VOLUME OO NO OO

Co-occurrence and distribution of East (L1014S) and West (L1014F) African knock-down resistance in Anopheles gambiae sensu lato population of Tanzania

Bilali Kabula^{1,2}, William Kisinza¹, Patrick Tungu¹, Chacha Ndege³, Benard Batengana¹, Douglas Kollo³, Robert Malima¹, Jessica Kafuko⁴, Mahdi Mohamed⁵ and Stephen Magesa^{1,6}

5 Global Health Division, RTI International, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

6 Global Health Division, RTI International, Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract

OBJECTIVE Insecticide resistance molecular markers can provide sensitive indicators of resistance development in Anopheles vector populations. Assaying these makers is of paramount importance in the resistance monitoring programme. We investigated the presence and distribution of knock-down resistance (*kdr*) mutations in *Anopheles gambiae s.l.* in Tanzania.

METHODS Indoor-resting Anopheles mosquitoes were collected from 10 sites and tested for insecticide resistance using the standard WHO protocol. Polymerase chain reaction-based molecular diagnostics were used to genotype mosquitoes and detect kdr mutations.

RESULTS The An. gambiae tested were resistance to lambdacyhalothrin in Muheza, Arumeru and Muleba. Out of 350 An. gambiae s.l. genotyped, 35% were An. gambiae s.s. and 65% An. arabiensis. L1014S and L1014F mutations were detected in both An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis. L1014S point mutation was found at the allelic frequency of 4–33%, while L1014F was at the allelic frequency 6–41%. The L1014S mutation was much associated with An. gambiae

s.s. ($\chi^2 = 23.41$; P < 0.0001) and L1014F associated with An. arabiensis ($\chi^2 = 11.21$; P = 0.0008). The occurrence of the L1014S allele was significantly associated with lambdacyhalothrin resistance mosquitoes (Fisher exact P < 0.001).

CONCLUSION The observed co-occurrence of L1014S and L1014F mutations coupled with reports of insecticide resistance in the country suggest that pyrethroid resistance is becoming a widespread phenomenon among our malaria vector populations. The presence of L1014F mutation in this East African mosquito population indicates the spreading of this gene across Africa. The potential operational implications of these findings on malaria control need further exploration.

keywords kdr, L1014S, L1014F, insecticide resistance, Anopheles gambiae, Tanzania

Introduction

Malaria vector control programmes in Africa rely heavily on the use of pesticides for insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)/long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and for indoor residual spraying (IRS)(WHO 2012b). The use of these strategies is known to contribute in the reduction in malaria transmission (Lengeler 2002; Pluess *et al.* 2010). The effectiveness of the current vector control depends much on the susceptibility of the local malaria vectors to insecticides used (WHO 2012a). Four major classes of chemical insecticides (i.e. pyrethroids, organochlorines, organophosphates and carbamates) are the mainstay of these malaria vector control strategies (Najera & Zaim 2002; WHO 2006; Kelly-Hope *et al.* 2008). All of these four classes are recommended for IRS. Pyrethroids are the only class of insecticide currently recommended for use on ITNs/LLINs because of their irritant and fast-acting properties and their safety for humans (Zaim *et al.* 2000). These major classes of chemical insecticides are nerve poisons and either target acetylcholinesterase in the synapses or the voltage-gated sodium channel in the insect neurones. Pyrethroids and DDT are neurotoxins that act on the voltage-gated sodium channels

¹ National Institute for Medical Research, Amani Research Centre, Muheza, Tanzania

² Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Tumaini University, Moshi, Tanzania

³ National Institute for Medical Research, Mwanza Research Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania

⁴ The Presidents' Malaria Initiative, PMI/USAID Office, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

by modifying their gating kinetics, resulting in the prolonged opening of individual channels leading to paralysis and death of the insect (Ranson *et al.* 2011).

Massive use of insecticides in agriculture (Yadouleton et al. 2010) and public health (Czeher et al. 2008; Trape et al. 2011) has resulted in increasing resistance among malaria vectors due to the selection pressure placed on resistance genes (Ranson et al. 2011). Reduced susceptibility of Anopheles mosquitoes to insecticides such as DDT (dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane), malathion, fenitrothion, propoxur and bendiocarb was first reported in 1950s (Brown 1958; Hamon et al. 1968). To date, resistance among Anopheles species to at least one of the four commonly used insecticide classes has been reported in 64 malaria-endemic countries worldwide, the vast majority reporting resistance to pyrethroids (WHO 2012a,b). Even in four insecticide classes available for IRS, resistance has been reported for all of them in some populations of Anopheles gambiae s.s (Ranson et al. 2009). The increasing resistance of malaria vectors to available insecticides especially pyrethroids, puts current global control efforts at risk.

The major mechanisms by which insects acquire resistance to insecticides are elevated levels of detoxifying enzymes (metabolic resistance) and target-site insensitivity (Hemingway & Ranson 2000; Ranson *et al.* 2011). Metabolic resistance to pyrethroids is mostly associated with increased cytochrome P450 activity (Berge *et al.* 1998; Vulule *et al.* 1999). Recent studies have reported overexpression of cytochrome P450 genes: CYP6M2, CYP6P3 and CYP6Z2 in pyrethroid-resistant populations of *An. gambiae* (Muller *et al.* 2007, 2008; Djouaka *et al.* 2008; Mitchell *et al.* 2012).

