
40 to reduce such limitation and enhance our understanding,** contextual insights and tacit knowledge of  
41 the two local researchers working with us on the document analysis was also was called upon to help  
42 clarify some of the historical events and the context of the GBV.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



### Results: Development of GBV policies in Nepal 1995-2013

Table 1 illustrates the various stages of the historical context.

#### *Growing awareness of GBV as a women's rights and security issue in a rapidly changing political context (1997-2002)*

In the 1990s a range of *politics stream* events contributed to the recognition of gender-based violence (GBV) as critical issue for Nepal's Government to address; in particular the country was moving away from absolute monarchy towards multi-party democracy bringing with it widening awareness of international commitments towards gender equality and facilitating the rise of influential national women's advocacy organisations (Bhadra, January 2001, Thapa, 2004).

Following the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing in 1995, the initial rationale for the Government to consider GBV was that it was seen as an obstacle to economic and social development, and was subsequently framed as a major development issue to be addressed in order to attain gender equality. Key high-level policy documents (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, 1998, National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002, Ministry of Women & Social Welfare, 1997) mentioned – though briefly – the integration of women into the mainstream development of Nepal through “gradually eliminating violence, exploitation, injustice and atrocities being committed against women” (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, 1998). At this early stage only one policy document mentioned any role for the health sector (through counselling services) (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002).

Women's NGOs contributed significantly to help place GBV onto the national policy agenda by using advocacy campaigns and lobbying the Ministry of Women and Social Welfare for legal changes. They produced evidence based studies to influence the Government's position on GBV. For example, a study on GBV and girls in Nepal by SAATHI -- the first Nepali NGO which worked on GBV since

1  
2  
3 1992 – highlighted widespread prevalence of GBV and showed how it impeded the progress of  
4 women and the development of society (SAATHI and the Asia Foundation, 1997 ). This was  
5 strategically published soon after the Nepali Government’s National Plan on Gender in 1997  
6 confirmed its commitment to women’s empowerment (Ministry of Women & Social Welfare, 1997).  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Although the issue of gender-based violence had been in the *problem stream* for some time by the late  
13 1990s, no political action had been taken to develop a law or a specific policy. Contextual and  
14 political *politics stream* factors – in particular the fact that Nepal was undergoing a decade of armed  
15 conflict (1996-2006) – deeply affected the conceptions of GBV during this time. Initially,  
16 Government’s focus was on women’s security, safety and rehabilitation and its efforts to prevent  
17 GBV (including rape, sexual slavery and trafficking) concentrated on the development of women’s  
18 police cells and referral to NGOs for rehabilitation support (Ministry of Women Children and Social  
19 Welfare 1999); there was little recognition of the negative health impact of violence on women’s lives  
20 or the role of the health sector in preventing GBV, despite the publication of a study by SAATHI on  
21 its psychosocial impacts on women and girls (Deuba and Rana, 2001) and the claims from rights-  
22 based organisations raising the mental health consequences of rape and sexual violence affecting  
23 women and girls during the political conflict (Justice, December 2010).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 ***Recognition of GBV as a health issue: entrepreneurship and missed opportunities by the Ministry***  
41 ***of Health (2002-2006)***  
42

43 A parallel process of defining GBV as a health problem started in the early 2000s, initially through  
44 studies focusing on suicides and psycho-social impacts of GBV on women and girls (Deuba and  
45 Rana, 2001, Pathak et al., 1998), especially following the conflict, and later on through advocacy on  
46 maternal health. Although difficult to determine exactly what triggered this process, both international  
47 events and domestic ones influenced it. At international level, the World Health Organisation released  
48 its first *World Report on Violence and Health* where it classified gender-based violence as a global  
49 public health problem (Krug et al., 2002). Nationally, the Nepalese safe motherhood movement,  
50 supported by international donors (as a major MDG target), was a catalyst for securing recognition by  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the Government that GBV was a public health concern that needed to be addressed (Engel et al.,  
4 2013). It was argued that women's empowerment and the elimination of GBV were important issues  
5 for improving women's health status (especially in relation to psychological issues) and reducing  
6 maternal mortality. In particular, the National Safe Motherhood Long Term Plan (NSMLTP) (2002-  
7 2017), adopted in 2002 focusing on increasing access to maternal health services, gave 'policy  
8 visibility' to GBV as a health concern. Echoing the latent national interest in GBV (National Planning  
9 Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002), the NSMLTP called for the MoHP to  
10 develop protocols and specific mechanisms in district hospitals to deal with *battered women*, and to  
11 sensitise professionals from multiple sectors and levels, on GBV (Government of Nepal and Family  
12 Health Division of the Department of Health Services, 2002). The *problem solution* proposed by the  
13 Plan (and the MoHP) was the establishment of service delivery systems in hospitals and health  
14 facilities to provide support to abused women. A critical assumption for the implementation of these  
15 activities was that a Domestic Violence (DV) Bill would be adopted by the end of 2003 to provide a  
16 legal framework and mandate for service-provision; this was not achieved (Bhadra, May 2004) and a  
17 health policy change around GBV was prevented.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 In fact there had been several attempts to pass a DV Bill by this time, primarily led by SAATHI,  
36 together with the Ministry of Women, Children & Social Welfare (MWCSW). In 2001 the MWCSW  
37 forwarded two bills for combating gender-based violence: the Bill on Domestic Violence and the Bill  
38 on Human Trafficking Control. They were both stopped when the Parliament was dissolved in May  
39 2002 (Bhadra, May 2004). Moreover, the MWCSW was not a strong Ministry and the energies of  
40 women's reform groups were concentrated more on liberalising abortion, which was within their  
41 grasp at that time, with its tangible impact on maternal mortality and morbidity (Thapa, 2004). Thus  
42 although the *problem stream* defining GBV as health concern and the *policy solution* (certain  
43 women's groups and Ministry of Women pushing for a DV law and establishment of services)  
44 coincided in the late 1990s/early 2000s they did not meet with the *political will* at the time, which was  
45 more focused on maternal mortality reduction and safe abortion.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Having secured recognition of GBV as a serious issue for women's (and therefore national)  
4 development by the late 1990s, but not achieving the necessary political will for policy change, the  
5 next few years were crucial for creating the evidence base to link GBV to sexual and reproductive  
6 health (particularly with safe motherhood which had emerged as a national concern) thus  
7 consolidating the framing of GBV as a *health* policy problem. Research was conducted by women's  
8 NGOs and academics to establish the evidence base for the legitimisation of violence as a maternal  
9 health issue thereby linking GBV to a current national priority. In 2005, a study on *Linkages between*  
10 *Domestic Violence and Pregnancy* revealed that IPV was reported to result in high maternal death,  
11 preterm birth and high prenatal mortality, abortion, miscarriage and impacted on long-term health of  
12 women. Moreover, the survey showed that one third of women interviewed experienced physical  
13 abuse during pregnancy (Deuba and Rana, 2005). A smaller study conducted in 2007 in a Kathmandu  
14 Maternity hospital showed that 33% of pregnant women attending the ANC services were facing  
15 regular violence and that very few sought help unless they were physically injured (Chaudhary et al.,  
16 2010).

