Wolbachia in the Culex pipiens Group Mosquitoes: Introgression and Superinfection

Thomas Walker, Shewu Song, and Steven P. Sinkins

From the Peter Medawar Building for Pathogen Research and Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK. S. Song is now at the Anhui Institute of Biology, Hefei, Anhui 230088, China.

Address correspondence to Steven P. Sinkins at the address above, or e-mail: steven.sinkins@zoo.ox.ac.uk.

Abstract

Wolbachia bacteria in mosquitoes induce cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), where sperm from *Wolbachia*-infected males can produce inviable progeny. The *w*Pip strain in the *Culex pipiens* group of mosquitoes produces a complexity of CI crossing types. Several factors are thought to be capable of influencing the expression of CI including *Wolbachia* strain type and host genotype. In this study, the unidirectional CI that occurs between 2 *C. pipiens* complex laboratory strains, Col and Mol, was further investigated by nuclear genotype introgression. The unidirectional CI between Col and Mol was not found to be influenced by host genetic background, in contrast to a previous introgression study carried out using bidirectionally incompatible *C. pipiens* group strains. A line containing both *w*Pip strain variants superinfection was also generated by embryonic cytoplasmic transfer. The same crossing type as the parental Col strain was observed in the superinfected line. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction demonstrated a low density of the injected *w*PipMol variant in the superinfected line after 18 generations, which was considered likely to be responsible for the crossing patterns observed. The *Wolbachia* density was also shown to be lower in the parental Mol strain males compared with Col strain males, and no inverse relationship between WO phage and *Wolbachia* density could be detected.

Key words: cytoplasmic incompatibility, Wolbachia

Wolbachia are gram-negative endosymbiotic bacteria that infect numerous invertebrates including insects and nematodes. In their insect hosts, Wolbachia are maternally inherited and behave as reproductive parasites by manipulating host reproduction (Werren 1997). Reproductive alterations include parthenogenesis (Stouthamer et al. 1999), feminization (Rousset et al. 1992), and male killing (Hurst et al. 2000). In mosquitoes and many other insects, Wolbachia cause cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) that results in the generation of inviable progeny when sperm from a Wolbachia-infected male fertilizes an uninfected egg. CI imparts a reproductive advantage to infected females allowing Wolbachia to rapidly invade host populations (Turelli and Hoffmann 1991) to reach very high population frequencies, and Wolbachia therefore has potential for use in pest population replacement strategies (Sinkins and O'Neill 2000).

Wolbachia were first reported in the reproductive tissues of *Culex* (*Cx*) *pipiens* mosquitoes (Hertig and Wolbach 1924), and the association between incompatibility and maternal cytoplasmic inheritance was confirmed using crossing experiments with strains cured of *Wolbachia* using tetracycline treatment (Yen 1975). The C. pipiens complex of sibling species includes the formally recognized species C. pipiens and Culex quinquefasciatus, the former more temperate in distribution and primarily bird feeding and the latter the main urban vector of human Bancroftian lymphatic filariasis across the tropics, plus an underground autogenous form for which we will use the full species designation Culex molestus following the population genetic study of Fonseca et al. (2004). Almost all C. pipiens complex populations assayed are infected at close to 100% with the *w*Pip strain of Wolbachia (Cornel et al. 2003; Rasgon and Scott 2004). The wPip strain induces unusually complex crossing types in C. pipiens including partial or complete CI that can be unidirectional or bidirectional (e.g., Magnin et al. 1987; O'Neill and Paterson 1992; Guillemaud et al. 1997; Sinkins et al. 2005; Walker et al. 2007), but there is very low genetic variability in Wolbachia between populations (Guillemaud et al. 1997; Sinkins et al. 2005), making this a good system for attempting to elucidate the molecular basis of CI. A successful small field trial or local population eradication by release of incompatible males was conducted in Burma

(Laven 1967), although there are questions concerning the sustainability of this control approach. Genetic replacement strategies targeting vector competence could also depend on CI and achieving a better understanding of how complex patterns of incompatibility between infected populations are generated would be important in this respect.