Target-site insensitivity in An. gambiae is associated with two distinct mutations in the S6 transmembrane segment of domain II of the para-type sodium channel at position 1014. The mutations result in either a leucinephenylalanine (L1014F) (Martinez-Torres et al. 1998) or a leucine-serine (L1014S) substitution (Ranson et al. 2000). The former mutation, which leads to the substitution of a leucine (TTA) for phenylalanine (TTT), was first detected in populations of the Savanna chromosomal form and S molecular form of An. gambiae s.s. in coastal Ivory Coast (Elissa et al. 1993). This was later found to be widespread in West Africa and reported to be strongly associated with pyrethroid resistance in An. gambiae (Martinez-Torres et al. 1998; Chandre et al. 1999a). The latter kdr mutation, with the same amino acid substituting the leucine (TTA) for serine (TCA), was first described in East African An. gambiae s.s. (Ranson et al. 2000). Both types of kdr mutations have been linked with DDT and pyrethroid-resistant phenotypes in wild

An. gambiae s.l. populations (Martinez-Torres *et al.* 1998; Kolaczinski *et al.* 2000; Ranson *et al.* 2000; Donnelly *et al.* 2009).

Several studies with limited geographical sampling have attempted to detail the distribution of kdr mutations in An. gambiae. Most have either screened for the L1014F allele in West African countries (Martinez-Torres et al. 1998; Chandre et al. 1999b; Awolola et al. 2005; Coetzee et al. 2006), or the L1014S mutation in East Africa (Ranson et al. 2000; Kawada et al. 2011; Mawejje et al. 2012; Protopopoff et al. 2013). However some studies have screened for the presence of both resistance alleles in several parts of Africa (Stump et al. 2004; Etang et al. 2006; Pinto et al. 2006: Verhaeghen et al. 2006: Awolola et al. 2007; Moreno et al. 2008). Studies have demonstrated the presence of L1014S point mutation in West Africa (Diegbe et al. 2011) and L1014F mutation in East Africa (Kulkarni et al. 2006), indicating that the two mutations does not follow the previously described geographical distribution. Although several studies have been carried out in Tanzania to investigate the insecticide resistance status of the malaria vectors (Kulkarni et al. 2006, 2007; Kabula et al. 2012; Protopopoff et al. 2013), there has been no detailed information on the presence and the distribution of both kdr mutations in the country. This is the first such study designed to investigate the presence and the distribution of the two kdr mutations (L1014F and L1014S) in local population of Anopheles gambiae s.l. of Tanzania.

Methods

Study sites

The study was a follow-up to the main insecticide resistance survey carried in 2011. This was carried out in 10 sentinel districts across Tanzania mainland (Figure 1), namely Muheza, Handeni, Lushoto, Arumeru, Uyui, Kyela, Ilala, Muleba, Kilombero and Mvomero. Additionally, this study used mosquitoes (for molecular analysis) collected in the main insecticide resistance survey from Moshi, Dodoma, Magu and Babati whose results have been reported elsewhere (Kabula *et al.* 2013). The study districts were chosen to encompass previously described WHO-recommended criteria (Kabula *et al.* 2012, 2013). The detailed characteristics of these study districts are described elsewhere (Kabula *et al.* 2012, 2013) and are summarised in Table 1.

Mosquito sampling

Adult female Anopheles mosquitoes for susceptibility testing and molecular characterisation of insecticide



Figure 1 Map showing the geographical locations of the study sites and the distribution of East (L1014S) and West (L1014F) African knock-down resistance (*kdr*) mutations in *Anopheles gambiae s.l.* in Tanzania

resistance were collected by the indoor-resting catch technique (WHO 1975) in June–July 2011. Indoor-resting catches were carried out between 0600 and 0900 h in all locations. Freshly blood-fed and unfed female Anopheles mosquitoes were collected. Captured mosquitoes were collected in paper cups and transported to a field laboratory for morphological identification (Gillies & Coetzee 1987) and susceptibility testing (WHO 1998). They were fed with 10% sugar solution embedded in cotton wool pads during transportation. In Tabora, Lushoto and Muleba, the number of adult Anopheles mosquitoes was not sufficient for the susceptibility test; therefore, larvae were collected and reared to adults under standard laboratory conditions (WHO 1975).

Insecticide susceptibility tests

The standard WHO susceptibility tests were conducted on field collected mosquitoes using test-kits and insecticide-impregnated filter papers supplied by the WHO (1975, 1998). Adult female Anopheles mosquitoes were exposed to 0.05% lambdacyhalothrin for 1 h. There were 4–9 replicates of 15–25 wild adult female mosquitoes per test. The controls were exposed to silicone oil impregnated paper. At this exposure time, the number of mosquitoes knocked down was recorded at 10, 15 20, 30, 40, 50 and at 60 min (WHO 1998, 2013). Mosquitoes were then transferred into the holding tube and fed on 10% (w/v) sugar solution for 24 h. Final mortality was scored after a 24-h holding. Insecticide susceptibility was classified according to the WHO criterion, which considers mortality of 98–100% and below 90% representative of susceptible and resistant populations, respectively, while the intermediates (90–97%) need further investigation (WHO 2013). Estimates for 50% knock-down time (KDT₅₀) were assessed using log-probit analysis (Finney 1971).