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Following these public studies, the Ministry of Health seized the opportunity to develop two  
34 guidelines for service providers to address GBV (Family Health Division of the Ministry of Health,  
35 2005b, Family Health Division of the Ministry of Health, 2005a) but missed the opportunity to  
36 include GBV into the policy and awareness campaigns around safe motherhood which could have  
37 reinvigorated progress on a national GBV health policy. It is likely that other more urgent health  
38 concerns around reaching the MDG goal 5 to improve maternal health (and the role of decriminalising  
39 abortion in this) were seen more important by the MoHP and other policy makers. For example,  
40 several incentives schemes were introduced nationally to support women delivering in public  
41 hospitals to reduce maternal mortality (Bhandari et al., 2011, Witter et al., 2011, Ministry of Health  
42 and Population, 2010). No mention was made to GBV in these policies – a missed opportunity, but  
43 there may have been a fear that it would dilute the focus or commitment to reducing maternal  
44 mortality.

1  
2  
3 The intention of the Government to connect GBV and health is less clear in its revised *National Safe*  
4 *Motherhood and Neonatal Health-Long Term Plan (2006-2017)* (Ministry of Health and Population  
5 and the Department of Health Services, 2006), in which GBV is barely mentioned. Significantly, the  
6 revised Plan stated that rights-based approaches would be used to reduce gender-based violence, but  
7 without explanation of these. This represents a shift in the way the Government ‘framed’ GBV as a  
8 human rights issue and is probably attributable to the desire to align high-level policy documents  
9 more explicitly to the human rights focus of the newly adopted Constitution (Government of Nepal,  
10 21 November 2006) – violence as a human rights, rather than a health, issue was seen to have more  
11 traction: it was this reframing that eventually led to a window of opportunity.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 ***Emerging Windows of Opportunity: regeneration of political priority & recognition of health***  
24 ***dimensions of GBV (2006-2008)***  
25  
26

27 Windows of opportunity often emerge quickly with a clear triggering event, however in Nepal they  
28 appear to have emerged incrementally after a gradual change in the political environment and the  
29 establishing of a groundwork legal framework within which it became possible to act on GBV  
30 through a health entry point. Changes in the *political stream* occurred relatively fast in Nepal with the  
31 creation of a new constitutional democracy (Government of Nepal, 21 November 2006) and the end  
32 of the ancient monarchic system in the early 1990s. As Nepal became party to intergovernmental  
33 negotiations and treaties on gender and rights, there were opportunities for GBV to become a high  
34 national issue as the government sought to respond to gender discrimination and the promotion of  
35 human rights. In particular, these political changes influenced a paradigm shift in policymaking from  
36 a welfare to a rights-based approach (in the socio-economic sector) greatly influencing the promotion  
37 of women’s empowerment (Government of Nepal and United Nations Country Team of Nepal, 2013),  
38 and leading to revision of legal acts to promote gender equality (Government of Nepal and Nepal Law  
39 Commission, 2006). The new Constitution, explicitly prohibiting physical, mental or any other forms  
40 of GBV, provided an important framework within which other actions could legitimately be taken  
41 (Government of Nepal, 21 November 2006). The Government’s 3-year Interim Plan, a high-level  
42 policy document, went further calling for the creation of a legal framework to address GBV  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (Commission, December 2007). Under the section on Health (under the Safe Motherhood and New-  
4 Born Child Health Programme), it called for a study to document the numerous injuries caused to  
5 women by violent acts.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Despite the pushes to promote gender equality, and the new Constitution prohibiting GBV, the  
11 MWCSW's second attempt to pass a Bill on GBV failed again in 2006. The reasons for this are  
12 unclear, but one explanation could be that the country's energies were more occupied with its  
13 reconstruction and rehabilitation after the decade of conflict. Moreover, the influential women's  
14 groups were focused on advocating for a Human Trafficking Act, which was subsequently adopted in  
15 2007 (Government of Nepal and Nepal Law Commission, 2007). Another explanation could be that  
16 until domestic violence was criminalised, the Ministry of Health would not consider its role around an  
17 issue that was not traditionally understood as a health one.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