The mechanisms of Wolbachia-induced CI are not well characterized. CI is now generally accepted to involve sperm modification that interferes with the process of karyogamy and a rescue component provided by the Wolbachia present in the egg, which restores normal male and female pronuclear karyogamy in compatible crosses (Werren 1997). There are several factors that are thought to influence the expression of CI in insects including Wolbachia density (Bordenstein et al. 2006), Wolbachia strain type (Sasaki and Ishikawa 2000; Sakamoto et al. 2005), and host genotype (McGraw et al. 2001; Sasaki et al. 2005). The bidirectional CI between the Pel and Bei strains of C. quinquefasciatus was previously shown to be influenced by host modifying effects by introgressing the Pel nuclear genome into a Bei cytoplasmic background (Sinkins et al. 2005). In this comparative study, the unidirectional CI that occurs between 2 *w*Pip-infected *C. pipiens* complex laboratory strains, Mol (C. molestus from China) and Col (C. quinquefasciatus from Colombia), was investigated using a similar process of nuclear genotype introgression. In addition, a wPip strain variant superinfection was generated for the first time using these 2 mosquito strains as donor and recipient, to investigate the effects on CI/crossing type.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito Colonies and Crossing Experiments

Culex pipiens complex laboratory strains Mol (C. molestus, China) and Col (C. quinquefasciatus, Colombia) were reared using standard mosquito rearing procedures at low larval densities in insectary conditions (26 °C, 70% relative humidity) with a 12:12 h light:dark circadian cycle. Mass crossing experiments were carried out using 50 virgin individuals of each sex. Virgin male and female mosquitoes were obtained through isolation and sexing of pupae. The F1 generation progeny from crosses were analyzed by calculating the percentage of hatched embryos from a minimum of 8 egg rafts, each containing between 50 and 110 eggs per raft, as a measure of the CI phenotype. Female spermathecae were examined for the presence of sperm if the hatch rate was zero to confirm insemination. Unidirectional incompatibility between Mol and Col strains allowed nuclear replacement by crossing Col males to females containing the Mol cytoplasmic background. In the backcrossing experiment, F1 females from the cross between Mol females and Col males were backcrossed with Col males, and offspring females backcrossed with Col males for a further 4 generations. From the third backcross generation, males were crossed with Col females to examine whether crossing type was maintained.

Discrimination of wPip Strain Variants

The Mol and Col strains are infected with the *w*Pip strain of *Wolbachia*. No sequence polymorphism has been found for *ftsZ* (Guillemaud et al. 1997) or the highly variable *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) genes for the *Wolbachia* present in the Mol and Col strains (Sinkins et al. 2005). Further sequence analysis of *w*Pip ankyrin repeat domain (ANK) genes revealed variation in both nucleotide sequence and predicted amino acid sequence for only 2 prophage-associated ANK genes. One of these genes, *pk*1, shows nucleotide sequence variability between the Col and Mol colonies, and discrimination of the *w*PipMol variant in the Mol colony from the *w*PipCol variant in the Col colony was carried out using *pk*1 primers previously described (Sinkins et al. 2005).

Microinjection of C. pipiens Embryos

Microinjection of Culex embryos was carried out using a method developed for injection of Anopheles embryos (Bossin and Benedict 2005). Donor Mol and recipient Col preblastoderm embryos were aligned against a thin hydrophilic blotting membrane in contact with moist filter paper. Microinjection was carried out under ×100 magnification using a FemtoJet microinjector system (eppendorf) with type II femtotip microinjection needles (eppendorf). A Narishige micromanipulator attached to a Nikon compound microscope was used to manipulate the microinjection needles. After breakage of the needle tip against the membrane, cytoplasm was withdrawn from the donor *w*PipMol-infected embryos and subsequently injected into the posterior poles of the recipient *w*PipCol-infected embryos. G₀ females were mated to colony Col males and blood fed to establish isofemale lines.

Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis was carried out on 5 individual DNA extracts of C. pipiens colony adult mosquitoes. DNA was extracted using a modified version of the Livak buffer method with ethanol precipitation (Collins et al. 1987). Estimation of Wolbachia density was undertaken by comparing in vivo gene copy numbers: ftsZ gene copy counts were used to estimate the total Wolbachia abundance in the DNA extracts. The single copy C. pipiens gene was used to normalize the data, controlling for variation in the amount of DNA extracted or mosquito size. In order to estimate the relative density of the *w*PipMol variant in the superinfected line compared with the parental Mol strain, relative copy numbers of the wPipMol pk1 gene variant were measured. The pk1 gene is present in 3 of the integrated prophage copies in the *w*Pip (Pel strain) genome sequence (Walker et al. 2007), and copy number was corrected for in the analysis. Bacteriophage WO density was estimated by comparing in vivo copy numbers of the phage capsid orf7 gene (Masui et al. 2000) to ftsZ copy numbers.