Mosquito identification

Mosquitoes were identified to species based on morphological characteristics (Gillies & Coetzee 1987) and stored individually over silica gel for molecular identification and detection of kdr variants. Surviving mosquitoes from susceptibility tests were killed by exposure to ether fumes or by freezing at -20°C prior to morphological identification and storage. All lambdacyhalothrin-resistant mosquitoes were picked from each sentinel site for molecular species identification and kdr analysis. Stored mosquito samples that were previously exposed to lambdacyhalothrin in the 2011 main insecticide resistance survey (Kabula et al. 2013) from Magu, Babati, Moshi and Dodoma were also used in this molecular analysis. In sites where the number of resistant mosquitoes was less than 25 or 0, An. gambiae s.l. were picked at random to make up the total number of 25 per site (Table 1). Genomic DNA was extracted from the whole mosquito of a proportion of females using standard methods (Collins et al. 1987) and amplified using specific diagnostic primers for An. gambiae s.l (Collins et al. 1987; Scott et al. 1993).

Region	Site	N	(N) identified as An. gambiae s.s.	(N) identified as An. arabiensis	(N) Resistant to Lambdacyhalothrin	(N) Susceptible to Lambdacyhalothrin	Agricultural Insecticide Pressure (H/L) in the site
Tanga	Handeni	25	1	24	1	24	For crop protection (L)
Dar es Salaam	Ilala	25	9	16	3	22	For horticulture and Industrial pollution/ effluents (H)
Manyara	Babati	25	12	13	0	25	For cereals plantations (H)
Tanga	Muheza	25	5	20	16	9	For crop protection (L)
Kagera	Muleba	25	21	4	15	10	For coffee protection (H)
Morogoro	Mvomero	25	0	25	0	25	For cereal & sugarcane protection (H)
Kilimanjaro	Moshi	25	0	25	25	0	For coffee, cereal & sugarcane protection (H)
Arusha	Arumeru	25	0	25	25	0	For floriculture and coffee plantations (H)
Mwanza	Magu	25	0	25	0	25	For cotton protection (H)
Tanga	Lushoto	25	25	0	0	25	For horticulture (H)
Morogoro	Kilombero	25	0	25	0	25	For cereal & sugarcane protection (H)
Tabora	Uyui	25	25	0	0	25	For tobacco protection (L)
Mbeya	Kyela	25	0	25	0	25	For cereal & cocoa protection (H)
Dodoma	Dodoma Rural	25	25	0	0	25	For crop protection (L)

 Table I Distribution of mosquitoes genotyped and characteristics of the study sites

(L/H): L – stands for low insecticide usage, H – stands for high insecticide usage; N = sample size.

Detection of knock-down resistance (kdr) alleles in An. gambiae s.l

Mutations associated with knock-down resistance (i.e. L1014S and L1014F) to pyrethroids were assayed using the standard PCR assays (Martinez-Torres *et al.* 1998; Ranson *et al.* 2000). The PCR products were electrophoresed through 2% agarose gel with ethidium bromide stain and visualised under UV light. Successful reactions had a band of 285 bp. Additionally, there was a 210-bp band for wild-type susceptible and 188 bp for resistant allele (Figures 2 and 3).

Results

Mean mortality rates of An. *gambiae s.l.* 24 h post-exposure (Figure 4) ranged from 72% to 100%. Full susceptibility to lambdacyhalothrin was observed in Mvomero, Lushoto, Handeni, Kilombero, Kyela and Uyui (mortality of 98–100%). Resistance to lambdacyhalothrin was recorded in Muheza, Arumeru and Muleba (mortality of 83.5%, 72%, and 85%, respectively), while Dar es Salaam recorded reduced susceptibility (mortality of 96.7%).

The median knock-down time (KDT₅₀) of the wild mosquitoes ranged from 13.4 to 152.7 min. Highest KDT₅₀ were recorded in Arumeru, Dar es Salaam and Muleba (KDT₅₀ of 129, 42 and 39 min, respectively). The low KDT₅₀ of 13.4 20.9, 21.2, 25, 27.7 and 31.9 min were recorded in Kyela, Muheza, Mvomero, Lushoto, Uyui, Kilombero and Handeni, respectively. The proportion of KDT₅₀ of the wild populations to that of susceptible laboratory Kisumu mosquitoes known as resistance ratio (RR) was also calculated. Muleba, Dar es Salaam and Arumeru had the highest RRs. The KDT₅₀ in these sites was between 2.6, 2.8 and 8.5 times than that of the control susceptible Kisumu strain, respectively.



Figure 2 Gel electrophoresis of East African knock-down (L1014S) resistance assay. All successful reactions contain a band of 285 bp, a band of 210 bp indicates the susceptible (wild-type) allele and one of 188 bp the resistant allele. The first lane contains a 100-kb ladder marker, lane 1 is the control for the L1014S homozygous resistant, lane 2 is control for the L1014S homozygous susceptible. Lanes 3 and 5 are samples from Muleb-a. Lanes 4 and 6 are samples from Dar es Salaam (Ilala); lane 7, sample from Handeni; and lane 8, negative control.



Figure 3 Gel electrophoresis of West African knock-down (L1014F) resistance assay. All successful reactions should contain a band of 285 bp, a band of 210 bp indicates the susceptible (wild-type) allele and one of 188 bp the resistant allele. The first lane contains a 100-kb ladder marker, lane 1 is the control for the L1014F homozygous resistant, lane 2 is a negative control, lanes 3–7 are samples from Muheza, Dar es Salaam (Ilala) and Muleba, respectively. Lanes 8 and 9 are samples from Babati (Magugu) and Mvomero respectively.