### 26 ***Recognition of GBV as a national priority (2009-2014)***

27  
28 In 2009, a number of factors converged to accelerate action on the elimination of GBV in Nepal and  
29 contributed to the convergence of the three streams, providing a genuine window of opportunity to  
30 consider health as an entry point for tackling GBV. First, in its Three Year Plan (2010-13), the Nepal  
31 Planning Commission reiterated the Government's commitment to reduce GBV, calling for  
32 campaigns to prevent and control it (National Planning Commission (NPC), 2011). A second element  
33 was the strong advocacy coalition of women's NGOs who once again turned their attention back to  
34 GBV and, together with the MWCSW, led a Pressure Group to push for Domestic Violence (DV)  
35 Legislation which was subsequently adopted (SAATHI). The DV Bill was a critical step in  
36 consolidating the necessary legal framework for acting on GBV, detailing specific measures to control  
37 violence, making such violence punishable, and providing protection to the victims (Ministry of Law  
38 and Justice (MOLJ) [Nepal], 2009). Critically, it also underlined the need for development and  
39 implementation of plans and services offering a major opportunity to incorporate health sector  
40 implementation.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 This opportunity was not lost on the health stakeholders who began more concerted action. Possibly  
4 influenced by a global call to end GBV (women, 2008) and to generate evidence for action (World  
5 Helath Organisation/London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 2010), international donors  
6 and UN agencies, including Dfid and UNFPA, commissioned the MoHP, local NGOs, research and  
7 consultancy groups to conducted studies to document the pervasiveness of GBV and its health  
8 consequences (University College London (UCL) and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013,  
9 Government of Nepal, November 2012, Tuladhar et al., 2013, Government of Nepal (GoN) et al.,  
10 2012, Family Health Division of the Department of Health Services and South Asian Institute for  
11 Policy Analysis and Leadership, 2012, Lamichhane et al., 2011, The Asia Foundation and SAATHI,  
12 2010, Puri et al., 2010, Adhikari and Tamang, 2010, UNFPA et al., 2008). These documents  
13 contributed to building the evidence base to legitimise GBV as a public health *problem* to be taken  
14 seriously by the Government of Nepal under its new framework. Supported by this emerging evidence  
15 of the health consequences of GBV in Nepal, the MoHP was able to take advantage of the shift in  
16 focus of Government activities that occurred after the DV Bill was adopted, to move beyond  
17 advocacy for legal change to focus on strategies and plans for service implementation as a viable  
18 *solution* to address the health dimensions of GBV. Thus the role of MoHP in addressing GBV became  
19 more dominant, especially in relation to service provision and training of health staff. The Ministry of  
20 Health openly stated that GBV affected women's health (Ministry of Health and Population,  
21 December 2009) and should be an integral component of healthcare provision that included violence-  
22 related mental health services (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP), 2010b).

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 The final critical event that consolidated a window of opportunity occurred on November 2009, when  
47 the former Prime Minister directed the Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers to take  
48 concrete steps to develop an action plan to end GBV and declared the year 2010 as the “Year against  
49 gender-based violence (GBV)”. A national public awareness campaign was launched and GBV  
50 declared as a cross-party priority (Thapa Shrestha, December 2013). Subsequently, a Prime  
51 Ministerial Unit (Gender Empowerment and Coordination Unit) to address GBV, was established  
52 (University College London (UCL) and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013), and an Inter-  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Ministerial Committee (including the Ministry of Health) on GBV was created (in consultation with  
4 NGOs, donors, private sectors and the media) charged with drafting the Government Plan of Action  
5 for the 'Year against GBV'. The adopted *National Plan of Action against GBV* (2010) (Government  
6 of Nepal, 2009) focused on protection, prosecution and prevention, but also explicitly recognized the  
7 need for a multi-sector response (including health) to address GBV. This Plan finally legitimised the  
8 GBV as a national priority issue with health as an integral dimension.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 *A consolidated role for MoHP in GBV-response*

18  
19 The National Plan recognised the role of MoHP to address GBV giving it the official responsibility  
20 for establishing services. MoHP became the main executive body responsible for implementing  
21 Clause 3 of the 'National Action Plan 2010 against Gender-Based Violence', which called for the  
22 provision of integrated services to survivors of gender-based violence by establishing hospital-based  
23 one-stop crisis management centres (OCMCs). The MoHP developed a Manual providing guidance  
24 on how OCMCs should function, detailing the role and responsibilities of each stakeholder (Ministry  
25 of Health and Population (MOHP), 2010a), and establishing OCMCs in a phased manner (Health and  
26 Education Advice and Research Team (HEART), 15 February 2013). Since 2011, 15 OCMCs were  
27 established (Ministry of Health and Population et al., October 2013).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 A year later the detailed first year Plan was followed by a 5-year Plan – *National Strategy and Action*  
41 *Plan on Gender Empowerment and End of Gender-based Violence* (Government of Nepal and Office  
42 of Prime Minister and Council of Ministers, July/August, 2012)- establishing provisions to address  
43 institutional capacity to respond to GBV within health services (University College London (UCL)  
44 and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013). The Ministry of Health plays a crucial part in the  
45 implementation of this Plan, being a key member of the Advisory Committee and Inter-Ministerial  
46 Committees created to monitor the implementation of the GBV Plan. Ongoing government  
47 commitment to tackling GBV is manifest in its latest MDG Progress Report, which calls for a policy  
48 of zero tolerance towards GBV and the development of a GBV indicator to monitor progress  
49 (Government of Nepal and United Nations Country Team of Nepal, 2013). The MoHP has also  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 reiterated its commitment to reduce GBV in a draft report on major health policy issues, which states  
4 that spousal violence can lead to serious health implications for women and their children and calls  
5 for a multi-sectoral approach to reduce GBV (Ministry of Health and Population, 2014 ). However,  
6  
7  
8  
9 despite a long section on ANC and RH the report failed to mention GBV and its threat to pregnancy  
10  
11  
12 outcomes. Nevertheless, recent discussions around the new Reproductive Health Policy seem to  
13  
14 indicate that GBV will be among its components (the ninth) [*from personal discussion with key*  
15  
16 *informant from SRH Organisation based in Kathmandu*].  
17