Long oligonucleotide standards were designed along with corresponding primer sets, and standards were diluted

from 10^6 copies to 10^1 copies for each gene for generation of standard curves. Primer sequences were as follows: qS7F CGCAGCTGATCATCCAT, qS7R ATCGCTCCGAA-TGTAGAGATGCTA (standard = 120 bp); qftsZF TGG TTGGTAAGGTGCAGCAG, qftsZR CCAGTACCACCA-CCCATACC (ftsZ standard = 102 bp); qpk1MolF ATT-TGGCAGCTGGTATTGGA, qpk1MolR CATCGGTGTC TGATTTCGTG (standard = 101bp); qorf7F AAGTA-GACCGACTATATGAAATGTTT, qorf7R GCCAAAAT-ATAGACCTGCTTCTG (standard = 98 bp).

The relative quantity of template DNA was estimated using an Opticon 2 Continuous Fluorescence Detection System (GRI) together with QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Template DNA was PCR amplified using primers at 10 μ M, and 1.0 μ l of DNA was added in a total volume of 20 μ l per reaction. qPCR cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 15 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturating at 95 °C (15 s) and primer annealing at 50 °C (30 s). A melting curve was analyzed to check for any nonspecific amplification or primer dimers. Included in the assays were DNA extracts from the *Wolbachia*-uninfected Pel U colony and sterilized water controls to confirm the absence of contaminating DNA.

Results and Discussion

Crossing and Introgression

Crossing experiments between *w*Pip-infected *C. pipiens* complex laboratory strains Mol and Col previously revealed unidirectional incompatibility (Walker et al. 2007). Col females mated to Mol males resulted in 0.44 \pm 0.19% embryo hatch in contrast to 93.49 \pm 1.17% hatch when Mol females mated with Col males. To investigate whether host modifying effects could influence this crossing pattern, as was previously observed in a cross between 2 bidirectionally incompatible *Culex* strains (Sinkins et al. 2005), 5 generations of backcrossing, introgressing the Col nuclear genotype into a *w*PipMol-infected cytoplasm expected to result in around 97% Col genotype, was undertaken as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The effect of introgression of host genome between Mol (*Culex molestus*, Beijing, China) and Col (*Culex quinquefasciatus*, Colombia) laboratory strains on crossing type

Cross/backcross, female \times male	Progeny name	Test cross, female $ imes$ male	% hatch (no. rafts/embryos)
$Mol \times Col$	F1		
$F1 \times Col$	BCI		
$BCI \times Col$	BCII		
$BCII \times Col$	BCIII	$Col \times BCIII$	0 (48/6427)
$CIII \times Col$	BCIV	$Col \times BCIV$	0 (42/5743)
$BCIV \times Col$		$Col \times BCV$	0.65 (22/2780)

 F_1 females from the cross between Mol females and Col males were backcrossed with Col males, and offspring females backcrossed with Col males for a further 4 generations. From the third backcross generation (BCIII), males were crossed with Col females and embryo hatch rates counted. As shown in Table 1, close to complete incompatibility was observed when males from the introgressed line were crossed with Col females, showing no evidence for a host modifying effect in this particular example of CI. Host modifying effects on CI are thus not universal in this complex but dependent on the particular host strain variant combination being introgressed, and this pattern may contribute to the complexity of crossing type variation in the complex.

Introgression and replacement of the Col nuclear genotype into *w*PipMol-infected cytoplasm also confirm that the interstrain incompatibilities between Mol and Col are not controlled by host nuclear divergence but by *Wolbachia*. This introgression experiment has in effect created a novel crossing type in *C. quinquefasciatus* because the *C. molestus* nuclear genome has been largely replaced. The *w*PipMol variant would be expected to be able to spread through populations of *C. quinquefasciatus* of the Col crossing type.

wPip Strain Variant Superinfection

In order to create a line containing both the *w*PipMol and *w*PipCol variants, Col embryos were injected with *w*PipMolinfected preblastoderm embryo cytoplasm; of 350 injected embryos, there was a 15.1% hatch rate and 8.9% survival of injected embryos to eclosion (31/350). To detect the presence of the *w*PipMol variant in the Col G₀ females and resulting isofemale lines, PCR analysis was carried out using primers that were designed to discriminate between variants of the WO prophage-associated *pk*1 gene present in the 2 *w*Pip strains (Sinkins et al. 2005). An isofemale line, termed *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol), was successfully established and maintained.