A total of 1563 mosquitoes were morphologically identified as *An. gambiae s.l.* and tested for their susceptibility to lambdacyhalothrin. Of these, 350 (22% of the total morphologically identified mosquitoes) were identified to species level using PCR-based techniques. Of the 350, 123 (35.1%) were identified as *An. gambiae s.s.* and 227 (64.9%) as *An. arabiensis* (Table 1). These 350 mosquitoes were also genotyped for *kdr-east* (L1014S) and *kdr-west* (L1014F) mutations. Of these, 341 were homozygous for the susceptible wild type and 9 were homozygous for L1014S genotype (Table 2). When genotyped for L1014F, 317 were homozygous for the susceptible wild type and 33 were heterozygous (Table 3). There was a significant difference in L1014S allele between lambdacyhalothrin-resistant and susceptible mosquitoes (Fisher exact P < 0.000001). However, there was no significant difference in L1014F allele between lambdacyhalothrin-resistant and susceptible mosquitoes (χ^2 =0.68; P = 0.409) (Table 4). No L1014S allele was identified among lambdacyhalothrin susceptible (Table 5).

The distribution of L1014S and L1014F mutations in An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis in different parts of the country is shown in Tables 2 and 3 and in Figure 1. The L1014S mutation was detected in both An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis. The L1014S mutation was found at the allelic frequency of 33.3% in Dar es Salaam (95% CI: 16-56%) and 23.8% in Muleba (95% CI: 13-38.5%) in An. gambiae s.s.; and 4.2% (95% CI: 1.1-13.9%) of An. arabiensis from Handeni. Similary, the L1014F point mutation was detected in both An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis. The L1014F mutation was found in An. gambiae s.s. from Muleba at the allelic frequency of 7.1% (95% CI: 2.5–19%). This L1014F mutation was found in An. arabiensis at the allelic frequency of 40.6% in Dar es Salaam (95% CI:25.5-57.7%), 11.5% in Babati (95% CI:4-28.9%), 20% in Muheza (95% CI:10.5-34.8%), 37.5% in Muleba (95% CI:13.7-69.4%) and 6% in Mvomero (95% CI:2-16.2%). The L1014S and L1014F mutations occurred together in Muleba and Dar es Salaam (Figure 1). Although the two kdr mutations appeared in both An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis, the L1014F was much associated with An. arabiensis ($\chi^2 = 11.21$; P = 0.0008) while the L1014S was associated with An. gambiae s.s. $(\chi^2 = 23.41; P < 0.0001)$ (Table 5).

Discussion

Results from this study continued to demonstrate that the field population of *An. gambiae s.l.* are resistant to lambdacyhalothrin. Resistance of these malaria vectors to pyrethroids has previously been reported in Tanzania (Kabula *et al.* 2012, 2013; Protopopoff *et al.* 2013). The persistence of such resistance could be due the pressure created by the cumulative effect of insecticides used in malaria vector control and agriculture (Kabula *et al.* 2012, 2013). This study also reports the countrywide distribution of kdr mutations (L1014S and L1014F) in members of *An. gambiae s.l.* It reports the presence and wide distribution of the L1014S mutation in *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. arabiensis* in Tanzania. It also further



Figure 4 Mortality rates in field populations *of Anopheles gambiae s.l.* exposed to 0.05% lambdacyhalothrin for 60 min. 24-hmortalities <90% are indicative of resistance under WHO terminology and mortality of 90–97% indicates incipient resistance. N = number of mosquitoes exposed to lambdacyhalothrin. Mortality rates for Magu, Babati, Moshi and Dodoma were adapted from Kabula *et al.* (2013).

Table 2 Distribution of kdr-East (L1014S) mutation in An. gambiae s.s. and An arabiensis mosquitoes

	Ν	Anoph	beles gam	biae s.s.				Anopheles arabiensis					
		Genot	ype coun	t	Allelic frequency			Genotype count			Allelic frequency		
Site		RR	RS	SS	R	S	Ν	RR	RS	SS	R	S	
Handeni	1	0	0	1	0.000	1.000	24	1	0	23	0.042	0.958	
Dar es Salaam	9	3	0	6	0.333	0.667	16	0	0	16	0.000	1.000	
Babati	12	0	0	12	0.000	1.000	13	0	0	13	0.000	1.000	
Muheza	5	0	0	5	0.000	1.000	20	0	0	20	0.000	1.000	
Muleba	21	5	0	16	0.238	0.762	4	0	0	4	0.000	1.000	
Mvomero	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Moshi	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Arumeru	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Magu	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Lushoto	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	*	
Kilombero	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Uyui	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	*	
Kyela	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Dodoma Rural	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	*	

RR, RS and SS are three possible kdr genotypes, where R represents the resistant L1014S allele and S represents the susceptible wild-type allele.

*No member of a particular species were found in molecular identification, that is, all were identified as either *An. gambiae s.s.* or *An. arabiensis.*

confirms the presence of L1014F point mutation in *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. arabiensis.* The L1014S and L1014F mutations were detected in both *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. arabiensis.* However, L1014S mutation was frequently found in *An. gambiae s.s.* while L1014F was frequently found in *An. arabiensis.* Presence of L1014F mutation at very low frequency in *An. arabiensis* had previously been reported in the country (Kulkarni *et al.* 2006) and in the neighbouring Kenya and Uganda (Stump *et al.* 2004; Kawada *et al.* 2011; Mawejje *et al.* 2012). The occurrence of both mutations in *An. gambiae*

s.s. and *An. arabiensis* in this study may indicate that these mosquitoes have similar exposure to the sources which create selection pressure for knock-down resistance. The difference in their frequency of these mutations in the two members of *An. gambiae s.l.* may, however, be related to a different origin of the mutations in the two populations or linked to different ecological or behavioural characters between *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. arabiensis* (Stump *et al.* 2004).