## 18 19 **Discussion**

20  
21 This paper draws on the concepts of agenda setting and framing to analyse the historical processes by  
22  
23 which GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue in Nepal and explored factors that facilitated  
24  
25 and constrained the opening and closing of windows of opportunity. This marrying of two theoretical  
26  
27 bodies of work has revealed many insights that further our understanding of factors influencing  
28  
29 agenda setting and help to refine existing theories. First, our analysis of the different frames used by  
30  
31 different actors at different times reveals that there may be parallel sets of streams based on different  
32  
33 frames that may eventually converge. Second, we find that the politics stream should not be viewed as  
34  
35 a disconnected factor at macro level - but as an ever-changing political context operating at macro,  
36  
37 meso and micro levels. Furthermore, it can profoundly affect issue framing – and therefore the  
38  
39 perceptions of policy problems and solutions. Third, the ability of entrepreneurs to act is constrained  
40  
41 or facilitated by their reading of the political context, their alignment with powerful actors and their  
42  
43 strategic use of framing.  
44  
45

### 46 47 *Multiple frames, parallel policy streams and convergence*

48  
49 Previous studies from high income countries have shown that the way and form in which particular  
50  
51 problems are conceived and framed affects how they will be tackled by policy-makers (Cobb and  
52  
53 Elder, 1983, Entman, 1993, Jerit, 2008). Our analysis shows that the existence of multiple frames  
54  
55 around the conception of GBV may lead to parallel policy streams. Over the years, GBV was  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 recognised as a problem in Nepal, but how it was framed varied according to the actors' interests,  
4 their understanding of the political context, and their consequent perceptions of both the type of  
5 problem and its solution. The Government of Nepal initially adopted a gender equality and  
6 development frame, in line with the international women's movement and the international push for  
7 poverty reduction strategies. The Ministry of Women and Social Welfare joined forces with  
8 influential women's NGOs framed the issue of GBV in terms of a gender equality and empowerment  
9 approach. This was in keeping with the national position on the importance of gender equality for  
10 advancing the development of the country. Moreover, GBV was not successfully connected to the  
11 health policy agenda (or a health frame) which was focused instead on liberalising abortion laws and  
12 reducing maternal mortality. A lack of political will, together with the end of Parliamentary cycle,  
13 thwarted early attempts at legislation on GBV. After a new Constitution was agreed, following a  
14 prolonged period of armed conflict, the Government adopted a human rights lens to addressing GBV  
15 in alignment with the core principles of the Constitution. This gave GBV a higher political profile and  
16 resulted in legislative efforts to address it, but not as a health issue.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33 Nevertheless, this powerful non-health framing (promoted by influential women's groups and  
34 accepted by the Prime Minister's Office) facilitated the convergence of the three streams – problem  
35 (GBV as a human rights issue), solution (legislation to criminalise) and politics (New Constitution  
36 upholding rights of all citizens) – which created a window for policy change that secured the linkage  
37 of health and GBV. Once again the influential women's groups turned their attention back to GBV  
38 legislation and secured the Domestic Violence Bill, consolidating the national legal framework for  
39 GBV and, critically, paving the way for multi-sector implementation plans. The international donors  
40 funded evidence-gathering to support the MoHP, which finally attempted to align its arguments about  
41 the problem of GBV and its potential solution to the Safe Motherhood Movement. This showed that  
42 GBV had significant maternal (and other) health consequences and thus any solution to the problem  
43 must include health services. This aligned with the requirement to develop plans for service-  
44 implementation in the Joint Plan and the MoHP was belatedly able to secure its position as a key  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 implementer, though it continues to miss opportunities to align health-sector GBV-responses to an  
4  
5 ANC entry point.  
6  
7

### 8 9 *Politics stream and fluid political contexts*

10  
11 In Nepal numerous *politics stream* factors at national and international levels contributed to the  
12  
13 increasing political prioritisation of GBV. First, key political events had an impact on the rise of GBV  
14  
15 as a national concern. The democratisation process exposed Nepal to intergovernmental negotiations  
16  
17 and treaties on rights and development, including the need for gender-equity and reduction of  
18  
19 discrimination – including violence – against women. This led to the emergence of a gender and  
20  
21 development frame for ending GBV. The ongoing civil war and its final resolution resulted in a  
22  
23 necessary focus on security and reconstruction promoting a security-focussed response to GBV. The  
24  
25 influential MDG-aligned *Safe Motherhood Campaign* was an early focus of women's groups active  
26  
27 on GBV issues in Nepal, but they quickly abandoned their health focus in favour of the more potent  
28  
29 legal, rights-based frame that aligned with the programme of constitutional legal change. It was only  
30  
31 after this constitutional legal change was consolidated (resulting in the adoption of the DV Bill), and  
32  
33 the international donors came in to support the MoHP by providing the evidence to link GBV to  
34  
35 health, that the opportunity was seized to align the health frame with the calls for a service-  
36  
37 implementation response to GBV. The Declaration of the Year to end GBV and the high-level actions  
38  
39 by the Prime Minister were critical components in ensuring the *Joint Plan* finally incorporated health.  
40  
41 Thus these big political events affected the 'framing' of the issue of GBV and at different times  
42  
43 created, and closed off, windows of opportunity for policy change.  
44  
45

### 46 47 *Political Entrepreneurship*

48  
49 The convergence of the three policy streams alone does not secure political will. Policy windows  
50  
51 remain open for only a short time before the alignment passes and policy entrepreneurs are crucial to  
52  
53 seize the moment and act to achieve policy change (Kingdon, 2003, Kingdon, 1984).  
54  
55