Crossing experiments were undertaken with generation 11 (G₁₁) of the superinfected *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol) line to analyze the effect on CI crossing type. PCR analysis for the pk1Mol variant confirmed the presence of the wPipMol variant in all 5 G₁₁ males assayed, suggesting no heterogeneity in the line. As shown in Figure 1, the very low embryo hatch resulting from crossing wPipCol(wPipMol) G₁₁ females to *w*PipMol males was similar to the low hatch when wPipCol females were crossed to wPipMol males. The presence of the *w*PipMol variant in *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol) females would be expected to result in compatibility with Mol males, based on the model that the females contain the correct "rescue" factor for both singly and superinfected males (Sinkins et al. 1995). However, crossing analysis revealed that the *w*PipMol variant in females of the superinfected line was unable to rescue the modification factor in sperm produced by wPipMol males. Likewise, crossing the *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol)-infected G₁₁ males with *w*PipColinfected females produced a high hatch rate, very similar to the hatch seen when wPipMol females were crossed to wPipCol males. Thus, the presence of the wPipMol variant did not produce CI in this cross as had been expected.

www.jhered.oxfordjournals.org

The Journal of Heredity



Figure 1. Crossing analysis to determine the effect of the *w*PipMol variant in the superinfected *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol) line. Crossing experiments were performed with 50 virgin males (M) and 50 virgin females (F), and the hatch rate of the F_1 progeny was calculated from a minimum of 10 egg rafts, each containing 50–120 eggs per raft, as a measure of CI.

Density of wPip Strain Variants

A possible explanation for the crossing data described is that the *w*PipMol variant did not reach a sufficiently high density in the superinfected line to be able to induce CI when superinfected males were crossed to Col females or to rescue CI when superinfected females were crossed to Mol males. qPCR density assays were carried out on the G₁₈ generation to allow a stable equilibrium density to be reached. Although all individual G₁₈ males assayed were positive for the wPipMol based on PCR followed by gel electrophoresis, a very low comparative density of the *w*PipMol *pk*1 variant was detected by qPCR as shown by the pk1Mol:ftsZ gene ratios, equivalent to 1:250 (Table 2). Although naturally occurring Wolbachia strain superinfections such as that seen in Aedes albopictus can be stable despite significant density differences between strains (Dutton and Sinkins 2004), the density differences between them were lower than those seen here.

The quantitative PCR assay is expected to amplify both integrated WO prophage copies of the pk1 genes in the *Wolbachia* genome and any lytic phage particles containing phage DNA that may be produced from those copies containing pk1. Thus, an alternative explanation is that the

Table 2. qPCR analysis to estimate the *w*PipMol density in the superinfected *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol) line

Strain/line	Overall wPip density (ftsZ: S7)	wPipMol relative density (pk1Mol:ftsZ)
Mol	0.12 ± 0.04	1.278 ± 0.189
Col	0.39 ± 0.11	0 ± 0
pPipCol(p PipMol) G ₁₈	0.42 ± 0.12	0.004 ± 0.001

qPCR was carried out on 4 individual DNA extracts of adult Col and Mol male mosquitoes and on 8 adult male mosquitoes of the G18 generation of the *w*PipCol(*w*PipMol) line. The overall *Wolbachia* density was estimated from the *ftsZ*.S7 gene copy ratio, and the relative density of the *w*PipMol variant was estimated using the gene copy ratio of *pk*1Mol:*ftsZ*.

WO phage copy or copies containing the pk1 gene in the wPipMol variant may have infected a small subset of the wPipCol variant Wolbachia, rather than the transfer and transmission of whole wPipMol bacteria. There are no other markers outside of the phage regions available that can discriminate between these strains, if indeed any such markers exist. However, we believe this alternative hypothesis to be unlikely, based on a set of inter-Culex microinjection experiments using extracts filtered to exclude whole Wolbachia but not any phage particles, which did not result in any detectable conversions of pk1 type (data not shown). In any case, the same hypothesis would apply whether whole bacteria or just phage particles have in fact been transferred-that the titre reached was probably not high enough for crossing type conversion to occur-and either way the resulting line can be regarded as superinfected.