The L1014S mutation was detected in *An. gambiae s.s.* from Dar es Salaam (allelic frequency of 33%) and

	N	Anoph	beles gam	biae s.s.				Anopheles arabiensis					
		Genot	ype coun	t	Allelic frequency			Genotype count			Allelic frequency		
Site		RR	RS	SS	R	S	Ν	RR	RS	SS	R	S	
Handeni	1	0	0	1	0.000	1.000	24	0	0	24	0.000	1.000	
Dar es Salaam	9	0	0	9	0.000	1.000	16	0	13	3	0.406	0.594	
Babati	12	0	0	12	0.000	1.000	13	0	3	10	0.115	0.885	
Muheza	5	0	0	5	0.000	1.000	20	0	8	12	0.200	0.800	
Muleba	21	0	3	18	0.071	0.929	4	0	3	1	0.375	0.625	
Mvomero	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	3	22	0.060	0.940	
Moshi	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Arumeru	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Magu	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Lushoto	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	1.000	
Kilombero	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Uvui	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	*	
Kvela	0	*	*	*	*	*	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	
Dodoma Rural	25	0	0	25	0.000	1.000	0	*	*	*	*	*	

Table 3 Distribution of kdr-west (L1014F) mutation in An. gambiae s.s. and An arabiensis mosquitoes

RR, RS and SS are three possible kdr genotypes, where R represents the resistant L1014S allele and S represents the susceptible wild-type allele.

*No member of a particular species were found in molecular identification, that is, all were identified as either *An. gambiae s.s.* or *An. arabiensis.*

Table 4 Number of mosquitoes with kdr-east (L1014S) and kdr-west (L1014F) mutation genotypes among surviving (resistant) anddead (susceptible) mosquitoes after exposure to lambdacyhalothrin

		<i>kdr</i> -east genotype				<i>kdr</i> -west genotype				
	п	RR	RS	SS	Statistics	RR	RS	SS	Statistics	
Resistants (surviving) Susceptibles (dead)	85 265	9 0	0 0	76 265	Fisher's exact test $P < 0.000001$	0 0	10 23	75 242	$\chi^2 = 0.68; P = 0.409$	

RR, RS and SS are three possible kdr genotypes, where R represents the resistant L1014S or L1014F allele and S represents the susceptible wild-type allele.

Table 5 Number of mosquitoes with kdr-east (L1014S) and kdr-west (L1014F) genotypes among An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis

		kdr-east genotype				kdr-w	est geno	type	
	п	RR	RS	SS	Statistics	RR	RS	SS	Statistics
An. gambiae s.s. An. arabiensis	123 227	8 1	0 0	115 226	$\chi^2 = 23.41; P < 0.0001$	0 0	3 30	120 197	$\chi^2 = 11.21; P = 0.0008$

RR, RS and SS are three possible kdr genotypes, where R represents the resistant L1014S or L1014F allele and S represents the susceptible wild-type allele.

Muleba (allelic frequency of 24%) and in *An. arabiensis* from Handeni (allelic frequency of 4%). The L1014F mutation was found in *An. gambiae s.s.* from Muleba (allelic frequency of 7%) and in *Anopheles arabiensis* from Babati, Dar es Salaam, Muheza, Muleba and Mvomero.

The L1014S and L1014F mutations co-occurred in Muleba and Dar es Salaam. The high frequency of kdr mutations in Muleba district, also previously reported (Protopopoff *et al.* 2013), may be a response to selection by recurrent IRS with lambdacyhalothrin since 2007, increased use of

permethrin LLINs in association with the extensive usage of pesticides in coffee plantations. However, kdr has been reported in some areas with no IRS pressure in Burundi (Protopopoff et al. 2008) - which explains the occurrence of kdr in Handeni. Low insecticide usage in Handeni for agriculture may also play a role in the occurrence of kdr mutation. High frequency of kdr mutation in Dar es Salaam may be attributed to increased selection pressure resulting from industrial waste/pollutants, high LLINs use (Kabula et al. 2013) and extensive local use of insecticides for fumigation and agricultural (mainly horticulture) purposes. The high kdr frequency in Dar es Salaam is supported by the previous report of high level of DDT resistance(Kabula et al. 2012). Occurrence of kdr mutations in Muheza, Babati and Mvomero may be attributed to the high LLINs use and use of pyrethroids in agriculture.

Selection of knock-down resistance has been attributed mainly to the use of DDT and pyrethroids in agriculture and public health (Elissa *et al.* 1993; Stump *et al.* 2004). For example, the use of pyrethroids in malaria vector control interventions such as ITNs and IRS is known to create the selection of kdr alleles (Stump *et al.* 2004; Protopopoff *et al.* 2013). Similarly, domestic use of insecticides (e.g. fumigation) may play an important role in selection of knock-down resistance (Elissa *et al.* 1993), and this may be the case for urban settings such as Dar es Salaam.