56  
57 Initially in Nepal the Ministry of Health and Population was not an entrepreneur. The complex multi-  
58  
59 sectoral nature of GBV (which is both a health and social problem and can be addressed as a human  
60

1  
2  
3 rights and criminal justice issue) makes it difficult for a single Ministry to tackle alone. Yet whilst the  
4  
5 MoHP began to engage with GBV from the early 2000s, it failed to coordinate or collaborate with key  
6  
7 policy actors in other sectors or to strategically align itself with the powerful human-rights frame  
8  
9 promoted by women's groups and taken up by the Government which provided a window of  
10  
11 opportunity for health policy change. One explanation is that the MoHP never had a policy  
12  
13 'champion' who could push forward its health-frame and show that integrating GBV services within  
14  
15 health care settings should be part of the national response. Having such a champion within the most  
16  
17 important tertiary hospital in the country was a key ingredient for Malaysia's successful adoption of  
18  
19 national policy guidance on the integration of GBV services within hospitals (Colombini et al., 2011).  
20  
21 In Nepal, the presence of such a 'champion' could have led to a much earlier window of opportunity  
22  
23 for MoHP.  
24

25  
26  
27 Nevertheless, once the Joint Plan was agreed, the MoHP recognised their opportunity and partnered  
28  
29 with international donors and agencies (especially UNFPA and DFID) to create an evidence base for  
30  
31 integrating GBV services into the health sector, developing guidelines for health service responses  
32  
33 and training for health professionals, with a focus on OCMCs. Although an important step, the MoHP  
34  
35 missed an opportunity to integrate GBV within other health services, particularly safe motherhood (a  
36  
37 government priority since the late 1990s) (Bhandari et al., 2011, Suvedi et al., 2009, Witter et al.,  
38  
39 2011, Government of Nepal, 2005), and ANC, recognized globally as an entry point for preventing  
40  
41 and addressing the health consequences of GBV (García-Moreno et al., 2014, Miller et al., 2010). The  
42  
43 MoHP could have promoted these as additional entry points alongside the further development of the  
44  
45 OCMCs, as happened in Bangladesh (UNFPA Asia Pacific Office, 2010). Another window may be  
46  
47 emerging since the current revision of the New RH Strategy contains references to GBV - the  
48  
49 opportunity should not be missed a second time to develop an ANC/SRH response.  
50

## 51 52 53 54 **Conclusions** 55 56 57 58 59 60

1  
2  
3 Nepal represents a very good example of a country that was able to move from a narrow view of GBV  
4 as a criminal justice issue, towards a public health approach, framing GBV as a health issue. In  
5 contrast, less progressive countries continue to ignore the health consequences of GBV.  
6  
7 Understanding how this change occurred in Nepal could provide important lessons for other countries  
8  
9 in the region that want to broaden the conceptualization of GBV policies to encompass the health  
10  
11 consequences.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Framing is recognised as an important component of agenda setting. Our explicit incorporation of it  
18  
19 within the Kindgon model of policy streams and entrepreneurs has illustrated how important it is for  
20  
21 understanding the emergence of policy issues, and the subsequent debates about their resolution. The  
22  
23 framing of a policy problem by certain policy actors affects the development of each of the three  
24  
25 policy streams, and may facilitate or constrain their convergence. This may lead to windows of  
26  
27 opportunity being opened or closed, and whether these windows result in effective policy change or  
28  
29 not. The concept of framing therefore lends an additional depth of understanding to the Kindgon  
30  
31 model agenda setting model.  
32  
33  
34

35 In particular, the study contribute to increase the limited literature on GBV agenda setting in order to  
36  
37 comprehend the factors influencing prioritization of GBV and the consequent development of the  
38  
39 legal and policy environment which can inform other countries wishing to act on GBV as a health  
40  
41 issue. Furthermore, these insights can be helpful for other countries who are embarking on a similar  
42  
43 process to understand what shapes the formation of political will and the involvement of key  
44  
45 stakeholders in the implementation of GBV services, since the actions of these actors have a direct  
46  
47 impact on service-delivery, including why it has not so far been taken up within health settings.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