Wolbachia and Bacteriophage WO Density in Parental Col and Mol Strains

As shown in Table 2, the *Wolbachia* density in Mol males was significantly lower than for Col males (P = 0.03, Student's *t*-test). The *w*PipMol variant may have a slower growth rate compared with *w*PipCol, and this could be responsible for it being unable to establish itself to a sufficiently high density when indirect intrahost competition with *w*PipCol. Mol males carrying the lower density *w*PipMol variant are incompatible with females carrying *w*PipCol (Walker et al. 2007). This pattern is the opposite of what would be expected if density differences were causally responsible for the different patterns of CI observed between these strains. The density of *Wolbachia* in *C. pipiens* testes was also found to be strain dependent and did not appear to influence CI in other *C. pipiens* strains (Duron et al. 2007).

A threshold level of *Wolbachia* density (and/or even distribution within the testes) may be required for induction of complete CI. However, if *Wolbachia* density differences influence the penetrance of CI only when the density falls below a threshold level, the varying *w*Pip densities between the Mol and Col strains may all be above this threshold and therefore irrelevant with respect to CI induction/rescue. However, when the *Wolbachia* variants are superinfecting the same host, then density of the *w*PipMol variant may have fallen below this necessary threshold due to effects such as direct competition between strains.

The overall density of bacteriophage WO was also assessed in Col and Mol, measured as a ratio of the phage capsid *orf7* gene copy number to *Wolbachia ftsZ* copy number (because not all prophage copies contain *pk1*), and was not significantly different between Mol males (8.04 ± 2.42) and Col males (7.07 ± 2.18) (P = 0.43, Student's *t*-test). The densities of bacteriophage WO in males were not inversely correlated with *Wolbachia* density (Pearson r = -0.35) with no evidence for increased bacteriophage WO lytic activity in Mol males. These data suggest that the inverse relationship between phage density and *Wolbachia* density, and tripartite association as an explanation for unidirectional CI presented Journal of Heredity 2009:100(2)

for *Nasonia* by Bordenstein et al. (2006), do not apply in this case. The observed phage copy numbers per *Wolbachia* are broadly consistent with the 5 prophage regions containing the *orf7* gene identified in the *w*Pip (Pel strain) genome, implying that bacteriophage WO is primarily temperate rather than lytic in *w*Pip.

The development of intraspecific transfer of *w*Pip strain variants by microinjection, coupled with the development of specific PCR assays to separate these variants and estimate their density (Sinkins et al. 2005; Walker et al. 2007) provide useful tools to investigate the control of CI in the *C. pipiens* complex. Future experiments can now be contemplated within the *Culex* genus involving other *w*Pip variants with more similar growth rates/relative densities; the effects of introducing novel *Wolbachia* strains from other species can also be investigated. Meanwhile, the replacement of host genotype by introgression has provided a novel crossing type in *C. quinquefasciatus*, furthering understanding of CI in the complex and potentially providing a platform for CIbased mosquito control strategies.

Funding

Wellcome Trust (GR079059MA); Royal Society/KC Wong Educational Foundation China Postdoctoral Fellowship to S.S.

References

Bordenstein SR, Marshall ML, Fry AJ, Kim U, Wernegreen JJ. 2006. The tripartite associations between bacteriophage, Wolbachia, and arthropods. PLoS Pathog. 2:e43.

Bossin H, Benedict M. 2005. Microinjection method for anopheles embryos, larval and pupal techniques V2 (2005). MR4 vector component technical manual. Manassas (VA): Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center.

Collins FH, Mendez MA, Rasmussen MO, Mehaffey PC, Besansky NJ, Finnerty V. 1987. A ribosomal RNA gene probe differentiates member species of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 37:37–41.

Cornel AJ, McAbee RD, Rasgon J, Stanich MA, Scott TW, Coetzee M. 2003. Differences in extent of genetic introgression between sympatric Culex pipiens and Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) in California and South Africa. J Med Entomol. 40:36–51.