The L1014S allele occurred significantly more often in lambdacyhalothrin phenotypically resistant-selected samples than in susceptible ones. Apart from the association found in this study, some sites which previously reported pyrethroid and DDT resistance (Kabula et al. 2012, 2013; Protopopoff et al. 2013) were found with kdr mutations (e.g. Muheza, Muleba). Such resistance to pyrethroids and DDT in An. gambiae is known to associate closely with both L1014S and L1014F mutations (Williamson et al. 1996; Martinez-Torres et al. 1998; Ranson et al. 2000, 2004; Reimer et al. 2008). However, the association was not found in the case of L1014F mutation and the pyrethroid-resistant phenotypes. Similarly, this study could not establish such associations in some sites (e.g. Mvomero and Babati) where kdr mutations were recorded without obvious phenotypic resistance to pyrethroids being observed. The absence of pyrethroid phenotypic resistance in Myomero and Babati may be explained by the recessiveness of the kdr allele. Henceforth, the occurrence of the genes in heterozygous recessive form leads to their appearance at low frequencies in these two sites. This might explain the absence of phenotypic resistance to pyrethroids, as the conventional bioassay methods that measure phenotypic resistance

cannot detect the heterozygous proportion of the population (Chandre *et al.* 2000). However, models of insecticide resistance show rapid increase in the frequency of resistance, especially when the frequency reaches levels as low as 0.1%, resulting in control failure (Roush & McKenzie 1987). Conversely, the presence of *kdr* mutation in Babati is strongly supported by KDT₅₀ for lambdacyhalothrin. High values of KDT₅₀ in the field mosquitoes gives early indication of the presence of kdr mutation (Chandre *et al.* 2000). A significant increase in knock-down time may be observed in some mosquito populations before any decrease in mortality, suggesting that knock-down time could also be a good indicator for the early detection of pyrethroid resistance (Chandre *et al.* 2000).

Mosquitoes from Moshi and Arumeru did not have kdr mutations despite having high levels of phenotypic pyrethroid resistance (Kabula et al. 2013). This suggests that other mechanisms are responsible for the observed phenotypic resistance in these sites. Possibly the main mechanisms involved in these sites might be biochemical resistance which had previously been reported in Moshi (Matowo et al. 2010). Even in the areas where the kdr mutations were found, the presence of other mechanisms cannot be ruled out. Both target-site insensitivity and metabolic resistance have been found in An. gambiae (Vulule et al. 1999; Stump et al. 2004; Mitchell et al. 2012). Therefore, there is a need to further investigate the presence and distribution of cytochrome P450-based metabolic resistance mechanisms in malaria vectors. Such information will help to explain the mechanism(s) of resistance responsible for the observed or even suspected resistance and thus facilitate planning for appropriate insecticide resistance management.

This study reports the countrywide distribution of L1014S and L1014F kdr mutations among members of An. gambiae s.l., and further confirms the presence of a typically West African L1014F kdr mutation in Tanzania. Therefore, we re-emphasise the need to test for both kdr mutations regardless of geographical location (Kulkarni et al. 2006). Sequencing analysis is required to provide further insights on the phylogenetic relations of the L1014F alleles found in East and West Africa. We also reported the presence and wide distribution of the L1014S mutation in An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis in Tanzania. The presence of these kdr mutations in the mosquito populations has since been used as predictor for their susceptibility to DDT and pyrethroids (Ranson et al. 2004; Reimer et al. 2008). These findings coupled with previous reports on insecticide resistance in the country (Kabula et al. 2013; Protopopoff et al. 2013) suggest that pyrethroid resistance is a

widespread phenomenon among our malaria vector populations.

The implications of high kdr frequency on the malaria vector control interventions such as ITNs and IRS are uncertain. However, studies in Benin showed some reduced effectiveness of LLINs and IRS in areas where *An. gambiae* have high kdr frequency (N'guessan *et al.* 2007; Asidi *et al.* 2012). Thus, the potential operational impact of insecticide resistance on the effectiveness of vector control interventions such as ITNs and IRS needs to be properly evaluated. Meanwhile, periodic monitoring of the frequency of both L1014S and L1014F mutations and phenotypic pyrethroid resistance in *An. gambiae s.l.* is essential for the rational and effective control of these vectors.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the data collection team and to the district and village authorities where samples were collected. The authors are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments. The study was conducted by the National Institute for Medical Research in Tanzania. The work described was supported by: the malaria capacity development consortium (MCDC) through a PhD studentship scholarship to BK (MCDC is funded by The Wellcome Trust Grant Number WT084289MA); the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through WHO; and the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative through the U.S. Agency for International Development under the RTI International Tanzania Vector Control Scale-Up Project (621-A-00-10-00015-00). The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and may not reflect the position of their employing organisations nor of their work's sources of funding.

References

- Asidi A, N'guessan R, Akogbeto M, Curtis C & Rowland M (2012) Loss of household protection from use of insecticidetreated nets against pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes, Benin. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 18, 1101–1106.
- Awolola TS, Oyewole IO, Amajoh CN *et al.* (2005) Distribution of the molecular forms of *Anopheles gambiae* and pyrethroid knock down resistance gene in Nigeria. *Acta Tropica* **95**, 204– 209.
- Awolola TS, Oduola AO, Oyewole IO *et al.* (2007) Dynamics of knockdown pyrethroid insecticide resistance alleles in a field population of *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* in southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases* 44, 181–188.
- Berge JB, Feyereisen R & Amichot M (1998) Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases and insecticide resistance in insects. *Philo-sophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 353, 1701–1705.