- ADHIKARI, R. & TAMANG, J. 2010. Sexual coercion of married women in Nepal. *BMC Women's Health*, 10, 31.
- BHADRA, C. May 2004. NEPAL. Review of the Implementation of the Beijing Platform for Action and the Outcome Documents of the Twenty-Third Special Session of the General Assembly.
- BHADRA, C. January 2001. Gender and development: global debate on Nepal's development agenda. *Contributions to Nepalese Studies*, 28, 95-107.
- BHANDARI, A., GORDON, M. & SHAKYA, G. 2011. Reducing maternal mortality in Nepal. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 118, 26-30.
- BUSE, K., MAYS, N. & WALT, G. 2005. *Making health policy*, Open University Press.
- CHAUDHARY, P., CHAUDHARY, S. K. & SHRESTHA, M. 2010. Prevalence of Gender Based Violence among Pregnant Women: A Hospital Based Study. *Nepal Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 5, 17-22.
- COBB, R. W. & ELDER, C. D. 1983. *Participation in American Politics: the dynamics of Agenda-Building*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- COLOMBINI, M., ALI, S., WATTS, C. & MAYHEW, S. 2011. One stop crisis centres: A policy analysis of the Malaysian response to intimate partner violence. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 9, 25.
- COLOMBINI, M., MAYHEW, S., ALI, S., SHUIB, R. & WATTS, C. 2012. An integrated health sector response to violence against women in Malaysia: lessons for supporting scale up. *BMC Public Health*, 12, 548.
- COMMISSION, N. P. December 2007. *Three Year Interim Plan (2007/8 and 2008/9)*. Singhadurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- DEUBA, A. R. & RANA, P. S. 2001. *A Study on the Psycho-Social Impacts of Violence Against Women and Girls with Special Focus on Rape, Incest and Polygamy*. Kathmandu.
- DEUBA, A. R. & RANA, P. S. 2005. *A Study on Linkages between Domestic Violence and Pregnancy*. Kathmandu, Nepal.
- DHAKAL, S. 2008. Nepalese women under the shadow of domestic violence. *Lancet*, 371, 547-8.
- DRUCKMAN, J. 2001. Evaluating framing effects. *Journal of economic psychology*, 22, 96-101.
- EDELMAN, M. 1988. *Political language: constructing the politics spectacle*, Chicago, IL, University of Chicago Press.
- ENGEL, J., GLENNIE, J., ADHIKARI, S. R., BHATTARAI, S. W., PRASAI, D. P. & SAMUELS, F. 2013. *Nepal's story: understanding improvements in maternal mortality*. London: ODI.
- ENTMAN, R. M. 1993. Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43 51-58.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES & SOUTH ASIAN INSTITUTE FOR POLICY ANALYSIS AND LEADERSHIP 2012. *Gender based violence in Nepal: types, level and prevention*. Kathmandu.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH 2005a. *Management Protocol for Health Service Providers and Managers*.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH 2005b. *Training Manual for health service providers and managers*.
- GARCÍA-MORENO, C., HEGARTY, K., LUCAS D'OLIVEIRA, A. F., KOZIOL-MACLAIN, J., COLOMBINI, M. & FEDER, G. 2014. The health-systems response to violence against women. *The Lancet*.
- GENEAU, R., STUCKLER, D., STACHENKO, S., MCKEE, M., EBRAHIM, S., BASU, S., CHOCKALINGHAM, A., MWATSAMA, M., JAMAL, R., ALWAN, A. & BEAGLEHOLE, R. 2010. Raising the priority of preventing chronic diseases: a political process. *Lancet*, 376, 1689-98.
- GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 21 November 2006. *Interim Constitution of Nepal 2063 (2007)*.
- GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 2005. *Operational guidelines on incentives for safe delivery services*. Kathmandu: Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population.