Duron O, Fort P, Weill M. 2007. Influence of aging on cytoplasmic incompatibility, sperm modification and Wolbachia density in Culex pipiens mosquitoes. Heredity 98:368–374.

Dutton TJ, Sinkins SP. 2004. Strain-specific quantification of Wolbachia density in Aedes albopictus and effects of larval rearing conditions. Insect Mol Biol. 13:317–322.

Fonseca DM, Keyghobadi N, Malcolm CA, Mehmet C, Schaffner F, Mogi M, Fleischer RC, Wilkerson RC. 2004. Emerging vectors in the Culex pipiens complex. Science 303:1535–1538.

Guillemaud T, Pasteur N, Rousset F. 1997. Contrasting levels of variability between cytoplasmic genomes and incompatibility types in the mosquito Culex pipiens. Proc Biol Sci. 264:245–251.

Hertig M, Wolbach S. 1924. Studies on rickettsia-like microorganisms in insects. J Med Res. 44:329–374.

Hurst GD, Johnson AP, Schulenburg JH, Fuyama Y. 2000. Male-killing Wolbachia in Drosophila: a temperature-sensitive trait with a threshold bacterial density. Genetics 156:699–709.

Laven H. 1967. Eradication of Culex pipiens fatigans through cytoplasmic incompatibility. Nature 216:383–384.

Magnin M, Pasteur N, Raymond M. 1987. Multiple incompatibilities within populations of Culex pipiens L. in southern France. Genetica. 74:125–130.

Masui S, Kamoda S, Sasaki T, Ishikawa H. 2000. Distribution and evolution of bacteriophage WO in *Wolbachia*, the endosymbiont causing sexual alterations in arthropods. J Mol Evol. 51:491–497.

McGraw EA, Merritt DJ, Droller JN, O'Neill SL. 2001. Wolbachiamediated sperm modification is dependent on the host genotype in Drosophila. Proc Biol Sci. 268:2565–2570.

O'Neill SL, Paterson HE. 1992. Crossing type variability associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in Australian populations of the mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Med Vet Entomol. 6:209–216.

Rasgon JL, Scott TW. 2004. An initial survey for Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) infections in selected California mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 41:255–257.

Rousset F, Bouchon D, Pintureau B, Juchault P, Solignac M. 1992. Wolbachia endosymbionts responsible for various alterations of sexuality in arthropods. Proc Biol Sci. 250:91–98.

Sakamoto H, Ishikawa Y, Sasaki T, Kikuyama S, Tatsuki S, Hoshizaki S. 2005. Transinfection reveals the crucial importance of Wolbachia genotypes in determining the type of reproductive alteration in the host. Genet Res. 85:205–210.

Sasaki T, Ishikawa H. 2000. Transinfection of Wolbachia in the Mediterranean flour moth, Ephestia kuehniella, by embryonic microinjection. Heredity 85(Pt 2):130–135.

Sasaki T, Massaki N, Kubo T. 2005. Wolbachia variant that induces two distinct reproductive phenotypes in different hosts. Heredity 95:389–393.

Sinkins SP, Braig HR, O'Neill SL. 1995. Wolbachia superinfections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Proc Biol Sci. 261:325–330.

Sinkins SP, O'Neill SL. 2000. Wolbachia as a vehicle to modify insect populations. In: Handler AM, James AA, editors. Insect transgenesis: methods and applications. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press. p. 271–288.

Sinkins SP, Walker T, Lynd AR, Steven AR, Makepeace BL, Godfray HC, Parkhill J. 2005. Wolbachia variability and host effects on crossing type in Culex mosquitoes. Nature 436:257–260.

Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA, Hurst GD. 1999. Wolbachia pipientis: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annu Rev Microbiol. 53:71–102.

Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. 1991. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California Drosophila. Nature 353:440–442.

Walker T, Klasson L, Sebaihia M, Sanders MJ, Thomson NR, Parkhill J, Sinkins SP. 2007. Ankyrin repeat domain-encoding genes in the wPip strain of Wolbachia from the Culex pipiens group. BMC Biol. 5:39.

Werren JH. 1997. Biology of Wolbachia. Annu Rev Entomol. 42:587-609.

Yen JH. 1975. Transovarial transmission of Rickettsia-like microorganisms in mosquitoes. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 266:152–161.

Received March 14, 2008 Accepted August 29, 2008

Corresponding Editor: Rob DeSalle