- Brown AW (1958) The insecticide-resistance problem: a review of developments in 1956 and 1957. *Bulletin World Health Organization* 18, 309–321.
- Chandre F, Darrier F, Manga L et al. (1999a) Status of pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles gambiae sensu lato. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 77, 231–234.
- Chandre F, Manguin S, Brengues C *et al.* (1999b) Current distribution of a pyrethroid resistance gene (kdr) in *Anopheles gambiae* complex from west Africa and further evidence for reproductive isolation of the Mopti form. *Parassitologia* **41**, 319–322.
- Chandre F, Darriet F, Duchon S et al. (2000) Modifications of pyrethroid effects associated with kdr in Anopheles gambiae. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 14, 81–88.
- Coetzee M, Wyk PV, Booman M, Koekemoer LL & Hunt RH (2006) Insecticide resistance in malaria vector mosquitoes in a gold mining town in Ghana and implications for malaria control. *Bulletin of the Exotic Pathology Society* **99**, 400–403.
- Collins FH, Mendez MA, Razmussen MO, Mehaffey PC, Besansky NJ & Finnerty V (1987) A ribosomal RNA gene probe differentiates member species of *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 37, 37–41.
- Czeher C, Labbo R, Arzika I & Duchemin JB (2008) Evidence of increasing Leu-Phe knockdown resistance mutation in Anopheles gambiae from Niger following a nationwide longlasting insecticide-treated nets implementation. *Malaria Journal* 7, 189.
- Djegbe I, Boussari O, Sidick A *et al.* (2011) Dynamics of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in Benin: first evidence of the presence of L1014S kdr mutation in Anopheles gambiae from West Africa. *Malaria Journal* 10, 261.
- Djouaka RF, Bakare AA, Coulibaly ON *et al.* (2008) Expression of the cytochrome P450s, CYP6P3 and CYP6M2 are significantly elevated in multiple pyrethroid resistant populations of *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* from Southern Benin and Nigeria. *BMC Genomics*, **9**, 538.
- Donnelly MJ, CorbeL V, Weetman D, Wilding CS, Williamson MS & Black WCT (2009) Does kdr genotype predict insecticide-resistance phenotype in mosquitoes? *Trends in Parasitol*ogy 25, 213–219.
- Elissa N, Mouchet J, Riviere F, Meunier JY & Yao K (1993) Resistance of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. to pyrethroids in Cote d'Ivoire. *Annales de La Societe Belge de Medecine Tropicale* 73, 291–294.
- Etang J, Fondjo E, Chandre F et al. (2006) Short report: first report of knockdown mutations in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae from Cameroon. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 74, 795–797.
- Finney JD (1971). *Probit Analysis*, 3rd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Gillies MT & Coetzee M (1987). A Supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa. South of the Sahara.. *Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research* 55, 3–36.
- Hamon J, Subra R, Sales S & Coz J (1968) Presence in the south western part of Upper Volta of a population of Anopheles

gambiae resistant to DDT. Medicine Tropical (Mars) 28, 521–528.

- Hemingway J & Ranson H (2000) Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. *Annual Reviews Entomology* 45, 371–391.
- Kabula B, Tungu P, Matowo J *et al.* (2012) Susceptibility status of malaria vectors to insecticides commonly used for malaria control in Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* **17**, 742–750.
- Kabula B, Tungu P, Malima R *et al.* (2013) Distribution and spread of pyrethroid and DDT resistance among the *Anopheles gambiae* complex in Tanzania. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, doi:10.1111/mve.12036.
- Kawada H, Futami K, Komagata O *et al.* (2011) Distribution of a knockdown resistance mutation (L1014S) in Anopheles gambiae s.s. and Anopheles arabiensis in western and southern Kenya. *PLoS ONE* 6, e24323.
- Kelly-Hope L, Ranson H & Hemingway J (2008) Lessons from the past:managing insecticide resistance in malaria control and eradication programmes. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 8, 387– 389.
- Kolaczinski JH, Fanello C, Herve JP, Conway DJ, Carnevale P & Curtis CF (2000) Experimental and molecular genetic analysis of the impact of pyrethroid and non-pyrethroid insecticide impregnated bednets for mosquito control in an area of pyrethroid resistance. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 90, 125–132.
- Kulkarni MA, Rowland M, Alifrangis M et al. (2006) Occurrence of the leucine-to-phenylalanine knockdown resistance (kdr) mutation in Anopheles arabiensis populations in Tanzania, detected by a simplified high-throughput SSOP-ELISA method. Malaria Journal 5, 56.
- Kulkarni MA, Malima R, Mosha FW et al. (2007) Efficacy of pyrethroid-treated nets against malaria vectors and nuisancebiting mosquitoes in Tanzania in areas with long-term insecticide-treated net use. Trop Med Int Health 12, 1061–1073.
- Lengeler C (2002). Insecticide-treated bednets and curtains for preventing malaria. Cochrane Review. Update Software, Oxford.
- Martinez-Torres D, Chandre F, Williamson MS *et al.* (1998) Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae s. s. Insect Molecular Biology* 7, 179–184.
- Matowo J, Kulkarni MA, Mosha FW *et al.* (2010) Biochemical basis of permethrin resistance in *Anopheles arabiensis* from Lower Moshi, north-eastern Tanzania. *Malaria Journal* **9**, 193.
- Mawejje HD, Wilding CS, Rippon EJ, Hughes A, Weetman D & Donnelly MJ (2012) Insecticide resistance monitoring of fieldcollected *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. populations from Jinja, eastern Uganda, identifies high levels of pyrethroid resistance. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 27, 276–283.
- Mitchell SN, Stevenson BJ, Muller P *et al.* (2012) Identification and validation of a gene causing cross-resistance between insecticide classes in *Anopheles gambiae* from Ghana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 6147–6152.