- 1  
2  
3 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 2009. National Plan of Action for "Year Against Gender Based Violence,  
4 2010". Kathmandu: Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers.  
5 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL November 2012. A Study on Gender-Based Violence Conducted in Selected  
6 Rural Districts of Nepal. Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.  
7 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES  
8 2002. National Safe Motherhood Plan (2002-2017). Kathmandu.  
9 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & NEPAL LAW COMMISSION. 2006. Amending Some Nepal Acts to  
10 Maintain Gender Equality, 2063 (2006). Available:  
11 [www.lawcommission.gov.np/index.php/en/acts-english](http://www.lawcommission.gov.np/index.php/en/acts-english).  
12 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & NEPAL LAW COMMISSION 2007. Human Trafficking and Transportation  
13 (Control) Act, 2064.  
14 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & OFFICE OF PRIME MINISTER AND COUNCIL OF MINISTERS July/August,  
15 2012. National Strategy and Action Plan on Gender Empowerment and to End of Gender-  
16 based Violence (Fiscal Year 2012/2013-2016/2017) - Unofficial Translation. Singhdarbar,  
17 Kathmandu.  
18 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL (GON), POPULATION DIVISION OF MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION  
19 & NEPAL HEALTH SECTOR SUPPORT PROGRAMME (NHSSP) 2012. Voices from the  
20 Community: Access to Health Services. A Rapid Participatory Ethnographic Evaluation and  
21 Research (PEER) Study, Nepal.  
22 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL AND UNITED NATIONS COUNTRY TEAM OF NEPAL 2013. Nepal. Millennium  
23 Development Goals. Progress Report 2013. Kathmandu.  
24 GRANEHEIM, U. H. & LUNDMAN, B. 2004. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts,  
25 procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse education today*, 24, 105-112.  
26 HALL, P. 1975. Change, choice and conflict in social policy. London: Heinemann Educational.  
27 HEALTH AND EDUCATION ADVICE AND RESEARCH TEAM (HEART) 15 February 2013. Nepal Health  
28 Sector Programme II (NHSP II). Mid-Term Review.  
29 INFORMAL SECTOR SERVICE CENTER (INSEC) 2012. Situation of Human Rights 2011: Overall  
30 Evaluation *Nepal Human Rights Yearbook*.  
31 JAYASUNDERE, R. August 2009. Understanding gendered violence against women in Sri Lanka. A  
32 background paper fro Women Defining Peace.  
33 JERIT, J. 2008. Issue framing and engagement: rhetorical strategy in public policy debates. *Political*  
34 *Behavior*, 30, 1-24.  
35 JOSHI, S. K. 2009. Violence against Women (VAW) in Nepal: Role of health care workers. *Kathmandu*  
36 *University Medical Journal*, 7, 89-91.  
37 JUSTICE, A. F. A. I. C. F. T. December 2010. Across the line. The impact of Nepal's conflict on women.  
38 Kathmandu.  
39 KINGDON, J. 1984. Agendas, alternatives and public policies. Boston: Little Brown & Co.  
40 KINGDON, J. 2010. Agendas, alternatives and public policies. updated 2nd edition ed. Harlow:  
41 Longman Classics.  
42 KINGDON, J. W. 2003. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. New York: Longman.  
43 KRUG, E. G., DAHLBERG, T. T., MERCY, J. A., ZWI, A. B. & LOZANO, R. E. 2002. World report on  
44 violence and health. Geneva.  
45 LAMICHHANE, P., PURI, M., TAMANG, J. & DULAL, B. 2011. Women's Status and Violence against  
46 Young Married Women in Rural Nepal. *BMC Women's Health*, 11, 19.  
47 MILLER, E., DECKER, M. R., MCCAULEY, H. L., TANCREDI, D. J., LEVENSON, R. R., WALDMAN, J.,  
48 SCHOENWALD, P. & SILVERMAN, J. G. 2010. Pregnancy coercion, intimate partner violence  
49 and unintended pregnancy. *Contraception*, 81, 316-322.  
50 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION 2010. The Aama programme: an initiative towards reducing  
51 maternal and newborn deaths in Nepal. Kathmandu Ministry of Health & Population;  
52 Support to the Safe Motherhood Programme (SSMP).  
53 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION 2014 Evidence report for NHSP III - Version I.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION, UNFPA & NHSSP (NEPAL HEALTH SECTOR SUPPORT  
4 PROGRAMME) October 2013. Assessment of the Performance of Hospital-Based One Stop  
5 Crisis Management Centres. Kathmandu.  
6 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) 2010a. Hospital Based One-stop Crisis  
7 Management Center (OCMC) operational manual 2067. Kathmandu, Nepal.  
8 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) 2010b. Nepal Health Sector Implementation Plan II  
9 2010-2015. Kathmandu.  
10 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) [NEPAL], NEW ERA & ICF INTERNATIONAL INC.  
11 March 2012. Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Kathmandu, Nepal.  
12 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES 2006.  
13 National Safe Motherhood and Neonatal Health-Long Term Plan (2006-2017). *In: SERVICES,*  
14 *F. H. D. O. T. D. O. H. (ed.). Kathmandu: Family Health Division.*  
15 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION, G. O. N. December 2009. Health Sector Gender Equality  
16 and Social Inclusion Strategy. Kathmandu, Nepal.  
17 MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (MOLJ) [NEPAL] 2009. Domestic Violence (Offence and Punishment)  
18 Act, 2067. *In: MINISTRY OF LAW, J. A. C. A. A. (ed.). Kathmandu: Ministry of Law and Justice*  
19 MINISTRY OF WOMEN & SOCIAL WELFARE 1997. National Plan of Action for Gender Equality and  
20 Women's Empowerment.  
21 MINISTRY OF WOMEN CHILDREN AND SOCIAL WELFARE 1999. "Beijing Plus Five: Country Report".  
22 Kathmandu, Nepal.  
23 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION & HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT 1998. The Ninth Plan (1997-  
24 2002). Kathmandu.  
25 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION & HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT March 2002. Tenth Plan (2002-  
26 2007). Kathmandu, Nepal.  
27 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) 2011. Three Year Plan (2010-2013). Kathmandu, Nepal.  
28 PATHAK, L. K., MALLA, D. S. & PRADHA, A. 1998. Maternal mortality and morbidity study.  
29 Kathmandu, Nepal.  
30 PRADHAN, A., POUDEL, P., D., T. & BARNETT, S. July 2011. A review of the evidence: suicide among  
31 women in Nepal.  
32 PURI, M., SHAH, I. & TAMANG, J. 2010. Exploring the Nature and Reasons for Sexual Violence Within  
33 Marriage Among Young Women in Nepal. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 25, 1873-1892.  
34 REIN, M. & SCHÖN, D. 1994. *Frame reflection*, New York, Basic Books.  
35 ROGERS, E. M., DEARING, J. W. & BREGMAN, D. 1993. The Anatomy of Agenda-Setting Research.  
36 *Journal of Communication*, 43, 68-84.  
37 SAATHI. SAATHI Hails Domestic Violence Bill. Source:  
38 [http://www.saathi.org.np/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=56&Itemid=83](http://www.saathi.org.np/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=56&Itemid=83)  
39 [Online]. [Accessed 9 September 2014].  
40 SAATHI & THE ASIA FOUNDATION 1997 A situation analysis of violence against women in Nepal.  
41 Kathmandu, Nepal.  
42 SAATHI & UNFPA 2008. Situational Analysis of Gender-Based Violence in Surkhet and Dang Districts  
43 Nepal.  
44 SABATIER, P. A. (ed.) 2007. *Theories of the Policy Process* Westview Press.  
45 SCHÖN, D. & REIN, M. 1996. Frame-critical policy analysis and frame-reflective policy practice.  
46 *Knowledge and policy*, 9, 85-103.  
47 SEMETKO, H. A. & VALKENBURG, P. M. 2000. Framing European politics: a content analysis of press  
48 and television news *Journal of communication*, 50, 93-109.  
49 SHIFFMAN, J. 2007. Generating political priority for maternal mortality reduction in 5 developing  
50 countries. *Am J Public Health*, 97, 796-803.  
51 SNIDERMAN, P. M. & THERIAULT, S. M. 2004. The structure of political argument and the logic of  
52 issue framing. *In: SARIS, W. E. & SNIDERMAN, P. M. (eds.) Studies in public opinion.*  
53 Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 SNOW, D. & BENFORD, R. 1992. Master frames and cycles of protest. *In: MORRIS, A. & MUELLER, C.*  
4 (eds.) *Frontiers in social movement theory*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.  
5  
6 SUVEDI, B. K., PRADHAN, A., BARNETT, S., PURI, M., CHITRAKAR, S. R., POUDEL, P., SHARMA, S. &  
7 HULTON, L. 2009. Nepal Maternal Mortality and Morbidity Study 2008/2009: Summary of  
8 Preliminary Findings. Kathmandu, Nepal.  
9  
10 THAPA, S. 2004. Abortion Law in Nepal: The Road to Reform. *Reproductive Health Matters*, 12, 85-  
11 94.  
12  
13 THAPA SHRESTHA, N. December 2013. Combating gender-based violence. Policy Brief. Kathmandu:  
14 ESP and UKAid.  
15  
16 THE ASIA FOUNDATION & SAATHI 2010. Nepal. Preliminary Mapping of Gender Based Violence.  
17 Kathmandu: The Asia Foundation and SAATHI.  
18  
19 TULADHAR, S., KHANAL, K. R., LILA, K. C., GHIMIRE, P. K. & ONTA, K. 2013. Women's Empowerment  
20 and Spousal Violence in Relation to Health Outcomes in Nepal: Further analysis of the 2011  
21 Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. Calverton, Maryland, USA.  
22  
23 TVERSKY, A. & KAHNEMAN, D. 1987. Rational choice and the framing of decisions. *In: HOGARTH, R.*  
24 *M. & REDER, M. W. (eds.) Rational choice: the contrast between economics and psychology.*  
25 Chicago,IL: University of Chicago Press.  
26  
27 UNFPA 2008. Nepal: Overcoming violence against women through an integrated and community-  
28 based approach *Programming to address violence against women. 8 case studies. Volume 2.*  
29 New York: UNFPA.  
30  
31 UNFPA, INTERNATIONAL RESCUE COMMITTEE, SAATHI & WOMEN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS 2008.  
32 Situational analysis of gender-based violence in Surkhet and Dang districts, Nepal  
33 Kathmandu.  
34  
35 UNFPA ASIA PACIFIC OFFICE 2010. Health-sector responses to Gender-Based Violence. An  
36 assessment of the Asia, Pacific Region. Bangkok.  
37  
38 UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON (UCL) & CENTRE FOR RESEARCH ON ENVIRONMENT, H. A. P. A. C.  
39 2013. Tracking Cases of Gender-Based Violence in Nepal: Individual, institutional, legal and  
40 policy analyses  
41  
42 WITTER, S., KHADKA, S., NATH, H. & TIWARI, S. 2011. The national free delivery policy in Nepal: early  
43 evidence of its effects on health facilities. *Health Policy and Planning*, 26, ii84-ii91.  
44  
45 WOMEN, U. N. S. G. C. T. E. V. A. 2008. Framework for Action. Programme of United Nations  
46 Activities and Expected Outcomes, 2008 - 2015.  
47  
48 WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION, LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE AND TROPICAL MEDICINE & SOUTH  
49 AFRICAN MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL 2013. Global and regional estimates of violence  
50 against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner  
51 sexual violence. Geneva: WHO.  
52  
53 WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2013. Responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence  
54 against women. WHO clinical and policy guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization.  
55  
56 WORLD HELATH ORGANISATION/LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE AND TROPICAL MEDICINE 2010.  
57 Preventing intimate partner and sexual violence against women: taking action and  
58 generating evidence. Geneva.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

**Table 1: Changes over time in GBV Nepal context**

Stage in time (year)	Key actors	Changes over time around GBV 'frames'	Health sector context	Broader contextual factors
1997-2002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government of Nepal</li> <li>• SAATHI (local NGO) and other women's groups</li> <li>• Ministry of Women and Social Welfare</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First recognition of GBV as major impediment to development and women's equality</li> <li>• First prevalence study on GBV</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No recognition of GBV as a health issue. Focus was on women's police cell (safety and security of women) and rehabilitation (through NGOs)</li> <li>• Only one study on GBV prevalence, but none on its health consequences</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Democratisation process leading to proliferation of women's NGOs</li> <li>• Nepal's adoption of principles of 1995 Beijing Conference</li> <li>• Government's commitment to women's empowerment and gender equality</li> </ul>
2002-2005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ministry of Health and Population</li> <li>• Samanita (private consultancy group)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognition of GBV as a public health concern, particularly for safe motherhood and women's health</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MoHP, through Safe Motherhood Plan, plans for creation of GBV service delivery systems in hospitals</li> <li>• MoHP developed a training Manual and a protocol for health staff on GBV service provision</li> <li>• First survey on linkages between IPV and pregnancy published, showing lack of training and knowledge among health providers (OBGYN, midwives) around GBV</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of Abortion Law</li> <li>• Published research on linkages between GBV and maternal mortality and morbidity</li> <li>• National concern for maternal mortality and strong focus on reducing it</li> </ul>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

<p><b>2006-2008</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Government of Nepal</li> <li>• MoHP</li> <li>• UNFPA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognition of the right of women to be free from GBV acts, which are seen as punishable by law</li> <li>• Government call for legal framework around GBV, and a study on health consequences of GBV</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With the revised Safe Motherhood Plans (2006), MoHP shifted GBV focus from service implementation to primary prevention (community awareness) and rights-based approaches</li> <li>• Creation of Gender Equality and Social Inclusion Unit within MoHP</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of new Constitution (legitimising right to be free from GBV)</li> <li>• Legal revisions to promote gender equality</li> <li>• UNFPA study on Nepal Gender Equality recognising GBV as important element of SRH and calling for the integration of IPV in safe motherhood and other RH programmes</li> </ul>
<p><b>2009-2014</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prime Minister Office</li> <li>• MoHP</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognition of GBV as a national priority needing concerted effort</li> <li>• Legitimisation of MoHP role in integrating GBV services into health sector</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognition of GBV as integral part of health service provision</li> <li>• MoHP as leading actor in health sector response to GBV with creation of 15 OCMCs in 2011</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of DV Law</li> <li>• Government declared Year against GBV</li> <li>• First National Plan of Action Against GBV</li> <li>• National Strategy and Action Plan on Gender Empowerment and to End Gender-based Violence</li> <li>• More studies published on GBV</li> </ul>

view

Figure 1 – Kingdon’s Policy Streams Approach: applied to development of GBV Policy in Nepal