- Moreno M, Vicente JL, Cano J et al. (2008) Knockdown resistance mutations (kdr) and insecticide susceptibility to DDT and pyrethroids in Anopheles gambiae from Equatorial Guinea. Tropical Medicine and International Health 13, 430–433.
- Muller P, Donnelly MJ & Ranson H (2007) Transcription profiling of a recently colonised pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* strain from Ghana. *BMC Genomics* 8, 36.
- Muller P, Warr E, Stevenson BJ et al. (2008) Field-caught permethrin-resistant Anopheles gambiae overexpress CYP6P3, a P450 that metabolises pyrethroids. PLoS Genetics 4, e1000286.
- Najera JA & Zaim M (2002) Decision making criteria and procedures for the judicious use of insecticides. World Health Organization, In vol. WHO/CDS/WHOPES/2002.5 Rev.1 Geneva.
- N'guessan R, Corbel V, Akogbeto M & Rowland M (2007) Reduced efficacy of insecticide-treated nets and indoor residual spraying for malaria control in pyrethroid resistance area, Benin. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **13**, 199–206.
- Pinto J, Lynd A, Elissa N et al. (2006) Co-occurrence of East and West African kdr mutations suggests high levels of resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in Anopheles gambiae from Libreville, Gabon. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 20, 27–32.
- Pluess B, Tanser FC, Lengeler C & Sharp BL (2010) Indoor residual spraying for preventing malaria. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review*, CD006657.
- Protopopoff N, Van Bortel W, Marcotty T *et al.* (2008) Spatial targeted vector control is able to reduce malaria prevalence in the highlands of Burundi. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **79**, 12–18.
- Protopopoff N, Matowo J, Malima R et al. (2013) High level of resistance in the mosquito Anopheles gambiae to pyrethroid insecticides and reduced susceptibility to bendiocarb in northwestern Tanzania. Malaria Journal 12, 149.
- Ranson H, Jensen B, Vulule JM, Wang X, Hemingway J & Collins FH (2000) Identification of a point mutation in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of Kenyan Anopheles gambiae associated with resistance to DDT and pyrethroids. Insect Molecular Biology 9, 491–497.
- Ranson H, Paton MG, Jensen B *et al.* (2004) Genetic mapping of genes conferring permethrin resistance in the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae. *Insect Molecular Biology* 13, 379–386.
- Ranson H, Abdallah H, Badolo A *et al.* (2009) Insecticide resistance in Anopheles gambiae: data from the first year of a multi-country study highlight the extent of the problem. *Malaria Journal* 8, 299.
- Ranson H, N'guessan R, Lines J, Moiroux N, Nkuni Z & Corbel V (2011) Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control? *Trends in Parasitology* 27, 91–98.
- Reimer L, Fondjo E, Patchoke S et al. (2008) Relationship between kdr mutation and resistance to pyrethroid and DDT insecticides in natural populations of Anopheles gambiae. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 45, 260–266.

- Roush RT & Mckenzie JA, (1987) Ecological genetics of insecticide and acaricide resistance. *Annual Review of Entomology* 32, 361–380.
- Scott JA, Brogdon WG & Collins FH (1993) Identification of single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by the polymerase chain reaction. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 49, 520–529.
- Stump AD, Atieli FK, Vulule JM & Besansky NJ (2004) Dynamics of the pyrethroid knockdown resistance allele in western Kenyan populations of Anopheles gambiae in response to insecticide-treated bed net trials. *American Journal Tropical Medicine Hygiene* 70, 591–596.
- Trape JF, Tall A, Diagne N et al. (2011) Malaria morbidity and pyrethroid resistance after the introduction of insecticide-treated bednets and artemisinin-based combination therapies: a longitudinal study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 11, 925– 932.
- Verhaeghen K, Bortel WV, Roelants P, Backeljau T & Coosemans M (2006) Detection of the East and West African kdr mutation in Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles arabiensis from Uganda using a new assay based on FRET/Melt Curve analysis. Malaria Journal 5, 16.
- Vulule JM, Beach RF, Atieli FK et al. (1999) Elevated oxidase and esterase levels associated with permethrin tolerance in Anopheles gambiae from Kenyan villages using permethrinimpregnated nets. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 13, 239–244.

- WHO (1975). Manual on Practical Entomology in Malaria. Part II -Methods & Techniques WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- WHO (1998). Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors, bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticides on treated surfaces: Report of the WHO Informal Consultation. WHO, Switzerland, Geneva.
- WHO (2006). Pesticides and their Application for the Control of Vectors and Pests of Public Health Importance. Switzerland, WHO, Geneva.
- WHO (2012a). Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management in Malaria Vectors (GPIRM). Switzerland WHO-Global Malaria Programme, Geneva.
- WHO (2012b) World Malaria Report 2012. Geneva World Health Organization.
- WHO (2013). Test Procedures for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring in Malaria Vector Mosquitoes. World Health Organization, Switzerland, Geneva.
- Williamson MS, Martinez-Torres D, Hick CA & Devonshire AL (1996) Identification of mutations in the housefly para-type sodium channel gene associated with knockdown resistance (kdr) to pyrethroid insecticides. *Molecular and General Genetics* 252, 51–60.
- Yadouleton AW, Padonou G, Asidi A *et al.* (2010) Insecticide resistance status in Anopheles gambiae in southern Benin. *Malaria Journal* **9**, 83.
- Zaim M, Aitio A & Nakashima N (2000) Safety of pyrethroid-treated mosquito nets. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 14, 1–5.

Corresponding Author Bilali Kabula, National Institute for Medical Research, Amani Research Centre, P. O. Box 81, Muheza, Tanzania. E-mail: bika72@yahoo.com